

Liberté Égalité Fraternité



47th Annual Meeting of the European Radiation Research Society



EARLY SUBCLINICAL CARDIOVASCULAR CHANGES AFTER RADIOTHERAPY FOR BREAST CANCER DETECTED BY ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY: CONTRIBUTION OF THE MEDIRAD EARLY-HEART COHORT

M. Locquet¹, A. Crijns², J. Langendijk², D. Spoor², A. Eraso³, F. Guedea³, M. Fiuza⁴, S. Constantino Rosa Santos⁴, S. Combs⁵, K. Borm⁵, G. Frija⁶, E. Cardis⁷, S. Jacob¹

Institute for Radiation protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), Laboratory of Epidemiology, Fontenay-Aux-Roses, France
 Department of Radiation Oncology, University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG), University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands
 Institut Catala Oncologia (ICO), Department of Radiation Oncology, Girona, Spain

 (4) Centro Cardiovascular da Universidade de Lisboa (CCUL), Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal
 (5) Technical University of Munich (TUM-MED), Department of Radiation Oncology, Munich, Germany
 (6) Paris-Descartes University, Department of Radiation Que Uropéen Georges Pompidou, Paris, France
 (7) Barcelona Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal), Radiation Programme, Barcelona, Spain

ETSOF

Introduction

RSI

Background

Breast cancer (BC): a major public health burden

A large amount of BC treated by radiation therapy (RT)

Unfortunately, BC RT can lead to long-term CV diseases

To detect <u>early</u> subclinical cardiac dysfunction in BC patients is important.

Cardiotoxicity of radiotherapy (breast cancer)

A <u>wide spectrum of cardiac pathologies</u>, such as coronary artery disease, myocardial dysfunction, valvular disorders, pericardial disease, conduction abnormalities

Dose-response relationship for coronary events: +7.4%/Gy (Darby et al. NEJM 2013)

Radiation-related heart diseases, <u>usually present 10–15</u> <u>years after</u> exposure, *although non-symptomatic abnormalities may develop much earlier*







Introduction

Objectives

To early determine the impact of RT on cardiac function by means of echocardiography

To explore dose-response relationship with early subclinical left ventricular dysfunction



MEDIRAD EARLY-HEART study



Methods - The MEDIRAD EARLY-HEART COHORT - General

ClinicalTrials registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03297346, Sept 2017 Walker et al. Early Detection of Cardiovascular Changes After Radiotherapy for Breast Cancer: Protocol for a European Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study (MEDIRAD EARLY HEART Study) JMIR Res Protoc 2018 | vol. 7 | iss. 10 | e178





Methods - The MEDIRAD EARLY-HEART COHORT – Echocardiography data

- LVEF not sensitive enough parameter in order to detect early cardiac dysfunction after RT
- Global Longitudinal Strain measurement (GLS): appears more sensitive than LVEF
- Previous studies:
 - a decrease in GLS from few weeks to many months after RT (mainly based on 3D-CRT, sometimes combined with chemotherapy)
 - Impact of laterality of breast cancer/possibly cardiac dose
- In the field *chemotherapy* :
 - Cancer Treatment Related Cardiac Dysfunction (CTRCD):

a decrease of 10% in LVEF to a level < 53%

GLS relative decrease > 15% can predict CTRCD – Is it the case in radiation therapy?



Methods - The MEDIRAD EARLY-HEART COHORT – Dosimetry data

- Individual heart dosimetry for each BC women (centralized in one center).
- Cardiac structure delineation was performed centrally by the UMCG using multi-atlas based automatic segmentation of the heart and its substructures.
- Two contoured cardiac structures were considered in our analysis: the whole heart (WH) and the left ventricle (LV), their relevance being highlighted in previous researches.
- The mean dose (Dmean, in Gy), minimum dose (Dmin, in Gy), and maximum dose (Dmax, in Gy) were studied as well as relative volumes of the WH and LV receiving at least 5 Gy (V5, in %) and 20 Gy (V20, in %), both suggested as good prognostic parameters of cardiac complications.









Results - DESCRIPTION

BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS

- 186 BC patients
- 14.5% obese
- 52.7% non-smokers
- 78.0% invasive cancer
- 51.9% grade 2
- 60.2% treated by 3D-CRT
- 33.9% 15frac/40.05Gy

CARDIAC RADIATION DOSIMETRY

• Mean whole heart dose: 1.76±1.16 Gray

 Mean left ventricle dose: 2.09 ±1.91 Gra ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY PARAMETERS

- No significant change of GLS/GLS rate/LVEF between baseline and the 6-month follow-up after RT
- Trend forwards a decrease after RT
- Subclinical left ventricle dysfunction, defined by a relative decrease of GLS >15%, was observed in 22 patients (14.5% of the sample).

Results - DIFFERENCE DOSIMETRY/DECREASE OF GLS



Results - DOSE RESPONSE RELATIONSHIP

Relationships between dose-volume parameters and a decrease of GLS > 15 % occurring after the 6-month follow-up after radiotherapy using binary logistic regression (n=186 BC patients).

Dosimetry	Crude OR (95%CI)	Unadjusted <i>p</i> -value	Adjustedª OR (95%CI)	Adjusted ^a <i>p</i> -value
Whole heart				
Dmean (Gy)	1.85 (1.31-2.68)	0.0005	1.74 (1.20-2.61)	0.005
Dmin (Gy)	0.24 (0.02-1.86)	0.20	0.36 (0.02-3.49)	0.40
Dmax (Gy)	1.04 (1.01-1.07)	0.0009	1.03 (0.99-1.06)	0.02 ^b
V5 (%)	1.14 (1.06-1.23)	0.0004	1.13 (1.05-1.23)	0.002
V20 (%)	1.47 (1.21-1.81)	0.0001	1.39 (1.13-1.75)	0.003
Left ventricle				
Dmean (Gy)	1.51 (1.23-1.88)	0.00008	1.46 (1.17-1.87)	0.003
Dmin (Gy)	3.59 (0.80-16.33)	0.09	5.11 (0.91-3.30)	0.07
Dmax (Gy)	1.04 (1.01-1.06)	0.005	1.03 (1.01-1.06)	0.02 ^b
V5 (%)	1.10 (1.05-1.16)	0.0001	1.10 (1.05-1.17)	0.001
V20 (%)	1.26 (1.12-1.42)	0.00009	1.20 (1.07-1.37)	0.003

^a Model adjusted for age, smoking status, hypertension, cholesterol and hormonotherapy

^b Not significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple tests (significant threshold: α/k)



Results – Predictors of GLS-based subclinical LV dysfunction

TABLE Relationship between dose-volume parameters and a relative reduction of GLS >15% occurring 6 months after BC PT highlighted by binary logistic regressions and ROC analyses (n = 186 patients from the EARLY-HEART cohort).

Dosimetry	Crude OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Adjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Adjusted ^a AUROC (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Whole heart						
D _{mean} (Gy)	1.85 (1.31-2.68)	0.0005	1.74 (1.20-2.61)	0.005	0.794 (0.688–0.902)	0.02
D _{min} (Gy)	0.24 (0.02-1.86)	0.20	0.36 (0.02-3.49)	0.40	0.575 (0.492-0.655)	0.28
D _{max} (Gy)	1.04 (1.01–1.07)	0.0009	1.03 (0.99-1.06)	0.02 ^b	0.765 (0.651–0.878)	0.004
V ₅ (%)	1.14 (1.06-1.23)	0.0004	1.13 (1.05-1.23)	0.002	0.813 (0.712-0.914)	0.001
V ₂₀ (%)	1.47 (1.21–1.81)	0.0001	1.39 (1.13–1.75)	0.003	0.804 (0.703-0.906)	0.001
Left ventricle				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
D _{mean} (Gy)	1.51 (1.23–1.88)	0.00008	1.46 (1.17–1.87)	0.003	0.807 (0.704–0.909)	0.004
D _{min} (Gy)	3.59 (0.80–16.33)	0.09	5.11 (0.91-3.30)	0.07	0.629 (0.457–0.706)	0.06
D _{max} (Gy)	1.04 (1.01-1.06)	0.005	1.03 (1.01-1.06)	0.02 ^b	0.770 (0.660–0.878)	0.003
V ₅ (%)	1.10 (1.05–1.16)	0.0001	1.10 (1.05–1.17)	0.001	0.815 (0.717–0.914)	0.005
V ₂₀ (%)	1.26 (1.12–1.42)	0.00009	1.20 (1.07–1.37)	0.003	0.808 (0.701–0.909)	0.004

^aModel adjusted for age, smoking status, hypertension, total cholesterol level, and hormonotherapy.

^bNo longer after Bonferroni correction for multiple tests (significant threshold: α/k).

Sensitivity analyzes by deleting one center at a time confirmed the robustness of our restuls.



Discussion & Conclusion

KEY MESSAGE

Our European study suggested an increased risk of subclinical left ventricle cardiac dysfunction occurring 6 months after RT among BC patients



However:

 Some other potential confounding factors (lifestyle factors)

 Further follow-up is needed to determine if this dose-response relationship will persist at longer term (i.e., the 24-month follow-up).



Discussion & Conclusion

Manuscript published in Frontiers in Oncology



frontiers Frontiers in Oncology

ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 28 June 2022 doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.883679



Subclinical Left Ventricular **Dysfunction Detected by Speckle-**Tracking Echocardiography in Breast Cancer Patients Treated With **Radiation Therapy: A Six-Month** Follow-Up Analysis (MEDIRAD EARLY-HEART study)

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Nadia Pasinetti. University of Brescia, Italy

Médéa Locquet¹, Daan Spoor², Anne Crijns², Pim van der Harst², Arantxa Eraso³, Ferran Guedea³, Manuela Fiuza⁴, Susana Constantino Rosa Santos⁴, Stephanie Combs⁵, Kai Borm⁵, Elie Mousseaux⁶, Umit Gencer⁶, Guy Frija⁶, Elisabeth Cardis^{7,8,9}, Hans Langendiik² and Sophie Jacob^{1*}



Discussion & Conclusion

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Questions ?



