

The proximal femur fracture epidemic continued during the COVID-19 pandemic: Results of an observational study

Solène Prost, Mathieu Carissimi, Andrés Muñoz Mccausland, Patrick Tropiano, Jean-Noël Argenson, Benjamin Blondel

▶ To cite this version:

Solène Prost, Mathieu Carissimi, Andrés Muñoz Mccausland, Patrick Tropiano, Jean-Noël Argenson, et al.. The proximal femur fracture epidemic continued during the COVID-19 pandemic: Results of an observational study. Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research, 2023, 109 (1), pp.103143. 10.1016/j.otsr.2021.103143. hal-04490688

HAL Id: hal-04490688 https://hal.science/hal-04490688

Submitted on 5 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Original article

The proximal femur fracture epidemic continued during the COVID-19 pandemic: Results of an observational study

Solène Prost , Mathieu Carissimi , Andrés Muñoz McCausland , Patrick Tropiano , Jean-Noël Argenson , Benjamin Blondel*

CNRS, ISM, CHU de Timone, APHM, Aix-Marseille Université, 264, rue Saint-Pierre, 13005 Marseille, France

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The aim of this study was to compare the volume and characteristics of emergency trauma surgery procedures done at our hospital between March 20 and April 20, 2020 (the first month of the national lockdown in France) and to compare these data to the same period in 2019. We hypothesized that a portion of fractures are unavoidable, thus specific preventative measures will be needed to reduce their incidence.

Methods: This was a continuous, observational and single center study. All patients who required urgent surgery for a fracture between March 20 and April 20, 2020, were included. Data for the same period in 2019 was retrieved. All the procedures were done at our hospital, which is a regional level II trauma center.

Results: During the first month of the lockdown, 70 patients underwent emergency surgery because of a fracture, versus 109 patients in the same period in 2019, thus an overall 36% drop. The mean age of the patients was higher in 2020 (68.4 years SD = 22) than in 2019 (60.3 years SD = 24, p = 0.0210). There were fewer recreational and motor vehicle accidents in 2020 (34 vs. 10) and fewer work-related accidents (7 vs. 2) although the number of accidents at home were similar (65 vs. 55).

Conclusion: During a public health emergency, it is vital to continue doing trauma surgery procedures, even though it requires a specific care pathway. The lockdown and associated behavioral changes have altered the spectrum of trauma surgery. A major decrease in motor vehicle, recreation and work-related accidents is the avoidable portion of this surgical activity, justifying specific preventative measures during a public health crisis. Conversely, the incidence of geriatric fractures – particularly of the proximal femur – did not change much overall, thus there is need for additional preventative measures in these patients.

Level of evidence: V, observational study.

1. Introduction

Since December 2019, the world has been in the midst of an exceptional situation due to the COVID-19 pandemic [1]. In France, like in many other countries, one of the public health measures implemented was a national lockdown. This unprecedented situation was regulated by the ORSAN REB plan aiming to limit virus transmission to reduce its impact on the population as a whole and the healthcare system more specifically [2].

Consequently, in March 2020, most of the healthcare facilities in France had stopped their planned surgical activity and had delayed their scheduled surgeries to preserve the majority of their resources to deal with COVID+ patients [3]. However, some surgery practices like trauma cannot be completely stopped, even during a lockdown.

While it is difficult to obtain precise data on the volume of trauma in France, it was estimated at about 266,000 cases in 2014 [4], of which about 76,000 were hospital stays for a proximal femur fracture [5]. These fractures have multiple causes and are multifactorial. According to Arshi et al. [6], economic factors can predict the occurrence of a traumatic event. While lifestyle and economic factors are directly related to the incidence of trauma (road, recreational, work, etc.), the COVID-19 pandemic and the public health restrictions implemented should have a major impact on the occurrence of fractures.

This led us to hypothesize that the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown period will alter the volume and types of fractures treated

Table 1 Demographic data.

	2019	2020	р
Population, n	109	70	
Sex	63	41	
Female	46	29	
Male			
Age	60 SD = 24.2	68 SD = 22.5	0.021
Multiple fractures	7	1	
COVID+	0	3	
Injury mechanism			
Recreational	11 (10%)	4 (6%)	
Accident at home	65 (60%)	55 (79%)	
Motor vehicle accident	23 (21%)	6 (8%)	
Other	10 (9%)	5 (7%)	
Work-related injury	7	2	
Average length of stay (days)	6 SD = 4.4	5.6 SD = 3.7	0.447
Time to surgery (days)	1.7 SD = 1.8	1.8 SD = 1.7	0.674
Discharge destination			
Home	61	26	
Rehab facility	31	37	
Medical ward	8	4	
Nursing home	6	3	

at our hospital. Thus, it will be possible to differentiate between "avoidable" trauma (motor vehicle, recreational and work-related accidents) and "non-avoidable" trauma that both require specific preventative measures. The aim of this study was to compare the volume and characteristics of emergency trauma surgery procedures done at our hospital between March 20 and April 20, 2020, and to compare these data to the same period in 2019.

2. Methods

This was an observational, retrospective, single-center study carried out in the Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery Department of the Marseille (France) University Hospital, a level II trauma center in a city of about 800,000 inhabitants. All the patients who underwent surgical treatment for a fracture between March 20 and April 20, 2020, were included. Data from the same period in 2019 was also retrieved. Patients who were admitted for a fracture, but who did not require surgical care, were excluded from the study.

For each patient, the demographics, injury mechanism and type of surgical procedure were collected. Data on the length of hospital stay and discharge destination were also collected. Note that hand surgery procedures were not captured in our study as these procedures are done in a different department at our hospital.

2.1. Organization during the lockdown

To limit the risk of contamination during a hospital stay, all the rooms in the orthopedic and trauma surgery ward were transformed into individual rooms, resulting a decrease in the number of available beds from 47 to 23. In the operating suite, two distinct care pathways were implemented with one room dedicated to COVID+ patients and one room to COVID– patients. In the COVID+ room, all the non-anesthesia staffs were asked to leave the room when the patient was being intubated or extubated and a 1-hour break was implemented between two procedures. Despite the smaller number of operating rooms (typically 4 in normal times vs. 1.5 during the lockdown), one room was solely dedicated to trauma surgery, like it was before the public health crisis.

During the lockdown, all patients were administered a COVID PCR test preoperatively. If any symptoms were present, a low-dose chest CT-scan was also done. Patients were asked to wear a mask during the perioperative period and during their entire hospital stay. Postoperatively, if patients had symptoms associated with COVID-19, another PCR test was done, and all the potential contacts (medical team) were also tested.

The 2020 and 2019 data were compared with Student's *t*-test and a 5% significance threshold.

3. Results

3.1. Study population

During the lockdown, 70 urgent surgical procedures were done versus 109 during the same period in 2019, a 36% drop. The mean age of the patients was higher in 2020 (68.4 years SD = 22) than in 2019 (60.3 years SD = 24, p = 0.0210). Three COVID-positive patients required urgent surgical care. The mean length of hospital stay and the time to surgery were not altered by the pandemic (Table 1).

During the 2020 lockdown, there was much fewer recreational and road traffic accidents than in 2019 (34 vs. 10) and there were fewer work-related injuries (7 vs. 2). Conversely, the number of accidents at home was similar between the two periods (65 vs. 55).

3.2. Surgical data

Proximal femur fractures were the most common indication for surgical treatment in 2019 and in 2020, with a similar number of patients in the two periods: 35 in 2019 vs. 33 in 2020. These fractures made up nearly half of all surgical emergencies in 2020 (47%). Relative to 2019, there was a significant decrease in vertebral, leg and ankle fractures (Fig. 1).

During the 2019 study period, seven patients suffered multiple fractures versus only one in 2020. The surgical procedures by anatomical region are summarized in Table 2.

4. Discussion

During the COVID pandemic, most healthcare resources were dedicated to caring for COVID-positive patients, requiring that other sectors reorganize. Various scientific societies put out recommendations to care for patients in these other sectors [3,7–9]. Most of the recommendations place a priority on surgical emergencies. Generally, when it is possible and will not cause a missed opportunity for the patient, conservative treatment or delaying surgeries are preferable [10–12].



Fig. 1. Distribution of the surgical procedures by anatomical site in 2019 (left) and 2020 (right).

As for the volume of trauma surgery, there was a 36% drop at our hospital, which is similar to data reported by Hernigou et al. [13]. However, this decline in activity was not in the geriatric population, since the incidence of proximal femur fractures was unchanged between 2019 and 2020, corresponding to "unavoidable" trauma. These results are comparable to the study by Zhu et al. who reported more than 58% proximal femur fractures in China between January 20 and February 19, 2020 [14].

Given how vulnerable these patients are, with a mortality rate of about 50% at 5 years [15], they should be prioritized for early surgical treatment, as recommended by Delaveau et al. [16]. Despite the reduction of available resources in the operating room (anesthesiologists and nurse anesthetists, number of rooms, amount of anesthesia products available, etc.) at our hospital, we decided to have one room dedicated to trauma cases. This room was largely used to care for geriatric fractures. It also seems essential to look to reduce the length of hospital stay to limit their exposure and risk of intrahospital contamination. Thus, we decided to quickly discharge them to a rehabilitation center to reduce the time spent in the hospital and to limit the need for home care, corresponding to the concept of systematic clinical pathway defined by Tittel et al. [17]. This was made possible by a reorganization of the rehabilitation centers into COVID- and COVID+ units, providing a rapid response to hospital demands.

Conversely, this study found a large decrease in the number of trauma cases in younger patients, corresponding to what we could call "avoidable" fractures. In France, during the month of March 2019, 5326 people were injured in motor vehicle accidents, versus 2965 in March 2020, corresponding to a 45% drop in road-related morbidity although the lockdown was only imposed on March 17 [18].

This reduction in traffic accidents (8% of procedures in 2020 vs. 21% in 2019) was accompanied by a reduction in work-related accidents, affecting a working-age population, dropping

from 6.5% to 3% of the surgical volume between 2019 and 2020. This decrease in the number of accidents greatly decreases the morbidity and mortality in the younger segment of the population and lessens the load on the healthcare system, which seems to be particularly important during a public health crisis.

These major changes in the fracture epidemiology highlight the importance of road-related preventative measures, but also the urgent need to improve the prevention of falls in the general population as reported by Christey et al. [19] to limit as best possible the "avoidable" portion of fractures, especially during a public health crisis. In France, about 84,000 patients required surgical care for a post-traumatic proximal femur fracture [20]. Optimization of the preventative measures for "non-avoidable" trauma is very relevant for the healthcare system, whether during a public health crisis or normal times.

As for the urgent surgical care of COVID+ patients, various recommendations have been made, initially for the anesthesia teams who are more at-risk during the intubation and extubating of patients, but also for the surgical teams [21]. Orthopedic surgery is associated with a significant risk of aerosolization when cutting with an oscillating saw or with drilling, along with smoke from the electrocautery tip. While these risks have not been fully identified, the use of glasses or a face shield by surgeons is recommended, among other measures [22].

The surgical indications for COVID+ patients must be discussed in a multidisciplinary manner with anesthesiology and infectious disease specialists to clearly identify the risk-benefit ratio of an operation. In fact, it has been reported that the prognosis is worse and mortality increased in COVID+ patients who have a fracture [23] [13]. In our study, three COVID+ patients required surgical care and one of them died within the first postoperative week. This small number of COVID+ cases obviously does not allow us to draw any conclusions about this subset of patients but highlights

Table 2

Surgical procedures done for trauma in 2019 and 2020.

n%n%Proximal fermur3532.13347.1Torbal/partial joint replacement87.31115.7Torchanteric nail/DFS1614.72028.6Triple screw fixation10.911.4Joint lavage32.800Periprosthetic fracture (TIA)43.611.4Other32.811.4Other10.900Proximal humens32.811.4Arthroplasty10.900Practure fixation21.811.4Tibia141.2.857.1Intramedullary nailing54.622.9Open fixation98.334.3Knee/patella43.722.9Restach intercondylar eminence0000Urist54.645.7Anttroplasty10.900Ober fixation54.65.73.7Anttroplasty10.900Ober fixation11.41.41.4Anthroplasty10.900Ober fixation10.900Ober fixation11.41.41.4Anthroplasty10.900Ober fixation11.41.41.4 </th <th></th> <th colspan="2">2019</th> <th colspan="2">2020</th>		2019		2020	
proximal femur3532.13347.1Totalparticipatreplacement87.31115.7Totalparticipatreplacement1614.72028.6Tiple screwe fixaturo10.911.4Joint lavage32.800Perjorosther firature (THA)43.611.4Other32.811.4Other2.811.4Arthroplasty10.900Pristinal humerus2.811.4Tibia1.41.811.4Tibia1.41.811.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.81.41.4Tota1.41.51.5Tota1.61.41.5Tota1.61.41.5Tota1.41.41.5Tota1.41.41.5Tota1.41.41.4Tota1.4 <th></th> <th>n</th> <th>%</th> <th>n</th> <th>%</th>		n	%	n	%
Totalphartial joint replacement87.31115.7Trachauteric nail/PHS1614.72028.6Triple screw fixation10.911.4Joint lavage3.22.800Periporsthetic facture (THA)43.611.4Other3.22.800Proximal humerus3.12.800Arthroplasty10.900Fracture fixation2.811.4Tibia1.11.857.1Intramedullary naling54.622.9Joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement3.722.8Joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement3.722.9Joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement55.545.7Kwirs00000Bob10.9000Der faxion55.545.7Kwirs00000Bob10.9000Bob10.9000Der atal malleolut fixation11.85.71.5Kwirs10.9000Bob10.9000Bob10.9000Der atal malleolut fixation11.81.4Arabic11.81.4<	Proximal femur	35	32.1	33	47.1
Tracher frait/Dris164.72028.6Triple screw fraction10.9114Joint Javage32.800Perjorosther fracture (THA)43.611.4Other32.800Protinal humerus10.911.4Other32.811.4Other11.811.4Triacture fixation11.811.4Thia1.41.822.9Open fixation54.622.9Open fixation98.334.3Tension-band wiring of patella43.722.9Virist65.545.7Kwers10.9000Virist65.545.7Kwires10.9000Elbow10.9000Oterrano fistation10.9000Larder al malleolus fixation131.934.3Verbera131.934.330Other32.811.44.4Verbera10.9000Larder al malleolus fixation11.41.41.4Humerus43.70.000Larder al malleolus fixation11.41.41.4	Total/partial joint replacement	8	7.3	11	15.7
Triple screw fixation10.911.4Joint lavage32.800Periporshteric fracture (THA)43.611.4Other32.800Proxinal humerus32.811.4Arthroplasty10.900Fracture fixation21.811.4Thibi141.2.857.1Intramedullary nalling54.622.9Open fixation98.33.63.6Open fixation/jont lavage/debridement37.72.22.9Joint aspiration/jont patela43.722.9Virist65.545.7Anterior radius plate fixation54.63.72.9Bow10.900002.9Joint aspiration/joint patege10.90000Virist65.545.73.73.000Anterior radius plate fixation10.900	Trochanteric nail/DHS	16	14.7	20	28.6
join lavage Perjorostheric fracture (THA)32.800Perjorostheric fracture (THA)3.611.4Other3.22.800Proxinal humerus10.800Practure fixation1.81.81.41.4Tbia1.41.857.1Intranetuliary nailing54.622.9Open fixation98.334.3Geen fixation76.468.6Tension-band wiring of patella43.722.9Reatca intercondylar eminence0022.9Wrist65.545.74Anterior radius plate fixation10.900Oter radius plate fixation10.922.9Arkire's10.922.9Clavicle0022.9Arkire's10.922.9Arkire's10.922.9Arkire's10.922.9Arkire's10.900Oter and fixation11.41.4Arkie's10.911.4Arkie's10.922.9Arkie's11.41.41.4Arkie's10.911.4Arkie's11.41.41.41.4Arkie's11.4	Triple screw fixation	1	0.9	1	1.4
Priorestific fracture (THA)46111Other2800Proxinnal humerus328114Arthroplasty10.900Practure fixation218114Tibia141.2857.1Intramedullary nailing54.622.9Open fixation98.334.3Kneel patella76.468.6Tension-band wing of patella43.722.9Reattach intercondylar eminence0022.9Wrist65.545.77.7Anterior radius plate fixation54.63.722.9Clavicio0.9000000Olocaraon fixation10.922.92.9Arthroplasty141.2871014Anke10.90000Iateral malleolus fixation65.545.7Arthroplasty100.90000Iateral malleolus fixation141.41.8710Iateral malleolus fixation65.545.71.4Arthroplasty100.911.41.41.4Anke1.31.933.43.722.9Arthroplasty1.31.93	Joint lavage	3	2.8	0	0
Other 3 28 0 0 Proxinal humerus 3 28 1 14 Arthroplasy 1 0.9 0 0 Practure fixation 2 1.8 1 14 Tbia 14 12.8 5 7.1 Intramedullary nailing 5 4.6 2 2.9 Open fixation 9 8.3 3 4.3 Kneel patella 7 6.4 6 8.6 Tension-band wiring of patella 4 3.7 2.9 9.9 Joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement 3 2.8 2.9 9.9 Kneer patis plate fixation 6 5.5 4 5.7 K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 0 0 Oteration fixation 14 1.2.8 7 10 Lateral malleolus fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Other	Periprosthetic fracture (THA)	4	3.6	1	1.4
Prostinal humerus32.811.4Arthropisty21.811.4Thia1.41.2.857.1Intrarredulary nailing54.62.9Open fixation98.334.3Kneel patella76.468.6Tension-band wing of patella47.722.9Joint aspirated/debridement82.82.92.9Rattach intercondylar eninence0000Virist65.545.73.7Anterior radius plate fixation54.6900Elbow10.90000Clavicle0.9000000Open fixation65.522.92.92.9Arthropisty10.9000000Operanon fixation10.900 <td>Other</td> <td>3</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	Other	3	2.8	0	0
Arthroplasy 1 0.9 0 0 Fracture fixation 14 12.8 1 14 Intramedullary nailing 5 4.6 2 2.9 Open fixation 9 8.3 3 4.33 Kneel patella 7 6.4 6 8.6 Tension-band wiring of patella 3 2.3 2.9 Joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridment 3 2.8 2.9 Wrist 6 5.5 4 5.7 Anterior rating plate fixation 5 4.6 4.57 K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 0 0 Olectanon fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Clavicle 0 0 1 1.4 Ankle 1.2 9 4 5.7 Bimalleolar fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Other 3.3 1.9 0 0	Proximal humerus	3	2.8	1	1.4
Fracture fixation21.811.4Tbia141.2.857.1Intramedullary nailing54.62.9Open fixation98.334.3KneepJatella76.466.6Tension-band wiring of patella43.722.9Joint aspirate/debridement0022.9Rattach intercondylar eminence0022.9Wrist65.545.7Anterior radius plate fixation54.645.7K-wires10.9000Elbow10.922.9Arthroplasty00.9000Oleranon fixation10.922.9Arkile141.2871014Lateral malleolus fixation10.934.3Vertebra32.811.4Lateral malleolus fixation10.900Other33.7000Utertor fixation11.1934.3Kyphoplasty101034.3Kyphoplasty101.11.4Penuru65.545.7Open fixation3300Utertor fixation43.722.9Intramedullary nailing10.911.4Penuru	Arthroplasty	1	0.9	0	0
Tiba1412.857.1Intramedullary nailing54.622.9Open fixation98.334.3Knee/patella76.468.6Tension-band wiring of patella32.822.9Joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement32.822.9Reattach intercondylar eminence0022.9Wrist65.545.7Anterior radius plate fixation10.900Elbow10.900Clavide0022.9Orteranon fixation10.900Oceranon fixation10.900Ital analleolus fixation10.900Ital analleolus fixation10.900Ital analleolus fixation10.900Ital analleolus fixation33.700Ital analleolus fixation33.700Ital analleolus fixation33.722.9Achilles tendon repair33.700Open fixation33.722.9Open fixation33.722.9Open fixation33.722.9Open fixation33.722.9Open fixation33.722.9Open fixation33.7<	Fracture fixation	2	1.8	1	1.4
Intramedullary nailing 5 4.6 2 2.9 Open fixation 9 8.3 3 4.3 Knee/patella 7 6.4 6 8.6 Tension-band wiring of patella 4 3.7 2 2.9 Joint asyring of patella 4 3.7 2 2.9 Reattach intercondylar eminence 0 0 2 2.9 Wrist 6 5.5 4 5.7 Anterior radius plate fixation 5 4.6 4 5.7 K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 0 0 Oleranon fixation 14 12.8 7 10 Lateral malleolus fixation 1 0.9 4 5.7 Bimalleolar fixation 1 1.4 1.4 1.4 Ankle 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 Verterbat 3.7 0 0 0 Open fixation	Tibia	14	12.8	5	7.1
Open fixation 9 8.3 3 4.3 Knee/patella 7 6.4 6 8.6 Tension-band wiring of patella 4 3.7 2.9 9 Joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement 3 2.8 2.9 2.9 Wrist 0 0 2 2.9 Wrist 6.6 4 5.7 Anterior radius plate fixation 5.5 4 5.7 K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 0 0 0 Oclearation fixation 0 0 0 1 1.4 Antle 1.8 0.9 4 5.7 1.4 Antle 0.8 0.9 1 1.4 Antle 0.9 0 0 0 0 Clavicle 0 0 0 0 0 0 Arthroplasty 1 0.8 3.7 0 0	Intramedullary nailing	5	4.6	2	2.9
Knee/patella 7 64 6 86 Tension-band wiring of patella 4 3.7 2.9 2.9 Reattach intercondylar eminence 0 0 2.9 2.9 Reattach intercondylar eminence 0 0 2.9 2.9 Wrist 6 5.5 4 5.7 Anterior radius plate fixation 5 4.6 4 5.7 K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 2.9 Arthroplasty 1 0.9 2 2.9 2.9 Clavicle 0 0 1 1.4 1.4 Anke 1 0.9 2 2.9 2.9 Clavicle 0 0 1 1.4 1.4 Anke 1.2 0.9 4 5.7 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 <t< td=""><td>Open fixation</td><td>9</td><td>83</td><td>- 3</td><td>43</td></t<>	Open fixation	9	83	- 3	43
Tension-band wiring of patella 4 3,7 2 2.9 joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement 3 2.8 2.9 2.9 Reattach intercondylar eminence 0 0 2.9 2.9 Wrist 6 5.5 4 5.7 Anterior radius plate fixation 5 4.6 4 5.7 K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 0 1 1.4	Knee/natella	7	64	6	8.6
Joint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement 3 2.8 2 9 Reattach intercondylar eminence 0 0 2 29 Reattach intercondylar eminence 6 5.5 4 5.7 Anterior radius plate fixation 5 4.6 4 5.7 Anterior radius plate fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 2 2.9 Arthroplasty 0 0 0 2 2.9 Clavicle 0 0 1 4.4 Ankle 14 12.8 7 10 Lateral malleolus fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Other 3 7 0 0 0 Other 3 7 10 14 14 Vertebra 3 7 2 2.9 2.9 Open fixation 3 3 0 0 14 Vertebra 3 3	Tension-hand wiring of natella	4	37	2	2.9
Brank and any sequence any	Ioint aspiration/joint lavage/debridement	3	2.8	2	2.9
Knitch infertoing in chinking 6 5 2 2 2 Anterior radius plate fixation 5 4.6 4.7 5.7 K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 2 2.9 Arthroplasty 0 0 2 2.9 Olecranon fixation 0 0 2 2.9 Clavicle 0 0 1 1.4 Ankle 14 12.8 7 10 Lateral malleolus fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Achilles tendon repair 4 3.7 0 0 Other 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 3 1.9 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 10 10 3 4.3 Open fixation 3 0 0 0 Hurrus 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 1 0.9	Reattach intercondular eminence	0	0	2	2.9
Anterior radius plate fixation 5 4.6 4 57 Anterior radius plate fixation 1 0.9 0 0 K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 0 0 Arthroplasty 1 0.9 0 0 Olecranon fixation 0 0 1 14 Ankle 14 12.8 7 10 Lateral malleolus fixation 1 0.9 4 5.7 Bimalleolar fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Achilles tendon repair 4 3.7 0 0 Other 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 13 11.9 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 10 10 3 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 3 0 0 Intramedullary nalling 1 0.9 1 1.4 Four 1 0.9	Wrist	6	5 5	4	5.7
K-wires 1 0.9 0 0 Elbow 1 0.9 2 2.9 Arthroplasty 0 0.9 0 0 Olecranon fixation 0 0 2 2.9 Clavicle 0 0 1 1.4 Ankle 14 1.28 7 10 Lateral malleolus fixation 1 0.9 4 5.7 Bimalleolar fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Other 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 3 2.8 1 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 3 0 0 Humerus 3 2.8 1 1.4 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 1 0.9 1 1.4 </td <td>Anterior radius plate fixation</td> <td>5</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>4</td> <td>5.7</td>	Anterior radius plate fixation	5	4.6	4	5.7
Initial Initial <t< td=""><td>K_wires</td><td>1</td><td>0.9</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	K_wires	1	0.9	0	0
Introduction 1 0.5 2 2.5 Arthroplasty 0 0.9 0	Flbow	1	0.9	2	20
Interplay I 0.9 0 0 0 Olecranon fixation 0 0 1 14 Ankle 14 12.8 7 10 Lateral malleolus fixation 1 0.9 4 5.7 Bimalleolar fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Achilles tendon repair 4 3.7 0 0 Other 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 13 11.9 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 0 10 3 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 0 0 0 Humerus 10 10 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 9 3 0 0 0 Intramedullary nailing 10 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 <td>Arthroplasty</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>2</td> <td>2.5</td>	Arthroplasty	1	0.9	2	2.5
Clavice 0 0 1 1.4 Ankle 14 12.8 7 10 Lateral mallelous fixation 1 0.9 4 5.7 Bimalleolar fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Achilles tendon repair 4 3.7 0 0 Other 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 13 11.9 3 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 0 0 0 Quen fixation 3 3 0 0 0 Humerus 4 3.7 2 2.9 2.9 Open fixation 3 0 0 0 0 1 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 1.4 Femur 0.9 0 0 1 1.4 Listranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 1 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 <td< td=""><td>Olocranon fivation</td><td>1</td><td>0.5</td><td>2</td><td>20</td></td<>	Olocranon fivation	1	0.5	2	20
Clavitle 0 0 1 1.4 Ankle 14 12.8 7 10 Lateral malleolus fixation 1 0.9 4 5.7 Bimalleolar fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Achilles tendon repair 4 3.7 0 0 Other 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 10 10 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 10 10 3 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 3 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.4 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open f	Clavicle	0	0	2	2.9
Internation Internation <thinternation< th=""> <thinternation< th=""></thinternation<></thinternation<>	Anklo	14	12.9	1	1.4
Literal materious fraction i 0.5 i 0.7 Bimalleolar fixation 6 5.5 2 2.9 Achilles tendon repair 4 3.7 0 0 Other 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 13 11.9 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 0 0 0 0 Posterior fixation 3 0 0 0 Humerus 4 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 3 0 0 0 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 0 0 0 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 2 1.8 0 0 0	Lateral malleelus fixation	14	12.8	1	57
Initiation 6 3.3 2 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 0 </td <td>Rimalloolar fivation</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>4</td> <td>3.7</td>	Rimalloolar fivation	1	0.5	4	3.7
Addition repair 4 5/7 0 0 0 Other 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 13 11.9 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 10 10 3 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 3 0 0 Humerus 4 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 3 2.8 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 2 1.8 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 0 0 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 2 1.8 0 0 0 Pelvis 2 1.8 <td>Achilles tondon renair</td> <td>0</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2.9</td>	Achilles tondon renair	0	2.2	2	2.9
Vertebra 3 2.8 1 1.4 Vertebra 13 11.9 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 10 10 3 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 3 2.8 1 1.4 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 2.9 Open fixation 4 3.77 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 6 5.5 4 5.7 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1.4 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 0 Total 10	Actimes tendon repair	4	3.7	0	0
Kyphoplasty 13 11.9 3 4.3 Kyphoplasty 10 10 3 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 3 0 0 Humerus 4.3 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 3 2.8 1 1.4 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 4.3 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 4.3 3.7 2.9 9.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2.9 9.9 Foot 1.8 2 2.9 9.9 Foot 1.8 2 2.9 Calcaneal pinning 0.9 1 1.4 Pelvis 3 2.8 1 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total	Vertebre	3	2.8	1	1.4
Rypropriative 10 10 3 4.3 Posterior fixation 3 3 0 0 Humerus 4 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 3 2.8 1 1.4 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 4 3.7 2 2.9 Fort 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 2 1.8 2.9 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 1 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 10	Vertebra	13	11.9	3	4.3
Posterior instation 3 6 0 Humerus 4 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 3 2.8 1 1.4 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1.4 1.4 Porearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100 100	Ryphoplasty Desterior	10	10	3	4.3
Humenus 4 3.7 2 2.9 Open fixation 3 2.8 1 1.4 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1.4 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 2 1.8 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 1.9 0.9 0 0	Posterior invation	3	3	0	0
Jopen fixation 3 2.8 1 1.4 Intramedullary nailing 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1.4 1.4 Pelvis 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100 100	Humerus	4	3./	2	2.9
Intramedulary nalling 1 0.9 1 1.4 Femur 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1.4 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Open fixation	3	2.8	1	1.4
Femure 6 5.5 4 5.7 Open fixation 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1.4 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Intramedullary nailing	1	0.9	l	1.4
Open fixation 4 3.7 2 2.9 Intramedullary nailing 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1.8 2.0 2.9 Foot 1.0 0.9 1.4 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 1.4 Forearm 0 0 1 1.4 1.4 Pelvis 2.8 1 1.4 1.4 Pelvis 2.8 0	Femur	6	5.5	4	5./
Intramedullary nalling 2 1.8 2 2.9 Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Open fixation	4	3.7	2	2.9
Foot 1 0.9 1 1.4 Lisfranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1.4 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 10 0.9 0 0	Intramedullary nailing	2	1.8	2	2.9
Listranc dislocation 1 0.9 0 0 Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1.4 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Foot	1	0.9	1	1.4
Calcaneal pinning 0 0 1 1.4 Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acctabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Lisfranc dislocation	1	0.9	0	0
Forearm 3 2.8 1 1.4 Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Calcaneal pinning	0	0	1	1.4
Pelvis 2 1.8 0 0 Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Forearm	3	2.8	1	1.4
Acetabulum fixation 1 0.9 0 0 Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Pelvis	2	1.8	0	0
Pubic symphysis separation 1 0.9 0 0 Total 109 100 70 100	Acetabulum fixation	1	0.9	0	0
Total 109 100 70 100	Pubic symphysis separation	1	0.9	0	0
	Total	109	100	70	100

the importance of carefully evaluating the risk-benefit relationship preoperatively.

Our study has its limitations. The short inclusion period does not allow us to extrapolate the results. Furthermore, the national lockdown has no recent historical equivalent and several of the measures taken throughout the world were specific to each country, making it difficult to compare the response of different healthcare systems during the pandemic. Additional studies are needed to be able to derive re-useable lessons in case a similar threat reappears in the future.

5. Conclusion

Even during a major public health crisis, it is essential to continue trauma surgery activity if specific measures are in place. Each surgical procedure must be discussed and evaluated by the impacted health professionals. This novel lockdown period and its associated restrictions have changed the volume and characteristics of trauma patients at our level II trauma center, with a large decrease in "avoidable" trauma (road, recreational, work) and stability in the "non-avoidable" trauma, especially proximal femur fractures in the geriatric population. These elements highlight the importance of preventing "avoidable" fractures during a public health crisis, but also more broadly, of preventing falls in older adults, even when not in a public health crisis.

Disclosure of interest

P. Tropiano: relationship with LDR-Zimmer, Depuy-Synthes, FH Orthopedics not related to this study.

JN. Argenson: relationship with Zimmer-Biomet and Symbios not related to this study.

B. Blondel: relationship with Medicrea International, Vexim, Implanet not related to this study; associate editor for RCOT/OTSR.

The authors S. Prost, M. Carissimi and A. Munoz declare that they have no competing interest.

Funding

None.

Contribution

S. Prost, M. Carissimi, A. Munoz and B. Blondel wrote the manuscript.

Jean-Noël Argenson and Patrick Tropiano reviewed the manuscript.

Given his role as editor, Benjamin Blondel had no involvement in the peer-review of this article and has no access to information regarding its peer-review. Full responsibility for the editorial process for this article was delegated to Jérome Tonetti.

References

- World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19; 2020.
- [2] Ministère des Solidarités et de la Santé. Préparation au risque épidémique COVID-19 guide méthodologique; 2020.
 [3] Randelli PS, Compagnoni R. Management of orthopaedic and trauma-
- [3] Randelli PS, Compagnoni R. Management of orthopaedic and traumatology patients during the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic in northern Italy. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2020:1–7, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-020-06023-3.
- [4] Papin P, Berthonnaud E. Incidence des ostéosynthèses des membres en France. Rev Chir Orthop Traumatol 2016;102:S163–4.
- [5] Thélot B, Lasbeur L, Pédrono G. La surveillance épidémiologique des chutes chez les personnes âgées. Bull Epidemiol Hebd 2017:328–35.
- [6] Arshi A, Barad JH, Patel RK, Allis JB, Soohoo NF, Johnson EE. The Crush Index: orthopedic trauma as an economic indicator. Orthopedics 2017;40:248–55.
- [7] mise-a-jour-recommandations-sfcr-20200504065458.pdf n.d. 2020.
- [8] Coccolini F, Perrone G, Chiarugi M, Di Marzo F, Ansaloni L, Scandroglio I, et al. Surgery in COVID-19 patients: operational directives. World J Emerg Surg WJES 2020:15, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13017-020-00307-2.
- [9] Stinner DJ, Lebrun C, Hsu JR, Jahangir AA, Mir HR. The orthopaedic trauma service and COVID-19: practice considerations to optimize outcomes and limit exposure. J Orthop Trauma 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1097/BOT.000000000001782.
- [10] guidance_for_triage_of_nonemergent_surgical_procedures_orthopaedics.pdf n.d.
- [11] Stinner DJ, Lebrun C, Hsu JR, Jahangir AA, Mir HR. The Orthopaedic Trauma Service and COVID-19: practice considerations to optimize outcomes and limit exposure. J Orthop Trauma 2020, http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/BOT.000000000001782.

- [12] Mauffrey C, Trompeter A. Lead the way or leave the way: leading a Department of Orthopedics through the COVID-19 pandemic. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 2020;30:555–7, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00590-020-02670-x.
- [13] Hernigou J, Morel X, Callewier A, Bath O, Hernigou P. Staying home during COVID-19 decreased fractures, but trauma did not quarantine in one hundred and twelve adults and twenty eight children and the "tsunami of recommendations" could not lockdown twelve elective operations. Int Orthop 2020;44:1473–80, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00264-020-04619-5.
- [14] Zhu Y, Chen W, Xin X, Yin Y, Hu J, Lv H, et al. Epidemiologic characteristics of traumatic fractures in elderly patients during the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. Int Orthop 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00264-020-04575-0.
- [15] Erivan R, Soleihavoup M, Villatte G, Perez Prieto D, Descamps S, Boisgard S. Poor results of functional treatment of Garden-1 femoral neck fracture in dependent patients. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res OTSR 2020;106:601–5, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2019.09.027.
- [16] Delaveau A, Saint-Genez F, Gayet L-E, Paccalin M, Ounajim A, Vendeuvre T. Impact of time to surgery in upper femoral fracture in orthogeriatrics. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res OTSR 2019;105:975–8.
- [17] Tittel S, Burkhardt J, Roll C, Kinner B. Clinical pathways for geriatric patients with proximal femoral fracture improve process and outcome. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res OTSR 2020;106:141–7, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2019.07.029.
- [18] Baromètre mars 2019 | Observatoire national interministériel de la sécurité routière n.d. https://www.onisr.securite-routiere.gouv.fr/etat-de-l-insecuriteroutiere/suivis-mensuels-et-analyses-trimestrielles/barometre-mensuelen-metropole-et-outre-mer/barometre-mars-2019.(accessed April 15, 2020).
- [19] Christey G, Amey J, Campbell A, Smith A. Variation in volumes and characteristics of trauma patients admitted to a level one trauma centre during national level 4 lockdown for COVID-19 in New Zealand. N Z Med J 2020;133:81–8.
- [20] Petit M-P, Bryère J, Maravic M, Pallaro F, Marcelli C. Hip fracture incidence and social deprivation: results from a French ecological study. Osteoporos Int 2017;28:2045–51.
- [21] Kim HJ, Ko JS, Kim T-Y. Recommendations for anesthesia in patients suspected of COVID-19 Coronavirus infection. Korean J Anesthesiol 2020;73:89–91, http://dx.doi.org/10.4097/kja.20110.
- [22] Hirschmann MT, Hart A, Henckel J, Sadoghi P, Seil R, Mouton C. COVID-19 coronavirus: recommended personal protective equipment for the orthopaedic and trauma surgeon. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2020:1–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-020-06022-4.
- [23] Mi B, Chen L, Xiong Y, Xue H, Zhou W, Liu G. Characteristics and early prognosis of COVID-19 infection in fracture patients. JBJS 2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.20.00390 [Latest articles].