

Speciation studies at the Illite - solution interface: Part 2 – Co-sorption of uranyl and phosphate ions

Shang Yao Guo, Mirella Del Nero, Olivier Courson, Sylvia Meyer-Georg, Remi Barillon

► To cite this version:

Shang Yao Guo, Mirella Del Nero, Olivier Courson, Sylvia Meyer-Georg, Remi Barillon. Speciation studies at the Illite - solution interface: Part 2 – Co-sorption of uranyl and phosphate ions. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2024, 684, pp.133129. 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2023.133129. hal-04489579

HAL Id: hal-04489579 https://hal.science/hal-04489579v1

Submitted on 7 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Speciation studies at the Illite - solution interface: Part 2 – Co-sorption of
2	uranyl and phosphate ions
3	
4	Shang Yao Guo, Mirella Del Nero, Olivier Courson, Sylvia Meyer-Georg, Remi Barillon
5	Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, UMR 7178 Université de Strasbourg/CNRS - IPHC,
6	23 rue du Loess 67037 Strasbourg, France
7	

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: <u>mireille.delnero@iphc.cnrs.fr</u> - Phone: +33 03 88 10
64 08

10 Abstract

Although it is well known that clays and phosphate ions (hereafter referred to as "P") can 11 12 contribute to the long term uptake of uranium (U) in a variety of geochemical systems, work is 13 still needed to document the surface speciation of U(VI) and P sorbed on relevant clay minerals 14 such as illite. Following on from previous work showing strong sorption of phosphate ligands 15 on the surface of a homoionic Na-illite ([1]), we addressed the (competitive/synergistic) 16 mechanisms of (co)sorption of trace levels of uranyl ions (1-10µM) and phosphate ligands (100 µM) onto this clay, and the identity of the surface species formed, by in situ spectroscopy 17 18 monitoring of the clay-solution interface along sorption. We also performed complementary 19 batch sorption experiments and electrophoretic mobility (EM) measurements. Macroscopic 20 data indicated a uranyl sorption dependent on pH, aqueous U concentration and clay-to-solution 21 ratio, a signature of P on the U sorption, and a promotion of P sorption with U concentration. 22 Macroscopic and EM data also suggested mostly reversible mechanisms of P and U co-sorption that confer negative charges on the mineral surface and involve several types of uranyl 23 24 phosphate surface species and/or surface sites present on the clay edges. FTIR interface spectra 25 confirmed that several types of inner sphere uranyl phosphate surface species formed at acidic 26 pH at the illite-solution interface, as a function of U surface coverage and/or reaction time. An 27 inner-sphere uranyl phosphate surface complex, likely with a U-bridging structure, was formed 28 rapidly on high-affinity surface sites existing in limited quantities on the clay edges. Another 29 U-P surface complex (with a possible P-bridging structure) was progressively formed in 30 increasing amounts with U surface coverage and time on low affinity clay edge sites. Finally, a 31 third U-P surface species having an autunite-like structure (probably a U-P polynuclear surface 32 species) was formed on the illite surface at high U concentration (10µM). The two later species 33 competed with the existence on the illite surface of inner sphere surface complexes and outer-34 sphere surface complex of phosphate, which were identified in the absence of U. Information on uranyl surface speciation in the presence of phosphate ligands presented here is novel and provides for the first time in situ spectroscopic evidence of synergistic U-P sorption process leading in the formation of several types of uranyl phosphate sorption species on the surface of illite. These results provide a sound basis for better understanding / prediction of U sorption in the environment, including in clayey formations being considered as long term barriers to radionuclide migration in far field of high level nuclear waste repository.

41

42 Keywords

43 Illite, Uranyl sorption, Phosphate ligands, Surface speciation, In-situ ATR FTIR spectroscopy,
44 / Environment.

45

46 **1 Introduction**

47 The environmental behavior of uranium (U) is a major issue of soil - sediment - water continua 48 due to the natural abundance of this metal in rocks, its involvement in a variety of anthropogenic 49 activities such as metal mining, water treatment and energy production [2-4], its radiological 50 and chemical toxicity, and the complexity of the biogeochemical processes that govern its fate 51 in ecosystems [5,6]. Nuclear power plants generate spent nuclear fuel containing U and produce 52 radioactive wastes, for which various long-term management strategies have been proposed 53 including the disposal of high-level radioactive wastes (HLW) in repositories in deep geological 54 formations [7-9]. Near field engineered barrier systems will isolate stored HLW from 55 groundwater and prevent dissolution, but their progressive degradation poses a long term safety 56 issue. Hence, to prevent migration of dissolved and colloidal radionucleides (RN) in groundwater -as a result of long term HLW dissolution- into the human-accessible environment, 57 58 host argillaceous rocks as geological far-field barriers, such as Callovo-Oxfordian clay, COX,

59 and Opalinus clay, OPA [10], have been considered by several countries. These rocks have clay 60 minerals as their main constituents and outstanding physicochemical properties for storage, 61 such as low permeability and high mineral retention capacity for RN, including U ([11–16]). 62 Uranium -which exists mainly in environment in the IV and VI oxidation states- is indeed known to be potentially mobile in the hexavalent state as uranyl ions, UO_2^{2+} [5], which 63 64 participate in formation of a variety of aqueous complexes given the high chemical affinity of U(VI) for the dissolved ligands OH^{-} and $CO_{3^{2-}}$ [17–20], organic ligands [6,21] and phosphate 65 ligands, PO4³⁻ (hereafter referred to as "P") [22,23]. In particular, phosphate ligands can 66 67 promote U(VI) retention in rocks or soils via precipitation and / or sorption processes. 68 Precipitation of U(VI) phosphates like chernikovite or autunite can limit U solubility under 69 certain conditions [22,24–28]. Moreover, secondary uranyl phosphate precipitates were found 70 in the vicinity of U-ore deposits or in contaminated sediments [24,29–38] and U was shown to 71 exist in close association with P and iron(III)/Al(III) oxihydroxides or clays [32,34,39-44] in 72 some soils or surface environments. Due to the ubiquity of phosphate minerals/ligands in clay 73 rock-soil-water continua, a thorough understanding of the mechanisms of U(VI) and P(V) co-74 sorption on relevant minerals is mandatory to provide a solid basis on which to build a robust 75 assessment of the fate of uranium in the environment.

76 The objective of this work is to provide new insights into the mechanisms and surface species 77 involved in uranyl and phosphate ions co-sorption onto illite, a main constituent of clay rocks 78 including COX. Numerous studies have reported strong sorption of uranyl ions at surfaces of 79 metal oxihydroxides [45–48] and clay minerals / rocks [11,13,15,49–53], and the promoting 80 effect of P sorption on retention of U(VI) on metal oxihydroxides and kaolinite, at acidic/neutral 81 pH [45,46,54–56]. In addition, spectroscopic studies have clarified the surface speciation of 82 U(VI) sorbed to Al and Fe oxihydroxides [57–63] and clays [13,53,64–68], in the absence of P. 83 However, far less spectroscopic work has been devoted to mineral-solution systems containing

84 uranyl and phosphate ions (or arsenate ions as P homologues) and little structural data exist on 85 the U and/or P surface species formed [55,68–70], particularly on clays [71,72]. Troyer et al. [71] have reported no significant P sorption (in absence of U) on montmorillonite and no P 86 87 signature on the sorption of U, which was controlled by formation of inner-sphere surface complexes (ISSC) of uranyl phosphate (with U-bridging). Further work is required to identify 88 89 the U/P species formed on the surface of clavs like illite and kaolinite, for which a strong P 90 sorption and/or signature on U sorption has been reported, and thus clarify the effect of clay 91 surface properties.

92 Spectroscopic studies have evidenced that, in the absence of P, U(VI) sorption species existing 93 on Fe(III) oxi-hydroxides are surface-U(VI)-carbonato complexes formed in a wide pH range 94 [57,58] and bidendate ISSC of U(VI) on goethite [69]. For Al oxihydroxides, formation of the 95 former has also been reported, notably under conditions where uranyl tricarbonato species exist 96 in solution [63], and effect of U loading has been demonstrated on the main forms of sorbed 97 U(VI) (bidentate ISSC vs polynuclear surface species of U(VI) [60,61]; or surface carbonato 98 complex vs. oligomeric surface complex / precipitate of U(VI) [62]). For clays, multiple U(VI) 99 sorption species have also been identified, even at low U concentration (for example, [53]). U(VI) was sorbed as exchangeable UO_2^{2+} in the montmorillonite interlayer space, in addition 100 101 to uranyl ISSC and/or U(VI) polynuclear surface species [13,64-66] and surface-U(VI)-102 carbonato complexes formed at clay edge sites [67]. In the presence of phosphate ligands, too, 103 spectroscopic studies have concluded that sorbate surface coverage and pH are main parameters 104 controlling U(VI) surface speciation. Based on Extended X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure 105 (EXAFS) spectroscopic studies, Singh et al. [59] have evidenced U sorption onto goethite via 106 formation of U-phosphate-Fe(III) oxide surface complexes, at acidic / neutral pH, and low and 107 moderate concentrations of U ($\leq 10\mu$ M) and P (100 μ M). These authors have indicated a U-108 bridging structure for the surface complex but have suggested that the presence of a P-bridging

109 structure was statistically possible. Studies using in situ Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier 110 Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (ATR FTIR) and Time Resolved Laser Induced Fluorescence 111 Spectroscopy (TRLFS) have shown that U(VI) was sorbed at alumina-P-solution interface via 112 the formation of uranyl phosphate ISSC, with a gradual transition to surface precipitation of 113 U(VI)-phosphate with increasing P loading [55,70]. To our knowledge, only two spectroscopic 114 studies have been devoted to the co-sorption of uranyl and phosphate ions on clay surfaces. 115 Gładys-Plaska et al. [72] have pointed out, on the basis of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy 116 (XPS) and ATR FTIR data, that two types U(VI)-phosphate surface complexes, those with a 117 high and those with a low P content in their structure, are formed on the edge sites of red clays 118 during simultaneous sorption of U(VI) and phosphate ions. Troyer et al. [71] have used EXAFS 119 and TRLFS to investigate the effect of P and U concentrations on U(VI) surface speciation on 120 montmorillonite, and have evidenced a transition between formation of surface complex and 121 surface precipitates of U(VI)-phosphate with increasing sorbate surface coverage at pH 4-6, as 122 well as existence of a uranyl carbonate surface complex at pH 8. The authors have indicated a 123 U-bridging structure for the ternary surface complex, which was most consistent with their 124 macroscopic data. In contrast to montmorillonite, P was found to exhibit a significant 125 macroscopic sorption on Na-illite ([1]) and in situ ATR FTIR spectroscopic evidence has been 126 given that P was sorbed via formation of phosphate ISSC at edge sites of illite platelets. The 127 question arises as to the mechanisms of U-P (co)sorption in such a system, namely competition 128 between uranyl and phosphate ions for surface binding and/or the formation of U(VI)phosphate 129 surface species. In situ spectroscopic monitoring of the clay-solution interface during the 130 sorption process is mandatory to answer this question of the (competitive/synergistic) 131 mechanisms, and U/P species identity.

132 The purpose of this study was to identify the mechanisms and (multiple) U-P species involved133 in the retention of low concentrations of U(VI) on homo-ionic Na-illite, in the presence of

134 phosphate ligands, by in situ ATR FTIR spectroscopic monitoring of the clay - U(VI) -135 phosphate - solution interface during sorption. In situ ATR FTIR sorption experiments were 136 carried out to record FTIR spectra of the interface as a function of parameters like reaction time 137 and U surface coverage (total U concentrations, [U]_{I,aq}: 1-10µM). Sorption species were 138 identified by (changes in) the coordination environments of the sorbed phosphate units, which were deduced from changes in the FTIR interface spectra recorded in the 900-1200 cm⁻¹ region 139 140 (characteristic of P-O stretching vibrations), and comparison with FTIR phosphate sorption data 141 previously acquired in the absence of U ([1]). In complement, batch sorption experiments were 142 carried out to quantify the macroscopic retention of U and P as a function of pH (sorption edges) at different clay/solution ratios (R_{S/L}: 1-3 g.L⁻¹). Sorption isotherms were determined at acidic 143 144 pH over a range of total U ([U]_{I,aq}: $1 - 25 \mu$ M) and P ([P]_{I,aq}: $20 - 200 \mu$ M) concentrations. 145 Electrophoretic mobility (EM) measurements of suspended clay particles were also carried out 146 to determine the sign of charges imparted to the illite surface by sorption reactions. This study 147 presents the first published in situ surface speciation of uranyl and phosphate ions sorbed in 148 illite - U(VI) - P(V) - solution systems. Mechanistic data given here on competitive / 149 synergistic sorption of U and P on illite is central to improve our knowledge on the retardation 150 mechanisms of U(VI) by clay formations such as those envisioned as far-field host rocks in 151 HLW repository.

- 152 2 Materials and methods
- 153 Analytical grade chemical products and ultrapure Milli-Q water (purity >18 M Ω .cm) were used 154 in all experiments.
- 155 2.1 The clay sample used

The clay sample used is a homoionic Na-Illite (noted as NaIdP) obtained by conditioning of a
size fraction (< 77 μm) of Illite du Puy (referred "IdP") purchased at a company (Argile Verte

158 du Velay of Lissieu) and collected in "Le Puy-en-Velay" region in Massif Central Mountains 159 in France. The conditioning procedure [73] allows to remove hydrolyzed products such as 160 hydroxy-aluminium compounds, phosphate impurities and calcite [14,73]. Methods used and 161 mineralogical and chemical characteristics of the samples are detailed in Guo et al. [1] and are 162 summarized hereafter. Specific surface areas of IdP and NaIdP were found to be equal to 92 and 107 m^2 .g⁻¹, respectively, in agreement with a previously published value of 97 m^2 .g⁻¹ [50]. 163 164 Mineralogical composition of whole rocks and their clay size fractions ($< 2 \mu m$), as obtained 165 by X-ray diffraction analyses, layer / interlayer spacings of clays (e.g. Brindley and Brown [74]) and semi-quantitative estimates by using the DIFFRAC.EVA software (4.3 version, error <5%) 166 167 are as follows. IdP is mainly composed by calcite (38%), feldspars (36%) like microcline, 168 orthoclase and albite, and illite (19%) and low amounts of kaolinite, quartz, siderite, and 169 hematite. Main minerals of NaIdP are Na-illite (30%) and feldspars (58%) and accessory 170 minerals are quartz and kaolinite. Clay fraction of both samples only contains illite (>75%) and 171 kaolinite. Major element composition of NaIdP obtained by Inductively Coupled Plasma 172 Optical Emission Spectrometry analysis (ICP-OES, analytical error <0.1%) is consistent with 173 that of a K-rich silicate rock and with removal of carbonate minerals and phosphate minerals 174 during clay conditioning (CaO < 1% in wt/wt % oxide and P_2O_5 under detection limit). It is to 175 be noted that Fe concentration remains significant (Fe₂O₃: 8% in wt/wt % oxide) and indicates 176 either presence of accessory Fe-minerals (< 5%) and/or an incorporation of Fe in clay structures. 177 Trace metal element (TME) compositions obtained by ICP Mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, 178 analytical error: 5-20 %) indicate that concentrations of Sr, As and Ln are lower in NaIdP than 179 IdP, likely due to removal of carbonate and/or phosphate minerals during clay conditioning. 180 Concentrations of other trace metal elements (TME) are similar in both clay samples (>150ppm 181 for Rb, Ba, Zn, Cr and Cs; < 50 ppm for other TME; ca. 3 ppm for U).

182 2.2 Batch sorption experiments

183 Experiments carried out in the Part 1 of this study ([1]) have provided data on the chemical 184 evolution of a 0.005 M NaCl electrolyte solution brought in contact with (Na)IdP samples. 185 Phosphate ions were main inorganic ligands released in solution during IdP-solution 186 equilibration. Such a release is expected to affect the behavior of uranium (VI) at trace level in 187 Illite du Puy -solution systems. No significant amounts of phosphate ions and uranium(VI) 188 were detected in final experimental solutions equilibrated with NaIdP. This sample was thus 189 chosen to study the (co)sorption processes of uranyl ions and/or phosphate ions onto clay, under 190 well-controlled laboratory conditions.

191 2.2.1 Uranyl sorption

192 Batch experiments were carried out under atmospheric conditions and at 298K to evaluate the 193 effect of pH, total concentration of uranyl ions ([U]_{I,aq}) and clay-to-solution ratio (R_{S/L}) on the 194 macroscopic uptake of uranyl ions by NaIdP. Sorption edges were obtained at pH 3-8, at 195 different values of $[U]_{I,aq}$ (1 and 12 μ M) and R_{S/L} (1, 2 and 3 g.L⁻¹). Sorption isotherms ($[U]_{I,aq}$ varying from 1 to 10 µM) were obtained at pH 3, 3.5 and 4, respectively, and at Rs/L equal to 3 196 197 g.L⁻¹. The individual experiments were conducted at defined values of R_{S/L} and pH as described 198 below. Defined amounts of NaIdP subsamples were introduced in individual 15 mL 199 polypropylene tubes and brought in contact with an electrolyte solution (10 mL of 0.005 M 200 NaCl), at defined initial pH value. The suspensions were pre-equilibrated during 3 days (tpre-eq). 201 When necessary, the pH value was re-adjusted by adding very small volumes of a 0.1M HCl or 202 0.1M NaOH solution in the tubes. After pre-equilibration, a given volume of a U(VI) stock 203 solution (with $[U] = 4.61 \times 10^{-3}$ M, solution acidified at pH 1 by HCl) was introduced in the 204 individual tubes to achieve defined [U]_{I,aq} values. The tubes were then gently rotated end-over-205 end and shaken during 4 days. After this contact time (t_R), the final pH of the suspensions was measured. Solid-solution separation was achieved by a 3 hours centrifugation at 9000 rpm of the individual tubes containing the suspensions (illite cutoff: ca.16 nm). The supernatants were then removed from the individual tubes. Foe each supernatant, a given volume was taken for electrophoretic mobility (EM) measurement and another one was acidified (using a 2% HNO₃ solution) for analysis of final aqueous concentration of U(VI) (noted here as $[U]_{F,aq}$). Each experiment was carried out in duplicate. Blank experiments without solid were also performed in a similar way than described above.

213 2.2.2 Uranyl and phosphate ions (co)sorption and desorption

214 Sorption experiments were conducted to investigate the effect of the presence of phosphate 215 ligands on the uptake of uranyl ions in NaIdP-electrolyte solution (0.005 M NaCl) systems, at a given clay-to-solution ratio ($R_{S/L} = 3 g.L^{-1}$). First, sorption edges (pH 3-8) of U(VI) and P(V) 216 217 were obtained at two different concentrations of total U ($[U]_{I,aq} = 1$ and 12 μ M, respectively) and a moderate concentration of total P ($[P]_{I,aq} = 100 \,\mu M$). Second, sorption isotherms of uranyl 218 219 ions ($[U]_{I,aq}$ varying in the range 1-12 μ M) were obtained at pH 4 and at two P concentrations 220 ([P]_{I,aq}: 20 and 100 µM, respectively). Experiments were conducted as described cf. §2.2.1 221 except that known volumes of stock solutions of phosphate ions and uranyl ions, respectively, 222 were added simultaneously to the individual tubes in order to achieve desired [P]I,aq and [U]I,aq 223 values. Two of the co-sorption experiments (pHF equal to 5.2 and 4.1, respectively) were used 224 for studying the kinetics of desorption of U(VI) and provide information on the reversibility of 225 the (co)sorption processes. After the step of equilibration of the two above-mentioned sorption 226 experiments, the pH of the suspensions were brought to a value of 3.2 in the individual tubes. 227 The tubes were then gently rotated end-over-end and shaken during ca. 15 days. Aliquots of the 228 suspensions were regularly taken for solid-solution separation by centrifugation.

At the end of each experiment, the supernatant of the centrifuged sample was taken for EM measurements (Zetasizer Nano equipment Malvern, three measurements per sample) and an aliquot was also diluted with 2% HNO₃ prior to its analysis by ICP-MS to determine final aqueous concentration of U ([1]). Each supernatant was also analyzed by ion chromatography to determine final concentration of phosphate ions (Eco IC, Metrohm, analytical uncertainties: 1-10%). Percentage (%) and amount (µmol. g⁻¹) of sorbates sorbed were calculated as follows:

236 % sorbed =
$$\frac{C_i - C_{eq}}{C_i} \times 100 \%$$

237
$$Amount \ sorbed = \ (C_i - C_{eq}) \times \frac{V}{M}$$

238 $C_{init.}$ and C_{eq} are the initial and final aqueous concentration of sorbate (µmol.L⁻¹), respectively, 239 *V* the volume of solution (in L) and *M* the mass of solid sample (in g). Uncertainties on the 240 percentage of sorption and surface coverage were estimated to be lower than 10% for U and P.

241 2.4 In-situ ATR FTIR spectroscopy experiments

242 The methods used and results obtained from ATR FTIR experiments carried out to monitor in 243 situ the sorption of phosphate ions (20-250 µM) onto NaIdP, and to acquire reference data on 244 the IR vibrations of aqueous phosphate species, or changes in (Na)IdP (surface) structures 245 during sorption, are detailed elsewhere ([1]). In the present study, experiments were performed 246 to monitor by ATR FTIR spectroscopy the in situ evolution of (Na)IdP - solution interface 247 during (co)sorption of trace level of U(VI) ($[U]_{I,aq}$: 2-10 μ M), in the presence of phosphate ions 248 ([P]_{I,aq} : 100 µM). Complementary "blank" experiments were performed to get reference data 249 on IR vibrations of aqueous uranyl phosphate species and/or on possible U(VI)-phosphate 250 precipitates formed directly from experimental solutions. Each IR spectrum (an average of 2000 scans/spectrum, resolution of 4 cm⁻¹) was collected during 20 minutes. 251

252 2.4.1 Monitoring of the clay–solution interface along sorption

253 The method used to cover the ATR crystal with a two-layers NaIdP-solution system is 254 described in details in a previous study ([1]). Briefly, the method consisted in equilibrating a 255 clay-solution system in a polyethylene tube by gentle shaking during 3 days (at pH 4 and R_{S/L} $= 3 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$). Then, the suspension was introduced in the ATR cell and the clay particles were let 256 257 to sediment onto the ZnSe crystal during 3 days. A reference baseline was taken before starting 258 the sorption experiment. The whole procedure was shown to yield nearly equilibrated clay – 259 solution systems, leading to insignificant contributions of IR vibrations originating from illite 260 dissolution and / or (surface) re-organization to the FTIR spectra recorded during sorption ([1]). 261 Two ATR FTIR experiments were performed to gain insights into the effects of key parameters. 262 The first experiment was carried out to monitor changes in coordination environments of sorbed 263 P along an increasing of [U]_{I,aq} (2-10 µM) as follows. First, 100 µM of aqueous P was added to 264 an equilibrated NaIdP-electrolyte solution bilayer system in the ATR cell (two successive 265 additions of a small volume of a stock phosphate solution to reach a $[P]_{I,aq}$ value of 50 μ M and, 266 after twenty minutes, of 100 µM). The FTIR interface spectra were recorded during 2 hours. 267 Second, the concentration of U in the ATR cell was progressively increased by stepwise 268 additions of small volumes of a U stock solution (increase of [U]_{I,aq} by 2 µM per hour). After 269 each addition, FTIR interface spectra were recorded. The second experiment aimed at 270 monitoring the NaIdP-solution interface as a function of reaction time ($t_R = 3$ days) along the 271 process of (co)sorption of phosphate ions and uranyl ions (simultaneous additions in the ATR 272 cell of small volumes of the P stock solution and U stock solution to reach values of [U]_{I,aq} of 8 273 μ M and [P]_{I,aq} of 100 μ M, and recording of FTIR interface spectra during 3 days).

274 2.4.2 Blank ATR FTIR experiments

275 ATR FTIR spectroscopy analyses of solutions were made (in the absence of clay) in order: (i) 276 to obtain IR spectra of reference for aqueous complexes formed between uranyl ions and 277 phosphate ligands and/or to identify possible U(VI)-phosphate precipitates likely to form under 278 certain conditions, and (ii) to determine detection limits for the U(VI) phosphato species / 279 precipitates. Uranyl phosphate solution species were studied at pH 4, for a given aqueous 280 phosphate concentration ($[P]_{I,aq} = 100 \ \mu M$) and an increasing of $[U]_{I,aq}$ (from 2 to 8 μM) that 281 were similar to those investigated in the first ATR FTIR sorption experiment (cf. §2.4.1). 282 Desired volumes of stock solutions of P and U(VI) were added simultaneously to a 0.005 M 283 NaCl electrolyte solution in the ATR cell and the IR spectra were recorded ($t_R < 4$ hours).

284 2.4.3 Analysis of the FTIR spectra

Analysis of the FTIR spectra recorded was focused on the mid-infrared region 900–1200 cm⁻¹ 285 286 which is characterized by the presence of the v_3 P-O(M) antisymmetric stretching and / or v_1 P-287 O(M) symmetric stretching (M: hydrogen or metal atom) vibration bands [75,76]. The 288 OriginPro version 9.1 software was applied for baseline correction and decomposition of IR 289 spectra to identify IR peaks (Gaussian lines and least-square fitting). Adjustable parameters 290 (such as position, intensity, and width of bands) were kept free during spectra decomposition. 291 Only in few spectra showing very low absorbance, the band position was adjusted. In previously 292 published studies of phosphate sorption onto Fe- and Al- (hydro)oxides, band's number and position in the region 900–1200 cm⁻¹ were widely used in consideration of phosphate molecular 293 294 symmetry [75–79]. In addition, δ (P-OH) bending band may be present as a broad band at 1220– 295 1240 cm⁻¹ in IR spectra of aqueous species as $H_2PO_4^-$ and $H_3PO_4^0$ [78,80] and / or outer sphere 296 phosphate surface species formed at mineral minerals. Previously published studies of uranyl 297 coordination environments by using vibrational spectroscopy were mainly focused on the infrared-active U-O antisymmetric stretching band (v_3) observable at 900–980 cm⁻¹ and on the Raman-active U-O symmetric stretching band (v_1) at 800–880 cm⁻¹ [81,82]. For complexes of uranyl ions formed in solution or at mineral surface, the v_3 of the uranyl unit was found to be in the range 890–954 cm⁻¹ and the v_1 in the range 834–870 cm⁻¹ [55,82,83]. The v_3 band of uranyl unit was expected to be not easily observable in IR spectra of NaIdP–solution systems, due to a high IR absorption of clays at ~950 cm⁻¹ (e.g., [84]) and to our system cutoff at ~900 cm⁻¹.

304 2.4.4 Short overview of published IR data on uranyl and phosphate species

305 Phosphate ions. A short summary of the molecular symmetry analysis of PO₄ unit in phosphate 306 ions is given here, based on previously published papers [75-77,85]. Aqueous phosphate 307 speciation in 0.005 M NaCl electrolyte solution is dominated at pH > 12 by PO₄³⁻ ions, which 308 have a T_d molecular symmetry. These unprotonated phosphate ions have two IR-active IR bands 309 corresponding to antisymmetric bending at 567 cm⁻¹ (T_2 , v_4) and antisymmetric stretching at 310 1006 cm⁻¹ (T_2 , v_3) [85]. The monoprotonated HPO₄²⁻(aq) and triply protonated H₃PO_{4(aq)} ions are 311 main species at pH 8-12 and at pH < 2, respectively, and have a C_{3v} molecular symmetry. The 312 former has three IR-active bands: two v_3 bands at 1077 (E) and 989 cm⁻¹ (A₁) and one v_1 band at 850 cm⁻¹ (A_1). The latter has two v_3 at 1174 and 1006 cm⁻¹ and one v_1 at 890 cm⁻¹ [75–77]. 313 314 In the pH range 2-7, H₂PO₄⁻ ions are predominant phosphate species and display a C_{2v} molecular symmetry. They have three v₃ vibration bands at 1160 (A₁), 1074 (B₁), 940 (B₂) cm⁻¹ and one 315 316 υ_1 band at 874 cm⁻¹ (A₁) [77,85]. The number and bands' position of IR-active bands υ_3 and υ_1 317 of aqueous phosphate ions are references to be used in the analysis of molecular symmetry of 318 PO₄ unit in phosphate species sorbed at mineral-solution interfaces (for example, [78]) or 319 participating in aqueous complexes (for example, [75]).

320 *Uranyl ions*. Two vibration bands characteristics of the UO₂ unit, i.e., the antisymmetric band 321 v_3 (IR active) and symmetric band v_1 (Raman active), were widely used in molecular-scale studies of the coordination environment of UO₂ in aqueous solution or in solid state [86]. Free (hydrated) uranyl ion shows a v_3 band at 962 cm⁻¹ and a v_1 band at 870 cm⁻¹ [82]. Replacement of equatorial water molecules of uranyl ions during their complexation by ligands leads to a weakening of the U=O_{ax} axial bonds, and consequently, bonds' lengths are increased and positions of v_3 and v_1 bands are decreased (i.e., $v_3 < 962$ cm⁻¹ and $v_1 < 870$ cm⁻¹) [82]. The IRactive band (v_3 , 962 cm⁻¹) was studied here in blank ATR FTIR experiments.

328 Uranyl-phosphate aqueous species and/or precipitates. Nguyen Trun al [81] have investigated, 329 using Raman spectroscopy, aqueous uranyl complexes formed with different ligands such as F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, SO4²⁻, HSO4⁻, NO3⁻, ClO4⁻, CH3CO2⁻ and C2O4²⁻. The authors studied shifts in v₁ band 330 331 of UO₂ (Raman active, symmetry stretching of U=O) when varying solution parameters like 332 pH, ionic strength and ligand-to-uranyl ratios. Comarmond et al [54] have investigated aqueous uranyl phosphate species at pH 4 (at $[U]_{I,aq} = [P]_{I,aq} = 20 \mu M$). These authors have indicated 333 334 that low solubility of U(VI)-phosphate aqueous complexes made it difficult to get vibrational 335 data. Nevertheless, they could observe that the IR spectra of a freshly prepared uranyl phosphate 336 solution was almost identical to that of a U(VI) phosphate precipitate (acquired after 337 ultracentrifugation of an aged U-P-solution system). They identified IR bands at 1125, 994 and 919 cm⁻¹ and suggested to attribute the latter to the v_3 band of UO₂ and the formers to v_3 bands 338 339 of PO₄ units (having a C_{3v} molecular symmetry when coordinated to uranyl ions, likely in a 340 monodentate complexation mode). They have moreover identified by using TRLFS spectroscopy the precipitates formed in their studied solutions as being (UO₂)₃(PO₄)_{2(s)}. Similar 341 342 IR spectral features were observed for several U(VI) phosphates [87], for which the v_3 bands of UO₂ unit and PO₄ unit were found at 880-920 cm⁻¹, at 995-1000 and 1115-1123 cm⁻¹, 343 respectively (e.g., at 915, 1000 and 1120 cm⁻¹ and 919, 995 and 1123 cm⁻¹ for meta-autunite, 344 345 Ca(UO₂)₂(PO₄)₂·6-8H₂O, and sabugalite, HAl(UO₂)₄(PO₄)₄ 6-8H₂O, respectively).

346 3 Results and discussion

- 347 3.1 Macroscopic sorption behavior of uranyl ions
- 348 3.1.1 Uranyl sorption edges and isotherms

349 Fig. 1 shows the effects of pH on macroscopic (co)sorption of U(VI) at two total concentrations 350 ($[U]_{I,aq}$: 1 and 12 μ M), in the presence or absence of phosphate ions ($[P]_{I,aq}=0$ or 100 μ M), and on particle EM in NaIdP - solution 0.005 M NaCl systems (R_{S/L}: 3 g.L⁻¹, $t_R = 4$ days). There 351 352 were observed S-shaped uranyl sorption edges (Fig. 1a) whose values of pH₅₀ (the pH at which 353 the percentage of U sorption is equal to 50%) are in the acidic pH domain. In the absence of P, 354 a ten-fold increase in [U]_{I,aq} induces a small shift in the pH₅₀ value (from ca. 3.9 to 4.1 for [U]_{I,aq} 355 increasing from 1 μ M to 12 μ M) and U(VI) uptake is almost complete in the pH range 6-8. This 356 suggests that multiple sorption species and/or clay surface sites are involved in the uptake of U 357 at pH < 5, in the range of U surface coverage studied (< 3.8μ mol.g⁻¹, Fig. 1a). A limited change 358 in the respective contributions of high and low affinity surface sites at clay edge platelets are 359 likely. If considering a simple hypothesis, which is that each uranyl ion sorbed is bound to a 360 single sorption site at the clay surface, the calculated value of surface site occupancy by U is 361 found to be low (ca. 0.02 sites. nm⁻²). This value is much lower than previously published values reported in the literature, for example, for the density of total sites present at edges of illite 362 platelets (2.31 sites.nm⁻² [88], 0.4 sites.nm⁻² [50,89]), or low-affinity sites on clay basal planes 363 (13-16 sites. nm⁻² [90]). Bradbury and Baeyens [50,89] considered in their modeling studies of 364 365 the sorption of uranyl ions and other trace metals onto Illite du Puy that a small amount (ca. 0.01 sites.nm⁻² or 2µmol.g⁻¹) of very high affinity sites were present at the clay edges, whose 366 total surface site capacity was much higher (40µmol.g⁻¹ for aluminol sites and 40µmol.g⁻¹ for 367 368 silanol sites). Based on their work, it is expected that a small amount of high affinity surface 369 sites present on the edges of NaIdP particles may be involved in very strong interactions with sorbates, and may control U(VI) sorption at the lowest U concentration studied here ([U]_{I,aq}=1 370

 μ M and R_{S/L} = 3 g.L⁻¹, Fig. 1a). A limited fraction of low-affinity edge surface sites may 371 372 contribute to U sorption at a tenfold higher U concentration, which would be consistent with 373 the decrease in U sorption percentage observed upon increasing [U]_{I,aq} in experiment, at a given acid pH ($[U]_{Lag} = 12 \mu M$, Fig. 1a). Complementary sorption isotherms acquired at pH 3, 3.5 and 374 375 4.1 over a wide range of U concentration ($[U]_{I,aq}$: 1-25 μ M) are presented in the Supporting 376 Information (Figs. S1-S2). A slight increase in U sorption percentage was observed at the 377 highest U concentrations studied (at pH 4), as well as a non-linear increase in amount sorbed, 378 indicating the formation of additional uranyl sorption species at high U surface coverage, 379 possibly including U(VI) (surface) precipitates. The results obtained on the effect of a decrease 380 in $R_{S/L}$ (from 3 to 1g.L⁻¹) on the sorption edges of U(VI) confirm that a small number of very 381 high-affinity sites is available for U sorption on the edges of NaIdP, consistently with published 382 studies [50,89] (Figs. S3-S4 in Supporting Information). At low U concentration ([U]_{I,aq}=1µM), 383 a decrease in R_{S/L} had no or little effect on U sorption edges and U coverage values of the clay 384 surface. High-affinity surface sites may therefore be primarily responsible for the sorption of 385 trace amounts of U onto the clay edge platelets under these conditions. In contrast, a decrease 386 in R_{S/L} for a tenfold concentration of U in the experiments ($[U]_{I,aq}=12\mu M$) induced a significant 387 shift in the position of the U sorption edge (from 4.1 to 4.8) and a non-linear increase in U 388 surface coverages (at pH>5). Uranyl ion sorption, at high U concentration and low $R_{S/L}$, can 389 therefore lead to saturation of high affinity sites and may involve multiple types of surface sites 390 and/or uranyl sorption species.

391 **3.1.1** Effect of uranyl sorption on electrophoretic mobility

EM measurements of NaIdP suspensions (pH: 3-8, , $R_{S/L}$: 3 g.L⁻¹ in 0.005 M NaCl electrolyte solution) have been detailed previously ([1]). The isoelectric point (IEP) of NaIdP, *i.e.*, the pH at which EM and surface potential are equal to zero, was found to be low (pH_{IEP}~3). EM was shown to diminish with pH due to deprotonation of amphoteric l (silanol, aluminol or ferrinol)

surface sites. Results obtained here on the effect of U(VI) sorption on EM values are given in Fig. 1c, where they are compared to EM values acquired previously in the absence of phosphate and / or uranyl ions. There was observed that sorption of 1-12 μ M of U(VI) at acidic pH has no significant effect on EM, within our experimental uncertainties. A small effect is visible at nearneutral pH (~7) where the quantitative sorption of U induces an EM increase (for [U]_{I,aq} = 12 μ M), which suggests the formation of U sorption species that impart positive charges to the clay surface.



403

404



406 Fig. 1 Macroscopic sorption of uranyl ions (a) and phosphate ions (b), and electrophoretic 407 mobility (c), vs final pH, for experiments performed in NaIdP-solution systems at different total 408 U(VI) concentrations, in the absence or presence of phosphate ions. Conditions: NaIdP-to-409 solution ratio, R_{S/L}, of 3g.L⁻¹; 0.005M NaCl electrolyte solution; reaction time, t_R, of 4 days; 410 pre-equilibration time of NaIdP-electrolyte systems prior to sorbate addition, t_{pre-eq}, of 3days. 411 (\bigcirc : [U]_{I,aq} = 1µM and [P]_{I,aq} = 100 µM; \triangle : [U]_{I,aq} = 12 µM and [P]_{I,aq} = 100 µM; \triangle , • without 412 P; \square : Blank). EM data of blank experiments without U and P (×) taken from [1].

413 3.1.2 Effect of phosphate ligand on the macroscopic sorption of U

405

414 Fig. 1a shows that the presence of phosphate ions ($[P]_{I,aq} = 100 \ \mu M$) resulted in a significant 415 shift of U(VI) sorption edges towards lower pH values (for $[U]_{I,aq}=1$ and 12 μ M). At acidic pH, significant increases in the surface coverage of clay by U were observed (3-4 µmol U.g⁻¹ at pH 416 417 4-5 and $[U]_{Lag} = 12 \mu M$). Hence, at acidic pH, the presence of P promoted the sorption of U(VI) 418 onto NaIdP. An increase in the percentage of P sorbed and clay surface coverage by P (of ca. 2 and 6 µmol P.g⁻¹ at acid and near-neutral pH, respectively) were also observed with increasing 419 420 U concentration in the experiment (Fig. 1b and Figs. S5-6 in Supporting Information). Thus, 421 the increase in U sorption observed when phosphate ligands were added to NaIdP-solution 422 systems was probably due to the formation of (multiple) uranyl phosphate ternary surface 423 complexes and/or U(VI) phosphate surface precipitates. Fig. 1c shows that the presence of 424 phosphate ligands slightly decreases the EM values of clay particles in the pH range studied, 425 *i.e.*, it confers negative charges on the clay surface, suggesting the involvement of phosphate 426 anions in the surface species formed. Furthermore, based on published values for the high affinity surface sites of the conditioned Illite du Puy (about 2 µmol.g⁻¹ or 0.01 sites.nm⁻², 427 [50,89]), we can hypothesize that uranyl phosphate surface complexes formed on NaIdP may 428 429 involve high-affinity and low-affinity hydroxyl (amphoteric) sites present on the clay edge 430 platelets, for high [U]_{I,aq} and [P]_{I,aq} values used in the experiments. Complementary U sorption 431 isotherms acquired for a wide range of U concentrations (Figs. S1 and S2, Supporting 432 Information) show that, at acidic pH, the promotion of U(VI) sorption upon addition of 433 phosphate ligands is higher at high U concentrations ([U]_{I,aq} $\ge 12 \mu$ M) than at the low 434 concentration, suggesting that multiple uranyl phosphate species are successively formed in 435 NaIdP-phosphate-solution systems when [U]_{I,aq} increases. Since limited formation of uranyl 436 phosphate colloidal phases was observed in the absence of NaIdP (5-10% of total U, for 437 $[U]_{I,aq}=1-10 \ \mu M$ and $[P]_{I,aq}=100 \ \mu M$, Fig. S7 in Supporting Information), the contribution of a 438 U(VI) phosphate precipitation mechanism from supersaturated solutions cannot be excluded to 439 explain the increased retention of U observed in NaIdP - phosphate - solution systems at the 440 highest value of $[U]_{I,aq}$ studied (25 μ M).

441 3.1.3 Reversibility of the sorption of U in the presence of phosphate ligands

The results of the desorption experiments of uranyl ions ($[U]_{I,aq} = 12 \mu M$) previously sorbed after a reaction time of 4 days and at pH values of ~4 and ~5, respectively, and in the presence of phosphate ligands ($[P]_{I,aq} = 100 \mu M$), are presented in Fig. 2. A sharp decrease in the percentage of U sorption was observed when the experimental pH was reduced from its initial value to 3.2. Desorption of U(VI) was found to be rapid and almost complete within a few hours. In fact, the percentage of total U retained at pH 3 on the clay surface after a few hours desorption was found to be around 20% (which is slightly higher than the percentage of U sorption at pH 3 under similar conditions of U and P concentrations, see Fig. 1a). This finding provides evidence that the U-P co-sorption prevalent under the conditions studied proceeds mainly by reversible surface reactions, such as surface complexation reactions. This supports the hypothesis of the formation of ternary surface complexes of uranyl phosphate on NaIdP at acid pH and at low U concentrations ($\leq 12 \mu$ M), possibly onto hydroxyl sites present on the clay edges, and rules out the formation of a significant amount of uranyl phosphate (surface) precipitates, under the conditions studied.



Fig. 2 Results on the desorption kinetics of U(VI) previously sorbed on NaIdP, in the presence of phosphate ions, at a pH of (a) 5.1 and (b) 4.1 (full circles), after decreasing the experimental pH to a value of 3.2 (empty circles). Conditions: $[P]_{I,aq} = 100\mu M$, $[U]_{I,aq} = 12 \mu M$, $R_{S/L} = 3g.L^{-1}$, 0.005M NaCl electrolyte solution.

461 3.2 In situ ATR FTIR study of uranyl-phosphate surface species

462 3.2.1 Reference IR spectra of uranyl phosphate solution species

The aqueous speciation of U(VI) in the presence of phosphate ligands ([U]_{I,aq} = 2 μ M and 10 μ M; [P]_{I,aq} = 100 μ M) calculated by using the Visual MINTEQ code, and the database used, are reported in Supporting Information (Figs. S8-S9 and Table S1, respectively). In the pH range 466 4-8, the major aqueous species are: UO2²⁺ (pH<4), UO2HPO4(aq) (4<pH<6), UO2PO4⁻ (6<pH<7) 467 and (UO2)2CO3(OH)3⁻ (7<pH<8). Fig. 3a reports ATR FTIR spectra (in the wavenumber region 468 900-1200cm⁻¹) collected during 3 hours for solutions at pH 4 containing uranyl and phosphate

ions ($[U]_{I,aq} = 8 \mu M$, $[P]_{I,aq} = 100 \mu M$). The spectra show the appearance of IR absorption bands 469 470 whose intensities were increasing during the first two hours. Three bands were observable, with the most intense one being positioned at 996 cm⁻¹ and the others at 1122 and 924 cm⁻¹. It should 471 472 be noted that the absorbance of these bands is at least two orders of magnitude higher than that 473 of vibrational bands recorded for the aqueous phosphate species H₂PO₄, under similar 474 conditions and in the absence of U ([1]), certainly due to formation of uranyl phosphate aqueous 475 complex and / or precipitate. FTIR spectra of uranyl phosphate complexes and/or precipitate(s) 476 forming in solution at pH 4 and at the higher U concentration studied ($[U]_{Lag} = 8 \mu M$) are shown 477 in Fig.3b. An increase in the intensity of the IR absorption bands was observed during the first 478 2 hours, due to increasing formation of the uranyl phosphate complexes / precipitates. In 479 addition to the three above-mentioned IR bands (at 996, 1122 and 924 cm⁻¹), two other weak 480 vibrational bands were observable. Weak intensities of the latter made it difficult to determine 481 precisely the position of their maxima (at ca. 1055 and 1170 cm⁻¹, respectively). These bands 482 could possibly be ascribed to an aqueous U-P species whose $v_3(P-O)$ bands are slightly shifted 483 compared to those reported (at 1075 and 1160 cm⁻¹) for the diprotonated phosphate ion (H₂PO₄⁻, 484 C_{2v}), which is the dominant aqueous phosphate species at pH 4. Maxima positions and relative 485 intensities of the main bands (at 996 cm⁻¹, 1122 and 924 cm⁻¹) are consistent with previously 486 published IR data by Čejka et al. [87] and Comarmond et al. [54] for uranyl phosphate aqueous 487 complexes and/or of U(VI) phosphate minerals, which display almost similar IR features (cf. 488 §2.4.4). Formation of a U(VI)-phosphate phase is in agreement with calculations indicating that 489 solution used in experiment (at $[U]_{I,aq} = 8 \mu M$ and $[P]_{I,aq} = 100 \mu M$) was highly over-saturated 490 with regard to a U(VI) phosphate mineral, namely (UO₂)₃(PO₄)_{2(s)}, and slightly oversaturated 491 with respect to Na-autunite (Supporting Information, Table S2). Moreover, batch experiments 492 conducted without clay indicated the formation of uranyl phosphate colloids (Supporting 493 Information, Fig. S7) at pH 4, which would represent ca. 5% of total U. Concerning IR spectra 494 of U(VI) in electrolyte solutions containing no phosphate ligands, no absorption bands were 495 observable for $[U]_{I,aq} \le 10 \ \mu M$ (data not shown). These FTIR results on uranyl phosphate 496 solution speciation is further discussed in §4.1.



497

498 **Fig. 3** FTIR spectra of uranyl phosphate solution species recorded at different reaction time (t_R) 499 after simultaneous addition of phosphate ions ([P]_{I,aq} = 100 μ M) and uranyl ions at (a) [U]_{I,aq} = 500 2 μ M and (b) [U]_{I,aq} = 8 μ M, to a 0.005 M NaCl electrolyte solution at pH 4, in the ATR cell 501 (inserts : FTIR spectra decomposition).

502 3.2.2 In situ ATR FTIR experiments of (co)sorption of uranyl and phosphate ions

503 Effects of uranyl concentration. NaIdP-phosphate-solution interface was monitored along an

- 504 increase in the total concentration of U(VI) (from 0 to 8 μ M). After two successive additions
- 505 of 50µM of phosphate ions in the equilibrated bilayer NaIdP solution system in the ATR cell,

506 spectral data were recorded during a reaction time of 2 hours (spectra 1 and 2, Fig. 4a). A welldefined band with a peak maximum at 1075 cm⁻¹ and a broad band at 1100 - 1250 cm⁻¹, whose 507 508 intensity increased with time, were observed. Based on spectra decomposition shown in Fig. 509 4b, five IR absorption bands' maxima were identified (at 1037, 1075, 1132, 1157 and 1215 cm⁻ 510 ¹). These data are consistent with those obtained in our previous ATR FTIR experiments of 511 phosphate ion sorption on NaIdP at acid pH ([1]). Briefly, these bands were attributed to 512 formation of an outer sphere surface complex (OSSC) of phosphate formed at low reaction time 513 and/or low phosphate concentration (referred "P-species A", with IR bands at 1075, 1157 and 1215 cm⁻¹), with band positions similar to those of aqueous H₂PO₄⁻ species. This OSSC was 514 515 found to convert slowly (during ca. 1 day) into inner-sphere surface complexes of phosphate 516 forming at high affinity sites present of clay edge platelets (referred "P-species B", with v3 P-517 O bands at 1003, 1035 cm⁻¹, and another one with a v_3 P-O band at 1132 cm⁻¹). P-species B were shown to dominate the phosphate surface speciation at high values of $[P]_{I,aq}$ (> 250µM) 518 519 and/or t_R (3 days, at $[P]_{I,aq} = 100 \mu M$), in the absence of U ([1]). The IR interface spectra 520 recorded herein during a stepwise increase of U(VI) concentration (by 2 µM per hour) in a 521 NaIdP – phosphate - solution system are given in Fig. 4a (spectra 3 to 7, $t_R = 7$ h). After first U addition (at $t_R = 2$ h), there was observed the progressive disappearance within one hour of the 522 P-species B bands (at 1037 and 1132 cm⁻¹) and the appearance on the left-hand side of the band 523 524 at 1075 cm⁻¹ of a shoulder, which becomes a band with a maximum at ca. 1050 cm⁻¹. Such a disappearance of ISSC of phosphate, -and shift from 1037 to ca. 1050 cm⁻¹-, provides strong 525 526 evidence of a change in the coordination environment of sorbed PO₄ units upon addition of U. The intensity of the band at 1050 cm⁻¹ increased with increasing U(VI) concentration, although 527 528 absorbance remained fairly low and spectra rather noisy. Nevertheless, the existence and the 529 growth of this IR band provided evidenced for formation of uranyl-phosphate surface species 530 at the illite surface within a few hours. This band was not observed on IR interface spectra 531 recorded in NaIdP – phosphate - solution systems without U, neither at short reaction times 532 (spectra 1-2 in Fig. 4a) nor at longer reaction times up to 3 days ([1]). This band cannot be 533 attributed to a change in the configuration of surface hydroxyl groups with time ([1]) or with 534 sorption of U(VI), as it was not detected on the IR interface spectra recorded during U(VI) 535 sorption experiments on NaIdP performed at $[P]_{I,aq} = 0$ (data not shown). Spectra decomposition 536 results (Fig. 4b) show the presence on the FTIR interface spectra of a small band centered at 537 ~1126 cm⁻¹, too, which could be also assigned to the v_3 P-O vibration mode and whose weak 538 absorbance was independent of uranyl concentration. Possibly, this band corresponds to a 539 uranyl phosphate surface species ("U-P species A") formed in limited amount and at low U concentration. The bands at 1075 and 1157 cm⁻¹ of P-species A, the OSSC of phosphate, 540 541 showed a small decrease in intensity with increasing [U]_{I,aq}, concomitantly to the increase of the band at 1050 cm⁻¹. These results provide evidence of increasing formation with [U]_{Lag} of a 542 uranyl phosphate surface species that has a main IR band at 1050 cm⁻¹ (referred to as "U-P 543 544 species B"). In summary, the IR data recorded at the NaIdP-U-P-solution interface, as a 545 function of uranyl ion concentration and for a short reaction time ($t_R < 7h$), show : (1) the fast 546 formation of an OSSC of phosphate (P-species A having IR bands at 1075 and 1160 cm⁻¹) 547 before U addition and an ISSC of phosphate (P-species B having IR bands at 1075 and 1160 cm⁻¹), (2) the fast formation of a uranyl phosphate surface species formed in limited amounts 548 549 (U-P species A with a band at 1125 cm⁻¹) and another uranyl phosphate surface species (U-P species B displaying a band at 1050 cm⁻¹), which occurs concomitantly to the disappearance of 550 551 P-species B (at $t_R < 3h$), and, (3) the growth of U-P species B with an increasing of U 552 concentration.



553

Fig. 4 In situ ATR-FTIR interface spectra recorded with increasing U(VI) concentration, during
(co)sorption experiment of phosphate and uranyl ions added successively to a NaIdP–solution

556 bilayer system: (a) FTIR interface spectra and (b) spectra decomposition. Conditions: pH 4,

 $[P]_{I,aq} = 50-100 \ \mu M \ (50 \ \mu M \ of P \ added \ at \ t_R = 0 \ and \ 1 \ h), \ [U]_{I,aq} = 0, 2, 4, 6, \ and \ 8 \ \mu M \ (at \ t_R = 0)$

0-2, 3, 4, 5, and 6-7 h, respectively), $R_{S/L} = 3g_{.}L^{-1}$, 0.005M NaCl electrolyte. See main text and 558

559 §2.4.1 for experimental procedure.

557

560 *Effect of reaction time* (t_R). In situ ATR FTIR monitoring as a function of time ($t_R = 3$ days) of 561 the (co)sorption of uranyl and phosphate ions added simultaneously in a NaIdP-solution bilayer 562 system is illustrated in Fig. 5. A broad band with a low absorbance was observable in the range of wavenumbers 1025 - 1125 cm⁻¹ for spectra recorded within a reaction time of 20 hours. The 563 small band at 1123 cm⁻¹ of "U-P species A" was observable only in the very short term. After 564 565 a t_R of 20 hours, there was observed a broad band exhibiting two maxima and extending throughout the region of wavenumbers 950-1125cm⁻¹, with its absorbance increasing with time 566 567 until stabilization at $t_R = 3$ days (Fig. 5a). For $t_R < 20$ h, spectra were decomposed in five bands 568 of weak absorbance and divided in two sets with maxima positions at 1075 and 1160 cm⁻¹, and 569 1053, 1081, 1114 cm⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 5b). All these bands can be assigned to v₃ (P-O) 570 vibration bands, based on the comparison with IR spectra of aqueous phosphate species [77,78]. 571 As described previously, the former set of bands is assigned to an OSSC of phosphate (P-species 572 A, [1]) and / or to $H_2PO_4^-$ species in solution, because the bands' position of these two types of 573 phosphate species are expected to be similar. These bands displayed a decrease in intensity with 574 t_R and were not observable anymore at $t_R > 7$ hours, while the other bands (at 1053 and 1081 cm⁻¹ and the small one at 1114 cm⁻¹) showed an intensity increase with t_R. The IR band at 575 576 ~1055 cm⁻¹ remained constant after 7 h and it was assigned to the U-P species B. As shown in 577 the previous experiment, its appearance coincided with the introduction of uranyl ions in the 578 NaIdP - phosphate - solution system and its intensity was growing with U concentration (but stabilized after one day). The well-defined band at 992 cm⁻¹ in Fig. 5 was observable at $t_R > 20$ 579 hours and its intensity increased concomitantly with that of the band at 1081 cm⁻¹ along the 580

sorption process, i.e., up to $t_R \sim 3$ days. These two bands, and the small band at 1114 cm⁻¹, are 581 582 tentatively gathered and attributed to P-O vibrations of a single uranyl phosphate surface 583 species formed at a long reaction time (referred to as "U-P species C"), due to their similar evolution during sorption. In summary, the IR data recorded during (co)sorption of uranyl and 584 585 phosphate ions at the NaIdP-solution interface as a function of time (3 days) show : (1) the 586 presence at a short reaction time of an OSSC phosphate species that disappears rapidly ($t_R < 5h$) 587 (P-species A with IR bands at 1075 and 1160 cm⁻¹), (2) the formation at a short reaction time 588 of a uranyl phosphate surface species formed in limited amounts (U-P species A with a band at 1125 cm⁻¹), (3) the formation of a uranyl phosphate surface species (U-P species B with a main 589 590 band at 1052 cm⁻¹) whose growth stabilizes after $t_R = 1$ day, (3) the late appearance (at $t_R = 1$ 591 day) and growth with time of an uranyl phosphate surface species that becomes dominant at long t_R (U-P species C with IR bands at 992, 1081 and 1114 cm⁻¹). 592





Fig. 5 In situ ATR FTIR interface spectra recorded as a function of reaction time, during an experiment of the (co)sorption of phosphate ions and uranyl ions added simultaneously to a NaIdP–solution bilayer system: (a) FTIR interface spectra (8 points- Savistzky-Golay algoritm smoothed) and (b) spectra decomposition. Conditions: pH 4, $[U]_{I,aq} = 8 \mu M$, $[P]_{I,aq} = 100 \mu M$, $R_{S/L} = 3 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$, 0.005 M NaCl electrolyte. See main text and §2.4.1 for experimental procedure.

599 **4 Discussion**

600 4.1 Uranyl phosphate solution speciation

601 In the present study, FTIR bands characteristics are reported for uranyl phosphate solution 602 species formed at pH 4, under conditions of low (VI) concentrations and moderate phosphate 603 ligand concentration ([U]_{I,aq}: 2µM and 10µM, [P]_{I,aq}: 100µM), for which UO₂HPO_{4(aq)} species 604 are calculated to be predominant aqueous species by using the MINTEO code. However, the 605 high (baseline corrected) IR absorbance that we observed in the present speciation study 606 suggests the precipitation of a U(VI)-phosphate phase, too (as the IR absorbance is at least two 607 orders of magnitude larger than that of the uranyl phosphate surface species formed onto NaIdP, 608 under similar conditions). Hence, the experimental solutions studied here might be instable (in 609 the absence of NaIdP) and uranyl phosphates colloids formed directly from the solutions might 610 undergo a sedimentation onto the ATR FTIR crystal. This hypothesis is consistent with the 611 batch experiments results indicating the formation of small amounts (ca. 5% of total U) of 612 uranyl phosphate colloids at pH 4, in the absence of NaIdP. This is also in agreement with 613 saturation index calculations, which indicated that the experimental solutions (at pH 4, [U]_{I,aq} 614 = 10 μ M and [P]_{I,aq} = 100 μ M) were over-saturated with respect to a U(VI)-phosphate mineral, 615 namely (UO₂)₃(PO₄)_{2(s)}, and slightly oversaturated with respect to Na-autunite. The IR bands 616 identified in the present study show similar positions of v_3 P-O bands at 1122 and 993 cm⁻¹ and 617 of v_3 U=O band at 940 cm⁻¹ than those reported in the literature for (UO₂)₃(PO₄)₂·4H₂O_(s) ([91]). The main strong band at 996 cm⁻¹ and the small bands at 924 and 1122 cm⁻¹ observable on the 618 619 FTIR spectra are consistent with those reported by Comarmond et al. [54] for U-P solution species (pH 4, $[U]_{I,aq} = [P]_{I,aq} = 20 \mu M$), too. These authors have reported identical IR spectral 620 621 features for uranyl phosphate aqueous species and U(VI) phosphate precipitates forming at 622 acidic pH. They have suggested the formation in their experiments of an aqueous uranyl 623 phosphate complex, with a monodentate complexation mode between uranyl and phosphate 624 ions based on the evidence of a C_{3v} molecular symmetry of the PO₄ unit (two v₃ P-O), and on band positions (at 1122 and 996 cm⁻¹), as well as formation of an U(VI)-phosphate mineral. 625 626 The main uranyl phosphate aqueous species expected in our experimental solutions at pH 4 is 627 $UO_2HPO_{4(aq)}$, in which the molecular symmetry of PO₄ unit is C₁ (with three v₃ P-O bands 628 observable in IR spectra), while only two v3 bands were however observed in the IR spectra of 629 the U-P solutions studied. However, the contribution of a uranyl phosphate aqueous complex 630 to the IR spectra of our U-P solutions cannot be excluded. DFT calculations carried out for 631 different modes of uranyl-phosphate complexation have been reported in the literature [92]. The authors have indicated that hydrated UO₂HPO₄ species containing 4 structural water 632 molecules (e.g., UO₂HPO₄ (H₂O)₄) have a v₃ band of U=O at 940 cm⁻¹, which is close to the 633 634 value reported by Comarmond et al. [54] and in the present work. Interestingly, the DFT 635 calculation ([92]) carried out for a cluster of UO₂HPO₄(H₂O)₄ has shown the transfer of a proton 636 from water equatorially-coordinated to the uranyl ion to an oxygen atom of the phosphate ion. 637 This proton transfer was reported to lead to a diprotonated phosphate ion, i.e., 638 UO₂H₂PO₄(H₂O)₃OH, with the PO₄ unit having a C_{3v} molecular symmetry. Based on the above 639 discussion, it is likely that an uranyl phosphate aqueous complex with a molecular structure like 640 UO₂HPO₄(H₂O)₄ (having an equivalent structure as UO₂H₂PO₄(H₂O)₃OH) contributed to IR 641 signals of the U-P solutions studied here. There was also observed on our IR spectra two v₃ P-642 O bands at 1055 and 1170 cm⁻¹. These bands may be attributed to formation of an additional 643 uranyl phosphate aqueous complex, -as it was reported that solid phases have rather small 644 band's width [54,76]-. Such additional complex possibly has a C_{2v}-like symmetry (as its v₃ bands at 1052 and 1170 cm⁻¹ may result from a shift of the v₃ bands at 1075 and 1160 cm⁻¹ of 645 646 $H_2PO_4^-$ aqueous species). A third v_3 band of small intensity would be expected at a low wavenumber (around 940 cm⁻¹) but the strong band observable at 997 cm⁻¹ in our IR spectra 647 may overlap with it. The additional species is possibly $UO_2H_2PO_4^+(aq)$ which is expected to exist 648

as a minor uranyl phosphate aqueous species according to speciation calculations. If this species has a C_{2v} -like symmetry, the phosphate ion should be coordinated to the uranyl ion in a bidentate mode and the hydrated form of UO₂H₂PO₄⁺ may be UO₂H₂PO₄⁺· 3H₂O. Further studies using complementary techniques like TRLFS and EXAFS may be helpful to confirm this hypothesis.

653 4.2 Surface speciation of uranyl ions at the NaIdP-solution interface

654 A recently published study [93] has shown the presence of two v_3 U=O bands at 910 and 900 cm⁻¹ on ATR FTIR spectra acquired during the sorption of uranyl ions (at [U]_{I,aq}: 20 µM) at 655 656 near-neutral pH (6.8) onto montmorillonite. The authors have suggested that the strong sorption 657 of aqueous UO₂(OH)₂ ions onto the clay surface led to a shift in the wavenumber of v₃ U=O band of more than 10 cm⁻¹. In the present study, the v_3 IR bands of uranyl ions (< 960 cm⁻¹) 658 659 could not be studied. However, our U(VI) macroscopic sorption results indicated that several 660 sorption mechanisms / species can contribute to the uptake of uranyl ions by NaIdP, depending 661 on key parameters such as pH, U concentration and clay-to-solution ratio. Data presented here 662 show a decrease in the U sorption percentage, at a given acidic pH, when increasing U 663 concentration in a certain range (1-10µM) or when decreasing the clay-to-solution ratio (from 3 to 1 g.L⁻¹). These trends strongly suggest that a very low amount of high affinity sorption sites 664 665 (<0.02 sites.nm⁻²) at NaIdP edge platelets, which interact strongly with U, become 666 progressively saturated while low affinity surface sites are increasingly involved in U sorption. 667 It has long been reported that 2:1 layers clay minerals display different types of surface sites 668 having distinct concentrations and affinity towards H⁺ and other aqueous ions [94], including 669 silanol, aluminol and ferrinol sites at clay edges. Bradbury and Baeyens [50,89] have provided 670 modelling of trace metals and actinides sorption onto Na-illite by using a non-electrostatic 671 model, which included two-sites protolysis non-electrostatic surface complexation and cation 672 exchange. The authors could fit successfully their macroscopic data on U(VI) sorption by considering a mechanism of cation exchange implying UO_2^{2+} in clay interlayer space (and 673

674 dominating in the pH range 2.5-3.5) and the strong sorption of U by formation of uranyl surface 675 complexes of the types $\Xi SO^{s}UO_{2}^{+}$, $\Xi SO^{s}UO_{2}OH$ and $\Xi SO^{s}UO_{2}OH_{2}^{-}$ that involve high affinity sites (noted ESO^s) present in low amounts (ca. 0.01 sites. nm⁻²) at clay edges. Several EXAFS 676 677 analyses have moreover provided evidence that uranyl ions are sorbed, at low pH, as 678 exchangeable UO_2^{2+} in the interlayer space of smectitic clays, and at moderately acidic pH, as 679 additional inner-sphere uranyl surface complex and / or U(VI) polynuclear surface species, 680 depending on surface coverage [13,64–66]. Uranyl carbonato ISSC were also shown to form at 681 edge sites of smectite platelets when increasing pH [67]. Based on spectroscopic results, Troyer 682 et al. [71] could fit U(VI) sorption isotherms (in the concentration range 1-10 μ M) on 683 montmorillonite by using in surface complexation modeling three types of species, namely, cation-exchanged UO_2^{2+} (noted UO_2X_2), a cationic surface complex ($\Xi SOUO_2^+$) and a ternary 684 685 uranyl carbonate surface complex (ESOUO₂CO₃⁻), which dominated at pH 4, 6 and 8, 686 respectively. Our results are consistent with those reported in the above-mentioned modeling 687 or spectroscopic studies. They suggest a variable contribution of both high affinity and low 688 affinity surface sites to the sorption of uranyl ions onto NaIdP edges, at acidic pH, via formation 689 of ISSC of U(VI), in most of the conditions investigated. Unlike for the montmorillonite [71], the relative contribution of cation-exchanged UO_2^{2+} is not observable for NaIdP, due to the 690 691 difference in permanent structural charge between these two types of clay. As no significant 692 effect of U(VI) sorption on EM is observable at pH < 5 in our experiments, even at the highest 693 U concentrations investigated, it is possible that the sorption mechanisms of U(VI) onto NaIdP 694 included the deprotonation of the protonated hydroxyl sites (ferrinol / aluminol) followed by 695 the formation of ESO^sUO₂⁺ surface complexes, and / or the formation of ESO^sUO₂OH surface 696 complexes on neutral hydroxyl surface sites. Dahn et al. [95] have investigated by EXAFS the 697 coordination environment of uranyl ions adsorbed onto NaIdP at pH 5 (in 0.1 M NaClO₄ electrolyte solution) and at low surface loading of U (4 µmol.g⁻¹) and have suggested a 698

699 preferential binding of uranyl ions to the iron octahedral surface sites over the aluminum 700 octahedral surface sites of the clay. No evidence on the contribution to U sorption of each type 701 of surface hydroxyl at Na-illite edges can be provided in the present study. At the highest U 702 concentration values studied (25 μ M), we observed an increase in the U sorption percentage 703 (and a nonlinear increase of amount of U sorbed) which is attributable to the contribution of an 704 additional sorption mechanism, likely the (surface) precipitation of a uranyl hydroxide phase. 705 Such a mechanism is possible as the sorption on low affinity edge sites of a high U concentration 706 $(> 10 \,\mu\text{M})$ may be less efficient to compete against the direct precipitation of a uranyl hydroxide 707 from solution. We can alternately propose the formation of surface precipitates of uranyl 708 (hydr)oxides and / or polynuclear uranyl surface species similar to those described by Sylwester 709 et al. [64], which may nucleate on clay surface, even before all weak sorption sites available for 710 U surface complexation become saturated.

711 4.3 Surface speciation of uranyl ions at the NaIdP--phosphate-solution interface

712 Uranyl sorption edges and isotherms presented here show that the presence of phosphate ligands 713 (100 µM) promoted U(VI) sorption at acid pH onto NaIdP, especially at a high U concentration 714 $(10 \,\mu M)$. Moreover, phosphate ion sorption was enhanced by an increase of U concentration in 715 experiment, too. Such a phosphate signature on U(VI) sorption at a clay surface has already 716 been reported in the case of kaolinite but it was not observed for montmorillonite [71], for 717 which formation of inner-sphere surface complex (ISSC) of uranyl phosphate (with U-bridging 718 at the surface) was reported. Macroscopic results given in the present study suggest thus a strong 719 involvement of phosphate ligands in U(VI) surface speciation in NaIdP – phosphate – solution 720 systems, with (great part of) uranyl ions sorbed via formation of uranyl phosphate ternary 721 surface complex(es) and/or U(VI) phosphate surface precipitates. Experimental results, such as 722 the non-linear increase of U and P surface coverages when increasing U concentration (from 1 723 to 10µM), highlighted moreover the formation of multiple uranyl phosphate sorption species 724 and/or the implications of several types of surface sites. Desorption experiments provided 725 strong evidence that the sorption of U at acidic pH has occurred in the presence of phosphate 726 ions mainly via a reversible process, i.e., via uranyl phosphate surface complex formation. 727 Formation of such surface complexes were shown to impart negative charges to the clay surface 728 throughout the pH range investigated, which is an additional indication of the participation of 729 phosphate anions. Based on values of high-affinity sites given in literature [50] for the conditioned Illite du Puy (ca. 2 µmol.g⁻¹ or 0.01 sites.nm⁻²), it is likely that uranyl phosphate 730 731 surface complexes that were formed in our experiments for the moderate U and P concentrations 732 studied (12 µM and 100 µM, respectively) would involve both high- and low-affinity 733 (amphoteric) sites at clay edge platelets. The contribution of a mechanism of uranyl phosphate 734 precipitation from oversaturated solutions is not to be ruled out at the highest U concentration studied, in the presence of phosphate ligands ($[U]_{Lag} = 25 \mu M$, $R_{S/L} = 3 g.L^{-1}$ NaIdP). 735

736 Our in situ ATR FTIR experiments of the U – P (co)sorption onto NaIdP conducted at varying 737 U concentration or as a function of reaction time provided valuable information on surface 738 speciation. It was found that several species contributed to the phosphate surface speciation 739 during U-P co-sorption, with three types of uranyl phosphate surface species being identified. 740 At short reaction times (< 7 h), two uranyl phosphate species were identified onto NaIdP, with 741 the first one (U-P species A) being formed at low U concentration and the second one (U-P 742 species B) growing with an increase in U concentration. It should be noted that, when phosphate 743 ions were added before U in experiment, the formation of these two U-P species (and / or one 744 of the two U-P species) affected the IR signals of previously-formed phosphate surface species, 745 i.e., it led to disappearance of IR bands of preexisting ISSC of phosphate (a shift from a band at 1038 cm⁻¹, attributable to an ISSC of phosphate [1], to ca. 1050 cm⁻¹). There was also 746 747 observed a small decrease in bands' intensity of the OSSC of phosphate. Thus, pre-existing 748 phosphate surface species formed onto NaIdP were desorbed and / or converted rapidly upon 749 the addition of uranyl ions in the system and the subsequent rapid formation of U-P surface 750 species, which are therefore interpreted as being inner sphere uranyl phosphate surface species 751 forming at edge sites of the clay platelets and competing against the existence of ISSC of 752 phosphate on illite surface. Formation of uranyl-phosphate ISSC onto NaIdP is in good 753 agreement with previously published spectroscopic studies of the (co)sorption, under conditions 754 close to those used in the present study, of uranyl and phosphate ions at surfaces of aluminum 755 / iron (hydr)oxide, SiO₂ and clays [55,54,71,59]. Regarding the uranyl phosphate surface 756 species identified in the present study, U-P species A has a (weak) IR band at ~1125 cm⁻¹, which 757 is independent of the U concentration $(2-10 \,\mu\text{M})$. This suggests that its formation took place at 758 high-affinity sites existing in limited amounts onto clay edges. Whether this species represents 759 a surface precipitate (e.g., $(UO_2)_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O_{(s)}$) and / or an outer sphere surface complex (i.e., UO_2HPO_4 (H₂O)₄) having a band at 1122 cm⁻¹, can be ruled out. In such cases, its absorbance 760 761 would increase with U concentration [96]. It is likely that this U-P species is a U-bridging ISSC 762 of uranyl phosphate because it forms onto high-affinity sites at illite platelet edges, which are 763 expected to interact strongly with U [89]. Conversely, U-P species B displays an IR band at 764 ~1050 cm⁻¹ whose intensity increases with U concentration in the range 2-8 μ M, and it 765 dominates phosphate speciation at low reaction times (7 hours). Actually, this band can hardly 766 be attributed to an OSSC of uranyl phosphate (having IR bands at positions close to those of 767 aqueous UO₂H₂PO₄⁺_(aq) species), because an OSSC complex would display two bands at 1052 and 1170 cm⁻¹ of similar intensities (see discussion in §4.1). A reduction of molecular symmetry 768 769 of PO₄ unit from C_{2v} to C_1 is expected if the uranyl phosphate aqueous species is involved in 770 formation of the ternary surface complex. The band at 1050 cm⁻¹ is therefore ascribed to a 771 uranyl phosphato ISSC forming at low affinity sites onto clay edges. It should be noted that 772 formation, at a short reaction time ($t_R = 7$ h) during our ATR FTIR (co)sorption experiments, 773 of the two types of U-P inner sphere surface complexes has prevented the formation of (VI)-

phosphate colloids, which were observed in the absence of clay and under similar solutionconditions (see discussion in §4.1).

776 At a long reaction time (3 days) and high U concentration ($[U]_{I,aq} = 8 \mu M$), two uranyl phosphate 777 species were identified to exist onto NaIdP, namely, the U-P species B (whose formation took 778 place within the first hours and increased then slowly with time) and another species (U-P 779 species C) whose intensity was increasing with reaction time, from a reaction of ca. 5h to 3 780 days. The latter uranyl-phosphate surface species has three v₃ P-O antisymmetric stretching 781 band at 1114, 1080 and 992 cm⁻¹, which indicates a C_{2V} or lower molecular symmetry (likely C₁) of PO₄ unit. The band at 992 cm⁻¹ was not observed at short reaction time ($t_R = 7h$), possibly 782 due to some interferences of IR absorption from clay structure at wavenumbers lower than 1000 783 784 cm⁻¹. The bands' positions of U-P species C are quite different than those observed on IR spectra 785 of uranyl phosphate solutions, ruling out formation of uranyl phosphate OSSC and / or U(VI) 786 phosphate colloids formed directly from solution. These positions are shifted with respect to 787 those reported in the literature for the group of autunite minerals but they compare well with them (1118, 1074 and 985 cm⁻¹ for autunite, and 1118, 1048 and 985 cm⁻¹ for meta-autunite 788 789 [97]). Hence, we hypothesize that U-P species C is an autunite-like surface species. Formation 790 of this species may contribute to the slight increase of macroscopic P sorption on NaIdP with 791 increasing U concentration. Trover et al. [71] have investigated by EXAFS and TRLFS the 792 effect of increasing concentrations of phosphate and uranyl ions (0.025-100 µM) on the uptake 793 of U(VI) by montmorillonite at pH 4-6. These authors have evidenced a transition between 794 formation of uranyl phosphate surface complexes at low coverage of the clay, and surface 795 precipitates of U(VI)-phosphates at high surface coverage. If the U-P species C represents a 796 U(VI) phosphate precipitate formed on NaIdP, this would imply that its formation has occurred 797 in limited amounts under our experimental conditions (despite the dominance of its signal on 798 the IR spectra), because our desorption data indicate primarily reversible co-sorption mechanisms. Alternately, the U-P species C can rather be an autunite-like uranyl phosphate
surface complex (e.g., as a polynuclear complex) that forms on NaIdP at a high reaction time.

801 It should be noticed that the successive addition of phosphate ions followed by uranyl ions, or their simultaneous addition, to the NaIdP - solution system does not lead to the same surface 802 803 speciation, even at low reactions times (7h). The initial addition of P alone -allowing the clay 804 surface to be covered by P sorbed for the first 2h- and subsequent, progressive additions of U 805 led to the existence onto NaIdP, after a reaction time of 7h, of a (U bridging) ternary U-P 806 surface complex formed rapidly on the high-affinity clay edge sites, a U-P surface complex 807 formed progressively on the low-affinity clay edge sites, and an OSSC of phosphate (shown in 808 a previous study to transform slowly into an ISSC of phosphate, in the absence of U [1]). Instead, 809 the simultaneous addition of P and relatively high concentration of U (10 μ M) resulted in the 810 existence onto NaIdP, after a reaction time of 7h, of the two former species and an autunite-like 811 surface complex/precipitate. This suggests that the formation of the U-P surface complex on 812 low-affinity sites on the clay edges is not fast enough to prevent fairly rapid nucleation of 813 surface precipitates and/or formation of polynuclear surface species of uranyl phosphate. It is 814 possible that the U-P surface complex existing on low-affinity sites is formed by the binding of 815 P on low-affinity sites (upon slow conversion of OSSC to ISSC of phosphate formed over time 816 by surface ligand exchange) and the binding of uranyl ions on sorbed P (P-bridging uranyl phosphate complex). The conversion of OSSC to ISSC of phosphate, in the absence of U, was 817 818 found to proceed slowly up to a reaction time of 3 days, leading to the predominance of ISSC of phosphate at a long reaction time, and a very intense band at 1035 cm⁻¹ on the spectra of the 819 820 NaIdP-phosphate – solution interface. In similar conditions, with the exception of the presence of 10 μ M of U in the system, a (less intense) band shifted to 1050 cm⁻¹ is present on the FTIR 821 822 interface spectra. Presumably, the formation of precipitate / polynuclear species of uranyl phosphate on the clay surface limited the formation of ISSC of phosphate in the long term (and 823

presumably it also limited the amount of U-P surface complex likely to form at weak sites). Formation of P-bridging uranyl phosphate surface complex on low affinity sites, and U-P surface precipitates / polynuclear surface species, could explain the signature of P on the macroscopic sorption of U onto NaIdP, in contrast to montmorillonite for which the existence of a U-bridging uranyl phosphate ISSC has been reported [71]. The use of other spectroscopy techniques is however necessary to confirm the hypothesis of a P-bridging uranyl phosphate surface complex.

831 **5 Conclusions**

To our best knowledge, the present study is the first one combining macroscopic experiments and in situ ATR FTIR spectroscopic study to investigate the (co)sorption mechanisms of phosphate ions and trace levels of uranyl ions at the illite–electrolyte solution interface.

835 All the macroscopic data reported here pointed at several sorption mechanisms / surface sites 836 contributing to the uptake of uranyl ions by NaIdP and depending on key parameters such as 837 pH, U concentration, clay-to-solution ratio and phosphate ligand concentration. In peculiar, data 838 indicated that a low amount of high-affinity sorption sites (<0.02 sites.nm⁻²) existing at the 839 NaIdP edge platelets can strongly interact with U and become progressively saturated, while 840 low affinity surface sites are increasingly involved in U sorption, when increasing U 841 concentration (1-10µM) or decreasing clay-to-solution ratio (1-3 g.L⁻¹) in experiment. It was 842 also shown that the presence of phosphate ligands enhances the sorption of U(VI) at acidic pH 843 onto NaIdP and, conversely, the uptake of phosphate ions by the clay surface is promoted by 844 an increase of U concentration in sorption experiment. Macroscopic and EM data highlighted 845 the formation of several types of uranyl phosphate species imparting negative charges to the 846 clay surface and / or several types of sorption sites, with the mechanisms of U-P co-sorption 847 remaining highly reversible. Data acquired by in situ monitoring of the illite-solution interface 848 by ATR FTIR spectroscopy provided evidence that uranyl ions and phosphate ions were 849 (co)sorbed at acidic pH mainly via the formation of three types of inner-sphere uranyl phosphate 850 surface complexes, whose predominance depend on reaction time and U surface concentration. 851 A uranyl phosphate surface species (with a weak v3(P-O) band at ~1125 cm⁻¹) is formed rapidly, 852 likely at high-affinity surface sites existing in limited amounts on the clay edges, and is 853 identified as an inner sphere uranyl phosphate surface complex (possibly with a U-bridging structure). An additional U-P surface complex (with a band at $\sim 1050 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) is progressively 854 855 formed in increasing amounts with U concentration and reaction time, likely on low affinity 856 clay edge sites. It is possible that this surface species is formed via binding of U to phosphate 857 sorbed as an inner sphere phosphate surface complex onto illite (P-bridging structure), which 858 was shown to exist on NaIdP in the absence of U [1]. Finally, an "autunite-like" uranyl 859 phosphate surface species (e.g., such as a polynuclear complex) having v3(P-O) bands at 1114, 860 1080 and 992 cm⁻¹ appears after a few hours after simultaneous addition of P and U at relatively 861 high concentration (10µM). The present work clearly demonstrates that uranyl ions are not 862 sorbed onto illite at the expense of phosphate ion sorption but that several types of uranyl 863 phosphate sorption species are formed in NaIdP – U(VI) – phosphate – solution systems, which 864 is consistent with macroscopic data showing a strong P sorption onto illite [1], a promotion of P sorption with U concentration and a signature of P on the sorption of U(VI) (this study). 865

The information on uranyl surface speciation in the presence of phosphate ligands that is presented here is novel, and provides for the first time in situ spectroscopic evidence of the synergistic formation of several types of uranyl phosphate sorption species on the surface of a 2:1 layered clay, namely illite, which is an important phase in soils and clay formations. This knowledge is important to better understand / predict the effect of clay surface property and its impact on the sorption behavior of uranyl and phosphate ions in the environment and / or to model the long-term behavior of U(VI) in argillaceous formations where illite is the main clay 873 constituent, such as those envisaged as barriers to U dissemination in the far-field of HLW deep874 repository.

875

•

876 Acknowledgments

877 We thank for analyses of clay samples: ITES (Institut Terre et Environnement de Strasbourg, 878 Strasbourg University, France) for mineralogical analyses, SARM-CRPG (Service d'Analyse 879 des Roches et Minéraux, Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques, Nancy, 880 France) for major element and trace element analysis, and ECPM (École de Chimie des 881 Polymères et Matériaux, Strasbourg, France) for BET measurements. We are grateful to the A. 882 Boos for giving us access to the ICP-OES and ICP-MS equipments of the "Plateforme des 883 Inorganiques" of IPHC for our solution analyses. We are very grateful to an anonymous 884 reviewer and to the Editor, Professor Robert Tilton, for their constructive comments, which 885 improved the manuscript considerably.

886

887 Funding

888 This research work was funded by the European Commission in the frame of the European Joint

889 Programme on Radioactive Waste Management EURAD (Grant agreement ID 847593, contract

number CNRS 198255) and took place in the Work Package FUTURE of EURAD.

891 Appendix A. Supporting Information

892

893 **References**

- 894 S.Y. Guo, M. Del Nero, O. Courson, S. Meyer-Georg, R. Barillon, Speciation studies at [1] 895 the Illite - solution interface: Part 1 – Sorption of phosphate ions, Colloids and Surfaces 896 Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 682 (2024)132905. A: 897 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2023.132905.
- S.J. Morrison, L.S. Cahn, Mineralogical residence of alpha-emitting contamination and implications for mobilization from uranium mill tailings, Journal of Contaminant Hydrology. 8 (1991) 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-7722(91)90006-M.
- 901 [3] R.G. Riley, J.M. Zachara, F.J. Wobber, Chemical Contaminants on DOE Lands and
 902 Selection of Contaminant Mixtures for Subsurface Science Research, DOE/ER-0547T.
 903 U.S. Department of Energy. (1992).
- W.-M. Wu, J. Carley, M. Fienen, T. Mehlhorn, K. Lowe, J. Nyman, J. Luo, M.E. Gentile,
 R. Rajan, D. Wagner, R.F. Hickey, B. Gu, D. Watson, O.A. Cirpka, P.K. Kitanidis, P.M.
 Jardine, C.S. Criddle, Pilot-Scale in Situ Bioremediation of Uranium in a Highly
 Contaminated Aquifer. 1. Conditioning of a Treatment Zone, Environ. Sci. Technol. 40
 (2006) 3978–3985. https://doi.org/10.1021/es051954y.
- [5] K. Maher, J.R. Bargar, G.E. Brown, Environmental Speciation of Actinides, Inorg. Chem.
 52 (2013) 3510–3532. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic301686d.
- 911 [6] S.A. Cumberland, G. Douglas, K. Grice, J.W. Moreau, Uranium mobility in organic
 912 matter-rich sediments: A review of geological and geochemical processes, Earth-Science
 913 Reviews. 159 (2016) 160–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.05.010.
- R.C. Ewing, R.A. Whittleston, B.W.D. Yardley, Geological Disposal of Nuclear Waste: a
 Primer, ELEMENTS. 12 (2016) 233–237. https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.12.4.233.
- [8] S.V. Churakov, W. Hummel, M.M. Fernandes, Fundamental Research on Radiochemistry
 of Geological Nuclear Waste Disposal, Chimia. 74 (2020) 1000.
 https://doi.org/10.2533/chimia.2020.1000.
- 919 T.A. Kurniawan, M.H.D. Othman, D. Singh, R. Avtar, G.H. Hwang, T. Setiadi, W. Lo, [9] 920 Technological solutions for long-term storage of partially used nuclear waste: A critical 921 Energy. review, Annals of Nuclear 166 (2022)108736. 922 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2021.108736.
- 923 [10] B. Grambow, Geological Disposal of Radioactive Waste in Clay, ELEMENTS. 12 (2016)
 924 239–245. https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.12.4.239.
- [11] G. Montavon, S. Ribet, Y.H. Loni, F. Maia, C. Bailly, K. David, C. Lerouge, B. Madé,
 J.C. Robinet, B. Grambow, Uranium retention in a Callovo-Oxfordian clay rock formation:
 From laboratory-based models to in natura conditions, Chemosphere. 299 (2022) 134307.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134307.
- P. Krejci, T. Gimmi, L.R. Van Loon, On the concentration-dependent diffusion of sorbed cesium in Opalinus Clay, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 298 (2021) 149–166.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.01.012.
- [13] M. Marques Fernandes, B. Baeyens, R. Dähn, A.C. Scheinost, M.H. Bradbury, U(VI)
 sorption on montmorillonite in the absence and presence of carbonate: A macroscopic and
 microscopic study, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 93 (2012) 262–277.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.04.017.
- 936 [14] V. Montoya, B. Baeyens, M.A. Glaus, T. Kupcik, M. Marques Fernandes, L. Van Laer, C. 937 Bruggeman, N. Maes, T. Schäfer, Sorption of Sr, Co and Zn on illite: Batch experiments 938 and modelling including Co in-diffusion measurements on compacted samples, 939 Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta. 223 (2018)1 - 20.et 940 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.11.027.
- [15] C. Tournassat, R.M. Tinnacher, S. Grangeon, J.A. Davis, Modeling uranium(VI)
 adsorption onto montmorillonite under varying carbonate concentrations: A surface

- 943 complexation model accounting for the spillover effect on surface potential, Geochimica
 944 et Cosmochimica Acta. 220 (2018) 291–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.09.049.
- [16] B. Ma, L. Charlet, A. Fernandez-Martinez, M. Kang, B. Madé, A review of the retention
 mechanisms of redox-sensitive radionuclides in multi-barrier systems, Applied
 Geochemistry. 100 (2019) 414–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2018.12.001.
- 948 [17] G.R. Choppin, Soluble rare earth and actinide species in seawater, Marine Chemistry. 28
 949 (1989) 19–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(89)90184-9.
- [18] I. Grenthe, J. Fuger, R.J. Lemire, A.B. Muller, C. Nguyen-Trung Cregu, H. Wanner,
 Chemical thermodynamics of uranium, Elsevier Science Publishers, Netherlands, 1992.
- [19] V. Eliet, G. Bidoglio, N. Omenetto, L. Parma, I. Grenthe, Characterisation of hydroxide
 complexes of uranium(VI) by time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy, Faraday Trans.
 954 91 (1995) 2275. https://doi.org/10.1039/ft9959102275.
- [20] R.J. Silva, H. Nitsche, Actinide Environmental Chemistry:, Radiochimica Acta. 70–71
 (1995) 377–396. https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.1995.7071.s1.377.
- [21] S. Pompe, K. Schmeide, M. Bubner, G. Geipel, K.H. Heise, G. Bernhard, H. Nitsche,
 Investigation of humic acid complexation behavior with uranyl ions using modified
 synthetic and natural humic acids:, Radiochimica Acta. 88 (2000) 553–558.
 https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.2000.88.9-11.553.
- [22] A. Sandino, J. Bruno, The solubility of (UO2)3(PO4)2 4H20(s) and the formation of U(VI)
 phosphate complexes: Their influence in uranium speciation in natural waters,
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 56 (1992) 4135–4145. https://doi.org/10.1016/00167037(92)90256-I.
- 965 [23] D. Gorman-Lewis, P.C. Burns, J.B. Fein, Review of uranyl mineral solubility
 966 measurements, The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics. 40 (2008) 335–352.
 967 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2007.12.004.
- 968 [24] W. Um, Z. Wang, R.J. Serne, B.D. Williams, C.F. Brown, C.J. Dodge, A.J. Francis,
 969 Uranium Phases in Contaminated Sediments below Hanford's U Tank Farm, Environ. Sci.
 970 Technol. 43 (2009) 4280–4286. https://doi.org/10.1021/es900203r.
- [25] D. Gorman-Lewis, T. Shvareva, K.-A. Kubatko, P.C. Burns, D.M. Wellman, B.
 McNamara, J.E.S. Szymanowski, A. Navrotsky, J.B. Fein, Thermodynamic Properties of Autunite, Uranyl Hydrogen Phosphate, and Uranyl Orthophosphate from Solubility and Calorimetric Measurements, Environ. Sci. Technol. 43 (2009) 7416–7422. https://doi.org/10.1021/es9012933.
- [26] M.F. Fanizza, H. Yoon, C. Zhang, M. Oostrom, T.W. Wietsma, N.J. Hess, M.E. Bowden,
 T.J. Strathmann, K.T. Finneran, C.J. Werth, Pore-scale evaluation of uranyl phosphate
 precipitation in a model groundwater system, Water Resources Research. 49 (2013) 874–
 890. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20088.
- [27] V.S. Mehta, F. Maillot, Z. Wang, J.G. Catalano, D.E. Giammar, Effect of co-solutes on the products and solubility of uranium(VI) precipitated with phosphate, Chemical Geology. 364 (2014) 66–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.12.002.
- [28] M. Kanematsu, N. Perdrial, W. Um, J. Chorover, P.A. O'Day, Influence of Phosphate and
 Silica on U(VI) Precipitation from Acidic and Neutralized Wastewaters, Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 48 (2014) 6097–6106. https://doi.org/10.1021/es4056559.
- [29] D.E. Morris, P.G. Allen, J.M. Berg, C.J. Chisholm-Brause, S.D. Conradson, R.J. Donohoe,
 N.J. Hess, J.A. Musgrave, C.D. Tait, Speciation of Uranium in Fernald Soils by Molecular
 Spectroscopic Methods: Characterization of Untreated Soils, Environ. Sci. Technol. 30
 (1996) 2322–2331. https://doi.org/10.1021/es950745i.
- [30] T. Murakami, T. Ohnuki, H. Isobe, T. Sato, Mobility of uranium during weathering,
 American Mineralogist. 82 (1997) 888–899. https://doi.org/10.2138/am-1997-9-1006.

- [31] R. Finch, T. Murakami, 3. Systematics and Paragenesis of Uranium Minerals, in: P.C.
 Burns, R.J. Finch (Eds.), Uranium, De Gruyter, 1999: pp. 91–180. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501509193-008.
- [32] J.L. Jerden, A.K. Sinha, L. Zelazny, Natural immobilization of uranium by phosphate mineralization in an oxidizing saprolite-soil profile: chemical weathering of the Coles Hill uranium deposit, Virginia, Chemical Geology. 199 (2003) 129–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(03)00080-9.
- [33] J.G. Catalano, J.P. McKinley, J.M. Zachara, S.M. Heald, S.C. Smith, G.E. Brown,
 Changes in Uranium Speciation through a Depth Sequence of Contaminated Hanford
 Sediments, Environ. Sci. Technol. 40 (2006) 2517–2524.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0520969.
- [34] J.L. Jerden, A.K. Sinha, Geochemical coupling of uranium and phosphorous in soils
 overlying an unmined uranium deposit: Coles Hill, Virginia, Journal of Geochemical
 Exploration. 91 (2006) 56–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2005.12.003.
- 1006 [35] Y. Arai, D.L. Sparks, Phosphate Reaction Dynamics in Soils and Soil Components: A
 1007 Multiscale Approach, in: Advances in Agronomy, Elsevier, 2007: pp. 135–179.
 1008 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(06)94003-6.
- [36] D.M. Singer, J.M. Zachara, G.E. Brown Jr, Uranium Speciation As a Function of Depth in Contaminated Hanford Sediments - A Micro-XRF, Micro-XRD, and Micro- And Bulk-XAFS Study, Environ. Sci. Technol. 43 (2009) 630–636.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es8021045.
- 1013 [37] J.E. Stubbs, L.A. Veblen, D.C. Elbert, J.M. Zachara, J.A. Davis, D.R. Veblen, Newly
 1014 recognized hosts for uranium in the Hanford Site vadose zone, Geochimica et
 1015 Cosmochimica Acta. 73 (2009) 1563–1576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2008.12.004.
- [38] A.J. Pinto, M.A. Gonçalves, C. Prazeres, J.M. Astilleros, M.J. Batista, Mineral replacement reactions in naturally occurring hydrated uranyl phosphates from the Tarabau deposit: Examples in the Cu–Ba uranyl phosphate system, Chemical Geology. 312–313
 (2012) 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.04.004.
- 1020 [39] J. Bruno, J. De Pablo, L. Duro, E. Figuerola, Experimental study and modeling of the 1021 U(VI)-Fe(OH)3 surface precipitation/coprecipitation equilibria, Geochimica et 1022 Cosmochimica Acta. 59 (1995) 4113-4123. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)00243-S. 1023
- [40] M. Del Nero, S. Salah, T. Miura, A. Clément, F. Gauthier-Lafaye, Sorption/Desorption
 Processes of Uranium in Clayey Samples of the Bangombe Natural Reactor Zone, Gabon,
 Radiochimica Acta. 87 (1999) 135–150. https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.1999.87.34.135.
- [41] M.M.S. Cabral Pinto, M.M.V.G. Silva, A.M.R. Neiva, Release, Migration, Sorption and
 (re)precipitation of U During a Granite Alteration under Oxidizing Conditions, Procedia
 Earth and Planetary Science. 8 (2014) 28–32.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeps.2014.05.007.
- [42] M. Edahbi, B. Plante, M. Benzaazoua, M. Ward, M. Pelletier, Mobility of rare earth
 elements in mine drainage: Influence of iron oxides, carbonates, and phosphates,
 Chemosphere. 199 (2018) 647–654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.02.054.
- [43] M. Del Nero, A. Froideval, C. Gaillard, G. Mignot, R. Barillon, I. Munier, A. Ozgümüs,
 Mechanisms of uranyl sorption, Geological Society, London, Special Publications. 236
 (2004) 545–560. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2004.236.01.30.
- [44] S. Salah, F. Gauthier-Lafaye, M. Del Nero, G. Le Bricon, G. Bracke, Behavior of REE in
 the Weathering Sequence of the Natural Fission Reactor at Bangombe (Oklo)., In: Abstr.
 of the Int. Conf. European Union of Geosciences EUG 10, Strasbourg, France,. (1999).
- [45] T.E. Payne, G.R. Lumpkin, T.D. Waite, Chapter 2 UraniumVI Adsorption on Model
 Minerals: Controlling Factors and Surface Complexation Modeling, in: E.A. Jenne (Ed.),

- 1042Adsorption of Metals by Geomedia, Academic Press, San Diego, 1998: pp. 75–97.1043https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012384245-9/50003-7.
- [46] Z. Guo, C. Yan, J. Xu, W. Wu, Sorption of U(VI) and phosphate on γ-alumina: Binary
 and ternary sorption systems, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering
 Aspects. 336 (2009) 123–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2008.11.032.
- [47] Z. Guo, Y. Li, W. Wu, Sorption of U(VI) on goethite: Effects of pH, ionic strength,
 phosphate, carbonate and fulvic acid, Applied Radiation and Isotopes. 67 (2009) 996–
 1049 1000. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2009.02.001.
- [48] T. Hiemstra, W.H.V. Riemsdijk, A. Rossberg, K.-U. Ulrich, A surface structural model for ferrihydrite II: Adsorption of uranyl and carbonate, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 73 (2009) 4437–4451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.04.035.
- [49] G.D. Turner, J.M. Zachara, J.P. Mckinley, S.C. Smith, Surface-charge properties and UO
 z' adsorption of a subsurface smectite, (1996). https://doi.org/10.1016/00167037(96)00169-X.
- 1056 [50] M.H. Bradbury, B. Baeyens, Sorption modelling on illite Part I: Titration measurements
 1057 and the sorption of Ni, Co, Eu and Sn, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 73 (2009) 990–
 1003. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2008.11.017.
- 1059 [51] M. Marques Fernandes, A.C. Scheinost, B. Baeyens, Sorption of trivalent lanthanides and actinides onto montmorillonite: Macroscopic, thermodynamic and structural evidence for ternary hydroxo and carbonato surface complexes on multiple sorption sites, Water Research. 99 (2016) 74–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.04.046.
- [52] C. Joseph, M. Stockmann, K. Schmeide, S. Sachs, V. Brendler, G. Bernhard, Sorption of
 U(VI) onto Opalinus Clay: Effects of pH and humic acid, Applied Geochemistry. 36 (2013)
 104–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2013.06.016.
- 1066 [53] M. Stockmann, K. Fritsch, F. Bok, M.M. Fernandes, B. Baeyens, R. Steudtner, K. Müller, C. Nebelung, V. Brendler, T. Stumpf, K. Schmeide, New insights into U(VI) sorption onto 1067 1068 montmorillonite from batch sorption and spectroscopic studies at increased ionic strength, 1069 Science of The Total Environment. 806 (2022)150653. 1070 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150653.
- 1071 [54] M.J. Comarmond, R. Steudtner, M. Stockmann, K. Heim, K. Müller, V. Brendler, T.E.
 1072 Payne, H. Foerstendorf, The Sorption Processes of U(VI) onto SiO 2 in the Presence of
 1073 Phosphate: from Binary Surface Species to Precipitation, Environ. Sci. Technol. 50 (2016)
 1074 11610–11618. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02075.
- 1075 [55] C. Galindo, M.D. Nero, R. Barillon, E. Halter, B. Made, Mechanisms of uranyl and 1076 phosphate (co)sorption: Complexation and precipitation at α-Al2O3 surfaces, Journal of 1077 Colloid and Interface Science. 347 (2010) 282–289.
 1078 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2010.03.045.
- 1079 [56] A. Singh, K.-U. Ulrich, D.E. Giammar, Impact of phosphate on U(VI) immobilization in
 1080 the presence of goethite, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 74 (2010) 6324–6343.
 1081 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2010.08.031.
- 1082 [57] J.R. Bargar, R. Reitmeyer, J.A. Davis, Spectroscopic Confirmation of 1083 Uranium(VI)–Carbonato Adsorption Complexes on Hematite, Environ. Sci. Technol. 33 1084 (1999) 2481–2484. https://doi.org/10.1021/es990048g.
- [58] J.R. Bargar, R. Reitmeyer, J.J. Lenhart, J.A. Davis, Characterization of U(VI)-carbonato
 ternary complexes on hematite: EXAFS and electrophoretic mobility measurements,
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 64 (2000) 2737–2749.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(00)00398-7.
- [59] A. Singh, J.G. Catalano, K.-U. Ulrich, D.E. Giammar, Molecular-Scale Structure of Uranium(VI) Immobilized with Goethite and Phosphate, Environ. Sci. Technol. 46 (2012)
 6594–6603. https://doi.org/10.1021/es300494x.

- 1092 [60] A. Froideval, M. Del Nero, R. Barillon, J. Hommet, G. Mignot, pH dependence of uranyl 1093 retention in a quartz/solution system: an XPS study, Journal of Colloid and Interface 1094 Science. 266 (2003) 221–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9797(03)00528-9.
- 1095 [61] A. Froideval, M. Del Nero, C. Gaillard, R. Barillon, I. Rossini, J.L. Hazemann, Uranyl
 1096 sorption species at low coverage on Al-hydroxide: TRLFS and XAFS studies, Geochimica
 1097 et Cosmochimica Acta. 70 (2006) 5270–5284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.08.027.
- [62] K. Müller, H. Foerstendorf, V. Brendler, A. Rossberg, K. Stolze, A. Gröschel, The surface reactions of U(VI) on γ-Al2O3 In situ spectroscopic evaluation of the transition from sorption complexation to surface precipitation, Chemical Geology. 357 (2013) 75–84.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.08.033.
- 1102[63] Y. Jo, J.-Y. Lee, J.-I. Yun, Adsorption of uranyl tricarbonate and calcium uranyl carbonate1103onto γ -alumina, Applied Geochemistry.94(2018)28–34.1104https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2018.05.004.
- [64] E.R. Sylwester, E.A. Hudson, P.G. Allen, The structure of uranium (VI) sorption
 complexes on silica, alumina, and montmorillonite, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta.
 64 (2000) 2431–2438. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(00)00376-8.
- [65] C.J. Chisholm-Brause, J.M. Berg, R.A. Matzner, D.E. Morris, Uranium(VI) Sorption
 Complexes on Montmorillonite as a Function of Solution Chemistry, Journal of Colloid
 and Interface Science. 233 (2001) 38–49. https://doi.org/10.1006/jcis.2000.7227.
- 1111 [66] C. Hennig, T. Reich, R. Dähn, A.M. Scheidegger, Structure of uranium sorption
 1112 complexes at montmorillonite edge sites, Radiochimica Acta. 90 (2002) 653–657.
 1113 https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.2002.90.9-11_2002.653.
- 1114 [67] J.G. Catalano, G.E. Brown, Uranyl adsorption onto montmorillonite: Evaluation of
 binding sites and carbonate complexation, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 69 (2005)
 1116 2995–3005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.01.025.
- 1117 [68] Y. Tang, R.J. Reeder, Uranyl and arsenate cosorption on aluminum oxide surface,
 1118 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 73 (2009) 2727–2743.
 1119 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.02.003.
- [69] A. Singh, J.G. Catalano, K.-U. Ulrich, D.E. Giammar, Molecular-Scale Structure of
 Uranium(VI) Immobilized with Goethite and Phosphate, Environ. Sci. Technol. 46 (2012)
 6594–6603. https://doi.org/10.1021/es300494x.
- [70] M. Del Nero, C. Galindo, R. Barillon, B. Madé, TRLFS Evidence for Precipitation of Uranyl Phosphate on the Surface of Alumina: Environmental Implications, Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 (2011) 3982–3988. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2000479.
- [71] L.D. Troyer, F. Maillot, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, V.S. Mehta, D.E. Giammar, J.G. Catalano,
 Effect of phosphate on U(VI) sorption to montmorillonite: Ternary complexation and
 precipitation barriers, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 175 (2016) 86–99.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.11.029.
- [72] A. Gładysz-Płaska, E. Grabias, M. Majdan, Simultaneous adsorption of uranium(VI) and
 phosphate on red clay, Progress in Nuclear Energy. 104 (2018) 150–159.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2017.09.010.
- [73] M.A. Glaus, M. Aertsens, N. Maes, L. Van Laer, L.R. Van Loon, Treatment of boundary conditions in through-diffusion: A case study of 85Sr2+ diffusion in compacted illite, Journal of Contaminant Hydrology. 177–178 (2015) 239–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2015.03.010.
- [74] G.W. Brindley, G. Brown, Crystal Structures of Clay Minerals and Their X-rayIdentification., Mineralogical Society, 1980.
- [75] M.I. Tejedor-Tejedor, M.A. Anderson, The protonation of phosphate on the surface of goethite as studied by CIR-FTIR and electrophoretic mobility, Langmuir. 6 (1990) 602–611. https://doi.org/10.1021/la00093a015.

- [76] P. Persson, N. Nilsson, S. Sjöberg, Structure and Bonding of Orthophosphate Ions at the Iron Oxide–Aqueous Interface, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 177 (1996) 263– 275. https://doi.org/10.1006/jcis.1996.0030.
- 1145 [77] Y. Arai, D.L. Sparks, ATR–FTIR Spectroscopic Investigation on Phosphate Adsorption
 1146 Mechanisms at the Ferrihydrite–Water Interface, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science.
 1147 241 (2001) 317–326. https://doi.org/10.1006/jcis.2001.7773.
- [78] E.J. Elzinga, D.L. Sparks, Phosphate adsorption onto hematite: An in situ ATR-FTIR
 investigation of the effects of pH and loading level on the mode of phosphate surface
 complexation, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 308 (2007) 53–70.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2006.12.061.
- [79] W. Li, A.-M. Pierre-Louis, K.D. Kwon, J.D. Kubicki, D.R. Strongin, B.L. Phillips,
 Molecular level investigations of phosphate sorption on corundum (α-Al2O3) by 31P solid
 state NMR, ATR-FTIR and quantum chemical calculation, Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta. 107 (2013) 252–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.01.007.
- [80] R.J. Atkinson, R.L. Parfitt, R.St.C. Smart, Infra-red study of phosphate adsorption on
 goethite, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1. 70 (1974) 1472.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/f19747001472.
- 1159 [81] C. Nguyen Trung, G.M. Begun, D.A. Palmer, Aqueous uranium complexes. 2. Raman spectroscopic study of the complex formation of the dioxouranium(VI) ion with a variety 1160 (1992)1161 of inorganic and organic ligands, Inorg. Chem. 31 5280-5287. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00051a021. 1162
- [82] F. Quilès, A. Burneau, Infrared and Raman spectroscopic study of uranyl complexes:
 hydroxide and acetate derivatives in aqueous solution, Vibrational Spectroscopy. 18 (1998)
 61–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2031(98)00040-X.
- [83] F. Quilès, C. Nguyen-Trung, C. Carteret, B. Humbert, Hydrolysis of Uranyl(VI) in Acidic
 and Basic Aqueous Solutions Using a Noncomplexing Organic Base: A Multivariate
 Spectroscopic and Statistical Study, Inorg. Chem. 50 (2011) 2811–2823.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ic101953q.
- [84] L. Borgnino, C.E. Giacomelli, M.J. Avena, C.P.D. Pauli, Phosphate adsorbed on Fe(III)
 modified montmorillonite: Surface complexation studied by ATR-FTIR spectroscopy,
 (2010) 7.
- [85] K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds,
 Handbook of Vibrational Spectroscopy. (2006) 21.
- [86] G. Lu, A.J. Haes, T.Z. Forbes, Detection and identification of solids, surfaces, and solutions of uranium using vibrational spectroscopy, Coordination Chemistry Reviews.
 374 (2018) 314–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2018.07.010.
- [87] J. Čejka, A. Muck, To the infrared spectroscopy of natural uranyl phosphates, Phys Chem
 Minerals. 11 (1984) 172–177. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00387848.
- [88] J.A. Davis, D.B. Kent, CHAPTER 5. SURFACE COMPLEXATION MODELING IN AQUEOUS GEOCHEMISTRY, in: M.F. Hochella, A.F. White (Eds.), Mineral-Water Interface Geochemistry, De Gruyter, 1990: pp. 177–260. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501509131-009.
- [89] M.H. Bradbury, B. Baeyens, Sorption modelling on illite. Part II: Actinide sorption and
 linear free energy relationships, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 73 (2009) 1004–1013.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2008.11.016.
- [90] I. Jeon, K. Nam, Change in the site density and surface acidity of clay minerals by acid or
 alkali spills and its effect on pH buffering capacity, Sci Rep. 9 (2019) 9878.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46175-y.
- [91] V. Pekárek, V. Veselý, A study on uranyl phosphates—II sorption properties of some 1to 4-valent cations on uranyl hydrogen phosphate heated to various temperatures, Journal

- 1192of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry. 27 (1965) 1151–1158. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-11931902(65)80427-4.
- 1194 [92] V.E. Jackson, K.E. Gutowski, D.A. Dixon, Density Functional Theory Study of the Complexation of the Uranyl Dication with Anionic Phosphate Ligands with and without 1195 1196 Chem. Water Molecules, J. Phys. A. 117 (2013)8939-8957. 1197 https://doi.org/10.1021/jp405470k.
- 1198 [93] M. Stockmann, K. Fritsch, F. Bok, M.M. Fernandes, B. Baeyens, R. Steudtner, K. Müller, C. Nebelung, V. Brendler, T. Stumpf, K. Schmeide, New insights into U(VI) sorption onto 1199 montmorillonite from batch sorption and spectroscopic studies at increased ionic strength, 1200 1201 of The Total Environment. 806 150653. Science (2022)1202 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150653.
- [94] C. Tournassat, E. Ferrage, C. Poinsignon, L. Charlet, The titration of clay minerals,
 Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 273 (2004) 234–246.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2003.11.022.
- [95] R. Dahn, B. Baeyens, M.H. Bradbury, Uptake mechanisms of U(6) by illite as determined
 by X-ray absorption spectroscopy, Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and
 Development Nuclear Energy Agency, Nuclear Energy Agency of the OECD (NEA),
 2007.
- [96] M. Del Nero, C. Galindo, R. Barillon, E. Halter, B. Madé, Surface reactivity of α-Al2O3
 and mechanisms of phosphate sorption: In situ ATR-FTIR spectroscopy and ζ potential
 studies, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 342 (2010) 437–444.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2009.10.057.
- 1214 [97] R.L. Frost, An infrared and Raman spectroscopic study of the uranyl micas,
 1215 Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy. 60 (2004) 1469–
 1216 1480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2003.08.013.
- 1217