

Mapping the evanescent field at the nanometre. Near field microscopies

L Douillard

▶ To cite this version:

L Douillard. Mapping the evanescent field at the nanometre. Near field microscopies. Master. Near field microscopies, IOGS Graduate School Palaiseau, France, France. 2024. hal-04487541

HAL Id: hal-04487541 https://hal.science/hal-04487541

Submitted on 3 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Mapping the evanescent field at the nanometre Near field microscopies





What is plasmonics ?

\succ "A way to confine electromagnetic fields over dimensions on the order or smaller than the wavelength λ_{hv} "

S. Maier in Plasmonics: Fundamentals and Applications (2007) Springer

Interaction processes between electromagnetic radiation and conduction electrons at metallic interfaces = coherent collective charge oscillations

Basic ingredients

(i) *Surface plasmon-polariton* SPP - Metal / dielectric interface - Hybrid wave between a photon and a plasma oscillation - Propagative mode along the interface, evanescent in perpendicular direction

(ii) *Localised surface plasmon* LSP - Sub wavelength object - Non propagative mode



The promise of Plasmonics

> A way to bridge the size gap between nanoelectronics and photonics

Working frequency

Spatial scale

High working frequency Optical frequency 500 THz (600 nm) = 5.10¹⁴ Hz 500 THz = 500 000 GHz Low spatial integration $\sim \lambda_{hv}$ Light wavelength ~ 600 nm in visible spectrum

Plasmonics

Photonics

High working frequency Optical frequency 500 THz (600 nm) = 5.10¹⁴ Hz 500 THz = 500 000 GHz

Moderate - High spatial integration $\lambda_{h\nu}$ / 10 \sim 60 nm (visible)

Electronics

Low working frequency $\sim 1 \text{ GHz} = 1.10^9 \text{ Hz}$

High spatial integration
Int. Roadmap for Devices and Sys.
→ MOSFET scaling 3 nm in 2022



Plasmonic near field – Experimental relevant scales

Investigation of the full plasmonic picture requires adequate experimental resolutions in

.space .time .energy

Additional degrees of freedom are

light polarisation. light angular momentum. electron momentum distribution.



Plasmonics - Physical orders of magnitude - Spatial scale Relevant length scales of a plasmonic near field

- \succ Near field phenomena imply field spatial distribution scale << Light wavelength λ_0
- Localized surface plasmon

Collective coherent electron oscillation Electrons perceive a static electric field amplitude (quasi static QS approximation) Object dimension L << Light wavelength λ_0

 \blacktriangleright Thomas Fermi screening length \approx 0.1 nm << Coherent charge fluctuation spatial period

Propagation length of a surface plasmon polariton L_{prop.} = 1/e plasmonic field decay length

$$L_{prop} = \frac{1}{k_x''} \qquad \qquad k_x'' = Im \left\{ \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{Dielec} \varepsilon_{Metal}}{\varepsilon_{Dielec} + \varepsilon_{Metal}}} \right\}$$

N.A. Au/vacuum interface @ 800 nm wavelength excitation $L_{prop.}$ = 88 μm

A few tenths of a nanometer $\sim 0.1 \text{ nm} = 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$



Plasmonics – Physical orders of magnitude – Time scale Dynamics of the plasmonic near field

Characteristic times of plasmonic physics

.Photon absorption time = Half-period of a Rabi oscillation ~ 1.10^{-16} s = 100 as .Photon field oscillation ~ 1 / 500 THz ~ 1.10^{-15} s = 1 fs .Plasmonic field oscillation ~ 1 / 500 THz ~ 1.10^{-15} s = 1 fs .Plasmon coherence lifetime ~ 1.10^{-14} s = 10 fs .Thermalisation time of a hot e⁻ gas ~ 1.10^{-13} s = 100 fs .Thermalisation time of a hot e⁻ gas coupled to a phonon bath ~ 1.10^{-12} s = 1 ps





Plasmonics – Physical orders of magnitude – Energy scale Energy of a localised surface plasmon, surface plasmon polariton

Localised plasmon resonance energy - Full Width at Half Maximum FWHM

 $FWHM = \frac{2\hbar}{Plasmon\ coherence\ time\ T}$

N.A. Plasmon coherence time T \approx 10 fs = 1.10⁻¹⁴ s FWHM \approx 100 meV \Leftrightarrow 23 nm@530 nm

Plasma wave in metals

Plasma oscillation quantum (volume) $\hbar \omega_V = \hbar \sqrt{\frac{\rho_e e^2}{\epsilon_0 m_e}}$



(Surface)
$$\hbar\omega_{\rm S} = \frac{\hbar\omega_{\rm V}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

 m_e , e masse, resp. charge of a free electron [kg], [C] ϵ_0 Vacuum permittivity [F/m] ρ_e nb of free electrons per unit volume [nb e⁻/L³], 1.10²² e⁻.cm⁻³ < ρ_e < 1.10²⁴ e⁻.cm⁻³

Energy \Rightarrow 3.7 eV < $\hbar\omega_V$ < 37 eV, 2.6 eV < $\hbar\omega_S$ < 26 eV



S. Mitiche et al. J. of Phys. Chem. C 121 (2017) 4517; L. Douillard et al. Nano Lett. 8 (2008) 935



Plasmonic near fields – Physical orders of magnitude



Mapping the evanescent field at the nanometre SNOM/NSOM - scanning near-field optical microscopy (1984...)



Basic principle

.scanning probe microscopy SPM. Closely related to scanning tunnelling microscopy STM

.introduction of a tip (glass fiber, metal...) in the near field of the object to be studied – intrusive technique

.routine resolution 50 - 100 nm

.many variants (illumination and collection modes)

Drawbacks

.low reproducibility of tips

.possible perturbation of the evanescent field due to LSP excitation and lightning-rod geometric effects at the tip-surface junction

Mapping the evanescent field at the nanometre Alternative non intrusive methods (2012)



STEM EELS scanning transmission microscopy in electron energy loss spectro. mode (electron in, electron out) = Mapping of an electron energy loss signature

CL cathodoluminescence (electron in, photon out) related to EELS

PEEM photoemission electron microscopy (photon in, electron out), other acronym = n-PPE n photon photoemission

R. Vogelgesang et al. Analyst 135 (2010) 1175



Electron Energy Loss Spectrometry EELS A tool for plasmonics



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Electron energy loss mapping

> To probe locally a sample (surface, thin film, particle) with a focused electron beam and investigate its excitations using the inelastically diffracted / scattered electrons



EELS Spectrometry

Elastically scattered and diffracted electrons - Rutherford scattered e⁻

HR Diffraction (HA)ADF Imaging



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Electron energy loss spectrum



Zero loss region – Low primary energies, high energetic resolution

 $1 \text{ meV} < \Delta \text{E} < 100 \text{ meV}$

 \rightarrow High Resolution Electron Energy Loss Spectrometry HREELS

Low loss region

100 meV < ΔE < 50 eV

 \rightarrow Electron Energy Loss Spectrometry EELS

High loss region – High primary energies

 $\Delta E > 50 \text{ eV}$

 \rightarrow EXtended Electron energy Loss Fine Structures EXELFS



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – EELS, loss region 100 meV < Δ E < 50 eV

Excitation mechanism n°1 Individual excitations

Electronic intra-, resp. interband transitions



Electron energy loss spectra of transition metal oxides R. Zimmerman PhD (1996)



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – EELS, loss region 100 meV < Δ E < 50 eV

- Excitation mechanism n°2
 - **Collective excitations**

Surface and bulk plasmons. Along its trajectory, an incoming electron polarizes the target, which in turn creates an induced field E_{ind}.



- (a) Regular Au nanoprism particle. HAADF-STEM imaging
- (b) EELS spectra acquired at corner A. One plasmon loss peaks at 1.75 eV
- (c) A series of 32 successive low loss STEM EELS spectra acquired along the line A B of the nanoprism. Three plasmon resonances are detected 1.75, 2.70 and 3.20 eV



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – EELS probability $\Gamma_{\rm EELS}$ for a small sphere a << λ

> The transient electric field associated to the e-beam is similar to a plane wave pulse of white light (at 100 keV, $v_e = 164 \text{ nm/fs} \sim 0.5c$). The nanoparticle (NP) sees a pulse containing a large spectrum of frequencies. (e, e) Coulombian coupling in nature,

➢ All the energy absorbed or scattered by a plasmonic particle has to be provided by the incident electrons. So, full electron losses are proportional to the particle extinction cross section

$$\Gamma_{\text{EELS}}^{\text{sphere}}\left(R_{\perp},\omega\right) = \frac{4e^2a}{\pi\hbar\nu^2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \frac{\left(a\omega/\nu\right)^{2l} \left(2-\delta_{0,m}\right)}{(l+m)!(l-m)!} K_m^{-2}\left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\nu}\right) \Im\{\alpha_l(\omega)\}$$

a Sphere diameter [m]

 R_{\perp} = (x, y) Impact parameter of the electrons traveling along the z direction at speed v [m]

 $\hbar\omega$ Loss energy [eV], ω pulsation = 2π .frequency

 $K_{\rm m}$ Modified Bessel function of the second kind

 \Im Imaginary part

 $\alpha_{\rm /}$ Sphere polarizability for mode / [C.m²/V]

Non relativistic electrons. For relativistic electrons the Lorentz factor $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ has to be considered

EELS depends on all resonance modes / of the NP

F. Garcia de Abajo *Phys. Rev. B* **59** (1999) 3095 M. Kociak & O. Stéphan *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43** (2014) 3865

Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – EELS probability Γ_{EELS} for a small sphere a << λ

> The e-beam electric field is similar to a plane wave pulse of white light. The NP sees a pulse containing a large spectrum of frequencies

> In the quasi-static approximation, the exciting field is static, i.e. presents no spatial variation over the particle. Thus, it couples only to the l = 1 dipolar mode

$$\Gamma_{EELS}^{sphere}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto \left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma v^{2}}\right)^{2} \left(a^{3} \Im\left(\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)\right) \left(K_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma v}\right) + \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} K_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma v}\right)\right)\right)$$
$$\Gamma_{EELS}^{sphere}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto a^{3} \Im\left(\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{R_{\perp}}{\gamma v/\omega}\right)$$
$$\sigma_{ext.} \cong \sigma_{abs.} \propto \left(a^{3} \Im\left(\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)\right)\right)$$

- EELS probability of a small sphere is proportional to its extinction (absorption) crosssection as measured in far field optics, $\sigma_{ext.} \cong \sigma_{abs.} \propto a^3 \Im(\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega))$
- EELS probability is position-dependent with a quasi-exponential decay with e-beam to sphere distance R_{\perp} , attenuation length = $\gamma \upsilon / \omega \sim 10 100$ nm \Leftrightarrow spatial resolution

F. Garcia de Abajo Phys. Rev. B **59** (1999) 3095

M. Kociak & O. Stéphan Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (2014) 3865



EMLDOS description. In quasi-static approximation

$$\Gamma_{EELS}^{sphere}(\omega,\vec{R}_{\perp}) = \frac{e^2}{\pi\hbar\omega^2} \sum_i \Im(f_i(\omega)) \left| TF_z(\vec{E}_i^z(\vec{R}_{\perp},\frac{\omega}{v})) \right|^2$$

 f_i generalized polarizability, i.e. the spectral dependence of the plasmon (plasmon energies = f_i poles); TF_z is a Fourier transform along the e-beam direction at point $\vec{R}_{\perp} = (x, y)$ in real space and momentum $q_z = \omega / \upsilon$ in reciprocal space of the electron induced nearfield electric field.

➢ Within a FT_z, EELS maps spectrally and spatially the zEMLDOS, i.e. the electromagnetic density of states in the direction along the e-beam axis
➢ EELS = Out-of-plane EMLDOS (\vec{v}_e . $\vec{E}_{ind} = \vec{v}_{e.z}$. $\vec{E}_{ind.z}$)

 \blacktriangleright Electron selection rules \neq photon selection rules \rightarrow Dark modes accessible

> Not a true optical process, so no direct control over the near field polarization

F. Garcia de Abajo *Phys. Rev. B* **59** (1999) 3095 M. Kociak & O. Stéphan *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43** (2014) 3865



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – EELS probability



D. Rossouw *et al. Nano Lett.* **11** (2011) 1499 M. Kociak & O. Stéphan *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43** (2014) 3865



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Instrumentation – STEM EELS mode



Scanning transmission electron microscope in electron energy loss mode - (electron in, electron out) process

Electron source (electron field gun) Electron optics Energy = Hundreds of keV (TEM)

The electron beam is focused and raster scanned on the sample. Beam diameter $\emptyset \leq 1 \text{ nm}$

EELS spectrometer for the extraction of the EELS spectrum at precise (x, y) position – Serial recording

➢ Near field imaging through the mapping of a particular electron energy loss (plasmon energy). Spatial resolution ≈ beam diameter



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Instrumentation – STEM EELS Difficulties & Limitations

How to get rid of the Zero Loss Peak ZLP

• The major experimental issue is to get at the same time both high energy- ($\delta E = 100 \text{ meV}$) and high spatial- ($\delta R = 0.1 \text{ nm}$) resolutions

• Thank to high brightness electron gun developments, beam monochromation (δE) is possible without too large drops of brightness (δR)

 Numerical treatments for better spectral deconvolution are now available. PSF deconvolution, Richardson-Lucy Deconvolution
 Gloter *et al.* Ultramicroscopy **96** (2003) 385

Less noisy and faster CCD detectors are available



Electron monochromator resolution is now down to 10 meV = 0.01 eV (2019)

> E. P. Bellido *et al. Microsc. Microanal.* **20** (2014) 767 O. L. Krivanek *et al. Nature* **514** (2014) 209



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Instrumentation – STEM Bright Field Imaging mode



Electron imaging

Electron source (electron field gun) Electron optics

The electron beam is focused and raster scanned on the sample. Beam diameter ∅ ≤ 1 nm

SEM Imaging. Image is built on backscattered, secondary and/or Auger electrons

> TEM Imaging. Image is built on transmitted electrons



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Instrumentation – STEM Imaging mode

Bright field imaging mode

CdSe Platelets, courtesy B. Dubertret



Dark Field imaging mode

CdSe Platelets, courtesy B. Dubertret



> Topography imaging. Fast electron probes can be made much smaller than the typical visible light wavelength. Atomic resolution ≈ 0.1 nm possible



Plasmonics of 2D objects - NanoPrism D_{3h} - STEM EELS EELS near field imaging



Regular nanoprism 78 nm. Near field Imaging. Resonance modes, m = {1, 2, 3} – STEM EELS (a) Raw exp data – ZLP, (b) FDTD simulation , (c) Gaussian fitting of the exp. data

J. Nelayah et al. Nature physics 3 (2007) 348



Plasmonics of Nanoobjects – Cubes & Rods - STEM EELS EELS near field imaging



B. Goris et al. J. Phys. Chem. C 118 (2014) 15356



Ag NanoRods, 14 nm in diameter

D. Rossouw et al. Nano Lett. 11 (2011) 1499



Electron energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics EELS near field imaging - Variants & Advances

EELS 3D tomography

→ 3D mapping of plasmon resonances O. Nicoletti *et al. Nature* **502** (2013) 80; A. Hörl *et al. Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 076801

Time-resolved EELS measurements in the fs time range

→ Use of a pulsed optical excitation combined with a pulsed electron probe F. Carbone *et al. Science* **325** (2009) 181

Vortex EELS measurements

 \rightarrow Use of an electron beam that carries an angular orbital momentum (diffractive phase plate, magnetic lens aberrations)

Applications = Measurement of magnetic phenomena at the nanoscale Magnetic plasmon mapping Z. Mohammadi *et al. Opt. Express* **20** (2012) 15024 Magnetic dichroism measurements in plasmonic structures X. Zambrana-Puyalto *et al. Nat. Commun.* **5** (2014) 4922



Cathodoluminescence CL A tool for plasmonics



Cathodoluminescence, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Cathodoluminescence near field imaging

> To probe locally a sample (surface, thin film, object) with a focused electron beam and investigate its excitations by collecting the emitted light (vis. spectrum)



EELS Spectrometry



Cathodoluminescence, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Coherent CL probability Γ_{CL} of a small sphere

The transient electric field associated to the e-beam is similar to a plane wave pulse of white light. The NP sees an EM pulse containing a large spectrum of frequencies
 In the QS approximation, the exciting field is static, i.e. presents no spatial variation over the particle size. Thus, it couples only to the l = 1 dipolar mode

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{CL}^{sphere}(\omega,R_{\perp}) &\propto \omega^3 \left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma v^2}\right)^2 \left(a^6 |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^2\right) \left(K_1^2 \left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma v}\right) + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} K_0^2 \left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma v}\right)\right) \\ \Gamma_{CL}^{sphere}(\omega,R_{\perp}) &\propto \left(a^6 |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{R_{\perp}}{\gamma v/\omega}\right) \end{split}$$

a Sphere diameter; $R_{\perp} = (x, y)$ Impact parameter of the electrons traveling along the z direction at speed v; $\hbar\omega$ Energy of the emitted photon; K_m Modified Bessel function of the second kind; α_l Sphere polarizability for mode l

- → CL probability of a small sphere $\Gamma_{\rm CL}$ is proportional to its scattering cross section as measured in far field optics, $\sigma_{sca.} \propto a^6 |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^2$
- > CL probability is position-dependent with a quasi-exponential decay with e-beam to sphere distance R_{\perp} , attenuation length $\gamma \upsilon / \omega \sim 10 100$ nm
- Radiative bright field modes accessible

A. Asenjo-Garcia, F. García de Abajo New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 103021; M. Kociak, O. Stéphan Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (2014) 3865



Cathodoluminescence, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Coherent CL probability Γ_{Cl} of any particle

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{CL}\left(\omega,\vec{R}_{\perp}\right) \\ &= \frac{\omega e^{2}}{4\pi^{2}\hbar c^{3}} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} f_{i}(\omega) f_{j}^{*}(\omega) \int_{\Omega_{D}} d\Omega \ \overrightarrow{p_{i\perp}}(\Omega) \cdot \overrightarrow{p_{j\perp}}^{*}(\Omega) \cdot TF_{z}(\vec{E}_{i}^{z}\left(\vec{R}_{\perp},\frac{\omega}{\upsilon}\right)) \cdot TF_{z}(\vec{E}_{j}^{z^{*}}\left(\vec{R}_{\perp},\frac{\omega}{\upsilon}\right)) \\ &= \frac{\omega e^{2}}{4\pi^{2}\hbar c^{3}} \sum_{i} |f_{i}(\omega)|^{2} \int_{\Omega_{D}} d\Omega \ |\overrightarrow{p_{i\perp}}(\Omega)|^{2} \cdot \left| TF_{z}\left(\vec{E}_{i}^{z}\left(\vec{R}_{\perp},\frac{\omega}{\upsilon}\right)\right) \right|^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{i} \sum_{j < i} coupled \ intermode \ terms \ (\overrightarrow{p_{i\perp}},\overrightarrow{p_{j\perp}}^{*}) \end{split}$$

Where $f_i(\omega)$ is the generalized polarizability function introduced for the EELS description, $\overrightarrow{p_{i\perp}}(\Omega)$ is the transverse dipole of mode i (obs. dir.) and $TF_z\left(\vec{E}_i^z\left(\vec{R}_{\perp},\frac{\omega}{v}\right)\right)$, the Fourier transform along the e-beam direction at impact point $\vec{R}_{\perp} = (x, y)$ in real space and momentum $k_z = \omega/\upsilon$ in reciprocal space of the electron induced electric near field \vec{E}_i^z .

- Within a FT_z, CL maps spectrally and spatially the zEMLDOS, i.e. the electromagnetic density of states in the direction along the e-beam axis
- CL probability is proportional to the induced dipole p_i + interference terms between mode orders (i, j)
 A. Losquin, M. Kociak ACS Photonics 2 (2015) 1619



Scanning (transmission) electron microscope in cathodoluminescence mode -(electron in, photon out) process

.Electron source (electron field gun)

.Electron optics, Electron energy = Tens of keV for a SEM, hundreds of keV for a TEM

.The electron beam is focused and raster scanned on the sample. Beam diam. $\emptyset \approx 10$ nm

.The optical luminescence spectrum is collected using a parabolic mirror at each beam position (x, y)

> Near field imaging through the mapping of a cathodoluminescence signal

A. Losquin, T. T. A. Lummen Front. Phys. 12 (2017) 127301



> Scanning (transmission) electron microscope in cathodoluminescence mode

• CL imaging mode. Acquisition of the spatially resolved cathodoluminescence signal at a particular optical wavelength λ . Spatial resolution $\delta R \approx$ electron beam diameter ≈ 10 nm

• CL spectrometry. Acquisition of a CL spectrum $I_{CL} = f(\lambda)$ at a fixed position (x, y) CL signal spectral resolution 1 - 10 meV \Leftrightarrow 0.3 – 3 nm @ 600 nm Significant broad luminescence background (post treatment analysis required)

• Optical signal = Additional information available like the polarization of the emitted light

A. Losquin, T. T. A. Lummen Front. Phys. 12 (2017) 127301



Plasmonics of Nanoobjects - NanoRods

Cathodoluminescence near field imaging – STEM CL (x,y) mode



Al nanorods (a) CL near field imaging for energy range 2.5 – 3.0 eV (b) SEM images (c) Calculated electromagnetic field distribution (FDTD)

M.W. Knight et al. Nano Lett. 12 (2012) 6000





Au nanoparticle 100 nm in diameter and 80 nm in height on Si

> CL Spectrometry. (a) CL spectrum spatially averaged over the particle with a 5 nm resolution. A clear resonant spectrum is observed, which reflects the CL radiation of a vertically-oriented dipolar plasmon resonance in the Au NP peaking at $\lambda = 560$ nm

> Angle resolved CL imaging. (b) Angular distribution of the CL radiation emitted by the Au NP. Off center e^{-} beam excitation translates into an asymmetric intensity profile ($\perp \& //$ dipoles)

T. Coenen et al. MRS BULLETIN 40 (April 2015) 359



Cathodoluminescence, a tool for plasmonics CL near field mapping - Advances & Variants

CL 3D tomography

→ Reconstruction of 3D CL intensity maps A. C. Atre et al. Nat. Nanotechnol. 10 (2015) 429

Angle resolved CL imaging polarimetry

→ Ability to characterize both directionality and polarisation characteristics of CL emission in the hemisphere above a plasmonic structure B. J. M. Brenny *et al. Appl. Phys.* Lett. **107** (2015) 201110; C. I. Osorio *et al. ACS Photonics* **3** (2016) 147

• Time resolved CL experiment, but in the ps time range (2019), not so relevant for plasmonics (1 - 10 fs time range)


Photon-Induced Near-Field Electron Microscopy PINEM, a tool for plasmonics



Photon-Induced Near-Field Electron Micros., a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – PINEM near field imaging

> In PINEM, the plasmonic sample is excited with a photon pulse of fs duration, simultaneously, an ultrashort electron pulse images the near field induced using the optical excitation \Rightarrow Three body interaction ($\hbar\omega_{ph}$ in (plasmon), e⁻ in, e⁻ out)



> Three body interaction

In free space, photons and electrons interact weakly because of a large momentum mismatch e^{-} viewpt $\Delta p(e^{-} + n.\hbar\omega) = n.\hbar\omega/v_{e}$ $\hbar\omega$ viewpt $\Delta p(e^{-} + n.\hbar\omega) = n.\hbar\omega/c$, $c > v_{e}$

In solids, possible interaction between electrons and photons through a plasmon exchange, an additional source of energy and momentum - **Evanescent wave at confined** sites, $\Delta z \cdot \Delta p \sim \hbar/2$



Photon-Induced Near-Field Electron Micros., a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – PINEM near field imaging

Energy Electron Gain Spectrometry EEGS

In this three body interaction scheme, the electron packets **lose** and/or **gain energy** in discrete quanta of the incident photon energy $\hbar\omega$, because of the presence of a time oscillating electric field, the evanescent field associated with the resonant plasmon of the particle



Carbon nanotubes, electrons of 200 keV, fs lifetime photons of 2.4 eV, fs lifetime Full temporal overlap $\Delta t(\hbar \omega, e) = 0$ s

B. Barwick, D. Flannigan, A. Zewail *Nature* **462** (2009) 902 A. Yurtsever, A. Zewail *Nanoletter* **12** (2012) 3334



Photon-Induced Near-Field Electron Micros., a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – PINEM probability for a small sphere Γ_{EEGS}

$$\Gamma_{EEGS}^{sphere}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto \left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma \nu^{2}}\right)^{2} \left(I_{0} |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^{2} K_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma \nu}\right) \delta\left(\omega - \omega_{ph.}\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{EEGS}^{sphere}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto \left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma \nu^{2}}\right)^{2} \left(I_{0} |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^{2} \delta\left(\omega - \omega_{ph.}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{R_{\perp}}{\gamma \nu/\omega}\right)$$

Intensity of the excitation laser; $R_{\perp} = (x, y)$ Impact parameter of the electrons traveling along the z direction at speed v; $\hbar\omega$ Loss energy; $\hbar\omega_{ph}$ photon energy; γ Lorentz factor $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ (relativistic electrons); K_m Modified Bessel function of the second kind; α_l Sphere polarizability for mode l = 1

- > PINEM probability of a small sphere is proportional to its scattering cross section as measured in far field optics, $\sigma_{sca.} \propto |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^2 \propto a^6$ (PINEM = scattering process)
- > PINEM probability is position-dependent with a quasi-exponential decay with e-beam to sphere distance R_{\perp} , attenuation length $\gamma \upsilon / \omega \sim 10 100$ nm \Leftrightarrow spatial resolution
- ➢ PINEM proceeds by discrete quantum energy exchange δ(ω ω_{ph.}) ⇔ well-defined energy
 A. Asenjo-Garcia, F. García de Abajo New J. Phys. **15** (2013) 103021



Photon-Induced Near-Field Electron Micros., a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – PINEM vs EMLDOS

> By energy-filtering only those electrons resulting from **one specific photon absorption**, it is possible to :

- image directly in space the electric nearfield distribution
- obtain the temporal behavior of the field on the femtosecond timescale
- map its spatial polarization dependence Polarisation control (bright modes)

> Similar to CL and EELS, within a Fourier transform PINEM detects the near electric field component along the direction of the electron beam (evanescent field)

$$I_{\text{PINEM}}(x, y, \Delta t) = \left| \int_{+\infty}^{-\infty} E_z^{\text{photon}}(x, y, z, \Delta t) e^{-i\left(\frac{\omega}{\upsilon}\right)z} dz \right|^2$$

Fourier transform of the E_z^{photon} photon induced electric nearfield, along the e-beam direction at point $\vec{R}_{\perp} = (x, y)$ in real space, momentum value $q_z = \omega / \upsilon$ in reciprocal space and time delay Δt between electron and photon pulses (power spectrum)

Sang Tae Park *et al New J. Phys.* **12** (2010) 123028 A. Yurtsever, A. Zewail *Nano Lett.* **12** (2012) 3334



process

Photon-Induced Near-Field Electron Micros., a tool for plasmonics Instrumentation – Ultrafast Electron microscope UEM



M. Hassan J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 51 (2018) 032005



PINEM near field imaging

Plasmonics of 0D objects - Au NanoSpheres



Near electric field mapping along the direction of the electron beam E_z
 Spatial polarization dependence – Optical Polarisation Control



PINEM near field imaging Plasmonics of 1D objects – Ag Nanowires 5.7 μm x 0.134 μm



 \succ Electron intensity map vs the time delay Δt between the optical pump and electron probe pulses. Wavelength 800 nm, polarization angle 45°. (c – g) Pictures of a single Ag nanowire at different Δt obtained using EEG electrons (white arrow). Scale bar 2 μ m.

L. Piazza et al. Nat. Comm. 6:6407 (2015) 1



PINEM Near field imaging

Plasmonics of 1D objects - Nanowires, polarization dependence





Photoemission electron microscopy PEEM A tool for plasmonics



Photoemission electron microscopy, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Plasmon decay channels



Plasmon decay channels

.free-space radiative losses scattering luminescence

.non radiative losses ohmic losses (phonons) secondary SPP excitation electron emission

Mapping the near field at the nanoscale through electron emission - Photoelectric effect
 (photon in, electron out)

Photoemission electron microscopy, a tool for plasmonics Physical principle



(i) Plasmon excitation ⇔ Exaltedphotoelectric effect in plasmonic field

(ii) Non linear photoemission process

Plasmon res. $E_{plasmon} \approx 1,55 \text{ eV}$ (IR 800 nm) Metal work function $\Phi_{metal} \approx 4,5 \text{ eV}$

$$\mathsf{n}.\mathsf{h}v > \Phi_{\mathsf{Metal}}$$

n order of non linearity, $h\nu$ photon energy [eV], Φ_{metal} metal work function [eV]

M. Merschdorf et al. Phys. Rev. B 70 (2004) 193401

$$E_{\text{int.}}(\nu) = G(\nu) \cdot E_{ext.}(\nu), \ G_{Sphere} = \frac{3\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_m}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} E_{int.} \mbox{ Internal electric field [V/m]} \\ G \mbox{ Response function of the many electron system} \\ E_{ext.} \mbox{ Incident electric field [V/m]} \end{array}$

Photoemission electron microscopy, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle

> The non linear photoemission process is proportional to the $2n^{th}$ power of the surface electric field $E_{int.}$ (electron reservoir, surface photoemission process)

Multiphotonic cascade absorption and/or coherent absorption from a hot electron gas

$$I_{e^{-}} \propto (\vec{p}.\vec{E}_{\text{int.}})^{2n} \propto (\vec{p}.G\vec{E}_{ext.})^{2n}$$

p electron momentum [kg.m/s] E_{int.} internal electric field [V/m] n non linearity order E_{ext.} incident electric field [V/m]

Bright field modes accessible (photon in)

For large objects $\geq \lambda_{h\nu}$, the internal electric field of the plasmon excitation $\vec{E}_{int.}^{SPP}(r,t)$ (group velocity $v_{SPP} < c$) interferes with a 2nd component linked to the incident field $\vec{E}_{int.}^{h\nu}(r,t)$ (group velocity c / refractive index).



→ For large objects ≥ $\lambda_{h\nu}$, observation of beating interference patterns between ($\vec{E}_{int.}^{SPP}(r,t)$, $\vec{E}_{int.}^{h\nu}(r,t)$)

Photoemission electron microscopy, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Photoelectron emission rate R_{Emission}

$$R_{Emission}^{Surface} \approx \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{e^2 E_F^2}{\hbar} \frac{1}{(\hbar\omega)^3} \int_{Surface} \left| E_{Surf.int.}^{\perp} \right|^{2n} dS$$

- R_{Emission} electron emission rate [electrons/s]
- e electron charge [C]
- $\hbar\omega$ energy of the incident photon [eV]
- E_F Fermi level of the metallic particle [eV]

n non-linearity order, *i.e.* the number of photons absorbed to overcome the work function of the specimen []

 $E_{Surf.int.}^{\perp}$ normal to the surface component of the near electric field, i.e. EMLDOS_{\perp}

electromagnetic density of states along the normal of the object surface [V/m]

> PEEM signal scales with the electromagnetic density of states projected on the object surface normal.





Basic principle. Mapping of the photoemitted electron distribution in two dimensions (photon in, electron out)

.standard electron optics (electrostatic, magnetic lenses)

.full field microscopy, no scanning probe

.non intrusive technique, no physical tip in the vicinity of the measuring volume (\neq SNOM)

.image contrast: work function Φ (small photon hv), photoemission lines (large photon hv) .surface sensitivity ~ electron inelastic mean free path $\lambda_{electron}$.lateral resolution (16 / 84 criteria) \approx **20 nm, resp. \leq 5 nm on AC instrument**

E. Brüche, Z. Phys. 86 (1933) 448; J. Pohl, Zeitschr. f. techn. Physik 12 (1934) 579; E. Bauer Rep. Prog. Phys. 57 (1994) 895

PEEM – photoemission electron microscopy (1933)
Oxidation of carbon monoxide on Pt(110)



> Oxidation reaction (445 x 445 μ m²)

$$CO + * \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\rightarrow} CO_{ad}$$
$$O_2 + 2^* \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} 2O_{ad}$$
$$CO_{ad} + O_{ad} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} CO_2 + 2^*$$

* = Free Pt(110) site

Carbon monoxide "Bright" Φ = 5.8 eV Oxygen "Dark" Φ = 6.5 eV

Freedom degrees P_{CO} = 4.3 10⁻⁵ mb, P_{O2} = 4.10⁻⁴ mb, T = 448 K

Spatio-temporel pattern of the surface reaction (spiral diffusion fronts)

S. Nettesheim, A. von Oertzen, H. H. Rotermund, G. Ertl (2007 chemistry Nobel Prize) J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 9977





 Basic principle. Mapping of the 2D backscattered electron distribution (electron in, electron out) - Topographic imaging LEEM = TF(LEED + phase) .standard electron optics (electrostatic & magnetic lenses) .full field microscopy, no scanning probe .non intrusive technique, no physical tip in the vicinity of the measuring volume

.contrast = diffraction, interferences between optical electron paths...
.vertical resolution = atomic step
.lateral resolution (16/84 criteria) ≈ 10 nm, resp. ≤ 2 nm on AC instrument

W. Telieps, E. Bauer Appl. Phys. A 44 (1987) 55





Mo(110) Surface
 LEEM
 Electron kinetic energy 14 eV
 Monoatomic step contrast

W. Telieps, E. Bauer Ultramicroscopy 17 (1985) 57-66





Conductive sample (no charging effect) of low roughness

> LASER source Ti:Al₂O₃, 150 fs, wavelength [680 nm, 1100 nm] (IR) + OPO wavelength [525 nm, 650 nm], incidence angles $\{-90^{\circ}, -45^{\circ}, +3^{\circ}, +15^{\circ}, +90^{\circ}\}$ / sample surface plane

LEEM / PEEM III equipped with an electron energy analyser <u>www.elmitec-gmbh.com</u> PEEM field of view 1.5 - 120 µm, 16/84 lateral resolution **20 nm** (≤ 5 nm AC) LEEM field of view 1.5 - 80 µm, 16/84 lateral resolution **10 nm** (≤ 2 nm AC)



Mapping the evanescent field at the nanometre - PEEM Nanoparticle assemblies - LSP



Au disks / ITO - Ø120 nm, lattice spacing 400 nm Source UT Troyes

LEEM picture (topographic imaging mode)

Excitation = electrons (LASER off) Signal = backscattered electrons



Au disks / ITO - Grazing incidence p pol. 150 MW/cm²

PEEM picture under LASER illumination

Excitation = 766 nm photons hv (1.62 eV) < Φ_{Au} (4.6 - 5.1 eV) Signal = photoelectrons ! (3 hv / e⁻) High signal to noise ratio

L. Douillard et al. J. of Appl. Phys. 101 (2007) 083518



Non linear photoemission – PEEM

Nano-particle assemblies – Off plane polarisation dependence



> Dependence of the photoemission yield with the polarisation angle θ at grazing incidence \Leftrightarrow PEEM integrated signal scales as $\cos(\theta)^6$

➤ 3 photon photoemission process (3 x 1.41 eV = 4.23 eV, $\Phi_{Au} \approx 4.6 - 5.1$ eV).
Probable field assisted 3-PPE emission mechanism.

L. Douillard *et al. J. of Appl. Phys.* **101** (2007) 083518



Plasmonics of 2D objects - Nanohexagon D_{6h} - PEEM Near field mapping - <u>Linear polarisation</u>





Au Hexagon - SEM L = 150 - 200 nm Thickness ≈ 15 nm (Colloïdal synthesis, CEA S. Marguet)

Group Theory

Hexagon = Object of D_{6h} symmetry Two eigenstates of the dipolar plasmon resonance for a <u>linear polarisation excitation</u>

> S. Mitiche PhD (2018) S. Mitiche, *et al. J. Phys. Chem. C* **121** (2017) 4517 C. Awada, T. Popescu *et al. J. Phys. Chem. C* **116** (2012) 14591



Plasmonics of 2D objects - Nanohexagon D_{6h} - PEEM Near field mapping - <u>Linear polarisation</u>



Au Hexagon - SEM L = 150 - 200 nm Thickness ≈ 15 nm (Colloïdal synthesis, CEA S. Marguet)

Boundary Element Method (BEM) Simulation Au Hexagon

Electric field maps, z components $|E_z| \& |E_z|^{6 = 2x3}$



Plasmonics of 2D objects - Nanohexagon D_{6h} - PEEM Near field mapping - <u>Linear polarisation</u>



> 150 nm Au Hexagon – Dipolar resonance (λ = 850 nm) under <u>linear pol. exc.</u> PEEM Imaging & Group Theory Interpretation (S. Mitiche PhD 2018)



Non linear photoemission - PEEM – Absorption spectrum Spheres - Absorption resonance = f(diameter 2R)



- Plasmon resonance maxima vs sphere diameter 2R
- Absorption spectrum on individual object, I_{photoemission} = f(incident wavelength)
- > Spectral resolution \approx 1 nm (130 fs, 2.5 meV)

PhD S. Mitiche 2018



Plasmon polariton propagation from a trench in a Au film (k conservation) Pump-probe experiment. Time resolution = 0,2 fs, spatial resolution = 20 nm Field propagation length δ(Au, 800 nm) = 88 µm (92 µm JC72, 89 µm Ordal87) Group velocity SPP = 0,95c

Y. Gong, A. Joly, D. Hu, P. El-Khoury, W. Hess NanoLett. 15 (2015) 3472



Propagation of plasmons-polaritons (nm, fs) – PEEM 2D Symmetry – Pump-probe experiment - Au / vide interface



▶ PEEM Region 1. SPP signal close to the geometric launcher I_{PEEM} ∝ (E_{pump})⁶
▶ PEEM Region 2. SPP signal far away from the launcher I_{PEEM} ∝ (E_{pump})².(E_{probe})⁴
Sequential coherent photoemission process. Attenuation δ(Au, 800 nm) = 88 µm

Y. Gong, A. Joly, D. Hu, P. El-Khoury, W. Hess NanoLett. 15 (2015) 3472



Electron dynamics in a solid - PEEM

Time-resolved PEEM variant – (space, time, energy) = (nm, fs, eV)



Investigation of the electron dynamics (space, time, energy) in an elementary (p, n) junction (InSe, AsGa) = InSe flake on a AsGa substrate

Two colors Pump Probe experiment:

pump laser NIR 800 nm 1.55 eV probe laser UV 266 nm 4.66 eV

LEEM - PEEM III Microscope

M. Man et al. Nature Nanotech 12 (2017) 36





2 ps 10 ps Thic InSe GaAs 3 ps 50 ps 5 ps 100 ps Loss < Gain Photoemission intensity



Non-equilibrium distribution of charges with high-energy electrons localized in GaAs and in available states in InSe due to a lower conduction band minimum Electron temperature ≈ 1700 K

> Electron transport over time in 2 steps

(i) e⁻ accumulate in all parts of InSe at early time delays due to electron transfer from high-energy GaAs states

(ii) the initial rapid accumulation of charge in various parts of InSe slows down (electric field), followed by eventual recombinations



Two photon luminescence TPL A tool for plasmonics



Two photon luminescence TPL, a tool for plasmonics Two photon excitation principle (2 x photon in, 1 x photon out)





Two photon luminescence TPL, a tool for plasmonics Two photon excitation signal intensity

$$I_{TPL}(\omega, \vec{R}_0) \propto \int_V |E_0(R_0, r, \omega)|^4 EMLDOS_{\parallel}^2(r, \omega) dr$$

 $\hbar\omega$ energy of the incident photon I_{TPL} two photon luminescence signal intensity r(x, y, z) arbitrary position within the metallic particle volume V $R_0 (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ light beam waist center, i.e. focal point position E_0 incident electric field $EMLDOS_{//}(r, \omega)$ in-plane electromagnetic density of states

➢ TPL is proportional to the (i) squared projection of the in-plane electromagnetic density of states along the polarization direction for a linear polarization, and (ii) the squared of the in-plane electromagnetic density of states for a circular polarization

A. Teulle *et al. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **29** (2012) 2431 S. Viarbitskaya *et al. Nature Mat.* **12** (2013) 426



Two photon luminescence TPL, a tool for plasmonics Instrumentation





Two photon luminescence TPL, a tool for plasmonics TPL near field imaging - Au nanoplatelets



(a, b, c) SEM images of triangular, truncated triangular, and hexagonal Au nanoplatelets (d) - (i) TPL maps acquired with λ = 700 nm and linear polarization of 0° (d, e, f) and 90° (g, h, i), white bars in the upper right corners. (j, k, l, m, n, o) Simulated maps. Scale bars 200 nm.

S. Viarbitskaya Appl. Phys. Lett. 103 (2013) 131112

Reference Reviews

R. Bachelot, L. Douillard **Probing the optical near-field.** Springer Series in Optical Sciences SSOS **244** Springer Advances in Near-Field Optics Hardcover ISBN978-3-031-34741-2, Online ISBN978-3-031-34742-9 (2023) 137-196, DOI /10.1007/978-3-031-34742-9 4

A. Losquin, T. A. Lummen Electron microscopy methods for space-, energy-, and timeresolved plasmonics *Front. Phys.* **12** (2017) 127301, DOI <u>10.1007/s11467-016-0605-2</u>

A. Merlen, F. Lagugné-Labarthet Imaging the Optical Near Field in Plasmonic Nanostructures Applied Spectroscopy 68 (2014) 1307-1326, DOI <u>10.1366/14-07699</u>

M. Kociak, O. Stéphan Mapping plasmons at the nanometer scale in an electron microscope Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (2014) 3865, DOI <u>10.1039/C3CS60478K</u>

T. Coenen *et al.* Cathodoluminescence microscopy: Optical imaging and spectroscopy with deep-subwavelength resolution *MRS Bulletin* 40 (April 2015) 359, DOI 10.1557/mrs.2015.64

L. Douillard, C. Charra **High-resolution mapping of plasmonic modes: photoemission and scanning tunnelling luminescence microscopies** *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **44** (2011) 464002, DOI <u>10.1088/0022-3727/44/46/464002</u>

G. M. Caruso, F. Houdellier, S. Weber, M. Kociak, A. Arbouet **High brightness ultrafast transmission electron microscope based on a laser-driven cold field emission source: principle and applications** *Adv. in Phys. X* **4** (2019) 1660214 DOI 10.1080/23746149.2019.1660214

Supplementary material


Electron Energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Electron energy loss spectrum

 \succ Investigate the excitations of surfaces, thin films or objects using the inelastically diffracted / scattered electrons – Analysis of the electron energy losses

 $(E_{i,k_{i}})_{electron} + excitation (fast electrons) \rightarrow (E_{f,k_{f}})_{electron}$ $\Delta E(\overrightarrow{q_{//}}) = E_{f}(\overrightarrow{k_{f}}) - E_{i}(\overrightarrow{k_{i}})$ Energy conservation $\Delta \overrightarrow{k_{//}} = \overrightarrow{q_{//}} + \overrightarrow{G_{//}}, \ \overrightarrow{k_{//}}$ component momentum conservation

Zero loss region – Low primary energies, high energetic resolution $E_i < 200 \text{ eV}$, 1 meV < $\Delta E < 100 \text{ meV}$

→ High Resolution Electron Energy Loss Spectrometry HREELS

Low loss region

- $E_i < 1000 \text{ eV}$, 100 meV < $\Delta E < 50 \text{ eV}$
- → Electron Energy Loss Spectrometry EELS

High loss region – High primary energies

 $\Delta E > 50 \text{ eV}$

 \rightarrow Extended Electron energy Loss Fine Structures EXELFS





Distribution de moments des électrons chauds à l'échelle de l'objet individuel - PEEM Espace réciproque



Montage Exp.

Bâtonnet Au 67 \pm 2 nm x 19 \pm 2 nm, Substrat ITO / SiO₂ Résonance plasmon λ_{Res} = 795 nm, FWHM = 41 nm, 80 meV Illumination suivant la normale arrière Champ électrique E₀ // k_x

- Microscopie PEEM à détection Temps de Vol dans l'espace réciproque
- > Mesure de la densité d'états $\rho(k_x, k_y, E_{cin})$
- Détermination de la distribution des moments des électrons photoémis d'un bâtonnet Au à résonance plasmon

Momentum Distribution of Electrons Emitted from Resonantly Excited Individual Gold Nanorods M. Lehr, B. Foerster, M. Schmitt, K. Krüger, C. Sönnichsen, G. Schönhense, H.-J. Elmers Nano Lett. **17** (2017) 6606 DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b02434

Distribution de moments des électrons chauds à l'échelle de l'objet individuel – PEEM Espace réciproque



Bâtonnet Au individuel (a, b) Profils des moments suivant les directions k_x et k_y; (c) Soustraction des profils (a) et (b); (d-f) coupes transverses aux énergies cinétiques 1.3, 0.8 et 0.3 eV, cf. (a). Polarisation du champ électrique suivant la direction k_x.

Deux composantes de moment distinctes :

(i) Une **composante isotrope** liée à la photoémission non linéaire à partir du gaz d'électrons chauds (> 90 %, diffusion multiple),

(ii) Une composante anisotrope liée à l'émission de champ (effet tunnel sous champ optique) aux extrémités du bâtonnet suivant l'axe longitudinal (< 10 %). Facteur de Keldysh ~ 1 – 3. Régime de transition n.hv vs Fowler-Nordheim



Propagation of plasmons-polaritons (nm, fs) – PEEM Symétrie 2D – Loi Snell-Descartes - Interface Ag / vide



Loi de Snell-Descartes

.Triangle Ag / Si(111) .PEEM 2 photons

> Onde incidente k_{//}, milieu n_{vide} onde SPP k_{SPP}, milieu n_{Ag} gure de battements k_B (Moiré)

> > $\overrightarrow{k_B} = \overrightarrow{k_{SPP}} - \overrightarrow{k_{//}}$

 $k_{//} . sin(\theta_1) = k_{SPP} . sin(\theta_2)$

➤ Validation de la loi Snell-Descartes pour la réfraction Onde libre → Onde plasmon - polariton Onde plasmon - polariton → Onde libre

N.M. Buckanie et al. Ultramicroscopy 130 (2013) 49-53



Cathodoluminescence, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – CL probability vs EMLDOS

In quasi-static approximation

$$\Gamma_{CL}^{sphere}(\omega,\vec{R}_{\perp}) = \frac{e^2}{\pi\hbar\omega^2} \sum_i \Im(f_i(\omega)) \left| TF_z(\vec{E}_i^z\left(\vec{R}_{\perp},\frac{\omega}{v}\right)) \right|^2$$

 f_i generalized polarizability, i.e. the spectral dependence variation (plasmon energies = f_i poles) TF_z is a Fourier transform along the e-beam direction at point $\vec{R}_{\perp} = (x, y)$ in real space and momentum $q_z = \omega / \upsilon$ in reciprocal space

> For bright modes and within a FT_z , CL maps spectrally and spatially the zEMLDOS, i.e. the electromagnetic density of states in the direction along the e-beam axis

- CL = Out-of-plane EMLDOS for bright modes
- \blacktriangleright Electron selection rules \neq photon selection rules \rightarrow Dark modes accessible
- > Direct control over output polarization is possible

F. Garcia de Abajo *Phys. Rev. B* **59** (1999) 3095 M. Kociak, O. Stéphan *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43** (2014) 3865 > The electric field parallel to the electron beam is described by a temporal Gaussian wavepacket where Δp is the light pulse duration and τ is the delay between the arrivals of the photon and the electron pulses at the position of the sample.

$$E_z(z, t) = E_z(z) \exp\left[-i\omega t - \frac{(t+\tau)^2}{\Delta_p^2}\right]$$

PINEM Echange d'impulsion suite à l'absorption (rencontre) de n photons avec un électron

(i) Point de vue de l'électron

$$\begin{split} &\Delta p = p_f - p_i \\ &\Delta p = (2m(E_i \pm n.\hbar\omega))^{1/2} - (2mE_i)^{1/2}) \\ &\Delta p \approx n.\hbar\omega \ / \ v_e, \ E_i = 200 \ keV >> \hbar\omega = 1 \ eV \ (développement limité \ (1 + x)^{1/2} \ justifié) \end{split}$$

(ii) Point de vue du photon

 $\Delta p = p_f - p_i$ $\Delta p = n.\hbar\omega / c, c > v_e$ EELS, EEGS, CL probabilities densities for the dipole plasmon of a sphere within the quasi static approximation

$$\Gamma_{EELS}^{sphere}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto \left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma \nu^{2}}\right)^{2} \left(K_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma \upsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}K_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma \upsilon}\right)\right) \Im\left(\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{EEGS}^{sphere}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto \left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma \nu^{2}}\right)^{2} I_{0} K_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma \upsilon}\right) |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^{2} \delta(\omega - \omega_{i})$$

$$\Gamma_{CL}^{sphere}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto \omega^3 \left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma \nu^2}\right)^2 \left(K_1^2 \left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma \upsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} K_0^2 \left(\frac{\omega R_{\perp}}{\gamma \upsilon}\right) \right) |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^2$$

A. Asenjo-Garcia, F. García de Abajo New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 103021



Electron Energy loss spectrometry, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – EELS probability for a small sphere

> The electron electric field is similar to a plane wave pulse of white light. The NP sees a pulse containing a large spectrum of frequencies

> In the quasi-static QS approximation, the exciting field is static, i.e. presents no spatial variation over the particle. Thus, it couples only to the l = 1 dipolar mode. For non relativistic electrons, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\text{EELS}}^{\text{sphere}}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto (a^{3} \Im(\alpha_{l=1}(\omega)) (K_{1}^{2}(\omega R_{\perp}/\nu) + K_{0}^{2}(\omega R_{\perp}/\nu)) \\ \Gamma_{\text{EELS}}^{\text{sphere}}(\omega, R_{\perp}) \propto a^{3} \Im(\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)) \exp(-\frac{R_{\perp}}{\nu/\omega}) \\ \sigma_{ext.} \propto (a^{3} \Im(\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega))) \end{split}$$

- Formally, the EELS probability of a small sphere is proportional to its absorption crosssection as measured in far field optics
- > EELS probability is position-dependent with a quasi-exponential decay with e-beam to sphere distance R_{\perp} , attenuation length = $\upsilon/\omega \sim 10$ nm

F. Garcia de Abajo *Phys. Rev. B* **59** (1999) 3095 M. Kociak & O. Stéphan *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43** (2014) 3865



Cathodoluminescence, a tool for plasmonics Basic principle – Coherent CL probability

➢ The transient electric field associated to the e-beam is similar to a plane wave pulse of white light. The NP sees a EM pulse containing a large spectrum of frequencies.

> In the quasi-static approximation, the exciting field is static, i.e. presents no spatial variation over the particle size. Thus, it couples only to the l = 1 dipolar mode.

$$\Gamma_{CL}^{\text{sphere}}(R_{\perp},\omega) \propto (a^{6}|\alpha_{l=1}(\omega)|^{2}(K_{1}^{2}(\omega R_{\perp}/\nu) + K_{0}^{2}(\omega R_{\perp}/\nu))$$

$$\Gamma_{CL}^{\text{sphere}}(\omega,R_{\perp}) \propto (a^{6}|\alpha_{l=1}(\omega)|^{2}) \exp(-\frac{R_{\perp}}{\nu_{e}/\omega})$$

a Sphere diameter; $R_{\perp} = (x, y)$ Impact parameter of the electrons traveling along the z direction at speed v_e ; $\hbar\omega$ Energy of the emitted photon; K_m Modified Bessel function of the second kind; α_l Sphere polarizability for mode l

- Formally, the CL probability of a small sphere is proportional to its scattering cross section as measured in far field optics, $\sigma_{sca.} \propto a^6 |\alpha_{\iota=1}(\omega)|^2$
- > CL probability is position-dependent with a quasi-exponential decay with e-beam to sphere distance R_{\perp} , attenuation length $v_e/\omega \sim 10$ nm
- \blacktriangleright Electron selection rules \neq photon selection rules \rightarrow Dark modes accessible
- A. Asenjo-Garcia, F. García de Abajo New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 103021; M. Kociak & O. Stéphan Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (2014) 3865

Vitesse d'un electron relativisteFacteur de Lorentz $v^2 = c^2 . (1 - 1 / (1 + E / m_e c^2)^2)$ Facteur de LorentzA.N. U = 100, 200 keV, $E_0 = m_e c^2 = 511 \text{ keV}$ 100, 200 keV, $E_0 = m_e c^2 = 511 \text{ keV}$ $(v_e/c)^2 = 1 - 1 / (1 + 100 / 511)^2), v_e/c = 0.548 \sim 1 / 1.82 \sim 1 / 2$ 1.1955 $(v_e/c)^2 = 1 - 1 / (1 + 200 / 511)^2), v_e/c = 0.695 \sim 1 / 1.4$ 1.3908

Mesure EELS - Distance d'atténuation sur un TEM U = 100, 200 keV L = $\gamma \upsilon_e / \omega = \gamma c.T / (1.82*2\pi) = \gamma / 2\pi \cdot \lambda_0 / 1.82$ où λ_0 désigne la longueur d'onde dans le vide du photon correspond à la perte d'énergie considérée

A.N. U = 100 keV Pour une perte de 1 eV, λ_0 = 1240 nm, L = 1.1955 x 1240 / (2 π 1.82) = 130 nm Pour une perte de 2 eV, λ_0 = 620 nm, L = 1.1955 x 620 / (2 π 1.82) = 65 nm

U = 200 keV Pour une perte de 1 eV, λ_0 = 1240 nm, L = 1.3908 x 1240 / (2 π 1.44) = 191 nm Pour une perte de 2 eV, λ_0 = 620 nm, L = 1.3908 x 620 / (2 π 1.44) = 95 nm **HAADF (Wikipedia)** High-angle annular dark-field imaging (HAADF) is an STEM technique which produces an annular dark field image formed by very high angle, incoherently scattered electrons (Rutherford scattered from the nucleus of the atoms) — as opposed to Bragg scattered electrons. This technique is highly sensitive to variations in the atomic number of atoms in the sample (Z-contrast images).[3]

Introduction	10	
EELS	16	11
CL	09	27
PINEM	09	36
PEEM	20	45
TPL	05	65
Ref.	01	70
Total	70	