

KERNEL THEOREMS FOR OPERATORS ON CO-ORBIT SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH LOCALISED FRAMES

Dimitri Bytchenkoff, Michael Speckbacher, Peter Balazs

▶ To cite this version:

Dimitri Bytchenkoff, Michael Speckbacher, Peter Balazs. KERNEL THEOREMS FOR OPERATORS ON CO-ORBIT SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH LOCALISED FRAMES. 2024. hal-04484413

HAL Id: hal-04484413

https://hal.science/hal-04484413

Preprint submitted on 29 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



KERNEL THEOREMS FOR OPERATORS ON CO-ORBIT SPACES ASSOCIATED WITH LOCALISED FRAMES

DIMITRI BYTCHENKOFF, MICHAEL SPECKBACHER, AND PETER BALAZS

ABSTRACT. Kernel theorems, in general, provide a convenient representation of bounded linear operators. For the operator acting on a concrete function space, this means that its action on any element of the space can be expressed as a generalised integral operator, in a way reminiscent of the matrix representation of linear operators acting on finite dimensional vector spaces. We prove kernel theorems for bounded linear operators acting on co-orbit spaces associated with localised frames. Our two main results consist in characterising the spaces of operators whose generalised integral kernels belong to the co-orbit spaces of test functions and distributions associated with the tensor product of the localised frames respectively. Moreover, using a version of Schur's test, we establish a characterisation of the bounded linear operators between some specific co-orbit spaces.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: 42B35, 42C15, 46A32, 47B34 Keywords: Kernel theorem, localised frames, co-orbit spaces, operator representation, tensor products

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with co-orbit spaces, which were originally introduced in the late 1980's by Feichtinger and Gröchenig [19–21], and the bounded linear operators that act on those spaces. Co-orbit spaces, such as modulation [17] and Besov spaces [5], are defined as Banach spaces of functions or distributions whose membership is determined by integrability conditions imposed on their generalised wavelet transform. Co-orbit theory provides a convenient way of analysing and synthesising spaces of functions or distributions. The original definition of coorbit spaces relied on an integrable representation of a locally compact group on a Hilbert space. In the early 2000's, Gröchenig and Fornasier showed how localised frames can be used to introduce a novel class of co-orbit spaces and guarantee their stable decomposition [23, 29, 30]. In this report, we prove kernel theorems for bounded linear operators acting on co-orbit spaces associated with localised frames.

The main purpose of any kernel theorem is to express a given operator as a generalised integral operator. The original kernel theorem, proved by Laurent Schwartz in 1952, states that for any continuous linear operator O mapping the Schwartz space $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on the space of tempered distributions $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a unique tempered distribution $K \in S'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, called the kernel, that satisfies

$$\langle Of_1, f_2 \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}^n), S(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \langle K, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), S(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}, \tag{1.1}$$

for any $f_1, f_2 \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, if K happens to be a locally integrable function, then

$$\langle Of_1, f_2 \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}^n), S(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y) f_1(y) \overline{f_2(x)} dy dx, \tag{1.2}$$

and so the operator O is indeed expressed as an integral operator. Other classical kernel theorems were established, for example, for the continuous operators mapping $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [32, Theorem 5.2], and for operators mapping Gelfand-Shilov spaces on their distribution spaces [27].

In 1980, Feichtinger proved a kernel theorem for bounded linear operators mapping the modulation spaces $M^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [16], the spaces of choice in time-frequency analysis [17]. Using a version of Schur's test, Cordero and Nicola characterised the kernel of operators mapping $M^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on $M^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as well as those mapping $M^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on $M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [14]. Finally, Balazs, Gröchenig and Speckbacher took a more abstract approach to prove their kernel theorem [4] for operators acting on co-orbit spaces associated with integrable representations of locally compact groups. Indeed, they considered irreducible unitary integrable representations $\pi_n: G_n \to \mathcal{H}_n$, n=1,2, of locally compact groups G_n that generate two scales of co-orbit spaces and showed a one-to-one correspondence between bounded linear operators mapping $\operatorname{Co}L^1(G_1)$ to $\operatorname{Co}L^{\infty}(G_2)$ and their kernel belonging to the co-orbit space $\operatorname{Co}L^{\infty}(G_1 \times G_2)$ generated by the tensor product representation $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2: G_1 \times G_2 \to \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$.

In this paper, we go even further and prove a kernel theorem for bounded linear operators acting on co-orbit spaces generated by localised frames [23, 24] and, by doing so, show that the group structure and the corresponding representation theory are an unnecessary, albeit very elegant setup for proving the kernel theorem. Here is the essence of our main results. See Sections 2 and 3 for the definitions of the involved co-orbit spaces and Section 4 for detailed statements and proofs of the subsequent results.

Theorem. (Outer kernel theorem) Let Ψ_n be \mathcal{A}_n -localised frames, n = 1, 2. A linear operator O from $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ on $\mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ is bounded if and only if there exists a unique kernel $K \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ such that

$$\langle Of_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = \langle K, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}, \quad (1.3)$$

for all $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$.

Theorem. (Inner Kernel Theorem) Let $\Psi_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ be an \mathcal{A}_n -localised frame, and w_n an \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weight for n = 1, 2. There is an isomorphism between kernels in $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ and the space

$$\mathcal{B} := \Big\{ O \in B\big(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}) \big) : \text{ there exist } f_{r} \in H^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}), g_{r} \in H^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$O = \sum_{r \in R} \langle \cdot, f_{r} \rangle_{H^{\infty}_{1/w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}), H^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} g_{r} \text{ and } \sum_{r \in R} \|f_{r}\|_{H^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} \|g_{r}\|_{H^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} < \infty \Big\}.$$

The terminology of outer and inner kernel theorems [22] originates in the fact that the corresponding kernels belong, respectively, to the outer- and innermost spaces on the scale of co-orbit spaces, as can be seen in the following chain of topological inclusions

$$\mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) \subset \mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{p,p}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) \subset \mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{p,p}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) \subset \mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2),$$
 for weights $w_1, w_2 \geq 1$, and $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Following the ideas developed in [14], we apply a version of Schur's test to characterise the kernels of the bounded operators mapping $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ to $\mathcal{H}^p_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ and those mapping $\mathcal{H}^p_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ to $\mathcal{H}^\infty_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$, see Theorem 4.4.

A few words about what motivated us to undertake this whole investigation. There is every reason to use specifically localised frames here. Firstly, localised frames allow for essentially sparse atomic decompositions of the elements of their associated co-orbit spaces. Secondly, the Gram matrices of localised frames, by their definition, are essentially sparsely populated. Therefore, using localised frames, together with Galerkin's method [1, 26], can be expected to produce sparse matrices that represent the bounded linear operators that act on co-orbit spaces [25]. This in itself can, as highlighted in [1, 3], be very useful for solving corresponding operator equations numerically. Moreover, as we shall show in this paper, the kernel of any bounded linear operator mapping one co-orbit space on the other can be synthesised from the matrix that represents the operator, therefore leading to a sparse decomposition of the kernel.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we provide the necessary frame theoretical background, namely the basics of the theory of localised frames. In Section 3 we show that co-orbit spaces generated by tensor products of localised frames share some properties with those generated by localised frames. Section 4 is then devoted to the proofs of our main results.

Some of the results of this paper were outlined in a greatly simplified way and mostly without providing any proof in [9].

2. Background information and notation

Throughout this paper we will write $A \lesssim B$ if there exists $C \geq 1$ such that $A \leq CB$ and $A \approx B$ if $C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ will always denote separable Hilbert spaces. We shall use brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ for the scalar product in the Hilbert space and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X,X^*}$ for the scalar product of the element of the Banach space X and that of its dual X^* . Furthermore, we denote the space of bounded operators between normed spaces X,Y by B(X,Y) and write $\| \cdot \|_{X\to Y}$ for the operator norm.

2.1. **Tensor products and Hilbert-Schmidt operators.** Tensor products and simple tensors are the essential objects to understand kernel theorems for operators. See [38] for an overview of the subject. In this paper, we use the following convention to define the *simple tensor* of $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$: $f_1 \otimes f_2$ will be understood as the rank one operator mapping \mathcal{H}_1 on \mathcal{H}_2 according to

$$(f_1 \otimes f_2)(f) := \langle f, f_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} f_2. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that this tensor is homogeneous in the following sense: $\alpha(f_1 \otimes f_2) = (\overline{\alpha}f_1) \otimes f_2 = f_1 \otimes (\alpha f_2)$. The tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is then defined as the completion

of the linear span of all simple tensors with respect to the metric induced by the inner product

$$\langle f_1 \otimes f_2, g_1 \otimes g_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} = \overline{\langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1}} \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}. \tag{2.2}$$

We note here that the tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ can be identified with the space of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* $\mathcal{HS}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, which is defined as the space of bounded linear operators mapping \mathcal{H}_1 on \mathcal{H}_2 and equipped with the inner product

$$\langle O_1, O_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{HS}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)} := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle O_1 e_i, O_2 e_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is any orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_1 . We also note that this identification constitutes a non-trivial kernel theorem [13]. If $O \in \mathcal{HS}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then

$$\langle O, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{HS}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)} = \langle Of_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}.$$

2.2. **Frame theory.** Throughout this work we use *frames* to decompose or synthesise functions and operators. See [11,12] for an overview of frame theory. Let us recollect the definition of a frame originally given in [15].

Definition 2.1. A countable set $\Psi := \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is called a frame for \mathcal{H} if there are positive numbers A_{Ψ} and B_{Ψ} , called lower and upper frame bounds, such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$A_{\Psi} \| f \|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leqslant \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 \leqslant B_{\Psi} \| f \|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$
 (2.3)

Let us list a few implications of this definition that we shall make use of later. The *analysis operator*

$$C_{\Psi}: \mathcal{H} \to \ell^2(I), \quad f \mapsto \{\langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\}_{i \in I},$$

is bounded and injective and has a closed range. The synthesis operator

$$D_{\Psi}: \ell^2(I) \to \mathcal{H}, \quad \{c_i\}_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} c_i \psi_i,$$

is bounded and surjective. The *Gram operator*

$$G_{\Psi}: \ell^{2}(I) \to \ell^{2}(I), \quad \{c_{i}\}_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i' \in I} \langle \psi_{i'}, \psi_{i} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} c_{i'} = \sum_{i' \in I} G_{i,i'} c_{i'} = C_{\Psi} D_{\Psi} c, \quad (2.4)$$

with the Gram matrix given by

$$G_{\Psi} := (G_{i,i'})_{(i,i') \in I^2} := (\langle \psi_{i'}, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})_{(i,i') \in I^2},$$
 (2.5)

is bounded. There exists at least one other frame $\Psi^{\mathbf{d}} := \{\psi_i^d\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} , called a dual frame, that, together with Ψ , satisfies

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \, \psi_i^d = D_{\Psi^{\mathbf{d}}} C_{\Psi} f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \psi_i^d \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \, \psi_i = D_{\Psi} C_{\Psi^{\mathbf{d}}} f. \tag{2.6}$$

Finally the frame operator

$$S_{\Psi}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \quad f \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \psi_i,$$

is bounded, self-adjoint and invertible for any frame Ψ and the sequence $\widetilde{\Psi} = \{\widetilde{\psi_i}\}_{i\in I} = \{S_{\Psi}^{-1}\psi_i\}_{i\in I}$, known as the *canonical dual frame*, is a dual frame for Ψ .

There is also a similar concept of the frame for Banach spaces [28].

2.3. Localised frames and their co-orbit spaces. One of the major advantages of frames over bases is that the former allow much more freedom in their construction and so can often be designed in a way that allows sparse decompositions of the functions of a given class. Any element of a Hilbert space can be expressed as a linear combination of the elements of a frame, see (2.6). To guarantee this reconstruction property in a Banach space, a more refined notion of frames has to be used, namely that of a Banach frame [28]. In order to construct a Banach frame that achieves a sparse decomposition of a concrete Banach space, say, a specific decomposition space [18], the theory of structured Banach frames [6,36,37,41] can be employed and indeed proved very useful [7,8]. The Banach frames of choice for more abstract co-orbit spaces are the so-called localised frames.

Let us start with an intuitive notion of localisation [29] that should serve as an inspiration for the general concept of A-localisation. For a given a metric ρ on the indexing set I that satisfies

$$\inf_{i, i' \in I; i \neq i'} \rho(i, i') = C > 0,$$

the frame is called *localised* if the absolute values of the elements of the Gram matrix G_{Ψ} decay polynomially as they deviate from the main diagonal of the matrix [29], i.e., if

$$|(G_{\Psi})_{i,i'}| = |\langle \psi_i, \psi_{i'} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \lesssim (1 + \rho(i,i'))^{-n}, \quad i, i' \in I,$$
 (2.7)

for some $n > n_0$. The matrices whose elements satisfy (2.7) belong to a spectral matrix algebra. This allows the generalization of the notion of localisation [30].

Definition 2.2. An involutive Banach algebra \mathcal{A} of infinite matrices with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called a spectral matrix algebra if

- (i) every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ defines a bounded operator on $\ell^2(I)$, i.e. $\mathcal{A} \subset B(\ell^2(I))$,
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is inverse-closed in $B(\ell^2(I))$, i.e. if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is invertible on $B(\ell^2(I))$, then $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$, and
- (iii) \mathcal{A} is solid, i.e. if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $|b_{i,j}| \leq |a_{i,j}|$ for any $i, j \in I$, then $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $|B|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq ||A||_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Examples of solid spectral matrix algebras include, among others, the Jaffard class [33] (which corresponds to (2.7) if ρ is the standard Euclidean metric), the Schur class [31] and the Sjöstrand class [39].

Definition 2.3. Let I be a countable index set. A frame $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is said to be \mathcal{A} -intrinsically localised, or \mathcal{A} -self-localised, or simply \mathcal{A} -localised, if its Gram matrix $G_{\Psi} := (\langle \psi_i, \psi_{i'} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})_{(i,i') \in I^2}$ belongs to a spectral matrix algebra \mathcal{A} .

Having a localised frame Ψ for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} allows to build a full range of so-called *co-orbit spaces*. To do so, we use a weight $w = \{w_i\}_{i \in I}, w_i > 0$,

and define the weighted $\ell_w^p(I)$ -spaces as the space of sequences on I for which $||c||_{\ell_w^p(I)} = ||c \cdot w||_{\ell^p(I)} < \infty, \ 1 \le p \le \infty.$

Definition 2.4. Let A be a spectral matrix algebra indexed by $I \times I$. A weight sequence $w := \{w_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ is called A-admissible if every $A \in A$ defines a bounded operator on $\ell_w^p(I)$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a spectral matrix algebra, $\Psi := \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an \mathcal{A} -localised frame, $\widetilde{\Psi} := {\widetilde{\psi}_i}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$ its canonical dual frame, $w := {w_i}_{i \in I}$ an A-admissible weight and

$$\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} c_i \, \psi_i : \{c_i\}_{i \in I} \in c_{00} \right\}, \tag{2.8}$$

where c_{00} denotes the space of complex sequences with finitely many non-zero terms. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the co-orbit space $\mathcal{H}_w^p(\Psi)$ is defined as the norm completion of $\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi)$ with respect to the norm $||f||_{\mathcal{H}_{w}^{p}(\Psi)} := ||C_{\widetilde{\Psi}}f||_{\ell_{\infty}^{p}}$, while for $p = \infty$, $\mathcal{H}_w^{\infty}(\Psi)$ is defined as the completion of $\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi)$ with respect to the $\sigma(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi))$ topology. If $w \equiv 1$ we write $\mathcal{H}^p(\Psi) = \mathcal{H}^p_1(\Psi)$.

Remark 2.6. More specifically, $\mathcal{H}_{w}^{\infty}(\Psi)$ is defined as a set of equivalence classes of sequences from \mathcal{H} , see [3, Definition 3]. We do not provide any details for the moment, but shall do so when we consider co-orbit spaces generated by tensor products of localised frames in Section 3.

Also note that, while in [3,23] one may use any dual frame $\Psi^{\mathbf{d}}$ to define the coorbit norms, we restrict ourselves to the canonical dual for the sake of simplicity.

In the following proposition, we summarise the main properties of co-orbit spaces that we shall need. See [3,23] for the corresponding original statements.

Proposition 2.7. Let Ψ and Φ be two A-localised frames for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , w be an A-admissible weight, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $D_{\Psi}: \ell_w^p(I) \to \mathcal{H}_w^p(\Psi)$ is continuous; (ii) $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1^2(\Psi)$;
- (iii) duality: for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and q satisfying 1/p + 1/q = 1, one has $(\mathcal{H}_w^p(\Psi))^* = 1$ $\mathcal{H}_{1/w}^q(\Psi)$, where the duality is defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_w^p(\Psi), \mathcal{H}_{1/w}^q(\Psi)} = \langle C_{\widetilde{\Psi}} f, C_{\Psi} g \rangle_{\ell_w^p(I), \ell_{1/w}^q(I)};$$

- (iv) inclusions: $\mathcal{H}_{w_1}^{p_1}(\Psi) \subset \mathcal{H}_{w_2}^{p_2}(\Psi)$ for $w_1 \geqslant w_2$, and $1 \leqslant p_1 < p_2 \leqslant \infty$;
- (v) $G_{\widetilde{\Psi}} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $G_{\widetilde{\Psi},\Psi} \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., the canonical dual frame $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is also \mathcal{A} -localised;
- (vi) independence of Ψ : if $G_{\Psi,\widetilde{\Phi}} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $G_{\widetilde{\Psi},\Phi} \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{H}^p_w(\Psi) = \mathcal{H}^p_w(\Phi)$ with equivalent norms; in particular, $\mathcal{H}_w^p(\Psi) = \mathcal{H}_w^p(\Psi)$.

The following lemma sets an upper bound of the $\mathcal{H}^1_w(\Psi)$ -norm of the elements of Ψ and Ψ .

Lemma 2.8. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, Ψ be an A-localised frame for \mathcal{H} , and w an Aadmissible weight. Then

$$\|\psi_i\|_{\mathcal{H}^p_w(\Psi)} \lesssim w_i, \quad and \quad \|\widetilde{\psi}_i\|_{\mathcal{H}^p_w(\Psi)} \lesssim w_i, \quad i \in I.$$
 (2.9)

Proof. Remember that the Gram matrix $G_{\widetilde{\Psi}}$ of $\widetilde{\Psi}$ as well as the so-called *cross Gram matrix*

$$G_{\Psi,\widetilde{\Psi}} = \{ \langle \psi_{i'}, \widetilde{\psi}_i \rangle \}_{(i,i') \in I^2}$$
(2.10)

of Ψ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ are bounded operators on $\ell^p_w(I)$ for $p \in [1, \infty]$, as Ψ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ are \mathcal{A} -localised by assumption and Proposition 2.7 (v), and w is \mathcal{A} -admissible. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \left\|\widetilde{\psi}_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{w}^{p}(\Psi)}^{p} &= \sum_{k \in I} \left|\left\langle\widetilde{\psi}_{i},\widetilde{\psi}_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right|^{p} w_{k}^{p} = \sum_{k \in I} \left|\left(G_{\widetilde{\Psi}}\right)_{k,i}\right|^{p} w_{k}^{p} \\ &= \sum_{k \in I} \left|\left(G_{\widetilde{\Psi}}e_{i}\right)_{k}\right|^{p} w_{k}^{p} = \left\|G_{\widetilde{\Psi}}e_{i}\right\|_{\ell_{w}^{p}(I)}^{p} \lesssim \left\|e_{i}\right\|_{\ell_{w}^{p}(I)}^{p} = w_{i}^{p}, \end{split}$$

where e_i stands for the *i*-th vector of the standard basis of $\ell_w^1(I)$. The upper bound of $\|\psi_i\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^p(\Psi)}$ follows from a similar argument, replacing $G_{\widetilde{\Psi}}$ by $G_{\widetilde{\Psi},\Psi}$.

Finally, we recollect a result from [23] concerning the representation of elements of $\mathcal{H}_w^1(\Psi)$ as a series expansion.

Proposition 2.9. Let Ψ be an \mathcal{A} -localised frame for \mathcal{H} and w be an \mathcal{A} -admissible weight. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}^1_w(\Psi)$ there is a sequence of numbers $c := \{c_i\}_{i \in I} \in \ell^1_w(I)$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \, \psi_i,$$

where the series converges absolutely and $\|c\|_{\ell_w^1(I)} \asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^1(\Psi)}$.

3. Tensor products of localised frames

If we consider the tensor product $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2 = \{\psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$ of two frames $\Psi_1 := \{\psi_{1,i}\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}_1$ and $\Psi_2 := \{\psi_{2,j}\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathcal{H}_2$, then it is straightforward to show [2] that $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2$ forms a frame for the tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ and that the canonical dual frame is given by $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2 = \widetilde{\Psi}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}_2$. If both frames are localised with respect to a solid spectral matrix algebra, then it is possible to also define co-orbit spaces. For the sake of completeness, we provide here all necessary definitions. Let us first define the mixed-norm sequence spaces

$$\ell_w^{p,q}(I \times J) := \left\{ c : I \times J \to \mathbb{C} : \sum_{j \in J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} |c_{i,j}|^p w_{i,j}^p \right)^{q/p} < \infty \right\}$$

for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, with the usual adaptations if $p = \infty$, or $q = \infty$. Since the order of summation matters if $p \neq q$, we also introduce the spaces

$$\mathfrak{l}_{w}^{p,q}(I\times J):=\left\{c:I\times J\to\mathbb{C}:\quad \sum_{i\in I}\left(\sum_{i\in J}\left|c_{i,j}\right|^{p}w_{i,j}^{p}\right)^{q/p}<\infty\right\}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) = \left\{ \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} c_{i,j} \, \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j} : \{c_{i,j}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in c_{00} \right\},\,$$

and $p, q \in [1, \infty)$. The co-orbit spaces $\mathcal{H}_w^{p,q}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ are defined as the completion of $\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ with respect to the norm $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_w^{p,q}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} := \|C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \Psi_2} F\|_{\ell_w^{p,q}(I \times J)}$, and $\mathfrak{H}_w^{p,q}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ as the completion of $\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ with respect to the norm

 $||F||_{\mathfrak{H}_{w}^{p,q}(\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2})}:=||C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2}}}F||_{\mathfrak{l}_{w}^{p,q}(I\times J)}.$ If $w\equiv 1$, we write $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2})=\mathcal{H}_{1}^{p,q}(\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2}),$ and $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}(\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2})=\mathfrak{H}_{1}^{p,q}(\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2}).$ We shall primarily consider the case where p=q, but $p\neq q$ will make an appearance in Theorem 4.4.

As mentioned in [23], dealing with $\mathcal{H}_w^{\infty}(\Psi)$ for a localised frame Ψ is technically rather tricky; a detailed exposition of the construction can be found in [3]. Here we have to grapple with this issue again as now the tensor product frame cannot be assumed to be localised. The major obstacle to simply applying established theory to the tensor product of an \mathcal{A}_1 -localised frame and an \mathcal{A}_2 -localised frame is the fact that the natural candidate for a tensor product algebra, the projective tensor product $\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{A}_2$, is in general neither solid nor inverse-closed.

In order to properly define the co-orbit spaces where one of the indices or both of them are ∞ , we need the following notion. We call two sequences $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{F'_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{H}_1\otimes\mathcal{H}_2$ equivalent if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\langle F_n - F'_n, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} = 0,$$

for all $(i, j) \in I \times J$.

Definition 3.1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, n = 1, 2, and w_n be \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weights. We define the spaces $\mathcal{H}^{r,s}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ and $\mathfrak{H}^{r,s}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ where $(r,s) \in \{(p,\infty), (\infty,p), (\infty,\infty)\}$, as the spaces of equivalence classes $F = [\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]$ with $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ $\subset \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle F_n, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} =: k_{i,j} \tag{3.1}$$

exists for all $(i, j) \in I \times J$ and

$$\sup_{n} \left\| C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}} \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}_{2}} F_{n} \right\|_{\ell_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}^{r,s}(I \times J)} < \infty, \quad and \quad \sup_{n} \left\| C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}} \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}_{2}} F_{n} \right\|_{\ell_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}^{r,s}(I \times J)} < \infty$$

respectively. Furthermore we define $(C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_2}F)_{i,j}:=k_{i,j},\ (i,j)\in I\times J,\ and$

$$||F||_{\mathcal{H}^{r,s}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} := ||C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \Psi_2} F||_{\ell^{r,s}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)}, \tag{3.2}$$

as well as

$$||F||_{\mathfrak{H}^{r,s}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} := ||C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}_2} F||_{\mathfrak{l}^{r,s}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)}. \tag{3.3}$$

It is clear that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r,s}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)}$ is a seminorm. Moreover, $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r,s}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)}=0$ implies that all limits in (3.1) equal zero for any sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ representing F. In other words, all such sequences $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are equivalent to the sequence of zeros in $\mathcal{H}_1\otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ and so F=0. Consequently, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^{r,s}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)}$ is indeed a norm. The same reasoning shows that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{r,s}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)}$ is a norm.

The spaces $\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1,w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ are structurally very similar to the co-orbit spaces of Section 2 and results analoguous to Propositions 2.7 and 2.9 hold. These results however are not a direct consequence of the existing theory as, e.g., Propositions 2.7 and 2.9 assume the localisation of the frame with respect to a solid spectral algebra. We conjecture that $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2$ would be $\mathcal{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{A}_2$ -localised given that Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are \mathcal{A}_1 - and \mathcal{A}_2 -localised respectively. This would allow us to directly apply existing theory. This is however not a simple matter and is the subject of an ongoing project of ours. Fortunately, the assumption that both Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are localised suffices to prove all the statements that we need here.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\Psi_n, \Phi_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ be \mathcal{A}_n -localised frames, and w_n be \mathcal{A}_n admissible weights, n = 1, 2, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) if $G_{\Psi_n,\Phi_n} \in \mathcal{A}_n$, n = 1, 2, then the cross Gram matrix $G_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2,\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2}$ defines a bounded operator on $\ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{p,p}(I \times J)$;
- (ii) independence of $\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2$: if $G_{\Psi_n,\widetilde{\Phi}_n} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $G_{\Phi_n,\widetilde{\Psi}_n} \in \mathcal{A}_n$, n = 1, 2, then

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)=\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}\big(\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_2\big)$$

with equivalent norms. In particular

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)=\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}\big(\widetilde{\Psi}_1\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_2\big);$$

- (iii) $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} : \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{p,p}(I \times J) \to \mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{p,p}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ is bounded and the expansion converges absolutely for $p < \infty$, and weak-* unconditionally for $p = \infty$; (iv) for any $F \in \mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, there exists a sequence $c \in \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(I \times J)$ such that $F = D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} c$ and $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} \asymp \|c\|_{\ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(I \times J)}$; (v) duality: for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and q satisfying 1/p + 1/q = 1,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)\right)^*\cong\mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)$$

with the scalar product defined by

$$\begin{split} \langle F,G\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2),\mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)}\\ &:=\langle C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2}}F,C_{\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2}G\rangle_{\ell^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(I\times J),\ell^{q,q}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(I\times J)}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Ad (i): As the weight functions w_n are \mathcal{A}_n -admissible, it follows that the cross Gram matrix G_{Ψ_n,Φ_n} defines a bounded operator on $\ell_{w_n}^p(I_n)$ [3]. Therefore, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $c \in \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{p,p}(I \times J)$, we obtain from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2},\Phi_{1}\otimes\Phi_{2}}c\|_{\ell_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}^{p,p}(I\times J)}^{p} &= \sum_{j\in J}\sum_{i\in I}\left|\sum_{(i',j')\in I\times J}\overline{(G_{\Psi_{1},\Phi_{1}})_{i,i'}}(G_{\Psi_{2},\Phi_{2}})_{j,j'}c_{i',j'}\right|^{p}w_{1,i}^{p}w_{2,j}^{p} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j\in J}\sum_{i\in I}\left|\sum_{j'\in J}(G_{\Psi_{2},\Phi_{2}})_{j,j'}c_{i,j'}\right|^{p}w_{1,i}^{p}w_{2,j}^{p} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j\in J}\sum_{i\in I}\left|c_{i,j}\right|^{p}w_{1,i}^{p}w_{2,j}^{p} &= \|c\|_{\ell_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}^{p,p}(I\times J)}^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument can be used to prove the statement in the case where $p=\infty$. This concludes the proof of (i).

Ad (ii): Since $F = D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} F$, we see that the frame coefficients with respect to $\widetilde{\Phi}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\Phi}_2$ are given by

$$C_{\widetilde{\Phi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Phi}_2}F=C_{\widetilde{\Phi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Phi}_2}D_{\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2}C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_2}F=G_{\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2,\widetilde{\Phi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Phi}_2}C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_2}F.$$

Using (i) we then conclude that

$$\begin{split} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(\Phi_{1}\otimes \Phi_{2})} &= \|C_{\widetilde{\Phi_{1}\otimes \Phi_{2}}}F\|_{\ell^{p,p}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(I\times J)} \lesssim \|C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}\otimes \Psi_{2}}}F\|_{\ell^{p,p}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(I\times J)} \\ &= \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(\Psi_{1}\otimes \Psi_{2})}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)\subseteq \mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Phi_1\otimes \Phi_2)$. Exchanging the roles of $\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2$ and $\Phi_1\otimes \Phi_2$ results in $\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Phi_1\otimes \Phi_2)\subseteq \mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)$ and the norm equivalence.

Ad (iii): The proofs of (iii) and (iv) follow closely the arguments of [23, Proposition 2.4] and [3, Lemmas 2 and 7] respectively. First, let $c \in \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{p,p}(I \times J)$ and set $F = D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} c$. Then

$$||F||_{\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} = ||C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} F||_{\ell^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)}$$

$$= ||G_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}, \Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} c||_{\ell^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)} \lesssim ||c||_{\ell^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)},$$
(3.4)

where we used (i), and Proposition 2.7 (v). The absolute convergence for $p < \infty$ follows immediately from Lemma 2.8.

The proof of the unconditional weak-* convergence for $p = \infty$ is postponed until the end of the proof of this proposition.

Ad (iv): Let F be an element of $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)$. Then F is the limit of a sequence $\{F_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)$ with respect to the $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)$ -norm. Being convergent, $\{F_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)$. From this and the first equality in (3.4) we infer that $\{C_{\widehat{\Psi_1}\otimes \Psi_2}F_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\ell^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(I\times J)$ converging to $c=C_{\widehat{\Psi_1}\otimes \Psi_2}F\in \ell^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(I\times J)$. Let $F':=D_{\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2}c$. From the (iii) we infer that $F'\in\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)$. Using the fact that

$$C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} H = C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} H$$

for any $H \in \mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ and the boundedness of $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$ results in

$$\begin{split} \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| F' - F_k \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \Psi_2}(F' - F_k) \right\|_{\ell^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)} \\ &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \Psi_2} D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \Psi_2}(F - F_k) \right\|_{\ell^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)} \\ &\lesssim \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \Psi_2}(F - F_k) \right\|_{\ell^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)} = 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore $F' = F = D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} c$ and we have proved that $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$ is surjective. Finally, $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$ is a bijective operator mapping $\ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1} (I \times J) / \ker (D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2})$ on $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2} (\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, which shows that there exists $c \in \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1} (I \times J)$ such that $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} c = F$ and

$$||c||_{\ell^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)} \lesssim ||F||_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)},$$
 (3.5)

according to the inverse mapping theorem. Combining (3.4) and (3.5) results in the norm equivalence of (iv).

Ad (v): We follow the line of arguments of [3, Proposition 2]. Let us define a sesquilinear form $\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)\times\mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)\to\mathbb{C}$ by

$$(F,G) \mapsto \langle C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} F, C_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} G \rangle_{\ell^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J), \ell^{q,q}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(I \times J)}.$$

Fixing $G \in \mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ defines a bounded linear functional $\mathcal{W}(G)$: $\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) \to \mathbb{C}$ according to

$$\mathcal{W}(G)(F) = \langle C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} F, C_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} G \rangle_{\ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{p,p}(I \times J), \ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{q,q}(I \times J)}.$$

Indeed, by (ii)

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{W}(G)(F)| &\leqslant \|C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}}\otimes\Psi_{2}}F\|_{\ell^{p,p}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(I\times J)} \|C_{\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2}}G\|_{\ell^{q,q}_{1/(w_{1}\otimes w_{2})}(I\times J)} \\ &= \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2})} \|G\|_{\mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_{1}\otimes w_{2})}(\widetilde{\Psi}_{1}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_{2})} \\ &\lesssim \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2})} \|G\|_{\mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_{1}\otimes w_{2})}(\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2})}, \end{split}$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$. This shows that $\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) \to (\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2))^*$ is bounded as

$$\|\mathcal{W}(G)\|_{(\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2))^*} \lesssim \|G\|_{\mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}.$$

Conversely, let $H \in (\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2))^*$ and $c \in \ell^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} c \in \mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ by (iii) and

$$H\left(D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} c\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in I} c_{i,j} H(\psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j})$$

where the series converges unconditionally for any $c \in \ell^{p,q}_{1/w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)$. Therefore $\{H(\psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j})\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \ell^{q,q}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(I \times J)$ [13]. If we define the mapping $\mathcal{V}: (\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2))^* \to \mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ by

$$\mathcal{V}(H) := \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \overline{H(\psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j})} \, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}, \quad H \in \left(\mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)\right)^*,$$

then, for $F \in \mathcal{H}^{p,p}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$,

$$\begin{split} &(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{V}(H)))(F) = \langle C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}}\otimes\Psi_{2}}F, C_{\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2}}\mathcal{V}(H)\rangle_{\ell_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}^{p,p}(I\times J), \ell_{1/(w_{1}\otimes w_{2})}^{q,q}(I\times J)} \\ &= \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J}\langle F,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\rangle\overline{\left\langle\sum_{k\in I}\sum_{l\in J}\overline{H(\psi_{1,k}\otimes\psi_{2,l})}\,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,k}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,l},\psi_{1,i}\otimes\psi_{2,j}\right\rangle} \\ &= \sum_{k\in I}\sum_{l\in J}H(\psi_{1,k}\otimes\psi_{2,l})\Big\langle\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J}\langle F,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\rangle\psi_{1,i}\otimes\psi_{2,j},\widetilde{\psi}_{1,k}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,l}\Big\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k\in I}\sum_{l\in J}H(\psi_{1,k}\otimes\psi_{2,l})\langle F,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,k}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,l}\rangle \\ &= H\left(\sum_{k\in I}\sum_{l\in J}\langle F,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,k}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,l}\rangle\psi_{1,k}\otimes\psi_{2,l}\right) = H(F), \end{split}$$

where the change of the order of summations, taking scalar products and application of H is justified by the unconditional convergence of the series involved, the continuity of H, and a density argument. The operator \mathcal{W} is therefore surjective. Moreover, for $G \in \mathcal{H}^{q,q}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$,

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{W}(G)) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \overline{\mathcal{W}(G)(\psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j})} \, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}$$
$$= \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \langle G, \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j} \rangle \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} = G,$$

which shows that \mathcal{W} is also injective and thus invertible.

It remains to prove the unconditional weak-* convergence of $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$ when $p = \infty$. Let $c \in \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$. Then using (ii) and (v) results in

$$\begin{split} \left| \langle D_{\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}} c, H \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}), \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})} \right| \\ \leqslant \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times I} |c_{i,j}| \left| \langle C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}}} \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j}, C_{\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}} H \rangle_{\ell^{\infty,\infty}_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}(I \times J), \ell^{1,1}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}(I \times J)} \right| \end{split}$$

$$= \sum_{(i,j)\in I\times J} |c_{i,j}| \left| \left(C_{\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2} H \right)_{i,j} \right| \lesssim \|c\|_{\ell_{w_1\otimes w_2}^{\infty,\infty}(I\times J)} \|H\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)}.$$

By density of $\mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ in $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, the chain of inequalities also holds for any $H \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, showing that $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}c$ converges weak-* absolutely and in particular weak-* unconditionally.

Remark 3.3. It is unclear to us whether the statements of Proposition 3.2 apply to the co-orbit spaces $\mathcal{H}^{p_1,p_2}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)$ if $p_1\neq p_2$. The main problem is that the argument used in proving (i) does not apply to mixed $\ell^{p,q}$ -spaces. In particular, the cross Gram matrix $G_{\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2,\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_2}$ is not necessarily a bounded operator on the spaces $\ell^{p_1,p_1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(I\times J)$ when $p_1\neq p_2$. The proofs of the statements (ii)-(v) rely crucially on (i). As a consequence of one of our kernel theorems, we shall however show in Corollary 4.5 that some mixed-norm co-orbit spaces are indeed independent of the specific frames defining them as long as the individual cross Gram matrices belong to \mathcal{A}_n , n=1,2.

In the following we establish the reconstruction formula on $\mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}$ ($\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2$) and a necessary and sufficient condition for $k \in \ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)$ to be the generalised wavelet transform of a vector $F \in \mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ — which, following the tradition of [19, 23], can be called the 'correspondence principle'.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\Psi_n, \Phi_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ be \mathcal{A}_n -localised frames, and w_n be \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weights for n = 1, 2. If $F \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, then

$$F = D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} F = D_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} C_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} F. \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, for any $k \in \ell^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(I \times J)$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ such that

$$k = C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} F \tag{3.7}$$

if and only if

$$k = G_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}, \Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} k = C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} k. \tag{3.8}$$

The statements also apply to $k \in \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)$ and $F \in \mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_{00}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$. By Proposition 3.2 (iii) $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} F$ is well-defined and converges weak-* unconditionally. Moreover,

And so $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} F = F$. The second reconstruction formula follows from a similar argument once we recall that $\mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty} (\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) = \mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty} (\widetilde{\Psi}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}_2)$.

To prove the second statement, let $k \in \ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)$ satisfy (3.8) and let $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of finite subsets of I and J respectively such that $I_n \subset I_{n+1} \subseteq I$, and $J_n \subset J_{n+1} \subseteq J$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n = I$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n = J$. Then

$$F_n := \sum_{i \in I_n} \sum_{j \in J_n} k_{i,j} \, \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j} \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2,$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle F_n, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,s} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle \sum_{i \in I_n} \sum_{j \in J_n} k_{i,j} \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j}, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,s} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in I_n} \sum_{j \in J_n} k_{i,j} \left\langle \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j}, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,s} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2}$$

$$= \left(C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}} D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} k \right)_{r,s}$$

$$= \left(G_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}, \Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} k \right)_{r,s} = k_{r,s}.$$

From this we infer that

$$\langle F_n, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,s} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} = \left(G_{\widetilde{\Psi}_1 \otimes \Psi_2, \Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} k \big|_{I_n \times J_n} \right)_{r,s}$$

and so

$$\sup_{r,s} \left| \left\langle F_n, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,s} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} \right| (w_{1,r} \, w_{2,s})^{-1} \lesssim \left\| k \right|_{I_n \times J_n} \left\|_{\ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)} \leq \left\| k \right\|_{\ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)}.$$

Therefore $F = [F_n] \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ satisfies (3.7) and is, as an equivalence class, uniquely defined by k via (3.7).

Conversely, let $k \in \ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)$ and $F \in \mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ be such that (3.7) is satisfied. Proposition 3.2 (iii) indicates that $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$ is a bounded operator mapping $\ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)$ to $\mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$. Applying $C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1} \otimes \Psi_2}D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}$ to both sides of (3.7) and using (3.6) results in

$$C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_2}D_{\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2}k=C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_2}D_{\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2}C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_2}F=C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_2}F=k.$$

Finally, if w_n is an \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weight then so is $1/w_n$, n=1,2. Therefore, the statement of the theorem also applies to $k \in \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)$ and $F \in \mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$.

4. Kernel Theorems

We are now ready to state and prove our main results. To prove the outer kernel theorem, we essentially follow the proof strategy of [4], but use somewhat more involved arguments in those steps where we cannot rely on either the group structure or submultiplicativity of the weight function. The basic idea is to represent

the operator by its Galerkin matrix and to derive the kernel of the operator from this representation.

Theorem 4.1. (Outer Kernel Theorem) Let $\Psi_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ be an \mathcal{A}_n -localised frame, and w_n an \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weight for n = 1, 2.

To any element of $K \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ corresponds a unique bounded linear operator $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ by means of

$$\langle Of_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = \langle K, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}$$
(4.1)

for any $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and any $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$. Moreover,

$$||K||_{\mathcal{H}_{1/(w_{1}\otimes w_{2})}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_{1}\otimes \Psi_{2})} \simeq ||O||_{\mathcal{H}_{w_{1}}^{1}(\Psi_{1})\to \mathcal{H}_{1/w_{2}}^{\infty}(\Psi_{2})}.$$
 (4.2)

Conversely, to any bounded linear operator $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ corresponds a unique $K \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, called the kernel of O, that satisfies (4.1) for every $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$.

Proof. Step 1. Note that $f_1 \otimes f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ as long as $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$. So, for $K \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$,

$$\begin{split} \left| \langle K, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}), \mathcal{H}_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}^{1,1}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \left\langle K, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j}, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \left| \left\langle K, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j}, f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left\| C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}}} K \right\|_{\ell_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)} \left\| C_{\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}}(f_{1} \otimes f_{2}) \right\|_{\ell_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}^{1,1}(I \times J)} \\ &\approx \left\| K \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})} \left\| f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}^{1,1}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})} \\ &\leq \infty. \end{split}$$

where we used Proposition 3.2 (ii) for the second to last step. Now,

$$(f_1, f_2) \mapsto \langle K, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}$$

is a sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \times \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$. Therefore, for any fixed $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$, the mapping $f_2 \mapsto \langle K, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}$ is an antilinear functional on $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ which we shall call Of_1 . The mapping $f_1 \mapsto Of_1$ is linear and so (4.1) defines a linear operator $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$. This operator is bounded. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle Of_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} \right| &= \left| \langle K, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} \right| \\ &\leq \|K\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_1}(\Psi_1)} \,, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$||Of_1||_{\mathcal{H}_{1/w_2}^{\infty}(\Psi_2)} \leqslant ||K||_{\mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} ||f_1||_{\mathcal{H}_{w_1}^{1}(\Psi_1)},$$

and

$$||O||_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}) \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} \leqslant ||K||_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})}.$$

This proves one of the inequalities implied by (4.2) and indicates that the map $K \mapsto O$ is bounded.

Step 2. Let us now assume that the bounded linear operator $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ has two distinct kernels K_1 and $K_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ satisfying (4.1). This implies in particular that for every $(i,j) \in I \times J$

$$\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = \langle K_1, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)},$$

and

$$\left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = \left\langle K_2,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2),\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)}.$$

Hence, for every $(i, j) \in I \times J$ it holds

$$\begin{split} \left\langle K_{1}, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}), \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})} \\ &= \left\langle K_{2}, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2}), \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})}. \end{split}$$

From this assumption and the fact that, according to Proposition 3.2 (iv), any $F \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ can be expressed by an absolutely convergent series as $F = D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}c$ we infer that

$$\begin{split} \langle K_1, F \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} \\ &= \left\langle K_1, \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{i,j} \, \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{i,j} \, \langle K_1, \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{i,j} \, \langle K_2, \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} \\ &= \left\langle K_2, \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{i,j} \, \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} \\ &= \langle K_2, F \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty, \infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1, 1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}, \end{split}$$

and so $K_1 = K_2$ according to Proposition 3.2 (v). In other words, the map $K \mapsto O$ is injective.

Step 3. Let us now assume that the linear operator $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ is bounded and consider what is often referred to as its Galerkin matrix, namely the matrix k whose (i, j)-th element is defined by

$$k_{i,j} := \left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)}, \quad (i,j) \in I \times J.$$

$$(4.3)$$

From (4.3), and (2.9) we infer that

$$|k_{i,j}| \leqslant ||O||_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}) \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} ||\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}||_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} ||\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}||_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}$$

$$\lesssim ||O||_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}) \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} \omega_{1,i} \omega_{2,j}.$$

In other words, $k \in \ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J)$ as long as the operator $O: \mathcal{H}_{w_1}^1(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}_{1/w_2}^{\infty}(\Psi_2)$ is bounded. Subsequently, we show that k satisfies (3.8), which, according to Lemma 3.4, implies that there is a unique $K \in \mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$

that satisfies $C_{\widetilde{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2}}K = k$. Indeed, for any $(i,j) \in I \times J$,

$$\begin{split} \left(C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}}\otimes\widetilde{\Psi}_{2}}D_{\Psi_{1}\otimes\Psi_{2}}k\right)_{r,s} \\ &= \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J}\left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}\left\langle \psi_{1,i}\otimes\psi_{2,j},\widetilde{\psi}_{1,r}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,s}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{1}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{2}} \\ &= \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J}\left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}\overline{\left\langle \psi_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{1,r}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}}\left\langle \psi_{2,j},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,s}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{2}} \\ &= \sum_{i\in I}\left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,s}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}\overline{\left\langle \psi_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{1,r}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}} \\ &= \sum_{i\in I}\left\langle \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},O'\widetilde{\psi}_{2,s}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}),\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})}\left\langle \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r},\psi_{1,i}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{1}} \\ &= \left\langle \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r},O'\widetilde{\psi}_{2,s}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}),\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} \\ &= \left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,r},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,s}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} = k_{r,s}, \end{split}$$

where O' stands for the operator that satisfies

$$\langle Of_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = \langle f_1, O'f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1), \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_1}(\Psi_1)},$$

for every $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$. The change of the order of summation over i and j that we made here is justified by the fact that

$$\left\{\left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}\overline{\left\langle \psi_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{1,r}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}}\left\langle \psi_{2,j},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,s}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{2}}\right\}_{(i,j)\in I^{2}}\in\ell^{1,1}(I\times J)$$

for any given $(r, s) \in I \times J$, as

$$\left\{\left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)}\right\}_{(i,j)\in I\times J} = \left\{k_{i,j}\right\}_{(i,j)\in I\times J} \in \ell^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(I\times J)$$

and

$$\left\{ \overline{\langle \psi_{1,i}, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1}} \langle \psi_{2,j}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2,s} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} \right\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J} = \left\{ \overline{(C_{\Psi_1} \widetilde{\psi}_{1,r})_i} (C_{\Psi_2} \widetilde{\psi}_{2,s})_j \right\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \\
\in \ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1} (I \times J),$$

by Proposition 2.7 (vi) and Lemma 2.8. According to what we already proved, to this unique $K \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)} (\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ corresponds a linear operator mapping $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ to $\mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ via (4.1). This operator is nothing, but the bounded linear operator O. Indeed, let us assume that there is more than one linear operator mapping $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ to $\mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$, say, O_1 and O_2 , that correspond to the kernel $K \in \mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ via (4.1). So in particular for $\psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j} \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ we get

$$\left\langle O_1\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = \left\langle K,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2),\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)},$$

and

$$\left\langle O_2\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = \left\langle K,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1\otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2),\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)}.$$

Thus

$$\left\langle O_1 \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = \left\langle O_2 \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)}.$$

This equality, and the fact that, according to Proposition 2.9, any $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and any $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ can be expressed by an absolutely convergent series, we infer that

$$\begin{split} \langle O_{1}f_{1},f_{2}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} &= \left\langle O_{1}\sum_{i\in I}c_{1,i}\,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\sum_{j\in J}c_{2,j}\,\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}\\ &= \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J}c_{1,i}\,c_{2,j}\left\langle O_{1}\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}\\ &= \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J}c_{1,i}\,c_{2,j}\,\left\langle O_{2}\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}\\ &= \left\langle O_{2}\sum_{i\in I}c_{1,i}\,\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i},\sum_{j\in J}c_{2,j}\,\widetilde{\psi}_{2,j}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}\\ &= \left\langle O_{2}f_{1},f_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}),\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})}. \end{split}$$

Thus $O_1 f_1 = O_2 f_1$ for every $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ or, in other words, $O_1 = O_2$. Consequently, the mapping $O \mapsto K$ that takes the space $B(\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1), \mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2))$ to $\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, is surjective and invertible and, as we proved before, bounded and injective. Therefore, its inverse is also bounded according to the inverse mapping theorem, which is expressed by the first inequality in (4.2). \square

The so-called tensor product property, discovered by Feichtinger [17, Theorem 7D] (see also [34]), was generalised for co-orbit spaces associated with integrable group representations [4]. In what follows, we shall obtain a similar result for co-orbit spaces associated with \mathcal{A} -localised frames. Let us recollect the definition of the projective tensor product of two Banach spaces B_1 and B_2 , given by

$$B_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} B_2 := \left\{ F = \sum_{r \in R} f_r \otimes g_r : \quad f_r \in B_1, \ g_r \in B_2, \quad \sum_{r \in R} \|f_r\|_{B_1} \|g_r\|_{B_2} < \infty \right\}.$$

The function

$$||F||_{B_1\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}B_2} := \inf\left(\sum_{r\in R} ||f_r||_{B_1} ||g_r||_{B_2}\right),$$
 (4.4)

the infimum being taken over all representations $\sum_{r\in R} f_r \otimes g_r$ of $F \in B_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} B_2$, defines a norm for $B_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} B_2$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Psi_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ be an \mathcal{A}_n -localised frame, and w_n be an \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weight for n = 1, 2. Then

$$\mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1} \left(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2 \right) = \mathcal{H}_{w_1}^1 \left(\Psi_1 \right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{H}_{w_2}^1 \left(\Psi_2 \right) \tag{4.5}$$

with equivalent norms.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$. Then, according to Proposition 3.2 (iv), the function F can be expressed as $F = D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} c$ for some $c \in \ell^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(I \times J)$ such

that $||c||_{\ell_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(I \times J)} \approx ||F||_{\mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}$. Combining this with (2.9) results in

$$\begin{split} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} \leqslant \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} |c_{i,j}| \, \|\psi_{1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} \|\psi_{2,j}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} \\ \lesssim \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} |c_{i,j}| \, w_{1,i} \, w_{2,j} \\ = \|c\|_{\ell^{1,1}_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}(I \times J)} \asymp \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_{1} \otimes w_{2}}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})}. \end{split}$$

Thus $\mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{H}_{w_1}^1(\Psi_1) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{H}_{w_2}^1(\Psi_2)$.

Let now $F \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$. In other words, let $F = \sum_{r \in R} f_r \otimes g_r$ where $f_r \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and $g_r \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ satisfy

$$\sum_{r \in R} \|f_r\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)} \|g_r\|_{\mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(\Psi_{1}\otimes \Psi_{2})} &= \|C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}}\otimes \Psi_{2}}F\|_{\ell^{1,1}_{w_{1}\otimes w_{2}}(I\times J)} \\ &= \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J} \Big|\sum_{r\in R} \left(C_{\widetilde{\Psi_{1}}\otimes \Psi_{2}}(f_{r}\otimes g_{r})\right)_{i,j} \Big|\, w_{1,i}\, w_{2,j} \\ &\leqslant \sum_{r\in R} \Big(\sum_{i\in I} \Big|\left(C_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{1}}f_{r}\right)_{i} \Big| w_{1,i}\right) \Big(\sum_{j\in J} \Big|\left(C_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{2}}g_{r}\right)_{j} \Big| w_{2,j}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{r\in R} \|C_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{1}}f_{r}\|_{\ell^{1}_{w_{1}}(I)} \|C_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{2}}g_{r}\|_{\ell^{1}_{w_{2}}(J)} \\ &= \sum_{r\in R} \|f_{r}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} \|g_{r}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} < \infty. \end{split}$$

The change of the order of summation over i, j, and r above is justified by the absolute convergence of the series. Taking the infimum over all possible representations of f in the inequality above then shows that $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ is also continuously embedded in $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, which concludes the proof. \square

The previous theorem allows us to characterise the class of operators with kernels in $\mathcal{H}_{w_1\otimes w_2}^{1,1}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)$.

Theorem 4.3 (Inner Kernel Theorem). Let Ψ_n be an \mathcal{A}_n -localised frame for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_1 , w_n an \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weight n = 1, 2. Then there is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ and the space

$$\mathcal{B} := \Big\{ O \in B\big(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})\big) : \text{ there exist } f_{r} \in H^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}), g_{r} \in H^{1}_{w_{2}}(\Psi_{2}) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$O = \sum_{r \in R} \langle \cdot, f_{r} \rangle_{H^{\infty}_{1/w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}), H^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} g_{r}, \text{ and } \sum_{r \in R} \|f_{r}\|_{H^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} \|g_{r}\|_{H^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1})} < \infty \Big\}.$$

In particular, if any of the two,

$$K \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) \text{ or } O \in \mathcal{B},$$

is given, the other is uniquely determined by means of

$$\langle K, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} = \langle Of_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)}$$

for any $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and any $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$.

Proof. First of all, we note that the condition

$$\sum_{r \in R} \|f_r\|_{H^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)} \|g_r\|_{H^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)} < \infty \tag{4.6}$$

implies that $O \in B(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_1}(\Psi_1), \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2))$. Now, to prove the theorem, it suffices to establish an isomorphism between \mathcal{B} and $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}\mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ and apply Theorem 4.2. Let us define the mapping $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}\mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2) \to \mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\sum_{r\in R} f_r \otimes g_r\right) = \sum_{r\in R} \langle \cdot, f_r \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_1}(\Psi_1), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_1}(\Psi_1)} g_r,$$

and first show that it is well-defined. For two expansions of $H = \sum_{r \in R} f_r \otimes g_r = \sum_{s \in S} h_s \otimes k_s \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ we have for any $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and any $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ that

$$\left\langle \sum_{r \in R} f_r \otimes g_r, f_1 \otimes f_2 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_{s \in S} h_s \otimes k_s, f_1 \otimes f_2 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}.$$

Changing the order of inner products and summation yields

$$\sum_{r \in R} \langle f_1, f_r \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{1/w_1}^{\infty}(\Psi_1), \mathcal{H}_{w_1}^{1}(\Psi_1)} \langle g_r, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{w_2}^{1}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}_{1/w_2}^{\infty}(\Psi_2)}$$

$$\tag{4.7}$$

$$= \sum_{s \in S} \langle f_1, h_s \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_1}(\Psi_1), \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)} \langle k_s, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)},$$

from which we infer that $\mathcal{I}(\sum_{r \in R} f_r \otimes g_r) = \mathcal{I}(\sum_{s \in S} h_s \otimes k_s)$.

It is easy to see that \mathcal{I} is surjective and it therefore remains to prove that is also injective. Let $H_1 = \sum_{r \in R} f_r \otimes g_r$ and $H_2 = \sum_{s \in S} h_s \otimes k_s \in \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ be such that $\mathcal{I}(H_1) = \mathcal{I}(H_2)$. In other words, (4.7) holds for any $f_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_1}(\Psi_1)$ and any $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$. From this and (4.6), which holds for any element of $\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{H}^1_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$, we deduce that

$$\langle H_1, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}$$

$$= \langle H_2, f_1 \otimes f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)}.$$

Since $D_{\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2} : \ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(I \times J) \to \mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{\infty,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ is surjective (Lemma 3.4) and converges weak-* unconditionally (Proposition 3.2 (iii)) we may consider linear combinations of $f_1 \otimes f_2 = \psi_{1,i} \otimes \psi_{2,j}$ to show that $H_1 = H_2$ in $\mathcal{H}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}^{1,1}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$. According to Theorem 4.2, this equality also holds in $\mathcal{H}_{w_1}^1(\Psi_1) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathcal{H}_{w_2}^1(\Psi_2)$, which concludes the proof.

Now that we established the correspondence between operators and kernels, we investigate whether it is possible to characterise certain classes of operators via the properties of their kernels, in particular in terms of certain mixed-norm co-orbit spaces. The arguments crucially rely on a version of Schur's test (see,

for example, [40, Propositions 5.2 and 5.4] and [35]) and the representation of an operator by its Galerkin matrix defined in (4.3) by

$$k_{i,j} := \left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), \mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)}.$$

We note that the characterisation given by this matrix was established in [3, Proposition 6]. What is new here is that we relate the Galerkin matrix representing an operator to its kernel.

Theorem 4.4. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\Psi_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ be an \mathcal{A}_n -localised frame, and w_n be an \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weight for n = 1, 2. Then

(i) A linear operator $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^p_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ is bounded if and only if its kernel K is contained in $\mathfrak{H}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ or, alternatively, if and only if $k \in \mathfrak{l}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(I \times J)$; and in that case

$$||O||_{\mathcal{H}^{1}_{w_{1}}(\Psi_{1}) \to \mathcal{H}^{p}_{1/w_{2}}(\Psi_{2})} \asymp ||K||_{\mathfrak{H}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})} = ||k||_{\mathfrak{l}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_{1} \otimes w_{2})}(I \times J)}, \tag{4.8}$$

(ii) A linear operator $O: \mathcal{H}^p_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^\infty_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ is bounded if and only if its kernel K is contained in $\mathcal{H}^{q,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ or, alternatively, if and only if $k \in \ell^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(I \times J)$; and in that case

$$||O||_{\mathcal{H}_{w_1}^p(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}_{w_2}^{\infty}(\Psi_2)} \asymp ||K||_{\mathcal{H}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{q,\infty}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} = ||k||_{\ell_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}^{p,\infty}(I \times J)}. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Ad (i): The fact that $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^p_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ is bounded if and only if $k \in \mathfrak{l}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(I \times J)$ was established in [3, Proposition 6, eq. (24)]. To show the second equivalence, we first observe that if $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^p_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ is bounded, then

$$||Of||_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)} \le ||Of||_{\mathcal{H}^p_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)} \lesssim ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)}.$$

According to Theorem 3.4, there exists a unique kernel $K \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ that satisfies (3.7). In particular,

$$\langle K, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \rangle_{H^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2), H^{1,1}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} = \left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{H^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2), H^{1}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} = k_{i,j},$$
 which shows that $\|K\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} = \|k\|_{\mathfrak{l}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(I \times J)} < \infty \text{ if } O \text{ is bounded.}$

If, on the other hand, $K \in \mathfrak{H}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, then from Theorem 3.4 we infer that there exists a bounded operator $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$ satisfying (3.7). Therefore, we conclude that $\|K\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)} = \|k\|_{\mathfrak{t}^{p,\infty}_{1/(w_1 \otimes w_2)}(I \times J)}$ which implies the boundedness of $O: \mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^p_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)$.

Ad (ii): The equivalence of the boundedness of the operator and the property of its Galerkin matrix is not explicitly stated in [3, Proposition 6]. This can however be done by essentially using the same arguments as those used in [3, Proposition 6] and applying [40, Proposition 2.4]. The workings of the rest of the proof are similar to those developed in (i) with the only difference that here we can make use the inequalities

$$||Of||_{\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Psi_2)} \lesssim ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^p_{w_1}(\Psi_1)} \leqslant ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^1_{w_1}(\Psi_1)},$$

which ensures the existence of a kernel K.

This result allows us to prove that the co-orbit spaces associated with certain mixed norm spaces do not depend on the particular tensor product of localised frames as long as all involved cross-Gram matrices belong to A_n .

Corollary 4.5. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, $\Psi_n, \Phi_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ be \mathcal{A}_n -localised frames, and w_n an \mathcal{A}_n -admissible weight n = 1, 2. If $G_{\Phi_n,\widetilde{\Psi}_n} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $G_{\Psi_n,\widetilde{\Phi}_n} \in \mathcal{A}_n$, n = 1, 2, then $\mathcal{H}^{p,\infty}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) = \mathcal{H}^{p,\infty}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2)$ and $\mathfrak{H}^{p,\infty}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2) = \mathfrak{H}^{p,\infty}_{w_1 \otimes w_2}(\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2)$, with their norms being equivalent.

Proof. We shall only prove the former identity as the latter follows from a similar argument. Let $K_{\Psi} \in \mathcal{H}^{p,\infty}_{w_1 \otimes w_2} (\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$ and $O: \mathcal{H}^p_{1/w_1}(\Psi_1) \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)$ be the corresponding bounded operator according to Theorem 4.4 (ii). From Proposition 2.7 (ii) we know that O is also a bounded operator mapping $\mathcal{H}^p_{1/w_1}(\Phi_1)$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{1/w_2}(\Phi_2)$ with equivalent operator norm. Applying Theorem 4.4 (ii) again, we find an element $K_{\Phi} \in \mathcal{H}^{p,\infty}_{w_1 \otimes w_2} (\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2)$ such that

$$||K_{\Psi}||_{\mathcal{H}^{p,\infty}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes \Psi_2)} \asymp ||O||_{\mathcal{H}^p_{w_1}(\Psi_1)\to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{w_2}(\Psi_2)} \asymp ||O||_{\mathcal{H}^p_{w_1}(\Phi_1)\to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{w_2}(\Phi_2)} \asymp ||K_{\Phi}||_{\mathcal{H}^{p,\infty}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Phi_1\otimes \Phi_2)}.$$

It remains to show that $K_{\Psi} = K_{\Phi}$. This, however, follows from the uniqueness of the kernel in Theorem 4.1 (O is also bounded as an operator mapping $\mathcal{H}^1_{1/w_1}(\Phi_1)$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}_{w_2}(\Phi_2)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2) = \mathcal{H}^{\infty,\infty}_{w_1\otimes w_2}(\Phi_1\otimes\Phi_2)$ as long as the cross-Gram matrices of Ψ_1 and Φ_1 , on the one hand, and Ψ_2 and Φ_2 , on the other hand, are contained in \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 respectively.

Finally, we give a sufficient condition on the kernel to ensure that the corresponding operator is contained in the Schatten-p class.

Proposition 4.6. Let $S_p(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ be the Schatten-p class of operators mapping \mathcal{H}_1 to \mathcal{H}_2 , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, Ψ_n be \mathcal{A}_n -localised frames for \mathcal{H}_n for n = 1, 2, and $O : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ a bounded operator with a kernel K. If $K \in \mathfrak{H}^{2,p}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, then $O \in S_p(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. In particular, if $K \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}(\Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2)$, then O is a trace class operator.

Proof. From [10, Theorem 17] we know that, if $\{\|Of_i\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}\}_{i\in I} \in \ell^p(I)$ for some frame $\{f_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathcal{H}_1$, then $O \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Using Ψ_1 as such a frame results in

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}}^{p} \asymp \sum_{i \in I} \left(\sum_{j \in J} \left| \left\langle O\widetilde{\psi}_{1,i}, \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{2}} \right|^{2} \right)^{p/2} \\
= \sum_{i \in I} \left(\sum_{j \in J} \left| \left\langle K, \widetilde{\psi}_{1,i} \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_{2,j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2}} \right|^{2} \right)^{p/2} = \|K\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{2,1}(\Psi_{1} \otimes \Psi_{2})}.$$

The second statement follows once we set p=1 and observe that $||K||_{\mathfrak{H}^{2,1}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)}\leqslant ||K||_{\mathcal{H}^{1,1}(\Psi_1\otimes\Psi_2)}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Hans Georg Feichtinger for fruitful discussions on the contents of this article. Dimitri Bytchenkoff is grateful to Patrik Wahlberg of Polytechnic of Turin for a helpful conversation on kernel theorems. This research

was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) 10.55776/P34624 (P.B. and D.B.) and 10.55776/Y1199 (M.S.).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Balazs, Matrix representation of operators using frames. Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. 7, (2008) 39-54.
- [2] P. Balazs, Hilbert-Schmidt operators and frames classification, best approximation by multipliers and algorithms. Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolution Inf. Process. 6(2), (2008) 315-330.
- [3] P. Balazs and K. Gröchenig, A Guide to localized frames and applications to Galerkin-like representations of operators, in I. Pesenson, Q. Le Gia, A. Mayeli, H. Mhaskar, D.-X. Zhou, Frames and other bases in abstract and function spaces. Birkhäuser, Cham (2017).
- [4] P. Balazs, K. Gröchenig and M. Speckbacher, Kernel theorems in coorbit theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B 6, (2019) 346-364.
- [5] O. V. Besov On a family of function spaces in connection with embeddings and extensions. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 60 (1961), 42–81.
- [6] L. Borup and M. Nielsen, Frame decomposition of decomposition spaces. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 13(1), (2007) 39-70.
- [7] D. Bytchenkoff and F. Voigtlaender, *Design and properties of wave packet smoothness spaces*. J. Math. Pures Appl. 133, (2020) 185-262.
- [8] D. Bytchenkoff, Construction of Banach frames and atomic decompositions of anisotropic Besov spaces. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 200, (2021) 1341-1365.
- [9] D. Bytchenkoff, M. Speckbacher and P. Balazs, Outer kernel theorem for co-orbit spaces of localised frames. In: Proceedings of SampTA 2023, (2023).
- [10] H. Bingyang, L. H. Khoi and K. Zhu, Frames and operators in Schatten classes. Houston J. Math. 41(4), (2013) 1191-1219.
- [11] P. G. Casazza and G. Kutyniok *Finite frames theory and applications*. Applied and numerical harmonic analysis. Boston, MA Birkhäuser, Boston (2013).
- [12] O. Christensen, Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases. Birkhäuser, Boston (2008).
- [13] J. B. Conway, A course in functional analysis. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 96, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1990).
- [14] E. Cordero and F. Nicola, Kernel theorems for modulation spaces. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 25, (2019) 131-144.
- [15] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72, (1952) 341-154.
- [16] H. G. Feichtinger, Un espace de Banach de distributions tempérées sur les groupes localement compacts abéliens. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 290(17), (1980) A791-A794.
- [17] H. G. Feichtinger, On a new Segal algebra. Monatsh. Math. 92, (1981) 269-289.
- [18] H. G. Feichtinger and P. Gröbner, Banach spaces of distributions defined by decomposition method. Math. Nachr. 123, (1985) 97-120.
- [19] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, A unified approach to atomic decomposition via integrable group representations, In: Proc. Conference on Functions, Spaces and Applications, Lund 1986, Springer Lect. Notes Math. (1988) 52-73.
- [20] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I. J. Funct. Anal. 86(2), (1989) 307-340.
- [21] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. II.. Monatsh. Math. 108(2-3), (1989) 129-148.
- [22] H. G. Feichtinger and M. S. Jakobsen, The inner kernel theorem for a certain Segal algebra. Monatsh. Math. 198, (2022) 675–715.
- [23] M. Fornasier and K. Gröchenig, *Intrinsic localization of frames*. Constr. Approx. 22, (2005) 395-415.
- [24] M. Fornasier and H. Rauhut, Continuous frames, function spaces, and the discretization problem. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 11(3), (2005) 245-287.
- [25] F. Futamura, Localizable operators and the construction of localized frames. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137(12), (2009) 4187-4197.

- [26] B. G. Galerkin, Rods and plates: series occurring in various questions concerning the elastic equilibrium of rods and plates. Vestnik Inzhenerov i Tekhnikov 19, (1915) 897-908.
- [27] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, Generalized functions. Vol. 2, Spaces of fundamental and generalized functions. Translated from the 1958 Russian original, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, (2016).
- [28] K. Gröchenig, Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames. Monatsh. Math. 112, (1991) 1-41.
- [29] K. Gröchenig, Localized frames are finite unions of Riesz sequences. Adv. Comp. Math. 18, (2003) 149-157.
- [30] K. Gröchenig, Localization of frames, Banach frames and the invertibility of the frame operator. J. Fourier Anal. 10(2) (2004) 105-132.
- [31] K. Gröchenig and M. Leinert, Symmetry of matrix algebras and symbolic calculus for infinite matrices. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358, (2006), 2695-2711
- [32] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I, Distribution theory and Fourier analysis. Springer, Berlin (1990).
- [33] S. Jaffard, Propriétés des matrices "bien localisées" près de leur diagonale et quelquels applications. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 7, (1990), 461-476.
- [34] V. Losert, A characterization of the minimal strongly character invariant Segal algebra. Ann. Inst. Fourier 30, (1980) 129-139.
- [35] I. J. Maddox, *Infinite matrices of operators*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 786, Springer, Berlin (1980).
- [36] M. Nielsen and K.N. Rasmussen, Compactly supported frames for decomposition spaces. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18(1), (2012), 87-117.
- [37] M. Nielsen, Frames for decomposition spaces generated by a single function. Collect. Math. 65, (2014), 183-201.
- [38] R. A. Ryan, Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces, Springe, London (2002).
- [39] J. Sjöstrand, Wiener-type algebras of pseudodifferential operators. Centre de Mathematiques, Ecole Polytechnique. Palaiseau France, Séminaire 1994–1995, (1994).
- [40] T. Tao, Lecture Notes 2 for 247 A: Fourier Analysis. www.math.ucla.edu/~tao/247a.1.06f/notes2.pdf.
- [41] F. Voigtlaender, Structured, compactly supported Banach frame decompositions of decomposition spaces. Diss. Math. 575, (2022), 1-179.
- (D. B.) Acoustics Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Wohllebengasse 12-14, 1040 Vienna, Austria;

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA;

Université de Lorraine, Laboratoire d'Energétique et de Mécanique Théorique et Appliquée, 2 avenue de la Forêt de Haye, 54505 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France

 $\label{lem:email} Email\ address: \verb|dimitri.bytchenkoff@oeaw.ac.at; dimitri.bytchenkoff@univie.ac.at; dimitri.bytchenkoff@univ-lorraine.fr$

(M. S.) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

Email address: michael.speckbacher@univie.ac.at

(P. B.) Acoustics Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Wohllebengasse 12-14, $1040~\rm{Vienna}$, Austria

Email address: peter.balazs@oeaw.ac.at