



**HAL**  
open science

# On the $H^m$ -Regularity for Second Order Elliptic Equations

Subham De

► **To cite this version:**

| Subham De. On the  $H^m$ -Regularity for Second Order Elliptic Equations. 2024. hal-04477849v1

**HAL Id: hal-04477849**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04477849v1>**

Preprint submitted on 26 Feb 2024 (v1), last revised 7 Mar 2024 (v3)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# On the $H^m$ -Regularity for Second Order Elliptic Equations

SUBHAM DE

*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India.*

*Email: [mas227132@iitd.ac.in](mailto:mas227132@iitd.ac.in)*

*Website: [www.sites.google.com/view/subhamde](http://www.sites.google.com/view/subhamde)*

## Abstract

Our primary objective in this paper is to discuss the  $H^m$ -Regularity for second order elliptic equations over Sobolev Spaces. We here consider the cases  $m = 1$  and  $m \geq 2$  separately. We revisit some elementary concepts in *Functional Analysis* and *Abstract Harmonic Analysis* before providing a proper definition to the notion of weak solution of a Dirichlet Problem.

While towards the later stages, we shall classify different types of regularity conditions, the main focus lies upon deducing appropriate ellipticity conditions in support of commenting about the existence and uniqueness of the weak solutions to a given problem. Appropriate references are provided in the bibliography section to facilitate further reading for ardent readers and researchers in this field.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Fourier Transform, Sobolev Space, Schwartz Space, Hilbert Space, Weak Derivative, Trace Theorem, Integration by Parts, Outward Normal, Bounded Domain, Boundary Value Problem, Dirichlet Problem, Weak Solution, Uniqueness, Existence, Interior Regularity, Boundary Regularity, Higher Regularity, Elliptic Equation, Elliptic Operator .

**2020 MSC:** Primary 35A01, 35A02, 35A15, 35J20, 35D30 .

Secondary 35B65, 35A20, 35J25, 35J35, 58J05 .

---



---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Notations . . . . .	2
1.2	Important results in Fourier Analysis . . . . .	3
1.3	Equivalent Norm on $H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . . . . .	5
1.4	Trace Theorem . . . . .	5
1.5	Integration by Parts . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Calculus on the Boundary of Sobolev Spaces</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Domain with Smooth Boundary . . . . .	7
2.2	Boundary of Sobolev Spaces . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Boundary Value Problems</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Introducing Boundary Conditions on Solutions . . . . .	11
3.2	Weak Formulation of a Dirichlet Problem . . . . .	12
3.3	Weak Solution of a Dirichlet Problem . . . . .	12
3.4	Existence and Uniqueness of Weak Solution . . . . .	14
<b>4</b>	<b><math>H^m</math>-Regularity for Second Order Elliptic Equations</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1	Interior Regularity . . . . .	15
4.2	Boundary Regularity . . . . .	17
4.3	A Special Diffeomorphism on Second Order Elliptic Operators . . . . .	18

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Notations

We shall use the following notations throughout the article. They are as follows:

- $\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_n \mid x_n > 0\}$ .
- A priori given integers,  $\alpha_i \geq 0 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we denote,

$$\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

$$\alpha' := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, 0).$$

- For a multi-index  $\alpha$ , we define,

$$|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$$

$$D^\alpha = \frac{1}{i^{|\alpha|}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$$

Where,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

- For every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$D_k^j := \frac{1}{i^j} \cdot \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x_k^j}$$

It is assumed for simplicity that, for  $j = 1$ , we just write  $D_k$ .

- $C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$  := Set of all  $C^\infty$  functions upto the boundary of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and having *compact support* in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ .

## 1.2 Important results in Fourier Analysis

We recall the definition of *Fourier transform* and shall discuss about some of its important properties pertinent to our topic. For further details, one can refer to [2].

**Definition 1.2.1.** (Fourier Transform) For any  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , we define the **fourier transforms** of  $u$  and  $v$  as,

$$\hat{u}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and,

$$\hat{v}(\xi', x_n) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-ix' \cdot \xi'} u(x', x_n) dx', \quad \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, x = (x', x_n)$$

Furthermore, for  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have the **Parseval Relation**,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 dx \quad (1.1)$$

And,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha u(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi^{alpha}|^2 |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 dx \quad (1.2)$$

The relations (1.1) and (1.2) yields,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |v(x', x_n)|^2 dx' dx_n = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\hat{v}(\xi', x_n)|^2 d\xi' dx_n$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |D^{\alpha'} v(x', x_n)|^2 dx' dx_n = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\xi^{\alpha'}|^2 |\hat{v}(\xi', x_n)|^2 d\xi' dx_n$$

A priori given a *Schwartz Space*  $\mathcal{S}$  of rapidly decreasing functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with its *dual* as  $\mathcal{S}'$ , we can deduce the following,

$$\hat{u}(\phi) = u(\hat{\phi}), \quad u \in \mathcal{S}', \phi \in \mathcal{S}$$

**Remark 1.2.1.** An important observation is that,  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}'$  as well for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}'$ , by properties of *Fourier Transform* on Schwartz Spaces.

Using the above results, we provide a formal definition of the *Sobolev Space* as follows :

**Definition 1.2.2.** (Sobolev Space) For very  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define the **Sobolev Space**  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as,

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}' : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

It can be verified that,  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is indeed an **Hilbert Space** with respect to the following *inner product* defined on it,

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} d\xi$$

**Remark 1.2.2.** For  $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ , we can interpret the above as following,

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : D^\alpha u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq s \}$$

Where,  $D^\alpha u$  denotes the **weak (distributional) derivative** of  $u$  with an equivalent **norm** defined on  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as,

$$\|u\|_s^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha u|^2 \quad (1.4)$$

Important to note that, the above definition has a valid extension to  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  as,

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) := \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n) : D^\alpha u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq s \}$$

which in turn forms a Hilbert Space with respect to the inner product,

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\alpha u \cdot D^\alpha v$$

For future reference and for simplicity, since we shall be working in the case when  $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ , we take,  $s = m$ , and for notational purposes, we shall consider the Sobolev Space  $H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  in our future deductions.

### 1.3 Equivalent Norm on $H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$

A priori from the definition of norm as mentioned in (1.4) and using the property that,  $\exists$  constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that,

$$c_1(1 + |\xi'|^2)^{m-j} \leq \sum_{|\alpha'| \leq m-j} |\xi^{\alpha'}|^2 \leq c_2(1 + |\xi'|^2)^{m-j}, \quad \forall j \in 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$$

For every  $u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , it helps us provide the definition of an equivalent norm on  $H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , denoted by  $|\cdot|_m$ , and defined as,

**Definition 1.3.1.**

$$|u|_m^2 := \sum_{j=0}^m \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{m-j} |D_n^j \hat{u}(\xi', x_n)|^2 d\xi' dx_n \quad (1.5)$$

**Definition 1.3.2.** For every,  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ , we define,  $\gamma_j : C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}})$  as,

$$(\gamma_j u)(x') := (D_n^j u)(x', 0) \quad (1.6)$$

### 1.4 Trace Theorem

**Theorem 1.4.1.** *The map  $\gamma_j$  ( as defined in (1.5) ) has in fact an extension from  $H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  onto  $H^{m-j-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  as an operator which is both bounded and linear.*

*Proof.* Using (1.5), we can interpret the following for every  $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ ,

$$|D_n^j \hat{u}_n(\xi', 0)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{A} \int_0^\infty |D_n^{j+1} \hat{u}_n(\xi', x_n)|^2 dt + A \int_0^\infty |D_n^j \hat{u}_n(\xi', x_n)|^2 dt$$

Where, we take,  $A = (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{1/2}$  for the purpose of this proof ( in fact any value of  $A$  would work in general ).

Therefore, multiplying both sides by  $(1 + |\xi'|^2)^{m-j-1/2}$ , we obtain,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{m-j-1/2} |D_n^j \hat{u}_n(\xi', 0)|^2 d\xi' \leq |u|_m^2$$

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad \|\gamma_j u\|_{m-j-1/2} \leq \|u\|_m$$

Important to note that, such an extension of  $\gamma_j$  is unique, since,  $C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$  is *dense* in  $H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

In order to establish *surjectivity*, assume any  $\rho_j \in H^{m-j-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  for  $j = 0 \dots m-1$ . Define,  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that,

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{in } B(0, 1/2) \\ 0, & \text{outside } B(0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Now, we define,

$$\hat{u}(\xi', x_n) = \chi \left( (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{1/2} x_n \right) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \hat{\rho}_j(\xi') \frac{(ix_n)^j}{j!}$$

Where,  $\hat{\rho}_j(\xi') = D_n^j \hat{u}(\xi', 0)$ .

We claim that,  $u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

A priori using **Leibniz Rule**, we can justify that,  $\exists$  constants  $C_{kl}$  satisfying,

$$D_n^k \hat{u}(\xi', x_n) = \sum_{l=0}^k C_{kl} D_n^{k-l} \left\{ \chi \left( (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{1/2} x_n \right) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{j=l}^m \hat{\rho}_j(\xi') \frac{j(j-1)\dots(j-l)}{j!} (ix_n)^{j-l} \right\}.$$

Again, on  $Supp(\chi)$ , we have,  $|x_n| \leq \frac{1}{(1+|\xi'|^2)^{1/2}}$ . Thus, we get,

$$\left| x_n^{j-l} D_n^{k-l} \left( \chi \left( (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{1/2} x_n \right) \right) \right| \leq (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{(k-j)/2}$$

Hence,  $\exists$  a constant  $c > 0$  such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \left| D_n^k \hat{u}(\xi', x_n) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{m-k} dx_n d\xi' &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\hat{\rho}_j(\xi')|^2 (1 + |\xi'|^2)^{m-j-1/2} d\xi' \\ &\leq c \|\rho_j\|_{m-j-1/2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

It helps us conclude that,

$$\|u\|_m \leq c \sup_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \|\rho_j\|_{m-j-1/2}$$

Hence our proof is complete. □

## 1.5 Integration by Parts

**Theorem 1.5.1.** *Assume any  $u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (D_n u) v \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u D_n v \, dx - i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \gamma_0(u) \gamma_0(v) dx' \quad (1.7)$$

*Proof.* Choose any two sequences  $u_k, v_k \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$  satisfying,  $|u_k - v_k| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (D_n u_k) v_k \, dx &= i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_n} v_k \, dx_n \right\} dx' \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u_k D_n v_k \, dx - i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} u_k(x', 0) v_k(x', 0) \, dx' \end{aligned}$$

A priori from the fact that,  $u_k \rightarrow u$ ,  $v_k \rightarrow v$ ,  $D_n u_k \rightarrow D_n u$ ,  $D_n v_k \rightarrow D_n v$ ,  $u_k(x', 0) \rightarrow \gamma_0(u)$ ,  $v_k(x', 0) \rightarrow \gamma_0(v)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $L^2$ . Hence, we obtain our desired result.  $\square$

As a corollary to the above theorem, we can indeed deduce the following.

**Corollary 1.5.2.** *Suppose,  $H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n) := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n) : \gamma_0(u) = 0\}$ . then,  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  is **dense** in  $H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .*

## 2 Calculus on the Boundary of Sobolev Spaces

### 2.1 Domain with Smooth Boundary

Suppose, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ , and every  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , we denote,

$$x' := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$$

We define the following sets in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  as,

$$B_i(x', r_1) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |x' - y| < r_1\}$$

$$V_i(x, r_1, r_2) = \{(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x' - y'| < r_1, |x_i - y_i| < r_2\}$$

Using the above notations, we explain the concept of a domain with smooth boundary as follows.

**Definition 2.1.1.**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined to be a **domain with smooth boundary** if for every  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\exists r_1, r_2 > 0$ ,  $i \in 1, 2, \dots, n$  and a smooth function,  $\psi_i : B_i(x', r_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying,

$$V_i(x_0, r_1, r_2) \cap \Omega = \{x \in V_i(x_0, r_1, r_2) : \psi_i(x') < x_i\} \quad (2.1)$$

or,

$$V_i(x_0, r_1, r_2) \cap \Omega = \{x \in V_i(x_0, r_1, r_2) : \psi_i(x') > x_i\} \quad (2.2)$$

and,

$$V_i(x_0, r_1, r_2) \cap \partial\Omega = \{x : \psi_i(x') = x_i\} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \psi_i(x'), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) : x' \in B_i\} \quad (2.3)$$



**Example 2.1.1.** Consider  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then,  $\psi_n(x') = 0$ , where,  $x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$ . Furthermore, we can deduce that,  $r_1 = r_2 = \infty$ .

**Definition 2.1.2.** (Outward Normal) Suppose,  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and,  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ , such that, (2.1) holds true.

For every  $x \in V_i(x_0, r_1, r_2) \cap \partial\Omega$ , we define,

$$\nu(x) = \frac{\nabla(-x_i + \psi_i(x'))}{|\nabla(-x_i + \psi_i(x'))|} = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_{i-1}}, -1, \frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_n}\right)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\psi_i|^2}} \quad (2.4)$$

Subsequently,

$$|\nabla\psi_i|^2 = \left|\frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_1}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_2}\right|^2 + \dots + \left|\frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_{i-1}}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_{i+1}}\right|^2 + \dots + \left|\frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial x_n}\right|^2 \quad (2.5)$$

$\nu$  is denoted as the **unit outward normal** to  $\partial\Omega$  at the point  $x$ .

**Definition 2.1.3.** (Measure on  $\partial\Omega$ ) We define the **measure**  $d\sigma$  on  $V_i(x_0, r_1, r_2) \cap \partial\Omega$  as follows,

$$d\sigma = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\psi_i|^2} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_n \quad (2.6)$$

We can use the *Integration by Parts* (Theorem (1.5.1)) to infer about the relation of  $\nu$  and  $d\sigma$  as defined above with the *smooth boundary* of a domain  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 2.1.2.** For any  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the unit outward normal,  $\nu$  and the measure  $d\sigma$  as defined earlier are in fact independent of the description of  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Theorem 2.1.3.** In any bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary, we choose any  $u, v \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ . Then,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} v dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} uv \nu_i d\sigma \quad (2.7)$$

Where,  $\nu(x) = (\nu_1(x), \nu_2(x), \dots, \nu_n(x))$  is the unit outward normal to  $\partial\Omega$  and  $d\sigma$  is the measure on  $\partial\Omega$ .

*Proof.* We shall introduce two important results which shall be used to prove this theorem.

**Lemma 2.1.4.** Assume  $K$  to be the support of  $u$  as defined in the statement of the theorem, such that,  $K$  is compact in  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, let,  $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$  be such that,  $\phi = 1$  on  $K$ . Also, let  $v_1 = \phi v$ . Hence,  $u, v_1 \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\text{Supp}(u), \text{Supp}(v_1) \in \Omega$ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} v dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx$$

**Lemma 2.1.5.** *Let  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , and,  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A priori using the notations  $\psi_i, V_i$  as defined before, let us take,  $\eta_1 < r_1$ ,  $\eta_2 < r_2$  and,  $u, v \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfying,  $u \in V(x_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) \subset V(x_0, r_1, r_2)$ . Then,*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} v dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} uv \nu_j d\sigma$$

Now we can indeed proceed to the proof of the main theorem.

Clearly,  $\bar{\Omega}$  is compact, hence, it has a finite cover, say,  $\bar{\omega} \subset V^0 \cup_{\alpha=1}^l V^\alpha$ , where,  $\bar{V}^0 \subset \Omega$  is compact and,  $V^\alpha = V_i^\alpha(x^\alpha, r_1^\alpha, r_2^\alpha)$ ,  $x^\alpha \in \partial\Omega$ .

Suppose,  $\{\chi_i\}_{i=0}^l$  be a partition of unity subordinate to this cover. Therefore,  $u = \sum_{\alpha=0}^l u \chi_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha=0}^l u_\alpha$ , where, we define,  $u_\alpha = u \chi_\alpha$ . As a result,  $Supp(u_0) \subset V^0 \subset \Omega$  and,  $Supp(u_\alpha) \subset V^\alpha$ .

From the results mentioned in Lemmas (2.1.4) and (2.1.5), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} v dx &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^l \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial x_i} v dx \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^l \left( - \int_{\Omega} u^\alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx \right) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^l \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} u^\alpha v \nu_i d\sigma \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^l u^\alpha \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^l u^\alpha \right) v \nu_i d\sigma \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} uv \nu_i d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

And, the proof is done. □

## 2.2 Boundary of Sobolev Spaces

Suppose,  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary. Furthermore, let  $u : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. A priori under the assumptions as discussed in the previous section, we define another function  $\tilde{u}_\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  by,

$$\tilde{u}_\alpha(x) = u_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \psi_i^\alpha(x'), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

Then, we give the following definition.

**Definition 2.2.1.**  $u \in H^s(\partial\Omega)$  iff  $\tilde{u}_\alpha \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  and,

$$\|u\|_s = \sum_{\alpha=1}^l \|\tilde{u}_\alpha\|_s$$

**Remark 2.2.1.** Important to observe that, the norm as defined above depends upon the partition of unity and the cover  $V_\alpha$  and any such two norms obtained by different covers and partitions of unity are in fact equivalent.

Using the definition of  $H^s(\partial\Omega)$  and the *Trace Theorem* (Theorem (1.4.1)) in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , the following theorem follows.

**Theorem 2.2.2.** For a bounded domain  $\Omega$  with smooth boundary, we define  $\gamma_j : C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$  as,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0 u &= u|_{\partial\Omega} \text{ if, } j = 0 \\ \gamma_j u &= \frac{\partial^j u}{\partial \nu^j} |_{\partial\Omega} \text{ if, } j > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} = \sum_{l=1}^n \nu_l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l}$ ,  $\nu(x)$  being the unit outward normal to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x$ .

Let,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ , therefore,  $\gamma_j$  extends to a continuous surjective map from  $H^m(\Omega)$  to  $H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ . Moreover, if

$$H_0^m(\Omega) = \{u \in H^m(\Omega) : \gamma_j u = 0, 0 \leq j \leq m-1\}$$

Then,  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is **dense** in  $H_0^m(\Omega)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.3.** Suppose,  $u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$ , then,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} v dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma_0(u) \gamma_0(v) \nu_i d\sigma$$

i.e., if,  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} v dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx$$

**Remark 2.2.4.** The above formula in Corollary (2.2.3) holds true for  $C^1$ -functions and thus by *approximation process*, the same holds for  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

### 3 Boundary Value Problems

#### 3.1 Introducing Boundary Conditions on Solutions

Let,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, and  $a_{ij} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $c \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ . Furthermore, suppose,  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ , and they satisfy the **uniform ellipticity condition**,

$$m|\xi|^2 \leq \sum a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq M|\xi|^2, \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3.1)$$

for some  $m, M > 0$ . Let,  $f \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $g \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ , and  $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  be satisfying the following,

$$\mathcal{L}u + c(x)u = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + c(x)u = f \quad (3.2)$$

with the following two kinds of **boundary conditions** imposed on  $\partial\Omega$  as follows,

$$(1) \quad u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (\text{Dirichlet Boundary Condition}) \quad (3.3)$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{L}}} = \sum a_{ij}\nu_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (\text{Neumann Boundary Condition}) \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, multiplying (3.2) by  $v \in H^2(\bar{\Omega})$  and integrating by parts, it yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f v &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) v + \int_{\Omega} c(x)v \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} + \int_{\Omega} c(x)uv - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{L}}} v d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Interested readers may ask certain questions like, whether a solution to (3.2) really exists for given  $f, g$  and under the so called boundary conditions as described in (3.3) and (3.4). Furthermore, if a solution does in fact **exists**, one might also raise a question as to whether it is **unique!**

**Remark 3.1.1. Existence** of solutions to (3.2) in  $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  is not true in general. Hence, we relax the condition to a certain extent and look for solution in a much bigger space, i.e., the **Sobolev Space**. There, we consider only the *Dirichlet Problem* and the other boundary conditions can be modified in a similar manner.

### 3.2 Weak Formulation of a Dirichlet Problem

A priori from the fact that, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  maps  $H^2(\Omega)$  into  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\gamma_0$  maps  $H^2(\Omega)$  into  $H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ . It allows us to reformulate the problem in the following manner:

Given  $f_1 \in L^2(\Omega), g \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ , we intend to find a  $u_1 \in H^2(\Omega)$  satisfying,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u_1 + c(x)u_1 = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \right) + c(x)u_1 = f_1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0 u_1 = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

As  $\gamma_0 : H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$  is surjective, thus,  $\exists u_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$  with  $\gamma_0 u_0 = g$ . Suppose,  $u = u_1 - u_0$ .

Hence,  $u$  satisfies,

$$\begin{cases} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + cu = f_1 - \mathcal{L}u_0 - cu_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0 u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Thus, putting  $f = f_1 - \mathcal{L}u_0 - cu_0$ , the problem further reduces to obtaining one such  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  such that,

$$\begin{cases} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + cu = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0 u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

It suffices to look for a solution to the problem,

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \\ - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + cu = f & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad \dots(i)$$

Multiplying (i) by  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and applying Integration by Parts, we obtain,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + cuv \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \quad (3.5)$$

Important to note that,  $u$  is a solution of (i) iff (3.5) is satisfied for every  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

### 3.3 Weak Solution of a Dirichlet Problem

Using the above concept, we can introduce the notion of **weak solution** for a boundary value problem as follows.

**Definition 3.3.1.** (Weak Solution) Suppose,  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . We define  $u$  to be a **weak solution** of the problem,

$$\begin{cases} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + cu = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0 u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

iff  $\forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , (3.5) holds true.

**Remark 3.3.1.** In case for weak solution, the assumption that,  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  can be relaxed.

Further, using the above definition, we can in fact search for answers to some critical questions related to the **existence** and **uniqueness** of a weak solution. Even, one can comment on some regularity properties of the weak solution in  $H^2(\Omega)$ .

We shall introduce the following results in order to discuss these concepts in the next section.

**Lemma 3.3.2. (Poincare's Lemma)**  $\exists$  a constant  $c > 0$  which satisfies the following relation for every  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* A priori from the fact that,  $\Omega$  is bounded,  $\exists M > 0$  such that,

$$\Omega \subset \{x : -M \leq x_i \leq M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Suppose,  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , thus, for  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \int_{-M}^{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x_2, \dots, x_n) dt$$

Hence,

$$|u|^2 \leq (x_1 + M) \int_{-M}^{x_1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dt \leq 2M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right|^2 dt$$

We thus conclude,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq (2M)^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

And the proof is complete. □

As a corollary to the above result, we can infer the following.

**Corollary 3.3.3.** Given any  $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and,  $c(x) \geq 0$ ,  $c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , suppose,

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} + cuv \right) dx$$

Then,  $a$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) (Symmetry)  $a(u, v) = a(v, u)$ .
- (ii) (Continuity)  $\exists c_1 > 0$  such that,  $|a(u, v)| \leq c_1 \|u\|_1 \|v\|_1$ .
- (iii) (Coercive)  $\exists c_2 > 0$  such that,  $a(u, u) \geq c_2 \|u\|_1^2$ , where,  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is the norm defined on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.4. (Lax-Milgram Lemma)** Suppose  $H$  be a Hilbert Space and  $a : H \times H \rightarrow H$  be symmetric, continuous bilinear map. Furthermore, let us assume that,  $\exists c > 0$  such that,

$$a(u, u) \geq c \|u\|^2 \quad \forall u \in H.$$

Then, for every  $l \in H^*$ ,  $\exists! u_0 \in H$  satisfying,

$$a(u_0, v) = l(v)$$

$\forall v \in H$ .

*Proof.*  $h$  being an inner product space with respect to the bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ , which also happens to be both continuous and coercive ( Corollary (3.3.3) ), we can conclude that, the two norms,  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_1$  are indeed equivalent.

Therefore,  $H$  with the inner product  $a$  is complete and, subsequently is a *Hilbert Space*.

Applying **Riesz Representation Theorem**, for every  $l \in H^*$ ,  $\exists u_0 \in H$  such that,

$$a(u_0, v) = l(v) \quad \forall v \in H \text{ holds true.}$$

This eventually establishes the statement of the lemma. □

### 3.4 Existence and Uniqueness of Weak Solution

**Theorem 3.4.1.** Consider any  $a_{ij}, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , such that,  $\exists m, M > 0$  satisfying,

- (a)  $c \geq 0$ .
- (b)  $m|\xi|^2 \leq \sum a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq M|\xi|^2$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Furthermore, if  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then  $\exists!$  weak solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  of the problem,

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + cu = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0 u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let us choose,  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and,

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} + cu \right)$$

$$l(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v$$

Hence, we have,

$$|l(v)| \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_1$$

This implies,  $l|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$  is a continuous linear mapping. From the **Lax-Milgram Lemma** (Lemma (3.3.4)), we can infer that,  $a$  is symmetric, continuous and coercive bilinear form on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , hence,  $\exists!$   $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying,  $a(u_0, v) = l(v)$ , for every  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Therefore, we conclude that  $u_0$  is the desired unique weak solution to the given Dirichlet Problem. □

## 4 $H^m$ -Regularity for Second Order Elliptic Equations

In this section, we shall discuss the existence of the weak solution,  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  in the space  $H^m(\Omega)$ . For our simplicity, we shall consider a special case,  $m = 2$  for our analysis.

### 4.1 Interior Regularity

Given  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e_i := (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 1)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Moreover, for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define,

$$(\tau_h^i u)(x) := \frac{u(x + h e_i) - u(x)}{h} \tag{4.1}$$

**Lemma 4.1.1.** (I) For every  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\|\tau_h^i u\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$$



(II) For every  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , and  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,

$$\|\tau_h^i u\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$$

**Theorem 4.1.2. (Interior Regularity)** A priori given  $a_{ij} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that,  $\text{Supp}(u)$  is indeed compact in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then,  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and moreover,  $\exists m > 0$  satisfying,

1.

$$m|\xi^2|^2 \leq \sum a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$$

Where,  $\Omega$  is an open set such that,  $\text{Supp}(u) \subset \Omega$ .

2.  $\forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f v$$

Then,  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* To prove the above Theorem, one can in fact proceed through the following steps systematically, using the results and concepts which we shall briefly discuss below.

**Lemma 4.1.3.**  $\exists c, c_0 > 0$  satisfying,

$$\left\| \tau_h^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^2} \leq c, \quad \forall 0 < |h| < c_0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

From the above Lemma (4.1.3), we can observe that,  $\left\{ \tau_h^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right\}_{0 < |h| < c_0}$  is indeed bounded in  $L^2$ . Thus, for any subsequence,  $\tau_{h_k}^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$  converging weakly to  $v_j^i$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and for every  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we shall have,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_{h_k}^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \phi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \left\{ \frac{\phi(x - h_k e_i) - \phi(x)}{h_k} \right\} dx$$

As  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we apply **dominated convergence theorem** to deduce,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = v_j^i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Hence, we conclude that,  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We can indeed generalize the above result to comment on the  $H^m$ -Interior Regularity for a Dirichlet problem.

**Corollary 4.1.4.** *Assume that,  $a_{ij} \in C^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$  satisfy the same hypothesis as described in Lemma (4.1.3). Then,  $u \in H^{m+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

In addition, one can further deduce the following.

**Corollary 4.1.5.** *If  $a_{ij}, f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , then,  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

## 4.2 Boundary Regularity

**Theorem 4.2.1.** *Suppose,  $a_{ij} \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ ,  $u \in H_0^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$  satisfying,  $\text{Supp}(u)$  is compact in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ . Moreover, let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\exists m > 0$  such that,*

1.

$$m|\xi^2|^2 \leq \sum a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$$

Where,  $\Omega$  is an open set in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$  such that,  $\text{Supp}(u) \subset \Omega$ .

2.  $\forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f v$$

Then,  $u \in H^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose,  $0 < |h| < \epsilon_0$  satisfies that, for  $i \leq k \leq n-1$ , the support of  $x \mapsto u(x + he_k)$  is in fact contained in  $\bar{\Omega}$  [ Since,  $k \leq n-1$  ].

A priori using concepts similar to the case for *interior regularity*, it follows that,

$$\|\tau_h^k \nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq c, \quad 0 < |h| < \epsilon_0, \quad k \leq n-1.$$

Thus, for a subsequence  $h_k \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain,

(i)  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \in L^2, \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ .

(ii)  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n \partial x_i} \in L^2, \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ .

Therefore, it only suffices to prove that,  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2} \in L^2$ . Let,  $\phi \in C_0^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ . Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} a_{nn} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left\{ \sum_{i,j \leq n-1} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \right\} - \sum_{j \leq n-1} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} a_{nj} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_n} + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} a_{jn} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \right\} + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f \phi \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} F \phi
 \end{aligned}$$

Where,

$$F = \left\{ \sum_{i,j \leq n-1} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \sum_{j \leq n-1} \frac{\partial a_{nj}}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j \leq n-1} \frac{\partial a_{jn}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} + 2a_{jn} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_n} + f \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \left( a_{nn} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) = F \in L^2$$

i.e.,

$$-a_{nn} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2} = F + \frac{\partial a_{nn}}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \in L^2$$

Applying the concept that,  $a_{nn} > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$  and therefore,  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2} \in L^2$ , and the proof.  $\square$

As a corollary, one can establish the following.

**Corollary 4.2.2. (Higher Regularity)** *Assuming  $a_{ij} \in C^{m+1}$ ,  $f \in H^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ ,  $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  satisfying similar conditions as above. Then,  $u \in H^{m+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .*

**Corollary 4.2.3.** *Let,  $a_{ij} \in C^\infty$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , then,  $u \in C^\infty$ .*

### 4.3 A Special Diffeomorphism on Second Order Elliptic Operators

**Theorem 4.3.1.** *Given  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  being bounded open sets, and  $F : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$  be a **diffeomorphism**. We further define,  $J(F) := \left( \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial y_i} \right)_{i,k}$ , such that,  $J(F)$  and  $J^{-1}(F)$  exist  $\forall y \in \bar{\Omega}_1$  and is continuous there. Then  $F$  transforms any second order elliptic operator  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\Omega_1$  to a second order elliptic operator on  $\Omega_2$ .*

*Proof.* A priori given any two bounded domains  $\omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ , and a diffeomorphism defined as,

$$F := (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) : \bar{\Omega}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_2$$

We an in fact observe that,  $F$  is  $C^\infty$ , one-one and onto and the inverse is indeed differentiable.

Furthermore, for any function  $u$  on  $\Omega_2$ , we define  $\tilde{u} : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by,

$$\tilde{u}(y) := u(F(y)) , y \in \Omega_1$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial y_i} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}(F(y)) \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial y_i}(y)$$

and,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_l \partial x_k}(F(y)) \frac{\partial F_l}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial y_j} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}(F(y)) \frac{\partial^2 F_k}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}.$$

Let,

$$\mathcal{L} := \sum \tilde{a}_{ij}(y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} + \sum \tilde{b}_i(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \tilde{c}(y)$$

be any elliptic differential operator on  $\Omega_1$ . Hence, in  $\Omega_2$ , we can in fact deduce that,

$$\mathcal{L} := \sum a_{il}(y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} + \sum b_k(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + c(x). \quad (4.2)$$

Where,

$$a_{kl}(x) = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{a}_{ij}(F^{-1}(x)) \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial y_i}(F^{-1}(x)) \frac{\partial F_l}{\partial y_j}(F^{-1}(x))$$

and,

$$b_k(x) = \sum_i \tilde{b}_i(F^{-1}(x)) \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial y_i}(F^{-1}(x)) + \sum_{i,j} \tilde{a}_{ij}(F^{-1}(x)) \frac{\partial^2 F_k}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(F^{-1}(x))$$

and,

$$c(x) = \tilde{c}(F^{-1}(x))$$

One can indeed verify the necessary conditions for  $\mathcal{L}$  to be an *elliptic differential operator* on  $\Omega_2$ . □

Suppose, for  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ ,  $x' := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , we define,  $x_0 := (x'_0, x_n^0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Furthermore,  $\psi : \overline{B(x'_0, r_1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a *smooth map* such that,  $x_n^0 = \psi(x'_0)$ . Let,

$$\Omega_1 := \{x \mid x' \in B(x'_0, r_1) , \psi(x') < x_n < r_2\}$$

and,

$$\Omega_2 := \{y \mid y' \in B(x'_0, r_1), 0 < y_n < r_2 - \psi(x')\}$$

We define,

$$F := (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) : \bar{\Omega}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_2$$

by,

$$\begin{cases} F_i(x) = x_i & 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ F_n(x) = x_n - \psi(x'). \end{cases}$$

Putting  $y = F(x)$ , we can deduce,

$$B(x'_0, r_1) = B(y'_0, r_1), \quad 0 < y_n < r_2 - \psi(y')$$

and,

$$JF(x) = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \right) = \begin{Bmatrix} I_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} & 0 \\ -\nabla \psi & 1 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the diffeomorphism  $F$  maps  $\{x : x_n = \psi(x')\}$  to  $\{y : y_n = 0\}$ .

Using the above concept, we can indeed conclude the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.2.** *Given a bounded domain  $\Omega$  with smooth boundary, suppose we have the following second order elliptic operator,*

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + c \quad (4.3)$$

where, the coefficients satisfy,  $a_{ij} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $b_i, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and the ellipticity condition given by,

$$m|\xi|^2 \leq \sum a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq M|\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.4)$$

for some  $m, M > 0$ . Let,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  and,  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a **weak solution** of the problem,

$$\mathcal{L}u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4.5)$$

Then,  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose,  $\{V^\alpha\}$  be an open cover satisfying,

$$(i) \quad \bar{V}^0 \subset \Omega.$$

(ii)  $V^\alpha = V_i(x^\alpha, r_1^\alpha, r_2^\alpha)$ , and,  $\psi_i^\alpha : \overline{B(x^\alpha, r_1^\alpha)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function such that,

$$\Omega \cap V^\alpha = \{x \in V_i^\alpha \mid x' \in B(x^\alpha, r_1^\alpha), \psi^\alpha(x') < x_i < r_2^\alpha\},$$

$$\partial\Omega \cap V^\alpha = \{x \in V_i^\alpha \mid \psi^\alpha(x') = x_i\}$$

Let,  $F_\alpha : \overline{\Omega \cap V^\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} - \{y : y_i > 0\}$  be defined as,

$$\begin{cases} F_\alpha^j(x) = x_j & j \neq i \\ F_\alpha^i(x) = x_i - \psi'(x'). \end{cases}$$

and,

$$W^\alpha = F_\alpha(\overline{\Omega \cap V^\alpha}) = \{y \mid y'_i \in B(x^\alpha, r_1^\alpha), 0 < y_i < r_2^\alpha - \psi(y')\}.$$

Consider a  $C^\infty$  partition of unity as  $\{\chi^\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^l$  subordinate to the assumed covering such that,  $u^\alpha = \chi^\alpha u$ .

We can observe that,  $u = \sum u^\alpha$  and,  $Supp(u^\alpha) \subset V^\alpha$ , where,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u^\alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\chi^\alpha u) = \chi^\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + u \frac{\partial \chi^\alpha}{\partial x_i}$$

As a result, we can obtain  $b_i^\alpha, c^\alpha \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $Supp(b_i^\alpha), c^\alpha \subset \overline{V^\alpha}$  such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}u^\alpha &= \chi^\alpha \mathcal{L}(u) + \sum b_i^\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c^\alpha u \\ &= \chi^\alpha + \sum b_i^\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c^\alpha u = f^\alpha \in L^2(V^\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have,  $Supp(f^\alpha) \subset Supp(\chi^\alpha) = K^\alpha$  is compact. Moreover,  $Supp(u^\alpha) \subset Supp(\chi^\alpha) = K^\alpha$ .

For  $\alpha = 0$ , we have,  $Supp(u^0) \subset K^0 \subset \Omega$  and,  $\mathcal{L}u^0 = f^0$  in  $\Omega$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}u^0 = f^0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

A priori from the fact that,  $\mathcal{L}$  is indeed *elliptic* in  $\Omega$ , hence, by **interior regularity** property, we conclude,  $u^0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ .

In case when,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we define,  $F^\alpha : (\overline{\Omega \cap V^\alpha}) \rightarrow \overline{W^\alpha}$  such that,

$$\tilde{u}^\alpha(y) = u^\alpha((F^\alpha)^{-1}(y)).$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{u}^\alpha \in H_0^1(W^\alpha)$  implying,  $Supp(\tilde{u}^\alpha)$  is in fact compact in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{u}^\alpha$  is a *weak solution* of a second order uniformly elliptic operator  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ , in other words,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}^\alpha \tilde{u}^\alpha = \tilde{f}^\alpha & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ \tilde{u}^\alpha \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n). \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{u}^\alpha \in H^2(W^\alpha) \implies u^\alpha \in H^2(\Omega \cap V^\alpha) \implies u := \sum u^\alpha \in H^2(\Omega)$ . The result is thus established. □

As a corollary, we can further comment on the  $H^m$ -regularity for the case  $m \geq 2$  as follows.

**Corollary 4.3.3.** *Suppose,  $f \in H^m(\Omega)$ ,  $g \in H^{m+\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ , and  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  satisfies,*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma_0 u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

*Then,  $u \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* A priori applying the fact that,  $\gamma_0 : H^{m+2}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{m+\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$  is surjective, and hence,  $\exists u_1 \in H^{m+2}$  with,  $\gamma_0(u_1) = g$ . Let,  $v = u - u_1$ . then,  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{L}v = f - \mathcal{L}u_1 \in H^m(\Omega)$ , and,  $\gamma_0 v = 0$  onto  $\partial\Omega$ .

It follows that,  $u^\alpha$  indeed satisfies the *Interior Regularity* and *Boundary Regularity* conditions as described in Theorems (4.1.2) and (4.2.1) respectively. Therefore, we conclude that,  $u^\alpha \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall \alpha$ , implying that,  $u \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$ . And the proof is thus complete. □

## Statements and Declarations

### Conflicts of Interest Statement

I as the sole author of this article certify that I have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

### Data Availability Statement

I as the sole author of this article confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article [and/or] its supplementary materials.

## References

- [1] D. Bahuguna, V. Raghavendra, B.V. Rathish Kumar, *Topics in Sobolev Space and Applications*.
- [2] Elias M. Stein, Rami Shakarchi, *Fourier Analysis : An Introduction*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis, Princeton university Press, Princeton and Oxford, ISBN 978-0-691-11384-5.
- [3] L. Hörmander, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [4] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [5] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Vol. 1 & Vol. 2, Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes, 1994.
- [6] V. G. Mazya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, 1985.
- [7] S. L. Sobolev, *On some estimates relating to families of functions having derivatives that are square integrable*, Dokl. Adale Nauk. SSSR (1936), 267-270.



- [8] S. L. Sobolev, *On a theorem of functional analysis*, Math. Sh. 46 (1938), 471-497.
- [9] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods : Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [10] W. P. Ziemer, *Weakly Differentiable Functions, Sobolev Spaces and Functions of Bounded Variation*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.