

Anthropogenic climate change will intensify European explosive storms similar to Alex, Eunice, and Xynthia in the future

Mireia Ginesta, Emmanouil Flaounas, Pascal Yiou, Davide Faranda

► To cite this version:

Mireia Ginesta, Emmanouil Flaounas, Pascal Yiou, Davide Faranda. Anthropogenic climate change will intensify European explosive storms similar to Alex, Eunice, and Xynthia in the future. Journal of Climate, 2024, 37 (21), pp.5427-5452. 10.1175/JCLI-D-23-0761.1. hal-04477289v2

HAL Id: hal-04477289 https://hal.science/hal-04477289v2

Submitted on 25 Jun2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Anthropogenic climate change will intensify European explosive storms
2	analogous to Alex, Eunice, and Xynthia
3	Mireia Ginesta, ^a Emmanouil Flaounas, ^b Pascal Yiou, ^a Davide Faranda, ^{a,c,d}
4	^a Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, UMR 8212 CEA-CNRS-UVSQ,
5	Univ. Paris-Saclay & IPSL, Orme des Merisiers, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
6	^b Institute of Oceanography, Hellenic Center for Marine Research, Athens, Greece
7	^c London Mathematical Laboratory, 8 Margravine Gardens, London, W6 8RH, UK
8	^d LMD/IPSL, Ecole Normale Supérieure, PSL research University, 75005, Paris, France

⁹ Corresponding author: Mireia Ginesta, Mireia.Ginesta-Fernandez@lsce.ipsl.fr

ABSTRACT: Extratropical storms, particularly explosive storms or 'weather bombs' with ex-10 ceptionally high deepening rates, present substantial risks and are susceptible to climate change. 11 Individual storms may exhibit a complex and hardly detectable response to human-driven climate 12 change because of the atmosphere's chaotic nature and variability at regional level. It is thus essen-13 tial to understand changes in specific storms for building local resilience and advancing our overall 14 comprehension of storm trends. To address this challenge, this study compares analogues – storms 15 with a similar backward track until making landfall — in two climates of three explosive storms 16 impacting different European locations: Alex (October 2020), Eunice (January 2022), and Xynthia 17 (February 2010). We use a large ensemble dataset of 105 members from the Community Earth 18 System Model version 1 (CESM1). These analogues are identified in two periods: the present-day 19 climate (1991-2001) and a future climate scenario characterized by high anthropogenic greenhouse 20 gas emissions (RCP8.5, 2091-2101). 21

We evaluate future changes in the frequency of occurrence of the storms and intensity, as well as on meteorological hazards and the underlying dynamics. For all storms, our analysis reveals an increase in precipitation and wind severity over land associated with the explosive analogues in the future climate. These findings underscore the potential consequences of explosive storms modified by climate change and their subsequent hazards on various regions of Europe, offering evidence that can be used to prepare and enhance adaptation processes. SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT: This study investigates the impact of climate change on explosive storms, or 'weather bombs,' and their potential consequences for European regions. We project future scenarios of three specific storms, Alex, Eunice, and Xynthia, using a state-of-the-art climate model. Our findings reveal an increase in precipitation and wind over land associated to these storms, emphasizing the heightened risks associated with climate change. The significance lies in understanding the local implications of explosive storms, aiding in the development of resilient strategies and adaptation measures.

1. Introduction

Weather variability in the mid-latitudes is mainly controlled by atmospheric wave activity, con-36 sisting of propagating synoptic-scale cyclonic and anticyclonic circulation. Therein, extratropical 37 storms play a key role in affecting the wave guide and producing the majority of high impact 38 weather (Wallace and Hobbs 2006). They contribute substantially to total precipitation (Hawcroft 39 et al. 2012) and are a source of wind energy (Liu et al. 2008; Rapella et al. 2023). Extratropical 40 storms can also exhibit extreme behaviour, being associated with strong precipitation and flooding 41 events (Hawcroft et al. 2018), strong and damaging winds (Roberts et al. 2014a), or a combination 42 of both (Owen et al. 2021). Given their potential to be associated with meteorological hazards 43 with significant socio-economic impacts (e.g., Liberato 2014; Jansa et al. 2001), understanding the 44 evolution of their characteristics in a future climate is crucial. 45

Several studies have assessed the role of climate change in modifying the underlying dynamics of 46 extratropical storms (e.g. Lehmann et al. 2014; Priestley and Catto 2022). Changes in frequency, 47 position and intensity of the storm tracks, namely the preferred regions where storms travel 48 through, are primary driven by changes in the horizontal temperature gradient in both lower and 49 upper troposhere and in the vertical temperature profile (Catto et al. 2019). The Coupled Model 50 Intercomparision Project (CMIP) phases 3, 5, and 6 generally agree on the spatial signature of 51 the projected changes in storminess in the North Atlantic (Harvey et al. 2020). Specifically, 52 models project a decrease in storm activity during summer, particularly in the southern regions, 53 and produce a tripolar pattern in winter of an increase in storm activity in the British Isles and 54 a decrease in the Mediterranean and Norwegian seas (Zappa et al. 2013; Priestley et al. 2020). 55 Regarding extreme storms, the response consist of a decrease in frequency of ocurrence in the North 56

Atlantic basin, with a weak and local increase over the British Isles and the North Sea in winter (Zappa et al. 2013; Seiler and Zwiers 2016). Sources of uncertainty of climate projections stem from difficulties of isolating internal variability from the forced signal (Deser et al. 2012), as well scenario and model uncertainty (Hawkins and Sutton 2009; Sansom et al. 2013). In addition, low confidence still persists due to opposing thermodynamic processes that alter baroclinicity (Shaw et al. 2016), and challenges in resolving meso-scale and small scale features such as the diabatic processes (Schemm 2023).

While examining general trends in storm behaviour provides a fundamental understanding of the 64 potential hazards of climate change, it is essential to recognize that specific storms may exhibit 65 unique characteristics. This stems from the fact that specific storms are influenced by a combination 66 of factors that may not be accurately captured in general trends, giving rise to a chaotic nature in 67 the atmosphere and non-linear interactions. In addition, different regions may experience unique 68 environmental conditions, such as local topography or oceanic currents, resulting in variations in 69 atmospheric dynamics that influence the behavior of storms. Hence, our study aims to bridge 70 this gap by zooming in on the particular features of extreme storms in different regions. For this 71 reason, we employ an approach within the field of Extreme Event Attribution (EEA) (Trenberth 72 et al. 2015; Jézéquel et al. 2018), specifically designed to address these questions. This field 73 allows us to delve into the domain of weather science to understand the specific meteorological 74 conditions contributing to the event while simultaneously evaluating the role of climate change in 75 shaping its occurrence and intensity (Shepherd 2016). We use a recent EEA approach that involves 76 finding similar events, called *analogues*, in two different time periods and comparing their key 77 variables (Faranda et al. 2022). Some studies have adapted this methodology to be more targeted 78 for extratropical storms (Ginesta et al. 2022) as well as for Mediterranean storms (Faranda et al. 79 2023). 80

In our study, we further adapt this EEA approach for the analysis of explosive storms. Explosive storms are characterized by a strong deepening rate in a short time period, and can produce widespread damage when they make landfall (Liberato et al. 2013; Fink et al. 2009). These storms were identified by Sanders and Gyakum (1980) as storms with a "Normalized central Deepening Rate" (NDR_c) greater than 1:

4

$$NDR_c = \frac{DR_{24h}}{24h} \frac{\sin(60^\circ)}{\sin(\varphi)},\tag{1}$$

where DR_{24h} is the pressure difference over 24 hours measured at the storm center and φ is the latitude at its second time step. This definition has been challenged, especially for the Southern Hemisphere (Lim and Simmonds 2002; Allen et al. 2010), due to the fact that it may capture storms moving rapidly towards an area of climatologically lower pressure rather than storms deepening rapidly (Sinclair 1995). However, we use the historical definition by Sanders and Gyakum (1980), as our aim is to compare storms based on their similar development and pressure change rather than to assess the sensitivity of the explosive criterion.

Explosive storms, also known as "weather bombs", are mainly formed in regions of enhanced baroclinicity (Roebber 1984). In the North Atlantic, they primarily form during the boreal winter in the western part of the basin, where there is a strong horizontal temperature gradient linked to the Gulf Stream and land-sea contrast, large moisture availability and strong vertical wind shear (Reale et al. 2019; Brayshaw et al. 2009).

⁹⁸ We focus on three explosive storms that hit different parts of Europe: Alex in October 2020, ⁹⁹ Eunice in January 2022, and Xynthia in February 2010. Unlike many EEA studies that compare ¹⁰⁰ the present climate with a pre-industrial climate (factual and counterfactual periods), our method ¹⁰¹ uses present and future climate projections with the Community Earth System Model version 1 ¹⁰² (CESM1). Our study aims to:

Evaluate how well CESM1 simulates storms with development stages similar to the three targeted storms.

Analyze changes in the frequency of these storms and their deepening rates in a future climate
 scenario, considering the scenario with the highest greenhouse gases emissions, that is, the
 Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 (RCP8.5).

3. Examine changes in hazards of these events, quantified by measuring precipitation and wind
 speed.

4. Characterize the underlying dynamics contributing to these observed changes.

5

In the subsequent sections, we present the characteristics of the storms (Section 2), describe the data and methods used (Section 3), evaluate the model performance in simulating explosive storms (Section 4), analyze changes in frequency and intensity of storms (Section 5), and assess changes in climate drivers and underlying dynamics (Section 6). The conclusions of our study are presented in section 7.

116 2. Storm characteristics

In this section we contextualize the three storms and provide an overview of the associated impacts and meteorological drivers.

119 a. Storm Alex

Storm Alex occurred in early October 2020 and produced a devastating flood in the Alps region 120 in 24 hours. Alex caused record-breaking precipitation and hurricane-force winds in France and 121 Italy, resulting in at least 15 fatalities and over 2.5 billion euros in economic losses (WMO 2020; 122 European State of the Climate 2020; Météo France 2020; Aon 2020). Notable events included 630 123 mm of rain in Sambughetto and winds reaching 186 km/h in Belle-Île - Le Talut (European State 124 of the Climate 2020; Météo France 2020). The storm developed as a secondary cyclogenesis, that 125 is, as a frontal-wave instability along of a synoptic front of a pre-existing storm. It deepen rapidly, 126 enhanced by high upper level potential vorticity. The pressure dropped more than 30 hPa in the 127 first 24 hPa. It made landfall early 2 October with a minimum pressure of around 970 hPa. On 128 its southern flank, the strong pressure gradient favoured high quantities of water vapour transport, 129 and an atmospheric river was formed from the subtropical western North Atlantic to the vicinity 130 of the storm core (Davolio et al. 2022). In an EEA study based on reanalysis data (Ginesta et al. 131 2022), the persistence of the storm, as well as the accumulated daily precipitation, increased in the 132 present climate when compared to the recent past climate. 133

134 b. Storm Eunice

Eunice was the second and strongest storm of a cluster of winter storms (Met Office 2022) that lasted between the 16th – 20th of February 2022 and mainly affected western Europe. The storm, also known as Storm Zeynep or Storm Nora in Germany and Denmark, respectively, caused

widespread damage, 17 fatalities, and insured losses estimated at 2.5–3.5 billion euros (NL Times 138 2022; Anadolu Agency 2022; Deutsche Welle 2022; BBC 2022; RTL Info 2022; The Irish Times 139 2022; RMS 2022). Eunice formed from secondary cyclogenesis on February 17 in the North 140 Atlantic. It experienced explosive cyclogenesis with a central pressure drop of 30 hPa in 18 hours. 141 On February 18 at around 6 am Eunice made landfall in Ireland and then crossed the UK in 12 142 hours. A wind gust of 196 km/h was recorded in The Needles, Isle of Wight, the strongest ever 143 recorded in England. On February 18 at around 6 pm the storm was located over the North Sea 144 reaching a sea level pressure in its core below 970 hPa, the minimum of its lifetime. In the hours 145 that followed, the storm swept across Western Europe with force, hitting in particular Germany, 146 the Netherlands, and Belgium. 147

148 c. Storm Xynthia

In winter 2009/2010, the general atmospheric circulation over Europe was characterized by an 149 extreme and record-persistent negative phase of the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (Cattiaux 150 et al. 2010). This led to a low latitudinal position of the jet stream, several severe cold spells, 151 cold weather conditions and destructive storms. Xynthia, the strongest and most damaging storm 152 to hit Europe in that winter, occurred in late February/early March 2010, and raised interest in 153 the scientific community due to its uncommon meteorological characteristics (e.g. Liberato et al. 154 2013) and impacts (e.g. Chadenas et al. 2014; Vinet et al. 2012). It followed an unusual SW-NE 155 path, causing significant impacts in several western European countries, including more than 60 156 fatalities and insured losses of 1.5–3 billion euros (García-Pereda (NWC SAF/AEMET) 2010; 157 Kolen et al. 2013; Worlwide 2010; Chauveau et al. 2011). Unlike most extratropical storms that 158 intensify rapidly when they cross the polar jet stream (Uccellini 1990), Xynthia's intensification 159 was primarily driven by the advection of low-level warm, humid air and associated with high 160 values of equivalent potential temperature (θ_e) (Fink et al. 2012). The storm underwent explosive 161 cyclogenesis between the 26th and the 27th while rapidly approaching to the Iberian Peninsula. 162 On the 27th at 18:00 UTC it reached its minimum sea level pressure below 970 hPa west of the 163 Bay of Biscay. It then swept across western France, resulting in a powerful storm surge that 164 locally exceeded 1.5 m and that produced most of the damages in France (Bertin et al. 2012). 165

¹⁶⁶ Xynthia continued its path northeastwards hitting specially Belgium, the Netherlands, Germany
 ¹⁶⁷ and Denmark.

3. Datasets and methods

169 a. Datasets

To address the above objectives, we use the Community Earth System Model version 1 (CESM1; 170 Hurrell et al. (2013)), which is a global coupled climate model with a horizontal resolution of 171 about 1 degree. The radiative forcing applied in all simulations is the historical forcing until 172 2005 and the Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 (RCP8.5) forcing from the CMIP5 project 173 (Meinshausen et al. 2011) from 2005 onwards. We use a multimember initial condition ensemble 174 CESM-LE (CESM-LE; Kay et al. (2015)), consisting of a 35-member ensemble of simulations 175 from 1 January 1920 to 2100. To increase the number of members, two additional ensembles of 176 35 members each are performed. In both ensembles, 35 members are rerun from perturbations of 177 $O(10^{-13})$ on the initial atmospheric temperature field of the first member of the CESM-LE, starting 178 at 1980 and at 2081 (Röthlisberger et al. 2020). After a few years, due to the chaotic nature of 179 the climate system, the members are in distinct states of their internal variability, and thus they are 180 considered to be independent (Fischer et al. 2013). Hence, the experimental set-up of this study 181 consists of 1050 years of a present climate, from 1991 to 2000, and 1050 years of a future climate, 182 from 2091 to 2100. The radiative forcing is assumed to be relatively constant in a 10-year period. 183 Kay et al. (2015) showed that the spread of the CESM-LE due to internal variability is comparable 184 to CMIP5. In contrast to many CMIP5 models, CESM does not depict a too zonally oriented North 185 Atlantic storm track (Dolores-Tesillos et al. 2022). According to Dolores-Tesillos et al. (2022), the 186 model is able to reproduce fairly well storm frequencies and lifetimes, and most of the biases are 187 associated to weak or short living storms. They found an underestimation of the number of storms 188 over the ocean, of around -0.8 storms per month. At smaller scales, the model is able to represent 189 the properties and structure of extratropical storms and their associated warm conveyor belts (Joos 190 et al. 2023; Binder et al. 2023). The deepening rates of the weak and medium-strong storms in the 191 NH in winter are also well captured by the model but there is an underestimation of the explosive 192 ones (Binder et al. 2023). 193

In this study we also use ERA5 reanalysis data (Hersbach et al. 2020), covering the period from
 1950 to 2020, as a validation of the CESM model performance. The ERA5 dataset has a horizontal
 resolution of 31 km.

¹⁹⁷ We use 6-hourly sea level pressure (SLP) to find the analogues, and we assess the meteorological ¹⁹⁸ hazards using 6-hourly precipitation rate (PR), and wind speed at 10m. We define a wind severity ¹⁹⁹ ratio (*WSR*₉₀) as the ratio between the maximum wind speed within a 24-hour period centered on ²⁰⁰ the minimum sea level pressure stage of the storms, divided by the 90th percentile of wind speed ²⁰¹ for the entire period (*WSR*₉₀ = v_t/v_{90}). The 90th percentile for ERA5 has been computed using ²⁰² the entire period, while for CESM, it has been computed based on the present period.

To better assess the drivers of the changes in SLP, PR, and WSR_{90} between CESM present and future periods we further analyze the following 6-hourly variables: equivalent potential temperature (θ_e) at 850hPa, horizontal gradient of θ_e ($\nabla \theta_e$) at 850hPa, distribution of the upper-tropospheric jet stream, and the low-level Eady Growth Rate (EGR) between 850hPa and 500hPa. The distribution of the upper-tropospheric jet stream is based on the methodology outlined in Koch et al. (2006), where jet occurrence is identified by averaging wind speeds between 400 hPa and 100 hPa (to account for height variations) and exceeding a threshold of 30 m/s. EGR is computed as:

$$EGR = 0.31 \frac{f}{N} \sqrt{\frac{\delta(u, v)}{\delta z}}$$
(2)

where $f = 2\Omega \sin \phi$ is the Coriolis parameter, $N = \sqrt{\frac{9.81}{\theta} \frac{\delta \theta}{\delta z}}$ is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, and $\sqrt{\frac{\delta(u,v)}{\delta z}}$ is the vertical wind share. Ω is the angular velocity of the Earth $(7.29 \times 10^{-5} rad/s)$, ϕ latitude, *u* and *v* zonal and meridional wind speeds, θ potential temperature, and *z* geopotential height.

²¹⁴ b. Methods

²¹⁵ We use the method of analogues (Yiou 2014), which has already been applied to the study of ²¹⁶ extratropical storms (Ginesta et al. 2022; Faranda et al. 2023), to find similar storms to Alex, ²¹⁷ Eunice, and Xynthia. In the context of this study, an analogue is defined as a storm with a similar ²¹⁸ development stage or a comparable track during its evolution, which is explosive if the *NDR_c* is ²¹⁹ higher than 1 according to equation (1). The full tracks of storms Alex, Eunice, and Xynthia are shown in figure A2 in the Appendix. We define the development stage of the storms as the 24h period before reaching their mature stage (figure A2 of the Appendix from points 0 to 1). First, we identify and track all storms in each dataset (ERA5, CESM present, CESM future). We use a Lagrangian approach where storms centers are defined and tracked as local minima in the sea-level pressure field (Wernli and Schwierz 2006). We then select the storms that have the most similar development stages to the targeted storms based on our definition of analogue. For that, we apply a two-step process:

- We first select all storms in the database that have a minimum sea level pressure lower than 1000 hPa and located within a circle of radius 300 km of the targeted storm center in its minimum sea level pressure point. This filter ensures that only storms in their mature stage are considered and that they have reached their minimum sea level pressure in the vicinity of the targeted storm's center region. We refer to these storms as *mature stage* storms, and the time when they reach their minimum sea level pressure is defined as *time 0*.
- We select the last five grid points of the development stage of the mature stage storms. As 233 we use 6-hourly data, this corresponds to the tracks 24 hours before the time 0 dates. For 234 each mature stage storm, we compute the averaged Euclidean distance between the track of 235 the storm and the track of the targeted storm. We select the 20% mature stage storms with the 236 lowest Euclidean distance from the targeted storm. This corresponds to the 20% most similar 237 development stage tracks. We term these analogues. The decision to use 20% is a trade-off 238 between finding tracks that resemble those of the targeted storms and having a sufficiently large 239 sample size to draw meaningful statistical conclusions. We tested that altering the percentage 240 to 10%, 15%, or 25% does not significantly impact our findings. We also select the analogues 241 that undergo explosive cyclogenesis, that is, that have a NDR_c greater than 1 (Eq. (1)). We 242 term these *explosive analogues*. 243

4. Representation of explosive storms in CESM present-day climate

Figure 1 shows the frequency of mature stage storms every 10 years for ERA5 (a) and CESM present climate (b), as well as the frequency every 100 years of those that are explosive (c,d). As noted by Dolores-Tesillos et al. (2022), there is an underestimation of storm numbers in the model compared to observations, particularly evident in the ocean. Furthermore, we observe an ²⁴⁹ underestimation of mature stage storms west of Newfoundland, especially the explosive ones. In ²⁵⁰ the regions studied, highlighted in red, we note a slight underestimation of both mature stage ²⁵¹ storms and explosive ones, especially in the North Sea. However, the bias is considerably smaller ²⁵² in these regions compared to the ocean. Despite the small proportion of storms assessed in this ²⁵³ study compared to the overall North Atlantic basin, these three regions are highly susceptible to ²⁵⁴ widespread damage when such storms make landfall, and the selected storms and their analogues ²⁵⁵ represent a significant portion of weather bombs impacting these areas.



Count mature stage explosive cyclones / 100 years

FIG. 1. Frequency of mature stage cyclones within 300 km for each grid point every 10 years for (a) ERA5 and (b) CESM present. (c,d) Frequency of those that are explosive, every 100 years. Red circles indicate the 300-km radius area used to identify analogues of the three storms.

Figure 2a shows the NDR_c of both ERA5 and CESM, similar as Binder et al. (2023) did for the Northern and Southern Hemispheres but focused on the North Atlantic. Figure 2b shows the differences between CESM present and ERA5. Consistent with their findings, we also identify a slight underestimation of the NDR_c of the most explosive storms in the basin, of up to around -0.2 in the most extreme cases. However, there is strong agreement across almost all percentiles. This comparative analysis, together with assessments by Dolores-Tesillos et al. (2022) and Binder et al. (2023), gives confidence in the model capability to simulate explosive storms making landfall on the western coast of Europe. Further exploration of the model ability to simulate analogues of the storms will be conducted in the subsequent section.



FIG. 2. a) Percentile curve of the Normalized central Deepening Rate (NDR_c) of storms in the North Atlantic for ERA5 and CESM present. b) Differences in the NDR_c between CESM present and ERA5.

5. Changes in frequency and intensity

In this section, we first validate the CESM model performance in simulating analogue storms of Alex, Eunice, and Xynthia, using ERA5 reanalysis data. Then, we assess future changes in frequency of occurrence and intensity, measured by the NDR_c , by comparing CESM present and future climates.

275 a. Storm Alex

Figure 3 shows the tracks during the development stage of the analogues and explosive analogues 276 of Alex for each dataset. The legends also display the number of analogues and explosive analogues. 277 In the ERA5 70-year period, 63 analogues have been identified, that is, around 9 analogues every 278 10 years. In contrast, fewer analogues are detected in the CESM present climate, with a frequency 279 of almost 3 analogues every 10 years. This could be due to an underestimation of storm frequencies 280 over the ocean (Dolores-Tesillos et al. 2022). In the ERA5 dataset, 10 of the 63 analogues are 281 explosive, corresponding to a relative frequency of around 16% of the analogues. Three of these 282 explosive analogues are known storms that made landfall in France (Table A1). The fraction of 283 analogues that are explosive in the CESM present period is slightly lower than that of ERA5 (34 284

explosive analogues out of 299 analogues, that is, around 11%). The NDR_c , used here as a measure 285 of the intensity, of the analogues and explosive analogues in CESM present is comparable to that 286 of ERA5. We further measure the similarity of the analogues to the storm by computing the mean 287 Euclidean distance between the 24-hour development stage tracks of the analogues and storm Alex. 288 We term this *analogues quality* (Figs. 4a,c). The analogues quality distributions of CESM present 289 show comparable values with ERA5, as no analogue was more than 500 km apart and most of them 290 differed by around 380 km. These distributions indicate the model ability to simulate storms with 291 development stage similar to Alex's. Figures 4b,d show the number of analogues per season. Most 292 of the analogues occur in autumn season in both ERA5 and CESM present. However, in ERA5 the 293 second most preferred season is spring, while in CESM present is winter. Few analogues are also 294 detected in summer in both, ERA5 and CESM present. 295



FIG. 3. 24-hour track of the development stage of storm Alex (thick black line) and its analogues (thin grey 296 lines), for ERA5 (a), CESM present (b), and CESM future (c). Explosive analogues' tracks are highlighted in 297 red. The dashed-line circle indicates the 300-km area used to identify mature stage storms. The figure legend 298 shows the number of analogues and explosive analogues. The tables beneath the figures depict the Normalized 299 Deepening Rate (NDR_c) values, calculated using equation 1, for both analogues and explosive analogues. 95 % 300 confidence intervals for CESM present and CESM future, determined using a bootstrap test in which ensemble 301 members were resampled, are indicated in brackets. The NDR_c values are also shown in figure A1 as a boxplot 302 distribution. 303

There is a statistically significant decrease in the number of analogues in the future climate with respect to the present from 299 to 206 (Fig. 3). This decrease is mainly seen in autumn and summer, but there is also a slight decrease in winter and spring (Fig. 4b). There is little change in the number

of explosive analogues (34 to 30) (Fig. 3), with a decrease in frequency mainly in autumn (Fig. 307 4d). The relative frequency of explosive storms increases from present to future periods from 11%308 to 15%. However, this increase is comparable to the model bias, suggesting that while the model 309 can detect a significant climate change signal, uncertainties regarding its magnitude may arise due 310 to model biases. There is an increase in NDR_c of both analogues and explosive analogues in the 311 future climate with respect to the present, which is considered statistically significant because the 312 confidence intervals do not overlap. This suggests that the analogues and explosive analogues in 313 the future climate will be associated with more intense deepening rates. In addition, the analogues 314 travel larger distances during their development stage in the future period (not shown). Regarding 315 the quality of the analogues, there is a statistically significant increase in the future period with 316 respect to the present (Fig. 4a). This indicates that analogues in future conditions resemble better 317 Alex's development stage than in the present climate. In summary, anthropogenic radiative forcing 318 is reducing the number of analogues of Alex, specially in autumn, but increasing their similarity 319 to the storm as well as the deepening rates. 320

328 b. Storm Eunice

In ERA5 we found 126 analogues, that is, around 18 every 10 years (Fig. 5). However, CESM 329 again underestimates the number of analogues, detecting 6-7 every 10 years in the present climate 330 (696). 23 out of 126 analogues are explosive in the ERA5 dataset, of which 8 are documented storms 331 that had an impact in Europe (Table A1). This corresponds to a relative frequency of explosive 332 storms of 18.3%. The relative frequency of analogues that undergo explosive cyclogenesis in 333 the CESM present is half of that of ERA5 (9.6%). In addition, the NDR_c of the analogues and 334 explosive analogues is slightly lower in the model than in ERA5 for both present analogues and 335 explosive analogues. Regarding the quality of the analogues (Fig. 6a), the analogues detected 336 by the model have lower mean Euclidean distances than those detected by ERA5. This indicates 337 that the model is good at reproducing storms that resemble Eunice's development stage. In the 338 ERA5 dataset, most of the analogues are found in autumn, while winter and summer have a similar 339 frequency (Fig. 6b). In the CESM present, the number of analogues in winter is slightly higher 340 than than in autumn. 341



FIG. 4. (a,c) Mean Euclidean distances between the 24–hour track of the development stage of storm Alex and its **analogues** and explosive analogues for ERA5 (black dots), CESM present (blue probability distribution), and CESM future (red probability distribution). Dashed lines in violin plots show the quartiles 25%, 50%, and 75%. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine the statistically significant difference between CESM present and future distributions, with the resulting p-value indicated in the figure. (b,d) Number of analogues per season: SON (September, October, November), DJF (December, January, February), JJA (June, July, August), and MAM (March, April, May).

Despite no significant changes in the number of analogues in the CESM future climate with 342 respect to the present climate (Fig. 5b,c), there is a decrease in frequency in autumn, summer and 343 spring, and an increase in winter (Fig. 6b). In the case of explosive storms, there is a significant 344 increase in the number of explosive analogues (67 to 95), corresponding to an increase in the 345 relative frequency of explosive cyclogenesis in a future climate. This increase is mainly seen in 346 winter (Fig. 6d), and a decrease again in autumn. The NDR_c of the analogues increases in a future 347 climate (Fig. 5b,c), but there are no significant changes in NDR_c of the explosive analogues. In 348 the future climate, the analogues and explosive analogues quality is better than in the present, as 349 evidenced by the statistically significant differences in probability distributions (Fig. 6a,c). As also 350 seen in Figure 5, the spatial spread in the development stage tracks of the analogues is lower in the 351 future climate, which means that future analogues represent Eunice's tracks better than those in 352 the present. In summary, there is a significant increase in the frequency of explosive cyclogenesis 353

in the future climate in winter. Additionally, the quality of analogues and explosive analogues
 improves significantly in the future. These changes collectively suggest an increased likelihood of
 Eunice-type storms in the future climate in winter.



FIG. 5. Same as figure 3 but for storm Eunice.



FIG. 6. Same as figure 4 but for storm **Eunice**.

357 c. Storm Xynthia

About 5 analogues every 10 years are detected in ERA5 and almost 1 every 10 years in the CESM present dataset (Fig. 7). This suggests that the CESM model underestimates the number of analogues that reach Galicia in their mature stage, linked to an underestimation of the storm frequency in that region shown by Dolores-Tesillos et al. (2022). In terms of explosive occurrence, 3 out of 38 analogues underwent explosive cyclogenesis in ERA5 period, that is, around 8% of the

analogues. One of these is Miguel, a storm that affected western Europe in 2019 (Table A1). In 363 the CESM present, the fraction of analogues that undergo explosive cyclogenesis is higher than in 364 ERA5 (14 out of 101, that is, around 14%). In addition, the NDR_c of the analogues of the CESM 365 present is higher than in ERA5. Despite the possible model biases, figures 8a,c show that the 366 analogues and explosive analogues quality of the CESM dataset is comparable to that of the ERA5 367 period. In ERA5 the analogues occur more often in autumn, while in the CESM present dataset it 368 is in spring (Fig. 8b). In terms of the relative change between present and future climates, there 369 is a significant decrease in the number of analogues in the future climate (101 to 68) (Fig. 7b,c). 370 This decrease occur specially in spring, but also in autumn and summer (Fig. 8b). The number 371 of explosive storms decreased slightly (from 14 to 11), with a decrease in spring and autumn but 372 an increase in winter (Fig. 8d). Hence, there is an increase in the relative frequency of explosive 373 storms, from around 14% to 16%. The NDR of the explosive analogues increases significantly 374 under future climate conditions. However, due to the overlap in the confidence intervals, it is 375 not possible to conclude that this increase is statistically significant, likely due to the insufficient 376 sample size. No statistically significant changes are found in the analogues quality distributions 377 between the two periods (Fig. 8a,c). 378



FIG. 7. Same as figure 3 but for storm Xynthia.

6. Meteorological hazards and Dynamics

In this section, we analyze changes in the fields of precipitation and wind severity ratio. To gain deeper insights into the evolving patterns of explosive analogues, we also assess the atmospheric dynamics contributing to these changes.



FIG. 8. Same as figure 4 but for storm Xynthia.

383 a. Storm Alex

Figures 9a,b,c show the sea-level pressure (SLP), precipitation rate (PR), and wind severity ratio 384 (WSR_{90}) fields of storm Alex using ERA5 data at its time 0 date. The minimum SLP is around 385 970 hPa, and the storm center is squeezed over the English channel. Regarding PR, precipitation is 386 primarily located along the storm's frontal structure, as well as over the Southeastern France coast 387 and windward of the Alps. High WSR_{90} is predominantly observed in the southwestern section 388 of the storm. The CESM present composites of analogues and explosive analogues for SLP, PR 389 and WSR_{90} are shown in black contours in figures 9d–i as well as in figure A3 in the Appendix. 390 As expected, the pressure gradient in the explosive analogues composites is higher than that in 391 the analogues (higher and closer number of black contours in Fig. 9g,d, respectively), resulting 392 in lower SLP values in the storm core. In addition, explosive analogues are associated to higher 393 PR and WSR_{90} (black contours in Fig. 9h,i, respectively) than those of the analogues (Fig. 9e,f, 394 respectively). SLP composites of both CESM present analogues and explosive analogues (Fig. 395 9d,g) depict a cyclonic structure with the center over the English channel, consistent with Alex. 396 With respect to the PR pattern, this is predominantly located within the storm core and its southern 397 region (Fig. 9e,h). Due to the lack of precise alignment of storm fronts among the analogues, the 398 PR pattern does not display a clearly defined frontal area. High values of WSR₉₀ are located in the 399 center and southern flank of the storm (Fig. 9f,i). 400

Shading in figures (Fig. 9d–f) show differences CESM future – minus – present of the analogues 401 composites for SLP, PR, and WSR_{90} , respectively. The analogues in the future period show positive 402 anomalies of SLP northward of the Azores anticyclone as well as in the eastern Mediterranean (Fig. 403 9d). This contributes to increase the amplitude of the Rossby waves. In addition, there are SLP 404 negative anomalies in the core of the analogues. This means that the analogues in the future period 405 are associated to lower core pressures and to an increased pressure gradient. This, in turn, might be 406 linked to increase WSR_{90} in the future period in the southern flank and over land (Fig. 9f). Despite 407 a decrease in the center and northern flank, there is an overall increase in WSR_{90} associated with 408 the analogues. In addition, PR increases, specially to the east of the storm core, in the future period 409 (Fig. 9e). The SLP differences of the explosive analogues depict a similar structure to that of the 410 analogues (Fig. 9g), with deeper storms in the future period and positive anomalies on the eastern 411 Mediterranean and southern Scandinavia. This increase in the SLP gradient is also reflected by an 412 increase in WSR_{90} (Fig. 9i), which is particularly high over land, especially in the UK and western 413 France, Belgium, and the Netherlands. Figure 9h depicts an increase of PR in the northern flank 414 analogues' core and a decrease, albeit smaller, southward. 415

The PR and WSR_{90} patterns of extratropical storms such as Alex and its analogues are mostly 416 influenced by the position and intensity of weather fronts. To assess changes in the weather fronts, 417 we evaluate the equivalent potential temperature at 850 hPa pattern (θ_e , figures 10a,b). We also 418 compute the gradient of the θ_e field $(\nabla \theta_e)$. The regions of the maximum $\nabla \theta_e$ are shown by 419 white dashed lines in figures 10a,b, typically characterizing the presence of weather fronts. Figure 420 10c illustrates the future minus present differences in $\nabla \theta_e$, providing insights into the changes in 421 front positions. In the CESM future, θ_e is overall higher than in the present, as a result of the 422 expected increase in global temperatures and water vapour content in a changing climate (shading 423 in Fig. 10a,b). Regarding the regions of maximum $\nabla \theta_e$ (white dashed line in Fig. 10a,b), we 424 interpret that a cold front originates southwestern France and extends towards north of the Azores, 425 as it is typically characterized by cold temperatures behind the warm sector. The other regions of 426 maximum $\nabla \theta_e$ are over English Channel extending towards central western Europe, and they would 427 be associated to the occluded and warm fronts, respectively. Regarding the future minus present 428 differences in $\nabla \theta_e$, we see a noticeable increase and a slight southward shift along the position of 429 the cold front (Fig. 10c). We also observe a northwestward shift in the warm front. These shifts 430

lead to a relocation of weather fronts of the explosive analogues in the future climate compared to
 the present, potentially indicating a more rapid development of storms.

We also assess the upper-tropospheric jet stream distribution (Fig. 11) at the time 0 dates. 433 Figures 11a and b show that the jet stream is situated in the southern flank and slightly westward 434 of the low-level cyclone. Hence, the surface low is located at the left exit region of the jet streak. 435 This configuration is typical of storms at their maximum intensity. In the future period, there is 436 an extension and consequent intensification of the jet downstream and southward compared to the 437 present composites. This downstream intensification may be associated with stronger upper-level 438 divergence, an increase in ascent airflow, and consequently, an increase in storm intensity and 439 precipitation. 440



FIG. 9. (a) Sea level pressure (a), (b) precipitation rate, and (c) wind severity ratio *Alex* at time 0 using ERA5 data. (d–i) Black contours: Composites of the CESM present **analogues** and **explosive analogues** of storm **Alex** at their *time 0* dates of (d,g) sea-level pressure, at 4 hPa intervals, (e,h) hourly mean precipitation rate, from 10 mm/day and every 5 mm/day, and (f,i) hourly wind severity ratio, from 1.0 and every 0.1. Black contours are the same than shading in figure A3. Coloured contours: CESM future minus present differences of the composites of the analogues. Shading: CESM future minus present statistically significant differences, in which we bootstrapped the analogues of future and present periods. The null hypothesis of no difference is rejected if the absolute value of the difference exceeds the 95th percentile of the bootstrap distribution

To further link changes in PR and WSR_{90} of explosive analogues with the dynamics and possible 449 drivers, we assess the changes in eady growth rate (EGR, equation 2), convective precipitation 450 (PRECC), and large-scale precipitation (PRECL). We observe a slight increase in EGR 24 hours 451 prior to the mature stage of the storms, indicating an enhanced baroclinicity in future explosive 452 analogues compared to the present (Fig. 12a). This could be linked to an increase in the NDR 453 (Fig. 3b,c) and in the severity of storms in terms of wind speed (Fig. 9i). Furthermore, during 454 the mature stage of the storms, we observe an overall increase in both PRECC and PRECL (Fig. 455 12b,c). Notably, PRECL contributes the most to the spatial changes observed in total precipitation 456 (Fig. 9h). These changes in the PRECL pattern might be linked with the cyclonic shift of the 457 weather fronts seen in figure 10c and changes in the stratiform precipitation produced by the warm 458 conveyor belt. 459



FIG. 10. (a,b) Shading: equivalent potential temperature at 850hPa for CESM (a) present and (b) future explosive analogues of Alex at their time 0 dates. White dashed lines: values exceeding the 80th percentile of the equivalent potential temperature gradient at 850 hPa. Black contours: composites of SLP at 4 hPa intervals (same as the shading in figure A3). (c) CESM future minus present differences in the composites of the horizontal gradient of equivalent potential temperature at 850 hPa.

472 b. Storm Eunice

Storm Eunice was situated over the North Sea during its mature stage (Fig. 13a). At that time,
precipitation was relatively modest along a frontal line (Fig. 13b), while wind speeds were notably
high, especially on the southern flank of the storm and over land (Fig. 13c). The composites of



FIG. 11. Distribution of the jet events for CESM (a) present and (b) future **explosive analogues** of **Alex** at their time 0 dates. (c) Shading: CESM future minus present differences in the distribution of the jet events. Black contours: CESM present composite of the distribution of the jet events.



FIG. 12. Shading: CESM future minus present differences in the composites of **explosive analogues** of (a) Eady growth rate 24 hours before the time 0 dates (EGR), (b) convective precipitation (PRECC), and (c) large-scale precipitation (PRECL), respectively. Black contours: composites of CESM present of EGR, PRECC and PRECL (shadings in Figures A4).

analogues and explosive analogues exhibit similar patterns of SLP, represented by black contours in figure 13d,g, respectively, and shading in figure A5a, d. In addition, the positions of highest PR and WSR_{90} in both analogues and explosive analogues (A5e,f and A5h,i) coincide with those of storm Eunice. Explosive analogues show, as expected, lower pressure at their core, and higher PR and WSR_{90} than the analogues.

The SLP pattern of the future analogues depicts lower pressures in the cyclonic structure and 481 higher pressures in the anticyclonic with respect to the present analogues (Fig. 13d). This is linked 482 to deeper analogues as well as an increase in the SLP gradient in their southern flank. The PR 483 pattern depicts an increase downstream of the analogues center and extended in western Europe 484 (Fig. 13e), potentially linked to an increase in the uplift of moist air in the warm conveyor belt 485 associated with lower pressure cores. In terms of WSR_{90} , there is also an increase in the southern 486 and eastern part of the analogues over land (Fig. 13f), which corresponds to the warm sector. The 487 differences in the patterns of explosive analogues depict a similar pattern than the analogues: lower 488 SLP, increase in PR, and stronger WSR₉₀ specially over land (Fig. 13g,h,i). 489



FIG. 13. Same as figure 9 but for **analogues of Eunice**.

Regarding the changes in $\nabla \theta_e$, figure 14c depicts an increase along a line starting in Germany 490 and crossing central France and Bay of Biscay. This region corresponds to the southern flank 491 of the cold front in the present climate, depicted in figure 14a in dashed white lines. Hence, the 492 increase in $\nabla \theta_e$ can be interpreted as an intensification and a slight cyclonic shift of the cold front. 493 This, in turn, might be linked to the increase in WSR_{90} (Fig. 13i) and increase in PR over the cold 494 front area (Fig. 13h). On the contrary, a dipole pattern of $\nabla \theta_e$ over south Scandinavia suggests a 495 deceleration of the warm front, shown in figure 14a as the tail of the comma-shape white dashed 496 region. 497



FIG. 14. Same as figure 10 but for storm Eunice

Figures 15a,b show that the jet stream is located southward and westward relative to the surface low. In the future period, the jet intensifies and extends further south. This suggests a localized increase in baroclinicity. Additionally, there is a slight increase in the northward flank exit region of the jet, which may be associated with an increase in upper-level divergence and ascent vertical motion.

Figure 16a shows a significant increase in the EGR 24 hours before the time 0 dates of the explosive analogues in a future period. This suggests that the changes in intensity and patterns of the explosive analogues are largely baroclinically-driven. Little changes are seen in PRECC (Fig. 16b), with an increase in the cold sector of the storm. Regarding the PRECL pattern, figure 16c shows an increase over Bay of Biscay, probably linked to the increase in intensity of the cold front, as well as an increase over Denmark, where the warm front is located.



FIG. 15. Same as figure 11 but for storm Eunice



FIG. 16. Same as figure 12 but for storm Eunice

509 c. Storm Xynthia

The mature stage of storm Xynthia was situated over the Bay of Biscay (Fig. 17a). PR was primarily concentrated on the western flank of the storm (Fig. 17b), and the highest WSR_{90} was in the southern flank (Fig. 17c). In this context, both analogues and explosive analogues successfully capture the mature stage's position (Fig. 17d,g). Regarding the pattern of PR (Fig. 17e and Fig. 17f), it is slightly shifted southwards, probably due to a misalignment between the storm fronts. Similar to storms Alex and Eunice, explosive analogues demonstrate lower SLP and higher PR and WSR_{90} than the analogues.

Figure 17d depicts lower pressures in the north part of the core of the analogues. In addition, there are positive anomalies of SLP in both western and eastern of the cyclonic structure, which results in an increase in the waviness of the pressure pattern. In the case of PR and WSR_{90} , both show an increase in the analogues core and northeastern of the Iberian Peninsula (Fig. 17e,f). Figure 17g shows that explosive analogues depict significant lower pressures in the future period, specially in their northern flank. This is linked with a significant increase in WSR_{90} (Fig. 17i). Similarly to Alex (Fig. 9h), PR depicts a significant increase in the northern flank of the explosive analogues' core, a slight decrease in the region of maximum PR in the present period, and a slight increase in their southern flank.

Figures 18a,b show a different spatial pattern of θ_e at 850hPa of present and future explosive 526 analogues of Xynthia. In the present period, the warm sector of the storm does not overlap with 527 the storm center. In contrast, in the future period the θ_e at 850hPa pattern has a T-bone structure, 528 typical of the Shapiro-Keyser storms (Shapiro and Keyser 1990), and that could indicate a warm 529 seclusion sector of the storms. In terms of changes in the gradient of θ_e , there is an overall increase 530 of the gradient in the regions of the maximum gradient depicted by white dashed lines in 18a,b, 531 which are the regions associated to the weather fronts. This is related to an increase in intensity of 532 the weather fronts. A dipole with negative anomalies over the Bay of Biscay and positive anomalies 533 northwestward suggest a cyclonic shift of the warm front position, even though the overall change 534 is an increase in magnitude. 535



FIG. 17. Same as figure 9 but for **analogues of Xynthia**.



FIG. 18. Same as figure 10 but for storm Xynthia

Similar to storm Alex, Xynthia-like storms depict an extension downstream and southward of the jet stream in the future period (Fig. 19c). As previously discussed, this could lead to an increase in the vertical motion from the ageostrophic component of the wind and could be linked to the increase in precipitation and intensity of the storms. In addition, this position of the jet stream depicts a more advanced stage of the cyclone, and could be linked to the cyclonic relocation of the weather fronts.



FIG. 19. Same as figure 11 but for storm Xynthia

Figure 20a depicts no change of the EGR in the region of its maximum, which means there are no changes in low-level baroclinicity 24 hours before the mature stage. Thus, changes assessed previously might be largely diabatically-driven. Regarding PRECC and PRECL (Fig. 20b,c) ⁵⁴⁵ spatial patterns, there is an overall increase in both types of precipitation. However, both show a ⁵⁴⁶ tripolar pattern: a decrease in the core of the maximum precipitaiton area, and an increase in the ⁵⁴⁷ southern and northern flanks. In the case of PRECL, this is linked to the cyclonic shift of the warm ⁵⁴⁸ front and an intensification of both warm and cold fronts.



FIG. 20. Same as figure 12 but for storm Xynthia

7. Discussion and conclusions

We have conducted an analysis of three storms (Alex, Eunice, and Xynthia) under anthropogenic radiative forcing, using the CESM-Large Ensemble. We identified storms with a similar development stage to the three storms, and termed them *analogues*, in the present period (1991-2001) and in the future RCP8.5 period (2091-2100). We further selected those undergoing explosive cyclogenesis (*explosive analogues*). We found that the frequency and intensity of the analogue storms, as well as their associated meteorological hazards, will change in a future climate.

For storm Alex, a significant decrease in the number analogues has been observed, specially in 556 autumn. However, there is an increase in the relative frequency of explosive analogues as well 557 as in the normalized deepening rates. Both analogues and explosive analogues will be associated 558 with overall higher precipitation and stronger wind speeds. The large-scale precipitation pattern 559 of the explosive analogues and the weather front disposition suggest a cyclonic shift of the mature 560 stage of the storms. There is a small increase in the baroclinicity in the future explosive analogues, 561 which makes changes in their characteristics both baroclinically and diabatically driven. These 562 factors suggest that explosive Alex-like storms will not be less frequent in a future climate. When 563

they occur, they will deepen more rapidly and be associated with higher precipitation and wind speeds, indicating that storms like Alex could be a greater meteorological hazard in the future.

For storm Eunice, rather than a change in the number of analogues, there is a seasonal shift 566 towards more analogues in winter and fewer elsewhere. However, we found a significant increase 567 in the number of analogues that undergo explosive cyclogenesis. The quality of both analogues 568 and explosive analogues, computed using the Euclidean distance between the development stage 569 of the storm and its analogues, also increases in a future climate. This means that analogues in 570 the future climate are more similar in terms of tracks compared to the present, thereby increasing 571 the likelihood of identifying more Eunice-like storms. Additionally, there will be an increase in 572 precipitation rate and wind speed of the analogues and explosive analogues. These changes in 573 the characteristics of explosive analogues are, at least partially, baroclinically-driven. Therefore, 574 explosive Eunice-like storms will not only be more frequent but also more severe in a warmer 575 climate. 576

We found it difficult to identify good analogues of storm Xynthia in both reanalysis and climate 577 models. Hence, we can claim that storm Xynthia was an unusual event, and that a caveat of this 578 study is the quality of its analogues. We observed a decrease in the number of analogues, specially 579 in spring, but a slight increase in the relative frequency of explosive cyclogenesis. Xynthia-like 580 storms are expected to have higher precipitation rates and wind speeds in a future climate. The 581 explosive analogues depict an overall significant increase in precipitation and wind speed, with 582 a cyclonic shift in their mature stage. Changes in the patterns of explosive analogues are likely 583 to be largely diabatically-driven, as there is no change in low-level baroclinicity previous to the 584 mature stage of the storms. Therefore, Xynthia-like storms are becoming less probable but more 585 severe, especially those that are explosive, in a warmer climate. However, we acknowledge the low 586 confidence in the results that arises from the limited quality and quantity of analogues available. 587

⁵⁸⁸ Changes in the number of analogues, including explosive ones, depend on the specific storm ⁵⁸⁹ under consideration. Eunice-like explosive storms are expected to be more frequent, in line with ⁵⁹⁰ previous studies that project a slight increase in explosive frequency close to the British Isles and ⁵⁹¹ on the North Sea (Seiler and Zwiers 2016; Zappa et al. 2013). The relative frequency of explosive ⁵⁹² storms like Alex and Xynthia is also expected to increase with respect to the non explosive storms. ⁵⁹³ The increase in precipitation associated with storms in a future climate is consistent with other

studies (Hawcroft et al. 2018; Zhang and Colle 2017; Michaelis et al. 2017; Reale et al. 2022). For 594 the explosive analogues of Alex and Xynthia, we found a similar precipitation changes to Sinclair 595 et al. (2020). Sinclair et al. (2020) found, using aquaplanet simulations, a poleward displacement 596 of the region of maximum precipitation, mainly due to changes in the large-scale precipitation 597 pattern, in a future climate. There is less confidence in future projections regarding the dynamical 598 intensity, such as wind speed, associated to the storms (Seneviratne et al. 2021; Catto et al. 2019). 599 However, our study reveals that, across all the storms analysed, surface winds are expected to 600 increase, specially for the explosive analogues and over land. For Eunice, the increase is located 601 over the warm sector of the storms, as consistent with previous studies (Priestley and Catto 2022; 602 Dolores-Tesillos et al. 2022). The drivers behind the changes in the pattern of the storms, whether 603 they are baroclinically-driven or diabatically-driven, vary depending on the storm. However, as 604 found by Dolores-Tesillos et al. (2022), Binder et al. (2023), and Joos et al. (2023), diabatic effects 605 play a key role in increasing the wind speed, the deepening rates, and the intensity of the strongest 606 storms. For the case of Xynthia, Ludwig et al. (2014) found that the storm intensification was 607 mainly led by anomalously high sea surface temperatures and diabatic processes, and also suggested 608 that Xynthia-like storms could be more frequent in a warmer climate. We note that we found no 609 changes in low-level baroclinicity for Xynthia-like storms during the development stage. Hence, we 610 suggest that diabatic processes contribute to the increase in wind and precipitation for Xynthia-like 611 explosive storms in a future climate, as well as a relative increase of the explosive frequency, 612 consistent with the prediction by Ludwig et al. (2014). In addition, Sinclair et al. (2020) found, 613 using an aquaplanet model, that storms in a warmer climate are more diabatically-driven. To better 614 understand the potential influence of diabatic effects on storm intensification, a comprehensive 615 study on the role of warm conveyor belts in storm intensification (Binder et al. 2023) could be 616 conducted. Our study thus identifies both similarities and differences when compared to previous 617 research on various behaviors of extratropical and explosive storms in the North Atlantic under 618 climate change. These findings not only emphasize the differences in regional changes but also 619 suggest that storms may exhibit distinct behaviors compared to the overall changes, potentially 620 yielding different responses to anthropogenic radiative forcing. 621

⁶²² Our approach has limitations that should be acknowledged. First, our analysis is based on a ⁶²³ single model, the CESM model version 1, which was chosen for its availability as a large ensemble

dataset of more than 100 members with 6-hourly data. While CESM has been shown to simulate 624 the characteristics of storms fairly well (Dolores-Tesillos et al. 2022; Joos et al. 2023; Binder et al. 625 2023) and to have a spread due to internal variability comparable to the CMIP5 multi-model spread 626 (Kay et al. 2015), it has certain biases that should be acknowledged. The model underestimates the 627 number of cyclones, specially over the ocean, as well as the deepening rates of the most extreme 628 cyclones. Moreover, our analysis is based on a small sample of cyclones, which may not fully 629 represent the entire spectrum of cyclone behavior. While the model reproduces cyclone frequencies 630 and deepening rates well within this limited sample, a multi-model study would provide a more 631 comprehensive assessment of model uncertainty. Second, we use a single scenario, the worst-case 632 scenario (RCP8.5), due to its availability. This scenario represents an extreme case assuming high 633 greenhouse gas emissions throughout the 21st century. Although this extreme scenario proves 634 valuable in detecting the anthropogenic radiative forcing signal, it may not encompass the entire 635 range of future climate projections. Therefore, our findings may not be generalized to other 636 scenarios. Future studies should explore the robustness of our results using multiple models and 637 scenarios. Finally, we use a single tracking scheme. However, we filter out the weakest storms, 638 and so the dependence on the tracking scheme is considered minor (Neu et al. 2013). 639

The analogues are considered recurrences in the atmospheric patterns of to the storms, and so 640 our results can also be applied to the explosive analogues found in the ERA5 dataset, some of them 641 being known high-impact storms in the region (Table A1). In conclusion, we found that all of 642 the storms analyzed in this study are expected to become more severe and impactful with climate 643 change. As suggested by Shepherd (2016), demonstrating that certain extreme events can occur 644 again and result in even worse consequences with climate change, as shown in this study, can help 645 advocate for investment in protective measures against hypothetical risks. Hence, these storms can 646 serve as reference points for building resilience and preparing for future events. 647

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement N° 956396 (European weather extremes: drivers, predictability and impacts (EDIPI) ITN). The authors would like to express special thanks to H. Wernli and his Atmospheric Dynamics group at ETH Zurich, particularly M. Sprenger, M. Röthlisberger, H. Binder, J. Riboldi, and U. Beyerle, for their valuable discussions and assistance in accessing the CESM data.

Data availability statement. The ERA5 data are publicly available online at 654 https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/. We acknowledge the Atmospheric Dynamics and Climate 655 Physics groups at ETH Zurich for providing access to CESM data. 656

APPENDIX

657

Alex				
Storm Angus	20 November 2016	United Kingdom, France	Also known as storm Nanette in France. The event left 2 fatalities and wind gusts up to 170 km/h (Sky News 2016; Met Office 2016; The telegraph 2016).	
Storm Norberto	5 March 2020	France, Spain	Wind gusts around 100 km/h and up to 140 km/h were recorded (The European Forecaster 2021; AEMET 2021)	
Storm Katie	28 March 2016	France, United King- dom	The highest windgust recorded was 170 km/h in Isle of Wight (The European Forecaster 2021; AEMET 2021)	
Eunice				
"Adolph Bermpohl" storm	23 February 1967	North Sea	The Adolph Bermpohl was a sea rescue cruiser on which its crew died due to the severity of the storm in the North Sea. Other boats also sank in the same storm (The Wreck Site 2017).	
October storm	17 October 1967	Norway, Sweden	Hurricane-force winds of up to 144 km/h were recorded in some parts of southern Sweden (SMHI and Institute 2021).	
Storm Capella	3 January 1976	Ireland, United Kingdom, Belgium, France, Denmark, Germany, Nether- lands	Also known as Ruisbroek flood in Belgium. The storm resulted in severe wind damage across western and central Europe and coastal flooding. One of the strongest windgusts recorded during the event was 215 km/h at Lowther Hills (Met Office 1976). It left at least 82 fatalities (Berz 1988).	
Burns' Day storm	25 January 1990	Ireland, United Kingdom, France, Belgium, Nether- lands, Germany, Denmark	Also known as Storm Daria. Hurricane-force wind gust were recorded, such as 167 km/h at Abertporth (McCallum 1990) and 176 km/h at Pointe du Raz (Météo France 2019). The storm left at least 95 fatalities across Europe, being one of the deathliest storms in Europe (Météo France 2019).	
Storm Oratia	30 October 2000	France, Germany, Netherlands and United Kingdom	Storm Oratia (Tora in Norway) (Extreme Wind Storms Cat- alogue n.d.) was probably the worst storm to hit United Kindgom after the Great Storm of 1987 (NASA Earth Ob- servatory 2017). The storm brought heavy rainfall and strong winds to many areas of southern Britain, with wind gusts up to 150 km/h.	
Storm Ulli	3 January 2012	United Kingdom, Ireland, Netherlands, Scandinavia	Storm Emil in Norway (The Nordic Page Norway n.d.). The damages were estimated at 0.2 billion USD (Koks and Haer 2020; Roberts et al. 2014b)	
Storm Bronagh	21 September 2018	United Kingdom	Wind gusts up to 125 km/h recorded in the Isle of Wight (Met Office 2018).	
Storm Christoph	21 January 2021	United Kingdom	The event was characterized by heavy precipitation above 100 mm. This was one of the wettest 3–day periods on record in the western and northwestern part of England and Wales (Met Office 2021).	
Xynthia				
Storm Miguel	6 June 2019	Spain, France, Bel- gium, Luxemburg, Netherlands	The storm brought high winds and heavy precipitation to western Europe, with wind gusts up to 150 km/h (AEMET 2020; EUMETSAT 2019). It caused at least three deaths.	

TABLE A1. Explosive analogues of each storm detected with ERA5, which are also known storms that had an impact across Europe. The first column corresponds to the storm name, the second column shows the date of minimum sea level pressure, the third column lists the regions affected, and the fourth column provides notes on some meaningful aspects.



FIG. A1. Boxplot distributions of the Normalized Deepening Rates (NDR_c) of analogues (top row) and explosive analogues (bottom row) for Alex (a), Eunice (b), and Xynthia (c). Horizontal black lines represent the interquartile ranges of the distribution, while the white horizontal line indicates the mean values.



FIG. A2. 6-hourly tracks of storms Alex (a), Eunice (b), and Xynthia (c). (0) depicts the minimum sea level pressure point, and (1) the position of the storm 24 hours before (0). The brown line indicates when the storm underwent explosive cyclogenesis.



FIG. A3. Composites of the CESM present **analogues** and **explosive analogues** of **Alex** at their *time* 0 dates of (a,d) sea-level pressure, (b,e) hourly mean precipitation rate, and (c,f) hourly mean wind speed.



FIG. A4. Composites of the CESM present **explosive analogues** of **Alex** at their *time 0* dates of (a) eady growth rate, (b) hourly mean convective precipitation, and (c) hourly mean large-scale precipitation rate.



FIG. A5. Same as figure A3 but for **analogues of Eunice**.



FIG. A6. Same as figure A4 but for explosive analogues of Eunice



FIG. A7. Same as figure A3 but for analogues of Xynthia.



FIG. A8. Same as figure A4 but for explosive analogues of Xynthia

672 **References**

- AEMET, 2020: Spanish state meteorological agency: Borrasca Miguel. Accessed: 2023-03-06,
 https://www.aemet.es/ca/conocermas/borrascas/2018-2019/estudios_e_impactos/miguel.
- AEMET, 2021: Spanish state meteorological agency: Borrasca Norberto. Accessed: 2023-03-06,
- https://www.aemet.es/ca/conocermas/borrascas/2019-2020/estudios_e_impactos/norberto.
- ⁶⁷⁷ Allen, J. T., A. B. Pezza, and M. T. Black, 2010: Explosive cyclogenesis: A global climatology ⁶⁷⁸ comparing multiple reanalyses. *Journal of Climate*, **23** (**24**), 6468–6484.
- Anadolu 2022: Eunice Agency, Storm leaves 4 dead. over 400,000 homes 679 without power in Poland. Accessed: 2023-01-11, https://www.aa.com.tr/en/europe/ 680 storm-eunice-leaves-4-dead-over-400-000-homes-without-power-in-poland/2507945. 681
- Aon, 2020: Global Catastrophe Recap: October 2020. Available at: http://thoughtleadership.aon.
 com/documents/20201111_analytics-if-october-global-recap.pdf Accessed: October 2020.
- BBC, 2022: Storm Eunice: Three people killed as strong winds sweep across UK. Accessed:
 2023-01-11, https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-60439651.
- Bertin, X., N. Bruneau, J.-F. Breilh, A. B. Fortunato, and M. Karpytchev, 2012: Importance of wave

⁶⁸⁷ age and resonance in storm surges: The case xynthia, bay of biscay. *Ocean Modelling*, **42**, 16–30,

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2011.11.001, URL https://www.sciencedirect.
 com/science/article/pii/S1463500311001776.

⁶⁹⁰ Berz, G., 1988: List of major natural disasters, 1960–1987. *Natural Hazards*, **1** (1), 97–99.

Binder, H., H. Joos, M. Sprenger, and H. Wernli, 2023: Warm conveyor belts in present-day and future climate simulations-part 2: Role of potential vorticity production for cyclone intensification.
 Weather and Climate Dynamics, 4 (1), 19–37.

- Brayshaw, D. J., B. Hoskins, , and M. Blackburn, 2009: The basic ingredients of the North
- Atlantic storm track. Part I: Land–sea contrast and orography. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*,
 66, 2539–2558, https://doi.org/0.1175/2009JAS3078.1.
- ⁶⁹⁷ Cattiaux, J., R. Vautard, C. Cassou, P. Yiou, V. Masson-Delmotte, and F. Codron, 2010: Winter
- ⁶⁹⁸ 2010 in europe: A cold extreme in a warming climate. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **37** (**20**).

- Catto, J. L., and Coauthors, 2019: The future of midlatitude cyclones. *Current Climate Change Reports*, 5, 407–420.
- ⁷⁰¹ Chadenas, C., A. Creach, and D. Mercier, 2014: The impact of storm xynthia in 2010 on coastal
 ⁷⁰² flood prevention policy in france. *Journal of Coastal Conservation*, **18** (**5**), 529–538.
- ⁷⁰³ Chauveau, E., and Coauthors, 2011: Xynthia: leçons d'une catastrophe. *Cybergeo: European* ⁷⁰⁴ *Journal of Geography*.
- Davolio, S., M. Vercellino, M. M. Miglietta, L. D. Pitura, S. Laviola, and V. Levizzani, 2022: The
 influence of an atmospheric river on a heavy precipitation event over the western alps. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 100542.
- Deser, C., A. Phillips, V. Bourdette, and H. Teng, 2012: Uncertainty in climate change projections:
 the role of internal variability. *Climate dynamics*, **38** (**3**), 527–546.
- Deutsche Welle, 2022: Europe reckons with cost of Storm Zeynep. Accessed: 2023-01-11, https:
 //www.dw.com/en/europe-reckons-with-cost-of-storm-zeynep/a-60823280.
- ⁷¹² Dolores-Tesillos, E., F. Teubler, and S. Pfahl, 2022: Future changes in north atlantic winter cyclones

⁷¹³ in cesm-le–part 1: Cyclone intensity, potential vorticity anomalies, and horizontal wind speed.

⁷¹⁴ Weather and Climate Dynamics, **3** (**2**), 429–448.

- EUMETSAT, 2019: Storm Miguel batters parts of Europe. Accessed: 2023-03-06, https://www. eumetsat.int/storm-miguel-batters-parts-europe.
- ⁷¹⁷ European State of the Climate, 2020: Storm Alex. Accessed: 2023-03-06, https://climate.
 ⁷¹⁸ copernicus.eu/esotc/2020/storm-alex.
- Extreme Wind Storms Catalogue, n.d.: Oratia (Tora). Accessed: 2023-03-06, http://www.
 europeanwindstorms.org/cgi-bin/storms/storms.cgi?storm1=Oratia_Tora.
- Faranda, D., S. Bourdin, M. Ginesta, M. Krouma, R. Noyelle, F. Pons, P. Yiou, and G. Messori,
- ⁷²² 2022: A climate-change attribution retrospective of some impactful weather extremes of 2021.
- Weather and Climate Dynamics, **3** (**4**), 1311–1340, https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-3-1311-2022,
- ⁷²⁴ URL https://wcd.copernicus.org/articles/3/1311/2022/.

- Faranda, D., M. Ginesta, T. Alberti, E. Coppola, and M. Anzidei, 2023: Attributing venice acqua
 alta events to a changing climate and evaluating the efficacy of mose adaptation strategy. *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 6 (1), 181.
- Fink, A. H., T. Brücher, V. Ermert, A. Krüger, and J. G. Pinto, 2009: The european storm kyrill in january 2007: synoptic evolution, meteorological impacts and some considerations with respect to climate change. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 9 (2), 405–423, https://doi.org/ 10.5194/nhess-9-405-2009.
- Fink, A. H., S. Pohle, J. G. Pinto, and P. Knippertz, 2012: Diagnosing the influence of diabatic
 processes on the explosive deepening of extratropical cyclones. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 39 (7).
- Fischer, E. M., U. Beyerle, and R. Knutti, 2013: Robust spatially aggregated projections of climate
 extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, **3** (12), 1033–1038.
- ⁷³⁷ García-Pereda (NWC SAF/AEMET), J., 2010: Storm Xynthia. URL https://www.eumetsat.int/
 ⁷³⁸ storm-xynthia.
- ⁷³⁹ Ginesta, M., P. Yiou, G. Messori, and D. Faranda, 2022: A methodology for attributing severe
 ⁷⁴⁰ extratropical cyclones to climate change based on reanalysis data: the case study of storm alex
 ⁷⁴¹ 2020. *Climate Dynamics*, 1–25.
- Harvey, B., P. Cook, L. Shaffrey, and R. Schiemann, 2020: The response of the northern hemisphere
 storm tracks and jet streams to climate change in the cmip3, cmip5, and cmip6 climate models.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, **125** (23), e2020JD032 701.
- Hawcroft, M., E. Walsh, K. Hodges, and G. Zappa, 2018: Significantly increased extreme
 precipitation expected in europe and north america from extratropical cyclones. *Environ- mental Research Letters*, 13 (12), 124 006, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaed59, URL
 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaed59.
- Hawcroft, M. K., L. C. Shaffrey, K. I. Hodges, and H. F. Dacre, 2012: How much northern
 hemisphere precipitation is associated with extratropical cyclones? *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39** (**24**), https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL053866, URL https://agupubs.

onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2012GL053866, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.
 com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2012GL053866.

Hawkins, E., and R. Sutton, 2009: The potential to narrow uncertainty in regional cli mate predictions. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **90** (8), 1095 – 1108,
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/2009BAMS2607.1, URL https://journals.ametsoc.org/

- view/journals/bams/90/8/2009bams2607_1.xml.
- Hersbach, H., and Coauthors, 2020: The era5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146 (730), 1999–2049, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803,
 URL https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qj.3803, https://rmets.onlinelibrary.

⁷⁶¹ wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3803.

- ⁷⁶² Hurrell, J. W., and Coauthors, 2013: The community earth system model: a framework for ⁷⁶³ collaborative research. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **94** (**9**), 1339–1360.
- Jansa, A., P. Alpert, A. Buzzi, and P. Arbogast, 2001: Medex, cyclones that produce high impact weather in the mediterranean. *Avalaible at http://medex. aemet. uib. es.*
- Jézéquel, A., V. Dépoues, H. Guillemot, M. Trolliet, J.-P. Vanderlinden, and P. Yiou, 2018: Behind
 the veil of extreme event attribution. *Climatic Change*, 149, 367–383.
- Joos, H., M. Sprenger, H. Binder, U. Beyerle, and H. Wernli, 2023: Warm conveyor belts in present-day and future climate simulations-part 1: Climatology and impacts. *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, **4** (1), 133–155.
- Kay, J. E., and Coauthors, 2015: The community earth system model (cesm) large ensemble
 project: A community resource for studying climate change in the presence of internal climate
 variability. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **96 (8)**, 1333–1349.
- Koch, P., H. Wernli, and H. C. Davies, 2006: An event-based jet-stream climatology and typology.
 International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 26 (3), 283–301.
- Koks, E., and T. Haer, 2020: A high-resolution wind damage model for europe. *Scientific Reports*,
 10, 6866, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63580-w.

- Kolen, B., R. Slomp, and S. Jonkman, 2013: The impacts of storm xynthia february 27–28, 2010 in
 france: lessons for flood risk management. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 6 (3), 261–278,
- 781 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12011, URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
- ⁷⁸² 10.1111/jfr3.12011, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/jfr3.12011.
- Lehmann, J., D. Coumou, K. Frieler, A. V. Eliseev, and A. Levermann, 2014: Future changes
 in extratropical storm tracks and baroclinicity under climate change. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9 (8), 084 002.
- Liberato, M., J. Pinto, R. Trigo, P. Ludwig, P. Ordóñez, D. Yuen, and I. Trigo, 2013: Explosive
 development of winter storm xynthia over the subtropical north atlantic ocean. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, **13** (**9**), 2239–2251.
- ⁷⁸⁹ Liberato, M. L., 2014: The 19 january 2013 windstorm over the north atlantic: large-scale
- ⁷⁹⁰ dynamics and impacts on iberia. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, **5-6**, 16–28, https://doi.org/https:
- //doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2014.06.002, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
 S2212094714000620.
- Lim, E.-P., and I. Simmonds, 2002: Explosive cyclone development in the southern hemisphere and
 a comparison with northern hemisphere events. *Monthly Weather Review*, **130** (9), 2188–2209.
- ⁷⁹⁵ Liu, W. T., W. Tang, and X. Xie, 2008: Wind power distribution over the ocean. *Geophys-*⁷⁹⁶ *ical Research Letters*, **35** (13), https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034172,
- ⁷⁹⁷ URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2008GL034172, https:
 ⁷⁹⁸ //agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2008GL034172.
- ⁷⁹⁹ Ludwig, P., J. G. Pinto, M. Reyers, and S. L. Gray, 2014: The role of anomalous sst and surface ⁸⁰⁰ fluxes over the southeastern north atlantic in the explosive development of windstorm xynthia.
- *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **140** (682), 1729–1741.
- McCallum, E., 1990: The burns' day storm, 25 january 1990. Weather, 45 (5), 166–173.
- Meinshausen, M., and Coauthors, 2011: The rcp greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. *Climatic change*, **109** (**1**), 213–241.
- Met Office, 1976: Monthly weather report: January 1976. Available at https://web.archive.org/
 web/20121023103203/http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/media/pdf/m/r/Jan1976.pdf.

⁸⁰⁷ Met Office, 2016: Storm angus. Available at https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/weather/ ⁸⁰⁸ warnings-and-advice/uk-storm-centre/storm-angus.

Met Office, 2018: Storm bronagh. Accessed: 2023-03-06, https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/weather/
 warnings-and-advice/uk-storm-centre/storm-bronagh.

Met Office, 2021: Storm Christoph 18 to 20 January 2021. Accessed: 2023-03-06, https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/binaries/content/assets/metofficegovuk/pdf/weather/learn-about/ uk-past-events/interesting/2021/2021_01_storm_christoph.pdf.

Met Office, 2022: Storms dudley, eunice and franklin, february 2022. Available at: https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/binaries/content/assets/metofficegovuk/pdf/weather/learn-about/ uk-past-events/interesting/2022/2022_01_storms_dudley_eunice_franklin_r1.pdf Accessed: March 2023.

Michaelis, A. C., J. Willison, G. M. Lackmann, and W. A. Robinson, 2017: Changes
 in winter north atlantic extratropical cyclones in high-resolution regional pseudo–global
 warming simulations. *Journal of Climate*, **30** (**17**), 6905 – 6925, https://doi.org/https:
 //doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0697.1, URL https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/30/
 17/jcli-d-16-0697.1.xml.

Météo France, 2019: Tempête DARIA du 25 janvier 1990. Available at http://tempetes.meteo.fr/
 IMG/anthemis_pdf/19900125.pdf.

⁸²⁵ Météo France, 2020: Tempête Alex: des intempéries exceptionnelles. Available at https:// ⁸²⁶ meteofrance.com/actualites-et-dossiers/climat/tempete-alex-des-intemperies-exceptionnelles.

NASA Earth Observatory, 2017: Superstorm Sweeps Over England. Accessed: 2023-03-06,
 https://wrecksite.eu/wreck.aspx?258019.

Neu, U., and Coauthors, 2013: Imilast: A community effort to intercompare extratropical cyclone
 detection and tracking algorithms. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 94 (4),
 529–547.

NL Times. 2022: Netherlands Eu-Fourth death confirmed Storm in as 832 nice heads north. Accessed: 2023-01-11. https://nltimes.nl/2022/02/18/ 833 fourth-death-confirmed-netherlands-storm-eunice-heads-north. 834

46

Owen, L. E., J. L. Catto, D. B. Stephenson, and N. J. Dunstone, 2021: Compound precipitation and
 wind extremes over europe and their relationship to extratropical cyclones. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 33, 100 342, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100342, URL https:

//www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212094721000384.

Priestley, M. D. K., D. Ackerley, J. L. Catto, K. I. Hodges, R. E. McDonald, and R. W. Lee, 2020:

An overview of the extratropical storm tracks in cmip6 historical simulations. *Journal of Climate*,

33 (15), 6315 – 6343, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0928.1, URL https://journals.ametsoc.

org/view/journals/clim/33/15/JCLI-D-19-0928.1.xml.

Priestley, M. D. K., and J. L. Catto, 2022: Future changes in the extratropical storm tracks and
 cyclone intensity, wind speed, and structure. *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, 3 (1), 337–360,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-3-337-2022, URL https://wcd.copernicus.org/articles/3/337/2022/.

Rapella, L., D. Faranda, M. Gaetani, D. Philippe, and M. Ginesta, 2023: Climate change on extreme
 winds already affects off-shore wind power availability in europe. *Environmental Research Letters*.

Reale, M., M. L. Liberato, P. Lionello, J. G. Pinto, S. Salon, and S. Ulbrich, 2019: A global climatology of explosive cyclones using a multi-tracking approach. *Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, **71** (1), 1611 340, https://doi.org/10.1080/16000870.2019.1611340.

Reale, M., and Coauthors, 2022: Future projections of mediterranean cyclone characteristics using
 the med-cordex ensemble of coupled regional climate system models. *Climate dynamics*, 1–24.

2022: RMS, RMS estimates insured losses from windstorms Dudley and Eu-854 nice will likely range between 3.0 and 4.5 billion euro. Accessed: 2023 -855

⁸⁵⁶ 01-11, https://www.rms.com/newsroom/press-releases/press-detail/2022-03-01/

rms-estimates-insured-losses-from-windstorms-dudley-and-eunice-will-likely-range-between-30-and-44

Roberts, J., and Coauthors, 2014a: The xws open access catalogue of extreme european windstorms
from 1979 to 2012. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 14 (9), 2487–2501.

Roberts, J. F., and Coauthors, 2014b: The xws open access catalogue of extreme european
 windstorms from 1979 to 2012. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 14 (9), 2487–

- 2501, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-14-2487-2014, URL https://nhess.copernicus.org/articles/
 14/2487/2014/.
- Roebber, P. J., 1984: Statistical analysis and updated climatology of explosive cyclones. *Monthly Weather Review*, **112**, 1577–1589, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1984)
 112(1577:SAAUCO)2.0.CO;2.
- ⁸⁶⁷ Röthlisberger, M., M. Sprenger, E. Flaounas, U. Beyerle, and H. Wernli, 2020: The substructure of
- extremely hot summers in the northern hemisphere. *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, **1**(1), 45–62,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-1-45-2020, URL https://wcd.copernicus.org/articles/1/45/2020/.
- RTL Info, 2022: La tempête Eunice a balayé la Belgique: le bilan s'alourdit à 2 morts, les pompiers
 surchargés un peu partout dans le pays. Accessed: 2023-01-11, https://www.rtl.be/info/belgique/
 meteo/meteo-un-vent-tempetueux-attendu-ce-vendredi-voici-les-previsions-1357970.aspx.
- Sanders, F., and J. R. Gyakum, 1980: Synoptic-dynamic climatology of the "bomb". *Monthly Weather Review*, 108, 1589–1606, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1980)
 108(1589:SDCOT)2.0.CO;2.
- Sansom, P. G., D. B. Stephenson, C. A. Ferro, G. Zappa, and L. Shaffrey, 2013: Simple uncertainty
 frameworks for selecting weighting schemes and interpreting multimodel ensemble climate
 change experiments. *Journal of Climate*, 26 (12), 4017–4037.
- Schemm, S., 2023: Toward eliminating the decades-old "too zonal and too equatorward"
 storm-track bias in climate models. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*,
 15 (2), e2022MS003482, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2022MS003482, URL
 https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022MS003482, e2022MS003482
 2022MS003482, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022MS003482.
- Seiler, C., and F. W. Zwiers, 2016: How will climate change affect explosive cyclones in the
 extratropics of the northern hemisphere? *Climate Dynamics*, 46 (11), 3633–3644.
- Seneviratne, S., and Coauthors, 2021: Weather and climate extreme events in a changing climate. In
- ⁸⁸⁷ Climate Change 2021: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth
- Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V.,
- P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis,

M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi,

- Shapiro, M. A., and D. Keyser, 1990: *Fronts, Jet Streams and the Tropopause*, 167–191. Ameri can Meteorological Society, Boston, MA, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-944970-33-8_10, URL
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-944970-33-8_10.
- Shaw, T. A., and Coauthors, 2016: Storm track processes and the opposing influences of climate
 change. *Nature Geosci*, 9, 656–664, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2783.
- Shepherd, T. G., 2016: A common framework for approaches to extreme event attribution. *Current Climate Change Reports*, 2, 28–38.
- ⁸⁹⁹ Sinclair, M. R., 1995: A climatology of cyclogenesis for the southern hemisphere. *Monthly*

Weather Review, **123** (6), 1601 – 1619, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1995)123(1601:

ACOCFT>2.0.CO;2, URL https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/mwre/123/6/1520-0493_ 1995_123_1601_acocft_2_0_co_2.xml.

- Sinclair, V. A., M. Rantanen, P. Haapanala, J. Räisänen, and H. Järvinen, 2020: The characteristics
 and structure of extra-tropical cyclones in a warmer climate. *Weather and Climate Dynamics*,
 1 (1), 1–25, https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-1-1-2020, URL https://wcd.copernicus.org/articles/1/
 1/2020/.
- Sky News, 2016: Storm angus: Body found in search for pensioner after flood. Available at
 https://news.sky.com/story/woman-dies-after-folkestone-storm-angus-rescue-10667386.
- SMHI, S. M., and H. Institute, 2021: Den svåra oktoberstormen 1967. Ac-909 2023-03-06, https://www.smhi.se/kunskapsbanken/meteorologi/stormar-i-sverige/ cessed: 910 enskilda-stormar-och-ovader/den-svara-oktoberstormen-1967-1.5744. 911
- ⁹¹² The European Forecaster, 2021: The European Forecaster Newsletter of the WGCEF N° 26 ⁹¹³ September 2021. Accessed: 2023-03-06, http://www.euroforecaster.org/newsletter26/full.pdf.
- ⁹¹⁴ The Irish Times, 2022: Storm Eunice: Council worker dies in Wexford after being struck ⁹¹⁵ by falling tree. Accessed: 2023-01-11, https://www.irishtimes.com/news/ireland/irish-news/ ⁹¹⁶ storm-eunice-council-worker-dies-in-wexford-after-being-struck-by-falling-tree-1.4805979.

⁸⁹¹ R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. In Press. Cambridge University Press.

- The Nordic Page Norway, n.d.: "Emil" to hit southern norway. Available at https://www.tnp.no/ norway/panorama/2601-34emil34-is-to-strike-southern-norway/.
- ⁹¹⁹ The telegraph, 2016: UK weather: Woman dies after being winched from the sea as storm Angus battersBritain. Available at https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/11/21/ uk-weather-heavy-rain-causes-flooding-wake-storm-angus/.
- The Wreck Site, 2017: MV Adolph Bermpohl (+1967). Accessed: 2023-03-06, https://wrecksite.
 eu/wreck.aspx?258019.
- Trenberth, K. E., J. T. Fasullo, and T. G. Shepherd, 2015: Attribution of climate extreme events.
 Nature Climate Change, 5 (8), 725–730.
- ⁹²⁶ Uccellini, L. W., 1990: Processes contributing to the rapid development of extratropical cyclones.
 ⁹²⁷ Extratropical Cyclones: The Erik Palmén Memorial Volume, 81–105.
- ⁹²⁸ Vinet, F., D. Lumbroso, S. Defossez, and L. Boissier, 2012: A comparative analysis of the loss of
 ⁹²⁹ life during two recent floods in france: the sea surge caused by the storm xynthia and the flash
 ⁹³⁰ flood in var. *Natural hazards*, **61 (3)**, 1179–1201.
- Wallace, J. M., and P. V. Hobbs, 2006: 10 climate dynamics. Atmospheric Science (Second
- *Edition*), J. M. Wallace, and P. V. Hobbs, Eds., second edition ed., Academic Press, San
 Diego, 419–465, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-732951-2.50015-6, URL
 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780127329512500156.
- Wernli, H., and C. Schwierz, 2006: Surface cyclones in the era-40 dataset (1958–2001). part
 i: Novel identification method and global climatology. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*,
 63 (10), 2486–2507.
- WMO, 2020: Mediterranean episode causes "unprecedented" rainfall. Available at https://public.
 wmo.int/en/media/news/mediterranean-episode-causes-unprecedented-rainfall.
- Worlwide, A., 2010: European Winterstorm Xynthia. URL https://alert.air-worldwide.com/
 extratropical-cyclone/2010/european-winterstorm-xynthia/update-1/.
- Yiou, P., 2014: Anawege: a weather generator based on analogues of atmospheric circulation.
 Geoscientific Model Development, 7 (2), 531–543.

- Zappa, G., L. Shaffrey, K. Hodges, P. Sansom, and D. Stephenson, 2013: A multimodel assessment
 of future projections of north atlantic and european extratropical cyclones in the cmip5 climate
 models. *Journal of Climate*, 26, 5846–5862, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00573.1.
- ⁹⁴⁷ Zhang, Z., and B. A. Colle, 2017: Changes in extratropical cyclone precipitation and associated
- ⁹⁴⁸ processes during the twenty-first century over eastern north america and the western atlantic
- ⁹⁴⁹ using a cyclone-relative approach. *Journal of Climate*, **30** (**21**), 8633–8656, https://doi.org/https:
- //doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0906.1, URL https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/30/
- ⁹⁵¹ 21/jcli-d-16-0906.1.xml.