



**HAL**  
open science

## Different EGF -induced receptor dimer conformations for signaling and internalization

Jordi Haubrich, Jurriaan Zwier, Fabienne Charrier-Savournin, Laurent  
Prézeau, Jean-philippe Pin

► **To cite this version:**

Jordi Haubrich, Jurriaan Zwier, Fabienne Charrier-Savournin, Laurent Prézeau, Jean-philippe Pin.  
Different EGF -induced receptor dimer conformations for signaling and internalization. *FASEB Journal*, 2024, 38 (1), pp.e23356. 10.1096/fj.202301209R . hal-04472295

**HAL Id: hal-04472295**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04472295>**

Submitted on 22 Feb 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# **Different EGF-induced receptor dimer conformations for signaling and internalization**

**Jordi Haubrich<sup>1</sup>, Jurriaan M. Zwier<sup>2</sup>, Fabienne Charrier-Savournin<sup>2</sup>, Laurent Prézeau<sup>1,3</sup>, and  
Jean-Philippe Pin<sup>1,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle, University of Montpellier, CNRS, INSERM, 34094 Montpellier Cedex 5,  
France

<sup>2</sup>Cisbio Bioassays-Revvity, Parc Marcel Boiteux – BP 84175, 30200 Codolet, France

<sup>3</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: laurent.prezeau@igf.cnrs.fr or jean-philippe.pin@igf.cnrs.fr.

Keywords: Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor | activation | TK inhibitors | internalization | conformation

**Abstract**

The structural basis of the activation and internalization of EGF receptors (EGFR) is still a matter of debate despite the importance of this target in cancer treatment. Whether agonists induce dimer formation or act on pre-formed dimers remain discussed. Here we provide direct evidence that EGF-induced EGFR dimer formation as best illustrated by the very large increase in FRET between snap-tagged EGFR subunits induced by agonists. We confirm that Erlotinib-related TK inhibitors also induce dimer formation despite the inactive state of the binding domain. Surprisingly, TK inhibitors do not inhibit EGF-induced EGFR internalization despite their ability to fully block EGFR signaling. Only Erlotinib-related TK inhibitors promoting asymmetric dimers could slow down this process, while the lapatinib-related ones have almost no effect. These results reveal that the conformation of the intracellular TK dimer, rather than the known EGFR signaling is critical for EGFR internalization. These results also illustrate clear differences in the mode of action of TK inhibitors on the EGFR and open novel possibilities to control EGFR signaling for cancer treatment.

## Introduction

Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) are single pass membrane receptors that act by phosphorylating target proteins on tyrosine residues. These receptors are key in controlling cell division and differentiation, and can be critical in tumorigenesis. Among these, the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) is one of the most-studied being expressed in many tissues and playing a vital role in biological processes such as apoptosis, cell growth and differentiation, migration and more (Wee & Wang, 2017). EGFR is actually the target of lots of therapeutic biomolecules, especially for the treatment of cancers (Roskoski, 2019). Unfortunately, EGFR-targeting therapies rapidly induce resistance that requires new treatment to overcome them (Chhourri *et al.*, 2023; Shi *et al.*, 2022).

The EGFR has seven endogenous agonists, that are all small proteins (Harris *et al.*, 2003). Upon binding to the extracellular domain, EGFR activators promote allosteric processes between two assembled EGFRs leading to the association of the intracellular tyrosine kinase (TK) domains, and the activation of one of them. EGFR activity is exclusively occurring when the two TK domains adopt an asymmetric conformation, i.e. there is an enzymatically inactive (Activator) and active (Receiver) domain (Kovacs *et al.*, 2015). The Receiver domain initiates ATP-dependent phosphorylation of tyrosine residues on the intracellular domain of the Activator. Phosphorylation of its carboxy-tail residues leads to downstream signaling events such as activation of ERK1/2 and PI3K/AKT/mTOR (Mendoza *et al.*, 2011a; Mendoza *et al.*, 2011b).

However, the precise mechanism of EGFR activation is not fully understood, and several steps are still highly debated (Maruyama, 2014). Indeed, at least three different mechanisms have been reported for EGFR activation. First, a ligand-induced dimerization has been described (Zhou *et al.*, 1993), as example using single molecule analysis at very low receptor density avoiding preformed EGFR dimer (Chung *et al.*, 2010; Huang *et al.*, 2016). It has also been proposed that at physiological receptor expression levels, preformed dimers are reorganized upon agonist binding, leading to full receptor activation (Chung *et al.*, 2010; Purba *et al.*, 2022; Purba *et al.*, 2017; Zanetti-Domingues *et al.*, 2018). Third, it has recently been reported that monomeric EGFR can be directly activated by EGF (Srinivasan *et al.*, 2022). Elucidation of the mechanism is crucial for the design of new molecules (like allosteric biomolecules) controlling receptor activity, desensitization and internalization. Indeed, as observed for many other receptors, different ligand-stabilized conformation may lead to different properties of the targeted receptor (Trenker & Jura, 2020). Indeed, EGFR ligands with different properties have been identified, and understanding their mode of action may open novel possibilities for drug design (Huang *et al.*, 2021).

In the present study we aimed at better understanding the EGFR structural basis for its kinase activation, its signaling and internalization properties. Using time-resolved (TR) Förster resonance energy transfer (TR-

FRET) technologies, we provide clear evidence that EGFRs are mainly in a monomeric form, and undergo dimerization upon agonist activation. We provide further evidence that the first-generation TK inhibitors and dacomitinib also induce EGFR dimer formation, through a direct association of the TK domains, independently of the conformation of the extracellular domain. Surprisingly, TK inhibitors do not inhibit EGF-induced EGFR internalization, demonstrating EGFR kinase activity is not required for this process. Importantly, TK inhibitors inducing dimers slow down EGF-induced internalization of the EGFR, revealing a link between TK inhibitor-induced EGFR conformation and EGFR trafficking. These data reveal that differential effects induced by TK inhibitors can result from different conformation of the ligand-induced EGFR dimers.

## Results

### Intersubunit FRET induced by agonists and group I TK inhibitors through the TK domain.

Intersubunit FRET was measured after randomly labelling the SNAP-tags with SNAP-Lumi4-Tb (donor) and SNAP-Green (acceptor) (Fig. 1A) (Doumazane *et al.*, 2011) in monoclonal stable cell lines expressing SNAP-EGFR (Supp Fig.1) or SNAP-EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub>. While a very low FRET was measured under basal condition (Fig. 1B, insert), agonists and the group I TK inhibitors, dacomitinib, erlotinib and PD153035 induced a large increase in intersubunit FRET (Fig. 1B, C and Suppl. Fig. 2 and 3A). Since FRET efficacy is related to distance of the FRET-pair, total FRET levels may change depending on the conformational changes and on the number of molecules in FRET. While the Group I TK inhibitor dacomitinib induced an effect similar to that of EGF, the other Group I TKIs erlotinib and PD153035 induced a lower TR-FRET signal than EGF, and similar or lower than the effect of the partial agonist TGF- $\alpha$  (Fig.1B), raising the question whether this is due to a different conformation or to a lower number of induced dimers. In contrast, the other TK inhibitors, GW583340 and lapatinib (group II inhibitors), osimertinib, cetuximab (i.e. an inhibitory antibody) and AG1024 (i.e. an insulin-like growth factor receptor inhibitor) did not induce intersubunit FRET (Fig. 1C and Suppl. Fig. 2 and 3A).

The FRET between two EGFRs induced by the group I TK inhibitor occurs independently of the dimerization of the extracellular domain, as this effect could still be observed with an EGFR mutant with a disrupted dimerization arm (EGFR<sub>del242-249</sub>) (Fig. 1D and Suppl. Fig. S3C). As expected, the agonists had no effect on this mutant. On the contrary, EGF and not erlotinib induced intersubunit FRET on a receptor deleted of its intracellular domain (EGFR<sub>delCter</sub>) (Scholler *et al.*, 2017) (Fig. 1D and Suppl. Fig. S3D). This showed that erlotinib induces intersubunit FRET of the EGFR through the TK domain independently of the dimerization arm.

This proposal was confirmed for the full-length EGFR, when exposure of the dimerization arm was prevented by cetuximab (Fig. 2A). Notably, the TR-FRET signal induced by erlotinib was not affected by cetuximab, while the effect of EGF was fully inhibited (Fig. 2A). As control, we verified that the absence of effect of cetuximab is not due to a specific conformation stabilized by erlotinib that could prevent cetuximab binding. Indeed, cetuximab could still inhibit the binding of the antibody Ab528 labelled with d2 (Ab528-d2) in the presence of erlotinib (Fig. 2B, C). Indeed, Ab528 and cetuximab both compete to EGF but also to each other, because they bind at overlapping sites on the dimerization arm (Makabe *et al.*, 2021). Thus, Ab528 prevents EGF-induced EGFR dimerization as cetuximab does. These data are consistent with the increase in intersubunit FRET being the result of ligand-induced dimerization rather than conformational changes of the extracellular domain.

### **Group I TK inhibitors induce less dimers than agonists**

Whether EGF acts by allowing dimer formation, or through conformational changes within pre-formed dimers is still a matter of debate (Purba *et al.*, 2017). The absence of effect of cetuximab, or of the deletion of the dimerization arm on TK inhibitor-induced intersubunit FRET is more consistent with ligand-induced dimerization. To further study this possibility, we examined why some TK inhibitors induced a lower maximal FRET signal than the full agonist EGF. This can be due either to a different conformation of the extracellular part of the EGFR dimer, which would be consistent with pre-formed dimers, or to a lower proportion of receptors in FRET than with agonist. To clarify this point, we analyzed the excited-state lifetime of the sensitized acceptor emission ( $\tau_{DA}$ ) as an indication of the conformational state of the receptor, as  $\tau_{DA}$  is related to the distance between the fluorophores.

As a control for this approach, we analyzed the SNAP-metabotropic glutamate (mGlu) 4 - CLIP-mGlu2 receptor heterodimer, a prototypical preformed dimer both subunits being linked by a disulphide bond (Rondard *et al.*, 2006). In that case, a change in FRET cannot be due to a different proportion of dimers, and then only rely on a change in distance due to conformational changes. The C termini of the subunits were modified by addition of the endoplasmic reticulum retention sequences of the GABA<sub>B2</sub> (C2) and GABA<sub>B1</sub> (C1) to the respective receptors, so that only heterodimeric receptors were expressed at the cell surface (Suppl. Fig. S4A) (Kniazeff *et al.*, 2004). We labelled each mGlu2-4 heterodimer subunit with SNAP-Lumi4-Tb (donor) and CLIP-Green (acceptor), and measured the FRET signal.

In principle, the excited-state lifetime of the FRET donor emission ( $\tau_D$ ) is in the millisecond range, and decreases when the donor's excited state is subject to other deactivation pathways such as FRET. As the  $\tau_{DA}$  is proportional to the distance between a FRET donor and acceptor, distinct FRET donor-acceptor distances can be

determined. The  $\tau_{DA}$  is measured in a time-resolved manner to discriminate between non-specific and specific signal (Heyduk & Heyduk, 2001).

In the basal mGlu2-4 condition,  $\tau_{DA}$  was  $343 \pm 25 \mu\text{s}$ , corresponding to a high FRET conformation. The  $\tau_{DA}$  was largely increased to  $564 \pm 22 \mu\text{s}$  in the presence of the mGlu2 agonist LY379268, indicating a low FRET conformation (Fig. 3A and B) (Doumazane *et al.*, 2013; Scholler *et al.*, 2017). These data confirm that a conformational change within constitutive dimers can be detected by measuring the  $\tau_{DA}$  values.

For the SNAP-EGFR, the  $\tau_{DA}$  measured in the presence of agonists or group I TK inhibitors were not significantly different (Fig. 3C and D, Suppl. Table S3), despite differences in TR-FRET intensity (Suppl. Fig. 4B). This suggests that the larger TR-FRET level measured with agonists is due to a higher proportion of receptors in FRET, rather than to a distinct donor-acceptor distance. Such data are then consistent with TK inhibitors stabilizing less EGFR dimers than agonists.

### **Inhibition of EGF-induced phosphorylation of EGFR and ERK1/2 by TK inhibitors**

We then evaluated the efficacy of the inhibitors for EGF-induced phosphorylation of either EGFR or ERK1/2. Phosphorylation of tyrosine residue 1068 of the EGFR (Y1068) and of threonine residue 202 and tyrosine residue 204 of ERK1/2, as detected by the HTRF® signals in an antibody-based sandwich assay (Fig. 4A and Suppl. Fig. 5), are inhibited efficiently by group I, group II TK inhibitors and cetuximab and less efficaciously by group III TK inhibitor osimertinib. Conversely, irrelevant TK inhibitor AG1024 did not inhibit phosphorylation of the EGFR or ERK1/2 (Fig. 4B, C and Suppl. Fig. 5B, D).

### **TK inhibitors stabilizing dimers slow down EGF-induced EGFR internalization**

Then, we setup an internalization assay for the EGFR based on diffusion-enhanced resonance energy transfer (DERET) (Levoye *et al.*, 2015). In principle, SNAP-EGFR is labelled with Lumi4-Tb and an excess of fluorescein is added to each well, thereby generating DERET and quenching the Lumi4-Tb emission. Upon internalization of the EGFR, the Lumi4-Tb signal is recovered (Fig. 4D) allowing the detection of EGFR internalization in living cells over time.

We observed a basal internalization in the absence of agonist, but EGF largely increases EGFR internalization, that reaches a plateau after 39 min, followed by a decline, suggesting receptor recycling to the cell surface. Cetuximab fully inhibited EGF-induced internalization but not the basal internalization (Suppl. Fig. 7).

Surprisingly, TK inhibitors did not inhibit EGFR internalization (Fig. 4F and Suppl. Fig. 7) as group I TK inhibitors only slowed down EGF-induced EGFR internalization (Fig. 4E), whereas others had no effect (Suppl. Fig. 7).

Despite not inhibiting internalization, it is clear that group I TK inhibitors were more efficacious in slowing down EGFR internalization than the group II and III TK inhibitors and irrelevant TK inhibitor AG1024 (Fig. 4F and Suppl. Fig. 7). We compared internalization of EGFR with fluorescence microscopy, showing comparable results (Suppl. Fig. 6).

The combined data of the 4 assays revealed a different pharmacological profile of each group of compounds for the wild-type EGFR as represented in Figure 5 (Suppl. Fig. S8). Moreover, bias plots for the agonists demonstrated that EGF and TGF- $\alpha$  are more potently inducing internalization and phosphorylation of ERK1/2 (Suppl. Fig. S9). Among the TK inhibitors, only PD153035 more potently inhibited phosphorylation of Y1068 than ERK1/2 (Suppl. Fig. S10).

#### **NSCLC-mutated EGFR is insensitive to TK inhibitor-induced dimerization**

EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> has an increased activity within the asymmetric dimer (Ruan & Kannan, 2018) and it was suggested that the presence of EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> could increase dimer formation (Zanetti-Domingues *et al.*, 2018). To investigate if EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> has an increased propensity to induce dimer formation, we developed an intersubunit FRET assay specifically detecting heterodimers of *wild-type* EGFR and EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> or homodimers of EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> (Fig. 6A). For both the heterodimer and the homodimers, EGF induced dimer formation with a similar potency as for *wild-type* EGFR (Fig. 6B).

As the EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> is resistant to erlotinib-like TK inhibitors due to the T790M substitution in the ATP binding pocket (Red Brewer *et al.*, 2013), we were curious whether erlotinib could induce dimer formation in presence of this mutant. Interestingly, erlotinib could not induce formation of dimers containing EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub>, either with both subunits mutated, or suggesting that both subunits of an asymmetric TK domain need to be bound by TK inhibitors to induce TK domain dimer formation (Fig. 6C and Suppl. Fig. S11).



## Discussion

Although of clinical importance, several aspects of EGFR activation and the mode of action of various TK inhibitors remain unclear. This study brings clear evidence for the agonist-induced dimer formation model of EGFR activation. It also reveals that formation of dimers can be induced by some TK inhibitors through interaction of the TK domains, resulting from a specific conformation of the TK dimer. Eventually, our data show that EGF-induced internalization is mainly driven by a specific conformation of the EGFR dimer, and not by receptor activity, allowing some, but not all TK inhibitors to slow down this process.

Despite years of research on EGFR two models of receptor activation were still discussed (Purba *et al.*, 2017). One model proposes that agonists like EGF act by promoting dimer formation (Chung *et al.*, 2010), while the other proposes that agonists stabilize a specific conformation of a pre-formed EGFR dimer (Maruyama, 2014). Our data strongly support the first model. Indeed, through FRET measurements between N-terminal tags, with cell surface receptors labelled exclusively, we detected a very low FRET signal under basal condition in a cell line stably expressing SNAP-EGFR (Suppl. Fig. S1). This signal is largely increased (9-fold) in the presence of agonist (Fig. 1B). The low FRET under basal condition is unlikely due to pre-formed dimers with a conformation leading to a large distance between the N-termini carrying the SNAP-tags, for two main reasons. First, although a very low FRET was measured under the basal condition, the estimated  $\tau_{DA}$  is only twice of that measured with the active dimer (Fig. 3C), consistent with the low FRET resulting mainly from a very low number of dimers. Such finding is also consistent with the distances between the N-termini of the proposed inactive and active dimers (Zanetti-Domingues *et al.*, 2018). Second, when dimers were stabilized through their TK domains using some TK inhibitors, the extracellular domains do not appear to interact via the dimerization arm, and are then likely in an inactive conformation possibly similar to that proposed by others for the pre-formed dimers (Zanetti-Domingues *et al.*, 2018). If so, such pre-formed dimers should generate a FRET signal with a  $\tau_{DA}$  similar to that obtained with the EGF bound EGFR dimers. Accordingly, our data are consistent with a very small proportion of the EGFR subunits at the cell surface involved in FRET, either because of a low proportion of pre-formed dimers, or because of random collisions of EGFR monomers (by-stander FRET).

Consistent with previous studies (Bublil *et al.*, 2010; Coban *et al.*, 2015; Gan *et al.*, 2007), some TK inhibitors can also induce dimer formation. Because the dimerization arm is not necessary, it suggests a main role of the TK domain interaction in this process. It is interesting to note that TK inhibitors inducing dimer formation can bind either to the Activator-like or the Receiver like TK domain (Park *et al.*, 2012) (Fig 7), thereby allowing the formation of asymmetric TK domain dimers, as expected in the active form of the EGFR dimer (Ferguson,

2008). The proportion of the Activator and Receiver forms may then dictate the number of possible dimers – i.e. the higher the proportion of one species (i.e. Activator or Receiver), the lower the number of possible Activator-Receiver dimers. This explains why TK inhibitors are not being able to promote the formation of the same amounts of dimers as EGF (Suppl. Fig. S4). In contrast, TK inhibitors stabilizing a specific conformation of the TK domain would not favor dimer formation according to this model, in agreement with what observed here. This reveals the critical importance of the effect of TK inhibitors on the TK domain conformation on their capacity to stabilize EGFR dimers.

As already reported (Bjorkelund *et al.*, 2013), EGFR can rapidly engage into internalization upon agonist activation, though the number of internalized receptors rapidly declined after a peak, possibly due to receptor recycling to the cell surface. Such a process has been known to limit EGFR signaling and can involve clathrin coated pits (Goh *et al.*, 2010; Gong *et al.*, 2015; Tomas *et al.*, 2014; Vieira *et al.*, 1996). Internalized EGFR have also been shown to still generate specific signals before most of them are being degraded (Goh *et al.*, 2010; Tomas *et al.*, 2014). This agonist-induced EGFR internalization has soon been assumed to result from EGFR activity (Tomas *et al.*, 2014; Wiley *et al.*, 1991). Indeed, deletion of the TK domain, or the M721 mutation that fully inhibits TK activity prevent agonist-induced EGFR internalization (Tomas *et al.*, 2014; Wiley *et al.*, 1991). Surprisingly, we show here that this process is not prevented by any of the TK inhibitors tested despite their full inhibitory effect on agonist-induced receptor phosphorylation and ERK activation. As such, the internalization process may likely be the result of a specific conformation of the dimer. Such a hypothesis is still compatible with the absence of internalization of a TK domain deleted receptor. As for the M721 mutation that also prevents internalization, it remains possible that this mutation also affects the general conformation of the TK dimer within the activated receptor, but this remains to be clarified. Interestingly, the differential effect of TK inhibitors - those not promoting dimers having no effect on the internalization process while those promoting dimers slow down this process - highlights an essential role of the TK dimer conformation. However, it is surprising to see that TK inhibitors promoting EGFR dimer formation, do not promote internalization.

To explain these apparently contradictory results, one should consider the possible conformation of the intracellular part of the receptor. Indeed, TK inhibitors that stabilize the Activator state of the TK domain, such as lapatinib, do not promote dimer formation, and do not affect EGF-induced internalization (Fig 7). This suggests that a symmetric EGFR dimer in which both TK domains are in the inactive Activator state, are perfectly prone to internalization after direct association of the extracellular domains. In contrast, TK inhibitors like erlotinib that can stabilize either the Activator or the Receiver state (Fig 7), promote dimer formation likely through a stable

asymmetric TK domain dimer composed of an Activator and Receiver TK domain. They do not induce receptor internalization, while they only slow down EGF-induced internalization. As such, one is tempted to propose that the asymmetric Activator-Receiver dimer is not prone to internalization. Such hypothesis explains our results, but would certainly need further support to be fully validated.

Previously, agonist-induced conformational models of the EGFR (Freed *et al.*, 2017; Hajdu *et al.*, 2020) and the role of agonist binding kinetics in ligand bias have been described (Kiyatkin *et al.*, 2020; Wilson *et al.*, 2009). For TK inhibitors, such studies are less well known, whereas it could improve understanding of their mode of action. Generation of pharmacological profiles and bias plots has recently been proposed as mode to improve EGFR drug design (Karl *et al.*, 2020). Techniques for determining pharmacological profiles or ligand bias are more common in the research field of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and contributing to GPCRs being the most targeted family of receptors by drugs on the market (Hauser *et al.*, 2017; Kenakin, 2011). In this study, such techniques have been adapted for an analysis of the EGFR signaling.

Efforts to expose functional selectivity induced by EGFR ligands indicated that agonists have different signaling kinetics by stabilizing different conformations of the extracellular domain (Freed *et al.*, 2017). Bias plots for agonists EGF and TGF- $\alpha$  reveal that they are more potent for phosphorylation of ERK and internalization than dimerization and phosphorylation of Y1068 (Suppl. Fig. S9). Moreover, we found that most TK inhibitors inhibit phosphorylation of Y1068 and ERK with similar potency, except for PD153035, which more potently inhibits phosphorylation of Y1068 (Suppl. Fig. S10C).

Some TK inhibitors may impact EGF binding through allosteric modulation of the EGFR conformation (Hajdu *et al.*, 2020; Macdonald-Obermann & Pike, 2018). We show that TK inhibitors stabilize distinct dimers, resulting in altered EGFR trafficking. Previous reports linked dimerization to improved cellular survival (Coban *et al.*, 2015) and decreased efficacy for some TK inhibitors (Oashi *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, disruption of dimerization could be an antitumoral mechanism as well (Cho *et al.*, 2013), confirming its significance. The role of internalization in tumor survival is not fully clear, nonetheless it has been suggested that *wild-type* NSCLC patients (i.e. no resistance mutations) could benefit from blocking clathrin-mediated endocytosis of EGFR (Jo *et al.*, 2014; Kim *et al.*, 2021). This implies that ligands that reduce EGFR internalization (i.e. dacomitinib, erlotinib, PD153035) could induce positive outcomes for *wild-type* EGFR in NSCLC patients.

To our best knowledge there are no reports of TK inhibitors inducing dimerization of the EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> or heterodimers of *wild-type* EGFR and EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub>. In our model we do not observe pre-formed homo- and heterodimers containing EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub>, as the dimers are mainly observed upon EGF or TGF- $\alpha$  activation. The

potencies for dimerization are not significantly different from *wild-type* EGFR (Suppl. Table S2). None of the tested TK inhibitors induces dimerization, suggesting there is a direct or indirect loss of potency for the heterodimer due to altered binding (45, 46) or increased affinity for ATP (Yun *et al.*, 2008). In tumor cells of NSCLC patients, different populations of EGFR heterodimers could exist, among them *wild-type* EGFR-EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> (Red Brewer *et al.*, 2013) and EGFR-ErbB2 heterodimers (Zhao & Xia, 2020). Investigations on the effect of drugs on these heterodimeric receptors, could help improving treatment strategy as they may function as additional drug targets. Another approach could be the use of allosteric modulators to decrease off-target effects (Jia *et al.*, 2016). The use of allosteric compounds like EAI045 for treating NSCLC has recently been reviewed (Maity *et al.*, 2020).

Overall, this study reveals the importance of the conformational state of the TK domains within the EGFR dimer in signaling and trafficking. As TK inhibitors have various effect on such conformations, this may explain their biased effects on dimerization and internalization, properties that likely have some importance in the cellular physiology of EGFRs. Eventually, promoting EGFR internalization in the presence of TKI can be a novel approach to limit EGFR signaling for treatment.

## Materials and methods

Reagents and the protocols for cell culture, cell preparation for experiments, generation of SNAP-EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> and CLIP-EGFR plasmids, lipofectamine transfection for experiments, generation of HEK293 cells stably expressing SNAP-EGFR and SNAP-EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub>, intersubunit FRET, binding and displacement of Ab528-d2, ERK1/2 phosphorylation, phosphorylation of tyrosine residue 1068 of the EGFR (Y1068), internalization, fluorescence microscopy and data analysis can be found in the supplementary information.

Monoclonal stable cell lines stably expressing SNAP-EGFR or SNAP-EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> were generated by lipofectamine transfection. Positive cells were selected by G418 and single cells were sorted with fluorescence-activated cell sorting. An estimated 256,000 individual SNAP-EGFRs (i.e. 4-fold lower than A341 squamous carcinoma) are present on the cell surface and expression levels were stable over time (Suppl. Fig. S1).

### Excited state-lifetime of sensitized acceptor emission to determine receptor conformations

At 24 hours after lipofectamine transfection (for SNAP-mGlu4-C2-KKXX and CLIP-mGlu2-C1-KKXX constructs) or transfer of cells stably expressing SNAP-EGFR into a 96-wells plate, medium was replaced by ice-cold DMEM containing SNAP-Lumi4-Tb (100 nM) and SNAP-Green (125 nM) for SNAP-labelling and CLIP-Lumi4-Tb (1  $\mu$ M) and CLIP-Green (1  $\mu$ M) for CLIP-labelling. Cells were incubated for 90 minutes at 4 °C and carefully washed four times with ice-cold Tag-Lite buffer. LY379268 (100  $\mu$ M), EGF (100 nM), TGF- $\alpha$  (100 nM), dacomitinib (10  $\mu$ M), erlotinib (10  $\mu$ M), PD153035 (10  $\mu$ M), AG1478 (10  $\mu$ M), GW583340 (5  $\mu$ M), lapatinib (10  $\mu$ M), osimertinib (10  $\mu$ M), cetuximab (10 nM) or vehicle was incubated in ice-cold Tag-Lite buffer for 30 minutes at 4 °C. Luminescence decay at 520 nm was measured after 150 flashes/well with the UV-pulsed nitrogen laser (337 nm) of the PHERAstar FS microplate reader. Decay was measured from 50 to 5000  $\mu$ s and was fitted using the biexponential decay function in GraphPad Prism software (version 9.0.1.), which is the preferred model in an extra sum-of-squares F-test compared to a mono-exponential decay function. The excited-state lifetime of the sensitized acceptor emission ( $\tau_{DA}$ ) was calculated with a least-squares fit. The apparent amplitude of slow ( $A_s$ ) and fast ( $A_f$ ) components of the biexponential decay may vary due to adaptation to multiple conformations or interactions with the antenna, increasing the complexity of its decay (Selvin, 2002). Typically, the apparent  $A_f$  was larger than  $A_s$  whereas this value is likely overestimated and should be corrected (Heyduk & Heyduk, 2001). The true fraction of the slow decay species ( $\alpha_{DA}$ ) is based on the resonance energy transfer rate constant, as described by Heyduk *et al.* (Heyduk & Heyduk, 2001). After applying this correction,  $\alpha_{DA}$  is in the range of 0.75-0.88 for all conditions (Suppl. Table S1).

### **ERK 1/2 activation and EGFR-P-Y1068 HTRF<sup>®</sup> assays**

Measurement of ERK1/2 activation was performed using the Cellul'Erk immunoassay kit from REVVITY according to the manufacturer recommendations (REVVITY, Codolet, France). The assay is based on the use of a combination of a cryptate(donor)-labeled anti-ERK monoclonal antibody and a d2(acceptor)-labeled anti-phospho-ERK monoclonal antibody. After stimulating the cells with the indicated ligands, cells were lysed and the lysates were transferred to 384 well plates, where both antibodies were added. The plates were read for TR-FRET signal 2 hours later. When both antibodies are bound to the receptor c-terminal domain, the UV excitation of the donor will generate a d2 signal. Similarly, pY1068-EGFR assays is based on the use two antibodies recognizing either all states of the C-term domain of EGFR or the C-terminal domain containing a phosphorylated form of Y1068 (REVVITY, Codolet, France). Readings were performed on a PheraStar FS reader (BMG, Champigny-sur-Marne, France).

### **Internalization assay**

Briefly, the SNAP-tagged EGF receptor transfected cells were seeded in 96 well plates, and 24 hours later, they were washed with cold Krebs buffer and incubated on ice with BG-Lumi4 Tb at a concentration of 100 nM during a one hour period. The cells were washed and incubated with indicated drugs and diluted in fluorescein buffer (24  $\mu$ M) at 37°C during readings, which were performed on a PheraStar FS reader (BMG, Champigny-sur-Marne, France).

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** We thank the ARPEGE platform facilities at the Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle for all fluorescence-based assays and the MRI platform facilities at the Institut de Génétique Humaine for FACS and microscopy assays. We thank dr. Robert Quast for discussions. J.-P.P. was supported by la Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (ref. DEQ20170336747), Cisbio Bioassays (EIDOS collaborative team IGF-CISBIO, ref. 039293), the Fond Unique Interministériel of the French government (FUI, Cell2Lead project), the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR 18-CE11-0004-01) and LabEx MAbImprove (ref. NR-10-LABX-5301). J.-P.P. and L.P. were further supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale. J.H. was supported by a fellowship from la Région Occitanie and Cisbio Bioassays (TransACT, ref. 156544) and la Ligue contre le cancer (PhD grant, ref. IP/SC-16487).

### **Data Availability Statement**

The data were obtained using molecular and cell signaling assays, mostly based on TR-FRET measurements, and formatted into tables and graphs, and few microscope-generated images. All raw data and analyzed tables, graphs and images have been downloaded in the repository site of the “Université de Montpellier” and made available at the following URL: <https://doi.org/10.57745/HASFBQ>.

### **Disclosure and competing interest statement**

JPP is leading the common laboratory Eidos between CNRS and CisBio bioassay a Revvity group. JMZ and FCS are employers of CisBio bioassay/Revvity selling biotechnology tools to study receptor signaling, and especially those being used in this study. JH PhD fellowship was co-supported by the Region Occitanie and CisBio bioassay/Revvity.

### **Author contributions**

J.H., L.P. and J.-P.P. designed research and wrote the paper; F.C.-S. and J.M.Z. designed research; J.H. performed research and analyzed data.





## References

- Bjorkelund H, Gedda L, Malmqvist M, Andersson K (2013) Resolving the EGF-EGFR interaction characteristics through a multiple-temperature, multiple-inhibitor, real-time interaction analysis approach. *Mol Clin Oncol* 1: 343-352
- Bublil EM, Pines G, Patel G, Fruhwirth G, Ng T, Yarden Y (2010) Kinase-mediated quasi-dimers of EGFR. *FASEB J* 24: 4744-4755
- Chhouri H, Alexandre D, Grumolato L (2023) Mechanisms of Acquired Resistance and Tolerance to EGFR Targeted Therapy in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 15
- Cho J, Chen L, Sangji N, Okabe T, Yonesaka K, Francis JM, Flavin RJ, Johnson W, Kwon J, Yu S *et al* (2013) Cetuximab response of lung cancer-derived EGF receptor mutants is associated with asymmetric dimerization. *Cancer Res* 73: 6770-6779
- Chung I, Akita R, Vandlen R, Toomre D, Schlessinger J, Mellman I (2010) Spatial control of EGF receptor activation by reversible dimerization on living cells. *Nature* 464: 783-787
- Coban O, Zanetti-Dominguez LC, Matthews DR, Rolfe DJ, Weitsman G, Barber PR, Barbeau J, Devauges V, Kampmeier F, Winn M *et al* (2015) Effect of phosphorylation on EGFR dimer stability probed by single-molecule dynamics and FRET/FLIM. *Biophys J* 108: 1013-1026
- Doumazane E, Scholler P, Fabre L, Zwier JM, Trinquet E, Pin JP, Rondard P (2013) Illuminating the activation mechanisms and allosteric properties of metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110: E1416-1425
- Doumazane E, Scholler P, Zwier JM, Trinquet E, Rondard P, Pin JP (2011) A new approach to analyze cell surface protein complexes reveals specific heterodimeric metabotropic glutamate receptors. *FASEB J* 25: 66-77
- Ferguson KM (2008) Structure-based view of epidermal growth factor receptor regulation. *Annu Rev Biophys* 37: 353-373
- Freed DM, Bessman NJ, Kiyatkin A, Salazar-Cavazos E, Byrne PO, Moore JO, Valley CC, Ferguson KM, Leahy DJ, Lidke DS *et al* (2017) EGFR Ligands Differentially Stabilize Receptor Dimers to Specify Signaling Kinetics. *Cell* 171: 683-695 e618
- Gan HK, Walker F, Burgess AW, Rigopoulos A, Scott AM, Johns TG (2007) The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG1478 increases the formation of inactive untethered EGFR dimers. Implications for combination therapy with monoclonal antibody 806. *J Biol Chem* 282: 2840-2850
- Goh LK, Huang F, Kim W, Gygi S, Sorkin A (2010) Multiple mechanisms collectively regulate clathrin-mediated endocytosis of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *J Cell Biol* 189: 871-883
- Gong C, Zhang J, Zhang L, Wang Y, Ma H, Wu W, Cui J, Wang Y, Ren Z (2015) Dynamin2 downregulation delays EGFR endocytic trafficking and promotes EGFR signaling and invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am J Cancer Res* 5: 702-713
- Hajdu T, Varadi T, Rebenku I, Kovacs T, Szollosi J, Nagy P (2020) Comprehensive Model for Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Ligand Binding Involving Conformational States of the Extracellular and the Kinase Domains. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 8: 776
- Harris RC, Chung E, Coffey RJ (2003) EGF receptor ligands. *Exp Cell Res* 284: 2-13
- Hauser AS, Attwood MM, Rask-Andersen M, Schioth HB, Gloriam DE (2017) Trends in GPCR drug discovery: new agents, targets and indications. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 16: 829-842
- Heyduk T, Heyduk E (2001) Luminescence energy transfer with lanthanide chelates: interpretation of sensitized acceptor decay amplitudes. *Anal Biochem* 289: 60-67


- Huang Y, Bharill S, Karandur D, Peterson SM, Marita M, Shi X, Kaliszewski MJ, Smith AW, Isacoff EY, Kuriyan J (2016) Molecular basis for multimerization in the activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Elife* 5
- Huang Y, Ognjenovic J, Karandur D, Miller K, Merk A, Subramaniam S, Kuriyan J (2021) A molecular mechanism for the generation of ligand-dependent differential outputs by the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Elife* 10
- Jia Y, Yun CH, Park E, Ercan D, Manuia M, Juarez J, Xu C, Rhee K, Chen T, Zhang H *et al* (2016) Overcoming EGFR(T790M) and EGFR(C797S) resistance with mutant-selective allosteric inhibitors. *Nature* 534: 129-132
- Jo U, Park KH, Whang YM, Sung JS, Won NH, Park JK, Kim YH (2014) EGFR endocytosis is a novel therapeutic target in lung cancer with wild-type EGFR. *Oncotarget* 5: 1265-1278
- Karl K, Paul MD, Pasquale EB, Hristova K (2020) Ligand bias in receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. *J Biol Chem* 295: 18494-18507
- Kenakin T (2011) Functional selectivity and biased receptor signaling. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 336: 296-302
- Kim B, Park YS, Sung JS, Lee JW, Lee SB, Kim YH (2021) Clathrin-mediated EGFR endocytosis as a potential therapeutic strategy for overcoming primary resistance of EGFR TKI in wild-type EGFR non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Med* 10: 372-385
- Kiyatkin A, van Alderwerelt van Rosenburgh IK, Klein DE, Lemmon MA (2020) Kinetics of receptor tyrosine kinase activation define ERK signaling dynamics. *Sci Signal* 13
- Kniazeff J, Bessis AS, Maurel D, Ansanay H, Prezeau L, Pin JP (2004) Closed state of both binding domains of homodimeric mGlu receptors is required for full activity. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 11: 706-713
- Kovacs E, Das R, Wang Q, Collier TS, Cantor A, Huang Y, Wong K, Mirza A, Barros T, Grob P *et al* (2015) Analysis of the Role of the C-Terminal Tail in the Regulation of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor. *Mol Cell Biol* 35: 3083-3102
- Levoye A, Zwier JM, Jaracz-Ros A, Klipfel L, Cottet M, Maurel D, Bdioui S, Balabanian K, Prezeau L, Trinquet E *et al* (2015) A Broad G Protein-Coupled Receptor Internalization Assay that Combines SNAP-Tag Labeling, Diffusion-Enhanced Resonance Energy Transfer, and a Highly Emissive Terbium Cryptate. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 6: 167
- Macdonald-Obermann JL, Pike LJ (2018) Allosteric regulation of epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor ligand binding by tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *J Biol Chem* 293: 13401-13414
- Maity S, Pai KSR, Nayak Y (2020) Advances in targeting EGFR allosteric site as anti-NSCLC therapy to overcome the drug resistance. *Pharmacol Rep* 72: 799-813
- Makabe K, Yokoyama T, Uehara S, Uchikubo-Kamo T, Shirouzu M, Kimura K, Tsumoto K, Asano R, Tanaka Y, Kumagai I (2021) Anti-EGFR antibody 528 binds to domain III of EGFR at a site shifted from the cetuximab epitope. *Sci Rep* 11: 5790
- Maruyama IN (2014) Mechanisms of activation of receptor tyrosine kinases: monomers or dimers. *Cells* 3: 304-330
- Mendoza MC, Er EE, Blenis J (2011a) The Ras-ERK and PI3K-mTOR pathways: cross-talk and compensation. *Trends Biochem Sci* 36: 320-328
- Mendoza MC, Er EE, Zhang W, Ballif BA, Elliott HL, Danuser G, Blenis J (2011b) ERK-MAPK drives lamellipodia protrusion by activating the WAVE2 regulatory complex. *Mol Cell* 41: 661-671
- Oashi A, Yasuda H, Kobayashi K, Tani T, Hamamoto J, Masuzawa K, Manabe T, Terai H, Ikemura S, Kawada I *et al* (2019) Monomer Preference of EGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors Influences the Synergistic Efficacy of Combination Therapy with Cetuximab. *Mol Cancer Ther* 18: 1593-1601

- Park JH, Liu Y, Lemmon MA, Radhakrishnan R (2012) Erlotinib binds both inactive and active conformations of the EGFR tyrosine kinase domain. *Biochem J* 448: 417-423
- Purba ER, Saita EI, Akhouri RR, Ofverstedt LG, Wilken G, Skoglund U, Maruyama IN (2022) Allosteric activation of preformed EGF receptor dimers by a single ligand binding event. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 13: 1042787
- Purba ER, Saita EI, Maruyama IN (2017) Activation of the EGF Receptor by Ligand Binding and Oncogenic Mutations: The "Rotation Model". *Cells* 6
- Red Brewer M, Yun CH, Lai D, Lemmon MA, Eck MJ, Pao W (2013) Mechanism for activation of mutated epidermal growth factor receptors in lung cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110: E3595-3604
- Rondard P, Liu J, Huang S, Malhaire F, Vol C, Pinault A, Labesse G, Pin JP (2006) Coupling of agonist binding to effector domain activation in metabotropic glutamate-like receptors. *J Biol Chem* 281: 24653-24661
- Roskoski R, Jr. (2019) Small molecule inhibitors targeting the EGFR/ErbB family of protein-tyrosine kinases in human cancers. *Pharmacol Res* 139: 395-411
- Ruan Z, Kannan N (2018) Altered conformational landscape and dimerization dependency underpins the activation of EGFR by alphaC-beta4 loop insertion mutations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: E8162-E8171
- Scholler P, Moreno-Delgado D, Lecat-Guillet N, Doumazane E, Monnier C, Charrier-Savournin F, Fabre L, Chouvet C, Soldevila S, Lamarque L *et al* (2017) HTS-compatible FRET-based conformational sensors clarify membrane receptor activation. *Nat Chem Biol* 13: 372-380
- Selvin PR (2002) Principles and biophysical applications of lanthanide-based probes. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* 31: 275-302
- Shi K, Wang G, Pei J, Zhang J, Wang J, Ouyang L, Wang Y, Li W (2022) Emerging strategies to overcome resistance to third-generation EGFR inhibitors. *J Hematol Oncol* 15: 94
- Srinivasan S, Regmi R, Lin X, Dreyer CA, Chen X, Quinn SD, He W, Coleman MA, Carraway KL, 3rd, Zhang B *et al* (2022) Ligand-induced transmembrane conformational coupling in monomeric EGFR. *Nat Commun* 13: 3709
- Tomas A, Futter CE, Eden ER (2014) EGF receptor trafficking: consequences for signaling and cancer. *Trends Cell Biol* 24: 26-34
- Trenker R, Jura N (2020) Receptor tyrosine kinase activation: From the ligand perspective. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 63: 174-185
- Vieira AV, Lamaze C, Schmid SL (1996) Control of EGF receptor signaling by clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Science* 274: 2086-2089
- Wee P, Wang Z (2017) Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Cell Proliferation Signaling Pathways. *Cancers (Basel)* 9
- Wiley HS, Herbst JJ, Walsh BJ, Lauffenburger DA, Rosenfeld MG, Gill GN (1991) The role of tyrosine kinase activity in endocytosis, compartmentation, and down-regulation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *J Biol Chem* 266: 11083-11094
- Wilson KJ, Gilmore JL, Foley J, Lemmon MA, Riese DJ, 2nd (2009) Functional selectivity of EGF family peptide growth factors: implications for cancer. *Pharmacol Ther* 122: 1-8
- Yun CH, Mengwasser KE, Toms AV, Woo MS, Greulich H, Wong KK, Meyerson M, Eck MJ (2008) The T790M mutation in EGFR kinase causes drug resistance by increasing the affinity for ATP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105: 2070-2075
- Zanetti-Domingues LC, Korovesis D, Needham SR, Tynan CJ, Sagawa S, Roberts SK, Kuzmanic A, Ortiz-Zapater E, Jain P, Roovers RC *et al* (2018) The architecture of EGFR's basal complexes reveals autoinhibition mechanisms in dimers and oligomers. *Nat Commun* 9: 4325


Zhao J, Xia Y (2020) Targeting HER2 Alterations in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Comprehensive Review. *JCO Precis Oncol* 4: 411-425

Zhou M, Felder S, Rubinstein M, Hurwitz DR, Ullrich A, Lax I, Schlessinger J (1993) Real-time measurements of kinetics of EGF binding to soluble EGF receptor monomers and dimers support the dimerization model for receptor activation. *Biochemistry* 32: 8193-8198


# Figures




**Fig. 1.** Intersubunit FRET is induced by group I TK inhibitors through the TK domain. (A) Cartoon representing a TR-FRET-based EGFR intersubunit FRET assay. EGFR subunits were randomly labelled with 125 nM acceptor and 100 nM donor. (B) Intersubunit FRET of EGFR in presence of EGF or TGF- $\alpha$ . Representative raw FRET values and fold increase of specific FRET are shown in a subgraph. Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired t test for the maximal effect ( $10^{-6}$  M), and a Brown-Forsythe test followed by a one-way ANOVA for the inserted subgraph. (C) EGFR intersubunit FRET induced by saturating concentration of TK inhibitors, EGF (100 nM) or TGF- $\alpha$  (1  $\mu$ M). Statistical significance was analyzed with a Brown-Forsythe test followed by a one-way ANOVA. (D) EGFR intersubunit FRET of *wild-type* EGFR or EGFR mutants induced by 100 nM EGF or 100  $\mu$ M erlotinib. Data in B-D are mean  $\pm$  SEM of three or more individual experiments. Statistical significance was analyzed with an unpaired t test: NS,  $p > 0.05$ ; \*,  $p \leq 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $p \leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\*,  $p \leq 0.001$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $p \leq 0.0001$ .



**Fig. 2.** Erlotinib-induced dimers are not inhibited by cetuximab. (A) EGFR intersubunit FRET in presence of cetuximab with vehicle (gray), EGF (blue), or erlotinib (red) and a schematic interpretation of the data. (B) Binding of Ab528-d2 to SNAP-EGFR that is labelled with 125 nM donor and pre-incubated with saturating concentrations of erlotinib (red) or EGF (blue) or vehicle (gray). Statistical significance was analyzed with a multicomparison test performed with Brown-Forsythe test followed by a one-way ANOVA. (C) Binding of Ab528-d2 in presence of cetuximab with vehicle (black squares), erlotinib (red circles) or EGF (blue triangles). Data in A-C are mean  $\pm$  SEM of three or more individual experiments. In C, Statistical analysis of the Ab528 15 nM binding in the three condition is illustrated by ns: non-specific; \*,  $p \leq 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $p \leq 0.01$ .




**Fig. 3.** Sensitized emission reveals that higher FRET levels correspond to more receptors in FRET rather than closer proximity of FRET-pair. (A) Intersubunit FRET between an mGlu2 (orange) and mGlu4 (green) constitutive dimer labelled with acceptor and donor. (B) Representative data of excited-state sensitized acceptor emission of mGlu2-4 intersubunit FRET assay in presence of vehicle (black) and 100 μM LY379268 (red). (C) Representative data of excited-state sensitized acceptor emission of EGFR intersubunit FRET assay in presence of 100 nM EGF (blue), 10 μM erlotinib (red), 10 μM lapatinib (orange), 100 nM cetuximab (brown) and vehicle (gray). (D) Summary of excited-state sensitized acceptor emission of EGFR intersubunit FRET assay. G.I, II & III stand for Group I, group II and group III of the TK inhibitors. Data in D are individual values ± SD of at least 23 datapoints obtained in at least three individual experiments. Statistical significance was analyzed with a Brown-Forsythe test followed by a one-way ANOVA. No significant difference was observed between EGF or TGF-α and any of the Group I inhibitors (NS).




**Fig. 4.** Phosphorylation of EGFR and ERK1/2, and internalization of EGFR. (A) Scheme of antibody-based sandwich assays to measure EGFR and ERK1/2 phosphorylation. (B) Inhibition of EGF-induced (10 nM) EGFR phosphorylation by 1  $\mu$ M of dacomitinib, erlotinib, PD153035, lapatinib, osimertinib and AG1024, 0.3  $\mu$ M GW583340 or 100 nm cetuximab. Inhibitors were pre-incubated for 30 minutes and phosphorylation was measured 30 minutes after stimulation with EGF. Inhibitory effect induced by addition of the inhibitors on the EGF action were analyzed using a Brown-Forsythe test followed by a one-way ANOVA. (C) Inhibition of EGF-induced (10 nM) ERK1/2 phosphorylation by 1  $\mu$ M of dacomitinib, erlotinib, PD153035, lapatinib, osimertinib and AG1024, 0.3  $\mu$ M GW583340 or 100 nm cetuximab. Inhibitors were pre-incubated for 30 minutes and phosphorylation was measured 5 minutes after stimulation with EGF. For B) and C) Effect of all inhibitors is highly significant (\*\*\*\*), as analyzed with a Brown-Forsythe test followed by a one-way ANOVA, except for the non-specific inhibitor AG1024 (NS), compared to the EGF effect. (D) Scheme of DERET-based EGFR internalization assay. (E) Representative data for EGFR internalization assay in presence of 10 nM EGF (blue), EGF and 1  $\mu$ M erlotinib (red) or vehicle (gray). (F) EGF-induced EGFR internalization in presence of 1  $\mu$ M of dacomitinib, erlotinib, PD153035, lapatinib, osimertinib and AG1024, 0.3  $\mu$ M GW583340 or 100 nm cetuximab. Inhibitors were pre-incubated for 2 hours and EGF-internalization was measured after 39 minutes. Statistical analysis was performed using a Brown-Forsythe test followed by a one-way ANOVA. Data in B-C and F are mean  $\pm$  SEM of at least three individual experiments. Data in E is represented as mean  $\pm$  SD.






**Fig. 5.** Pharmacological profile of TK inhibitors. (A) Group I TK inhibitors dacomitinib (blue), erlotinib (red), PD153035 (green). (B) Group II TK inhibitors GW583340 (purple) and lapatinib (orange). (C) Group III TK inhibitor osimertinib (gray). (D) Cetuximab (brown). All data are from figures 1-4.


**A** WT EGFR – EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> intersubunit FRET



**B** EGF                      **C** Erlotinib



**Fig. 6.** TK domain-induced dimers need binding of two TK inhibitors. (A) Scheme of assays to measure intersubunit FRET of the *wild-type* CLIP-EGFR homodimer, CLIP-EGFR + SNAP-EGFR<sub>NSCLC</sub> heterodimer and SNAP-EGFR homodimer. (B) EGF-induced intersubunit FRET. (C) Erlotinib-induced intersubunit FRET. Data in B-C are represented as mean  $\pm$  SEM of at least three individual experiments.



**Fig. 7.** Schematic hypothesis of stabilized dimers by group I and group II TK inhibitors in presence of EGF. Group I TK inhibitors stabilize both Activator and Receiver conformations and group II TK inhibitors only Activator conformations of the TK domain. In presence of erlotinib there are more inactive Activator-Receiver dimers than in presence of lapatinib.