



HAL
open science

Interdiffusion measurements in thermally controlled microchannel using infrared spectroscopic imaging

Marine Garcia, Alain Sommier, Thomas Lafargue-Tallet, Gérald Clisson,
Jean-Christophe Batsale, Stéphane Chevalier

► To cite this version:

Marine Garcia, Alain Sommier, Thomas Lafargue-Tallet, Gérald Clisson, Jean-Christophe Batsale, et al.. Interdiffusion measurements in thermally controlled microchannel using infrared spectroscopic imaging. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2023, 282, pp.119136. 10.1016/j.ces.2023.119136 . hal-04469990

HAL Id: hal-04469990

<https://hal.science/hal-04469990>

Submitted on 21 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

INTERDIFFUSION MEASUREMENTS IN THERMALLY CONTROLLED MICROCHANNEL USING INFRARED SPECTROSCOPIC IMAGING

Marine Garcia

Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology, CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP
Institut de Mécanique et d'Ingénierie (I2M), Bâtiment A11,
351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, France

Alain Sommier

CNRS, Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP
Institut de Mécanique et d'Ingénierie (I2M), Bâtiment A11,
351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, France

Thomas Lafargue-Taller

CNRS, Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP
Institut de Mécanique et d'Ingénierie (I2M), Bâtiment A11,
351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, France

Gérald Clisson

CNRS, Solvay, LOF, UMR 5258
178, avenue du Docteur Schweitzer, 33608 Pessac, France

Jean-Christophe Batsale

Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology, CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP,
Institut de Mécanique et d'Ingénierie (I2M), Bâtiment A11,
351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, France

 **Stéphane Chevalier***

Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP
Institut de Mécanique et d'Ingénierie (I2M), Bâtiment A11,
351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, France

June 30, 2023

*Corresponding Author: Prof. Stéphane Chevalier, stephane.chevalier@u-bordeaux.fr

ABSTRACT

In many applications, knowledge of the mass diffusivity coefficient is mandatory to optimize the design and operating conditions of microfluidic devices and chemical reactions. The literature reports few values due to limited techniques, and the impact of the fluid temperature is rarely taken into account when the diffusivity is measured. In this study, we present an imaging method to investigate and quantify the interdiffusion of two fluids in a microchannel under controlled temperatures. The experimental setup combines a thermally controlled microfluidic chip and a microscale infrared (IR) spectroscopy imaging technique. The mass diffusivity of formic acid (HCOOH) in sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) was measured from room temperature to 50° C to demonstrate the performance of the setup. This work offers a rapid tool and a methodology for accurate contactless interdiffusion measurements in thermally controlled T-shape reactors applicable a large set of chemicals.

Nomenclature

Roman letters

A		absorbance
a	mm	diffusion length
C	mol/L	concentration
C_n		normalized concentration
D	mm ² /s	diffusion coefficient
H	mm	PDMS stamp height
h	mm	channel height
L	mm	channel length
l_c	mm	channel width
M	mol/L	molar concentration
Pe		Peclet number
T	°C	temperature
v	m/s	mean velocity

Greek letters

α	mm ²	diffusion cone surface
γ		channel aspect ratio
λ	μm	wavelength
μ	M ⁻¹ .mm ⁻¹	absorptivity coefficient

1 Introduction

2 Microfluidics are used in many applications to better understand processes at the microscale, such as cancer cell
3 extravasation [1], the kinetics of chemical reactions [2, 3] or diffusion of a solute [4]. The main advantages of using
4 microscale devices relies on precise control of the experimental conditions (pressure, flow rate, channel geometry), low
5 reagent volumes and the increased sensitivity of the analysis [5]. Moreover, at this scale, phenomena such as heat and
6 mass transport are enhanced. In the field of chemistry the transport phenomena play a crucial role. For example, in
7 chemical reactors, diffusion occurring at the fluid interface influences the efficiency of the reaction. In microfluidic
8 fuel cells (MFCs), mass transport phenomena affect the MFC limiting current [6] and cell geometry [7, 8]. Hence,
9 a quantitative analysis of the diffusion coefficient appears to be necessary for understanding, scaling and modeling
10 complex systems with one of several fluid interfaces. However, few studies in the literature report mass transfer
11 measurements, especially for aqueous systems [9, 10]. Investigating diffusion for aqueous species at various tempera-
12 tures is reported even less frequently despite the diffusion coefficient being significantly affected by the fluid temperature.

13
14 To experimentally investigate the mass transfer in a microchannel, several optical imaging systems have been reported
15 [11]. Two dimensional (2D) imaging techniques based on fluorescence emission can be used to investigate in situ
16 parameters such as viscosity [12] or diffusion coefficients. In the work presented by Culbertson et al. [13], solutions with
17 fluorescent particles such as rhodamine 6G were used to observe the mass transfer. They managed to determine the
18 diffusion coefficient by measuring the fluorescent peak variance over time. This technique can be easily implemented
19 since it does not require a complex light source such as a Fourier transform IR (FTIR) spectrometer. However, this
20 technique is limited to fluorescent media and by photobleaching which imposes a short exposure time. Another method
21 used for studying mass transfer found in the literature is Raman confocal microscopy. Salmon et al. [14] used it to
22 measure the molar fraction of species in a solution and to estimate the diffusion coefficient of a reactant. Raman
23 spectroscopy is a highly selective chemical imaging technique, but it is a point-by-point measurement and suffers from
24 long acquisition times. As integration times range from milliseconds to minutes [15], single measurements can take at
25 least one hour.

26 Fluorescence and Raman spectroscopy are limited techniques when it comes to study multiples chemicals especially
27 in the case of aqueous solutions [16]. Fluorescence techniques are sensitive but limited to molecules linked to a
28 fluorophore and Raman spectroscopy is very selective but suffer from lack of sensitivity [17]. To study mass transport
29 phenomena, infrared spectroscopic techniques such as mid infrared (MIR) or near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy can be
30 considered [18]. To measure both temperature and concentration fields, Yamashita et al. have proposed a method based
31 on a NIR absorption imaging technique [19]. A NIR camera was used to measure the transmitted light at two specific
32 wavelengths $\lambda_1 = 1935$ nm and $\lambda_2 = 1905$ nm. A good agreement to values reported in the literature is presented but the
33 monochromatic light restricts the analysis to one component. This issue can be overcome by using FTIR spectroscopy,
34 since FTIR spectroscopic techniques measure molar concentration of several species from the multispectral absorbance
35 [18]. Chan et al used a FTIR spectroscopic imaging technique to study the distribution of different chemicals in

36 microfluidic devices [20]. This technique has been very popular for studying chemical reactions such as acid-base
37 reactions [16, 21]. From the images generated by these methods, these authors were able to give quantitative 2D
38 concentration fields along the channel. FTIR spectroscopy is also used for pharmaceutical investigations. Ewing et al.
39 used ATR-FTIR spectroscopy to study the release and the behavior of drug at specific pH and flow rate [22]. Other
40 recent work using FTIR imaging techniques are described in Ref. [17]. These studies are also prime of interest because
41 they demonstrate that transmission IR spectroscopy is possible even through several tens of micrometers of water in
42 the mid-IR wavelength range. Thus, by extending this technique, it appears to be highly promising for quantitatively
43 investigating the mass diffusion process in aqueous species.

44

45 To have a complete understanding of the diffusion process, the effect of the temperature has to be taken into
46 account since the diffusion coefficient is temperature dependent [23]. In the case of microfluidic chips, heating
47 is usually achieved using an external device such as a Peltier module placed underneath a microchip. Annular
48 geometries can be used to allow light transmission in the sample [19], but the substrate must be carefully chosen
49 to ensure a homogeneous temperature field. For commonly used substrates that have a poor thermal conductivity,
50 such as glass, thermocouples appear necessary to control the fluid temperature. However, due to the size of the
51 thermocouple (several hundreds of micrometers, at best), they can cause local perturbations in the heat field when
52 placed close to the micrometric channel [24]. To overcome this problem, Casquillas et al. [25] used a Peltier module
53 to heat or cool the fluids from 5° C to 45° C before they were injected into the microfluidic cell (preheated or
54 cooled down liquids). Since no elements were placed underneath, the chip was associated with an oil immersion
55 objective lens for live cell imaging. Other methods for controlling the chip temperature can be found in the
56 literature, such as thin film heating, Joules heating or microwave dielectric heating [26]. However, as reported
57 by several authors, temperature measurement and homogeneous temperature profiles are not trivial to master and control.

58

59 In this study, we propose a multispectral imaging technique for quasi real-time measurements. In fact, multispectral
60 acquisition can be completed in less than 15 s [20]. This method is based on IR transmission spectroscopy to measure
61 the mass transfer in a T-shape microchannel. The chemical concentration fields of each species in a microfluidic channel
62 are obtained using an FTIR imaging technique associated with the Beer-Lambert law. The mass transfer estimation
63 is performed through an analytical inverse method based on Fick's equation and a least square regression that makes
64 the processing of the images as fast as a few seconds. To control temperature, a thermistor associated with a heating
65 resistance is integrated into the experimental setup to control the temperature of the chip from room temperature to
66 50 °C. The design and material choice for microfluidic cell have been adapted to reduce heat losses, to achieve a
67 homogeneous temperature field and to maximize the IR transmitted light through the chip.

68 2 Materials and method

69 2.1 Fabrication of the microfluidic channel

70 The materials were carefully chosen to control the dimensions of the microchannel and to obtain a device that
71 is compatible with IR spectroscopy in the 2-5 μm wavelength range. In fact, IR spectroscopy is limited by the
72 optical path, the absorption of water and the absorption of the materials used to fabricate the cell. To overcome
73 this problem, PDMS is chosen as a material to fabricate the microchannel. PDMS can be used in IR since it
74 is semitransparent in this range [27]. Additionally, since photolithography techniques are used to obtain the
75 PDMS stamp, dimensions of a few micrometers can be achieved. Hence, by reducing the channel height to a
76 few micrometers as well as the thickness of the PDMS stamp, the quantity of transmitted IR light is increased.
77 Microchannels made in PDMS are usually bound on glass substrates such as borofloat wafers. However, such
78 glass wafers suffer from a very low thermal conductivity ($\lambda \sim 1.2 \text{ W/m/K}$), which is disadvantageous for
79 thermal control. To overcome this problem, a silicon double side polished wafer is used as a substrate. The use
80 of silicon wafers presents two main advantages. First, they have better light transmission characteristics than
81 glass in the IR range, and the thermal conductivity is very high ($\lambda \sim 149 \text{ W/m/K}$). Therefore, a silicon wafer ap-
82 pears to be the most convenient substrate to combine transmitted IR imaging spectroscopy and uniform chip temperature.

83

84 A single T-shaped microfluidic channel is fabricated using a standard photolithography method illustrated in Figure 1.
85 A negative photoresist resin is spin coated on a silicon wafer a), covered with a photomask and exposed to UV light b)
86 before being submerged in a PGMEA solution for development c). The obtained mold is placed in a Petri dish and
87 coated with 5 mm of PDMS d). After curing, the PDMS is peeled off the mold e) and is hole-punched to create two
88 inlets and one outlet. A double-side polished silicon wafer is used as the substrate for the final device. The PDMS
89 and the silicon substrate are plasma activated to bond the PDMS to the substrate f). The PDMS stamps height H is
90 5 mm, the microchannel height h is 22 μm and the width l_c is 2 mm. Regarding the aspect ratio of the microchannel
91 ($l_c/h \sim 90$), one can assume the channel and the flow to be 2D. This assumption is verified in section 2.4.




Figure 1: Schematic of the fabrication process used to produce a microfluidic T channel cell. Each step is labeled from a) to f).

92 2.2 Experimental setup

93 To thermally control the microchannel, the microfluidic cell is placed on an annular custom-made nickel thermistor
 94 deposited on a thin heating resistor (Captec). Such a system is capable of controlling the temperature up to 80 °C
 95 $\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$. The contact between the thermistor and the cell is ensured by a mechanical compression system (see Figure
 96 2a)) made of an insulating support (machined Bakelite) and two clamps. The heating resistor is connected to a DC
 97 power supply, and an acquisition card is used to measure the electrical resistance of the nickel probe. A LabVIEW
 98 algorithm is used to convert the resistance of the nickel probe in temperature and then acts as a PID controller to
 99 determine the current that the power supply has to deliver to reach and maintain the temperature set point.

100

101 Figure 2 c) presents the plate assembly integrated with the experimental setup, which combines the spectroscopic
 102 imaging technique and temperature control of the sample. In Figure 2 c), an FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Nicolet
 103 IS50R) is used as the IR source to perform multispectral absorbance measurements. A mirror is used to redirect the
 104 beam through the cell, and the attenuated beam is captured by the camera. An FLIR SC7000 camera with an InSb focal
 105 plane array in the range of 2-5 μm is used with a microscopic objective. The imaging system has a spatial resolution of




Figure 2: a) 3D view of the cell with the associated support containing the thermistor and the Bakelite plate. b) Schematic of the microfluidic cell with the coordinate system used. c) Experimental setup used for visualization of the multispectral absorbance fields in the microchannel.

106 $14 \mu\text{m}/\text{pxl}$. The camera and spectrometer settings and controls are defined following the methodology described in
107 Chevalier et al. [28].

108 2.3 Absorbance measurements

109 The experimental setup is used to study the diffusion of formic acid (HCOOH) in sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4). Formic acid is
110 an interesting chemical since it is used in various sectors, such as pharmaceuticals, feed additives or even as a source of
111 hydrogen and carbon monoxide [29], and it has a temperature-dependent diffusivity. It must be mentioned that formic
112 acid is a colorless product that is completely transparent in the visible range, but it shows a clear absorption spectrum in
113 the IR range. The method described in this study remains valid for all chemicals with similar optical properties.

114

115 In the experiments, two aqueous solutions are prepared: the first one is a solution S1 containing 0.5 M of H_2SO_4 , and
116 the second solution S2 is prepared by mixing 1 M H_2SO_4 with 4 M HCOOH in a 1:1 ratio to reach a final concentration
117 of 0.5 M H_2SO_4 + 2 M HCOOH . The fluids flow for several minutes to evacuate bubbles and to reach steady-state.
118 Subsequently, two sets of images are acquired: in the first experiment, only S1 flows in the channel. The resulting
119 image is defined as the background. In the second experiment, there is a coflow of S1 and S2 in the channel defined
120 as the sample. The transmission spectrum for every pixel is recorded by the FTIR imaging platform to compute the

121 multispectral absorbance using the Beer-Lambert law. The Beer Lambert Law that relates light intensity variations with
 122 the fluid concentration [30] is

$$A(x, y, \lambda, C) = -\log \left(\frac{I(x, y, \lambda, C)}{I_0(x, y, \lambda)} \right), \quad (1)$$

123 where A is the multispectral absorbance, I_0 is the background spectrum, and I is the spectrum with S1 and S2 flowing
 124 in the channel. I and I_0 are acquired over an x by y area for a wavelength range λ . C stands for the concentration of
 125 formic acid. To observe the rate of HCOOH diffusion into (H₂SO₄), I_0 is the recorded transmitted signal with only
 126 H₂SO₄ flowing in the chip.

127 The concentration of formic acid is related to absorbance through

$$A(x, y, \lambda, C) = \mu(\lambda) C(x, y), \quad (2)$$

128 where μ is the absorptivity coefficient (M⁻¹.mm⁻¹). It was measured to 4.38 M⁻¹.mm⁻¹ for formic acid at 4 μm, see
 129 the section Absorptivity of formic acid reported in supplementary information. To increase the signal-to-noise ratio
 130 (SNR), the absorbance is integrated over a spectral range as

$$A_{int}(x, y, C) = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mu(\lambda) C(x, y) d(\lambda). \quad (3)$$

131 Eq. 4 gives a relation between the absorbance and the concentration that is no more dependent on the wavelength

$$A_{int}(x, y, C) = k C(x, y), \quad (4)$$

132 with k is the integrated absorptivity coefficient. The integrated absorbance is then normalized between 0 and 1 to obtain
 133 the normalized concentration through

$$C_n = \frac{A_{int}(x, y, C) - \min(A_{int}(x, y, C))}{\max(A_{int}(x, y, C)) - \min(A_{int}(x, y, C))}, \quad (5)$$

134 An important point to note in Eq. 5 is that the normalization operation gives a direct relation between absorbance and
 135 concentration; thus, absorptivity calibration is no longer required. Such an advantage makes the measurements much
 136 faster than other techniques such as fluorescence, which requires calibration to link the fluorescence intensity to the
 137 molar concentration [31].

138 2.4 Interdiffusion model

139 The geometry of a laminar microfluidic electrochemical chip is schemed in Figure 2 b). A large width to height aspect
 140 ratio channel was designed to ensure a Reynolds number < 1 leading to a laminar flow. In the case of a diluted solution
 141 (which is frequent with aqueous solutions), the Fickian diffusion **in steady state can** be used to describe the transport of

142 the species concentration in a microchannel as

$$\nabla \cdot (-D\vec{\nabla}C + \vec{v}C) = 0. \quad (6)$$

143 D is the mass diffusivity (mm^2/s), \vec{v} is the velocity vector (mm/s) and C is the molar concentration (mol/L). Equation (6)
 144 can be simplified using the following assumptions: D is considered independent of the concentration and no chemical
 145 interaction between fluids occurs. The fluids used are highly diluted leading to similar viscosity and density. Since the
 146 fluids are injected with same flow rate, the fluid-fluid interface is centered in the middle of the channel and the velocity
 147 profile is homogenous. In the x -direction, the Peclet number $\text{Pe}=2 \times 10^3$ is large enough to assume that the convective
 148 mass transport is dominant over diffusion $\partial^2 C / \partial x^2 \approx 0$. Regarding the geometry of the channel, the velocity profile is
 149 uniform in the y -direction far from the channel walls, so we assume $\vec{v} = v$ with $v = q_v / (hl_c)$. Finally, given the high
 150 aspect ratio of the channel $\gamma = l_c/h \gg 1$, the molar gradient in z -direction can be neglected. The validity of those
 151 assumptions is evaluated in the supplementary information and compared to a 3D numerical model solved without any
 152 assumptions. Therefore, Equation 6 can be rewritten in the 2D case as:

$$v \frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial x} = D \frac{\partial^2 \bar{C}}{\partial y^2}, \quad (7)$$

153 where v is the average velocity, and \bar{C} the average concentration measured from the absorbance $\bar{C}(x, y) =$
 154 $\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h C(x, y, z) dz \approx C(x, y)$, see section 2.3. We assumed a semi-infinite field in the x -direction and the boundary
 155 conditions are $\frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial y} |_{y=0} = 0$ and $\bar{C}(x, y \rightarrow \infty) = C_0$. A solution using the normalized concentration (see Equation 5) to
 156 the previous equation can be found as [32, 14]:

$$\bar{C}_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \text{erf} \left(\frac{y - y_0}{2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{D(x - x_0)}{v}} \right)} \right) \right), \quad (8)$$

157 y_0 and x_0 are two constants (in mm) related to the reactant interface and diffusion onset position, respectively. The
 158 diffusion length $a(x)$ in millimeters can be defined as:

$$a(x) = \sqrt{\frac{D(x - x_0)}{v}} \quad (9)$$

159 3 Results and discussion

160 3.1 Validations of the methodology

161 Limits of the analytical solution

162 In order to validate the analytical solution proposed in Equation 7, and all the assumptions made in the methodology
 163 section, a comparison with a numerical model was done. Equation 6 was solved numerically in 3D without assumptions
 164 for a microfluidic channel with the same dimensions as the one used experimentally. The results of the numerical model

165 are given in supplementary information section 2.

166 In Figure 3 the analytical solution (Equation 8) for a range of x position is compared to the concentration profile
 167 computed numerically at the same position.




Figure 3: Average concentration profiles for a range of x -positions computed from the analytical model and the numerical model.

168 The excellent agreement between the models validates the use of a analytical model to estimate the mass diffusivity in
 169 the range of operating conditions used in this study, i.e. a total flow rate of $1 \mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ and a diffusivity in the range of
 170 $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$. For the profiles obtained at $x > 5 \text{ mm}$, a small deviation can be observed close to the wall, i.e. $y = 0$
 171 and $y = l_c$ where the semi-infinite assumption is no longer valid. Thus, this numerical study enables to set the limit of
 172 the use of the proposed methodology.

173

174 Thermal control of the microchannel

175 Experiments are performed with the fluids not preheated before being injected into the microchannel, which may
 176 induce thermal gradients in the chip. Thus, before carrying out the concentration field measurements, the temperature
 177 homogeneity of the microfluidic chip is checked for a range of flow rates. For this purpose, the same experimental
 178 setup as the one presented in Figure 2.b) is used but with the FTIR spectrometer shut off. In this configuration, the
 179 camera is used to perform IR thermography, which consists of measuring the IR emission field at the surface of a

180 system [33]. To obtain a temperature field, calibration of the IR camera was performed according to the methodology
181 reported in Lafargue-Tallet et al. [34]. To observe the temperature field in the cell, a microfluidic chip identical
182 to the one presented in Figure 1 is used, but with the rear face (the face without the PDMS stamp) painted in
183 black to be assimilated to a black body surface. The black rear face is placed facing the camera, and the tubing is
184 connected to flow fluids in the cell. The chip is heated without fluids flowing in, and after 10 min, the temperature
185 of the rear face is recorded by the camera. Hence, a nonperturbed temperature map of the rear face T_0 is obtained.
186 Fluids are then flowed in the microchannel at a given flow rate for 10 min, and the chip rear face temperature
187 T is measured again. The same protocol was repeated for flow rates ranging from 0.5 to 100 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$. The vari-
188 ation in the temperature field $\Delta T = T_0 - T$ of the rear face is presented in Figure 4 with a temperature setpoint of 40 $^\circ\text{C}$.
189

190 Figure 4 a) shows that with a flow rate of 100 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ at both inlets, a clear temperature gradient is observed around the
191 channel. The maximum temperature difference is estimated to be approximately 1 $^\circ\text{C}$ and is located close to the inlets.
192 Figure 4 b) shows that at the lowest flow rate, the temperature is completely homogeneous since only noise is observed
193 at approximately 0.02 $^\circ\text{C}$. These results show that the flow rate is a key parameter to achieve accurate control of the
194 temperature without preheating the fluids. At a high flow rate (100 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$), the residence time of the fluid to go from
195 the inlet to the main channel is not enough to heat the fluid (half a second), causing a thermal gradient. Conversely, for
196 a flow rate of 1 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$, the residence time to reach the main channel increases to almost 30 s. At this low flow rate,
197 only noise is measured, and the temperature field is homogeneous at the surface of the chip. Thus, this result validates
198 the thermal control of the proposed setup as long as the flow rate is kept on the order of 1 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$. The temperature
199 standard deviation in the main channel (white line in Figure 4(b)) is not larger than 0.18 $^\circ\text{C}$ at 40 $^\circ\text{C}$.

200




Figure 4: Temperature field variations measured on the surface of the chip rear face at a) 100 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ and b) 1 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ at each inlet. Relative temperature profiles indicated by the white lines are plotted under each case.

201 3.2 Normalized concentration fields

202 Prior estimating the diffusion of formic acid in sulfuric acid, the experimental setup and the methodology were tested
 203 for the known case of the diffusion of formic acid in deionized water. The diffusion coefficient for this case was found
 204 to be $D=(1.36 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$. This value for the computed diffusion coefficient is comparable to the values
 205 reported in literature ($1.49 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ [35], $1.46 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ [36]) and validates the experimental setup and the
 206 proposed method. More details about the results are given in the supplementary information section 3.

207

208 In the following experiment, water is replaced by sulfuric acid in order to study the diffusion of formic acid in sulfuric
 209 acid. Experiments are performed at room temperature (25°C), to 40°C and 50°C . A flow rate of $0.5 \mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ is imposed
 210 on both syringes for 5 min before starting the measurement. The spectra of the stream containing only $\text{HCOOH}+\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$
 211 and the stream containing only H_2SO_4 are first measured. As shown in Figure 5, sulfuric acid and formic acid have a
 212 very similar spectral signature in the camera IR range. We can see that it is not possible to distinguish formic acid from
 213 sulfuric acid and that only variation in the transmitted signal intensity is observed. Thus, careful signal processing
 214 is needed to convert the change in transmission light into normalized concentration fields, as can be seen Figure
 215 5. This was achieved by integrating the spectra in the range indicated by the dashed rectangle in Figure 5, i.e., $3.9\text{-}4.3 \mu\text{m}$.

216




Figure 5: Spectra of the stream containing HCOOH+H₂SO₄ and the stream with H₂SO₄ only. The spectra are computed using the experimental data. Only the data in the green rectangle (dashed line) are used in the processing.

217 From the signal processing described in Section 2.3, the SNR is calculated to assess the performance of the
 218 measurements. It is defined by dividing the relative absorbance of the stream containing HCOOH+H₂SO₄ by the stream
 219 containing only H₂SO₄. Using a monochromatic absorbance, (wavelength of 4.1 μm) where the relative absorbance of
 220 formic acid is maximized, the SNR is 10. Integration of the signal over the spectral range from Figure 5 increases the
 221 SNR to 100. The value of the SNR is then used to select the wavelength range for integration by choosing the spectral
 222 range which gives the highest SNR. Hence, this method significantly increases the SNR, allowing a better estimation of
 223 the diffusion coefficient than without integration. In many other chemical processes, the spectral signature between
 224 similar products can be identical. Using the change in transmitted light as proposed in this study enables an accurate
 225 measurement of the normalized concentration. Such a result would not have been possible using ATR spectroscopy, for
 226 example.

227

228 Figure 6b) presents the normalized absorbance profiles plotted for three different x positions. A change in slope is
 229 observed as the fluid flows toward the end of the channel. The slope change between the red curve and the green curve
 230 corresponds to the enlargement of the diffusion cone. This phenomenon indicates that diffusion along the y-direction
 231 occurs and confirms that it is possible to determine the diffusion coefficient using the absorbance profiles measured
 232 from room temperature to 50 °C. This maximum temperature was chosen to avoid any outgassing process as soon as
 233 the fluid temperature increases. Solutions for reaching temperatures above 50 °C can be found in the literature [37, 38],
 234 but this implies a more complicated microchannel design including degassing chambers.




Figure 6: a) Normalized concentration fields of formic acid. The temperature was set to 40 °C, and the flow rate was 0.5 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ at both inlets. b) Profiles of the normalized concentration at different positions in the main channel. Positions are labeled with reference to $x=0$ in the channel.

235 3.3 Determining of the diffusion coefficient

236 From the normalized absorbance of formic acid, the two linear regressions described in the supplementary informations
 237 section 4 are applied to compute D . The analytical solution given by the model permits to compute the standard
 238 deviation at each step using uncertainty propagation. The standard deviation values are then used to apply the weighted
 239 least squares linear regression on the dataset and to determine the mass diffusivity D in mm^2/s . The results of the
 240 weighted least squares linear regression for each temperature are presented in Figure 7.

241




Figure 7: Plot of the computed coefficient $4a^2$ as a function of the ratio x/v for different temperatures. Solid lines show the linear regression fit of the data. Inset: estimated D in mm^2/s vs. the temperature.

242 Figure 7 displays the result of the second linear regression (details are provided in supplementary information section 4)
 243 used to compute the diffusion coefficient i.e., $4a^2$, as a function of x/v . When the temperature increases, the slope
 244 increases, leading to higher mass diffusivity. Table 1] displays the computed values of D .

T [°C]	D x 10 ³ [mm ² /s]
Room temperature	1.94 ± 0.19
40 ± 0.18	2.25 ± 0.16
50 ± 0.15	2.54 ± 0.22

Table 1: Computed values of the diffusion coefficient D

245 At 50 °C, D is 1.3 times higher than at 25 °C, this trend is also found in literature [23], [39],[19] and confirms the
 246 sensitivity of D with temperature. To evaluate the fidelity of the model, a quantitative comparison between the model
 247 using the computed D and the data is presented in the supplementary information section 5.

248 The study has presented results using formic acid, but this technique can be extended to more complex phenomena like
 249 diffusion coefficients concentration dependent. Such diffusion coefficients can be found in colloidal suspensions [40],
 250 solvent-polymer systems [41] or even aqueous binary mixtures [42]. The current limiting factor of the proposed setup
 251 is the choice of the compounds which need to have a spectral signatures ranging from 2 to 5 μm . Nevertheless, large
 252 spectral range detector may solve this limitation [43].

253 The region of interest used to study the mass transport is also essential to achieve precise measurements. Image
 254 acquisition must be performed on a region where the diffusion cone is well establish and large enough. Moreover,
 255 the concentration of the solutions must be carefully chosen to ensure a sufficient SNR to perform measurements.

256 Taking into account those recommendation, the proposed method leads to a relatively accurate measurements (see
257 the error bar in the insert of Figure 7, or the reported values in Table 1). The diffusivity values were measured with
258 less than 10% relative error with a temperature control of $\pm 0.18^\circ\text{C}$. The good model prediction and the low unbiased
259 residue distribution (lower than 5% of error) presented in the supplementary information Figure S9 also strengthened
260 the accuracy of the measurement performed. The experimental data validates setup and methodology reported in the
261 present paper.

262

263 **4 Conclusion**

264 A novel imaging method to quantitatively study mass transport phenomena is reported in this work under controlled
265 thermal conditions. This was achieved by using a microfluidic chip that uses a silicon wafer and a heating system to
266 control the temperature of the device while maintaining IR transparency in the desired wavelength range. Integrating a
267 thermistor allowed us to control the experimental conditions to study the mass transport for temperatures up to 50°C . A
268 thorough check of the temperature homogeneity was also carried out. It was shown that using no preheated fluid at a
269 low flow rate in the microfluidic channel does not impact the temperature field.

270 Imaging the mass transport was then possible using transmission FTIR spectroscopy. The experimental setup and the
271 image processing methodology enabled us to measure the diffusion between two fluids even if they have similar IR
272 spectral signatures. The results obtained in this study prove that transmission IR spectroscopy is a versatile tool to
273 quantitatively investigate the mass transport in microfluidic chips in a very short period (on the order of ten seconds to
274 record a multispectral image). The fast acquisition opens possibilities for this technique to observe transient phenomena.

275 Higher temperatures could have been investigated by optimizing the microfluidic device design to overcome degassing.
276 However, even with a narrow range of temperatures, it was demonstrated that diffusion coefficient increases with
277 temperature, as predicted by the Stokes-Einstein relationship. Such measurements were performed with high control of
278 the uncertainty and obtained for residuals $< 5\%$ compared to the model. These results pave the way toward advanced
279 quasireal-time mass transfer characterization to better understand in situ phenomena in more complex systems using
280 transmission FTIR spectroscopy.

281

282 **Acknowledgments**

283 The authors gratefully acknowledge the French National Research Agency (ANR) for its support through project
284 I2MPAC, grant number ANR-20-CE05-0018-01. Mr K. Krause is gratefully acknowledged for his fruitful discussions
285 during manuscript preparation. Mr T. Chavatte's help during the preparation of the temperature field measurements was
286 deeply appreciated.

287 **References**

- 288 [1] Mark F Coughlin and Roger D Kamm. The Use of Microfluidic Platforms to Probe the Mechanism of Cancer Cell
289 Extravasation. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*, 9(8):1901410, apr 2020.
- 290 [2] Andrew J. DeMello. Control and detection of chemical reactions in microfluidic systems. *Nature*, 442(7101):394–
291 402, 2006.
- 292 [3] Zifei Yan, Jiaxin Tian, Chencan Du, Jian Deng, and Guangsheng Luo. Reaction kinetics determination based on
293 microfluidic technology. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 41:49–72, 2022.
- 294 [4] Jean Baptiste Salmon and Armand Ajdari. Transverse transport of solutes between co-flowing pressure-driven
295 streams for microfluidic studies of diffusion/reaction processes. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 101(7), 2007.
- 296 [5] George M. Whitesides. The origins and the future of microfluidics. *Nature*, 442(7101):368–373, 2006.
- 297 [6] William A. Braff, Martin Z. Bazant, and Cullen R. Buie. Membrane-less hydrogen bromine flow battery. *Nature*
298 *Communications*, 4:1–6, 2013.
- 299 [7] Erik Kjeang. *Microfluidic Fuel Cells and Batteries*. 2014.
- 300 [8] M. N. Nasharudin, S. K. Kamarudin, U. A. Hasran, and M. S. Masdar. Mass transfer and performance of
301 membrane-less micro fuel cell: A review. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 39(2):1039–1055, 2014.
- 302 [9] Gianni Orsi, Mina Roudgar, Elisabetta Brunazzi, Chiara Galletti, and Roberto Mauri. Water-ethanol mixing in
303 T-shaped microdevices. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 95:174–183, 2013.
- 304 [10] Christine Peters, Ludger Wolff, Sandra Haase, Julia Thien, Thorsten Brands, Hans Jürgen Koß, and André Bardow.
305 Multicomponent diffusion coefficients from microfluidics using Raman microspectroscopy. *Lab on a Chip*,
306 17(16):2768–2776, 2017.
- 307 [11] Joëlle Aubin, Montse Ferrando, and Vladimir Jiricny. Current methods for characterising mixing and flow in
308 microchannels. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 65(6):2065–2093, 2010.
- 309 [12] Reiko Kuriyama, Tomotaka Nakagawa, Kazuya Tatsumi, and Kazuyoshi Nakabe. Two-dimensional fluid viscosity
310 measurement in microchannel flow using fluorescence polarization imaging. *Measurement Science and Technology*,
311 32(9):095402, sep 2021.
- 312 [13] Christopher T. Culbertson, Stephen C. Jacobson, and J. Michael Ramsey. Diffusion coefficient measurements in
313 microfluidic devices. *Talanta*, 56(2):365–373, 2002.
- 314 [14] Jean Baptiste Salmon, Armand Ajdań, Patrick Tabeling, Laurent Servant, David Talaga, and Mathieu Joanicot. In
315 situ Raman imaging of interdiffusion in a microchannel. *Applied Physics Letters*, 86(9):1–3, 2005.
- 316 [15] Adam F. Chrimes, Khashayar Khoshmanesh, Paul R. Stoddart, Arnan Mitchell, and Kourosh Kalantar-Zadeh.
317 Microfluidics and raman microscopy: Current applications and future challenges. *Chemical Society Reviews*,
318 42(13):5880–5906, 2013.

- 319 [16] Takato Uema, Toshiya Ohata, Yutaka Washizuka, Ryo Nakanishi, Daisuke Kawashima, and Naoto Kakuta.
320 Near-infrared imaging in a microfluidic channel of aqueous acid–base reactions. *Chemical Engineering Journal*,
321 403(July 2020):126338, 2021.
- 322 [17] Adeline Perro, Gwenaëlle Lebourdon, Sarah Henry, Sophie Lecomte, Laurent Servant, and Samuel Marre.
323 Combining microfluidics and FT-IR spectroscopy: Towards spatially resolved information on chemical processes.
324 *Reaction Chemistry and Engineering*, 1(6):577–594, 2016.
- 325 [18] Yukihiro Ozaki. Infrared Spectroscopy—Mid-infrared, Near-infrared, and Far-infrared/Terahertz Spectroscopy.
326 *Analytical Sciences*, 37(9):1193–1212, sep 2021.
- 327 [19] Hiroki Yamashita, Naoto Kakuta, Daisuke Kawashima, and Yukio Yamada. Measurement of temperature-
328 dependent diffusion coefficients of aqueous solutions by near-infrared simultaneous imaging of temperature and
329 concentration. *Biomedical Physics and Engineering Express*, 4(3), 2018.
- 330 [20] K L.Andrew Chan and Sergei G Kazarian. FT-IR spectroscopic imaging of reactions in multiphase flow in
331 microfluidic channels. *Analytical Chemistry*, 84(9):4052–4056, 2012.
- 332 [21] S. Chevalier, J.-N. Tourvieille, A. Sommier, and C. Pradère. Infrared thermospectroscopic imaging of heat and
333 mass transfers in laminar microfluidic reactive flows. *Chemical Engineering Journal Advances*, 8:100166, nov
334 2021.
- 335 [22] Andrew V. Ewing, Graham S. Clarke, and Sergei G. Kazarian. Attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform
336 infrared spectroscopic imaging of pharmaceuticals in microfluidic devices. *Biomicrofluidics*, 10(2):024125, mar
337 2016.
- 338 [23] L. G. Longworth. Temperature Dependence of Diffusion in Aqueous Solutions. *The Journal of Physical*
339 *Chemistry*, 58(9):770–773, sep 1954.
- 340 [24] Houssein Ammar, Bertrand Garnier, Ahmed Ould el Moctar, Hervé Willaime, Fabrice Monti, and Hassan
341 Peerhossaini. Thermal analysis of chemical reactions in microchannels using highly sensitive thin-film heat-flux
342 microsensor. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 94:150–155, 2013.
- 343 [25] Guilhem Velve Casquillas, Chuanhai Fu, Le Berre, Jeremy Cramer, Sebastien Meance, Adrien Plecis, Damien
344 Baigl, Jean-jacques Greffet, Yong Chen, and Phong T Tran. Fast microfluidic temperature control for high
345 resolution live cell imaging. *Lab on a Chip*, pages 484–489, 2011.
- 346 [26] Vincent Miralles, Axel Huerre, Florent Malloggi, and Marie-caroline Jullien. A Review of Heating and Tempera-
347 ture Control in Microfluidic Systems: Techniques and Applications. *Diagnostics*, pages 33–67, 2013.
- 348 [27] Monpichar Srisa-Art and Yuji Furutani. Simple and rapid fabrication of PDMS microfluidic devices compatible
349 with FTIR microspectroscopy. *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan*, 89(2):195–202, 2016.
- 350 [28] Stéphane Chevalier, Jean-Noël Tourvieille, Alain Sommier, Jean-Christophe Batsale, Bruno Beccard, and
351 Christophe Pradère. Thermal Camera-Based Fourier Transform Infrared Thermospectroscopic Imager. *Ap-
352 plied Spectroscopy*, 75(4):462–474, apr 2021.

- 353 [29] Jukka Hietala, Antti Vuori, Pekka Johnsson, Pollari Ilkka, Werner Reutemann, and Heinz Kieczka. Formic acid. In
354 B Elvers, editor, *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, volume A12, pages 1–12. Wiley-VCH Verlag
355 GmbH & Co, 2016.
- 356 [30] A. Aouali, S. Chevalier, A. Sommer, E. Abisset-Chavanne, J. C. Batsale, and C. Pradere. 3D infrared thermospec-
357 troscopic imaging. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1):1–11, 2020.
- 358 [31] Andrew Evan Kamholz, Bernhard H. Weigl, Bruce A. Finlayson, and Paul Yager. Quantitative analysis of
359 molecular interaction in a microfluidic channel: The T-sensor. *Analytical Chemistry*, 71(23):5340–5347, 1999.
- 360 [32] Thomas Gervais and Klavs F. Jensen. Mass transport and surface reactions in microfluidic systems. *Chemical*
361 *Engineering Science*, 61(4):1102–1121, 2006.
- 362 [33] C. Pradere, C. Hany, J. Toutain, and J. C. Batsale. Thermal analysis for velocity, kinetics, and enthalpy reaction
363 measurements in microfluidic devices. *Experimental Heat Transfer*, 23(1):44–62, 2010.
- 364 [34] Thomas Lafargue-Tallet, Romain Vaucelle, Cyril Caliot, Abderezak Aouali, Emmanuelle Abisset-Chavanne,
365 Alain Sommer, Raymond Peiffer, and Christophe Pradere. Active thermo-reflectometry for absolute temperature
366 measurement by infrared thermography on specular materials. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1):1–19, 2022.
- 367 [35] L. A. Dunn and R. H. Stokes. The diffusion of monocarboxylic acids in aqueous solution at 25°. *Australian*
368 *Journal of Chemistry*, 18(3):285–296, 1965.
- 369 [36] W. J. Albery, A. R. Greenwood, and R. F. Kibble. Diffusion coefficients of carboxylic acids. *Transactions of the*
370 *Faraday Society*, 63:360–368, 1967.
- 371 [37] Iago Pereiro, Anna Fomitcheva Khartchenko, Lorenzo Petrini, and Govind V. Kaigala. Nip the bubble in the bud:
372 A guide to avoid gas nucleation in microfluidics. *Lab on a Chip*, 19(14):2296–2314, 2019.
- 373 [38] J. Mikael Karlsson, Muriel Gazin, Sanna Laakso, Tommy Haraldsson, Surbhi Malhotra-Kumar, Minna Mäki,
374 Herman Goossens, and Wouter Van Der Wijngaart. Active liquid degassing in microfluidic systems. *Lab on a*
375 *Chip*, 13(22):4366–4373, 2013.
- 376 [39] Eric H. Oelkers. Calculation of diffusion coefficients for aqueous organic species at temperatures from 0 to 350
377 °C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 55(12):3515–3529, 1991.
- 378 [40] M. Lehtihet, E. Abisset, S. Chevalier, A. Sommer, C. Pradere, and J. Leng. Thermospectroscopic infrared imaging
379 of a confined drying process. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 403(April 2020):126167, jan 2021.
- 380 [41] John Crank. *The Mathematics of Diffusion*. Oxford University Press, 2nd edition.
- 381 [42] Kevin Roger, Omer Atasi, and Benjamin Lalanne. Measuring mutual diffusion coefficients in aqueous binary
382 mixtures with unidimensional drying cells. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 25(2):994–998, 2023.
- 383 [43] Ayanjeet Ghosh, Arnaldo L. Serrano, Tracey A. Oudenhoven, Joshua S. Ostrander, Elliot C. Eklund, Alexander F.
384 Blair, and Martin T. Zanni. Experimental implementations of 2D IR spectroscopy through a horizontal pulse
385 shaper design and a focal plane array detector. *Optics Letters*, 41(3):524, feb 2016.