

Quantification of hydroperoxides in liquid fuels: a systematic comparison of titrations and absorption methods and their coupling to HPLC

Ryma Benrabah, Zaki El Sayah, Pierre-Alexandre Glaude, Philippe Arnoux,

René Fournet, Baptiste Sirjean

▶ To cite this version:

Ryma Benrabah, Zaki El Sayah, Pierre-Alexandre Glaude, Philippe Arnoux, René Fournet, et al.. Quantification of hydroperoxides in liquid fuels: a systematic comparison of titrations and absorption methods and their coupling to HPLC. Fuel, 2024, 365, pp.131218. 10.1016/j.fuel.2024.131218 . hal-04466433

HAL Id: hal-04466433 https://hal.science/hal-04466433v1

Submitted on 19 Feb2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Quantification of hydroperoxides in liquid fuels: a systematic comparison of titrations and absorption methods and their coupling to HPLC

5 Ryma Benrabah,¹ Zaki El Sayah,¹ Pierre-Alexandre Glaude,¹ Philippe Arnoux,¹ Rene Fournet,¹

6

4

7

Baptiste Sirjean^{1,*}

¹ Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LRGP, F-54000 Nancy, FR.

8 ABSTRACT

9 Hydroperoxides are major products in the autoxidation of fuels and play a central role in oxidation kinetics. Their presence affects the oxidation rate, even at low concentrations, and, in turn, the thermal stability of 10 fuels. Standard techniques for the quantification of hydroperoxides (ROOH) in fuels involve the reduction of 11 ROOH by I, yielding iodine (I₂), which can be quantified by titration. The ASTM titration method of ROOH is 12 13 known to be poorly reproducible and have inadequate lower detection limits and limited dynamic ranges. In this work, several analytical methods for the quantification of hydroperoxides in fuels have been systematically 14 15 compared for known concentrations of ROOH: titrations of iodine with thiosulfate (ASTM D3703) and 16 triphenylphosphine (TPP) solutions with colorimetric and potentiometric methods and quantification of iodine 17 by UV-visible absorption. n-decane was used as a surrogate fuel and tert-butyl hydroperoxide as the ROOH 18 internal standard. Our systematic study shows that the ASTM method based on colorimetric titration using 19 thiosulfate aqueous solution is the least accurate because of the non-miscibility of water and *n*-decane, which 20 even makes the UV-visible spectroscopy method ineffective. The other titration method proposed in the literature, using TPP dissolved in *n*-decane as the titrant does not have this miscibility problem. Potentiometric 21 titration is found to be more reproducible and accurate than colorimetric titration. The UV-visible absorption 22 23 reaches lower detection limits. We show that the combination of the potentiometric titration of iodine with 24 TPP and UV-visible absorption enables ROOH concentrations to be determined with low detection limits and a 25 large dynamic range. The advantages of iodometry and UV-absorption detection methods have been used to 26 develop a new analytical method based on their coupling in HPLC with a post-column reactor.

27 * baptiste.sirjean@univ-lorraine.fr

28 **KEYWORDS:** hydroperoxides, HPLC, autoxidation, surrogate fuel

29 Introduction

The oxidation stability of fuels is a key factor for their use in the transport sector [1-3]. Throughout 30 31 their life cycle, fuels are in contact with air and are subject to significant variations in temperature and pressure. For example, jet fuels can be subject to temperatures up to 150°C because of their use 32 as aircraft coolant [4]. High temperature or pressure conditions favor the liquid phase oxidation of 33 the fuel, also named autoxidation, and this phenomenon is known to change the chemical and 34 35 physical properties of the fuels (composition, viscosity, freezing point, ...) and ultimately leads to the formation of gum and deposits [3, 5-7]. The fuel autoxidation therefore negatively affects engine 36 operation in terms of efficiency, pollutants and safety. So, it is crucial for the fuels to be oxidatively 37 stable through time. The determination of the oxidation stability of a given fuel can be quantified by 38 numerous physical and chemical criteria: induction period of the fuel, the quantity of gum deposit, or 39 40 the conductivity of gums [8, 9]. The main measurable chemical quantity that can be linked to the 41 oxidation stability of fuels is the total amount of hydroperoxides [10, 11].

Hydroperoxides (ROOH) are highly reactive species that accelerate the autoxidation of hydrocarbon
fuels [12, 13]. They are the main autoxidation products of hydrocarbons, up to relatively high extent
and are produced by the radical chain mechanism that governs the conversion of the fuel:

$$RH + O_2 \rightarrow R \bullet + HO_2 \bullet \tag{1}$$

45

$$R\bullet + O_2 \to ROO\bullet$$
(2)

47 $RH + ROO \bullet \rightarrow R \bullet + ROOH$ (3)

48 ROOH decomposition is the branching reaction of fuel autoxidation:

49 $ROOH \rightarrow RO \bullet + \bullet OH$ (4)

and is the most sensitive reaction playing a role on the hydrocarbon fuel conversion [10, 14, 15].

Hydroperoxides therefore favor the oxidation of the liquid fuels and are at the origin of gums and deposit formation. They are also known to impair the quality of O-rings and hoses used in the fuel systems [16] and adversely affects Research and Motor Octane Numbers and Cetane Number [17]. The quantification of these oxygenated products is therefore of primary importance. An ASTM standard is used to determine the ROOH content in gasoline, diesel and aviation fuels (ASTM D3703).

In this standard test, the total hydroperoxide content is determined by iodometry and colorimetric titration. The first step in this method is the reduction of ROOH with iodide ions from potassium iodide in absolute ethanol, in the presence of acetic acid. This reaction produces a yellowish solution of I₂ according to the following equation:

$$60 \qquad \qquad \mathsf{ROOH}_{(\text{decane})} + 2\mathsf{H}^+_{(\text{organic})} + 2\mathsf{I}^-_{(\text{organic})} + \mathsf{ROH}_{(\text{organic})} + \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O} \tag{5}$$

Then, the ASTM D3703 method uses thiosulfates in an aqueous medium as the titrant, that reacts
with I₂, according to:

63
$$I_{2 \text{ (organic)}} + 2 S_2 O_3^{2^-} (aq) \rightarrow S_4 O_6^{2^-} (aq) + 2 I_{(aq)}^{-}$$
 (6)

The titration endpoint is determined by the color change of the solution. However, this titration in aqueous phase is known to be poorly reproducible and have inadequate lower detection limits and limited dynamic ranges [18]. Many works have been performed to determine new methods to better quantify hydroperoxides, and these approaches are mainly based on reduction of ROOH with iodide ions or triphenylphosphine.

West et al. [18] developed a method to quantify hydroperoxides in jet fuels based on the oxidation of
 triphenylphosphine (TPP) by ROOH:

$$ROOH + TPP_{excess} = ROH + TPPO$$
(7)

TPP will react with ROOH through equation (7) and the TPPO reaction product will be quantified by gas chromatography (GC) with a flame ionization detection (FID). Prior studies that used the measurement of TPPO only measured peroxide concentrations that were rather high (>1 mmol/L), and they excluded measurements in jet fuel matrices [19]. West et al. [18] showed that their method
only requires a little amount of fuel (0.5 mL) diluted in toluene, has an extremely low detection limit
(0.002 mmol/L), a large dynamic range, and is accurate and repeatable. Their work also identified the
alcohol produced in (7), allowing the identification of the original hydroperoxide.

Recently, Roohi and Rajabi [20] proposed a water-free iodometric method, based on a visual change of the color to find the titration endpoint. Triphenylphosphine (TPP) in *n*-decane solvent is used as the titrant for the I₂ produced from the reaction of ROOH with iodide ions I⁻ in an acidic organic medium. In the first step, ROOH is reduced with iodide ions (KI in absolute ethanol with acetic acid) to produce a yellow-reddish solution as presented in equation (5).

For the reaction (5) to be complete, the solution must be heated at 68°C for 15 minutes. Then, TPP in *n*-decane is used for the titration of I_2 , producing an uncolored complex $[TPPI]^{\dagger}[I]^{-}$ as shown in equation (8).

87
$$I_{2(\text{organic})} + \text{TPP}_{(\text{decane})} \rightarrow [\text{TPP}]^+ [I]^-_{(\text{organic})}$$
 (8)

As seen in equations (5) and (8), the iodine concentration can be determined from the quantity of TPP added and the endpoint of titration is found when the mixture turns transparent. The method proposed by Roohi and Rajabi [20] is based on colorimetry but we showed that the titration endpoint can also be found using potentiometry [10, 21]. The authors reported a ROOH determination error of 4% with their method but did not report detection limits. The lowest concentration mentioned is 0.3 wt % of 1-phenyl ethyl hydroperoxide (PEHP) in ethylbenzene ([PEHP] $\approx 6 \times 10^{-3}$ mol/L).

Longobardi et al. [22] recently used a spectrophotometric method combined with iodometry to analyze the peroxide value in olive oils. Their method is based on the addition of HCI-ethanol and a KI solution (reaction 5) and the measurement of the absorbance of triiodide ions (reaction 9) at 350 nm.

97

$$I_2 + I^- \rightleftharpoons I_3^- \tag{9}$$

98 They establish a calibration curve using tert-butylhydroperoxide from 1 to 10 $m_{eq}O_2/kg$ 99 (approximatively 4.57 × 10⁻⁴ to 4.57 × 10⁻³ mol/L), with a limit of detection of 0.3 $m_{eq}O_2/kg$ 100 (approximatively 1.37 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L).

Cullis et al. [23] determined ROOH concentration using a system based on the work of Deedler et al. 101 [24], using liquid chromatography with a post column reactor, where the iodometric reaction takes 102 place. Normal phase HPLC is used and coupled to the post column reactor fed with the necessary 103 104 reactants for the reduction of ROOH by I (reaction 5): sodium iodide in a mixture of iso-propanol, acetic acid and water. The absorbance of I₃ (reaction 9) is measured at 362 nm. The post column 105 reactor placed after the HPLC was shown to be fast and precise for the quantification of 106 hydroperoxides. It was found to detect concentrations of decane hydroperoxides as low as 0.1 μ g/L 107 in *n*-decane (\approx 5.7 ×10⁻¹⁰ mol/L). Their study demonstrates that the loss of resolution in 108 chromatograms can be reduced to an acceptable level by a careful design of the post column 109 110 reaction system.

In this work, we propose a new systematic comparison of different methods of the literature for the 111 quantification of the total hydroperoxide content in an alkane fuel. Iodometric titration methods 112 113 (ASTM D3703 and TPP) with colorimetric and potentiometric detections of the endpoint are performed. The method based on the UV-vis absorbance of triiodide ions from reactions (5) and (9) is 114 115 also explored for the first time in the literature and compared to iodometric titration approaches. The main purposes of this study are: (1) to provide experimental data on the reproducibility of the 116 different existent methods, (2) to determine the detection range of each technique, and (3) to 117 develop a method in HPLC, with a post-column reactor and determine its detection and 118 quantification ranges. The surrogate jet fuel used in this work is *n*-decane [10] and the hydroperoxide 119 120 standard is *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP).

121 I. Experimental methods

122 1. <u>Reagents</u>

Potassium iodide (KI), anhydrous, sodium thiosulfate (Na₂S₂O₃; 0.1 N in aqueous solution), and 123 absolute ethanol were purchased from VWR Chemicals. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) (\geq 99 %), 124 125 sodium iodide (Nal) (>99%), triphenylphosphine (TPP Reagent plus[®] 99 %), acetic acid (≥ 99.8 %), 126 *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide in *n*-decane (TBHP / C_{10} ; 5-6 mol/L) 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (isooctane; \geq 99.5 %) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. n-Decane was purchased from Acros Chemicals. To 127 evaluate the performance of the different analytical methods, TBHP/n-decane solutions were 128 129 prepared with decreasing concentrations of TBHP obtained by dilutions. The most diluted solutions (10⁻⁶-10⁻⁹ mol/L) were prepared using the AOC-6000 autosampler of Shimadzu and the dilutions for 130 less diluted concentration $(10^{-2}-10^{-5} \text{ mol/L})$ were done with micropipette. 131

132

2. <u>Preparation of the solution</u>

The first solution used for all of the titrations and the UV-vis spectroscopy was prepared using the protocol from Roohi and Rajabi [12]: 0.5 g of KI (in excess) and 0.5 mL of acetic acid in 30 mL of absolute ethanol were heated for 5 min in medium agitation (400 rpm) to 68°C. Then, a 1 mL sample of TBHP/*n*-decane blend of known concentration was added and heated at 68°C, at the same agitation rate, for 15 min to ensure that reaction (5) was complete. The solution was kept warm during the subsequent manipulations.

139

3. <u>Colorimetric titration</u>

A usual colorimetric dispositive for titration was used. When TPP is the titrant, a 25 mL burette filled with a 0.08 mol/L solution of 2.1g of TPP and 0.01g of BHT in 100mL of *n*-decane is used to titrate iodine and the endpoint of titration was determined when the solution became transparent. When Na₂S₂O₃ (0.025 mol/L) aqueous solution was the titrant (ASTM D3703), the titration endpoint is also reached when the solution becomes transparent. Each experiment was repeated twice.

145 4.

4. <u>Potentiometry</u>

An automated potentiometric titrator (Mettler Toledo G10S Compact Potentiometric Titrator) was used, equipped with a Pt indicator electrode vs. an AgCl / Ag reference electrode was used. For the analysis, the TBHP/I⁻/C₁₀ solution was put in the cell stirred at 20% and two titrants were used: TPP (0.08; 8.0×10^{-3} ; 8.0×10^{-4} ; 8.0×10^{-5} mol/L) in *n*-decane and Na₂S₂O₃ (0.025 mol/L) in water. Each experiment was repeated 3 times

151

5. <u>UV-visible spectroscopy</u>

An alternative to the titration of iodine is to measure the absorbance of the solution formed in equation (5). Indeed, the color is due to the formation of triiodide I_3^- in the presence of I_2 and I^- in the same solution (reaction 9). In absolute ethanol, triiodide has one of its maximal absorbances at a wavelength of 362 nm.

The absorbance depends on the dilution factor of the solution [25]. The Beer-Lambert law is used to link the absorbance of I_2 and its concentration:

158 Α = ε | C

159 A: absorbance of the solution at 362 nm

- 160 ϵ : molar extinction coefficient of I₂ (cm⁻¹ L mol⁻¹)
- 161 I: optical length of quartz spectroscopy cell (cm)

162 C: concentration of I_2 (mol L⁻¹)

A sensitive UV-visible double beam spectrophotometer UV-3600 Shimadzu was used to determine the amount of hydroperoxides in the TBHP/C₁₀ solutions. The absorbance of triiodide formed by the reduction of peroxides and the reaction of I_2 with excess iodide ions was measured at 362 nm for the sample essay [26]. Measurements were done in a 1 mL quartz cell and the cell dimensions were 10x10x40 mm.

(10)

168

169

6. <u>High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)</u>

An HPLC (high-performance liquid chromatography) device combining a separation column in the 170 normal phase (silica) and a post-column reactor (P-cR) was adapted from the work of Cullis et al.[23]. 171 A HPLC Shimadzu Nexera XR was used at a flow of 1 mL per minute of solvent (isooctane: absolute 172 ethanol / 95:5). 10 μ L of the sample TBHP/C₁₀ was injected using an autosampler through the 173 174 separative column. This separative column separates oxygenated products thanks to their polarity. At the exit of this column, the fractions were mixed with a 10% acetic acid in absolute ethanol (0.2 175 mL/min) and a 12.5 g/L solution of NaI in absolute ethanol (0.2 mL/min). The change of iodide salt is 176 due to the ability of KI to obstruct the microfluidic in the HPLC device. This mixture passed through a 177 reactive column packed with 30 µm glass beads, heated at 68°C, with a corresponding residence time 178 of 90 seconds [23]. This residence time was verified by passing toluene, throughout the system with 179 180 and without the post column reactor.

181 Figure 1 presents a schematic representation of the HPLC device.



182 183

Figure 1: Representation of the HPLC-P-cR device used in this work

A chromatogram such as the one presented in Figure 1 at 362 nm was obtained, which allows the indirect hydroperoxide quantification (I³⁻ absorbance at 362 nm) in the sample, after calibration. It can be noted that the PDA (Photo-Diode Array detector) allowed us to record chromatograms for every wavelength between 190 nm and 800 nm. 188 II. Results

The colorimetric method, using two different titrants, is compared to the potentiometric method, as well as UV-spectrophotometric measurement and HPLC coupled to a P-cR using a PDA detector is also used.

192 1. <u>Colorimetric titration</u>

TBHP concentrations were measured by the colorimetric method with two titrants: a solution of TPP in *n*-decane and a solution of sodium thiosulfate in the aqueous phase. Figure 2 presents a comparison between the experimental and the theoretical (vendor certifications for the stock solution) concentrations of TBHP in *n*-decane.

197



Figure 2: Comparison of experimental and theoretical (commercial certifications) TBHP (ROOH)
 concentrations as a function of dilution. Experimental method: colorimetric titration with different
 titrants: TPP in n-decane (A) and thiosulfate in water (B).

Sigma-Aldrich indicates that the concentration of TBHP in *n*-decane (our stock solution) ranges between 5 and 6 mol/L. It is worth noting that their measure of the TBHP concentration is performed using the thiosulfate and colorimetric method. The theorical data used here are assumed to be the dilution of a 5.5 mol/L stock solution.

Figure 2 shows that with Na₂S₂O₃, the data are scattered with a low correlation coefficient and a 206 deviation in the parity plot (y = 1.13x), while with the TPP titrant, the correlation coefficient is near 1 207 and the results are close to parity between theoretical and measured values. Using TPP titrant, we 208 observed that the data are well reproducible up 6.0×10^{-2} mol/L. The detection of the titration 209 endpoint with the colorimetric method quantifies hydroperoxides for concentrations as low as $6.0 \times$ 210 10⁻² mol/L. For more diluted concentrations, the change of color at the endpoint was no longer 211 visually detectable. The upper limit of detection with this approach was found to be equal to the 212 maximum concentration that we could reach, the one of the stock solution: 5.5 mol/L. 213

214 2. <u>Potentiometric titration</u>

Potentiometry has been used for the determination of the titration endpoint with thiosulfate and TPP titrants. The experimental results are shown in Figure 3. TPP in *n*-decane was used at different concentrations (0.08 mol/L, 8×10^{-3} mol/L, 8×10^{-5} mol/L) since the volume of a droplet in the potentiometer need to stay small compared to the one in the burette.



219

220

221

Figure 3: Comparison between experimental and theoretical (vendor certification) TBHP concentrations in n-decane. Potentiometric titration with thiosulfate.

The potentiometric method using $Na_2S_2O_3$ (0.025 mol/L) in water as titrant shows a scattering of the experimental points (Figure 3). The miscibility issues induced by the use of an aqueous titrant led to problems. For this reason, only a limited number of dilution points were explored. These miscibility issues are discussed in more details later in the text. Only a high concentration of peroxides can be measured as the measure fails at ROOH concentration below 1.0×10^{-2} mol/L. Indeed, the highest concentration leads to a higher immiscibility, which determine the limitation of this method.

Figure 4 presents the parity plot between theoretical and experimental ROOH concentrations, obtained by potentiometric titration with TPP in *n*-decane.

230



231

Figure 4: Comparison between experimental and theoretical (vendor certifications in x error bars)
 TBHP concentrations and potentiometric titration with TPP in n-decane.

Figure 4 shows a very good agreement between the theoretical and experimental concentrations for 234 the different concentrations of TBHP in *n*-decane, highlighting the efficiency of the potentiometric 235 titration method with TPP in *n*-decane in organic solutions. ROOH concentrations as low as 5.5×10^{-5} 236 mol/L can be reached and the highest concentration is 5.5×10^{-1} mol/L, which is restricted by the 237 detection limit of the potentiometer. The experimental results of the quantification of peroxides in 238 the different samples of TBHP in n-decane agree well (Mean relative deviation (MRD) of 32.7%) with 239 240 the commercial concentrations. The limit of detection of this method is considerably lower than the colorimetric approach with the same reagents and titrants 241

The MRD obtained by colorimetric titration with TPP in *n*-decane as titrant is 14.8%, while the that 243 with sodium thiosulfate in water titrant is 20.7%. As already mentioned, the TPP in *n*-decane titrant is 244 better suited for the titration of hydroperoxydes in organic solvent because of miscibility issues when 245 aqueous thiosulfate is used in these solvents. Indeed, the main difference in the titration with an 246 247 aqueous phase titrant and an organic phase titrant lies in the formation of a biphasic medium which 248 brings accuracy issues in the colorimetric (and potentiometric) methods. Figure 5 illustrates the nonmiscibility problems observed when thiosulfate is used as the titrant. Figures 5a and 5b clearly show 249 that two phases are involved when water is involved in the titration. The colorimetric determination 250 251 of the endpoint of titration can even be challenging as illustrated in Figure 5c.



252

Figure 5: Pictures representing the different states of colorimetric titration of iodine (before the equivalence volume (a, c) and after (b, d) using an aqueous solution of $Na_2S_2O_3$ and using TPP.

Na₂S₂O₃

TPP

255

Potentiometry cannot be effective on two-phase solutions since the potential of the solution is not homogeneous. In the potentiometric titration, the potential of the indicator electrode in relative to the reference electrode is measured as a function of the titrant volume. In this titration, after adding drops of titrant, the cell potential (in millivolts) is tested and recorded. The volume of titrant added is reduced as the system gets closer to the endpoint of titration. The MRD of TPP with potentiometric titration is 13.0%, which is lower than the detection of endpoint with color change. Note that dilution uncertainties are preponderant in the reported MRD because successive dilutions increase the uncertainty in the reference TBHP concentrations. We demonstrate that potentiometry with TPP in
 n-decane is accurate, easy to program with an automated titrator and allows a wide range of ROOH
 quantification.

266 It can be noted that all the colorimetric titration results show that the TBHP concentration is within267 the upper limit of the supplier certifications (between 5 and 6 mol/L).

268

3. <u>UV-visible spectroscopy</u>

The titrations methods are based on the quantification of I₂ formed in the iodometric reaction (5) by its reaction with TPP or thiosulfate. I₂ can also be directly detected by UV-vis absorption and we explored this approach in this section. The absorbances at 362 nm of the diluted solutions of TBHP in *n*-decane, from higher concentrations to 1×10^{-7} mol/L, and subjected to the protocol of Roohi and Rajabi [20] (reaction (5)) were systematically measured and the results are presented in **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**.



275

Figure 6: Absorbance at 362 nm of TBHP in n-decane solutions and the concentrations obtained using
 potentiometric titration.

278

Figure 6 shows that the absorbance of the mixtures is linear with concentration over 3 orders of magnitude. The linearity is established with a good correlation coefficient of 0.996 with the experimental concentration determined by the potentiometric titration using TPP. This reference concentration was used rather than the vendor one because we demonstrated that potentiometric titration with TPP is more accurate (see discussion section below) than the colorimetric thiosulfate titration used by the vendor. The main uncertainties observed can be explained by the repeated dilutions of TBHP stock solution (5.5-6.5M). Indeed, the errors seem to be corelated with the syringe precision used for the dilution and increase with the different double or triple dilutions.

Using UV-vis absorbance, lower concentrations as low as 1.0×10^{-6} mol/L of ROOH can be detected compared to potentiometric titration with TPP, and concentrations as low as to 1.0×10^{-5} mol/L can be quantified rigorously. We also see that a higher concentration of ROOH cannot be measured by this absorption method because the absorbance is above 2 for concentrations above about 5.0×10^{-3} mol/L. Above 2, absorbance is known to poorly follow linearly Beer-Lambert law with a spectrophotometer.

293

The absorbance technique seems to be more reproducible than potentiometry since the standard deviation of each point is less than 9% for the lower concentrations and the mean standard deviation of each point for the potentiometric titration is 13% as specified in Table S1. The error of the dilution of the stock solution of TBHP seems to be linear enough to not affect the linearity of absorbance in the Beer-Lambert law.

Spectroscopy UV-vis is a useful and easy tool widely applied in the scientific fields for its easy use and the detection and the quantification limits are mostly limited by the absorbance of the solution and the path length of the cell. However, with the spectroscopy method, a calibration curve is needed based on a standard solution. This is different from the titration methods, which give an absolute content of ROOH. The accuracy of the UV-vis method will therefore depend on the accuracy of the external calibration done with a peroxide or iodine solution. The MRD increase with the concentration so this method is not adapted for high concentration above 5.5×10^{-3} mol/L.

4. <u>High Performance Liquid Chromatography</u>

Experiments consisted in 10 μ L or 40 μ L injections of diluted TBHP solutions in eluent (isooctane: absolute ethanol 95:5) in the HPLC chain described in Figure 1. Chromatograms that measured the signal of I₃⁻ at 362 nm are presented in **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**.



310

306



Figure 7: Chromatograms of different diluted solutions injected in the HPLC-P-cR device measured at 313 362 nm (10 μ L injected at 1 mL/min for 10 min). Chromatogram A: solid blue line: [ROOH] = 5.5 × 10⁻³ 314 mol/L; Chromatogram B: orange line: [ROOH] = 5.5 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L, yellow line: [ROOH] = 10⁻⁵ mol/L and 315 haven line: [ROOH] = 5.5 × 10⁻⁷ mol/L

315 *brown line:* $[ROOH] = 5.5 \times 10^{-7} mol/L.$

316

It can be noted that in our HPLC-P-cR method, absolute ethanol was used instead of isopropanol, which is commonly used in this type of experiment, because we observed that it has the property of readily producing hydroperoxides, probably due to its facile autoxidation. A new solution of absolute ethanol was used every day of experiments and the baseline was checked each time. For these results, the baseline check showed an error \pm 0.497 mAU. We can determine a peak if its height is at least 10 times higher than this error. Every peak included in this work outlined this error bar. The results presented in Figure 7 show that the HPLC set-up used can detect I_3^- , produced in the postcolumn reactor (reactions (5) and (10)) for concentrations as low as 10^{-7} mol/L. The presence of peaks at the retention time of 7.5 +/- 0.15 min and the difference of retention times is due to the quantity of TBHP injected in the HPLC. This method is reproducible: each experiment was repeated at least 2 times and the deviation is generally 1.45 mAU which is adequate and the detailed mean standard deviation is shown in table S4.

Using the THBP / *n*-decane solutions of known concentrations, we calibrated the response of the HPLC-P-cR. Figure 8 presents the results obtained for ROOH concentrations ranging between 0.55 and 5.5×10^{-9} mol/L.



Figure 8: Area of the peaks at 362nm as a function of the theoretical concentration of TBHP (10 μ L injected at 1 mL/min for 10min). Left panel: all data over the full range of concentrations explored. Right panel: zoom on the linearity zone ($R^2 = 0.9925$); triangles are the mean values of the repeated measurements.

337

332

In Figure 8, we can observe that the area of the peaks decreases with the dilution factor. However, this decrease is not linear and three concentration zones appear, that establish the detection and the quantification limits of this technique. We observe that the response is linear from 1.0×10^{-3} to 5.0×10^{-5} mol/L. Above 1.0×10^{-3} mol/L, the absorbance is too high and the Beer-Lambert law no longer applies. Below 5.0×10^{-5} mol/L, the hydroperoxide content in fresh *n*-decane

343 which is the diluent has to be considered. Indeed, the fresh bottle of *n*-decane was found to contain 3.59×10^{-5} mol/L of hydroperoxides, from the natural aging of the liquid, and explains the 344 plateau observed for the lower concentrations. This artifact due to the presence of hydroperoxides in 345 the diluent highlights the importance of the checking for the presence of hydroperoxides in the 346 solvents used in HPLC-P-cR. Commercially available hydroperoxides are scarce and the only 347 molecules available in organic solvents were TBHP in *n*-decane and cumylhydroperoxide in cumene. 348 349 It is expected that dilutions with cumene to study cumylhydroperoxide would suffer from the same problems because cumene is prone to autoxidation. In order to determine the potential lowest limit 350 of detection of our method, a I₂ solution in absolute ethanol mixed with NaI and acetic acid was 351 352 injected in the device after removing the 2 columns (analytic and P-cR). The lowest detection limit of I_3^- at 362nm in the PDA cell was determined to be 2.5 × 10⁻¹¹ mol/L. 353

Figure 8 also shows that concentrations up to 0.55 mol/L can be detected until the saturation of the detector occurs. It can be noted that in Figure 8, the absorbances are plotted as a function of the theoretical concentration, and not the one from potentiometric titration, because it was not possible to quantify [ROOH] with potentiometric titration for the lowest concentration range (below 5.5×10^{-5} mol/L).

The HPLC-P-cR setup quantifies ROOH in solution by determining the concentration of I_3 using an easy and reproducible method, for a large range of concentrations. In addition, this method has the potential to separate and quantify different ROOH structures in a mixture of oxidized components. Adsorption chromatography is well suited for oxidized fuels, since it leads to the formation of oxygenated low molecular mass products. Since the analytical column is normal phase, an efficient separation of polar and non-polar fractions can be achieved. Hydroperoxides are very polar molecules so these molecules will be well retained and elute at the end of each analysis.

An overview of the different methods explored in this work for the quantification of hydroperoxides
 is given in Figure 9, along with their detection and quantification limits.



368

Figure 9: Detection limits and quantification range of each techniques | Doted part are detection
 limits and plain part are quantification range

From Figure 9, it appears that the titration methods with TPP in *n*-decane allow ROOH to be detected 371 372 and quantified for the higher concentration ranges. The use of potentiometry enable the measure of 373 considerably lower concentrations compared to colorimetry. ROOH quantifications based on the absorbance of I₃ ions at 362 nm in a standard UV-vis spectrometer and in the HPLC-P-cR chain, are 374 efficient for the lowest ROOH concentration ranges. The use of a post-column in HPLC is found to 375 have the larger detection range among all the methods. The quantification of traces of ROOH, 376 377 meaning an extension of the lower limit of quantification, require the use of solvent free of hydroperoxides and it should be pointed out that for HPLC, as for UV-vis used in the latter method, 378 an external calibration is required to obtain the iodine response, which was not necessary with the 379 potentiometric titrations. It may be noted that the detection limits presented here are the 380 intrinsic values of the methods and that the upper detection limit could be extended by 381 sample dilution. However, as noted several times in the manuscript, successive dilutions 382 inevitably lead to an increase in measurement uncertainty. 383

384 Conclusion

This work compared the reproducibility and detection limits of different techniques of hydroperoxides quantification in fuels. The change of color and potential in iodometric titrations and the UV-Vis absorbance of the solutions were monitored during different methods. A commercial solution of TBHP in *n*-decane was used as standard and diluted. Potentiometric and colorimetric

titrations showed to be reproducible at high concentrations, especially when using TPP as titrant in 389 an organic phase. The use of thiosulfate in an aqueous phase as a titrant is poorly reproducible and 390 explains the limitations of this ASTM standard method. The potentiometric method gives 391 reproducible results until a concentration of 10⁻⁴ mol/L. Below that value, experimental uncertainties 392 take a larger place and biased the results. Spectroscopy using UV-vis absorption at 362 nm is able to 393 quantified hydroperoxides in a reproducible way until 10^{-6} mol/L. The results obtained with the new 394 HPLC coupled with a post-reactor column for the iodometric reduction of hydroperoxides are very 395 promising because concentration as low as 10⁻¹¹ mol/L can be detected and ROOH were quantified 396 for concentrations as low as 10⁻⁷ mol/L. HPLC is also interesting thanks to the possibility of this device 397 to separate hydroperoxides in an aged fuel and quantify their concentrations in a more complex 398 mixture. 399

400 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

401 The project leading to this application has received funding from the European Research Council

402 (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement

403 No 101003318). This work was also financially supported by the BioACe project, grant ANR-18-CE05-

404 002 of the French National Research Agency, and the Grand-Est region. The authors thank Yvonne

405 Anak Derrick Warren and Emilien Girot for their help with the experiments.

406

407

408 REFERENCES

409 [1] Pradelle F, Braga SL, Martins ARFA, Turkovics F, Pradelle RNC. Gum Formation in Gasoline and Its
410 Blends: A Review. Energy & Fuels 2015;29(12):7753-70.

411 [2] Bacha K, Ben-Amara A, Vannier A, Alves-Fortunato M, Nardin M. Oxidation Stability of Diesel/Biodiesel
412 Fuels Measured by a PetroOxy Device and Characterization of Oxidation Products. Energy & Fuels
413 2015;29(7):4345-55.

- 414 [3] Chatelain K, Nicolle A, Ben Amara A, Catoire L, Starck L. Wide Range Experimental and Kinetic
 415 Modeling Study of Chain Length Impact on n-Alkanes Autoxidation. Energy & Fuels 2016;30(2):1294416 303.
- 417 [4] Hazlett R. Thermal Oxidation Stability of Aviation Turbine Fuels. 1991.

- 418 [5] Alborzi E, Gadsby P, Ismail MS, Sheikhansari A, Dwyer MR, Meijer AJHM, et al. Comparative Study of
 419 the Effect of Fuel Deoxygenation and Polar Species Removal on Jet Fuel Surface Deposition. Energy &
 420 Fuels 2019;33(3):1825-36.
- 421 [6] Aminane S, Sicard M, Melliti Y, Ser F, Sicard L. Experimental study of the kinetics of degradation of n 422 dodecane under thermo-oxidative stress at low temperature and mechanism inferred. Fuel
 423 2022;307:121669.
- 424 [7] Zabarnick S, West ZJ, Arts A, Griesenbrock M, Wrzesinski P. Studies of the Impact of Fuel 425 Deoxygenation on the Formation of Autoxidative Deposits. Energy & Fuels 2020;34(11):13814-21.
- 426[8]DeWitt MJ, West Z, Zabarnick S, Shafer L, Striebich R, Higgins A, et al. Effect of Aromatics on the427Thermal-Oxidative Stability of Synthetic Paraffinic Kerosene. Energy & Fuels 2014;28(6):3696-703.
- 428[9]Bär F, Knorr M, Schröder O, Hopf H, Garbe T, Krahl J. Rancimat vs. rapid small scale oxidation test429(RSSOT) correlation analysis, based on a comprehensive study of literature. Fuel 2021;291:120160.
- 430 [10] Le MD, El Sayah Z, Benrabah R, Warth V, Glaude PA, Privat R, et al. An experimental and detailed
 431 kinetic modeling of the thermal oxidation stability of n-decane as a jet fuel surrogate component. Fuel
 432 2023;342:127754.
- 433 [11] Morris RE, Black BH, Mitchell CS. AN IMPROVED METHOD FOR MEASURING HYDROPEROXIDES IN FUEL
 434 USING POTENTIOMETRY AND ALTERNATIVES TO CHLOROFLUOROCARBON SOLVENTS. Fuel Science
 435 and Technology International 1994;12(7-8):1003-18.
- 436[12]Le MD, Warth V, Giarracca L, Moine E, Bounaceur R, Privat R, et al. Development of a Detailed Kinetic437Model for the Oxidation of n-Butane in the Liquid Phase. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B4382021;125(25):6955-67.
- 439 [13] Denisov ET, Afanas' ev IB. Oxidation and antioxidants in organic chemistry and biology. CRC press,
 440 Boca Raton; 2005.
- 441[14]Chatelain K, Nicolle A, Ben Amara A, Starck L, Catoire L. Structure–Reactivity Relationships in Fuel442Stability: Experimental and Kinetic Modeling Study of Isoparaffin Autoxidation. Energy & Fuels4432018;32(9):9415-26.
- [15] Zabarnick S. Chemical kinetic modeling of jet fuel autoxidation and antioxidant chemistry. Industrial &
 Engineering Chemistry Research 1993;32(6):1012-7.
- 446 [16] Watkins JM, Jr., Mushrush GW, Hazlett RN, Beal EJ. Hydroperoxide formation and reactivity in jet
 447 fuels. Energy & Fuels 1989;3(2):231-6.
- [17] Nadkarni R, Nadkarni R. Guide to ASTM test methods for the analysis of petroleum products and
 lubricants. ASTM International West Conshohocken; 2007.
- 450[18]West ZJ, Zabarnick S, Striebich RC. Determination of Hydroperoxides in Jet Fuel via Reaction with451Triphenylphosphine. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 2005;44(10):3377-83.
- Perkel AL, Krutskaya LV, Freidin BG. Application of Triphenylphosphine to the Gas Chromatographic
 Determination of Peroxides in the Oxidation Products of Organic Compounds. Zhurnal Analiticheskoi
 Khimii 1994;49:768.
- Roohi H, Rajabi M. Iodometric Determination of Hydroperoxides in Hydrocarbon Autoxidation
 Reactions Using Triphenylphosphine Solution as a Titrant: A New Protocol. Industrial & Engineering
 Chemistry Research 2018;57(20):6805-14.
- 458 [21] El-Sayah Z, Glaude P-A, Fournet R, Sirjean B. Comparison of the Effects of Different Biofuels on the 459 Oxidation Stability of a Hydrocarbon Fuel. SAE Technical Paper 2020; 2020-01-2101.
- 460[22]Longobardi F, Contillo F, Catucci L, Tommasi L, Caponio F, Paradiso VM. Analysis of peroxide value in461olive oils with an easy and green method. Food Control 2021;130:108295.
- 462 [23] Cullis C, Hirschler M, Rogers R. The oxidation of decane in the liquid and gaseous phases. Proceedings
 463 of the Royal Society of London A Mathematical and Physical Sciences 1981;375(1763):543-63.
- 464[24]Deelder RS, Kroll MGF, van den Berg JHM. Determination of trace amounts of hydroperoxides by465column liquid chromatography and colorimetric detection. Journal of Chromatography A4661976;125(1):307-14.
- 467 [25]Stein RA, Slawson V. Spectrophotometric Hydroperoxide Determination by the Use of468Triphenylphosphine. Analytical Chemistry 1963;35(8):1008-10.
- 469 [26] Kireev SV, Shnyrev SL. Study of molecular iodine, iodate ions, iodide ions, and triiodide ions solutions
 470 absorption in the UV and visible light spectral bands. Laser Physics 2015;25(7):075602.