

# Computational discovery of two-dimensional copper chalcogenides Cu X ( X = S, Se, Te)

Somayeh Faraji, Busheng Wang, Hubert Okadome Valencia, Gilles Frapper

### ▶ To cite this version:

Somayeh Faraji, Busheng Wang, Hubert Okadome Valencia, Gilles Frapper. Computational discovery of two-dimensional copper chalcogenides Cu X (X = S, Se, Te). Physical Review Materials, 2021, 5 (12), pp.124007. 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.124007. hal-04460983

## HAL Id: hal-04460983 https://hal.science/hal-04460983

Submitted on 16 Feb 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### Computational Discovery of 2D Copper Chalcogenides CuX (S, Se, Te)

Somayeh Faraji,<sup>1, \*</sup> Busheng Wang,<sup>1</sup> Hubert Okadome Valencia,<sup>2</sup> and Gilles Frapper<sup>1, \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Applied Quantum Chemistry group, E4 team, IC2MP UMR 7285, Université de Poitiers - CNRS,

4. rue Michel Brunet TSA 51106 - 86073 Poitiers Cedex 9, France

<sup>2</sup>Department of Material Physics, Nagoya University Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

(Dated: November 28, 2021)

We investigate the energy landscape of 2D CuS, CuSe, and CuTe compounds using a global evolutionary algorithm in combination with density functional theory (DFT). Four low-lying energy  $Cu_2X_2$  (X=S, Se, Te) slabs with  $P\bar{3}m1$ , P4/mmm, P4/nmm, and Pmmn symmetries have been identified on their respective potential energy surfaces. Three structures present tetrahedral CuX<sub>4</sub> motifs which pave the 2D slabs, while the latter is based on shared  $Cu_4X_2$  octahedra. The viability of each phase was examined by looking at dynamical, thermodynamic, and thermal stability criteria. We find that 2D copper monosulfide crystallizes in the  $P\bar{3}m1$  phase, reminiscent of the covalent slab found in the CaAl<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> prototype. Two polymorphs of 2D copper selenide with symmetries  $P\bar{3}m1$  and P4/mmm are close in energy. The latter  $Cu_2Se_2$  slab is made of fused  $Cu_4Se_2$  square bipyramids with electronegative Se atoms in apical positions. Finally, the ground-state 2D-CuTe has a Pmmn space group containing distorted CuTe<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra with some Te-Te bonding. The electronic and bond analyses show that all of these predicted 2D CuX phases are metallic with iono-covalent bonds.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) materials present a new class of materials with novel physics which have attracted significant interest in recent years, particularly since the discovery of graphene as the first room-temperature 2D material.<sup>1</sup> Such 2D materials exhibit a wide range of extraordinary optical, mechanical, and electronic properties which are markedly different from their bulk counterparts. Owing to such properties, they have attracted the interest of many experimental and theoretical researchers to keep searching for novel 2D materials and understand their specific physical and chemical properties. While the focus of most of the initial researches has been concentrated on 2D semiconductors,<sup>2</sup> a growing interest has been shown to metallic 2D materials, especially transition metal mono-chalcogenides MX (M = transition metal like Cu, Fe, Pb, etc.; X=S, Se, Te).<sup>3–5</sup>

Binary copper chalcogenides CuX (X = S, Se, and Te) are potential materials with applications in photothermal therapy<sup>6</sup>, batteries<sup>7-9</sup>, and room-temperature sensors<sup>10</sup>. Copper sulfide nanocrystals have received special attention due to their unique optoelectronic properties.<sup>11</sup> Among them, CuS, known as covellite, is one of the most studied copper sulfides due to its large ion diffusion and adsorption band gap. It is, therefore, a good candidate for technological applications such as ammonia gas sensor<sup>10</sup>, anticancer drugs<sup>12</sup>, catalysts<sup>9,13</sup>, glucose biosensors<sup>14</sup>, and electrode materials for rechargeable Li-ion<sup>11,15</sup> or Mg-based<sup>16</sup> batteries. The copperselenide system presents many phases with potential applications for photothermal therapy<sup>6</sup>, thermoelectric materials<sup>17</sup>, or electrodes for supercapacitors<sup>18</sup>. Finally, the copper-telluride system is viewed as the most complex copper-chalcogenide, owing to its several nonidentical polymorphs for different Cu:Te ratios<sup>19–21</sup>. Its large thermoelectric power has attracted the interest of many researchers.<sup>22,23</sup> It can also be viewed as a quasi-onedimensional charge density wave system  $^{24}$  which is an intriguing fundamental phenomenon.  $^{25}$ 

In addition to the studies briefly introduced above on bulk CuX materials, their low-dimensional structures have also drawn a lot of attention. In 2012, a singlecrystal nanosheet of CuS with a thickness of 3.2 nm was fabricated<sup>11</sup> and used for rechargeable magnesium batteries<sup>16</sup>. Recently, a computational work based on DFT calculations has demonstrated that monolaver graphenelike structure CuS was unstable and the three-layered CuS (3L-CuS) with a thickness of 0.773 nm was predicted to be intrinsically stable.<sup>26</sup> Besides, a CuS structure with flower-like microspheres was synthesized<sup>27</sup>. It was shown that its loose shell was constructed from a graphene-like CuS nanosheet. To our knowledge, the 3L-CuS is therefore proposed to be the thinnest stable free-standing CuS nanosheet with covellite stoichiometry. The structural, optical, and electronic properties of thin films of CuSe were also investigated.<sup>28</sup> The fabrication of a monolayer of CuSe grown on a Cu substrate was first reported in 2017.<sup>29</sup> It presents periodic patterns of triangular nanopores with uniform size and was reported as a honeycomb lattice of CuSe layers. A similar 1D moiré pattern of planar CuSe monolayers was then synthesized.<sup>30</sup> Then, it was shown that there is a strong electrical anisotropy and current-voltage curves along the zigzag and armchair directions of this graphenelike structure.<sup>31</sup> Thin films of copper telluride have been experimentally synthesized by many groups<sup>32,33</sup> and are used as a back-contact for CdTe-based solar cells.<sup>34</sup> The latter structure was characterized by XRD as a polycrystalline structure, with preferred (101), (112), and (020)orientations.<sup>33</sup>

Despite experimental breakthrough in copper chalcogenides chemistry, the discovery of novel 2D materials is usually time-consuming and expensive. In this respect, crystal structure prediction (CSP) searches emerge as an efficient approach to accelerate the discovery and design of new 2D materials.<sup>35,36</sup> To extend the 2D CuX based structures family, we performed extensive structural searches of 2D CuX by employing an evolutionary algorithm in combination with DFT calculations.

In this paper, we report the discovery of six new phases of 2D CuX materials (X=S, Se, Te) from only the knowledge of their chemical composition. These phases are named 2D CuS-P4/mmm, CuS-P4/nmm, CuS-Pmmn, CuSe-P4/mmm, CuSe-Pmmn, and CuTe-P4/nmm. We investigated their viability<sup>37</sup> by the DFT study of their thermodynamic, dynamical, and thermal stabilities of twelve 2D CuX structures within  $P\bar{3}m1$ , P4/nmm, P4/mmm, and Pmmn space groups as well as their bonding and electronic properties.

The present work is organized as follows. Section II provides information on the employed computational tools and the methods such as the configurational search approach, DFT calculations, and the main criteria for establishing the stability of the proposed 2D structures. The 2D evolutionary algorithm crystal structure search results are discussed in section III A. The energetic and thermal stabilities of the four selected 2D CuX phases are discussed in section III B. The chemical bonding and electronic properties are described in section III C. Our conclusion and final remarks are provided in the last section.

## II. METHODS AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

#### A. Crystal structure prediction searches

Configurational exploration methods based on computation enable the pre-screening for 2D materials by rapidly and accurately identifying 2D material candidates. In this study, we first examined the energy landscape of each 2D CuX (X=S, Se, Te) compounds by a series of searches using a fixed-composition evolutionary algorithm (EA) as implemented in the Universal Structure Predictor: Evolutionary Xtallography (USPEX) software.<sup>38-40</sup> We used an EA specifically designed in the USPEX code for 2D CSP. During the CSP search, the geometry optimization and total energy calculations of a 2D crystalline structure are carried out using DFT as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package  $(VASP \text{ version } 5.4.4)^{41,42}$ . The first-principles methodology is described in the next section (Sec.IIB). Primitive cells containing up to 8 atoms are considered. In the first iteration of USPEX (i.e. the first EA generation), we chose a large number of 80 structures to be generated randomly. All subsequent generations contain at least 60 structures produced from the previous generation, using evolutionary variation operators defined in Refs 38–40. A ratio of 50%, 30%, and 20% is chosen for the generation of these 60 structures using heredity, random planar symmetry, and transmutation (of Cu and X atoms), respectively. In 3D space, layers of 2D materials are separated by a vacuum thickness of 15 Å to avoid interlayer interactions. In USPEX calculation, five successive steps of increasing accuracy are employed to improve the geometry convergence. The *ab initio* methodology corresponding to the latest step is described in Section IIB. Then, all 2D CuX structures within 200 meV/atom of the convex-hull (formed by the structure with the most favorable total energy) are considered as possible thermodynamic (meta)stable phases. The geometrical and electronic properties of them are investigated in the following.

#### B. DFT methodology

The first-principles calculations were performed using a projected-augmented-wave (PAW)<sup>43</sup> method as implemented in the VASP package. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)<sup>44</sup> exchange-correlation functional was used within the generalized gradient approximation  $(GGA)^{45}$ . A cutoff energy of 520 eV was used for all the systems. All of the 2D structures were optimized until the net forces on the atoms were below 1 meV/Å and the total stress tensor deviated by  $\leq 0.01$  GPa, resulting in enthalpies that converged to less than 1 meV/atom (lower than a chemical accuracy of 1 kcal/mol, i.e., 0.04 eV/atom). The Brillouin zone was sampled using a  $\Gamma$ -centered, uniform k-point grid with a resolution of  $2\pi \times 0.04$  Å<sup>-1</sup> for all selected structures. All EA searches are done at the PBE level of theory: the enthalpy at zero Kelvin is computed at the same PBE-level methodology that was used to optimize the crystal structure. For the energetic aspect, the Strongly Constrained and Appropriately Normed (SCAN) meta-GGA functional<sup>46</sup> is also used. Finally, to determine the electronic properties, the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE06) functional<sup>47</sup> was used on the PBE-level optimized structure and referred to as HSE06//PBE. Because d-splitting is sensitive to the quantum mechanical methodologies, the electronic structures of all the predicted 2D CuX were also obtained by using Hubbard model, i.e. PBE+U<sup>48,49</sup> and employing U=4 eV. Furthermore, the magnetism was checked to determine the preferred magnetic ground for our 2D CuX, as presented in Table S2 of the Supporting Information (SI). All 2D CuX phases are nonmagnetic, therefore, spin polarization was not taken into account in this work.

#### C. Criteria of stability

In performing a CSP search for novel materials, an essential aspect is to judge the stability of the predicted phases. For this purpose, three main criteria have been used in our study to examine the dynamical, thermodynamical, and thermal stability of our 2D CuX candidates.

We assert the structure as dynamically stable by the absence of imaginary frequencies in the phonon dispersion diagram. The structure then corresponds to a local enthalpy minimum, i.e. a stable or metastable crystalline solid. Real-space force constants were calculated using the Density Functional Perturbation Theory  $(DFPT)^{50}$  as implemented in the VASP package which relies on finite displacements. The calculations were done on supercells of the PBE-optimized structure candidates and phonon frequencies were calculated from the force constants using the Phonopy<sup>51</sup> code.

The thermodynamic stability of a 2D CuX structure was examined by calculating its enthalpy of formation and cohesive energy. The former was given at zero Kelvin as  $\Delta H_{\rm f} = H_{\rm CuX} - (H_{\rm Cu} + H_{\rm X})$ . The enthalpy H was obtained by  $H = E_{\rm e} + PV$ , with  $E_{\rm e}$ , P, and V, the total electronic energy, external pressure, and structure cell volume, respectively. The bulk of Cu  $(Fm\bar{3}m)$ , S  $(P2_1/c)$ , Se  $(P2_1/c)$ , and Te  $(P3_121)$  were taken as references for the calculation of  $H_{\rm Cu}$ ,  $H_{\rm S}$ ,  $H_{\rm Se}$ , and  $H_{\rm Te}$ , respectively.

The effect of the Zero Point Energy (ZPE) on the stability of bulk and 2D CuX compounds was also studied. Its inclusion only slightly modified the enthalpies of formation and the cohesive energies. Therefore, the energies presented in this work are not ZPE-corrected.

Besides the dynamical stability criterion, we investigated the thermal stability of each proposed CuX structure at different temperatures by performing *ab initio* molecular dynamic (AIMD) simulations. The chosen 2D supercells are of  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  or  $3 \times 3 \times 1$  sizes, with number of atoms up to 128. These AIMD calculations were carried out in the NVT canonical ensemble by employing the Nose-Hoover thermostat. The initial temperature was set at 1000 K, then if the 2D CuX structure decomposes, a second AIMD simulation was performed at 800 K, and so on at 600, 400 K, and finally at 300 K. The AIMD simulation time is 10 ps in total with a time step of 2 fs. The VASP software using the previously described PBE functional within GGA was used, while the Brillouin zone integration was reduced to the  $\Gamma$  point of the supercells, owing to the high computational cost of the AIMD simulations.

#### D. Bonding and electronic properties analysis

To perform chemical bonding analysis, we obtained band structures, total and projected density of states (DOS), and electron localization function from the optimized PBE geometries obtained by VASP. Additionally, crystal overlap Hamilton population, was calculated using the LOBSTER<sup>52</sup> package. The bond orders (BO) were computed by the Chargemol program<sup>53</sup> using the Manz' bond-order equation<sup>54</sup> with density derived electrostatic and chemical (DDEC6) partitioning<sup>53,55</sup>. The charge analyses were performed by employing the Bader charge analysis program of Henkelman *et al.*<sup>56</sup> Finally, the GDIS visualization software<sup>57</sup> which interfaced the USPEX and VASP codes was employed. In this article, images of the structures are produced using VESTA<sup>58</sup> software.

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. In silico prediction of new copper chalcogenides phases

We performed extensive CSP searches of 2D CuX (X=S, Se, Te) as explained in Section IIA. For each copper chalcogenide system, more than 800 structures were generated per USPEX run. These structures define a Potential Energy Surface (PES) on which we select all low-lying energetic 2D CuX structures within a 200 meV/atom energy window (see FIG. S1 of SI which illustrates some of these geometries). Following this criterion and phonon calculations, four stationary points are localized on the PES, that correspond to hexagonal  $P\bar{3}m1$ , square P4/mmn, and P4/nmm, and orthorhombic (centered rectangular) Pmmn Bravais lattices. Their 2D crystal structures are displayed in Fig. 1 and their bonding is discussed in section IIIC. In the following, we discuss general structural aspects of these four geometries.



FIG. 1. (color online) Views of the four single  $Cu_2X_2$  sheets of 2D CuX phases, (a)  $P\bar{3}m1$ , (b) P4/mmm, (c) P4/nmm and (d) Pmmn. Their copper-chalcogen coordination polyhedra are enlightened. Cu and X (X= S, Se, Te) atoms are shown in blue and yellow, respectively.

Hexagonal  $P\bar{3}m1$  slab presents the structural arrangement found in the anionic sublattice of CaAl<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> structure type while square P4/nmm structure is isostructural to the Cr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> layers found in ThCr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> structure type. In both  $P\bar{3}m1$  and P4/nmm structures, Cu atom resides at the center of an X<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron, and these tetrahedra pack differently to form the aforementioned types of 2D crystals. In  $P\bar{3}m1$ , each tetrahedron shares three of its six edges, while in P4/nmm it shares four edges.

The third structure of 2D CuX, P4/mmm, has also a square lattice. P4/mmm and P4/nmm slabs have both square copper and chalcogen lattices. These compounds differ from the packing of their two chalcogen monolayers,

i.e. an AA packing is observed in P4/mmm with  $Cu_4X_2$  square bipyramidal units sharing four Cu-Cu edges while an AB packing is present in P4/nmm.

Finally, the fourth and last crystal structure type of 2D CuX has a centered rectangular lattice with a Pmmn space group. The Cu<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> slab may be viewed as a "compressed" P4/nmm structure along one lattice direction, leading to Cu<sub>4</sub> rhombi with square tilings. Here, a X<sub>4</sub> square net transforms into a X<sub>4</sub> rectangular network from P4/nmm to Pmmn 2D structures, and the copper lattice is slightly corrugated in Pmmn phase.

All  $P\bar{3}m1$ , P4/nmm and Pmmn phases have CuX<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra (elongated in Pmmn), while P4/mmm presents CuX<sub>4</sub> square planar units. Finally, while  $P\bar{3}m1$  presents three-coordinated Cu centers in its metal sublattice (nearest Cu atoms), the other 2D structures have four-coordinated Cu centers. All chalcogen atoms are connected to four nearest Cu neighbors. We will describe the calculated structural parameters in more detail further in Section III C.

We note that these four CuX slabs present a different energy ranking depending on the chalcogen element X (see Fig. 2), and a dedicated discussion will follow in section IIIB. We confirmed that these twelve stationary points are local minima, owing to their dynamical stability. This is shown by the absence of imaginary frequencies in their phonon dispersion curves (see FIG.S2 of the SI).

Our EA-DFT searches lead to novel 2D copper chalcogenide materials, namely P4/mmm CuX phases (X=S, Se, Te), CuS P4/nmm and CuSe Pmmn. While other found structures have been previously proposed, i.e. CuS  $P\bar{3}m1$  and Pmmn, CuSe  $P\bar{3}m1$  and P4/nmm, and CuTe  $P\bar{3}m1$ , P4/nmm, and Pmmn, no detailed study of the dynamic, thermodynamic, and thermal stabilities was reported. It is therefore the topic of the next sections: the study of energetic, structural, thermal, bonding, and electronic aspects of the 12 phases of 2D copper chalcogenides discovered by our CSP search.

#### B. Energetic and thermal stabilities

The total PBE energies relative to the P3m1 structure is plotted in FIG. 2 for each 2D CuX system. The order of stability of the four structures is roughly identical in both CuS and CuSe systems,  $P\bar{3}m1$  being the ground-state 2D structure. The P4/mmm and P4/mmm phases are in a quasi-degenerate energy state (within our minimization accuracy), for CuS, while the difference is more pronounced but still low (8 meV/atom) for the corresponding phases of CuSe. Nevertheless, a rough estimation of activation energy from P4/mmm to P4/mmm which was obtained via step by step and concerted models (FIG. S8) shows that the associated activation barrier is high in energy (FIG. S9): 1.40, 1.31, and 2.18 eV for CuS, CuSe, and CuTe, respectively. This should prevent the transition between P4/mmm and P4/mmm at moderate



FIG. 2. (color online) Total energies (in meV/atom, PBE level) of the four lowest structures of CuS, CuSe, and CuTe compounds, relative to the  $P\bar{3}m1$  phase. (The dotted lines between each chalcogenide structure with the same geometry is just a guide for the eye.).

temperature (details are given in section S6 of the SI). It also means that, while close in energy, the P4/mmm and P4/nmm polymorphs may be synthesized from different precursors and/or experimental procedures. Conversely, the 2D CuTe exhibits a ground-state structure in the Pmmn geometry. It is noteworthy that the ground state phase of the 3D solid-state CuTe (bulk phase) presents a layered structure where our proposed 2D-Pmmn CuTe slabs are stacked in a similar AA stacking configuration. Our *ab initio* CSP search thus leads to the experimentally characterized CuTe layer topology, assuming only the CuTe chemical formula.

The enthalpies of formation of the twelve 2D CuX phases are given in Table I. Excluding CuS *Pmmn* and CuTe P4/mmm, the formation enthalpies are negative, indicating the thermodynamic stability of the structures. These computed  $\Delta H_{\rm f}$  at PBE level fall into the calculated formation enthalpies range of experimentally characterized known 2D materials<sup>59</sup>. This result allows us to invite the experimental materials community to investigate our predicted 2D CuX compounds. In addition, the formation enthalpies  $(\Delta H_{\rm f})$  of dynamically stable 2D CuX phases and reported 2D materials were calculated as summarized in Table S3 of SI. Notably, the synthesized  $P\bar{6}m2$  CuSe is predicted in our USPEX searches, with formation enthalpy of 0.160 eV/atom, which is relatively higher than the CuSe phases reported in our work. Moreover, considering supported 2D compounds -CuSe  $(\Delta H_{\rm f} = 0.160 \text{ eV/atom}), \text{Cu}_2\text{Si} (\Delta H_{\rm f} = 0.413 \text{ eV/atom}),$ and SiC ( $\Delta H_{\rm f} = 0.293 \text{ eV/atom}$ ) have been synthesized and characterized, we hope our theoretical findings will stress the interest of experimental colleagues and led to the experimental realization of 2D CuX on proper surfaces.

TABLE I. Formation enthalpies (in meV/atom) of the predicted 2D CuS, CuSe, and CuTe structures at the PBE level of theory. Boldface entries emphasize the lowest energy phases.

Structure	2D-CuS	2D-CuSe	2D-CuTe
(space group)			
$P\bar{3}m1$	-82	-75	-46
P4/mmm	-28	-67	+17
P4/nmm	-29	-59	-50
Pmmn	+56	-43	-69

Beside the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA), the SCAN meta-GGA and the hybrid HSE06 (HeydScuseriaErnzerhof) functionals have been employed to evaluate the relative stability of the 2D CuX structures. The 2D structures were fully optimized at the SCAN level of theory while single point energy HSE06 calculations are undertaken at PBE geometry (HSE06//PBE level). Table II compiled the results obtained from each functional. In order to simplify the comparison of values, the total energies are reported relative to the  $P\bar{3}m1$  structure of each copper chalcogenide.

TABLE II. Total energy per atom relative to the  $P\bar{3}m1$  structure (in meV/atom) of the 2D CuX structures at PBE, SCAN, and HSE06 levels of theory.<sup>*a*</sup> Boldface entries emphasize the lowest energy structures.

compound	structure	PBE	SCAN	HSE06//PBE
	$P\bar{3}m1$	0	0	0
CuS	P4/mmm	54	41	39
	P4/nmm	53	93	76
	Pmmn	138	98	160
	$P\bar{3}m1$	0	0	0
CuSe	P4/mmm	8	-1	-2
	P4/nmm	16	52	40
	Pmmn	32	72	64
	$P\bar{3}m1$	0	0	0
CuTe	P4/mmm	63	94	63
	P4/nmm	-4	-8	-9
	$\dot{Pmmn}$	-23	-13	-11

 $^{a}$ All the energies were obtained by doing a full geometry optimization, except for the HSE06 functional for which a single point calculation is performed on the optimized PBE structure, named HSE06//PBE.

For the 2D CuS structures, the stability order is not changed by the different functionals. For 2D copper selenide phases, the energy difference between the two lowest structures tends to be null when SCAN and HSE06/PBE levels are considered; P4/mmm 2D CuSe becomes almost isoenergetic to  $P\bar{3}m1$  2D CuSe. Therefore, one might conclude that both P4/mmm and  $P\bar{3}m1$ 2D CuSe structures are potential synthesizable phases. Pmmn CuTe phase is the lowest energetic structure at all levels of theory, but we note that P4/nmm is located at only 2-5 meV/atom above Pmmn at SCAN and HSE06 levels of theory. Finally, the order for the 3rd and 4th least stable 2D structures is not changed regardless of our level of theory.

The thermal stability of the structures was investigated via AIMD simulations at different temperatures. The calculated temperatures at which a structure remains stable, i.e. does not decompose, are summarized in Table III. Snapshots of the structures in the end of the 10 ps AIMD simulations are shown in figures S3-S5 of SI.

TABLE III. Calculated Temperatures (in K) at which the predicted 2D CuX (X= S, Se, Te) structures were found thermally stable in the temperature range of 300-1000 K. Boldface entries emphasize the lowest energy phases.

CuS	CuSe	CuTe
800	600	800
400	600	$\_a$
600	$\_^a$	300
$\_^a$	300	600
	$     CuS      800      400      600      _a   $	CuS         CuSe $800$ $600$ $400$ $600$ $600$ $-^{a}$ $-^{a}$ $300$

 $^a$  At 300K, our AIMD simulation leads to the breaking of Cu-X bonds.

According to AIMD results, the most thermally stable structure for 2D CuS has the  $P\bar{3}m1$  geometry. This structure is found stable up to 800 K. The P4/nmm and P4/mmm structures are thermally stable up to 600 and 400 K, respectively. The simulations of Pmmn showed that the structure is not stable even at 300 K.

The results of AIMD simulations for 2D CuSe show that the structures with  $P\bar{3}m1$  and P4/mmm symmetry are the most stable structures with a stability temperature up to 600 K. The other two phases are not so stable: Pmmn is found stable at 300 K only and P4/nmm is not stable at this temperature.

Finally, for 2D CuTe structures, the  $P\bar{3}m1$  geometry is found to be the most stable, with a stability temperature up to 800 K. Interestingly, the most energetically favorable geometry, Pmmn, is not the most thermally stable one, which means that the activation barrier for decomposition is lower for Pmmn. This result shows the importance of kinetic criteria in the prediction of viable compounds.

## C. Structural, bonding, and electronic properties of $Cu_2X_2$ slabs

As discussed previously, 2D CuX compounds present four low-lying structure types. Different views of their associated  $Cu_2X_2$  slabs are depicted in figures 1 and 3. In the following, we provide a more detailed structural



FIG. 3. (color online) Extended top and side views of the (a)  $P\bar{3}m1$ , (b) P4/mmn, (c) P4/nmm, and (d) Pmmn structures. The primitive cell of each structure is shown in solid line. Cu and chalcogen (X= S, Se, Te) atoms are shown in blue and yellow, respectively. The *c* axis is perpendicular to the Cu<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> slab.

description for each geometry, and discuss their chemical bonding mode. Both Manz' bond order (BO) and integrated crystal overlap Hamilton population (ICOHP) are computed. We found that both analysis give the same conclusion. Thus, only the ICOHP descriptor of bond strength will be discussed thereafter. It is presented in Table IV (see FIG. S10 of SI for BO results).

The first structure shown in Figure 3-(a) crystallizes in a trigonal system with the  $P\bar{3}m1$  space group (No. 164, Z=2). This slab is constructed from two parallel layers of X chalcogen atoms arranged in monolayer triangular lattices. The Cu atoms similarly resemble two parallel triangular monolayers, sandwiched between the two X monolayers. In other words, the combination of each X monolayer with its adjacent Cu layer makes a buckled honeycomb Cu<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> lattice. Each Cu atom is then bonded to four equivalent X atoms to form a mixture of edge and corner-sharing CuX<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra (See Fig. 1-(a)). The interatomic distances between Cu-X and Cu-Cu atoms are also given in Table IV. The average bond length of Cu-X for this geometry is 2.31, 2.46, and 2.62 Å in CuS, CuSe, and CuTe, respectively. The size of the tetrahedra, therefore, increases with the atomic number. Conversely, Cu-Cu separation decreases significantly when selenium or tellurium atoms substitute sulfur ones in  $P\bar{3}m1$  slab, a factor that can explain the relative energetic destabilisation of this phase in going from S to Se to Te in respect to the three other dynamically stable structures.

The second structure P4/mmm Cu<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> slab, shown in Fig. 1-(b) and Fig. 3-(b), is formed by a square lattice of copper atoms with capping chalcogen atoms sitting in the apical positions of square bipyramids. Here, each Cu atom is then bonded in a square coplanar geometry to four X atoms. The Cu-X bond lengths are 2.34, 2.46, and 2.63 Å for CuS, CuSe, and CuTe, respectively, increasing with the atomic number. Contrary to the  $P\bar{3}m1$ geometry, the same behavior is also observed for  $d_{Cu-Cu}$ , an increase from 2.56 Å to 2.76 Å in going from S to Te (see Table IV). In 2D P4/mmm CuTe, a short Te-Te separation of 3.51 Å is observed.

The third structure P4/nmm also possesses a square lattice of Cu atoms. As can be seen in the Fig. 3-(c), the P4/nmm phase (No. 129, Z=2) can be regarded as a structural modification of the P4/mmm slab. From P4/mmm to P4/nmm, one chalcogen atom is migrating from its four-fold position to be located under a chalcogen atom making the square bipyramidal  $Cu_4X_2$  unit. Thus, P4/nmm Cu<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> slab is then constructed from three nonequivalent square monolayers with a AB stacking. Cu<sub>4</sub>X<sub>2</sub> square bipyramids share their four basal Cu-Cu edges in this extended 2D  $Cu_2X_2$  net. The Cu-X bond lengths are similar to the ones found in P4/mmm, showing the weak effect of the chalcogen position on the CuX bond lengths. Conversely, Cu-Cu distances decrease in  $Cu_2X_2$  slabs from S (2.74 Å) to Se (2.62 Å) then remain constant from Se to Te (2.62 Å). This structural behavior may explain the energetic stabilisation of P4/nmm when going from S to Te.

Finally, our fourth CuX candidate crystallizes in a rectangular 2D system and has the orthorhombic Pmmn space group (No. 59, Z = 2). Its topology resembles that of the P4/nmm structure with rectangular Cu<sub>4</sub> units rather that square ones (see Fig. 1-(d)). There are two short and two long Cu-X bond lengths, and similarly, short and long X-X distances. This Cu<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> slab is encountered of distorted tetrahedral CuX<sub>4</sub> units is encountered as the lowest energy ground-state structure in 2D CuTe compound. This net allows short Te-Te separations of 3.26 Å and significant Te-Te bonding is computed (ICOHP(Te-Te)=0.89).

A question arises: what electronic factors govern the energy ranking of these four 2D geometries? To approach

structure		CuS		CuSe		(	CuTe	
	bond	l	ICOHP	-l	ICOHP	-l	ICOHP	
$P\bar{3}m1$	Cu-Cu'	2.87	0.10	2.61	0.25	2.61	0.26	
0. 0	Cu-X	2.26	1.69	2.41	1.57	2.61	1.31	
Cu Cu'	Cu'-X	2.36	1.19	2.50	1.40	2.63	1.42	
	X-X	3.63	0.19	3.76	0.18	3.90	0.22	
P4/mmm	Cu-Cu'	2.56	0.21	2.64	0.22	2.76	0.18	
, Cu	Cu-X	2.34	1.39	2.46	1.42	2.63	1.30	
Cu'	$X-X^a$	2.95	0.40	3.21	0.52	3.51	0.46	
b X c a	$X-X^b$	3.62	0.03	3.73	0.08	3.91	0.11	
P4/nmm	Cu-Cu'	2.74	0.11	2.62	0.20	2.62	0.23	
Cu'	Cu-X	2.30	1.49	2.45	1.48	2.64	1.24	
Cu b c a X	X-X	3.70	0.17	3.71	0.19	3.70	0.33	
Pmmn	Cu-Cu'	2.58	0.19	2.57	0.26	2.66	0.24	
Cu	Cu-X	2.29	1.57	2.42	1.57	2.62	1.37	
	Cu'-X	2.45	0.93	2.59	0.88	2.73	0.88	
þ 🚺 🛆	$X-X^{c}$	2.97	0.53	3.09	0.69	3.26	0.89	
c→a	$X-X^d$	3.99	0.15	3.90	0.15	4.05	0.16	

TABLE IV. Bond length l (in Å) and integrated crystal Hamilton population (ICOHP in eV/pair) in CuX (X= S,Se,Te) 2D structures. Cu'(X') denotes a second type of Cu (X) atom considered for BO calculation.

<sup>*a*</sup> between monolayers, <sup>*b*</sup> in-plane, <sup>*c*</sup> short, <sup>*d*</sup> long.

an answer to this question, we need to understand the structural details of these 2D nets. To do so, we have undertaken orbital interaction analysis with the assistance of total and projected DOS, ICOHP, and BO calculations. We refer to the seminal work of Zheng and Hoffmann<sup>60</sup> on the bonding in the  $ThCr_2Si_2$  and  $CaAl_2Si_2$ structures. These  $AM_2X_2$  prototypes contain 2D  $M_2X_2$ lattices related to the ones found in our predicted 2D CuX networks. A schematic construction of the CuX two-dimensional bands is presented in Figure 4 (Se is taken as an example), starting from the separate Cu and Se atoms (valence orbitals), building the  $CuX_4$  molecular units, then generating the extended 2D net. We start with a simplified molecular orbital diagram of a  $CuX_4$ molecular unit, displayed in Fig. 4. Here, we choose a tetrahedral geometry for  $CuX_4$  as observed in  $P\bar{3}m1$ and P4/nmm, but the elongated tetrahedral (*Pnmm*) or square planar (P4/nmm) geometries of CuX<sub>4</sub> will lead to the same general bonding picture.

The metal Cu d orbitals split into e and  $t_2$  sets, and these (slightly) antibonding metal-ligand molecular levels develop into Cu d bands when going to the extended 2D CuSe net. This simplified orbital model is confirmed by the calculated DOS displayed in Fig.S6 of SI. Note that the dispersion of the d bands is related to the coordination of copper atoms: the d band is larger in 4coordinate Cu lattice, i.e. P4/nmm, P4/mmm, and Pmmn, that in 3-coordinate one  $P\bar{3}m1$ . The bottom of the d band block is Cu-Cu bonding and the top Cu-Cu antibonding, and because of the overlap, the antibonding contribution is stronger than the bonding - a phe-



FIG. 4. (color online) (a) Construction of the two-dimensional bands in 2D CuSe; (b) Calculated total and projected density of states for 2D CuSe  $P\bar{3}m1$ .

nomenon encountered in the four-electron two-orbital repulsion. In the extended CuSe net, the Fermi level crosses a high density of states, as expected for a  $d^9$  metal. From the DOS and bandstructures calculations analysis at the PBE and PBE+U levels, all 2D compounds are metallic (see FIG.S6 of SI). So, all the structures are still metal and their band structures present globally the same trends.

Let us focus now on  $P\bar{3}m1$  and P4/nmm structures, as representative nets with 3- and 4-coordinate copper

atoms, respectively. One may see from Table IV that  $P\bar{3}m1$  Cu<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> slab presents among the four proposed 2D structures with the strongest copper-chalcogen Cu-X ICOHP. This result validates the Zheng and Hoffmann orbital interaction model<sup>60</sup>: in a less dispersive metal sublattice, i.e. in  $P\bar{3}m1$  3-coordinate Cu lattice, the metals interact better with the ligand sublattice. This criterion cannot however explain alone the relative stability of the four predicted 2D CuX nets; other subtle electronic effects must be involved.

The  $P\bar{3}m1$  structure has a corrugated Cu net where each metal is coordinated to 3 others, while the bare metal layer in P4/nmm (as well as P4/mmm) is then a square lattice with four coordinated metal atoms. Thus, the copper d band dispersion is smaller in 3-coordinated Cu lattice  $P\bar{3}m1$  than in 4-coordinated one P4/nmm, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 5. This band is also Cu-X antibonding (more at the top of the band). Bonding and antibonding Cu-Cu orbital overlaps are weaker at the bottom and the top of the d band in  $P\bar{3}m1$ . Therefore, for a high band filling,  $d^9 \text{ Cu}^{2+}$  in CuX, 3-coordinated Cu  $P\bar{3}m1$  should be then energetically favored while 4coordinated Cu structures are more destabilized. This is what we observed in 2D CuS. Sulfur is the most electronegative element in the chalcogen family, while Te is the less one (2.58, 2.55, and 2.10 on the Pauling scale)for S, Se, and Te, respectively). Thus, in 2D CuS, one may safely assign a formal +2 charge for Cu, i.e.  $d^9$ configuration, and the octet is obeyed for  $S^{2-}$ . As calculated at all levels of theory,  $P\bar{3}m1$  Cu<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> slab is by far the most stable structure while the other structures with four-coordinated metals are located higher in energy.



FIG. 5. Copper *d* band dispersion in corrugated and planer Cu net with 3-coordinated Cu lattice  $P\bar{3}m1$  and 4-coordinated one P4/nmm, respectively.

By replacing S by Se, the Cu-X electronegativity difference is lowered, thus the Cu-X charge transfer decreases. One may expect a formal  $d^n$  configuration of copper higher than  $d^9$ . When the d band is completely filled, 3-coordinated Cu and 4-coordinated Cu slabs must compete in energy. This crude model explains well why  $P\bar{3}m1$ and P4/mmm are closer in energy in 2D CuSe. Now, why is P4/mmm with Cu<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> square bipyramidal units lower in energy that P4/mmm with Cu<sub>4</sub>Se square pyramidal units? In P4/mmm, the computed Se-Se ICOHP is larger in P4/mmm than in P4/nmm (0.52 vs 0.19, respectively) because of the through-space Se-Se stabilizing interaction present in Cu<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> units. A short interlayer Se-Se separation of 3.21 Å is observed in P4/mmmCu<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> slab, reflecting the delocalization of electrons over

the whole cluster. Finally, Te is the less electronegative element of this chalcogen trio, with the biggest element radius. This chemical composition adopts the Pmmn geometry as its lowest energy structure at PBE level. A corrugated copper monolayer is observed in response to the packing of Te atoms. Each copper atom seats in an elongated Te<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron with small and large Te-Cu-Te bond angles  $(73.4^{\circ} \text{ and } 101.4^{\circ})$ . This structural arrangement leads to short (3.26 Å) and long (4.05 Å)in-plane Te-Te bond lengths. Thus, a significant Te-Te bonding is calculated along each 1D tellurium chain with a computed ICOHP(Te-Te) of 0.89 eV/pair (see Table IV). This bonding mode stabilizes this structure type, as in P4/mmm which is almost isoenergetic to Pmmnat SCAN and HSE06 levels of theory. In both Pmmnand P4/mmm structures, some delocalized Te-Te covalent bonding is observed (see Table IV).

Our ELF analysis shows that electron density is delocalized along the X-X chains in each X monolayer of Pmmn CuX (see Fig 6). Meanwhile a strongly localized lone pair is observed on each chalcogen atom in the other structures, specifically on electronegative sulfur atoms. This is expected for a 6 valence electron atom in such coordination mode.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This work presents CSP search for 2D copper chalcogenides CuX compounds. The extensive search was carried out by using evolutionary algorithm and *ab initio* calculations based on DFT. According to our results, four geometries with space groups  $P\bar{3}m1$ , P4/mmm, P4/nmm, and Pmmn are predicted for 2D CuX (X=S, Se, and Te). And all the 2D CuX phases reported in this work are nonmagnetic. These geometries were confirmed to be dynamically stable. The  $P\bar{3}m1$  geometry has the lowest formation energy for CuS and CuSe 2D materials. Conversely, the Pmmn geometry is a global minimum for the 2D CuTe structure. Moreover, the thermal stability of the structures was investigated by AIMD simulations at different temperatures. The  $P\bar{3}m1$  structure, which is determined by AIMD studies to be stable up to 800, 600, and 800 K for CuS, CuSe, and CuTe, respectively, is found to be the most thermally stable structure. For CuSe, a new structure with the P4/mmmsymmetry is also equivalently stable at the same temperature. Comprehensive chemical bond analyses were done by the investigation of density of states, crystal overlap Hamilton population, electron localization function, and Manz' bond orders. An orbital model is proposed and



FIG. 6. (color online) 3D and 2D electron localization function (ELF) of CuX compounds in  $P\bar{3}m1$ , P4/mmm, P4/nmm, and Pmmn structures. Both 3D and 2D plots are given for an ELF value of 0.8.

- \* Corresponding authors: sfaraji86@gmail.com, gilles.frapper@univ-poitiers.fr
- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, science **306**, 666 (2004).
- <sup>2</sup> R. Woods-Robinson, Y. Han, H. Zhang, T. Ablekim, I. Khan, K. A. Persson, and A. Zakutayev, Chemical reviews **120**, 4007 (2020).
- <sup>3</sup> J. H. Han, M. Kwak, Y. Kim, and J. Cheon, Chemical reviews 118, 6151 (2018).
- <sup>4</sup> X. Zhou and E. E. Rodriguez, Chemistry of Materials **29**, 5737 (2017).
- <sup>5</sup> A. K. Singh and R. G. Hennig, Applied Physics Letters **105**, 042103 (2014).
- <sup>6</sup> C. M. Hessel, V. P. Pattani, M. Rasch, M. G. Panthani, B. Koo, J. W. Tunnell, and B. A. Korgel, Nano letters 11, 2560 (2011).
- <sup>7</sup> Y. Zhao and C. Burda, Energy & Environmental Science **5**, 5564 (2012).
- <sup>8</sup> Y. Chen, C. Davoisne, J.-M. Tarascon, and C. Guéry, Journal of Materials Chemistry 22, 5295 (2012).
- $^9\,$  J. Kundu and D. Pradhan, ACS applied materials & interfaces 6, 1823 (2014).

enlightens the chemical bonding in the 2D nets. The analysis of ICOHP gives insights into the structural stability of 2D CuX nets. All 2D CuX 2D structures have a metallic character with a iono-covalent nature of the Cu-X bonds. We expect that our findings will inspire the materials science community to synthesize our in silico proposed two-dimensional CuX phases.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS V.

We thank the ANR PRCI Predict\_2D\_Nanomat (P.I. G.F.), the FEDER and Nouvelle Aquitaine Region (France). We also acknowledge the High-Performance Computing Centers of Poitiers University (Mésocentre SPIN, France) and the Irene/TGCC, and Jean Zay/IDRIS GENCI (France) under projects no. X2016087539 and A0100807539 for allocation of computing time.

- A. A. Sagade and R. Sharma, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 133, 135 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup> Y. Du, Z. Yin, J. Zhu, X. Huang, X.-J. Wu, Z. Zeng, Q. Yan, and H. Zhang, Nature communications 3, 1 (2012).
- <sup>12</sup> W. Shuang, X. Wang, G. Wang, Y. Guo, K. Wang, G. Yang, L. Zhu, and L. Yang, Journal of Materials Chemistry B 3, 5603 (2015).
- <sup>13</sup> Y. Huang, Y. Deng, A. D. Handoko, G. K. Goh, and B. S. Yeo, ChemSusChem 11, 320 (2018).
- <sup>14</sup> W. B. Kim, S. H. Lee, M. Cho, and Y. Lee, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 249, 161 (2017).
- <sup>15</sup> C. Feng, L. Zhang, M. Yang, X. Song, H. Zhao, Z. Jia, K. Sun, and G. Liu, ACS applied materials & interfaces 7, 15726 (2015).
- <sup>16</sup> Z. Wang, S. Rafai, C. Qiao, J. Jia, Y. Zhu, X. Ma, and C. Cao, ACS applied materials & interfaces 11, 7046 (2019).
- <sup>17</sup> B. Yu, W. Liu, S. Chen, H. Wang, H. Wang, G. Chen, and Z. Ren, Nano Energy 1, 472 (2012).
- 18P. Pazhamalai, K. Krishnamoorthy, and S. J. Kim, international journal of hydrogen energy 41, 14830 (2016).

- <sup>19</sup> A. Pashinkin and V. Fedorov, Inorganic Materials **39**, 539 (2003).
- <sup>20</sup> A. Pashinkin and L. Pavlova, Inorganic materials **41**, 1050 (2005).
- <sup>21</sup> A. Barajas-Aguilar, S. J. Sandoval, and A. M. Garay-Tapia, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **32**, 045403 (2019).
- <sup>22</sup> C. Nethravathi, C. R. Rajamathi, M. Rajamathi, R. Maki, T. Mori, D. Golberg, and Y. Bando, Journal of Materials Chemistry A 2, 985 (2014).
- <sup>23</sup> B. Mansour, F. Mukhtar, and G. Barakati, physica status solidi (a) 95, 703 (1986).
- <sup>24</sup> K. Zhang, X. Liu, H. Zhang, K. Deng, M. Yan, W. Yao, M. Zheng, E. F. Schwier, K. Shimada, J. D. Denlinger, Y. Wu, W. Duan, and S. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 206402 (2018).
- $^{25}$  G. Grüner, Reviews of modern physics **60**, 1129 (1988).
- <sup>26</sup> A. L. Soares Jr, E. C. Dos Santos, A. Morales-García, T. Heine, H. A. De Abreu, and H. A. Duarte, 2D Materials 4, 015041 (2016).
- <sup>27</sup> H. Liang, W. Shuang, Y. Zhang, S. Chao, H. Han, X. Wang, H. Zhang, and L. Yang, ChemElectroChem 5, 494 (2018).
- <sup>28</sup> S. Thirumavalavan, K. Mani, and S. Sagadevan, Materials Research 18, 1000 (2015).
- <sup>29</sup> X. Lin, J. Lu, Y. Shao, Y. Zhang, X. Wu, J. Pan, L. Gao, S. Zhu, K. Qian, Y. Zhang, *et al.*, Nature materials 16, 717 (2017).
   <sup>30</sup> L. G. L. T. C. L. H. Li, K. O. S. Zhao, N. S. Z
- <sup>30</sup> L. Gao, J.-T. Sun, J.-C. Lu, H. Li, K. Qian, S. Zhang, Y.-Y. Zhang, T. Qian, H. Ding, X. Lin, *et al.*, Advanced Materials **30**, 1707055 (2018).
- <sup>31</sup> Y. An, Y. Hou, H. Wang, J. Li, R. Wu, C. Liu, T. Wang, and J. Jiao, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **31**, 355301 (2019).
- <sup>32</sup> F. Golgovici, A.-S. Catrangiu, and T. Visan, INTER-NATIONAL JOURNAL OF ELECTROCHEMICAL SCI-ENCE **11**, 915 (2016).
- <sup>33</sup> Z. Y. Aydın and S. Abacı, Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry **21**, 1417 (2017).
- <sup>34</sup> Y. Yang, T. Wang, C. Liu, W. Li, J. Zhang, L. Wu, G. Zeng, W. Wang, and M. Yu, Vacuum **142**, 181 (2017).
- <sup>35</sup> H. Sahin, S. Cahangirov, M. Topsakal, E. Bekaroglu, E. Akturk, R. T. Senger, and S. Ciraci, Physical Review B 80, 155453 (2009).
- <sup>36</sup> Y. Ding, Y. Wang, J. Ni, L. Shi, S. Shi, and W. Tang, Physica B: Condensed Matter **406**, 2254 (2011).
- <sup>37</sup> R. Hoffmann, American Scientist **75**, 619 (1987).
- <sup>38</sup> A. R. Oganov and C. W. Glass, The Journal of chemical physics **124**, 244704 (2006).

- <sup>39</sup> A. R. Oganov, A. O. Lyakhov, and M. Valle, Accounts of chemical research 44, 227 (2011).
- <sup>40</sup> A. O. Lyakhov, A. R. Oganov, H. T. Stokes, and Q. Zhu, Computer Physics Communications **184**, 1172 (2013).
- <sup>41</sup> G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 558 (1993).
- <sup>42</sup> G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Computational Materials Science 6, 15 (1996).
- <sup>43</sup> P. E. Blöchl, Physical review B **50**, 17953 (1994).
- <sup>44</sup> J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 891 (1998).
- <sup>45</sup> J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Physical review letters 77, 3865 (1996).
- <sup>46</sup> J. Sun, A. Ruzsinszky, and J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 036402 (2015).
- <sup>47</sup> A. V. Krukau, O. A. Vydrov, A. F. Izmaylov, and G. E. Scuseria, The Journal of chemical physics **125**, 224106 (2006).
- <sup>48</sup> Y. Zhang, X. Yuan, X. Sun, B.-C. Shih, P. Zhang, and W. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 84, 075127 (2011).
- <sup>49</sup> Y. Zhang, L. Xi, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, P. Zhang, and W. Zhang, Computational Materials Science **108**, 239 (2015).
- <sup>50</sup> S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 515 (2001).
- <sup>51</sup> A. Togo and I. Tanaka, Scripta Materialia **108**, 1 (2015).
- <sup>52</sup> S. Maintz, V. L. Deringer, A. L. Tchougréeff, and R. Dronskowski, Journal of computational chemistry **37**, 1030 (2016).
- <sup>53</sup> N. G. Limas and T. A. Manz, RSC advances 6, 45727 (2016).
- <sup>54</sup> T. A. Manz, RSC advances **7**, 45552 (2017).
- <sup>55</sup> T. A. Manz and N. G. Limas, RSC advances 6, 47771 (2016).
- <sup>56</sup> W. Tang, E. Sanville, and G. Henkelman, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **21**, 084204 (2009).
- <sup>57</sup> H. Okadome Valencia, В. Wang, G. Frapand Α. L. Rohl, Journal of Comper. Chemistry **42**, (2021),putational 1602https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/jcc.26697.
- <sup>58</sup> K. Momma and F. Izumi, Journal of applied crystallography 44, 1272 (2011).
- <sup>59</sup> B. Wang and G. Frapper, Physical Review Materials 5, 034003 (2021).
- <sup>60</sup> C. Zheng and R. Hoffmann, Journal of Solid State Chemistry **72**, 58 (1988).