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#### Abstract

We introduce a $q$-analogue of the classical Zeta polynomial of finite partially ordered sets, as a polynomial in one variable $x$ with coefficients depending on the indeterminate $q$. We prove some properties of this polynomial invariant, including its behaviour with respect to duality, product and disjoint union. The leading term is a $q$-analogue of the number of maximal chains, but not always with non-negative coefficients. The value at $q=0$ turns out to be essentially the characteristic polynomial.


In the study of finite partially ordered sets (posets), one uses frequently polynomial invariants. They are useful to distinguish the posets or recognize them under different disguises, but also for testing the solidity of our understanding. Computing these invariants may require a good handle on the combinatorial mechanism behind the scene. This can lead to structural results. For example, sometimes these polynomials factor nicely and one would like to understand the reason for this.

One of the most classical such polynomial is the Zeta polynomial, whose values at positive integers count chains of elements. This polynomial is related quite closely to the order polynomial, which describes integer points in a polytope naturally attached to the poset. Yet another polynomial is the characteristic polynomial, recording the values of the Möbius function. An important but less well-known polynomial is the Coxeter polynomial, which contains information about the derived category of modules over the incidence algebra.

The aim of the present article is to introduce and study a $q$-analogue of the Zeta polynomial, defined for posets endowed with an height function. This is a polynomial in the variable $x$, whose values at $q$-integers count chains according to the sum of heights of their elements. We will show that it shares many properties of the Zeta polynomial, to which it reduces when setting $q=1$.

The definition of the $q$-Zeta polynomial is motivated by the introduction in [9] of a $q$-analogue of the Ehrhart polynomial for polytopes. A special case of this $q$-Ehrhart theory provides a $q$-analogue of the order polynomial. By following the known relationship between Zeta-polynomials of distributive lattices and order polynomials of their poset of join-irreducibles, this leads to the present construction.

The reader should be warned that, in some sense, a large part of the surrounding context and proofs are not new and indeed very classical since the pioneering works of Stanley on $P$-partitions. The precise relation of $q$-Ehrhart polynomials with the theory of $P$-partitions has been described in [9, §4]. A nice reference on the history of the subject of $P$-partitions can be found in [11],
in which the connection between $P$-partitions and Zeta polynomials appears in §8.1.

The study of Ehrhart generating series is the main focus of the theory of $P$ partitions. From this perspective, the $q$-Zeta polynomials are just describing in another compact way the coefficients of these Ehrhart series. This is a slightly different viewpoint, which offers other insights.

The article is organized as follows. After recalling briefly the classical Zeta polynomial, the $q$-Zeta polynomial is defined and some examples are given in section 1. In section 2, some basic properties are proved. In section 3, the relationship, in the case of distributive lattices, with the $q$-order polynomial is spelled out. In section 4, a property relating the leading coefficient of the $q$-Zeta polynomial and the numerator of the Ehrhart series is proved. In section 5, a known criterion is recalled for the positivity of the coefficients in the numerator of the Ehrhart series. The last section 6 describes a slightly unexpected relationship, at $q=0$, with the characteristic polynomial. The article ends with three appendices : A on classical results on flag vectors, positivity and $R$-labellings, B on $q$-analogues of polynomials with integer values and C on a $q$-analogue of the incidence algebra.

I would like to humbly dedicate this article to Richard Stanley, with my sincere admiration.

The author has made use of SageMath [10] in the preparation of this article.
The author has been supported by the ANR Combiné (ANR-19-CE48-0011) and ANR Charms (ANR-19-CE40-0017).

## Introduction

Let us first recall briefly the classical Zeta polynomial of posets.
Let $P$ be a finite poset. For every integer $n \geq 2$, consider the set $C_{n}(P)$ of chains $e_{1} \leq e_{2} \leq \cdots \leq e_{n-1}$ in $P$. Then the cardinality of $C_{n}(P)$ is given by the value at $n$ of a polynomial $Z_{P}(x)$, called the Zeta polynomial of $P$.

One can easily prove this fact by the following computation.
For $k \geq 1$, let $\mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)$ be the set of strict chains with $k$ elements in $P$, i.e. sequences $c_{1}<\cdots<c_{k}$.

By gathering chains according to their underlying strict chain and multiplicities, one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{P}(n)=\sum_{e_{1} \leq \cdots \leq e_{n-1}} 1=\sum_{k \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\substack{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \geq 1 \\ \sum_{i} m_{i}=n-1}} 1\right) \# \mathrm{Ch}_{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the inner sum is just the binomial coefficient $\binom{n-2}{k-1}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{P}(n)=\sum_{k \geq 1}\binom{n-2}{k-1} \# \mathrm{Ch}_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obviously a polynomial evaluated at $n$.
The Zeta polynomial is an useful invariant of posets, with nice general properties. By the formula (2), it belongs to the ring of polynomials with rational
coefficients taking integer values on $\mathbb{N}$. The Zeta polynomial is multiplicative with respect to the Cartesian product of posets, additive with respect to disjoint union and invariant by duality. For more on this classical subject, see for instance [19, §3.11].

## $1 \quad q$-analogue

Let us now turn to our proposal for a $q$-analogue of the Zeta polynomial.
The letter $q$ stands for the indeterminate in $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. We use the standard notation $[n]_{q}=\left(q^{n}-1\right) /(q-1)$ for the $q$-analogue of the integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is a polynomial in $q$ if $n \geq 0$ and a Laurent polynomial otherwise. We will denote by $[n]!_{q}$ the $q$-factorial of $n$ when $n \geq 0$. Note that $[0]_{q}=0$ and $[1]_{q}=1$.

Let $P$ be a finite poset. We need the additional data of an height function $h: P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $h(x)<h(y)$ for every cover relation $x<y$ in $P$.

A poset $P$ is graded if there exists an height function that increase by 1 along every cover relation. Then there is a preferred choice for such an height function $h$, by assuming further that it has minimal value 0 on every connected component of $P$. This specific height function will be denoted by rk.

Every poset $P$ can be endowed with an height function by choosing an arbitrary linear extension and using it as an height function.

Note that some posets that are not graded are nevertheless naturally endowed with natural height functions, for example the Tamari lattices using their description as posets of tilting modules.

For a strict chain $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)$, let $h(c)$ denote the sequence of heights $\left(h\left(c_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(c_{k}\right)\right)$ and let $\sum h(c)$ denote the sum of this sequence. The hypothesis on $h$ ensures that the sequence $h(c)$ is strictly increasing.

For every integer $n \geq 2$, let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\left([n]_{q}\right)=\sum_{e_{1} \leq \cdots \leq e_{n-1}} q^{\sum_{j} h\left(e_{j}\right)}=\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)} \sum_{\substack{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \geq 1 \\ \sum_{i} m_{i}=n-1}} q^{\sum_{i} m_{i} h\left(c_{i}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\left([n]_{q}\right)=\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)} q^{\sum h(c)} \sum_{\substack{m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{k}^{\prime} \geq 0 \\ \sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime}=n-k-1}} q^{\sum_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} h\left(c_{i}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point, one can recognize the innermost sum as being essentially the $q$ Ehrhart polynomial of a simplex. Before proceeding further, let us recall the theory of $q$-Ehrhart polynomials, whose details can be found in [9].

## $1.1 q$-Ehrhart polynomials and reciprocity

Fix a lattice polytope $Q$ and an integral linear form $\ell$ on the ambient lattice. Assume that $\ell$ is not constant on any edge of $Q$ and takes values in $\mathbb{N}$ on $Q$. Then there exists a unique polynomial $\operatorname{Ehr}_{Q, \ell}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[x]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ehr}_{Q, \ell}\left([n]_{q}\right)=\sum_{z \in n Q} q^{\ell(z)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every integer $n \geq 0$. In words, this is counting lattice points in the dilates of $Q$ according to the value of $\ell$ on each lattice point. By the formula above, the polynomial $\mathrm{Ehr}_{Q, \ell}$ belongs to the ring of polynomials whose value at every $q$-integer $[n]_{q}$ with $n \geq 0$ is a polynomial in $q$ with positive integer coefficients. This is called the $q$-Ehrhart polynomial of the lattice polytope $Q$ with respect to the linear form $\ell$. Note that setting $q=1$ recovers the classical Ehrhart polynomial of lattice polytopes, not depending on the linear form $\ell$. The degree of $\mathrm{Ehr}_{Q, \ell}$ is the maximum value of $\ell$ on $Q$.

Let us also recall Ehrhart reciprocity in this setting. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then the evaluation of $\operatorname{Ehr}_{Q, \ell}$ at $[-n]_{q}$ is given up to sign by the similar sum over interior points in the dilates of $Q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ehr}_{Q, \ell}\left([-n]_{q}\right)=(-1)^{d} \sum_{z \in \operatorname{Int}(n Q)} q^{-\ell(z)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the dimension of the polytope $Q$ and Int denotes the interior of a polytope. Note that the interior of a 0-dimensional polytope (a point) is just itself.

For $k \geq 1$, the lattice polytope in $\mathbb{N}^{k}$ whose vertices are the basis vectors in $\mathbb{N}^{k}$ will be called the standard basic simplex.

For every $k$-tuple $a$ of distinct elements of $\mathbb{N}$, let us denote by $\mathrm{E}_{a}$ the $q$ Ehrhart polynomial of the standard basic simplex in $\mathbb{N}^{k}$ with respect to the linear form given by the standard scalar product with $a$. The degree of $\mathrm{E}_{a}$ is the maximal element of $a$. At $q=1, \mathrm{E}_{a}$ becomes the Ehrhart polynomial of the standard basic simplex, namely $\binom{x+k-1}{k-1}$, no longer depending on $a$.

For example, for the tuple $a=(1,2,3)$, one gets

$$
\mathrm{E}_{a}=((q-1) x+1) \cdot(q x+1) \cdot \frac{\left(q^{2} x+q+1\right)}{q+1}
$$

### 1.2 Definition of $q$-Zeta polynomial

Let us go back to our proposed definition (4) for $Z_{P, h}$. One therefore finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\left([n]_{q}\right)=\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)} q^{\sum h(c)} \mathrm{E}_{h(c)}\left([n-k-1]_{q}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here one has to be a little cautious about the substitution of the inner summation in (4) by the $q$-Ehrhart polynomial $\mathrm{E}_{h(c)}$. This is a priori allowed only if $n \geq k+1$. On the one hand, if $2 \leq n \leq k$, then the inner summation in (4) vanishes because it runs over an empty set. On the other hand, by Ehrhart reciprocity, the value $\mathrm{E}_{h(c)}\left([-d]_{q}\right)$ vanishes when $1 \leq d \leq k-1$, because in this case the $d$-th dilate of the standard basic simplex with $k$ vertices has empty interior.

By the appropriate shift of variables relating $[n]_{q}$ and $[n-k-1]_{q}$, one finally reaches the following definition, consistent with all previous formulas.

Definition 1. The $q$-Zeta polynomial $Z_{P, h}$ of a finite poset $P$ with respect to the height function $h$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}(x)=\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)} q^{\sum h(c)} \mathrm{E}_{h(c)}\left(\frac{x-[k+1]_{q}}{q^{k+1}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This polynomial is an element of the ring $\mathbb{Q}(q)[x]$. Its degree is the maximal value of the height function $h$ on $P$. It belongs to the sub-ring of polynomials whose values at every $q$-integer $[n]_{q}$ with $n \geq 2$ is a polynomial in $q$ with positive integer coefficients.

The first and second properties are clear from the formula (8) and the general properties of the $q$-Ehrhart polynomials. The third property follows directly from (4), which holds by construction, as well as (3).

In other words, by (3), one has the following statement:
Lemma 1.1. The values of $Z_{P, h}$ at $q$-integers $[n]_{q}$ for $n \geq 2$ are $q$-analogues of the numbers of chains $e_{1} \leq \cdots \leq e_{n-1}$ in $P$, where the power of $q$ is the sum of the heights of elements in the chain.

As expected, the polynomial $Z_{P, h}$ deserves the name of $q$-analogue of the Zeta polynomial.

Lemma 1.2. For any height function $h$, the specialisation of $Z_{P, h}$ at $q=1$ is the usual Zeta polynomial $Z_{P}$ of the poset $P$.

Proof. This follows by comparing (1) and (3).

### 1.3 Examples

Let us now give a few examples, using the height function rk coming from the grading of the posets, unless stated otherwise.

Example 1.3. For the unique poset $\circ$ with one element with respect to rk , the $q$-Zeta polynomial is 1. More generally, for the height function on $\circ$ with value $H \in \mathbb{N}$, the value of the $q$-Zeta polynomial at $[n]_{q}$ is $q^{(n-1) H}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\circ, H}(x)=\left(\frac{1+(q-1) x}{q}\right)^{H} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.4. For the total order on $d \geq 2$ elements, one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{d-2}\left([j]_{q}+q^{j} x\right)}{[d-1]!_{q}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from the identification of the value at $[n]_{q}$, using the formula (3) as a weighted sum over all chains, with the standard $q$-binomial coefficient counting lattice paths in a $(n-1) \times(d-1)$ rectangle according to the area below.
Example 1.5. For the graded poset on 5 elements with one minimum $\hat{0}$, one maximum $\hat{1}$ and 3 pairwise incomparable elements in between, one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x \cdot((q+2) x-1)}{q+1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This was found using a computer. By hand, it can be computed by interpolation, as the degree is known to be 2. This is made easier by the statements about the values at $[0]_{q}$ and $[1]_{q}$ given below in lemma 2.3 and lemma 2.2.

Example 1.6. Let us also consider the poset on $\{a, b, c, d\}$ where $a$ and $b$ are both smaller than both $c$ and $d$. One obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2(q+1)}{q}(x-1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose value at $[1]_{q}$ is 0 .
Example 1.7. For the poset on $\{a, b, c\}$ where $a$ is smaller than $b$ and $c$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x-1, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for the dual poset one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{((q+1) x-1)}{q} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.8. For the poset on $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ where $a \leq b, a \leq c, b \leq d, c \leq e$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 q x^{2}+2 x-q-1}{q+1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Basic properties

Let us present in this section a few basic properties of $Z_{P, h}$.
Let $P$ and $Q$ be two posets with height functions $g$ and $h$. Consider $P \times Q$ with the height function $g+h$ sending $(a, b)$ to $g(a)+h(b)$. Consider also $P \sqcup Q$ with the height function $g \sqcup h$ defined by $g$ on $P$ and $h$ on $Q$.
Lemma 2.1. With the notations above, $\mathrm{Z}_{P \times Q, g+h}=\mathrm{Z}_{P, g} \mathrm{Z}_{Q, h}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{P \sqcup Q, g \sqcup h}=$ $\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}+\mathrm{Z}_{Q, h}$.

Proof. This is most easily seen using formula (3).
A chain $e$ in the Cartesian product $P \times Q$ is the same as a pair of chains $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $P$ and $Q$. The sum over $e$ of the heights in $P \times Q$ is that of $e^{\prime}$ in $P$ plus that of $e^{\prime \prime}$ in $Q$.

For the disjoint union $P \sqcup Q$, chains are either entirely in $P$ or entirely in $Q$ and the result follows.

For a poset $P$, let $\chi_{P}$ denote the Euler characteristic of the order complex of $P$, which is the simplicial complex made of strict chains. Recall that if $P$ has a unique minimum or maximum, the order complex is contractible.

Lemma 2.2. The value of $Z_{P, h}$ at $[1]_{q}$ is $\chi_{P}$. In particular, if $P$ has a unique minimum or maximum, this is 1 .

Proof. Let us first compute $\mathrm{E}_{h(c)}\left([-k]_{q}\right)$ for $k \geq 1$ and $c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)$. By Ehrhart reciprocity, $\mathrm{E}_{h(c)}\left([-k]_{q}\right)=(-1)^{k-1} q^{-\sum h(c)}$ because the only interior point in the $k$-th dilate of the standard basic simplex is $(1, \ldots, 1)$. Then using formula (7), one finds

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\left([1]_{q}\right)=\sum_{k \geq 1}(-1)^{k-1} \# \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)
$$

This is exactly the expected Euler characteristic.

Lemma 2.3. Assuming that $P$ has a unique minimum $\hat{0}$, the value of $\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}$ at $[0]_{q}$ is $q^{-h(\hat{0})}\left(1-\chi_{P \backslash \hat{0}}\right)$. In particular, if $P$ also has a unique maximum $\hat{1}$ distinct from $\hat{0}$, this is 0 .
Proof. The special case when $P$ has only one element is clear, see example 1.3. Assume now that $P$ has at least 2 elements. First one can show that

$$
\mathrm{E}_{h(c)}\left([-k-1]_{q}\right)=(-1)^{k-1} q^{-\sum h(c)} \sum_{i} q^{-h\left(c_{i}\right)}
$$

using Ehrhart reciprocity, for $k \geq 1$ and $c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)$. Therefore one finds

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\left([0]_{q}\right)=\sum_{k \geq 1}(-1)^{k-1} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)} \sum_{i} q^{-h\left(c_{i}\right)} .
$$

In this sum, the strict chain reduced to $\hat{0}$ contributes the term $q^{-h(\hat{0})}$.
On the remaining chains, removing or adding $\hat{0}$ define bijections exchanging strict chains with $k+1$ elements containing $\hat{0}$ and strict chains with $k$ elements not containing $\hat{0}$. The contributions of such a pair of chains to the previous sum almost cancel mutually, except one summand $q^{-h(\hat{0})}$ in the inner sum, contributing

$$
\sum_{k \geq 1}(-1)^{k} \sum_{c \in \operatorname{Ch}_{k}(P \backslash \hat{0})} q^{-h(\hat{0})}
$$

in which one can recognize $-q^{-h(\hat{0})} \chi_{P \backslash \hat{0}}$.
Recall that a poset is bounded if it has unique minimum $\hat{0}$ and unique maximum $\hat{1}$.

Lemma 2.4. If the poset $P$ is bounded, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\left([-1]_{q}\right)=q^{-h(\hat{0})-h(\hat{1})} \mu_{P}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{P}$ is the usual Möbius function of the poset.
Proof. The proof is very similar to that of the previous lemmas.
The special case when $P$ has only one element is clear, see example 1.3. One can therefore assume that $\hat{0} \neq \hat{1}$.

Using Ehrhart reciprocity to evaluate $\mathrm{E}_{h(c)}$ at $[-k-2]_{q}$ for $c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)$, one gets the formula

$$
\sum_{k \geq 1}(-1)^{k-1} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{Ch}_{k}(P)} \sum_{i}\left(q^{-2 h\left(c_{i}\right)}+\sum_{i \neq j} q^{-h\left(c_{i}\right)-h\left(c_{j}\right)}\right) .
$$

Then one first separates strict chains according to whether they start by $\hat{0}$ or not. Contributions of pairs of chains almost cancel. In the remaining sum, one separates strict chains according to whether they end by $\hat{1}$ or not. Once again, there are cancellations by pairs. There remains only

$$
q^{-h(\hat{0})-h(\hat{1})}\left(-1+\sum_{k \geq 1}(-1)^{k-1} \# \operatorname{Ch}_{k}\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $\# \mathrm{Ch}_{k}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ is the number of strict chains $c_{1}<c_{2}<\cdots<c_{k}$ in the poset $P^{\prime}=P \backslash\{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$. By a classical result, the reduced Euler characteristic between the parentheses is the Möbius number $\mu_{P}(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$.

Let us consider a poset $P$ and its dual poset $\bar{P}$. To any height function $h$ on $P$ and for any integer $H$ at least equal to the maximal value of $h$, the function $H-h$ is an height function on $\bar{P}$. In that case, the $q$-Zeta polynomial of $P$ w.r.t. $h$ and that of $\bar{P}$ w.r.t. $H-h$ are not directly related in a simple way, but their values are related as follows.

Lemma 2.5. One has the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\bar{P}, H-h}\left([n]_{q}\right)=\left.q^{(n-1) H}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\left([n]_{q}\right)\right)\right|_{q=1 / q}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. For $n \geq 2$, this follows directly from (3). The case $n=1$ also follows from lemma 2.2. It is therefore enough to check that the right hand side is the value of a polynomial at the $q$-integer $[n]_{q}$. Indeed, let us write

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{H} \kappa_{j} x^{j},
$$

as the degree of this polynomial is at most $H$. Then the right hand side in the expected relation is

$$
\left.\sum_{j=0}^{H} \kappa_{j}\right|_{q=1 / q}\left(q^{n-1}\right)^{H-j}[n]_{q}^{j},
$$

which is the value at $[n]_{q}$ of the polynomial

$$
\left.\sum_{j=0}^{H} \kappa_{j}\right|_{q=1 / q}\left(\frac{1+(q-1) x}{q}\right)^{H-j} x^{j}
$$

See example 1.7 for a simple case of this relationship between $q$-Zeta polynomials of dual posets.

Lemma 2.6. Let $P$ be a poset with height function $h$. Let $\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}(x)$ be the corresponding $q$-Zeta polynomial. Then the $q$-Zeta polynomial $Z_{P, h+1}$ for $P$ with the shifted height function $h+1$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{P, h+1}(x)=\frac{1}{q}(1+(q-1) x) \cdot \mathrm{Z}_{P, h}(x) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the formula (3) for the height function $h+1$ gives directly

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{P, h+1}\left([n]_{q}\right)=q^{n-1} \mathbf{Z}_{P, h}\left([n]_{q}\right)
$$

from which the result follows.

Lemma 2.7. Let $P$ be a poset with height function $h$. Let $Z_{P, h}(x)$ be the corresponding $q$-Zeta polynomial. Let $D \geq 1$ be an integer. Then the $q$-Zeta polynomial $\mathrm{Z}_{P, D h}$ for $P$ with the scaled height function $D h$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{P, D h}(x)=\left.\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\right|_{q=q^{D}}\left(\frac{(1+(q-1) x)^{D}-1}{q^{D}-1}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (3), it follows that $Z_{P, D h}\left([n]_{q}\right)=\left.Z_{P, h}\right|_{q=q^{D}}\left([n]_{q^{D}}\right)$ for $n \geq 2$. Then expressing the argument $[n]_{q^{D}}$ using $[n]_{q}$ gives the formula.

This property can be seen in example 1.3.
Recall that the coefficients of the flag $f$-vector of a graded poset $P$ are the numbers of strict chains $c$ with a fixed sequence of ranks $\mathrm{rk}(c)$. For more on this, see [5] and [19, §3.12].

Lemma 2.8. Let $P$ be a graded poset and consider the height function rk. The polynomial $Z_{P, \text { rk }}$ is entirely determined by the flag $f$-vector of $P$.

Proof. It follows from formula (7) that $\mathrm{Z}_{P, \text { rk }}$ is a linear combination of $q$-Ehrhart polynomials $\mathrm{E}_{a}$ whose coefficients are exactly coefficients of the flag $f$-vector.

Note: one can wonder about the converse implication. Most probably, the flag $f$-vector should be a finer invariant than the $q$-Zeta polynomial.

## 3 Relation with the $q$-order polynomial

The order polytope $Q_{P}$ of a poset $P[17]$ is the lattice polytope in $\mathbb{N}^{P}$ defined by inequalities $0 \leq z_{p} \leq 1$ for all $p \in P$ and $z_{p} \leq z_{q}$ if $p \leq q$ in $P$. The application $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p} \mapsto\left(1-z_{p}\right)_{p}$ is a bijection between $Q_{P}$ and $Q_{\bar{P}}$ for the dual poset $\bar{P}$.

Let us recall the $q$-order polynomial $\mathrm{L}_{P}$ of a poset $P$ as introduced in [9]. This is the $q$-Ehrhart polynomial of the order polytope $Q_{P}$, with respect to the linear form $(1,1, \ldots, 1)$, i.e. the sum of coordinates. The value of $L_{P}$ at $[n]_{q}$ for $n \geq 0$ is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{P}\left([n]_{q}\right)=\sum_{z \in n Q_{P}} q^{\Sigma_{p} z_{p}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The degree of $\mathrm{L}_{P}$ is $\# P$.
Let $P$ be a finite poset. Let $J(P)$ be the distributive lattice of lower ideals in $P$ under the order of containment. This lattice is graded by the cardinality of the lower ideal. Fix $n \geq 2$, and consider a chain $e_{1} \leq \ldots \leq e_{n-1}$ of elements of $J(P)$. This is an increasing chain of lower ideals of $P$. As such, it is characterised by the following data : for each element $p$ of $P$, let $z_{p}$ be the smallest integer between 0 and $n-2$ such that $p \in e_{z_{p}+1}$ if it exists and $n-1$ otherwise. Then these vectors $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p \in P}$ satisfy that $z_{p} \leq z_{q}$ if $p \leq q$ in $P$. This map defines a bijection between chains $e_{1} \leq \ldots \leq e_{n-1}$ in $J(P)$ and lattice points in the $(n-1)$-dilate of the order polytope $Q_{P}$.

Proposition 3.1. There holds $\mathbf{Z}_{J(P), \mathrm{rk}}(1+q x)=\mathrm{L}_{\bar{P}}(x)$.
Proof. Let us compare the values at $x=[n-1]_{q}$ for $n \geq 2$. Let us compute the monomial in $q$ attached in $\mathrm{Z}_{J(P), \mathrm{rk}}(1+q x)=\mathrm{Z}_{J(P), \mathrm{rk}}\left([n]_{q}\right)$ to one chain $e_{1} \leq \ldots \leq e_{n-1}$ in terms of the corresponding lattice point $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p \in P}$ in $(n-$

1) $Q_{P}$. For the chain, the monomial is $q$ to the power $\sum_{i} \# e_{i}=\sum_{i} \sum_{p \in e_{i}} 1$. By the bijection between chains and lattice points, the exponent of $q$ becomes $\sum_{p \in P}\left(n-1-z_{p}\right)$ where $z \in(n-1) Q_{P}$. Using the bijection $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p} \mapsto\left(1-z_{p}\right)_{p}$ between $Q_{P}$ and $Q_{\bar{P}}$, the exponent of $q$ becomes $\sum_{p \in \bar{P}} z_{p}$ where $z \in(n-1) Q_{\bar{P}}$. Summing these monomials over $z$ gives exactly the value at $[n-1]_{q}$ of the $q$-order polynomial of $\bar{P}$.

For example, let $P$ be the poset with three elements $a, b$ and $c$, such that $a$ is less than $b$ and $c$. Then the $q$-Ehrhart polynomial of $\bar{P}$ is

$$
\frac{1}{[2]_{q}[3]_{q}} \cdot(q x+1) \cdot\left(q^{2} x+q+1\right) \cdot\left(\left(q^{3}+q^{2}\right) x+q^{2}+q+1\right)
$$

and the $q$-Zeta polynomial of $J(P)$ is

$$
\frac{1}{[2]_{q}[3]_{q}} \cdot x \cdot(q x+1) \cdot\left(\left(q^{2}+q\right) x+1\right)
$$

## 4 Ehrhart series and volumes

## 4.1 $P$-partitions and $q$-Ehrhart series for posets

Let us first recall the following classical setting, part of the more general theory of $P$-partitions, due to Stanley $[16, \S 8]$.

The $q$-Ehrhart series of any poset $P$ is the formal power series defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{P}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{~L}_{P}\left([n]_{q}\right) t^{n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be expressed as a rational fraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{P}=\frac{\mathbb{H}_{P}(q, t)}{(1-t)(1-q t) \ldots\left(1-q^{\# P} t\right)}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{H}_{P}$ is a polynomial in $q$ and $t$ with non-negative integer coefficients. This polynomial has a known combinatorial interpretation, using descents for $t$ and major indices for $q$, as a sum over all linear extensions of the poset $P$.

Let us also introduce the $q$-volume of a poset, as defined in $[9, \S 4.2]$.
Definition 2. The $q$-volume of a poset $P$ is the leading coefficient of the $q$ Ehrhart polynomial $\mathrm{L}_{P}$ times the $q$-factorial $[\# P]!_{q}$.

Proposition 4.9 in [9] gives the following relationship between the polynomial $\mathbb{H}_{\bar{P}}$ and the $q$-volume of $P$.

Proposition 4.1. The $q$-volume of $P$ is equal to $q\left({ }_{2}^{\left(\# P_{2}\right)}\right.$ times $\mathbb{H}_{P}(1 / q, 1)$.

## $4.2 \quad q$-Ehrhart series for $q$-Zeta polynomials

Inspired by proposition 3.1 which identifies the $q$-Zeta polynomials of distributive lattices with $q$-order polynomials of their posets of join-irreducibles, it is natural to extend the constructions of the previous paragraph to the general case, for the $q$-Zeta polynomials of arbitrary posets.

Let $(P, h)$ be a poset endowed with an height function $h$. In this section, $H$ will denote the maximal value of $h$.

Let us consider the generating series of values of $Z_{P, h}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{Z}_{P, h}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Z}_{P, h}\left([n+1]_{q}\right) t^{n} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

similar to the $q$-Ehrhart series (21).
Proposition 4.2. This series can be expressed as a rational fraction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{Z}_{P, h}=\frac{\mathbb{H}_{P, h}(q, t)}{(1-t)(1-q t) \ldots\left(1-q^{H} t\right)}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{H}_{P}$ is a polynomial in $q, q^{-1}$ and $t$ with integer coefficients. The degree of $\mathbb{H}_{P, h}$ with respect to $t$ is at most $H$.

Proof. Let us write $\mathbf{Z}$ for $\mathbf{Z}_{P, h}$. Consider the polynomial $\mathbf{Z}(1+q x)$. By eq. (3) and lemma 2.2, its value at every $[n]_{q}$ for $n \geq 0$ is a polynomial in $q$ with integer coefficients. By proposition B.2, it can therefore be expressed as a sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}(1+q x)=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq H} c_{j} B_{j}(x), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ and $B_{j}$ are polynomials defined in Appendix B by (33). Then one concludes using the generating series from lemma B.5.

By analogy with definition 2, let us introduce the volume associated with the $q$-Zeta polynomial.

Definition 3. The $q$-Zeta volume of a poset $P$ with respect to the height function $h$ is the leading coefficient of the $q$-Zeta polynomial $Z_{P, h}$ times the $q$-factorial $[H]!_{q}$.

In this context, one has the following general property, for arbitrary posets. Let us choose $H-h$ as the height function on the dual poset $\bar{P}$.

Proposition 4.3. The $q$-Zeta volume of $P$ w.r.t. $h$ is $q^{\binom{H}{2}}$ times $\mathbb{H}_{\bar{P}, H-h}(1 / q, 1)$.
Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [9, Prop. 4.9]. Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{Z}_{P, h}=\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{H} h_{j} t^{j}}{\prod_{\ell=0}^{H} 1-q^{\ell} t}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some coefficients $h_{j}$ in $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$.
By lemma 2.5 translated into generating series using (23), one has the equality

$$
\mathbb{H}_{P, H-h}(q, t)=\mathbb{H}_{P, h}\left(1 / q, q^{H} t\right)
$$

After replacing $q$ by $1 / q$ in the evaluation at $t=1$, one gets

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\bar{P}, H-h}(1 / q, 1)=\sum_{j=0}^{H} h_{j} q^{-j H}
$$

On the other hand, one can compute directly the coefficient of $x^{H}$ in $\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}(1+$ $q x)$ from (26). For the term $j=0$, one can use lemma B. 5 and (33) that gives the corresponding coefficient of $x^{H}$ explicitly as

$$
\frac{1}{[H]!_{q}} \prod_{j=1}^{H} q^{j}=\frac{q^{\binom{H+1}{2}}}{[H]!_{q}}
$$

For the terms of index $j \geq 1$, one notes that the product by $t$ in the series amounts to replace $x$ by $(x-1) / q$ in the polynomial coefficient, which multiplies the leading coefficient of $x^{H}$ by $q^{-H}$. The total leading coefficient of $\mathrm{Z}_{P, h}(1+x)$ is therefore given by

$$
\left(\sum_{j=0}^{H} h_{j} q^{-j H}\right) \frac{q^{\binom{H+1}{2}}}{[H]!!_{q}} .
$$

For the leading coefficient of $\mathbf{Z}_{P, h}(x)$, one has moreover to divide by $q^{H}$.
One concludes by comparing the obtained expressions.
For example, for the self-dual poset of example 1.5 with $H=2$, the series is

$$
\mathscr{Z}_{P, \mathrm{rk}}=\frac{1+2 q t}{(1-t)(1-q t)\left(1-q^{2} t\right)}
$$

and the $q$-volume is $q+2$.

## 5 Positivity properties

By proposition 3.1 and the discussion in $\S 4.1$, when $P$ is a distributive lattice, then $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$ is a polynomial in $q$ and $t$ with non-negative integer coefficients.

This positivity property of $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$ is not true for all posets. Small counterexamples are the posets of example 1.7 and example 1.8.

In the case of example 1.8 with $H=2$, one gets the numerator

$$
-q^{3} t^{2}+\left(2+q+q^{2}\right) t-1
$$

In this section, we will give a sufficient criterion for positivity of $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$, in terms of the existence of an $R$-labelling.

## 5.1 $R$-labellings

Let $L$ be a set of labels, endowed with an arbitrary relation denoted by $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\leftrightarrow}$. For $p<q$ in $P$, a maximal chain $p=e_{0}<e_{1}<\cdots<e_{n+1}=q$ is increasing ${ }^{1}$ if $\lambda\left(e_{i-1}, e_{i}\right) \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\leftrightarrow} \lambda\left(e_{i}, e_{i+1}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

An $R$-labelling of a poset $P$ by $(L, \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\leftrightarrow})$ is an application $\lambda$ from the set of edges of the Hasse diagram of $P$ to $L$ such that:

- for every pair of comparable elements $p \leq q$ in $P$, there is exactly one increasing maximal chain from $p$ to $q$.

[^0]For example, the weak order on the symmetric group $S_{3}$ has no $R$-labelling, as both maximal chains from the minimum to the maximum are necessarily increasing.

Remark 5.1. Every EL-labelling, as defined in [6], is also an R-labelling, as it satisfies a stronger condition. Supersolvable lattices and upper-semimodular lattices always have an $R$-labelling. This is proved in [19, examples 3.13 .4 and 3.13.5].

### 5.2 Positivity criterion

Proposition 5.2. If $P$ is a bounded and graded poset that admits an $R$-labelling, then $\mathbb{H}_{P, \mathrm{rk}}$ is a polynomial with positive integer coefficients.

Proof. The proofs follows from theorem A. 1 that gives positivity of the flag $h$-vector under the given hypothesis and the fact that the coefficient of $\mathbb{H}_{P, \mathrm{rk}}$ are non-negative linear combinations of the flag $h$-vector elements, as proved in theorem A.2.

Lemma 5.3. If $P$ is a bounded and graded poset that admits an $R$-labelling, then the $q$-Zeta volume of $Z_{P, \text { rk }}$ is a non-negative $q$-analogue of the number of maximal chains in $P$.

Proof. This follows from proposition 5.2 and proposition 4.3. The relationship between the $q$-Zeta volume and $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$ involves the dual poset $P$, but the set of maximal chains is preserved by duality.

Proposition 5.2 above applies to several classical posets attached to finite Coxeter groups. The first ones are non-crossing partitions lattices issued from the absolute order $[8,7,4,12]$ and shard-intersection orders $[14,15]$. These two families are known to be $E L$-shellable by results of $[1,13]$.

The intersection lattice of an essential central hyperplane arrangement is a geometric lattice and is therefore EL-shellable [6, Th. 3.1]. This applies in particular to posets of generalized set partitions defined as intersection lattices of reflection hyperplane arrangements.

Hence, all these posets have an $R$-labelling and non-negative $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$.
There is a more confidential family of posets attached to finite Coxeter groups, the parabolic-support posets [3, 2]. Every interval in this family is shellable and upper-semimodular, hence has an $R$-labelling [3]. But these posets are not bounded, hence the criterion does not apply to the full posets. Positivity of $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$ seems nevertheless to hold for the parabolic-support posets. This remains to be proved and explained.

Another interesting example is given by the root posets of type $B$, which are not bounded posets either. One can endow these posets with an $R$-labelling according to the direction (North-East or North-West) of the cover relations. It seems that the coefficients of powers $t^{k}$ in $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$ are non-negative $q$-analogues of $\binom{n}{k}^{2}$, the type $B$ Narayana numbers.

One can wonder what would be a necessary and sufficient condition on posets for positivity of the coefficients of $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$.

## 6 Value at $q=0$ and characteristic polynomial

Assume in this section that $P$ is bounded and graded with a unique minimal element $\hat{0}$ and a unique maximal element $\hat{1}$. Let $H$ be the maximal value of the rank function rk on $P$.

The characteristic polynomial of $P$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{P}(y)=\sum_{p \in P} \mu(\hat{0}, p) y^{H-\mathrm{rk}(p)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be expressed using the flag $h$-vector as follows.
Lemma 6.1. The polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{H} X_{P}(1 / y)=1+\sum_{j=1}^{H}\left(\beta_{P}([1, \ldots, j-1])+\beta_{P}([1, \ldots, j])\right)(-y)^{j} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The polynomial on the left is

$$
1+\sum_{j=1}^{H}\left(\sum_{\substack{p \in P \\ r k(p)=j}} \mu(\hat{0}, p)\right) y^{j} .
$$

Then, for $j \geq 1$, the coefficient of $y^{j}$ is the difference

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \in P \\ r k(p) \leq j}} \mu(\hat{0}, p)-\sum_{\substack{p \in P \\ r k(p) \leq j-1}} \mu(\hat{0}, p),
$$

in which each sum is minus the Möbius number $\mu_{S}$ of a rank-selected subposet, for the sets $S=[1, \ldots, j]$ and $S=[1, \ldots, j-1]$. Using the relationship $\mu_{S}=(-1)^{\# S-1} \beta_{P}(S)([19,3.12])$, one can then conclude.

Theorem 6.2. The $q$-Zeta polynomial $Z_{P, \text { rk }}$ has no pole at $q=0$ and its value at $q=0$ is related to the characteristic polynomial by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{Z}_{P, \mathrm{rk}}\right|_{q=0}(1-y)=y^{H} X_{P}(1 / y) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 6.3. It can well happen, when the poset $P$ has no unique minimum, that $Z_{P, h}$ has poles at $q=0$, for instance for the poset of example 1.6 and the dual poset in example 1.7.

Proof. Let us denote $Z_{P, r k}$ by $\mathbf{Z}$. Let us start with theorem A.2. One deduces that

$$
t \mathbb{H}_{P, \mathrm{rk}}(q, t)=\sum_{S \subseteq\{1, \ldots, H-1\}} \beta_{P}(S) t^{\# S+1} q^{\Sigma S}
$$

As $P$ is bounded, $\mathrm{Z}(0)=0$ by lemma 2.3. Therefore the previous expression is the numerator of the generating series of values of $Z$ for $n \geq 0$. By the correspondence stated in appendix B, this means that

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{P, \mathrm{rk}}(x)=\sum_{S \subseteq\{1, \ldots, H-1\}} \beta_{P}(S) q^{\Sigma S}\left[{\underset{H}{H-\# S-1 ; x}]_{q} .}\right.
$$

One can then use lemma B. 8 to let $q$ be 0 and obtain

$$
\left.\mathrm{Z}\right|_{q=0}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{H-1} \beta_{P}([1, \ldots, j]) x(x-1)^{j}
$$

Indeed, all sets $S$ that are not formed of consecutive integers starting at 1 appear with a strictly positive power of $q$, hence vanish when $q=0$. One deduces

$$
\left.\mathrm{Z}\right|_{q=0}(1-y)=\sum_{j=0}^{H-1} \beta_{P}([1, \ldots, j])(1-y)(-y)^{j}
$$

One can then conclude by an easy comparison with lemma 6.1.

## A Flag $f$-vectors and $h$-vectors

Let us recall the standard definitions of flag $f$-vectors and flag $h$-vectors and then state theorems about their relationship with maximal chains.

Let $P$ be a graded and bounded poset with unique minimum $\hat{0}$ and unique maximum $\hat{1}$. Let rk be the rank function on $P$, with minimal value 0 on $\hat{0}$ and maximal value $H$ on $\hat{1}$.

For a subset $S \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, H-1\}$ of cardinality $k$, let $\alpha_{P}(S)$ be the number of chains $\hat{0}<p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{k}<\hat{1}$ in $P$ such that $S=\left\{\operatorname{rk}\left(p_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{rk}\left(p_{k}\right)\right\}$.

The flag $f$-vector of $P$ is the collection of numbers $\alpha_{P}(S)$, indexed by subsets $S$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, H-1\}$.

The flag $h$-vector of $P$ is the collection of numbers $\beta_{P}(S)$, also indexed by subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, H-1\}$, defined by Möbius inversion as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{P}(S)=\sum_{T \subseteq S}(-1)^{\# S-\# T} \alpha_{P}(T) \quad \text { and conversely } \quad \alpha_{P}(S)=\sum_{T \subseteq S} \beta_{P}(T) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the numbers $\beta_{P}(S)$ are not obviously non-negative, as their definition by Möbius inversion involves signs.

Assume now that $P$ admits an $R$-labelling $\lambda$ for the relation $\stackrel{\ominus}{\leftrightarrow}$, as defined in §5.1. To each maximal chain $M: \hat{0}=p_{0}<p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{H}=\hat{1}$ in $P$, one can associate its descent set ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(M)=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, H-1\} \mid \operatorname{not} \lambda\left(p_{i-1}, p_{i}\right) \stackrel{囚}{\leftrightarrow} \lambda\left(p_{1}, p_{i+1}\right)\right\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem A. 1 (Björner and Stanley). Let $P$ be a bounded and graded poset with an $R$-labelling. Let $S \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, H-1\}$ be any subset the set of heights. The number $\alpha_{P}(S)$ counts maximal chains in $P$ with descent set contained in $S$. The number $\beta_{P}(S)$ counts maximal chains in $P$ with descent set $S$ and is therefore non-negative.

For the proof, see $[19, \S 3.13]$ or [6, Th. 2.7]. One can check that the proof of [19, Th. 3.13.2] works verbatim without the hypothesis that $\stackrel{( }{\leftrightarrow}$ is a partial order relation.

[^1]Some interesting information about this statement can be found in [11, §8.1].
There is a simple relationship between the flag $h$-vector and the numerator of the $q$-Ehrhart series as defined in 4.2.

Theorem A.2. The polynomial $\mathbb{H}_{P, \mathrm{rk}}$ is the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{S \subseteq\{1, \ldots, H-1\}} \beta_{P}(S) t^{\# S} q^{\sum(S)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has therefore non-negative coefficients.
Proof. Introduce formal variables $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{H}$. For a chain $K$ in $P \backslash\{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$, let $u_{K}$ be the product of $u_{\operatorname{rk}(p)}$ over elements $p$ in the chain. For a subset $S \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, H-1\}$, let $u_{S}$ be the product of $u_{i}$ over elements of $S$.

According to [19, Ex. 3.67(b)], one has the formula

$$
\sum_{K} u_{K}=\frac{\sum_{S} \beta_{P}(S) u_{S}}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{H-1} 1-u_{\ell}}
$$

where the sum over $K$ is running over all chains in $P \backslash\{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$.
By extending the sum over $K$ to all chains in $P$, one gets

$$
\sum_{K} u_{K}=\frac{\sum_{S} \beta_{P}(S) u_{S}}{\prod_{\ell=0}^{H} 1-u_{\ell}},
$$

with just two additional factors in the denominator accounting for the multiplicities of $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$ in the chain.

By specializing every $u_{\ell}$ to $q^{\ell} t$, one gets the equality

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Z}_{P, \mathrm{rk}}\left([n+1]_{q}\right) t^{n}=\frac{\sum_{S} \beta_{P}(S) t^{\# S} q^{\sum(S)}}{\prod_{\ell=0}^{H} 1-q^{\ell} t}
$$

Comparing with the definition of $\mathbb{H}_{P, \text { rk }}$ as a numerator in proposition 4.2, one obtains the expected formula.

## B Rings of polynomials with integer Laurent values

Let $A_{q}$ be the sub-ring of $\mathbb{Q}(q)[x]$ made of polynomials $P$ such that $P([n])_{q} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ for all $n \geq 0$. This is an analogue of the ring of integer-valued polynomials.

For $k \geq 0$, let $B_{k}$ be the polynomial defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}(x)=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}[j]_{q}+q^{j} x}{[k]!_{q}} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the values $B_{k}\left([n]_{q}\right)$ for $n \geq 0$ are standard $q$-binomial coefficients, every $B_{k}$ belongs to $A_{q}$. We will use the convention that $B_{-1}=0$.

Let $\Delta_{q}$ be the operator acting on polynomials in $x$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{q}(P)(x)=\frac{P(x)-P((x-1) / q)}{1+(q-1) x} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side is well defined as a polynomial because the numerator has a root at $x=1 /(1-q)$. Assuming moreover that $P \in A_{q}$, this formula implies that the values $\Delta_{q}(P)\left([n]_{q}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ are Laurent polynomials in $q$ with integer coefficients.

Lemma B.1. The operator $\Delta_{q}$ maps $B_{k}$ to $B_{k-1}$ for all $k \geq 0$.
Proof. It is enough to prove that it holds for the value at every $q$-integer $[n]_{q}$ for $n \geq 1$. This in turn follows from the classical formula

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
n+k \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1+k \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}\right) / q^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
n+k-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

for $q$-binomial coefficients.
Proposition B.2. The polynomials $B_{k}$ form a basis over $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ of the ring $A_{q}$.

Proof. These polynomials are linearly independent, as $B_{k}$ has degree $k$. It remains to prove that they span $A_{q}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$. The proof is by induction on the degree. This is clear in degree 0 as $B_{0}=1$.

So let $P$ be a polynomial of degree $d>0$ in $A_{q}$. Then $Q=\Delta_{q}(P)$ is a polynomial with values in $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ for $n \geq 1$. Moreover, if the leading coefficient of $P$ is $c x^{d} q^{\binom{+1}{2}} /[d]!_{q}$, then the leading coefficient of $Q$ is $c x^{d-1} q^{\binom{d}{2}} /[d-1]!q$. Iterating $d$ times the operator $\Delta_{q}$, one reaches a constant polynomial, with values in $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ for $n \geq d$ and with leading term $c$. Therefore $c \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ and the polynomial $P-c B_{d}$ belongs to $A_{q}$, with degree at most $d-1$. By induction, this difference is a linear combination over $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ of $B_{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq d-1$. Hence $P$ is a linear combination over $\mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ of $B_{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq d$.

Corollary B.3. Let $P$ be an element of $A_{q}$. Then $P\left([n]_{q}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The operator $\Delta_{q}$ acts on $A_{q}$.
Proof. The first statement holds because this is true for all basis elements $B_{k}$ by an easy computation. The second one follows from the first and (34).
Lemma B.4. For $k \geq 0$, there holds $B_{k}((x-1) / q)=q^{-k}\left(B_{k}(x)-B_{k-1}(x)\right)$.
Proof. The special case $k=0$ is immediate. It is enough to prove this equality when evaluated at $[n]_{q}$ for $n \geq 1$. This reduces to a standard property of $q$-binomial coefficients.

The following statement can be found for instance in [9, Lem. 4.7].
Lemma B.5. For $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} B_{k}\left([n]_{q}\right) t^{n}=\frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=0}^{k}\left(1-q^{\ell} t\right)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of $\Delta_{q}$ can be translated into an action on sequences of Laurent polynomials as follows.

Abusing notation, let $\Delta_{q}$ be the linear operator acting on sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of Laurent polynomials by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{q}(a)\right)_{n}=\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right) / q^{n} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the same as (34) when $a_{n}=P\left([n]_{q}\right)$.
Lemma B.6. A sequence of Laurent polynomials $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence of values of an element of $A_{q}$ at $q$-integers $[n]_{q}$ with $n \geq 0$ if and only if it is annihilated by some power of $\Delta_{q}$.
Proof. Assume first that $a_{n}=P\left([n]_{q}\right)$ for some element $P$ of $A_{q}$ and for all $n \geq 0$. Then because the operator $\Delta_{q}$ acts by sending the basis element $B_{k}$ to $B_{k-1}$, iterating $\Delta_{q}$ sufficiently gives the constant sequence 0 .

Conversely, one proceeds by induction on $d$ where the sequence $a$ is annihilated by the power $d+1$ of $\Delta_{q}$. If the sequence $a$ is identically zero, the statement is obvious.

Otherwise, the sequence $\Delta_{q}^{d}(a)$ is a constant Laurent polynomial $c$. The sequence $a_{n}^{\prime}=a_{n}-c B_{d}\left([n]_{q}\right)$ is then annihilated by $\Delta_{q}^{d}$, hence by induction $a^{\prime}(n)=P\left([n]_{q}\right)$ for some element $P$ of $A_{q}$. The statement follows.

For integers $a$ and $b$, let us introduce the following polynomials

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
a ; x  \tag{37}\\
b
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{\prod_{j=a-b+1}^{a}[j]_{q}+q^{j} x}{[b]_{q}!}
$$

Their evaluation at $x=[n]_{q}$ is the standard $q$-binomial coefficient $\left[\begin{array}{c}a+n \\ b\end{array}\right]_{q}$. Note that $B_{k}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{c}k ; x \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$ for $k \geq 0$.

These polynomials are useful in the following correspondence.
Proposition B.7. Consider the generating series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{n} t^{n}=\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{d} h_{k} t^{k}}{\prod_{\ell=0}^{d}\left(1-q^{\ell} t\right)}, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k}$ are arbitrary coefficients. Then the $Z_{n}$ are the values at $[n]_{q}$ of the polynomial

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{d} h_{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
d-k ; x  \tag{39}\\
d
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

Proof. Starting from lemma B. 5 corresponding to $k=0$, one can obtain by induction on $k$ the equality

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0}\left[\begin{array}{c}
d-k+n \\
d
\end{array}\right]_{q} t^{n}=\frac{t^{k}}{\prod_{\ell=0}^{d}\left(1-q^{\ell} t\right)}
$$

The statement readily follows.
Thee polynomials $\left[\begin{array}{c}a ; x \\ b\end{array}\right]_{q}$ also have a very simple limit at $q=0$, once normalized appropriately.

Lemma B.8. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, the polynomial $\left[\begin{array}{c}d-i ; x \\ d\end{array}\right]_{q} q^{\binom{i}{2}}$ has a limit when $q=0$ and this limit is 1 if $i=0$ and $x(x-1)^{i}$ otherwise.
Proof. One uses the explicit product formula (37) for these polynomials. The statement is clear if $i=0$. When $i>0$, this is a simple computation term-byterm in the product, by distributing the factor $q^{\binom{i}{2}}$ in the appropriate factors to compensate for poles in $q$.

## C $q$-analogue of incidence algebra

The aim of this section is to explain an interpretation of the values of $q$-Zeta polynomials as corner coefficients of powers of matrix-like objects, similar to the classical case taking place in the incidence algebra.

The idea of a possible $q$-analogue of the incidence algebra has been alluded to in the last paragraph of [18].

Let us consider a poset $P$ endowed with the height function $h$.
Let $\operatorname{Inc}_{q}(P, h)$ be the vector space of square matrices $A$ with rows and columns indexed by $P$, with coefficients in the ring of Laurent polynomials in $q$, and such that $A_{x, y}=0$ if $x$ is not smaller than or equal to $y$ in $P$. Let $D_{h}$ be the diagonal matrix with coefficients $q^{h(x)}$ for $x \in P$.

The space $\operatorname{Inc}_{q}(P, h)$ is endowed with the following bilinear product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A \times_{q} B\right)_{x, z}=A D_{h} B \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product $\times_{q}$ is associative, with the diagonal matrix $D_{h}^{-1}$ as unit.
This ring is a twisted version of the usual incidence algebra, to which it reduces when $q=1$. It can be identified with a sub-ring of the usual ring of square matrices by multiplying all matrices on the left by $D_{h}$.

Let $Z \in \operatorname{Inc}_{q}(P, h)$ be the zeta matrix of $P$, defined by $Z_{x, y}=1$ for all relations $x \leq y$ in $P$ and 0 otherwise. The matrix $Z$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Inc}_{q}(P, h)$, because it is upper triangular with invertible diagonal.

Let now $P$ be a bounded poset. Let $H$ be the maximal value of $h$ on $P$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $Z^{\times_{q} n}$ be the $n$-th power of $Z$ in $\operatorname{Inc}_{q}(P, h)$.

We will use the ring $A_{q}$ and the operator $\Delta_{q}$ as defined in $\S$ B.
Proposition C.1. 7 The sequence of matrices $\left(Z^{\times}{ }_{q}{ }^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is annihilated by $\Delta_{q}^{H+1}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the value of the $q$-Zeta polynomial $Z_{P, h}\left([n]_{q}\right)$ is the coefficient of index $(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$ in the matrix $Z^{\times}{ }_{q} n$.
Proof. For $n \geq 2$, the definition of the matrix $Z^{\times_{q} n}$ as a product $Z D_{h} Z D_{h} \cdots Z$ implies directly that its corner coefficient is the weighted sum over all chains $\hat{0} \leq e_{1} \leq \cdots \leq e_{n-1} \leq \hat{1}$, where the weight is $q^{\sum_{j} h\left(e_{j}\right)}$. This is exactly eq. (3). This implies that the sequence $\left(Z_{\hat{0}, \hat{1}}^{\times_{q} n}\right)_{n \geq 2}$ is annihilated by $\Delta_{q}^{H+1}$.

The same proof applies, for every relation $x \leq y$, to the sequence of coefficients $\left(Z_{x, y}^{{ }_{q} n}\right)_{n \geq 2}$, which is therefore also annihilated by $\Delta_{q}^{H+1}$. The sequence of matrices $\left(Z^{\times_{q} n}\right)_{n \geq 2}$ is annihilated by $\Delta_{q}^{H+1}$ acting by (36).

Now consider the sequence $\Delta^{H+1}\left(Z^{\times{ }_{q} n}\right)_{n \geq N}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{H+1}\left(Z^{\times_{q} n}\right)_{n \geq N}=Z^{\times_{q}(N-2)} \times_{q} \Delta^{H+1}\left(Z^{\times_{q} n}\right)_{n \geq 2}=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the whole sequence $\left(Z^{\times}{ }_{q} n\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is annihilated by $\Delta_{q}^{H+1}$. Hence every sequence of coefficients of fixed index $(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $H$ evaluated at $[n]_{q}$, and in particular the corner coefficient coincides with the $q$-Zeta polynomial of $P$.

Note that this statement also implies lemma 2.4.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This terminology comes from the case where $\leftrightarrow$ is a partial order relation, which is not assumed here. One may say concatenable of friendly for a better intuition.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Again, the terminology comes from the case where $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\leftrightarrow}$ is a partial order.

