

A preoperative risk score based on early recurrence for estimating outcomes after resection of hepatocellular carcinoma in the non-cirrhotic liver

Eloy Ruiz, Jorge Honles, Ramiro Fernández, Karla Uribe, Juan Pablo Cerapio, Karina Cancino, Juan Contreras-Mancilla, Sandro Casavilca-Zambrano, Francisco Berrospi, Pascal Pineau, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Eloy Ruiz, Jorge Honles, Ramiro Fernández, Karla Uribe, Juan Pablo Cerapio, et al.. A preoperative risk score based on early recurrence for estimating outcomes after resection of hepatocellular carcinoma in the non-cirrhotic liver. HPB, In press, 10.1016/j.hpb.2024.02.010. hal-04460446

HAL Id: hal-04460446 https://hal.science/hal-04460446

Submitted on 18 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A preoperative risk score based on early recurrence for estimating outcomes after resection of hepatocellular carcinoma in the non-cirrhotic liver

Eloy Ruiz^{1,2,*}, Jorge Honles^{2,3,*}, Ramiro Fernández^{1,2}, Karla Uribe¹, Juan P. Cerapio^{2,4}, Karina Cancino^{2,3,4}, Juan Contreras-Mancilla^{2,5}, Sandro Casavilca-Zambrano^{2,6,7}, Francisco Berrospi¹, Pascal Pineau^{2,8} & Stéphane Bertani^{2,3}

¹Departamento de Cirugía en Abdomen, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplásicas, ²International Joint Laboratory of Molecular Anthropological Oncology, INEN, IRD, Lima, Peru, ³UMR 152 PHARMADEV, Université de Toulouse, IRD, ⁴UMR 1037 CRCT, Université de Toulouse, INSERM, Toulouse, France, ⁵Laboratorio de Investigación Traslacional y Biología Computacional, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, ⁶Departamento de Patología, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplásicas, Lima, ⁷Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de Huánuco, Huánuco, Peru, and ⁸Unité Organisation Nucléaire et Oncogenèse, INSERM, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

Abstract

Background: Liver resection is the mainstay treatment option for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in the non-cirrhotic liver (NCL-HCC), but almost half of these patients will experience a recurrence within five years of surgery. Therefore, we aimed to develop a rationale-based risk evaluation tool to assist surgeons in recurrence-related treatment planning for NCL-HCC.

Methods: We analyzed single-center data from 263 patients who underwent liver resection for NCL-HCC. Using machine learning modeling, we first determined an optimal cut-off point to discriminate early versus late relapses based on time to recurrence. We then constructed a risk score based on preoperative variables to forecast outcomes according to recurrence-free survival.

Results: We computed an optimal cut-off point for early recurrence at 12 months post-surgery. We identified macroscopic vascular invasion, multifocal tumor, and spontaneous tumor rupture as predictor variables of outcomes associated with early recurrence and integrated them into a scoring system. We thus stratified, with high concordance, three groups of patients on a graduated scale of recurrence-related survival.

Conclusion: We constructed a preoperative risk score to estimate outcomes after liver resection in NCL-HCC patients. Hence, this score makes it possible to rationally stratify patients based on recurrence risk assessment for better treatment planning.

Received 17 April 2023; accepted 12 February 2024

Correspondence

Stéphane Bertani, UMR 152 IRD-UPS PHARMADEV, Faculté de Pharmacie, 35 chemin des Maraîchers, 31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France. E-mail: stephane.bertani@ird.fr (S. Bertani)

Correspondence

Eloy Ruiz, Departamento de Cirugía en Abdomen, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplásicas, Avenida Angamos Este 2520, Surquillo 15038, Lima, Peru. E-mail: eruiz@inen.sld.pe (E. Ruiz)

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the most prevalent type of liver tumor, is one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths globally.^{1,2} Although most cases of HCC arise in cirrhotic livers,

approximately 20% of all cases of HCC documented in the literature are in people without cirrhosis.^{3,4} This figure is likely at the low end of clinical reality, especially in regions where hepatitis B transmission is highly endemic.⁵ Indeed, hepatitis B is a major etiology of HCC in many underserved areas,^{6,7} and it is

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} These authors contributed equally to this work.

known to promote hepatic carcinogenesis independent of liver fibrosis progression.⁸ HCC patients with non-cirrhotic liver present some demographic differences from cirrhotic patients, including a lower male preponderance and a bimodal age distribution with a higher proportion of younger individuals.³ Outside of any scheduled surveillance program,^{9,10} HCC in the non-cirrhotic liver (NCL-HCC) is often detected at advanced stages with a sizable tumor mass because of the stealth nature of the disease during its early stages and a larger liver function reserve.¹¹

According to clinical practice guidelines for HCC,^{9,12} liver resection (LR) is the treatment of choice in patients with no cirrhosis, for whom even major hepatectomies can be considered. However, despite LR being performed with curative intent, approximately 45% of NCL-HCC patients experience recurrence within five years of intervention.¹³ Two phases of HCC recurrence have been described hitherto: early relapses, which occur within the first year after LR, and late relapses that take place between the fourth and fifth years post-surgery.¹⁴ On the one hand, it has been suggested that early relapses of HCC are prone to being monoclonal (or monocentric), primarily caused by micrometastases, though it is possible that a second primary tumor may develop suddenly after surgery. On the other hand, late HCC relapses are considered rather multiclonal (or multicentric), mostly due to newly developed malignant lesions brought on by increased hepatitis activity. Genomic research has nuanced this paradigm, pointing out that a significant fraction of early relapses are, in fact, multicentric.^{15,16}

Notwithstanding, there is a consensus in the literature to consider both early and late relapses as postoperative recurrences.¹⁷ The evidence invariably indicates that the patient's post-recurrence survival is worse the earlier the relapse occurs.^{18,19} Additionally, there has been mention that the type of surgical procedure performed may also play a role in early recurrence.¹⁴ Therefore, it is paramount to clinically stratify NCL-HCC patients based on their risk of recurrence following LR. However, effective tools to inform prognosis and aid decision-making regarding HCC management in the absence of cirrhosis remain lacking. In this single-center study, we examined the variables associated with relapse in a series of patients who underwent curative-intent LR for NCL-HCC and constructed a preoperative risk score for assessing outcomes in these patients. Using this score, NCL-HCC patients can be rationally stratified into recurrence-risk categories for treatment planning.

Materials and methods

Declaration of ethical principles

The Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer Institute of Peru (INEN) approved the research, project number INEN 10-05. Patients or their legal guardians provided informed consent for their information to be stored and used for research. The study was conducted in strict accordance with the precepts contained in the Declaration of Helsinki on ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects and the Singapore Statement on research integrity.

Patients and study design

All NCL-HCC patients who underwent surgery in the INEN Department of Abdominal Surgery between January 1996 and December 2017 were included in a database. From this initial database, we applied the inclusion and exclusion criteria listed below and eventually enrolled 263 patients as part of our study population (Fig. 1). Inclusion criteria were patients with intrahepatic tumors who received a curative-intent LR without chemotherapy, radiation, or transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) before surgery. Exclusion criteria included subjects under 10 years of age,²⁰ liver tumors smaller than 5 cm in diameter,²¹ fibrolamellar HCC,²² tumor thrombus (TT) in the suprahepatic vena cava (SHVC),²³ and portal vein tumor thrombosis (PVTT) with grade Vp4.²⁴

The HCC diagnosis was established based on both magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scans according to the Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System (LI-RADS) version 2018.²⁵ Radiologists with expertise in hepatobiliary imaging reviewed MRI and CT scans to assess LR-5 definite HCC category, evaluate the portal venous system (LR-TIV), and determine the absence of full-fledged cirrhosis. All blood tests were performed in the clinical laboratory of INEN according to standard procedures. Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels were measured from blood samples using an immuno-assay. Hepatitis B and C serologies were tested using HBsAg and anti-HCV immunoassays, respectively.

Data extracted from primary cancers included patient demographics (age and gender), serological diagnoses of hepatitis B and C, hematological parameters, biochemistry of liver function, AFP level, and primary tumor morphology and characteristics. During data compilation, operative and pathology reports were reviewed by hepatobiliary cancer pathologists to ensure inclusion criteria were met (i.e., HCC diagnosis, exclusion of fibrolamellar HCC, and gross negativity of the resection margins). This control feedback information was not used in the construction of the risk score, which was only based on preoperative variables. Recurrences, including local, regional, and distant cancers, were detected during the follow-up of the patients, who were monitored for the period of time elapsing between the hepatectomy and the diagnosis of recurrence. Treatment associated with recurrent HCC was documented as well.

Surgical procedure

Surgery was the mainstay of treatment for NCL-HCC patients at INEN, in accordance with the recommendations of both the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD).^{9,12} LR was carried out as previously described.^{26,27}

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Figure 1 Flow chart describing the construction of the preoperative risk score aimed at estimating outcomes after resection of NCL-HCC

Briefly, anatomical resection was carried out through a midline or J-shaped abdominal incision, depending on the morphology and physical characteristics of the tumor. The incision was followed by extensive abdominal exploration to rule out distant tumor lesions and assess the remnant liver. When necessary, this examination was accompanied by ultrasound exploration. After detaching the hilar plate, afferent vascular control was achieved at the hilum by the intrahepatic glissonean approach. A Pringle maneuver or hemi-hepatic inflow occlusion was performed based on the circumstances. For tumors involving the inferior vena cava or hepatic veins, total hepatic vascular exclusion was performed. The conventional approach was used until 2005; from then on, the anterior approach with or without a hanging maneuver was applied.²⁸ Liver parenchymal transection was achieved with a resection margin of at least 1 cm using the clamp-crush technique. Medium-sized blood vessels and bile ducts were ligated, while smaller ones were cauterized. Hepatic veins were controlled in an extrahepatic manner unless they were in the transection plane. After the surgical specimen was removed, hemostasis was achieved by cauterizing the bleeding from the liver bed using an argon plasma coagulator and topical hemostat agents. The transection surface was evaluated by direct visualization and a white gauze compress to detect open bile ducts, which were ligated. To further rule out any possibility of biliary fistula, a catheter was placed into the cystic duct and air was injected.²⁹ For trisectionectomy, pneumobilia combined with ultrasound was used to check the integrity of the remnant liver's biliary tract. Closed drainage was only installed when there was a risk of biliary leakage. Multi-transfused patients were transferred to the intensive care unit. Patients were monitored throughout their hospital stay, and the drain was taken out only once the risk of biliary fistula was discarded.

Patients' surveillance

Follow-up monitoring included 30- and 90-day postoperative mortality, as well as HCC recurrence and survival until March 2022. Patients had thorough examinations twice in the first two months after leaving the hospital. Liver regeneration and function were assessed by abdominal CT scans and liver function tests that included AFP monitoring. Adjuvant chemotherapy was not administered routinely. If the AFP level returned to normal (<10 ng/mL) two months after hepatectomy, patients were checked every two months for the first year, then every four months after that. In cases with continual elevation or rising AFP levels (>10 ng/mL) from two months onward, patients were scanned for recurrence using a full-body CT, complemented by MRI or positron emission tomography/CT (PET/CT), if required. The diagnosis of recurrent HCC was established according to consensus criteria.¹⁷

In the case of intrahepatic recurrence, tumor re-resection was performed as soon as practicable. When the recurrent cancer was unresectable, palliative treatments such as TACE, percutaneous

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

3

ethanol injection, radiofrequency ablation, targeted therapy, or, as an alternative, best supportive care, were prescribed. Radiation therapy was administered for bone metastases, while surgical pulmonary resection was performed to treat solitary lung metastases. The National Registry of Identification and Civil Status of Peru (RENIEC) was consulted to determine the fate of patients with no follow-up.

Nomenclature

Curative-intent LR was interpreted as an anatomic hepatectomy performed with a resection margin of at least 1-cm width and in the absence of distant metastases. The LR categories were classified according to the Brisbane 2000 Terminology of Liver Anatomy and Resections.^{30,31} Minor and major hepatectomy procedures were interpreted as the resection of <4 or >4 segments, respectively. Postoperative mortality was defined as a fatality occurring within 90 days after hepatectomy in or out of the hospital, categorized according to the Dindo-Clavien Classification.³² Thereon, any death, regardless of the cause, was considered an event in the survival analysis. Any relapse of a malignant hepatocellular neoplasm, whether it occurred locally, regionally, or distantly, was referred to as a recurrence regardless of the time after surgery. The time to recurrence (TTR) was defined as the time from the date of curative-intent LR to the time of the first relapse of HCC.³³ Recurrence-free survival (RFS) was interpreted as the time from the date of curative-intent LR to the time of the first relapse of HCC or death from any cause.33

Statistics

Data was inputted into Excel software version 16.16.27 (Microsoft) before being transferred to PostgreSQL relational database management system version 14.4 (PostgreSQL Global Development Group). Statistical analyses were computed in the R software environment version 4.2.1 (R Core Team) and Stata software for statistics and data science version 14.0 (StataCorp LLC), with a significance alpha level set to 0.05 or 0.01 depending on the model analysis task. Missing data was addressed by the method of multiple imputation with the R package mice version 3.14.0.34 Variables with more than 10% missing data were not considered in the model analysis. Survival data analyses were performed using the R packages survival version 3.4-0 and survminer version 0.4.9. Estimates of survival probability from the date of hepatectomy were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method,³⁵ while the log-rank test was used for comparing survival distributions.³⁶ Machine learning to model prediction was achieved by random survival forests using the R packages randomForestSRC version 3.2.0 and survex version 0.2.2. A minimum P-value approach, combined with random survival forest model performance, was used to determine the optimal cut-off point between early and late recurrences based on TTR.33 Figures were charted using Prism software version 9 (GraphPad Software Inc.).

Results

Clinical presentation and characteristics

Table 1 shows an overview of the preoperative characteristics of the 263 NCL-HCC patients included in the study who underwent curative-intent LR at the INEN Department of Abdominal Surgery between January 1996 and December 2017. An examination of the structure of the study population over this period, performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), did not reveal any significant evolution in terms of tumor presentation, clinical pathology, or blood chemistry (P > 0.05). The mean age of the patients was relatively young, with half under 40 years old. The tumors resected had an average diameter of more than 15 cm, with the largest HCC specimen measuring 33 cm. There was no significant association between preoperative variables and the risk of HCC relapse when patients were not categorized into early and late recurrence groups (all P > 0.05; Table 1).

Hepatic resection categories

Table 2 details the descriptive categorical statistics for the 263 LRs according to the Brisbane 2000 Terminology of Liver Anatomy and Resections.^{30,31} Major hepatectomy procedures with four or more segments resected made up the majority of LRs, accounting for 82.1% of all interventions. Propensity score matching (PSM) was applied to 88 patients who had a right hepatectomy, 44 of whom underwent the anterior approach and 44 the conventional approach, to rule out any bias due to the diversity of surgical procedures practiced before and after 2005. On our hands, neither overall survival (OS) nor RFS were significantly different (P = 0.635 and 0.995, respectively).

Timing of relapse and optimal cut-off point to define early recurrence

The overall median follow-up for 263 NCL-HCC patients was 35 months (IQR = 82), extending up to 310 months after curativeintent LR. In the follow-up period, 155 patients (58.9%) experienced HCC relapse after surgery with a median TTR of nine months (IQR = 13). Indicatively, recurring patients were followed up for a median time of 23 months (IQR = 38). The cumulative rate of recurrence at 1, 3, and 5 years postintervention was 38%, 52.1%, and 56.6%, respectively.

Early and late HCC recurrences were identified using a single cut-off point in time to define relapse patterns based on TTR.³³ To determine the optimal cut-off point, we initially fitted a multivariate Cox proportional-hazard model as a baseline for time thresholds progressing in three-month steps (with a one-month fine scale enfolded around the critical time point), starting at three months until 24 months after surgery (Fig. 1). The model with the highest predictive performance was used as a reference. Each time threshold was then subjected to a random survival forest analysis (500 trees), with 70% of the patients randomly assigned by permutation testing to a training set (n = 185) and 30% to a validation set (n = 78). Of note, the

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Feature	Parameter	Overall	Recurrence		P-value
			Absent	Present	
Total number		263	108	155	
Preoperative clinical of	haracteristics				
Age (years)	Mean ± SD	44 ± 20.6	46.8 ± 20.1	42.1 ± 20.6	0.07*
	Median	40	43	38.5	
_	Range	[10–94]	[12–94]	[10–89]	
	IQR	36.8	36.4	36.5	
Gender	Female	104 (39.5%)	39 (36.1%)	65 (41.9%)	0.34**
_	Male	159 (60.5%)	69 (63.9%)	90 (58.1%)	
AFP (ng/mL)	Normal	57 (21.7%)	27 (25%)	30 (19.4%)	0.27**
	Elevated	206 (78.3%)	81 (75%)	125 (80.1%)	
	Mean ± SD	99,390 ± 237,170	75,560 ± 225,715	115,995 ± 244,179	0.17*
	Median	6,317	3,284	10,099	
	Range	[0-1,690,900]	[0-1,647,000]	[0-1,690,900]	
_	IQR	72,921	50,044	87,511	
Albumin (g/L)	Homeostatic	215 (81.7%)	88 (81.5%)	127 (81.9%)	0.93**
	Hypoalbuminemia	48 (18.3%)	20 (18.5%)	28 (18.1%)	
	Mean ± SD	38.7 ± 6.5	39.1 ± 6.3	38.5 ± 6.6	0.49*
	Median	39	40	38	
	Range	[22-53]	[22–53]	[22–52]	
	IQR	9	9	9	
Total bilirubin (µmol/L)	Homeostatic	201 (76.4%)	86 (79.6%)	115 (74.2%)	0.31**
	Hyperbilirubinemia	62 (23.6%)	22 (20.4%)	40 (25.8%)	
	Mean ± SD	26.6 ± 60.6	22.1 ± 56.8	29.4 ± 62.9	0.42*
	Median	15	15	15	
	Range	[0.7–487]	[0.7–487]	[1-478]	
	IQR	8.4	7.5	9.2	
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	Homeostatic	106 (40.3%)	43 (39.8%)	63 (40.6%)	0.89**
	Anemia	157 (59.7%)	65 (60.2%)	92 (59.4%)	
	Mean ± SD	12.6 ± 2.2	12.6 ± 2.1	12.5 ± 2.3	0.22*
	Median	12.6	12.9	12.5	
	Range	[3.6–20]	[7.1–19.3]	[3.6–20]	
	IQR	2.5	2.4	2.6	
HBV (HBsAg)	Positive	126 (47.9%)	48 (44.4%)	78 (50.3%)	0.35**
_	Negative	137 (52.1%)	60 (55.6%)	77 (49.7%)	
HCV (anti-HCV)	Positive	4 (1.5%)	2 (1.9%)	2 (1.3%)	0.71**
_	Negative	259 (98.5%)	106 (98.1%)	153 (98.7%)	
Preoperative tumor fe	atures				
Size (cm)	Mean ± SD	15.2 ± 5.6	14.4 ± 4.9	15.7 ± 6	0.58*
	Median	15	14.5	15	
	Range	[5.3–33]	[5.5–27]	[5.3–33]	
	IQR	7.2	7.2	9	
Tumor focality	Solitary	173 (65.8%)	75 (69.4%)	98 (63.2%)	0.29**
_	Multiple	90 (34.2%)	33 (30.6%)	57 (36.8%)	

Table 1 Preoperative clinical and tumor characteristics of the 263 patients having developed HCC without cirrhosis

(continued on next page)

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

5

Table 1 (continued)

Feature	Parameter	Overall	Recurrence		P-value
			Absent	Present	
Spontaneous rupture	Absent	237 (90.1%)	97 (89.8%)	140 (90.3%)	0.89**
	Present	26 (9.9%)	11 (10.2%)	15 (9.7%)	
BDTT	Absent	253 (96.2%)	106 (98.1%)	147 (94.8%)	0.17**
_	Present	10 (3.8%)	2 (1.9%)	8 (5.2%)	
MVI	Absent	142 (54%)	62 (57.4%)	80 (51.6%)	0.56**
	Macro	35 (13.3%)	12 (11.1%)	23 (14.8%)	
	Micro	86 (32.7%)	34 (31.5%)	52 (33.6%)	

Mean values are presented \pm standard deviation (SD). Percentages are expressed as the ratio of the total number of patients for the considered criterion. AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; BDTT, bile duct tumor thrombus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IQR, interquartile range; MVI, macroscopic vascular invasion. Elevated AFP >10 ng/mL; Hypoalbuminemia <3.4 g/L; Hyperbilirubinemia >20.5 μ mol/L; Anemia <13.8 g/dL in male and <12.1 g/dL in female. *two-sided *t*-student; **Pearson's Chi-squared test.

Table 2 Hepatic resection categories of the 263 NCL-HCC patients

Resection category	Couinaud segments (Sg) referred to	Number	Percentage
Right hepatectomy	Sg5,6,7,8 ± Sg1	89	33.8%
Left hepatectomy	Sg2,3,4 ± Sg1	67	25.5%
Extended right hepatectomy	Sg4,5,6,7,8 ± Sg1	59	22.4%
Bisegmentectomy	Sg2,3	7	5.4%
	Sg5,6	4	
	Sg4,5	2	
	Sg7,8	1	_
Extended left hepatectomy	Sg2,3,4,5,8 ± Sg1	13	4.9%
Right anterior + left medial sectionectomy	Sg4,5,8	9	3.4%
Segmentectomy	Sg4	4	2.3%
	Sg6	2	
Left hepatectomy extended to segment 5	Sg2,3,4,5 ± Sg1	2	0.8%
Right anterior sectionectomy	Sg5,8	2	0.8%
Left medial sectionectomy + bisegmentectomy	Sg4,5,6	1	0.4%
Right posterior sectionectomy extended to segment 5	Sg5,6,7	1	0.4%

random survival forest's performance stabilized before 500 trees (Supplementary Fig. 1). The log-rank P-values and the random survival forest model performance were compared to that of the reference Cox proportional-hazard model for each time threshold. Thereby, a threshold of 12 months was defined as the optimal cut-off time point to differentiate early versus late HCC recurrence (log-rank P = 2E-09 and random survival forest AUC = 0.78, Brier score = 0.23, and C-index = 0.69) (Fig. 2a). Patients with recurrence within 12 months after curative-intent LR had significantly worse OS than those who relapsed beyond 12 months following the intervention (Fig. 2b). According to the random survival forest model, the five preoperative variables contributing to early HCC recurrence with the maximum propensity weighting at 12 months post-surgery were, in order of importance, MVI, tumor focality, spontaneous tumor rupture, serum albumin, and tumor size (Fig. 2c).

Preoperative risk stratification tool for estimating outcomes after hepatectomy

To construct a preoperative risk score based on RFS, we selected the three preoperative variables with a P-value <0.01 in the Cox proportional-hazard model at the 12-month TTR optimal cutoff point, i.e., MVI (HR = 2.81; 95% CI 1.88–4.21; P = 5.4E-07), spontaneous rupture (HR = 2.01; 95% CI 1.31–3.01; P = 0.001), and tumor focality (HR = 1.56; 95% CI 1.15–2.01; P = 0.004) (Supplementary Table 1). While applying this stringent statistical significance, tumor size and serum albumin were not retained as predictor variables for the construction of the risk score (P = 0.044 and 0.589, respectively). The beta coefficients of the three independent predictors selected were rounded to the nearest integer and multiplied by a constant to obtain a scoring system that assigns 28 points to MVI, 20 points to spontaneous rupture, and 16 points to multifocal tumors — a value of

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Time-dependent variable importance

Figure 2 Determination of the optimal cut-off point based on TTR for early versus late recurrence of NCL-HCC following curative-intent LR. (a) Bar plots comparing the performance statistics of the Cox proportional-hazard model (blue) versus the random survival forest model (green) at 12 months post-surgery. AUC, area under the curve; C-index, concordance index. (b) OS probability curves in time (months) of NCL-HCC patients having relapsed before (red) or after (yellow) 12 months post-surgery. Colored bands indicate the 95% confidence interval. Significance alpha level <0.05. (c) Time-dependent variable importance curves of the random survival forest model represented by the Brier score loss after permutations (Y-axis) as a function of time post-surgery in months (X-axis). The red dashed line indicates the optimal cut-off point at 12 months. Displayed variables: Integrated model, black; AFP, light blue; Gender, dark blue; MVI, green; Tumor focality, orange; Spontaneous tumor rupture, maroon; Tumor size, dark blue

0 points was allotted to unobserved predictor variables (Fig. 3a). The preoperative risk score is calculated by adding up the points obtained from each predictor.

Under this scoring system, a risk score was determined for each of the 263 NCL-HCC patients. According to the score value obtained, the individuals were stratified into three risk categories: low-risk (0 points), moderate-risk (\leq 20 points), and high-risk (>20 points) (Fig. 3a). Patient groups thus stratified resulted in 144 low-risk individuals with 0 points, 79 moderate-risk individuals with less than or equal to 20 points, and 40 high-risk

HPB xxxx, xxx © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

7

ARTICLE IN PRESS



Figure 3 Construction of the preoperative risk score based on RFS for the stratification of NCL-HCC patients following curative-intent LR. (a) Graphical abstract explaining the scoring system to estimate the risk level stratified into low- (green), moderate- (yellow), and high-risk (red). (b) OS probability curves in time (months) for the 263 patients with NCL-HCC stratified into three risk groups according to the total points assigned in the preoperative risk scoring system. (c) Cumulative incidence of recurrence in time (months) for the 263 patients with NCL-HCC stratified into three risk groups according to the total point assigned in the preoperative risk scoring system. (b,c) High-risk group, red; Moderate-risk group, yellow; Low-risk group, green. Colored bands indicate 95% confidence interval. Significance alpha level <0.05

individuals with more than 20 points. Survival distributions for each risk category were then computed based on RFS using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared with log-rank tests (Fig. 3b). Depending on their category, the stratified patients displayed significant differences in terms of both RFS and recurrence incidence proportion (Fig. 3c).

Discussion

While several guidelines are related to HCC management in the cirrhotic liver, decision-support tools for the surgery of HCC in the non-cirrhotic liver are still lacking. However, this issue is paramount since NCL-HCC represents at least 20% of all HCC diagnosed globally, and it demonstrates biological features that make it much more amenable to surgery than cirrhotic HCC.^{3,4} Indeed, the hepatic parenchyma is healthy or minimally diseased, making extensive liver resections possible.³⁷ On the other hand, HCC in the absence of cirrhosis is generally present in an advanced stage at the time of detection, with a massive tumor often invading the biliary tract and vascular structures.³⁸ As a consequence, almost half of the patients who undergo curativeintent hepatectomy for NCL-HCC will have experienced a recurrence within five years of surgery,¹³ with a lower survival rate the earlier the relapse occurs.³⁹ Therefore, decision-support tools that integrate recurrence risk must be developed to assist surgeons in determining how a non-cirrhotic patient with HCC could benefit from a curative-intent hepatectomy. Yet such a tool is currently lacking.

The present study sought to fill this gap and construct a risk score based on preoperative variables to stratify patients according to survival assessment, incorporating the temporal pattern of early and late recurrences (Fig. 1). We analyzed data from 263 patients who underwent curative-intent LR for NCL-HCC between January 1996 and December 2017 at INEN, the main cancer specialist hospital in Peru (Tables 1 and 2). Actually, the surgical team at INEN have repeatedly reported that the majority of HCC patients attending the cancer center have no cirrhosis,^{26,40} at odds with most surveys reported in the literature. To address this situation, our team aimed to develop a preoperative risk stratification tool specific to HCC in the non-cirrhotic liver.

Through machine learning, we first determined an optimal cut-off point in time to discriminate early versus late recurrence, preferentially based on TTR (Fig. 2a-c).³³ There is no consensus on this issue in the literature, which ranges from six to 24 months.^{18,41} Such a cut-off point based on post-recurrence survival has been previously proposed at eight months postsurgery in a large multi-institutional survey,¹³ but the data included HCC in both cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic livers. Based on our analysis, the optimal cut-off point specific to NCL-HCC was 12 months post-surgery (Fig. 2a), although 13 months could have been quite statistically relevant too. Among NCL-HCC patients, this 12-month cut-off point between early and late recurrences represented the optimal threshold associated with the greatest difference in survival outcomes (Fig. 2b). Beyond the differences in study endpoints and algorithmic approaches, this time lapse of four to five months between the multi-institutional

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

HPB

ARTICLE IN PRESS

survey and our study can be explained by the fact that cirrhosis is a significant factor in early relapse.⁴² The discrepancy in riskfactor variables reinforces the view that HCCs in the cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic livers should be considered separately,³ lowering the relevance of recurrence risk assessment models that treat both types of HCC with unified outcomes. Instead, it emphasizes the need to develop evaluation tools tailored to HCC in the absence of cirrhosis.

Afterward, we identified MVI, spontaneous rupture, and tumor focality as independent preoperative predictors at 12 months post-surgery, and we weighted these factors into a scoring system, the sum of which provides an estimate of risk (Fig. 3a-c). Unexpectedly, AFP and tumor size were not retained as significant predictors, contrary to what has often been reported,¹³ further underscoring HCC's biological idiosyncrasies in the non-cirrhotic liver. Likewise, our analysis did not reveal significant differences between surgical procedures performed at INEN, as previously suggested.¹⁴ The achievement of the preoperative risk score resulted in stratifying NCL-HCC patients into three recurrence-related risk categories with different RFS rates (Fig. 3b, c). Low-risk patients with no points had a threeyear RFS rate of 50%. This first group of patients, who do not require adjuvant therapy, presented preoperatively without any of the three predictors, corresponding to non-cirrhotic individuals with solitary, non-invasive, and unruptured HCC. Next, moderate-risk patients scoring less than 20 points were associated with a three-year RFS rate of 27%. This second group of patients clinically presented with a single predictor excluding MVI, i.e., spontaneous rupture or multifocal tumor. They require intensive surveillance after surgery and should receive adjuvant therapy,¹⁷ such as TACE associated with antiviral treatment⁴³ or immunomodulation (e.g., atezolizumab and bevacizumab). Finally, high-risk patients with more than 20 points had the poorest RFS rate three years following surgery, at only 3%. This third group of patients was diagnosed before intervention with MVI and/or two or more predictors. The recruitment of these patients into clinical trials should be prioritized. On our hands, the implemented risk score has demonstrated high reliability in estimating the long-term outcomes of patients who underwent curative-intent LR for NCL-HCC, although the 95% confidence intervals slightly overlap beyond three years of surgery (Fig. 3b, c). From our point of view, this risk score represents an efficient, rational tool lacking until now for estimating prognosis and assisting decision-making in HCC management without cirrhosis.

Recurrences that adversely affect patients' outcomes may be linked not only to tumor characteristics at diagnosis but also to underlying liver disease in the non-tumor parenchyma. For instance, the literature reports that non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is prevalent in approximately 25% of NCL-HCC patients.⁴⁴ There is also evidence that 30%–50% of HCCs occur without cirrhosis in patients with chronic HBV infection.⁴⁵ This observation is even more pronounced in cases of occult hepatitis B infection (OBI), in which almost 90% of related HCC is not associated with cirrhosis.⁴⁶ Previously, we reported 48% of overt HBV infections and 34% of OBI (detected only by ultra-sensitive molecular assays) among NCL-HCC patients in Peru,⁴⁷ a country where HBV is endemic. Initially, our risk score model did not retain overt HBV infection as a predictor variable; nevertheless, we wished to further include OBI as a latent variable to infer its impact in our model.⁴⁸ Multiple Cox regression models and random forest imputations fitting up to 1,000 trees failed to retain HBV infection as a risk factor; alpha levels not reaching significance. Without precluding further research on the role of HBV on HCC recurrence in the noncirrhotic liver, this unvarying result demonstrates the robustness of predictors selected in our preoperative risk score to estimate outcomes after surgery in NCL-HCC patients.

The present study recognizes limitations. We did not analyze some features associated with liver conditions, such as fibrosis staging, NAFLD, and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH).⁴⁹ These variables are challenging to determine preoperatively with precision; therefore, they could perform unevenly in practice when applying the risk score. Moreover, there are mentions in the literature that liver fibrosis does not affect the outcomes after LR until cirrhosis is fully developed.⁵⁰ Also, based on our previous findings,⁴⁷ we strongly suspect that a significant fraction of patients in our series is, in fact, occultly infected with HBV, which is known to be a favorable terrain for HCC in the absence of cirrhosis but difficult to diagnose routinely. Using advanced computational tools, we simulated the influence of OBI on our modeling to ensure that it was not a latent variable detrimental to the scoring system. Finally, our study was conducted retrospectively with data from a single center collected over 21 years. To mitigate this limitation, we monitored changes in patient population structure, perioperative variables, and surgical procedures over the period.

In conclusion, we believe that the preoperative risk score developed herein represents a valuable tool to assist decisionmaking in HCC management without cirrhosis.

Authors contributions

Conceptualization, E.R.; Methodology, E.R., J.H. and S.B.; Validation, J.P.C, J.C.-M. and S.C.-Z.; Formal Analysis, E.R. and J.H.; Investigation, E.R., J.H. and R.F; Resources, K.C. and S.C.-Z.; Data Curation, E.R. and J.H.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, E.R., J.H. and S.B.; Writing – Review & Editing, R.F., J.P.C., S.C.-Z. and P.P.; Visualization, J.H., J.P.C. and J.C.-M.; Supervision, P.P. and S.B.; Project Administration, E.R. and R.F.; Funding Acquisition, S.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Institutional Review Board statement

The Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer Institute of Peru (INEN) has granted approval for research under project number INEN 10-05.

Data availability statement

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available in the article and its supplementary materials.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all patients whose participation was essential to the achievement of this study. The authors also thank the staff members of the National Tumor Bank at INEN for their leadership in aggregating medical information.

Funding

This work was supported by ITMO Cancer of the French National Alliance for Life Sciences and Health (Aviesan) and the French National Cancer Institute (INCa) on funds administered by the French National Institute of Health and Medical Research (Inserm), grant agreement 21CD025-00. K.C. was the recipient of a doctoral fellowship from the Research Grants for a Thesis in the South (ARTS) program of the French National Research Institute for Sustainable Development (IRD), fellowship agreement IRD-ARTS-AO2022; J.C.M. was the recipient of a doctoral fellowship from the Peruvian National Science and Technology Council (Concytec) and the World Bank on funds administered by the Peruvian National Scientific Research and Advanced Studies Program (ProCiencia), fellowship agreement 08-2018-FONDECYT/ BM. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, publishing decision, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

None to declare.

References

- Petrick JL, Florio AA, Znaor A, Ruggieri D, Laversanne M, Alvarez CS et al. (2020) International trends in hepatocellular carcinoma incidence, 1978-2012. Int J Cancer 147:317–330. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc. 32723.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A et al. (2021) Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 71:209–249. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660.
- Trevisani F, Frigerio M, Santi V, Grignaschi A, Bernardi M. (2010) Hepatocellular carcinoma in non-cirrhotic liver: a reappraisal. *Dig Liver Dis* 42:341–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2009.09.002.
- Desai A, Sandhu S, Lai JP, Sandhu DS. (2019) Hepatocellular carcinoma in non-cirrhotic liver: a comprehensive review. World J Hepatol 11:1–18. https://doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v11.i1.1.
- Hwan YJ, Shin J, Jin Y-J, Lee J-W. (2020) Comparison of clinical manifestations and outcomes of noncirrhotic and cirrhotic hepatocellular carcinoma patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 32:66–73. https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.00000000001478.
- de Martel C, Georges D, Bray F, Ferlay J, Clifford GM. (2020) Global burden of cancer attributable to infections in 2018: a worldwide incidence analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 8:e180–e190. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30488-7.

- GBD 2019 Hepatitis B Collaborators. (2022) Global, regional, and national burden of hepatitis B, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 7): 796–829. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(22)00124-8.
- Levrero M, Zucman-Rossi J. (2016) Mechanisms of HBV-induced hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 64:S84–S101. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.02.021.
- Galle PR, Forner A, Llovet JM, Mazzaferro V, Piscaglia F, Raoul JL *et al.* (2018) EASL clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 69:182–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018. 03.019.
- Marrero JA, Kulik LM, Sirlin CB, Zhu AX, Finn RS, Abecassis MM *et al.* (2018) Diagnosis, staging, and management of hepatocellular carcinoma: 2018 practice guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* 68:723–750. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/hep.29913.
- Heimbach JK, Kulik LM, Finn RS, Sirlin CB, Abecassis MM, Roberts LR et al. (2018) AASLD guidelines for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 67:358–380. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.29086.
- 13. Wei T, Zhang X-F, Bagante F, Ratti F, Marques HP, Silva S et al. (2021) Early versus late recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after surgical resection based on post-recurrence survival: an international multiinstitutional analysis. J Gastrointest Surg 25:125–133. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11605-020-04553-2.
- Imamura H, Matsuyama Y, Tanaka E, Ohkubo T, Hasegawa K, Miyagawa S *et al.* (2003) Risk factors contributing to early and late phase intrahepatic recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy. *J Hepatol* 38:200–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-8278 (02)00360-4.
- Ding X, He M, Chan AWH, Song QX, Sze SC, Chen H et al. (2019) Genomic and epigenomic features of primary and recurrent hepatocellular carcinomas. *Gastroenterology* 157:1630–1645.e6. https:// doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.09.005.
- Zhou S-L, Zhou Z-J, Song C-L, Xin H-Y, Hu Z-Q, Luo C-B et al. (2022) Whole-genome sequencing reveals the evolutionary trajectory of HBVrelated hepatocellular carcinoma early recurrence. *Sig Transduct Target Ther* 7:1–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00838-3.
- Wen T, Jin C, Facciorusso A, Donadon M, Han H-S, Mao Y et al. (2018) Multidisciplinary management of recurrent and metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma after resection: an international expert consensus. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 7:353–371. https://doi.org/10.21037/hbsn. 2018.08.01.
- Hirokawa F, Hayashi M, Asakuma M, Shimizu T, Inoue Y, Uchiyama K. (2016) Risk factors and patterns of early recurrence after curative hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Surg Oncol* 25:24–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suronc.2015.12.002.
- Tabrizian P, Jibara G, Shrager B, Schwartz M, Roayaie S. (2015) Recurrence of hepatocellular cancer after resection: patterns, treatments, and prognosis. *Ann Surg* 261:947–955. https://doi.org/10.1097/ SLA.000000000000710.
- 20. Squires RH, Ng V, Romero R, Ekong U, Hardikar W, Emre S et al. (2014) Evaluation of the pediatric patient for liver transplantation: 2014 practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases,

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

American Society of Transplantation and the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. *Hepatology* 60: 362–398. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.27191.

- Mazzaferro V, Regalia E, Doci R, Andreola S, Pulvirenti A, Bozzetti F et al. (1996) Liver transplantation for the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinomas in patients with cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 334:693–699. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199603143341104.
- El-Serag HB, Davila JA. (2004) Is fibrolamellar carcinoma different from hepatocellular carcinoma? A US population-based study. *Hepatology* 39:798–803. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.20096.
- Igaki H, Nakagawa K, Shiraishi K, Shiina S, Kokudo N, Terahara A et al. (2008) Three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma with inferior vena cava invasion. Jpn J Clin Oncol 38: 438–444. https://doi.org/10.1093/jjco/hyn038.
- Katagiri S, Yamamoto M. (2014) Multidisciplinary treatments for hepatocellular carcinoma with major portal vein tumor thrombus. *Surg Today* 44:219–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00595-013-0585-6.
- 25. Chernyak V, Fowler KJ, Kamaya A, Kielar AZ, Elsayes KM, Bashir MR et al. (2018) Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System (LI-RADS) Version 2018: imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma in at-risk patients. Radiology 289:816–830. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2018181494.
- 26. Ruiz E, Rojas-Rojas T, Berrospi F, Chávez I, Luque C, Cano L et al. (2016) Hepatocellular carcinoma surgery outcomes in the developing world: a 20-year retrospective cohort study at the National Cancer Institute of Peru. *Heliyon* 2e00052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2015.e00052.
- 27. Ruiz E, Pineau P, Flores C, Fernández R, Cano L, Cerapio JP et al. (2022) A preoperative nomogram for predicting long-term survival after resection of large hepatocellular carcinoma (>10 cm). HPB 24:192–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpb.2021.06.006.
- Ozawa K. (1993) Nonconventional approaches to advanced liver cancer. Liver surgery approached through the mitochondria. Basel: Karger, pp. 117–165.
- 29. Zimmitti G, Vauthey J-N, Shindoh J, Tzeng C-WD, Roses RE, Ribero D et al. (2013) Systematic use of an intraoperative air leak test at the time of major liver resection reduces the rate of postoperative biliary complications. J Am Coll Surg 217:1028–1037. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jamcollsurg.2013.07.392.
- Strasberg SM, Belghiti J, Clavien P-A, Gadzijev E, Garden JO, Lau W-Y et al. (2000) The Brisbane 2000 terminology of liver anatomy and resections. *HPB* 2:333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1365-182X(17) 30755-4.
- Strasberg SM. (2005) Nomenclature of hepatic anatomy and resections: a review of the Brisbane 2000 system. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 12: 351–355. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00534-005-0999-7.
- Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. (2004) Classification of surgical complications. Ann Surg 240:205–213. https://doi.org/10.1097/ 01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae.
- 33. Yan W-T, Quan B, Xing H, Wu M-C, Yang T. (2019) Time to recurrence, but not recurrence-free survival, should be the endpoint used to predict early recurrence after HCC resection. J Hepatol 70:570–571. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.10.025.
- 34. van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. (2011) Mice: multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. J Stat Softw 45:1–67. https:// doi.org/10.18637/jss.v045.i03.

- Kaplan EL, Meier P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J Am Stat Assoc 53:457–481. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 2281868.
- Mantel N. (1966) Evaluation of survival data and two new rank order statistics arising in its consideration. *Cancer Chemother Rep* 50: 163–170.
- Capussotti L, Muratore A, Amisano M, Massucco P, Polastri R, Bouzari H. (2006) Liver resection for large-size hepatocellular carcinomas in 47 non-cirrhotic patients-no mortality and long-term survival. *Hepatogastroenterology* 53:768–772.
- Alkofer B, Lepennec V, Chiche L. (2011) Hepatocellular cancer in the non-cirrhotic liver. J Visc Surg 148:3–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jviscsurg.2010.12.012.
- 39. Jung S-M, Kim JM, Choi G-S, Kwon CHD, Yi N-J, Lee K-W et al. (2019) Characteristics of early recurrence after curative liver resection for solitary hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastrointest Surg 23:304–311. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-018-3927-2.
- 40. Bertani S, Pineau P, Loli S, Moura J, Zimic M, Deharo E et al. (2013) An atypical age-specific pattern of hepatocellular carcinoma in Peru: a threat for Andean populations. PLoS One 8e67756. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0067756.
- 41. Nevola R, Ruocco R, Criscuolo L, Villani A, Alfano M, Beccia D et al. (2023) Predictors of early and late hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence. World J Gastroenterol 29:1243–1260. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v29.i8.1243.
- 42. Liu Y-W, Moi S-H, Li W-F, Lin C-C, Yong C-C, Wang C-C et al. (2023) A preoperative model for predicting early recurrence in patients undergoing resection for single hepatocellular carcinoma. Eur J Surg Oncol 49:1444–1449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2023.03.211.
- 43. Li Z, Tan C, Liu X, Feng Z, Li K. (2022) Early and late recurrence after hepatectomy in patients with low-level HBV-DNA hepatocellular carcinoma under antiviral therapy. *Infect Agent Cancer* 17:56. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13027-022-00468-6.
- 44. Stine JG, Wentworth BJ, Zimmet A, Rinella ME, Loomba R, Caldwell SH et al. (2018) Systematic review with meta-analysis: risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis without cirrhosis compared to other liver diseases. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 48:696–703. https:// doi.org/10.1111/apt.14937.
- 45. EI-Serag HB. (2012) Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 142:1264–1273.e1. https://doi.org/10. 1053/j.gastro.2011.12.061.
- 46. Wong DK-H, Cheng SCY, Mak LL-Y, To EW-P, Lo RC-L, Cheung T-T et al. (2020) Among patients with undetectable hepatitis B surface antigen and hepatocellular carcinoma, a high proportion has integration of HBV DNA into hepatocyte DNA and No cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 18:449–456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh. 2019.06.029.
- 47. Marchio A, Cerapio JP, Ruiz E, Cano L, Casavilca S, Terris B et al. (2018) Early-onset liver cancer in South America associates with low hepatitis B virus DNA burden. *Sci Rep* 8:12031. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-018-30229-8.
- 48. Doove LL, Van Buuren S, Dusseldorp E. (2014) Recursive partitioning for missing data imputation in the presence of interaction effects. *Comput Stat Data Anal* 72:92–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2013.10.025.

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

- 49. Chien S-C, Lin Y-J, Lee C-T, Chiu Y-C, Chou T-C, Chiu H-C et al. (2022) Higher risk of tumor recurrence in NASH-related hepatocellular carcinoma following curative resection. *Viruses* 14:2427. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/v14112427.
- 50. Wang Q, Fiel MI, Blank S, Luan W, Kadri H, Kim KW et al. (2013) Impact of liver fibrosis on prognosis following liver resection for hepatitis B-

associated hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 109:573–581. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2013.352.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.hpb.2024.02.010.

HPB xxxx, xxx, xxx

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).