

# Experimental study of the large scale flammability of wood cladding at the wildland-urban interface

Lucas Terrei, Virginie Tihay-Felicelli, Guillaume Gerandi, Frédéric Morandini,

Paul-Antoine Santoni

## ▶ To cite this version:

Lucas Terrei, Virginie Tihay-Felicelli, Guillaume Gerandi, Frédéric Morandini, Paul-Antoine Santoni. Experimental study of the large scale flammability of wood cladding at the wildland-urban interface. Fire Safety Journal, 2023, 141, pp.103996. 10.1016/j.firesaf.2023.103996 . hal-04454672

# HAL Id: hal-04454672 https://hal.science/hal-04454672

Submitted on 13 Feb 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# <sup>1</sup> Experimental study of the large scale flammability of wood cladding at the

<sup>2</sup> wildland-urban interface

<sup>3</sup> Lucas Terrei<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Virginie Tihay-Felicelli<sup>a</sup>, Guillaume Gerandi<sup>a,c</sup>, Frédéric Morandini<sup>a</sup>,

<sup>4</sup> Paul-Antoine Santoni<sup>a</sup>

- $_{\tt 5}~^{\rm a}{\rm CNRS}$  UMR 6134 SPE, University of Corsica, Corte, France
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>b</sup>Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LEMTA, F-54000 Nancy, France

<sup>7</sup> <sup>c</sup>Laboratoire de Gestion Des Risques Et Environnement UR2334, Université de

 $_{\ensuremath{\scriptscriptstyle 8}}$  Haute-Alsace, 68093, Mulhouse Cedex, France

<sup>9</sup> \*Corresponding author: lucas.terrei@univ-lorraine.fr

## 10 Highlights:

- Ignitability of wood cladding at the Wildland-Urban Interface
- Fire spread from a vegetation hedge to a building material
- Comparison of the critical heat flux for ignition at material and large scale

## 14 Abstract:

<sup>15</sup> The aim of this work is to improve the understanding of the fire behaviour of building

<sup>16</sup> materials used in the wildland urban interface at a large scale. To this end, wood cladding <sup>17</sup> was exposed to the heat flux from the burning of a cistus hedge ignited by a spreading fire

<sup>18</sup> front in a fuel bed of excelsior litter. Six experiments were performed with different

<sup>19</sup> configurations: hedge/cladding distance, cistus bulk density, hedge length. During the

<sup>20</sup> experiments, the assessment of the cladding ignition was observed by camera. Wind

 $_{21}$  direction and velocity were measured continuously since the tests were conducted outdoors

<sup>22</sup> and wind is a significant driver of flame spread. Heat fluxes and temperatures were also

<sup>23</sup> measured in order to compare the intensity of the thermal aggression on the cladding

<sup>24</sup> coming from the burning hedge. When the distance between the hedge and the cladding <sup>25</sup> was 3 m, the wood did not ignite even when the wind was in the direction of propagation

was 3 m, the wood did not ignite even when the wind was in the direction of propagation towards the cladding. In these cases, the maximum heat flux was  $18 \text{ kW}.\text{m}^{-2}$ . This value

<sup>27</sup> did not allow the ignition of the wood cladding. When the distance was reduced, the

<sup>28</sup> ignition of the cladding depended on the wind direction. When the cladding was ignited,

<sup>29</sup> the heat fluxes from the burning hedge could exceed 100 kW.m<sup>-2</sup>.

30 Keywords: WUI fire; wood cladding; fire spread; safety distance

# 31 1. Introduction

<sup>32</sup> Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) fires are a recurring issue around the world due to the

high number of destroyed dwellings [1, 2]. A statistical study shows that the best way for

 $_{34}$  homeowners to reduce the risk of these fires is through preparation and active defence. In

fact, an unprepared home is 10 times more likely to be affected by a fire [3]. As a result,

<sup>36</sup> Australia and the United States have established recommendations on the vulnerability of

<sup>37</sup> building materials to wildfire, such as minimum water supply, or defensible space around

<sup>38</sup> dwellings [4]. These guidelines were determined through post-fire investigations in which

<sup>39</sup> houses were exposed to wildfires [5, 6]. In Australia, regulations for fire prevention in the

40 WUI are set out in AS 3959-2018 which sets Bushfire Attack Levels (BAL) based on

vegetation and ground topography [7]. In the United States, recommendations concerning
the distance between the house and the vegetation vary from state to state, with a

<sup>42</sup> the distance between the house and the vegetation vary from state to state, with a <sup>43</sup> minimum distance of 1.5 m in California (and now expanding to other regions) [1]. This

distance is still under discussion with stakeholders. As for the other recommendations for

distances beyond 1.5 m, these are rather vague. On the other hand, in Europe, regulations

<sup>46</sup> are limited despite the large number of fires that occur there [8, 9] and the presence of

<sup>47</sup> materials that can be damaged by a wildfire in the WUI [10, 11]. Some countries have their

<sup>48</sup> own rules about the distance between hedges and house walls. It can be 2 m in Portugal or

<sup>49</sup> Italy, or three times the height of the vegetation in France, with a minimum distance of 3

<sup>50</sup> m. These different distances observed around the world therefore show that there is no

<sup>51</sup> consensus on the minimum safety distances to be specified in the forest fire / bushfire

### <sup>52</sup> prone areas.

The Mediterranean, Australian and Californian are regions where forest fires are significant and destroy homes every year. These fires can in some cases be caused by the spread of fire

<sup>55</sup> from one house to a second [12, 13] or one material to another. Among the materials that

<sup>56</sup> can be a vector for the fire spread, cladding, is a key element as it can propagate a surface

<sup>57</sup> fire to the most vulnerable points of a building, including openings, roofs or vents. With

the popularity of bio-based materials in buildings, wood has become a commonly used material for walls, whether in new construction or renovation. It is therefore important to

study how wood reacts to the effects of vegetation fire, as this material can spread fire to

<sup>61</sup> weak points such as the under-eave or windows, vents, etc. Studies of the fire behavior of

<sup>62</sup> cladding are varied, ranging from the material scale with cone calorimeter tests [14, 15] to

the large scale with a fire escaping from a compartment vent [16]. Nevertheless, these types

of experiments are different from the conditions that may be encountered during a WUI

<sup>65</sup> fire. With regard to the coupling between wildland fires and building materials, studies are

66 limited and the most widely used study of wooden walls subjected to a representative fire

<sup>67</sup> ignition in a WUI is that of Cohen et al. [17]. Cohen et al. observed that the walls ignited

<sup>68</sup> but were self-extinguished at the same time as the crown fire for the distances tested

<sup>69</sup> between the walls and the forest. Grishin et al. [18] observed through field experiments <sup>70</sup> that a wooden structure did not ignite during a wildfire when the distance of the fire from

<sup>71</sup> the structure was 5 m, as the temperature and heat flux data remained low. The radiation

<sup>72</sup> threshold for ignition of external building elements containing wood such as cladding is

r<sub>3</sub> estimated at 12.5 kW.m<sup>-2</sup> according to [19].

<sup>74</sup> To the best our knowledge, there are no other large scale outdoor experiments that have

<sup>75</sup> studied the effect of a vegetation fire on a wood cladding.

<sup>76</sup> The aim of this work is to study the fire behaviour of a large scale wood cladding exposed

 $\tau\tau~$  to the combustion of a hedge in order to contribute to the knowledge base of the distance

<sup>78</sup> between the vegetation and the constructions. For this, six experiments were carried out

<sup>79</sup> with burning hedges to investigate the impact of the fire on the cladding by assessing the
<sup>80</sup> influence of the wind and that of the distance between the hedge and the cladding.

## 81 2. Materials and methods

The aim of this work is to investigate the fire reaction of wood cladding following the

<sup>83</sup> burning of a large scale *cistus monspeliensis* hedge. This Mediterranean species is present

in a large part of the scrubland and garrigue, but is also used as an ornamental species.
Prior to this, 40 tests were performed using a cone calorimeter in order to assess the

Prior to this, 40 tests were performed using a cone calorimeter in order to assess the
 conditions leading to the ignition of the wood cladding in front of a controlled heat flux.

## 87 2.1 Wood cladding

<sup>88</sup> The cladding used for the experiments was made of scotch pine with an average density of

 $_{\rm 89}$  350 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>. The wooden slats purchased were 4 m long, 13 cm wide and 1.8 cm thick

<sup>90</sup> horizontal tongue and groove lap siding product.

## 91 2.2 Cone calorimeter experiments

A preliminary study was carried out using a cone calorimeter in order to determine the 92 ignition conditions of wood cladding in terms of exposure time and heat flux. The wood 93 samples used were pine, oven-dried at 105 °C for 24 hours. They were taken from the 94 cladding boards and cut to a size of  $10 \times 10$  cm<sup>2</sup>. For each test, the sample was wrapped in 95 a double layer of aluminium and placed in a steel sample holder. The rear unexposed side 96 was insulated with a ceramic wool and then with a ceramic plate, all held in place by the 97 locking system. The samples were exposed to heat fluxes ranging from 15 to 45 kW.m<sup>-2</sup> in 98 a vertical orientation until ignition occurred or up to 25 min. The distance between the 99 cone heater and the sample was 25 mm and a spark was used for pilot ignition. Shields et 100 al. [20] showed that the ignition times were similar for flame and spark ignition using a 101 vertical configuration of the cone calorimeter. 102

## <sup>103</sup> 2.3 Large scale experiments

Six large scale tests were conducted outdoors in a flat, open area. The cistus hedge was 104 ignited by flame propagation in a fuel bed of excelsior used as a surrogate for a herbaceous 105 strata. This type of fuel bed is often used in laboratory experiments because it burns easily 106 and without residue. In addition, the flame front produced when the excelsior wood wool is 107 ignited is more homogeneous than with natural vegetation and is only intended to ignite 108 the hedge. In the Mediterranean region, the cistus is a native species that is common on 109 acidic soils in southern Europe. It is very specific in terms of its flammability, as it can 110 have a very low moisture content in summer, which makes this species very deleterious if it 111

is close to buildings in the WUI. This is the reason for the focus on this species. Cistus has been characterised on numerous occasions with laboratory scale tests [21, 22]. Figure 1 shows the detailed configuration of the experimental setup.



Fig. 1. Experimental setup.

The length L (Figure 1a) was related to that of the excelsion litter and the cistus hedge and 115 varied from 2 to 4 m depending on the experiment (Table 1). The width of the litter was 2 116 m and the pine excelsior litter had a fuel load of 1 kg.m<sup>-2</sup> and a moisture content around 5 117 % for all experiments. A cistus hedge was reconstructed with branches homogeneously 118 placed in  $\frac{1}{2}$  welded mesh cages of 1 m<sup>3</sup>. The bulk density of the cistus was varied from 8 to 119  $12 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$  in order to observe the influence on the ignitability of the cladding. For each 120 experiment, the fuel bed of wooden wool was ignited along its entire length L using a 121 butane burner. 122

The wood cladding was made of pine and was fixed to the cellular concrete with 28 mm 123 thick pine cleats. Each plank was 10 cm high and 20 mm thick. The cladding was cut to 124 obtain a section measuring into  $100 \text{ cm} \times 100 \text{ cm}$  sections, i.e. 10 boards stacked vertically. 125 The cladding and the cellular concrete were mounted on a support in order to achieve a 126 height of 95 cm for the lower part of the cladding. The cladding was centered on the hedge 127 and the distance, d, between the hedge and the cladding was fixed at 2 or 3 m, depending 128 on the experiment. The six tests were carried out on the same day in June, with the 129 ambient temperature varying between 35 °C for test 1 to 40 °C for test 6. These 130 temperature conditions were representative of the temperatures encountered in vegetation 131 fires. 132

As the tests were conducted outdoors, the wind was uncontrolled and was a determining factor in the spread of the fire and therefore the likelihood of the wood cladding ignition. Therefore, a standing anemometer was placed 15 m behind the cladding to continuously measure the direction and velocity of the wind. If the wind direction is 0°, then it is assumed that the wind is in the direction of propagation and perpendicular to the cladding. It is in this direction that the conditions are most favourable for the flame front to be tilted towards the cladding. It is also assumed that if the angle is greater than  $\pm 45^{\circ}$ ,

Tests	d (m)	$\rho_b \; (\mathrm{kg.m^{-3}})$	L (m)	$m_h (kg)$	$m_e (kg)$
1	3	8	2	16	4
2	3	8	4	32	8
3	2	8	4	32	8
4	2	8	4	32	8
5	2	8	4	32	8
6	2	12	4	48	8

Table 1: Variation of the experimental parameters for each test (cistus/cladding distance (d), cistus bulk density ( $\rho_b$ ), hedge length (L), hedge mass ( $m_h$ ), excelsior mass ( $m_e$ )).

the wind direction is still in the propagation direction, but shifted to the right or to the

left. Finally, if the wind direction is greater than  $\pm 90^{\circ}$ , it is in the opposite direction of fire spread. In this condition, it is very unlikely that the flame will hit the cladding.

<sup>143</sup> For each test, temperatures and heat fluxes received by the cladding were measured. As

shown in figure 1a, all the measurements were located to the right of the cladding. Eight
 K-type thermocouples were stapled on the cladding. Four were attached to the front side

 $_{\rm 146}$   $\,$  and four to the rear side at 15, 35, 55 and 65 cm from the base of the cladding and 10 cm

to the right side. Grooves were made in the surface of the wood to match the diameter of

the thermocouple (1 mm) so that they could be placed and stapled in place, ensuring contact between the tip of the thermocouple and the wood. Two pairs of heat flux gauges

(by Medtherm) were placed at 1 and 1.5 m from the ground and 20 cm from the cladding.

For each pair, one measured the radiant heat flux (range [0-100] kW.m<sup>-2</sup>) and the other

measured the total heat flux (range [0-200] kW.m<sup>-2</sup>). The water used to cool the

fluxmeters was stored in a water chiller in order to maintain a constant temperature all day outdoor during the tests. Finally, two cameras were used to record the experiments: one

<sup>155</sup> from the side of and one from the front behind the pine wool. All the measurements were

<sup>156</sup> triggered simultaneously with a sampling rate of 1 Hz.

### 157 3. Results and discussion

### <sup>158</sup> 3.1 Tests at material scale (using the cone calorimeter)

Table 2 shows the average ignition times calculated from three tests and the standard deviations as a function of heat flux.

Heat flux $(kW.m^{-2})$	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
Mean $t_{ig}$ (s)	1540	398	152	49	28	25	19	16
Std $t_{iq}$ (s)	289	28	68	5	6	3	5	4

Table 2: Time-to-ignition  $(t_{ig})$  according to the imposed heat flux.

<sup>161</sup> Times-to-ignition decreased with increasing heat flux. The standard deviation is higher for

fluxes close to the critical heat flux. This is consistent with the literature [23, 24, 25]. This 162 is due to the fact that close to the critical heat flux, ignition can be either caused by the 163 embers on the surface of the wood or by the pilot. This resulted in considerable variability 164 in ignition times. To achieve an ignition in less than one minute, the cladding had to be 165 exposed to a heat flux higher than  $25 \text{ kW}.\text{m}^{-2}$ . Additional tests were carried out without a 166 pilot to determine the critical heat flux for an auto-ignition. The cladding only 167 spontaneously ignites at 45 kW.m<sup>-2</sup> for times greater than 840 s. Ignition did not happen 168 for each test at 45 kW.m<sup>-2</sup> and never occurred at lower heat fluxes. These results are in 169 good agreement with work investigating spontaneous ignition of wood in a vertical 170 orientation [26, 27]. For auto-ignition to occur in less than 1 minute, the imposed heat flux 171 must to be at least 55 kW.m<sup>-2</sup> in vertical orientation [26]. 172

### <sup>173</sup> 3.2 Tests at large scale

In each experiment, the flame spread in the wooden wool and then ignited the cistus hedge. 174 The fire then spread throughout the hedge, thermally attacking the wood cladding at 175 different rates and intensities depending on the experimental conditions and the wind. 176 Figure 2 shows pictures of two tests corresponding to both hedge lengths of 2 and 4 m from 177 the time of hedge ignition  $(t_{iah})$ . The wind direction and velocity are provided in each figure 178 with an additional compass rose. Figure 2 shows the photographs of the different stages of 179 combustion for tests 1 and 2, where the cistus bulk density and the distance from the 180 cladding d were the same. Figure 3 shows the wind velocity and direction during the tests 181 from the ignition of the cistus hedge. For each figure, the zone between the green dotted 182 lines  $(\pm 45^{\circ})$  represents the wind direction that will be in the direction of fire propagation 183 towards the cladding. Between the green and red dotted lines, the wind will still be in the 184 direction of spread, but will be shifted to the right or to the left of the cladding. 185



Fig. 2. Pictures of the tests 1 and 2 with d = 3 m,  $\rho_b = 8 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$  (WV: Wind Velocity, WD: Wind Direction).

The important variations in wind direction and velocity show the difficulty of conducting 186 outdoor tests. The flame spread in the hedge for test 1 was very fast, with almost all of the 187 cistus having burned 25 s after ignition. The shape of the flame front at  $t_{igh} + 9$  s and 188  $t_{igh} + 25$  s was inclined towards the wood cladding. This was mainly due to the wind 189 direction during the test, which was close to the axis of propagation: on average  $\pm 0^{\circ}$  with 190 an average velocity of  $1.8 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$  during the first 30 seconds after ignition, when the flame 191 front was most prominent. In test 2, the flame spread was slower, both because the wind 192 was away from the axis of spread and because the average wind direction during the 193 burning of the hedge in test 2 was  $\pm 54^{\circ}$  at a speed of 1.9 m.s<sup>-1</sup>. The time of flame 194 residence in the hedge was 76 s and 96 s for tests 1 and 2 respectively. This difference is 195 mainly due to the smaller size of the hedge in test 1. 196



Fig. 3. Direction and velocity of wind during the tests 1 and 2.

<sup>197</sup> Figure 4 compares the heat fluxes and the mean temperatures at the front face of the

<sup>198</sup> cladding for the tests 1 and 2. The temperatures measured at the rear of the cladding for

 $_{199}$  tests 1 and 2 were constant and did not exceed 35 °C.



Fig. 4. Heat flux and temperature measured for tests 1 and 2 after the cistus hedge ignition.

In general, the heat flux and temperature of the front face increase rapidly as the cistus 200 ignites, reaching a peak value when the fire is fully developed. After the peak, heat flux 201 and temperature decrease rapidly as the fire intensity decreased. In both tests, the 202 radiative heat flux was very close to the total heat flux, showing that radiation was the 203 dominant heat transfer and that the flame, did not reach the device. For test 1 with a 2 m 204 long hedge, the maximum total heat flux was 12 kW.m<sup>-2</sup>. For test 2 with a 4 m long 205 hedge, the heat flux reached  $17 \text{ kW}.\text{m}^{-2}$ . Despite the less favourable wind direction for test 206 2, the heat flux received by the cladding was higher due to the length of the hedge and 207 therefore a higher heat released. For both tests, the measured heat flux was in the range 208 that allows the cladding to ignite on the cone calorimeter scale but the time was too short 209 (less than 30 s) to allow the release of flammable gases. In fact, it has been shown on a 210 laboratory scale that ignition was possible if the exposure to  $15 \text{ kW}.\text{m}^{-2}$  time was at least 211 370 s. On the other hand, for test 2, the heat fluxes and the temperatures increased with a 212 time delay of 10 s compared to test 1. This difference may be due to the wind direction, 213 which increased the propagation time. As for the heat fluxes, the maximum temperatures 214 recorded during test 2 were higher than those of test 1: 140 and 110 °C respectively. 215 According to the two tests carried out, the distance of 3 m between the wood cladding and 216 the hedge prevents the building material from igniting in such wind conditions. The 217 cladding was always centered in relation to the hedge. The flame did not reach the 218 cladding and the heat flux conditions did not allow the wood to ignite. On the other hand, 219 the risk of ignition may increase if the exposure time is extended by a denser hedge that 220 would burn for a longer period. 221

Figure 5 shows pictures for tests 3, 4 and 5. The configuration of these three tests was the same, with d reduced to 2 m, compared to the previous experiments presented in Fig. 2.



Fig. 5. Pictures of the tests 3, 4 and 5 with d = 2 m,  $\rho_b = 8$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, L = 4 m (WV: Wind Velocity, WD: Wind Direction).

The general description of the steps is similar to that given above. However, the pictures 224 show that the spread of the fire and the thermal aggression on the cladding are different for 225 each test. Ignition occurred only in test 3 because the flames reached the cladding. Figure 226 6 shows the wind direction and velocity during these tests. The wind direction during the 227 first 20 s after ignition of the hedge is indeed close to  $0^{\circ}$ , resulting an inclination of the fire 228 front towards the cladding. The cladding degraded, as indicated by the change in color and 229 finally ignited (Fig. 7). However, the flame self-extinguished immediately as soon as the 230 rate of burning of the hedge decreased. In tests 4 and 5, the flame front was slightly 231 inclined towards the cladding or was vertical. This was due to the direction of the wind, 232 which was between 45 and 90° during the first 30 s after the hedge was ignited. As a result, 233

the flames did not reach the wood cladding. No ignition was observed and the cladding did 234 not scorch, indicating that it did not undergo thermal degradation. These experiments 235 show that under the same conditions, ignition of the cladding is possible as long as the 236 average wind velocity is  $1.3 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$  and the direction is less than  $-20^{\circ}$  to the cladding. On 237 the other hand, even if the average wind velocity increases  $(1.8 \text{ m.s}^{-1} \text{ for test 4 and } 2.5 \text{ m.s}^{-1})$ 238  $m.s^{-1}$  for test 5) but the direction is on average 50° to the axis of the cladding, then it will 239 not ignite. The characteristics of the wind (velocity and direction) will therefore have a 240 significant effect on the ignition of the cladding. However, these results should be treated 241 with caution as these experiments only considered part of the cladding. The presence of a 242 building can indeed induce air recirculation for strong winds, as shown by Hedavati et al. 243 and therefore influence the inclination of the flame and the ignition of the cladding [28]. 244 Figure 8 shows the average cladding temperatures measured from the four thermocouples 245 placed on the front side and the heat fluxes at 1 m height. 246



Fig. 6. Direction and velocity of wind during the tests.



Fig. 7. Wood cladding after the test 3.



Fig. 8. Heat flux and temperature measured for tests 3, 4 and 5 after the cistus hedge ignition.

For tests 4 and 5, the heat fluxes did not exceed 12 kW.m<sup>-2</sup>. For these tests, the total and radiant heat fluxes were close. The cladding therefore received mainly radiation, as the flames were slightly inclined towards the cladding. The heat flux received by the cladding was not sufficient to cause ignition during the burning time of the hedge. According to the cone calorimeter experiments, the heat flux on the cladding would have been twice as high for ignition with an exposure time of less than 100 s. This is also visible from the

temperature curves. For tests 4 and 5, the maximum temperature recorded is around 130 253 °C, which is not sufficient to degrade the wood [29]. The temperature would need to exceed 254 200 °C for the pyrolysis process to start [30]. For test 3, the heat fluxes varied more 255 significantly, especially after 20 s and were much higher than in tests 4 and 5, with values 256 up to  $35 \text{ kW.m}^{-2}$  for the radiant flux and  $68 \text{ kW.m}^{-2}$  for the total heat flux. This 257 difference is due to the flame burning close to the heat flux meters as the flame front is 258 more inclined. This leads to an increase in the total heat flux as the hot gases released by 259 the flame reach the sensor. An increase in the variability of the heat flux due to the 260 flapping of the flame was observed. In test 3, ignition of the cladding occurs. This is 261 consistent with the cone calorimeter results. At the large scale, the total heat flux actually 262 reached 31 kW.m<sup>-2</sup> for a time of 30 s, which is sufficient to ignite the cladding according to 263 Table 2. In terms of temperature, the heat fluxes received by the cladding allow it to reach 264 temperatures in excess 300 °C. On the other hand, the surface temperature and the heat 265 flux generated by the cladding flame did not allow the self-sustained combustion. 266 Finally, figure 9 presents the results of the last test where the cistus bulk density is 267

increased to 12 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>.



Fig. 9. Pictures of the test 6 with d = 2 m,  $\rho_b = 12$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, L = 4 m (WV: Wind Velocity, WD: Wind Direction).

In this test, the fire spread quickly, mainly because the wind direction was close to 0° and the wind velocity was slightly higher (about 2.5 m.s<sup>-1</sup>) than in the other tests. In addition, the increase in the bulk density of the vegetation contributed to the increase in fire dynamics compared to the other tests. As a result, the flame front reached and ignited the cladding. However, the burning of the cladding was not self-sustained as it extinguished as soon as the heat flux from the hedge decreases. Figure 10 shows the evolution of the wind

<sup>275</sup> direction and velocity during the test.



Fig. 10. Direction and velocity of wind during the test.

- $_{\rm 276}~$  Figure 11 shows the heat fluxes at a height of 1 m and 1.5 m as well as the average
- 277 temperatures measured at the front and back face of the cladding.



(a) Heat fluxes at 1 m and 1.5 m heights.

(b) Temperatures on front and back faces.

Fig. 11. Heat fluxes and temperatures measured for test 6 after the cistus hedge ignition.

For test 6, the cladding burning time was approximately 43 s. Heat fluxes reach up to 25 278  $kW.m^{-2}$  for the radiative heat flux and 120  $kW.m^{-2}$  for the total heat flux. By increasing 279 the bulk density by 50 % (from 8 to 12 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>), there was little variation in the radiative 280 heat flux or flame residence time compared to test 3 where the cladding was ignited. On 281 the other hand, the total maximum heat flux increased by more than 70 % due to an 282 increase in vegetation load and a more favorable wind direction. In terms of temperature, 283 the exposed face reached 480 °C and remains almost constant for 30 s before decreasing 284 rapidly to 110 °C as the hedge begins to extinguish. The temperature measured on the rear 285 face also increased during the burning of the cladding with a maximum temperature of up 286 to 180 °C. Once the hedge was extinguished, the measured heat fluxes decreased rapidly to 287 a value of less than 5 kW.m<sup>-2</sup>, while the temperature remained around 100 °C after the 288 cladding had burned. The flame exposure should be longer to allow the flame to propagate 289 through the back of the cladding in order to allow its self-sustained burning. 290

#### <sup>291</sup> 4. Conclusion

In order to better understand the fire risk in the WUI, large scale tests were carried out to 292 assess the fire behaviour of wood cladding when exposed to the burning of a cistus hedge. 293 Firstly, material scale experiments were carried out on the wood cladding to quantify the 294 time required to ignite the samples as a function of the applied heat flux. Secondly, a total 295 of six tests were conducted by varying the distance hedge/cladding, bulk density of the 296 cistus and the length of the hedge. Ignition of the cladding was only possible when the 297 flame front touched the cladding. However, the configurations tested did not result in 298 self-sustained burning of the cladding. At a distance of 3 m between the hedge and the 290

cladding, no ignition of the cladding was observed. When the distance between the hedge 300 and the cladding is reduced to 2 m, the cladding can be ignited but not systematically. 301 The direction of the wind will affect the flame front and therefore the intensity to which 302 the cladding is exposed. However, if the wind direction is not towards the cladding, this 303 latter will not ignite. When the cistus bulk density increases and the wind is in the 304 propagation direction with a slightly higher velocity, cladding ignition is certain with total 305 heat fluxes exceeding  $100 \text{ kW}.\text{m}^{-2}$ . It has been observed that the cladding extinguishes 306 almost instantaneously as soon as the burning of the hedge decreases. The heat flux 307 generated by the flame on the surface of the wood and the temperatures on the back side 308 are not high enough to allow a self-sustaining combustion. Based on these results, it seems 309 necessary to require a distance of more than 2 m between facades with wood cladding and 310 a hedge of 1 m high. It is also important to ensure that no secondary fuel is present 311 between the cladding and the hedge, as this could increase the burning duration of the 312 cladding, leading to its self-sustaining combustion. In further works, it will be possible to 313 vary the slope between the vegetation and the cladding and to add additional elements 314 such as windows. A load cell will also be installed under the cistus hedge to measure the 315 mass loss during the combustion. 316

#### 317 Acknowledgements

<sup>318</sup> The authors would like to thank Antoine PIERI (research technician), Jean-Valère

- LORENZETTI and Bruno MARTINENT (PhD students), Anthony GRAZIANI
- <sup>320</sup> (post-doctoral researcher), Erwan STACHOWIAK and Samuel JAWORSKI (interns), for
- <sup>321</sup> their technical support during the test day.

#### 322 5. References

- [1] J. Cohen, "The wildland-urban interface fire problem: A consequence of the fire exclusion paradigm," *Forest History Today. Fall: 20-26.*, pp. 20–26, 2008.
- [2] S. E. Caton, R. S. Hakes, D. J. Gorham, A. Zhou, and M. J. Gollner, "Review of pathways for building fire spread in the wildland urban interface part i: exposure conditions," *Fire technology*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 429–473, 2017.
- [3] O. F. Price, J. Whittaker, P. Gibbons, and R. Bradstock, "Comprehensive
  examination of the determinants of damage to houses in two wildfires in eastern
  australia in 2013," *Fire*, vol. 4, no. 3, 2021.
- [4] N. F. P. Association *et al.*, "NFPA 1140 standard for reducing structure ignition hazards from wildland fire," 2013.
- [5] J. D. Cohen and R. D. Stratton, "Home destruction examination: Grass valley fire,
   lake arrowhead, california," *Tech. Paper R5-TP-026b. Vallejo, CA: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region (Region 5). 26 p.*, 2008.

- [6] A. Maranghides, D. McNamara, W. Mell, J. Trook, and B. Toman, "A case study of a community affected by the witch and guejito fires: Report# 2: Evaluating the effects of hazard mitigation actions on structure ignitions," National Institute of Standards and Technology, US Department of Commerce and US Forest Service, Gaithersburg, MD, 2013.
- [7] I. Weir, "As 3959: Construction of buildings in bushfire-prone areas: Standards australia," 2018.
- [8] A. Ganteaume and M. Jappiot, "What causes large fires in southern france," *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 294, pp. 76–85, 2013.
- [9] A. Ganteaume, A. Camia, M. Jappiot, J. San-Miguel-Ayanz, M. Long-Fournel, and
   C. Lampin, "A review of the main driving factors of forest fire ignition over europe,"
   *Environmental management*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 651–662, 2013.
- [10] G. Xanthopoulos, "Factors affecting the vulnerability of houses to wildland fire in the
   mediterranean region," in *Proceedings of the international workshop forest fires in the* wildland-urban interface and rural areas in Europe, pp. 15–16, 2003.
- [11] S. L. Manzello, S. Suzuki, and Y. Hayashi, "Enabling the study of structure vulnerabilities to ignition from wind driven firebrand showers: A summary of experimental results," *Fire Safety Journal*, vol. 54, pp. 181–196, 2012.
- [12] K. Himoto, M. Shinohara, A. Sekizawa, K.-i. Takanashi, and H. Saiki, "A field
  experiment on fire spread within a group of model houses," *Fire safety journal*,
  vol. 96, pp. 105–114, 2018.
- [13] S. Yuan, K. Xiang, F. Yan, Q. Liu, X. Sun, Y. Li, and P. Du, "Characteristics and mechanism of fire spread between full-scale wooden houses from internal fires," *Buildings*, vol. 12, no. 5, p. 575, 2022.
- [14] K. Nguyen, N. K. Kim, D. Bhattacharyya, and A. Mouritz, "Assessing the
  combustibility of claddings: A comparative study of the modified cone calorimeter
  method and cylindrical furnace test," *Fire and Materials*, 2021.
- [15] M. S. McLaggan, J. P. Hidalgo, J. Carrascal, M. T. Heitzmann, A. F. Osorio, and
   J. L. Torero, "Flammability trends for a comprehensive array of cladding materials,"
   *Fire safety journal*, vol. 120, p. 103133, 2021.
- <sup>366</sup> [16] I. Oleszkiewicz, "Fire exposure to exterior walls and flame spread on combustible <sup>367</sup> cladding," *Fire Technology*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 357–375, 1990.
- <sup>368</sup> [17] J. D. Cohen, "Relating flame radiation to home ignition using modeling and
  <sup>369</sup> experimental crown fires," *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 34, no. 8,
  <sup>370</sup> pp. 1616–1626, 2004.

- [18] A. Grishin, A. Filkov, E. Loboda, V. Reyno, A. Kozlov, V. Kuznetsov, D. Kasymov,
  S. Andreyuk, A. Ivanov, and N. Stolyarchuk, "A field experiment on grass fire effects
  on wooden constructions and peat layer ignition," *International journal of wildland fire*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 445–449, 2014.
- J. Leonard, R. Blanchi, F. Lipkin, G. Newnham, A. Siggins, K. Opie, D. Culvenor,
  B. Cechet, N. Corby, C. Thomas, *et al.*, "Building and land-use planning research
  after the 7th february victorian bushfires: preliminary findings," *Bushfire CRC: Melbourne, Australia*, 2009.
- [20] T. Shields, G. Silcock, and J. Murray, "The effects of geometry and ignition mode on
  ignition times obtained using a cone calorimeter and iso ignitability apparatus," *Fire and materials*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 25–32, 1993.
- [21] T. Barboni, L. Leonelli, P.-A. Santoni, and V. Tihay-Felicelli, "Aerosols and carbonaceous and nitrogenous compounds emitted during the combustion of dead shrubs according to twigs' diameter and combustion phases," *Fire Safety Journal*, vol. 113, p. 102988, 2020.
- F. Morandini, P. Santoni, J. Tramoni, and W. Mell, "Experimental investigation of flammability and numerical study of combustion of shrub of rockrose under severe drought conditions," *Fire Safety Journal*, vol. 108, p. 102836, 2019.
- [23] V. Babrauskas, "Ignition handbook-principles and applications to fire safety
   engineering, fire investigation, risk management and forensic science," 2020.
- [24] A. Atreya, C. Carpentier, and M. Harkleroad, "Effect of sample orientation on piloted ignition and flame spread," *Fire Safety Science*, vol. 1, pp. 97–109, 1986.
- <sup>393</sup> [25] F. Hedayati, W. Yang, and A. Zhou, "Effects of moisture content and heating <sup>394</sup> condition on pyrolysis and combustion properties of structural fuels," *Fire and* <sup>395</sup> *Materials*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 741–749, 2018.
- [26] L. Terrei, Z. Acem, V. Georges, P. Lardet, P. Boulet, and G. Parent, "Experimental tools applied to ignition study of spruce wood under cone calorimeter," *Fire Safety Journal*, vol. 108, p. 102845, 2019.
- <sup>399</sup> [27] N. Boonmee and J. Quintiere, "Glowing and flaming autoignition of wood,"
   Proceedings of the combustion institute, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 289–296, 2002.
- [28] F. Hedayati, C. Stansell, D. Gorham, and S. L. Quarles, "Near-building
  noncombustible zone," tech. rep., Insurance Institute for Buisness & Home Safety,
  2018.
- L. Yang, X. Chen, X. Zhou, and W. Fan, "The pyrolysis and ignition of charring
  materials under an external heat flux," *Combustion and Flame*, vol. 133, no. 4,
  pp. 407–413, 2003.

<sup>407</sup> [30] D. Drysdale, An introduction to fire dynamics. John wiley & sons, 2011.

#### 408 Figure captions

- <sup>409</sup> Fig. 1 Experimental setup.
- Fig. 2 Pictures of the tests 3, 4 and 5 with d = 3 m,  $\rho_b = 8 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$  (WV: Wind Velocity,
- <sup>411</sup> WD: Wind Direction).
- <sup>412</sup> Fig. 3 Direction and velocity of wind during the tests 1 and 2.
- <sup>413</sup> Fig. 4 Heat flux and temperature measured for tests 1 and 2 after the cistus hedge ignition.
- Fig. 5 Pictures of the tests 3, 4 and 5 with d = 2 m,  $\rho_b = 8$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, L = 4 m (WV: Wind Velocity, WD: Wind Direction).
- <sup>416</sup> Fig. 7 Wood cladding after the test 3.
- <sup>417</sup> Fig. 6 Direction and velocity of wind during the tests.
- Fig. 8 Heat flux and temperature measured for tests 3, 4 and 5 after the cistus hedge ignition.
- 420 Fig. 9 Pictures of the test 6 with d = 2 m,  $\rho_b = 12$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, L = 4 m (WV: Wind Velocity,
- <sup>421</sup> WD: Wind Direction).
- <sup>422</sup> Fig. 10 Direction and velocity of wind during the test.
- Fig. 11 Heat flux and temperature measured for tests 1 and 2 after the cistus hedge ignition.