

Sensitivity to chemical composition variations and heating/oxidation mode of the breakaway oxidation in M5® cladding steam oxidized at 1000°C (LOCA conditions)

Valérie Vandenberghe, Jean-Christophe Brachet, Matthieu Le Saux, Didier Gilbon, Jean Paul Mardon, B. Sebbari

▶ To cite this version:

Valérie Vandenberghe, Jean-Christophe Brachet, Matthieu Le Saux, Didier Gilbon, Jean Paul Mardon, et al.. Sensitivity to chemical composition variations and heating/oxidation mode of the breakaway oxidation in M5® cladding steam oxidized at 1000°C (LOCA conditions). TopFuel 2012, Sep 2012, Manchester, United Kingdom. hal-04447119

HAL Id: hal-04447119 https://hal.science/hal-04447119

Submitted on 15 Feb 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICAL COMPOSITION VARIATIONS AND HEATING/OXIDATION MODE OF THE BREAKAWAY OXIDATION IN M5® CLADDING STEAM OXIDIZED AT 1000℃ (LOCA CONDITI ONS)

V. VANDENBERGHE, J.C. BRACHET, M. LE SAUX^{*}, D. GILBON

CEA, Nuclear Energy Division 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex – France *Tel: +33 1 69 08 12 28, Fax: +33 1 69 08 71 30, Email: matthieu.lesaux@cea.fr

J.P. MARDON

AREVA, AREVA NP, Fuel Business Unit 10 rue Juliette Récamier, 69546 Lyon Cedex06 – France

B. SEBBARI

EDF-SEPTEN, Nuclear Fuel Division 69628 Villeurbanne Cedex – France

ABSTRACT

This paper deals with the influences of the chemical composition and the heating/oxidation mode on the breakaway oxidation of M5® cladding steam oxidized at 1000°C (LOss of Coolant Accident conditions). In order to study the sensitivity of the breakaway oxidation to chemical composition variations around the nominal specifications of the M5® alloy, one-side oxidation tests were performed at 1000°C under steam at nearly atmospheric pressure on samples with various contents of Nb (0.87 and 1.25 wt%), Fe (195 and 715 wt.ppm), O (500, 930 and 1680 wt.ppm), S (14 and 41 wt.ppm) and Hf (62 and 487 wt.ppm). Weight gains and hydrogen contents of the samples were measured after oxidation. It is found that the pre-breakaway oxidation kinetics and the time of occurrence of the breakaway transition are not significantly modified by chemical composition variations for the batches investigated: in all cases, the transition occurs at about 5000s, which corresponds to a weight gain of about 10 mg/cm² / one-side-oxidation. However, after the breakaway transition, some differences are observed on weight gains and hydrogen uptakes.

Moreover, the influence on the breakaway of both the heating and the oxidation modes were analyzed by comparing results obtained on M5[®] cladding samples one-side steam oxidized at 1000[°]C by the use of a resistance heating furnace and double-side steam oxidized by using an induction heating technique. The thicknesses of the oxide and $\alpha_{Zr}(O)$ layers were measured after oxidation. The occurrence of the breakaway oxidation does not appear to be affected by the heating mode and the oxidation type.

M5® is a trademark of AREVA NP

1. Introduction

During a postulated Loss of Coolant Accident, fuel claddings may be exposed to steam at high temperature until they are quenched by an Emergency Core Cooling System. Numerous studies demonstrated that at high temperature, the oxidation kinetics or zirconium alloys are mostly parabolic (or even cubic) and time-temperature oxidation correlation were derived from this [1,2]. The oxide formed is then sub stoichiometric, black and dense. However, in some cases, and especially around 1000°C, a sharp increase of the oxidation rate can be observed after an incubation period. Usually, the oxidation rate slows down a little just before the transition. A scalloped metal-oxide interface and intensive oxide cracking are usually observed after an oxidation in those conditions followed by a quench. After the transition, the zirconia becomes (at least locally) stoichiometric, usually whitish, yellowish or even pinkish (depending on the alloy), porous, and an oxide spalling is sometimes observed during the oxidation.

This increase in the oxidation rate coincides with a significant hydrogen uptake. This hydrogen uptake is often used as an early clue that the so-called "breakaway" phenomenon occurs. For instance in [3] the authors consider that a hydrogen concentration of 200 wt.ppm is the indication that a breakaway oxidation is in progress for double-side oxidation, as there

is usually no hydrogen pick-up observed during oxidation above 1100°C.[4].

The increase in the oxidation rate and the hydrogen pick-up can then induce an embrittlement of the cladding.

The breakaway mechanism is not very well known yet, even though as soon as in the early 1980s, Leistikow et al. [5, 6] proposed a scenario, based on the fact that the phenomenon appears for a temperature at which the high temperature (tetragonal) zirconia was susceptible to transform into monoclinic zirconia. Numerous factors are assumed to influence the incubation time and the breakaway oxidation: the oxidation temperature is the first order parameter, but also concerned the chemical composition of the alloy and/or its surface preparation for example. The discrepancies observed between some of the results reported in the literature illustrate this sensitivity. Some results previously obtained at CEA [7, 8] have shown that the breakaway transition of the standard M5® alloy occurs after about 5000-5500s at 1000°C under flowing steam atmosphere, at nearly atmospheric pressure. Furthermore, it was observed that, under those conditions, the occurrence of breakaway is not significantly modified by pre-hydriding or pre-oxidation under simulated in-service conditions. Zr-Nb or E110 alloys in particular were suspected to have a shorter incubation period than some results previously obtained on Zircaloys [9-11].

In this study, we focus on the influence of two parameters on the breakaway phenomenon. First the impact of small variations around the nominal composition of five chemicals elements in M5® alloys and second the effect of the heating mode (resistive furnace heating versus induction heating) on the breakaway incubation time, oxidation rate and hydrogen pick-up of the alloy.

2. Material and experimental procedures

Τ	Tab 1: Chemical composition of the studied M5 materials							
	Hf	Fe	Nb	0	S			
	(ppm)	(wt%)	(wt%)	(wt%)	(wt ppm)			
M5®	54	0.034-0.037	1.0	0.118-0.148	13-26			
High Nb			1.25					
Low Nb			0.87					
High Fe		0.071-0.072						
Low Fe		0.019-0.020						
High O				0.168				
Low O				0.093				
Ultra Low O				0.050				
Low S / High O				0.167	14			
High S / Low O				0.134	41			
Low Hf	50							
High Hf	500							

The material studied here are as-received AREVA NP commercial M5® cladding tubes, and cladding tubes variants with chemical composition around the nominal specifications (Tab 1).

cladding tubes variants with chemical composition around the nominal specifications (Tab 1).

Two different CEA facilities were used. The first one, DEZIROX 1, already described in previous papers [7,8] used a vertical resistive furnace to heat the 150 mm long specimen, one-side oxidized in a steam environment (near atmospheric pressure) with an average steam flow rate (mass of condensed water divided by the test time and normalized to the cross-sectional area of the steam chamber) of about 70 mg/(cm².s). This is CEA standard apparatus for breakaway oxidation studies and the influence of the chemical composition was evaluated using this facility only.

The second one, CINOG BP [12], allows performing double side oxidation tests in a steam environment at nearly atmospheric pressure on 20 mm long specimens. Steam flows up through a quartz-tube chamber with a steam flow rate of about 40 mg/(cm².s).

The specimens oxidized in the DEZIROX 1 facility were water quenched (down to room temperature) after oxidation whereas the samples tested in CINOG BP were naturally cooled down to room temperature with an average cooling rate of about 100°C/s.

3. Results

3.1. Influence of the chemical composition on the breakaway oxidation

In order to check the chemical composition influence of alloying elements and main impurities on the breakaway oxidation phenomenon, the different materials were one-side oxidized in DEZIROX 1 at 1000°C, for three oxidation times (3270s, 5292s and 9500s) and directly quenched in water at room temperature. The results were compared to those obtained for numerous oxidation times on standard M5® and, for each (chemical element/oxidation time) couple. Post oxidation hydrogen content measurement was performed on the specimen with the highest weight gain. All the results are listed in the table 2.

50 0.77 . . 3270 6.30 42 160 1.81 10	Chemical element investigated	Oxidation time (s)	Weight gain (mg/cm²)	Post-test hydrogen content (wt.ppm)	Chemical element investigated	Oxidation time (s)	Weight gain (mg/cm²)	Measured hydrogen content (wt.ppm)
160 1.81 10 323 2.83 11 930 ppr 0 5292 10.17 271 650 4.03 14 950 9500 17.66 812 1060 4.62 11 1280 4.61 19 3270 6.53 62 1290 4.61 19 3270 6.71 - 9500 15.24 - 3270 6.71 - 1680 ppr 0 9500 15.24 - 5292 8.61 89 - 9500 15.24 - 7500 11.55 434 - 14 ppr S 5292 8.61 - 8600 11.69 286 (1670 ppr 0) 9500 17.76 52 9500 14.91 516 3270 6.65 58 5292 9.07 96 14551 22.2 923 .14 ppr S 1340 ppr 0 17.16 - 0.87 % Nb 5292 9.12 79		50	0.77	-		3270	6.30	42
323 2.83 11 930 pm 0 5.792 9.57 . 650 4.03 14 9500 17.66 812 20.40 . 1060 4.62 11 3270 6.57 . 20.40 . 3270 6.71 . 1680 pm 0 5292 9.57 . . 3270 6.71 . 1680 pm 0 5292 9.22 110 5292 8.16 89 5292 8.16 89 .		160	1.81	10		5000	10.17	271
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } & 4.63 & 14 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		323	2.83	11	930 ppm O	5292	9.57	-
1060 4.27 - 3500 20.40 - 1290 4.62 11 3270 6.53 62 1290 4.61 19 5292 8.61 - 3270 6.71 - 1680 ppm 0 5292 8.61 - 5292 8.16 89 - 9500 15.24 - 5292 8.16 89 - 9500 15.24 - 7500 11.55 434 . . 3270 6.37 52 9500 11.89 286 .		650	4.03	14		0500	17.66	812
1000 4.62 11 3270 6.53 62 1290 4.61 19 1680 ppm 0 5292 9.22 110 3270 6.71 - 1680 ppm 0 5292 8.61 - 5292 8.16 89 9500 15.24 - 750 11.55 434 .		4000	4.27	-		9500	20.40	-
1290 4.61 19 1680 ppm O 5292 9.22 110 3270 6.71 - 1680 ppm O 5292 8.61 - 5292 8.16 89 15.24 - - 9500 17.09 291 6.801 11.55 434 14 ppm S 3270 6.37 52 7500 11.55 434 14 ppm S 17.09 291 9500 11.69 286 1670 ppm O 9500 17.78 521 9500 13.29 - - 9500 17.78 521 14.91 516 17.78 521 923 17.78 521 9500 15.84 634 14 ppm S 17.78 521 943 115 14.050 - 940 14.06 - 943 115 0.87 % Nb 5292 9.12 79 62 ppm Hf 5292 7.96 - 9500 16.62 535 </td <td></td> <td>1060</td> <td>4.62</td> <td>11</td> <td rowspan="2"></td> <td>3270</td> <td>6.53</td> <td>62</td>		1060	4.62	11		3270	6.53	62
M5® 3270 6.71 . 1680 ppm O 3292 8.61 . M5® 8.01 - 9500 15.24 - . 8.01 - 8.01 - 9500 15.24 - 7500 11.55 434 .		1290	4.61	19		5292	9.22	110
$ \begin{split} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	M5®	3270	6.71	-	1680 ppm O		8.61	-
5292 8.16 89 900 17.09 291 7500 11.55 434 75 3270 6.37 52 8600 11.69 286 14.09 5292 9.07 96 9500 13.29 - 900 17.78 521 10800 15.84 634 14.91 516 17.78 521 10800 15.84 634 141.91 516 17.78 521 11.55 9.07 960 17.78 521 17.78 521 11.61 22.2 923 141.91 S 529 9.43 115 14.51 22.2 923 141.91 S 5292 9.43 115 5292 9.12 79 14.40 ppm Of 5292 9.43 115 11.47 - - 5292 7.94 101 1.25 % Nb 5292 9.66 141 5292 7.90 - 1.25 % Nb			8.01	-		9500	15.24	-
8.43 75 3270 6.37 52 7500 11.55 434 14 ppm S 5292 8.16 - 9600 13.29 - 14.91 516 9007 96 10800 15.84 634 17.78 521 17.78 521 10800 15.84 634 14.91 516 17.78 521 14551 22.2 923 41 ppm S 1340 ppm O 17.78 521 14551 22.2 923 41 ppm S 1340 ppm O 9500 16.65 58 14551 22.2 923 41 ppm S 1340 ppm O 9500 14.06 - 5292 7.96 - 9500 16.62 535 950 14.06 - 9500 16.62 535 58 9500 15.62 396 1.25 % Nb 5292 9.66 141 529 7.94 101 9500 16.45 529		5292	8.16	89			17.09	291
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			8.43	75		3270	6.37	52
8600 11.69 286 14 ppm S (1670 ppm O) 5292 9.07 96 9500 13.29 - (1670 ppm O) 9500 17.78 521 10800 15.84 634 3270 6.65 58 14551 22.2 923 41 ppm S (1340 ppm O) 3270 6.65 58 3270 6.83 49 (1340 ppm O) 5292 9.12 - 9500 7.96 - 9500 14.06 - 9212 79 - 14.06 - - 9500 16.62 535 9500 15.44 500 9500 16.62 535 3270 7.66 91 1.25 % Nb 5292 9.66 141 500 - 5292 7.94 101 9500 16.45 529 8.91 - - 5292 7.94 101 9500 16.45 529 8.94 - - 8.2		7500	11.55	434	14 ppm S (1670 ppm O)	5000	8.16	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		8600	11.69	286		5292	9.07	96
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline 14.91 & 516 & 9300 & 17.16 & - \\ \hline 10800 & 15.84 & 634 & \\ \hline 14551 & 22.2 & 923 & \\ \hline 3270 & 6.83 & 49 & \\ \hline 5292 & 7.96 & - & \\ \hline 9.12 & 79 & 14.06 & - & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.62 & 535 & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.62 & 535 & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.62 & 535 & \\ \hline 11.47 & - & & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.62 & 535 & \\ \hline 11.47 & - & & \\ \hline 9500 & 11.47 & - & & \\ \hline 11.47 & - & & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.62 & 535 & \\ \hline 11.47 & - & & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.62 & 535 & \\ \hline 11.47 & - & & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.62 & 535 & \\ \hline 11.47 & - & & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.65 & 58 & \\ \hline 11.47 & - & & \\ \hline 9500 & 16.45 & 529 & \\ \hline 9500 & 14.32 & - & & \\ \hline 14.45 & 529 & & \\ \hline 9500 & 14.32 & - & & \\ \hline 14.32 & - & & \\ \hline 193-195 \text{pm} Fe & \hline \\ \hline 3270 & 6.77 & 50 & & \\ \hline 18.68 & 810 & & \\ \hline 713-715 \text{pm} Fe & \hline \\ \hline 3270 & 6.55 & 40 & & \\ \hline 9500 & 18.68 & 810 & \\ \hline 713-715 \text{pm} Fe & \hline \\ \hline 9500 & 18.48 & 588 & \\ \hline 9500 & 18.48 & 588 & \\ \hline \end{array}$		0500	13.29	-		9500	17.78	521
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline 10800 & 15.84 & 634 \\ \hline 14551 & 22.2 & 923 \\ \hline 14551 & 22.2 & 923 \\ \hline 14551 & 22.2 & 923 \\ \hline 3270 & 6.83 & 49 \\ \hline 3270 & 6.83 & 49 \\ \hline 5292 & 7.96 & - \\ \hline 9.12 & 79 \\ \hline 11.40 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.10 & 11.47 \\ \hline 11.51 & - \\ \hline 11.9 & - \\ \hline 11.82 & 200 \\ \hline 11.88 & 588 \\ \hline 9.00 & 11.9 \\ \hline 11.82 & 200 \\ \hline \hline 11.86 & 588 \\ \hline 9.01 & 11.82 \\ \hline 11.82 & 200 \\ \hline \hline 11.86 & 588 \\ \hline 11.86$		9500	14.91	516			17.16	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline 14551 & 22.2 & 923 \\ \hline 3270 & 6.83 & 49 \\ \hline 3270 & 6.83 & 49 \\ \hline 3270 & 6.83 & 49 \\ \hline 5292 & 7.96 & - \\ \hline 9.12 & 79 \\ \hline 14.06 & - \\ \hline 9.11 & 7 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.12 & 9.10 & 58 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.12 & 9.10 & 58 \\ \hline 11.47 & - \\ \hline 9.12 & 9.10 & 58 \\ \hline 11.40 & 58 \\ \hline 11.25 & 9.10 & 1 \\ \hline 11.25 & 9.10 &$		10800	15.84	634	41 ppm S (1340 ppm O)	3270	6.65	58
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14551	22.2	923		5292	8.72	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.87 % Nb	3270	6.83	49			9.43	115
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5292	7.96	-		9500	14.06	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			9.12	79			15.44	500
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		9500	16.62	535	62 ppm Hf	3270	7.66	91
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			11.47	-		5292	7.90	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.25 % Nb	3270	6.50	58			7.94	101
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5292	9.66	141		9500	15.62	396
9500 16.45 529 3270 7.10 65 14.32 - - 5292 8.24 110 193-195 ppm 3270 6.77 50 487 ppm Hf 5292 8.24 110 193-195 ppm 5292 8.04 - - 9500 14.30 324 193-195 ppm 5292 11.00 334 - 9500 14.30 324 193-195 ppm 5292 15.10 - - 3270 6.67 55 9500 15.10 - - 3270 6.67 55 18.68 810 500 ppm O 5292 9.01 120 7.76 - - - 9500 11.19 - 713-715 ppm 5292 9.24 266 9500 11.82 200 9500 18.48 588 - - - - 9500 18.48 588 - - -			8.91	-			11.51	-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		9500	16.45	529		3270	7.10	65
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			14.32	-			8.24	110
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	193-195 ppm Fe	3270	6.77	50	487 ppm Hf	5292	8.08	-
193-195 ppm Fe 5292 11.00 334 9500 13.07 - 193-195 ppm Fe 15.10 - 334 3270 6.67 55 18.68 810 500 ppm O 5292 9.01 120 713-715 ppm Fe 3270 6.55 40 500 ppm O 5292 9.01 120 713-715 ppm Fe 9500 18.48 588 9500 11.19 - 9500 18.48 588 9500 11.82 200		5292	8.04	-	407 ppmm	9500	14.30	324
Fe 15.10 - 3270 6.67 55 9500 18.68 810 500 ppm O 5292 9.01 120 713-715 ppm Fe 3270 6.55 40 500 ppm O 5292 9.01 120 713-715 ppm Fe 9.24 266 9500 11.19 - 9500 18.48 588 9500 11.82 200			11.00	334			13.07	-
9500 18.68 810 500 ppm O 9.01 120 713-715 ppm Fe 9.24 266 500 ppm O 9500 11.19 - 9500 18.48 588 9500 11.82 200		9500	15.10	-		3270	6.67	55
3270 6.55 40 500 ppm O 5292 7.76 - 713-715 ppm Fe 5292 9.24 266 9500 11.19 - 9500 18.48 588 588 500 ppm O 11.82 200			18.68	810		0210	9.01	120
713-715 ppm Fe 9.24 266 9500 11.19 - 9500 18.48 588 9500 11.82 200	713-715 ppm Fe	3270	6.55	40	500 ppm O	5292	7 76	-
713-715 ppm 5292 8.87 - 9500 11.82 200 Fe 9500 18.48 588		5292	9.24	266	500 ppm 0	9500	11 19	-
Fe 9500 18.48 588			8.87	-			11.82	200
9500 17.60		9500	18.48	588			11.02	200
			17.69	-				

Tab 2: Weight gain and post test hydrogen content results for all chemical variants tested

Before the breakaway occurrence, the oxidation kinetics are expected to be nearly (sub-) parabolic at 1000°C, and that is exactly what is ob served for the standard M5® and for all the chemical variants investigated for oxidation time up to 5292s. For this oxidation time, results become a little more widespread, as the breakaway oxidation appears. This dispersion is mostly observed in the post breakaway regiment: significant differences in weight gains are observed after 9500s at 1000°C. The same can be said of the hydrogen pick-up: the hydrogen content measured remains below 100 wt.ppm (oxidation one side) after a 3270s oxidation (even below 50 wt.ppm in most cases) but increases suddenly at the onset of breakaway.. After the transition, hydrogen pick-up appears to be more important in some cases, in particular for low Fe content (<200wt.ppm) and intermediate oxygen content (~900/1000 wt.ppm) (Fig 2.). Breakaway oxidation is linked to the spalling of the oxide layer: no significant spalling (less than 0.01g) is observed after 3270s at 1000°C and quenching, for

all tested materials, but some spalling appears at the onset of breakaway, after a 5292s oxidation, and significant and more scattered spalling appears for longer oxidation times (Fig 1.).

In summary, the results show that:

-the pre-breakaway oxidation kinetics and the time of occurrence of the breakaway transition are not significantly modified by chemical composition variations investigated. In all cases, the transition occurs at about 5000s corresponding to a weight gain of about 10 mg/cm²/single-side oxidation and less than 100ppm of hydrogen.

-after the breakaway transition, weight gains and hydrogen uptake data are scattered.



Fig 2. Post oxidation H content as a function of (a) oxidation time and (b) weight gain

3.2. Influence of the heating process on the breakaway oxidation

M5® was oxidized in both facilities, CINOG BP, double-side, induction heating (DS-IH) and DEZIROX 1, single-side, furnace heating (SS-FH), for different oxidation times at 1000°C. Transverse cross-sections of the oxidized samples were observed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) so that the thicknesses of the different layers (Fig 5.) and the fractions of the alpha(O) and prior-beta phases across the clad wall-thickness (Fig 4.) could be quantified by using image analyses. The results show no significant influence of the heating mode and the oxidation type on the hydrogen pick-up (Fig 3). The evolution as a function of oxidation time at 1000°C of the oxide, alpha(O) and prior-beta phase layers (Fig 5) or the morphology of the oxide-metal interface, which appears wavy (Fig 6.). In order to compare SS-FH and DS-IH phase layer thickness, the alpha-O and mixed alpha-O prior-beta phase layer thickness was first measured on SS-FH specimens (Fig 5a, left side). Then, this profile was duplicated symmetrically and convoluted to project what would have been obtained on DS-FH (Fig 5c). This convoluted profile was then compared (Fig 5d) to the actually measured profile obtained on DS-IH and the profiles appeared nearly identical. In other words, the occurrence of breakaway oxidation does not appear to be strongly affected by the heating/oxidation mode.







Fig 4. Zirconia phase layer thickness (a) continuous alpha(O) phase layer thickness (b) as a function of oxidation time for SS-FH and DS-IH specimens





Fig 5. Comparison of the α (O) layer obtained after 3270s at 1000°C in SS-FH or DS-IH conditions; (a) SEM images; (b) % α (O) layer deduced from SEM images analysis; (c) projected DS-FH α (O) layer distribution; (d) comparison between measured DS-IH and projected DS-FH



Fig 6. SEM illustrations of the wavyness of the zirconia/alpha(O) interface for SS-FH and DS-IH specimens for different oxidation times at 1000°C

4. Conclusions

No significant impact of the chemical composition variations investigated - Nb (0.87 and 1.25 wt%), Fe (195 and 715 wt.ppm), O (500, 930 and 1680 wt.ppm), S (14 and 41 wt.ppm) and Hf (62 and 487 wt.ppm) - was observed on breakaway incubation time, oxidation kinetics pre-breakaway, and hydrogen pick up as a function of the weight gain in post breakaway regimen.

No significant impact of the heating mode was observed on the breakaway incubation time, on pre-and post breakaway alpha(O) layer thickness or on oxidation kinetics or H pick up in post breakaway regimen.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank P. Crébier for performing CINOG experiments, R. Maury for DEZIROX experiments and S. Urvoy for SEM images analyses.

5. References

[1] Baker, L., Just, L.C. (1962). Studies of metal-water reactions at high temperatures. III. Experimental and theoretical studies of the zirconium-water reaction. Report ANL 6548, Argonne National Laboratory.

[2] Pawel, R.E., Cathcart, J.V., McKee, R.A. (1979). The Kinetics of Oxidation of Zircaloy-4 in Steam at High Temperatures. *Electrochemical Science and Technology* 126(7), 1105-1111.

[3] Billone, M., Yan, Y., Burtseva, T., Daum, R. (2008). Cladding Embrittlement During Postulated Loss-of-Coolant Accidents. Report NUREG/CR-6967.

[4] Brachet, J.C., Vandenberghe, V. (2009). Comments to papers of J. H. Kim et al. [1] and M. Große et al. [2] recently published in JNM "On the hydrogen uptake of Zircaloy-4 and $M5^{TM}$ alloys subjected to steam oxidation in the 1100-1250°C temperature range". *Journal of Nuclear Materials* 395, 169-172.

[5] Leistikow, S., Schanz, G., Berg, H.V., Aly, A.E (1983). Comprehensive presentation of extended Zircaloy-4 steam oxidation results (600-1600℃). In: *OECD-NEA-CSNI/IAEA Specialists Meeting on Water Reactor Fuel Safety and Fission Product Release in Off-Normal and Accident Conditions*, Risö National Laboratory, Denmark.

[6] Schanz, G., Leistikow, S. (1981). ZrO₂-Scale Degradation during Zircaloy-4 High Temperature Steam Exposure; Microstructural Mechanisms and Consequences for PWR Safety Analysis. In: *ANS/ENS Topical Meeting on Reactor Safety Aspects of Fuel Behaviour*, Sun Valley, USA.

[7] Portier, L., et al. (2004). Influence of long service exposures on the thermal-mechanical behaviour of Zy-4 and M5[™] alloys in LOCA conditions. In: *14th International Symposium on Zirconium in the Nuclear Industry, ASTM STP 1467*, Stockholm, Sweden.

[8] Le Saux, M., et al. (2011). Influence of Pre-Transient Oxide on LOCA High Temperature Steam Oxidation and Post-Quench Mechanical Properties of Zircaloy-4 and M5[™] cladding. In: *2011 Water Reactor Fuel Performance Meeting*, Chengdu, China.

[9] Yegorova, L., Lioutov, K., Jouravkova, N., Konobeev, A., Smirnov, V., Chesanov, V., Goryachev, A. (2005). Experimental Study of Embrittlement of Zr-1%Nb VVER Cladding Under LOCA-Relevant Conditions. NUREG/IA-0211.

[10] Yan, Y., Burtseva, T., Billone, M. (2009). High-Temperature Steam-Oxidation Behavior of Zr-1Nb Cladding Alloy E110. *Journal of Nuclear Materials* 393(3), 433-448.

[11] Chung, H.M. (2005). Fuel behavior under Loss-Of-Coolant Accident situations. *Nuclear Engineering and Technology* 37(4), 327-362.

[12] Grandjean, C., Hache, G. (2008). A State-of-the-Art Review of Past Programmes Devoted to Fuel Behaviour Under Loss-of-Coolant Conditions. Part 3. Cladding Oxidation. Resistance to Quench and Post-Quench Loads. Technical Report SEMCA 2008-093, IRSN