

Could PLATELIA Toxo IgM be the new gold standard for the serological diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis: a French multicenter study

Safya Arkhis, Célia Rouges, Naima Dahane, Hélène Guegan, Hélène Yera, Florence Robert-Gangneux

▶ To cite this version:

Safya Arkhis, Célia Rouges, Naima Dahane, Hélène Guegan, Hélène Yera, et al.. Could PLATELIA Toxo IgM be the new gold standard for the serological diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis: a French multicenter study. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 2024, Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 62 (2), pp.e0122223. 10.1128/jcm.01222-23. hal-04444019

HAL Id: hal-04444019

https://hal.science/hal-04444019

Submitted on 25 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1	Could PLATELIA [™] Toxo IgM be the new gold standard for the serological diagnosis of
2	congenital toxoplasmosis: a French multicenter study
3	
4	Running title: PLATELIA Toxo IgM and congenital toxoplasmosis
5	
6	Safya Arkhis ^a , Célia Rouge ^b , Naïma Dahane ^b , Hélène Guegan ^c , Hélène Yera ^{b,d} , Florence
7	Robert-Gangneux ^{c#}
8	
9	^a Laboratory of Parasitology and Mycology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rennes,
10	Rennes, France
11	^b Department of parasitology and mycology, Cochin University Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France
12	^c Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de Recherche en Santé
13	Environnement Travail), UMR_S 1085, 35000, Rennes, France
14	^d Department of parasitology and mycology, Dupuytren University Hospital, Limoges, France
15	
16	
17	Correspondence
18	# <u>florence.robert-gangneux@univ-rennes.fr</u> ; Avenue du Pr Léon Bernard; 35043 RENNES
19	CEDEX, France ; Tel : +33299284276
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

ABSTRACT

26	Objective: To assess the performance of PLATELIA TM Toxo IgM (Biorad) and Toxo ISAGA®
27	(BioMérieux) to detect anti-Toxoplasma IgM in infants at risk of congenital toxoplasmosis.
28	Study design: A retrospective multicenter study comparing serologic results obtained in the
29	framework of routine diagnosis work-up for congenital toxoplasmosis. All infants born to
30	mothers infected with <i>T. gondii</i> during pregnancy from 2010 to 2020, with at least 6 months
31	of serologic follow-up were included (n=1010).
32	Results: One thousand and ten cases were included, of which 250 infants (24.75%) had
33	congenital toxoplasmosis. A total of 1039 sera were included. The concordance between the
34	two techniques was 96%, with kappa coefficient of 0.87, showing an almost perfect agreement
35	between ISAGA and PLATELIA. Cumulative sensitivity and specificity were 73.2% and 99.5.%,
36	and 74.8% and 100%, for ISAGA and PLATELIA, respectively. The mean time to detect IgM using
37	ISAGA and PLATELIA tests was 6.9+/-20.1 days and 5.6+/-14.7 days, respectively (ns). Finally,
38	the sensitivity of ISAGA and PLATELIA to detect IgM antibodies in infected neonates at 5 days
39	of life was 62% and 64%, respectively.
40	$\textbf{Conclusion:} \ \text{Performances} \ \text{of} \ \text{PLATELIA}^{\text{TM}} \ \text{Toxo} \ \text{IgM} \ \text{assay were comparable to the gold}$
41	standard ISAGA. This ELISA is suitable for routine serology for the diagnosis of congenital
42	toxoplasmosis in newborns.
43	
44	KEYWORDS: congenital toxoplasmosis, Toxoplasma gondii, serology, IgM, neonatal diagnosis

Introduction

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

Toxoplasmosis is a widespread zoonotic infection caused by Toxoplasma gondii, an obligate intracellular protozoan parasite. Its prevalence varies widely according to geographical area (1). T. gondii infection is acquired primarily through ingestion of cysts in infected undercooked meat or oocysts that may contaminate soil, water, and food. Infection by T. gondii is typically asymptomatic or subclinical in healthy humans. However, in case of primary infection during pregnancy, the parasite may pass through the placenta and lead to congenital infection, with a variable burden of disease in the world (2,3). The clinical severity for the fetus decreases and the transmission rate increases as gestational age at the time of maternal infection progresses (1,4). Thus fetal damage can range from asymptomatic to severe neurological alterations, such as cerebral calcifications, ventricular dilatation, hydrocephalus and/or mental retardation, and to retinal lesions with possible relapses lifelong (4). In France, pregnant women benefit since 1992, from a monthly systematic screening if they are seronegative until delivery, and from information on how to avoid infection. The objective is to promptly identify and treat maternal infection in order to prevent fetal infection and decrease sequelae after birth (3,5). Thanks to this prevention program, about 90% of congenitally infected infants are asymptomatic at birth (6). As the risk of developing retinochoroiditis during the first year of life or in early adulthood is high, infected infants must be treated as soon as they are diagnosed infected (1). Indeed, in the absence of treatment, some cohort studies showed that 15% to 80% of children with prenatal toxoplasmosis developed lifelong ocular disease (7,8). Prenatal diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis (CT) relies on the detection of parasite DNA by PCR in amniotic fluid samples. Several studies (9–13) showed that about 90% of infected

71	fetuses were diagnosed by PCR. Thus, a negative result from PCR performed on amniotic fluid
72	cannot definitely rule out congenital infection.
73	For that reason, clinical and biological checkup of neonates must be performed, and
74	serological follow-up must be conducted during the first year of life, in the aim of starting
75	pyrimethamine - sulfadiazine therapy as soon as possible, to reduce the incidence of ocular
76	lesions and improve neurological outcome (14,15). Neonatal biological checkup usually relies
77	on the detection of parasite DNA in the placenta and/or amniotic fluid collected at delivery,
78	and serological tests (16,17). Serological examination relies on the detection of specific anti-
79	Toxoplasma IgM, IgA, and IgG antibodies, in the cord blood and serum of the newborn (9,18–
80	20). IgM detection is a simple pivotal test to make a diagnosis of congenital infection, provided
81	that it is confirmed by comparative western-blot showing a pattern different from the
82	mother's, when positive in cord blood (20). Western-blotting can also have added value by
83	detecting neosynthesized IgG in the infant' serum (21–23).
84	It is imperative that IgM assays used for the diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis be sensitive,
85	specific and exhibit high positive and negative predictive values (PPV, NPV) in order to
86	promptly identify infected newborns and start treatment. Until now, the Toxo ISAGA® assay
87	(BioMérieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France) is considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of CT in
88	newborns, but it will be no longer marketed in 2024. Thus alternative methods are needed,
89	but few assays are validated on cord blood by manufacturers. The aim of this study was to
90	assess the compare the performance of PLATELIA TM Toxo IgM (PLATELIA) (Biorad, Marnes-la-
91	Coquette, France) and Toxo ISAGA® (ISAGA) for the diagnosis of CT.

Materials and Methods

Ethics.

All routine analyses were performed during routine work-up. Data were recorded anonymously. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the CHU de Rennes (approval number #23.39).

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

94

95

96

97

98

Study population

This is a retrospective multicentric study aiming at comparing serologic results obtained in the framework of routine diagnosis of congenital diagnosis in Cochin-Port Royal Hospital (Paris, France) and Rennes University Hospital (Rennes, France). All infants born to mothers infected with T. gondii during pregnancy from 2010 to 2020 were included, if they benefited from serologic follow-up for at least 6 months, allowing to exclude or confirm the diagnosis of CT. Prenatal diagnosis of CT was performed by the detection of T. gondii DNA in amniotic fluid, 4 to 5 weeks after the estimated date of infection and after 18 weeks of amenorrhea, after informed consent was granted. Amniotic fluid was tested for Toxoplasma by means of realtime PCR assays, as previously described (17,24). At birth, all newborns were assessed for possible congenital toxoplasmosis by clinical, neurologic, imaging and ophthalmologic examinations, according to usual recommendations (1) and by the following serological tests: detection of anti-Toxoplasma IgM, IgA and IgG antibodies, in cord blood and/or neonatal blood by PLATELIA and ISAGA, comparison of the maternal and neonatal IgG and IgM antibody patterns by WB (WB Toxoplasma IgG/IgM®, LDBio, Lyon, France), and detection of the parasite in the placenta tissue or amniotic fluid at delivery. All serologic techniques were combined during the first three months of life, then only IgG and IgM were monitored for at least six months or until clearance of maternal IgG on two consecutive samples.

Congenital toxoplasmosis was defined by the presence of specific anti-*Toxoplasma* IgM and/or IgA antibodies in the neonate serum > 3 days (D3) after birth, or persistent or increase of IgG titers in infants at or beyond 1 year of age, or positive *T. gondii* qPCR in amniotic fluid.

The following information was collected for each case: date of birth, date of serum sampling, results obtained with ISAGA and PLATELIA, trimester of maternal infection, result of prenatal diagnosis when performed, outcome (infected or non-infected), period of CT diagnosis

Samples

The first sample collected at birth (D0 and/or D3-5) was included for each neonate. When cord blood sample was contaminated by maternal blood, as demonstrated by similar IgM profiles obtained by paired WB analysis of mother's and cord blood, this one was excluded from analysis, to the profit of the next newborn's sample collected at D3 or later. In some cases, another sample could be included, if a positive IgM result was obtained during follow-up with one or the other technique.

Detection of anti-Toxoplasma-IgM

(antenatal, at birth, during postnatal follow-up).

Anti-Toxoplasma IgM was detected by the two methods, according to the supplier's recommendations. The Toxo-ISAGA® assay is a manual semi-quantitative assay based on immunoagglutination of whole *Toxoplasma* tachyzoites, needing an overnight incubation, and is considered a reference method for IgM detection. According to the manufacturer's instruction, newborn serum was diluted to 1/20 and incubated in three anti-IgM-coated wells, for 2 hours at 37°C. After several washing steps, *Toxoplasma* antigen was added, then the wells were covered and incubated overnight at 37°C. The agglutination of tachyzoites was

observed and rated from 0 (total sedimentation) to 4 (total agglutination) by comparison to negative and positive controls, and the scores obtained for the three wells was summed up. The usual threshold of positivity for adults is ≥ 9 , while rates of 6-8 are considered doubtful. However, the manufacturer underlines that an index = 3 can be considered in favor of CT (children ≤ 6 months). Therefore, a threshold index ≥ 3 was used in the present study. The PLATELIATM Toxo IgM is a quantitative immunocapture ELISA assay, using 96-well microplates coated with anti-human μ -chains antibodies and the reaction steps were automated using an Evolis® device (Bio-Rad), using the manufacturer protocol. Results are expressed as an index (ratio relative to calibrators). An index < 0.8 is considered negative, while an index ≥ 1.00 is considered positive for anti-*Toxoplasma* IgM. Values from 0.8 to 0.99 are considered equivocal (grey zone).

Statistical methods

Concordance test and kappa coefficient were used to assess agreement between the two techniques for IgM antibody detection in all sera. The crude specificity was calculated on all sera from uninfected infants (including the control sera in case of a previous positive result). The sensitivity for the diagnosis of CT was calculated using the first positive sample obtained with each technique. In case of negative IgM at birth, the mean time until positive result, if any, was calculated for each technique. For easier comparison, all results in the grey zone, i.e. $Toxo-ISAGA^{\circledast} \ge 3$ and $PLATELIA\ Toxo\ IgM^{\circledast} \ge 0.8$, were considered positive.

Mean time to positive IgM detection was compared among techniques using t-test.

Proportions of IgM detection with each technique, by various patient categories were compared using Chi-square test. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software v6.

Results

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

One thousand and ten infants were included in the study, of whom 250 (25%) were diagnosed with congenital toxoplasmosis. In total, 1039 sera were included for analysis, consisting of 275 sera and 764 sera from infected and non-infected infants, respectively. The crude concordance between the two techniques was 96% (1003/1039) (Table 1), yielding a kappa coefficient of 0.87, i.e. an almost perfect agreement between ISAGA and PLATELIA. Most discrepancies (32/36) were observed in infected infants, for whom one or the other technique was negative. A false positive IgM result was observed with ISAGA in four infants on day 0 (D0), D3, D4 and D37, respectively (index 6, 6, 3 and 3, respectively), who were later proven non-infected. These were true false positive results, as IgM were not detected using western-blot assay. A control sample drawn 12 to 28 days later was negative. No false positive results were observed using PLATELIA IgM. The specificity of ISAGA and PLATELIA was 99.5% and 100%, respectively (Table 2). Among the 250 infected babies (275 sera), 200 (80%) had anti-Toxoplasma IgM detected with any technique at any time; 15 out of 200 (7.5%) infants were ISAGA-positive/PLATELIA-negative, while 17 (8%) were ISAGA-negative/PLATELIApositive during follow-up. In two cases with discordant results at first sampling, the technique yielding initial false-negative result (both with PLATELIA) turned out positive on a next sample obtained at day 13 and 42, respectively. In 13 neonates with no IgM detection at first sampling, both techniques turned positive simultaneously on the next sample. The overall sensitivity of ISAGA and PLATELIA during follow-up was 73.2% and 74.8%, respectively (Table 2). The negative and positive predictive values are also presented in Table 2. In 5/15 samples yielding a false negative result with PLATELIA only, the IgM index was close to the grey zone threshold (>0.6), while only 2/765 sera from non-infected neonates had a

negative result with an index >0.6, suggesting that such results close to the PLATELIA threshold

190	should trigger tightened follow-up of newborns. Of the 17 samples with an isolated false
191	negative ISAGA result, only two sera had an index value different from 0 (1 and 2,
192	respectively).
193	The diagnostic performance at birth, i.e. at ≤5 days of life, was evaluated on the earliest
194	samples available. The sensitivity of ISAGA and PLATELIA for the early diagnosis of congenital
195	toxoplasmosis was 62% (155/250) and 64% (159/250), respectively (Table 3).
196	The mean time to detect IgM (when positive) in infected neonates during follow-up, with
197	ISAGA and PLATELIA was 6.9 +/- 20.1 days and 5.6 +/- 14.7 days, respectively (p=0.56). In all
198	but one case, IgM were detected within the first three months of life; in the remaining case,
199	only ISAGA yielded a positive result (index=6) at six month.
200	Among the 200 infected babies with positive IgM detected during serological follow-up, 166
201	(83%) and 169 (84.5%) were diagnosed within one month after birth with ISAGA and PLATELIA,
202	respectively (Table 4). Overall, the time to IgM detection did not differ for PLATELA and ISAGA
203	(p=0.972, Table 4).
204	Besides, there was no differences in the frequency of IgM detection with ISAGA and PLATELIA
205	according to the trimester of maternal infection (p= 0.696), nor according to the result of
206	prenatal diagnosis (p=0.724) (Table 4).
207	IgM were undetected in 32 (46%) cases and 39 (56%) cases with PLATELIA and ISAGA, for 70
208	maternal infections with positive prenatal diagnosis, which occurred during the 1st or 2nd
209	trimester. By contrast, in 27 cases of maternal infection during the third trimester and positive
210	prenatal diagnosis, PLATELIA and ISAGA were negative in 8 and 9 cases, respectively.

Discussion

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

Biological and clinical investigations to diagnose congenital infection must be performed at birth, when maternal primary toxoplasmosis occurred during pregnancy, or when newborns present with clinical features suggestive of congenital toxoplasmosis, such as retinal lesions, intracranial calcifications, hepatosplenomegaly, or cerebral ventricular dilation, when the mother didn't benefit from serologic screening. The added value of postnatal diagnosis is primarily to detect infected neonates who were not diagnosed by prenatal diagnosis (25). This can occur in about 10% of cases, as a result of low parasite concentrations or delayed transfer of the parasite across the placenta. Here, 10 cases (4%) had a false negative antenatal result, half of them had IgM detected during follow-up, with no significant difference according to the assay. On the other side, analysis of amniotic fluid may not be performed when serological seroconversion occurred at a late stage of pregnancy, representing 22 to 52% of cases, according to case series reported in the literature (1), and 139/250 (56%) cases in the present study. For that reason, post-natal serological follow-up of infants is essential to monitor the clearance of maternal anti-Toxoplasma IgG and the absence of anti-Toxoplasma IgM, to rule out congenital toxoplasmosis (26,27). IgM detection must be sensitive, but above all, very specific, in order to safely prompt early treatment in infected newborns and improve prognosis (28,29). Here, we observed four false positive results with ISAGA, which could be related to the difficulty of reading and scoring the agglutination in the wells. Sensitivity of IgM detection can be an issue, as many series published in the literature account for a sensitivity ranging from 44% to 87% and from 44% to 81%, using ISAGA or ELISA assays, respectively (20). The lack of IgM detection could be at least partially attributed to maternal treatment (25,30). In the present study, we could detect IgM in 73.2% and 74.8% of infected

infants with ISAGA and PLATELIA, respectively, which is in agreement with other studies evaluating postnatal diagnosis (18,30,31). As already shown in other studies, the rate of IgM detection was higher when maternal infection occurred during the third trimester of pregnancy, but was not linked to the IgM assay (25,32). We previously showed that IgM were more often undetected using ISAGA than PLATELIA, when the mother received anti-Toxoplasma antenatal therapy (25). Indeed, out of 70 infants born to 54 treated and 16 untreated mothers, the sensitivity of ISAGA was 51.9% and 81.2%, respectively (p<0.05), but that of PLATELIA was not significantly altered. Here, ISAGA also appeared to be more likely negative in the neonate when the mother received prolonged pyrimethamine-sulfadiazine therapy, i.e. when infection occurred during the first or second trimester of pregnancy, but the current study was not designed to evaluate the impact of treatment. In the literature, ISAGA is commonly recommended for IgM testing in young infants, because i) it is considered a gold standard for the diagnosis of CT, ii) it is blood-sparing, as it needs only 10 μL serum, and iii) it is very well-suited for small series. In the perspective of end of commercialization of Toxo-ISAGA® by bioMérieux, it is important to validate which technique could replace it for the diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis. Some old studies reported that ISAGA was more sensitive than immunoenzymatic methods for the detection of IgM antibodies (21,32). However, the present study showed that the PLATELIATM Toxo IgM assay had a very similar sensitivity and a 100% specificity, thus could be a valuable alternative. Additionally, it also requires only 10µL serum, if the sample is diluted manually. Overall, the concordance of both techniques was very good, and the precocity of IgM detection with PLATELIA was at least as good as with ISAGA (64% versus 62%, respectively, at <5 days of life). Additionally, our results highlighted that IgM index close to the grey zone threshold (>0.6), is highly suggestive of congenital infection and should trigger tightened follow-up of newborns.

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

261	A recent study by Avignon et al. (31) retrospectively evaluated PLATELIA TM Toxo IgM among
262	five assays, in 432 infants, and showed that it had the best sensitivity, and a specificity
263	comparable to ISAGA. Our study included 1010 infants and allowed to draw strong conclusions
264	about the high performances of PLATELIA TM Toxo IgM.
265	In conclusion, sensitivity and specificity of PLATELIA Toxo-IgM observed in this study on an
266	important cohort of duly followed-up infants, mainly born to treated mothers, were
267	comparable to the gold standard ISAGA. Complementary studies could be undertaken to
268	confirm the high performances of PLATELIA in neonates from untreated mothers, although
269	IgM are usually more easily detected in such situation.
270	While Toxo-ISAGA® is an expensive and manual technique, with an overnight time-to-result,
271	which requires advanced expertise for scoring and is usually reserved to reference centers for
272	toxoplasmosis, PLATELIA-Toxo IgM® can be used for routine diagnosis on a 96-well automated
273	device, and is well-adapted for clinical laboratories to provide a result in about 5 hours. Thus,
274	PLATELIA-Toxo IgM® is highly suitable for routine diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis in
275	newborns.
276	
277	
278	

280 References

- 281 1. Robert-Gangneux F, Dardé M-L. Epidemiology of and diagnostic strategies for
- 282 toxoplasmosis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012;25(2):264-96. DOI: 10.1128/CMR.05013-11
- 283 2. Torgerson PR, Mastroiacovo P. The global burden of congenital toxoplasmosis: a
- 284 systematic review. Bull World Health Organ. 2013;91(7):501-8. DOI: 10.2471/BLT.12.111732
- 285 3. Peyron F, Mc Leod R, Ajzenberg D, Contopoulos-Ioannidis D, Kieffer F, Mandelbrot L,
- et al. Congenital Toxoplasmosis in France and the United States: One Parasite, Two Diverging
- 287 Approaches. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(2):e0005222. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005222
- 288 4. Montoya JG, Liesenfeld O. Toxoplasmosis. Lancet Lond Engl. 2004;363(9425):1965-76.
- 289 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16412-X
- 290 5. Wallon M, Peyron F, Cornu C, Vinault S, Abrahamowicz M, Kopp CB, et al. Congenital
- 291 toxoplasma infection: monthly prenatal screening decreases transmission rate and improves
- clinical outcome at age 3 years. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2013;56(9):1223-31.
- 293 DOI: 10.1093/cid/cit032
- 294 6. Villena I, Ancelle T, Delmas C, Garcia P, Brézin AP, Thulliez P, et al. Congenital
- 295 toxoplasmosis in France in 2007: first results from a national surveillance system.
- Eurosurveillance. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; 2010;15(25):19600.
- 297 DOI: 10.2807/ese.15.25.19600-en
- 298 7. Guerina NG, Hsu HW, Meissner HC, Maguire JH, Lynfield R, Stechenberg B, et al.
- 299 Neonatal serologic screening and early treatment for congenital Toxoplasma gondii infection.
- The New England Regional Toxoplasma Working Group. N Engl J Med. 1994;330(26):1858-63.
- 301 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199406303302604
- 302 8. Koppe JG, Loewer-Sieger DH, de Roever-Bonnet H. Results of 20-year follow-up of
- 303 congenital toxoplasmosis. Lancet Lond Engl. 1986;1(8475):254-6. DOI: 10.1016/s0140-
- 304 6736(86)90785-3
- 305 9. Robert-Gangneux F, Gavinet MF, Ancelle T, Raymond J, Tourte-Schaefer C, Dupouy-
- 306 Camet J. Value of prenatal diagnosis and early postnatal diagnosis of congenital
- toxoplasmosis: retrospective study of 110 cases. J Clin Microbiol. 1999;37(9):2893-8.
- 308 10. Foulon W, Pinon JM, Stray-Pedersen B, Pollak A, Lappalainen M, Decoster A, et al.
- 309 Prenatal diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis: a multicenter evaluation of different
- 310 diagnostic parameters. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1999;181(4):843-7. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-
- 311 9378(99)70311-x
- 312 11. Wallon M, Franck J, Thulliez P, Huissoud C, Peyron F, Garcia-Meric P, et al. Accuracy of
- real-time polymerase chain reaction for Toxoplasma gondii in amniotic fluid. Obstet Gynecol.
- 314 2010;115(4):727-33. DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181d57b09

- 315 12. Robert-Gangneux F, Dion S. Toxoplasmose de la femme enceinte. J Pédiatrie
- 316 Puériculture. 2020;33(5):209-20. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpp.2020.04.005
- 317 13. Thalib L, Gras L, Romand S, Prusa A, Bessieres M-H, Petersen E, et al. Prediction of
- 318 congenital toxoplasmosis by polymerase chain reaction analysis of amniotic fluid. BJOG Int J
- 319 Obstet Gynaecol. 2005;112(5):567-74. DOI: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2005.00486.x
- 320 14. McAuley J, Boyer KM, Patel D, Mets M, Swisher C, Roizen N, et al. Early and longitudinal
- 321 evaluations of treated infants and children and untreated historical patients with congenital
- toxoplasmosis: the Chicago Collaborative Treatment Trial. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis
- 323 Soc Am. 1994;18(1):38-72. DOI: 10.1093/clinids/18.1.38
- 324 15. McLeod R, Boyer K, Karrison T, Kasza K, Swisher C, Roizen N, et al. Outcome of
- 325 treatment for congenital toxoplasmosis, 1981-2004: the National Collaborative Chicago-
- 326 Based, Congenital Toxoplasmosis Study. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am.
- 327 2006;42(10):1383-94. DOI: 10.1086/501360
- 16. Filisetti D, Yera H, Villard O, Escande B, Wafo E, Houfflin-Debarge V, et al. Contribution
- of neonatal amniotic fluid testing to diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis. J Clin Microbiol.
- 330 2015;53(5):1719-21. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.02358-14
- 17. Robert-Gangneux F, Dupretz P, Yvenou C, Quinio D, Poulain P, Guiguen C, et al. Clinical
- relevance of placenta examination for the diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis. Pediatr
- 333 Infect Dis J. 2010;29(1):33-8. DOI: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3181b20ed1
- 18. Naessens A, Jenum PA, Pollak A, Decoster A, Lappalainen M, Villena I, et al. Diagnosis
- of congenital toxoplasmosis in the neonatal period: A multicenter evaluation. J Pediatr.
- 336 1999;135(6):714-9. DOI: 10.1016/s0022-3476(99)70090-9
- 19. Peyclit L, Villard O, Paris L, Fricker-Hidalgo H, Houzé S, Cimon B, et al. IgM triplet in
- 338 neonatal diagnosis by immunoblotting and its potential use as a diagnostic marker for
- congenital toxoplasmosis. Parasite Paris Fr. 2023;30:19. DOI: 10.1051/parasite/2023020
- 340 20. Pomares C, Montoya JG. Laboratory Diagnosis of Congenital Toxoplasmosis. J Clin
- 341 Microbiol. 2016;54(10):2448-54. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00487-16
- 342 21. Chumpitazi BF, Boussaid A, Pelloux H, Racinet C, Bost M, Goullier-Fleuret A. Diagnosis
- of congenital toxoplasmosis by immunoblotting and relationship with other methods. J Clin
- 344 Microbiol. 1995;33(6):1479-85. DOI: 10.1128/jcm.33.6.1479-1485.1995
- 345 22. Robert-Gangneux F, Commerce V, Tourte-Schaefer C, Dupouy-Camet J. Performance
- of a Western blot assay to compare mother and newborn anti-Toxoplasma antibodies for the
- early neonatal diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ
- 348 Eur Soc Clin Microbiol. 1999;18(9):648-54. DOI: 10.1007/s100960050366

- 349 23. Tissot Dupont D, Fricker-Hidalgo H, Brenier-Pinchart MP, Bost-Bru C, Ambroise-Thomas
- 350 P, Pelloux H. Usefulness of Western blot in serological follow-up of newborns suspected of
- congenital toxoplasmosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol.
- 352 2003;22(2):122-5. DOI: 10.1007/s10096-003-0887-5
- 353 24. Talabani H, Asseraf M, Yera H, Delair E, Ancelle T, Thulliez P, et al. Contributions of
- 354 Immunoblotting, Real-Time PCR, and the Goldmann-Witmer Coefficient to Diagnosis of
- 355 Atypical Toxoplasmic Retinochoroiditis. J Clin Microbiol. 2009;47(7):2131-5. DOI:
- 356 10.1128/JCM.00128-09
- 357 25. Guegan H, Stajner T, Bobic B, Press C, Olariu RT, Olson K, et al. Maternal Anti-
- 358 Toxoplasma Treatment during Pregnancy Is Associated with Reduced Sensitivity of Diagnostic
- Tests for Congenital Infection in the Neonate. J Clin Microbiol. 2021;59(2):e01368-20. DOI:
- 360 10.1128/JCM.01368-20
- 361 26. Centre national de référence sur la toxoplasmose. Recommandations destinées aux
- professionnels de santé concernant le diagnostic par biologie moléculaire de la toxoplasmose
- 363 congénitale. 1ère Partie. Reims: CNR Toxoplasmose; 2011.http://cnrtoxoplasmose.chu-
- reims.fr/wp- content/uploads/2012/06/Recommandations- Diagnostic-TC-biomol-janvier-
- 365 2012.pdf. [En ligne].
- 366 27. Maldonado YA, Read JS, COMMITTEE ON INFECTIOUS DISEASES. Diagnosis, Treatment,
- and Prevention of Congenital Toxoplasmosis in the United States. Pediatrics. 2017;139(2). DOI:
- 368 10.1542/peds.2016-3860
- 369 28. Cortina-Borja M, Tan HK, Wallon M, Paul M, Prusa A, Buffolano W, et al. Prenatal
- 370 treatment for serious neurological sequelae of congenital toxoplasmosis: an observational
- 371 prospective cohort study. PLoS Med. 2010;7(10):e1000351. DOI:
- 372 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000351
- 373 29. Wallon M, Garweg JG, Abrahamowicz M, Cornu C, Vinault S, Quantin C, et al.
- 374 Ophthalmic outcomes of congenital toxoplasmosis followed until adolescence. Pediatrics.
- 375 2014;133(3):e601-608. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-2153
- 376 30. Bessières MH, Berrebi A, Cassaing S, Fillaux J, Cambus JP, Berry A, et al. Diagnosis of
- congenital toxoplasmosis: prenatal and neonatal evaluation of methods used in Toulouse
- 378 University Hospital and incidence of congenital toxoplasmosis. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.
- 379 2009;104(2):389-92. DOI: 10.1590/s0074-02762009000200038
- 380 31. Avignon M, Lévêque MF, Guemas E, Sasso M, Albaba S, Lachaud L, et al. Diagnosis of
- 381 Congenital Toxoplasmosis: Performance of Four IgG and IgM Automated Assays at Birth in a
- 382 Tricentric Evaluation. J Clin Microbiol. 2022;60(5):e0011522. DOI: 10.1128/jcm.00115-22
- 383 32. Bessières MH, Berrebi A, Rolland M, Bloom MC, Roques C, Cassaing S, et al. Neonatal
- 384 screening for congenital toxoplasmosis in a cohort of 165 women infected during pregnancy

385 386	Reprod Biol. 2001;94(1):37-45. DOI: 10.1016/s0301-2115(00)00300-6
387	
388	
389	
390	
391	

Table 1. Results of anti-Toxoplasma IgM detection by ISAGA and PLATELIA in 1010 newborns (N=1039 sera).

	PLATELIA			
		Negative test	Positive test	Total
ISAGA	Negative test	833	17	850
	Positive test	19ª	170	189
	Total	852	187	1039

^a of which 4 were false positive results

Table 2. Performance of IgM detection using ISAGA and PLATELIA assays for the diagnosis of congenitally-infected (N = 250) and uninfected (N = 760) infants

	ISAGA	PLATELIA
Sensitivity % (n/N)	73.2 (183/250)	74.8 (187/250)
Specificity % (n/N)	99.5 (756/760)	100 (760/760)
PPV % (n/N)	97.8 (183/187)	100 (187/187)
NPV % (n/N)	97.8 (756/773)	98 (760/775)

Table 3. Sensitivity and specificity of ISAGA and PLATELIA tests for diagnosing CT within 5 days after birth

Technique	Sensitivity ≤ 5	Specificity ≤ 5 days of
	days of life	life
	% (n/N)	% (n/N)
ISAGA	62 (155/250)	99.7 (758/760)
PLATELIA	64 (159/250)	100 (760/760)

Table 4. IgM detection with ISAGA and PLATELIA according to the trimester of maternal infection (N= 250 cases)

	IgM positive ^a	IgM positive ^a	p-value ^c
	(ISAGA)	(PLATELIA)	
Trimester of infection, n/N			
First trimester (n=10)	5	3	0.696 (ns)
Second trimester (n=92)	47	56	
Third trimester (n=129)	114	111	
Unknown ^b (n=19)	17	15	
Time to positive result			0.972 (ns)
≤5 days	155	159	
6 to 30 days	11	10	
31 to 60 days	13	13	
>60 days	4	3	
Prenatal diagnosis			0.724 (ns)
Positive (n=101)	53	60	
Negative (n=10)	5	4	
Not done or unknown (n=139)	124	119	

^a Only the 1st positive sample was considered

413

409

^b Of which 2 cases were consecutive to reinfection or reactivation

^{411 &}lt;sup>c</sup> Chi-square test

⁴¹² ns; not significant