

Candida albicans interaction with Gram-positive bacteria within interkingdom biofilms

Clément Bernard, Marion Girardot, Christine Imbert

To cite this version:

Clément Bernard, Marion Girardot, Christine Imbert. Candida albicans interaction with Grampositive bacteria within interkingdom biofilms. Journal of Medical Mycology = Journal de Mycologie Médicale, 2020, 30 (1), pp.100909. 10.1016/j.mycmed.2019.100909 . hal-04430782

HAL Id: hal-04430782 <https://hal.science/hal-04430782v1>

Submitted on 4 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Candida albicans interaction with Gram-positive bacteria within interkingdom biofilms

Clément Bernard^{a*}, Marion Girardot^a, Christine Imbert^a

a Laboratoire Ecologie Biologie des Interactions, Université de Poitiers, UMR CNRS 7267, Poitiers, France.

* Corresponding author. Present address: Laboratoire Ecologie Biologie des Interactions, Université de Poitiers, Bâtiment B36 – B37 PBS/IBMIG, 1 rue Georges Bonnet, 86000 Poitiers, France.

Tel.: +33 549 455 790

E-mail address: clement.bernard@univ-poitiers.fr.

Abstract:

Candida albicans is a commensal of the human body and an opportunistic pathogen frequently responsible for nosocomial bloodstream infections. Most of these infections are linked to the development of a biofilm in or on implanted medical devices. C. albicans cells have the capacity to interact with bacteria within biofilms, especially by the way of chemical or metabolic indirect interactions and/or direct physical contacts involving specifically the yeast or hyphal form of the fungal cell, or more rarely involving both forms. According to the species, C. albicans-bacteria interactions can be antagonistic or synergistic, competitive or not. The polymicrobial nature of biofilms may deeply influence the physiopathology of infections as well as the efficiency of antimicrobial agents. The present review aims to focus on the current knowledge of interactions between C. albicans and major Gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus, coagulase negative Staphylococcus, Streptococcus spp. and Clostridium spp. within biofilms. A better understanding of this complicated, fast-paced world of multi-kingdom biofilms will contribute to develop new effective ways to fight biofilm-related infections.

Keywords: poly-microbial biofilm, Candida albicans, Streptococcus spp., Staphylococcus aureus, coagulase negative Staphylococcus, anaerobic bacteria

Introduction

Candida genus is the fourth most common responsible for nosocomial bloodstream infections (BSI) in the United States of America (USA) [1]. More than 50 % of these infections are caused by C. albicans [1,2] and of the main causes of these BSI would be the presence of C. albicans on catheters [3,4]. In France, intensive care units candidaemia ranked sixth among BSI, and its average annual incidence was 0.3 per 1000 patients days [5]. According to a study by Klotz et al., many BSI would be polymicrobial and would involve bacterial partners [6].

About 80% of human infections would be linked to a biofilm [7] which is a community of microorganisms formed on biotic and abiotic surfaces and represents the natural mode of life of micro-organisms [8,9]. Biofilm formation can be summarized in three main steps: adhesion, maturation and dispersion [10]. Adhesion is determined by several physico-chemical factors such as pH, ionic strength, nutrient levels, temperature or surface properties. Generally, it involves nonspecific interaction between substrates and microorganisms, then specific interactions through the use of adhesins or different attachment appendages such as pili which allow an irreversible adhesion. Once adhered, the microorganisms multiply, and genes involved in the formation of extracellular matrix are up-regulated. The matrix is a key component of the architecture and stability of the biofilm and also plays a major part in the communication and the exchange of genetic material within these structures [11]. Its composition is heavily influenced by the environment and varies substantially between different species [10], the main components being carbohydrates, proteins, lipids and eDNA. In adverse conditions, such as nutrients depletion, metabolic waste accumulation or shear stress, the dispersion of the biofilms can either be passive (through shear stress) or active. Active dispersion mainly involves the production of enzymes able to degrade biopolymers composing the matrix and the up-regulation of genes responsible for motility. Dispersion allows microorganisms to colonize new substrates and form new biofilms in more suitable conditions [10]. Overall biofilms allow micro-organisms to withstand adverse environmental conditions such as acid stress, osmotic and thermic shock, desiccation, UV radiation [12]… The human immune system is also partially inefficient against these structures [13]. Furthermore, antimicrobial agent efficiency is heavily reduced against micro-organisms under sessile state [14,15]. Concentrations necessary to eradicate microbial agents in biofilm can be a hundred to a thousand-fold higher than minimum inhibitory concentration of planktonic cells [15,16]. Besides, this resistance phenomenon can be

influenced by the poly-microbial nature of biofilms. Hence studies involving several different species of micro-organisms within a same polymicrobial structure are of utmost importance.

C. albicans can form polymicrobial biofilms with both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and interactions within these biofilms can be antagonistic or synergistic, competitive or not. The interaction between C. albicans and bacteria within biofilms has been increasingly investigated in recent years, particularly in vitro. Three main different modes of interaction have been described as being: physical, chemical or metabolic interactions [17–19]. The knowledge of such interactions may help to have a better understanding of the physiopathology of biofilmrelated diseases and thus may help to propose new prophylactic and/or therapeutic strategies. Indeed, these inter-kingdom interactions can slightly or strongly influence the growth and viability of certain microbial species and in the case of C. albicans, they can also affect yeast-tohyphal transition. As all these species are responsible for major infections, it is also important to be aware that such inter-kingdom interactions may be able to influence the activity of the antimicrobial agents that are mainly used to treat patients suffering from infections related to these bacterial or fungal species. For example, even if echinocandins are among the most active antifungal agents against C. albicans biofilms, our team recently showed that the copresence of C. albicans and Cutibacterium acnes in a biofilm resulted in a decreased efficiency of micafungin against fungal cells [20]. Thus, this review aims to provide an overview of what is known about the interactions between C. albicans and Gram-positive bacteria within polymicrobial biofilms, and of their potential consequences - especially in terms of virulence and susceptibility to antimicrobials.

This article will successively examine the relationships between the C. albicans species, the Staphylococcus species and the Streptococcus species, which have so far been the most studied. Four main points will be examined: the adhesion between these microorganisms, the structures of polymicrobial biofilms they form together, the inter-kingdom communication they establish and the consequences of all these interactions. A third section will focus on interactions between C. albicans and obligate anaerobes which have been less studied until now.

C. albicans interactions with coagulase-negative Staphylococcus and Staphylococcus aureus

Although being responsible for diseases which are remarkably different in terms of physiopathology and severity, coagulase-negative Staphylococcus and Staphylococcus aureus are among the most commonly isolated species along with C. albicans [6]. C. albicans and S. aureus can be isolated independently or co-isolated from various medical devices such as dentures, voice prostheses, implants, endo-tracheal tubes, feeding tubes, and, most commonly, catheters [21]. Indeed, according to literature data, 27% of nosocomial C. albicans bloodstream infections are poly-microbial, with S. aureus being the third most common organism isolated in conjunction with C. albicans [6]. As another example, it has also been reported that Candida species and S. aureus can cause super-infections in the lungs of patients with cystic fibrosis by forming mixed biofilms [22]. Overall, polymicrobial biofilms formed by these microorganisms on implanted medical devices (IMDs) as well as on host tissues are a real concern [21,23]. Figure 1 illustrates the main features of relationships established between C . albicans and S . aureus (Figure 1).

Adhesion

Adhesion is a physical mode of interaction [19]. S. aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis have both the capacity to form biofilms with C. albicans [24,25]. In vitro experiments showed that S. aureus adhesion and biofilm formation on polystyrene was reduced in presence of serum [24,26]. However, Harriott and Noverr observed that in presence of C. albicans, S. aureus was able to adhere to yeasts and form substantial biofilms in serum in polystyrene wells [24]. C. albicans also enhanced the ability of S. aureus to form biofilms on silicon surfaces [27,28]. On the contrary C. albicans adhesion on preformed S. aureus or S. epidermidis biofilms was reduced compared to its direct adhesion to abiotic surfaces [29,30].

S. aureus adheres to hyphal forms of C. albicans and does not adhere to yeast forms [31,32]. Results obtained by Harriott and Noverr showed that hyphal forms of C. albicans were required to form a biofilm with S. aureus. Indeed, a C. albicans double mutant efg1/efg1 cph1/cph1 (regulators of morphogenesis in C . albicans), which did not produce hyphae, did not allow the formation of the polymicrobial structures [32]. On the contrary, several studies showed that S. epidermidis has no specific targets and adheres to both hyphal and yeast forms of C. albicans [25,33].

Among fungal factors involved in inter-kingdom adhesion, the Als3 hyphal-specific adhesin has been shown to be a key element of the adhesion of S. aureus to C. albicans. Indeed Peters et al. showed that adhesion of S, aureus to C, albicans mutant als $3/2$ als areatly reduced in serum free environment [34]. Concerning bacterial factors, some adhesins were identified as partially responsible for the adhesion to C. albicans, such as Fibronectin Binding protein B (FnBPB), a putative surface anchored protein (SasF) and a putative N-acetylmuramoyl-Lalanine amidase (Atl) [23].

Biofilm structure and Matrix

Inside C. albicans-S. aureus biofilms, bacteria are distributed along hyphae throughout the overall biofilm. The bacteria use fungi as a structural scaffold for their growth [31,35]. Kean et al. showed that the biomass of these biofilms increased compared to single-species ones, due to an increase in bacteria population [35].

Some authors observed no influence of S. aureus on C. albicans present within biofilms [24,28]. However, Lin et al. reported that the average doubling time of mixed C. albicans-S. aureus was increased by 20% compared to C. albicans single-species biofilms [29].

The polymicrobial biofilms formed by C. albicans and S. epidermidis in vitro tended to be thicker, more voluminous and more complex than those of single-species [33,36]. Moreover, Adam et al. showed that growth was impacted within polymicrobial structures: C. albicans-S. epidermidis biofilms reached maximum growth after 48h, whereas their single-species counterparts reached the same state after 24h [25].

Fungal β-1,3-glucan is one of the key components of the matrix of C. albicans-S. aureus biofilms. Indeed, the inhibition of $β$ -1,3-glucan synthesis by C. albicans was recently shown to jeopardize the antibiotic resistance of S. aureus in polymicrobial biofilms [37]. Inside biofilms, fungal β-1,3-glucan along with α-mannan and β-1,6-glucan coat S. aureus [37]. For both C. albicans-S. aureus and C. albicans-S. epidermidis biofilms eDNA is also a key element of the extracellular matrix: eDNA is involved in the biofilms' structure and its concentration would be higher in polymicrobial conditions [33,35]. Moreover, Pammi et al. showed that the bacterial eDNA prevails in the matrix of C. albicans-S. epidermidis polymicrobial biofilms [33].

Communication

Mechanisms involved in cross-kingdom communication are complex and polyfactorial, but they play a role in biofilm formation and microbial virulence. Some teams investigated communication between staphylococci and C. albicans

Quorum sensing is another mode of interaction between microbial agents which belongs to the chemical group, according to the classification of Lof et al. [19]. Farnesol is a major component of C. albicans quorum sensing. This molecule prevents yeast-to-hyphae transition and decreases ability of C. albicans to form biofilms [38]. This compound also displays an antibacterial effect on S. aureus and can inhibit its biofilm formation [39]. Molecules secreted by S. aureus can also influence C. albicans as Lin et al. reported that cell-free conditioned medium from S. aureus biofilm influenced positively C. albicans biofilm growth. However, in this study, the medium conditioned from a C. albicans biofilm culture had no influence on the bacterial biofilm growth [29].

Several examples of shifts in gene expression in C. albicans-S. aureus and C. albicans-S. epidermidis biofilms have been described.

CodY is the transcriptional repressor of several S. aureus virulence factors (PIA-dependant biofilm formation, hemolysin production, virulence regulators), and is up-regulated when bacteria are in contact with yeast forms of C. albicans. S. aureus may thus coexist with C. albicans yeast cells by down-regulating its virulence factors. However, in presence of hyphal forms CodY would be down-regulated, thus enhancing toxin production and biofilm formation. In this case, L-lactate dehydrogenase 1 would be also up-regulated, which could increase the resistance toward host derived-oxidative stressors [31].

Peters et al. showed that numerous stress-related genes were up-regulated during C. albicans-S. aureus biofilm formation. Antibacterial-stress, heat-stress and oxidative-stress proteins associated to S. aureus were constantly up-regulated in presence of C. albicans. Regarding fungi, several stress proteins were also up-regulated, such as Heat Shock Proteins (produced in response to heat, UV exposure, starvation, hypoxia, toxin exposure, dehydration), Mac1p (copper uptake) and Tsa1p (peroxide stress) [31].

In C. albicans-S. epidermidis polymicrobial biofilms, the transcriptome analysis of S. epidermidis showed a repression of two autolysis repressors: *IrgA* and *IrgB*. This down-regulation was

associated with an increase of eDNA concentration in biofilms, possibly linked with autolysis considering that secretion of eDNA by S. epidermidis in biofilm had not been reported yet [33].

Consequences of interactions

Interactions between Staphylococcus sp and C. albicans can have different consequences. Fungal or bacterial single-species biofilms generally enhance drug resistance [14–16]. The polymicrobial nature of some biofilms can further increase this resistance. For exemple, different teams recently showed that bacteria in C. albicans-S. aureus polymicrobial biofilms displayed decreased susceptibility toward antibiotics (vancomycin, gentamycin, oxacillin, nafcillin) compared to in S. aureus single species biofilms [24,32,37,40]. An increased resistance of S. epidermidis toward vancomycin was also reported in polymicrobial biofilms [25]. Interestingly, the susceptibility of C. albicans toward amphotericin B in biofilms formed with S. aureus remained unaltered [24].

Concerning virulence, hyphae of C. albicans are key components for fungal invasion of epithelial cells [41]. The ability of S. aureus to bind hyphae allows it to reach deeper tissue and may facilitate infection [34]. An *in vivo* model of catheter infection showed that the S. epidermidis dissemination increased in case of an infection alongside C. albicans [33]. Moreover, experiments on a mouse model of peritonitis showed that polymicrobial infections by C. albicans and S. aureus led to a higher mortality [42–46]. More recently, Kean et al showed that the association of these two microorganisms also increased the mortality of Galleria mellonella larvae [35]. The association between C. albicans and S. epidermidis also leads to an increased mortality on *Caenorhabditis elegans* model: in presence of polymicrobial biofilms, the survival rate decreased compared to that in presence of single-species biofilms [47]. Furthermore, Pammi et al. showed on a mouse model of catheter biofilm infection that polymicrobial biofilms formed by C. albicans and S. epidermidis lead to a higher catheter infection and increased dissemination of S. epidermidis in mice [33].

C. albicans interactions with Streptococcus spp.

Multispecies biofilm models consisting of both C. albicans and bacteria belonging to the Streptococcus genus were investigated by many labs worldwide in recent years. Interactions between C. albicans and Streptococcus spp. were especially investigated in the oral context, and here again, bacteria and fungi can interact in both direct and indirect ways. Figure 2

illustrates the main features of relationships established between C. albicans and Streptococcus spp. (Figure 2).

Adhesion

C. albicans adhesion to streptococcal cells depends on the studied Streptococcus species and on the studied C. albicans strain [48,49]. The adhesion mechanism is multifactorial and numerous factors influencing the C. albicans-Streptococcus spp. adhesion are known on both sides.

On the bacterial side, experiments of Holmes et al. showed that multiple adhesins were involved in the interaction between *Streptococcus gordonii* and C. albicans. They showed that inactivation of cshA and cshB genes, encoding cell surfaces polypeptides, reduced adhesion of C. albicans to S. gordonii. Inactivation of sspA and sspB genes, encoding antigen I/II salivary adhesins, also altered the capacity of interaction between these microorganisms [50]. Glycosyltransferases (Gtfs) produced by streptococcal cells are a key factor in C. albicans-Streptococcus spp. coadhesion. The GtfB secreted by S. mutans can bind to mannans of the cell-wall of yeast and hyphal forms of C. albicans allowing a production of glucan in situ. Once produced, the glucan increases S. mutans ability to adhere to fungi coated with this polysaccharide [51–54]. Moreover, Falsetta et al. reported that S. mutans mutants lacking gtfB and gtfC genes displayed a drastically reduced ability to form polymicrobial biofilms with C. albicans [52]. For S. gordonii, GtfG may have the same role, leading to glucan coated C. albicans cells that would allow an increased adhesion of streptococcal cells [55].

On the fungal side, the hypha-specific Als3 adhesin is a key component for C. albicans-Streptococcus spp. interaction [56,57]. Silverman et al. reported that S. gordonii could not adhere to C. albicans if Als3 was missing and that SspB and Als3 could interact [56]. Other adhesins from the Als family, Als1 and Als5, were also reported to take part in C. albicans-S. gordonii adhesion [58]. Furthermore, Eap1 and Hwp1 surface proteins are also involved in the binding of C. albicans to S. gordonii [57]. Cell-wall associated secreted aspartyl proteinase Sap9 is involved in adhesion too. Dutton et al. showed that polymicrobial biofilms formed using C. albicans mutant Δsap9 and S. oralis, S. sanguinis, S. parasanguinis and S. mutans taken individually contained more matted hyphae and more bacteria adhered to the substrate compared to biofilms formed with C. albicans wild-type. Moreover, these authors reported that hyphae of the mutant strain displayed an increased surface hydrophobicity and increased levels of Als3 [59]. Finally, Sullivan et al. reported that C. albicans ability to adhere to S. gordonii, S. oralis or S. sanguinis increased two to three-fold in human saliva. In saliva, basic proline-rich proteins would bind to bacteria providing extra receptors for fungal adhesion [60].

Structure and Matrix

C. albicans and Streptococci would have mainly synergistic relationship that would lead to biofilms with enhanced biomasses.

Diaz et al. noticed an impressive difference for S. oralis behavior, which alone nearly did not form mucosal biofilm under flow conditions contrary to associated with C. albicans. Several authors demonstrated that S. oralis did not influence C. albicans growth [61,62]. Another report from Cavalcanti et al. mentioned that C. albicans-S. oralis biofilms displayed increased biovolumes. S. oralis would also increase hyphal formation of C. albicans [63].

Regarding C. albicans-S. gordonii biofilms, it was also reported that bacteria promoted filamentation, whether bacteria were added before or after yeasts. Bacteria enhanced the biofilm formation by fungi [64]. Ricker et al. also reported a higher biomass in polymicrobial biofilms formed by C. albicans and S. gordonii under static conditions compared to single species conditions [55]. In this polymicrobial biofilm, matrix is formed partially by high amounts of S. gordonii α-glucans [55].

C. albicans-S. mutans biofilms also displayed increased biomasses [52,65–67]. The proportion of viable S. mutans cells would increase in polymicrobial conditions [52]. The production of EPS increased in polymicrobial conditions [52,54]. However Sztajer et al. reported that EPS secretion by S. mutans would strongly decrease [65]. The EPS produced by S. mutans (α -glucans) and C. albicans (β-glucans, chitins…) would act as a scaffold for biofilm formation and the α-glucans would prevail in the matrix [52,54].

Communication

Several molecules from bacteria were identified responsible for inter-kingdom communications. LuxS gene is involved in synthesis of autoinducer 2 (AI-2) which is implied in the quorum sensing of Streptococcus spp. Bamford et al. used a ΔluxS S. gordonii mutant, without AI-2 production, and reported that biomasses of polymicrobial biofilms were reduced of 35% compared to using the wild strain. The hyphae/yeast form ratio was also reduced, with a 30% reduction of hyphae which appeared shorter compared to those observed using the wild bacterial strain. The addition of exogenous DPD (precursor of AI-2) did not compensate the lack of AI-2 production by the Δ lux mutant. Authors suggested that AI-2 and other signal molecules could be involved in the enhancement of the hyphal formation [64].

The fatty acid diffusible signal factors (DSF) represent another class of signaling molecules for streptococcal cells. It includes *trans*-2-decenoic acid which was reported to play a role in interkingdom communication and was found secreted by S. mutans, Streptococcus mitis, S. oralis and S. sanguinis: DSF can suppress C. albicans filamentation and repress the expression of HWP1, hyphal-specific gene [68].

Competence-Stimulating Peptide (CSP) is another molecule involved in Streptococcus spp. quorum sensing and inter-kingdom communication. The conditioned cell-free medium from a S. mutans culture was reported to decrease the filamentation of C. albicans [66,69]. Jarosz et al. used the mutant S. mutans ΔcomC strain unable to produce CSP and showed that conditioned medium of this mutant strain did not inhibit filamentation. This inhibition was restored by adding synthetic CSP in the spent medium of the mutant strain. Moreover, CSP may also force hyphae to yeast transition [69]. In addition, Jack et al. observed that mutants S. gordonii ΔcomCDE or ΔcomC formed biofilms with C. albicans with increased biomasses compared to those obtained using the wild-type strain. Polymicrobial biofilms formed with ΔcomCDE also tended to contain more eDNA [70].

Xu et al. reported that S. oralis increased Efg1 expression (master regulator of C. albicans biofilm formation [71]) in *C. albicans* late biofilm which lead to an increased expression of ALS1 which promoted coaggregation and mucosal biofilm growth. However the mechanism remains unknown [72]. Bcr1 is another master regulator of C. albicans biofilm formation which is influenced by Streptococcal proteins [73]. GtfB would also increase polymicrobial C. albicans-S. mutans biofilm formation by a Bcr1-independent mechanism [74].

Experiments involving a mutant strain of S. mutans carrying a transcriptional fusion between a green fluorescent protein-encoding gene and the promoter for $sigX$ (alternative sigma factor of S. mutans), showed that S. mutans quorum sensing was activated by C. albicans [65]. In polymicrobial biofilms, $sigX$ was strongly induced, it was also inducted by conditioned media from polymicrobial cultures. However, $sigX$ was not induced in single-species biofilm conditions or by conditioned media originating from single-species biofilm of C. albicans or S. mutans. Transcriptome analysis showed that comS, sigX, bacteriocins and the down streams late

competence genes were induced in polymicrobial biofilms. Actually complete quorum sensing system of S. mutans was activated by C. albicans [65].

As for S. aureus, farnesol was also reported as being responsible for inter-kingdom communications between Streptococcus spp. and C. albicans. Farnesol can inhibit glucosyl transferase of S. mutans [75]. Fernandez et al. reported that farnesol decreased biofilm formation, metabolic activity and cell viability in single and polymicrobial C. albicans-S. mutans biofilms but had no effect on S. mutans metabolic activity in single-species biofilms [76,77]. The acid production of S. mutans in single-species biofilms was also reduced by this molecule [77]. Farnesol displayed a concentration-dependent effect on S. mutans. Kim et al. reported that at 25-50 µM the farnesol of conditioned medium of C. albicans-S. mutans polymicrobial culture enhanced the S. mutans biofilm cell growth, microcolony formation and Gtf activity [67].

Streptococcus spp. can also modulate the effect of farnesol. Bamford et al. reported that the addition of farnesol 30 μ M to a C. albicans culture was enough to inhibit the hyphal formation whereas this concentration had no effect when S. gordonii was cocultured with C. albicans. Authors suggested that S. gordonii could block or inactivate the farnesol signal, and/or induce another signaling path in *C. albicans* that could override the farnesol signal [64].

Consequences of interactions

Recent articles suggest that the co-presence of C. albicans and Streptococcus spp. in biofilms would influence the susceptibility of C. albicans and Streptococcus spp. to antimicrobial agents. Montelongo-Jauregui et al. recently reported that C. albicans-S. gordonii biofilms induced increased resistance on both sides. Fungal cells were more resistant to amphotericin B, echinocandins (caspofungin) and azoles (fluconazole) and S. gordonii was more tolerant to clindamycin [78].

Polymicrobial interactions can also influence virulence of microorganisms present in biofilms. The mitis group streptococci are commensal of healthy oral human microbiome, however there are considered as "accessory pathogens" because they reveal their pathogenic potential in polymicrobial communities [79,80]. S. oralis belongs to this group. Studying a murine oral mucosa model, Xu et al. showed that concomitant presence of S. oralis and C. albicans increased frequency and size of oral thrush lesions, although S. oralis strain used alone did not; in polymicrobial conditions C. albicans cells number was similar to that in single-species ones. Moreover, the dissemination of C. albicans in deep organs was enhanced and presence of S.

oralis in oral and gastrointestinal tracts was increased in polymicrobial conditions. In mice, an enhanced inflammatory response was observed [62,81]. In vitro mucosal tissue models also showed that S. oralis promoted fungal invasion and tissue damages [72,82]. Furthermore, on oral epithelial models C. albicans invasion through epithelial junctions was observed when cultured with S. oralis. Authors suggested that the decrease in epithelial E-cadherin levels by a synergistic activation of μ -calpain (proteolytic enzyme which targets E-cadherin) by C. albicans and S. oralis would be responsible of their results [81].

However, this enhanced virulence was also observed with Streptococcus species which did not belong to the mitis group. For example, with a rat model of dental carries involving C. albicans and S. mutans, Falsetta et al. showed that this coinfection enhanced the virulence of these two organisms, hence potentially carries formation [52].

C. albicans interactions with obligate anaerobic bacteria

C. albicans and different strict anaerobes can be encountered in similar environment on the Human body. For example, C. albicans and Clostridium difficile are part of the subgingivalassociated biofilm microbiota [83]. C. difficile, Clostridium perfringens and C. albicans are normal resident of the intestinal flora [84–86]. Therefore, different authors investigated interactions between C. albicans and some of these obligate and facultative anaerobic bacterial species within biofilms.

Fox et al. performed experiments on polymicrobial biofilms formed by C. albicans and some bacteria from the gut microbiome, including C. perfringens [87]. They showed that C. perfringens cells adhered to both yeast- and hyphal-forms and were able to form biofilms with C. albicans in both ambient oxic and anoxic conditions, without triggering a notable change in its architecture. Moreover, the presence of C. perfringens did not influence yeasts adherence, but bacterial adherence increased tenfold in presence of yeasts. In ambient oxic condition, C. perfringens viability decreased drastically within the first 24h when cultivated alone. However, Fox et al. also demonstrated that C. albicans and C. perfringens formed dense aggregates similar to miniature biofilms in suspension in ambient-oxic conditions and, in so doing, aggregates provided a hypoxic micro-environment that sustained survival and growth of the anaerobic bacteria [87].

Leuween et al. reported that C. albicans also allowed C. difficile growth in aerobic conditions, normally toxic for this bacterium, this phenomenon being not linked to adherence to hyphae nor biofilm formation as C. difficile does not adhere to C. albicans hyphae nor forms biofilm with it. Furthermore, in anaerobic conditions, yeast-forms were predominant in coculture with C. difficile, whereas hyphae prevailed in single species cultures. Leuween et al. showed afterward that conditioned medium of C. difficile inhibited C. albicans filamentation and induced hypha-toyeast conversion. The P-Cresol, a resulting product of tyrosine fermentation, secreted by C. difficile may be at least partially responsible for the effect of conditioned medium [88].

By studying the influence of C. albicans on oral biofilms, Janus et al. showed that Shannon diversity increased in presence of fungi. Presence of strictly anaerobic bacteria (Fusobacterium, Prevotella, Veillonella genera…) in aerobic conditions was enhanced when cocultivated with C. albicans [89].

The positive influence of C. albicans on the presence, survival and growth of anaerobic bacteria could be linked to its faculty to consume rapidly the environmental oxygen. A recent report by Lambooij et al. showed that the consumption of dissolved oxygen by fungal mitochondrial respiration was very rapid. This depletion allows the creation of microaerophilic niches that favour anaerobic bacteria presence [90].

Conclusion

C. albicans is able to form polymicrobial biofilms with many different partners. Here the focus was set on the capacity of the fungi to interact with different Gram-positive bacteria: recent data on S. aureus, coagulase negative Staphylococcus, Streptococcus spp. and Clostridium spp. were reported. Many of these bacterial species have been shown as setting-up a synergetic relationship with C. albicans: for example, in some cases C. albicans can enhance bacterial resistance towards antibiotic agents, or permit the survival and growth of anaerobic bacteria in aerobic conditions. The virulence of these opportunistic pathogens can also be influenced by inter-kingdom interactions: for example, the association between C . albicans and S . aureus increases the lethality of infections on mouse model.

These observations point out that study of inter-kingdom interactions within biofilms is of utmost importance. New and more complex polymicrobial-biofilms models are required. They would need to associate several microbial species in accordance with what occurs in nature or in the human body, as physical and chemical interactions can deeply influence the physiopathology and the treatment of biofilm-related infections.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to D. Dedail, G. Holding and R. Taupin for revising the English text.

References

- [1] Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: Analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. Clin Infect Dis 2004;39:309–17. doi:10.1086/421946.
- [2] Wisplinghoff H, Ebbers J, Geurtz L, Stefanik D, Major Y, Edmond MB, et al. Nosocomial bloodstream infections due to Candida spp. in the USA: Species distribution, clinical features and antifungal susceptibilities. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2014;43:78–81. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.09.005.
- [3] Ramage G, Martínez JP, López-Ribot JL. Candida biofilms on implanted biomaterials: A clinically significant problem. FEMS Yeast Res., vol. 6, Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111); 2006, p. 979–86. doi:10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00117.x.
- [4] Seghir A, Boucherit-Otmani Z, Sari-Belkharroubi L, Boucherit K. Risque infectieux lié à la formation des biofilms multi-espèces (Candida – bactéries) sur cathéters vasculaires périphériques. J Mycol Med 2017;27:20–7. doi:10.1016/j.mycmed.2016.10.005.
- [5] Baldesi O, Bailly S, Ruckly S, Lepape A, L'Heriteau F, Aupee M, et al. ICU-acquired candidaemia in France: Epidemiology and temporal trends, 2004–2013 – A study from the REA-RAISIN network. J Infect 2017;75:59–67. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2017.03.011.
- [6] Klotz SA, Chasin BS, Powell B, Gaur NK, Lipke PN. Polymicrobial bloodstream infections involving Candida species: analysis of patients and review of the literature. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007;59:401–6. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2007.07.001.
- [7] Davies D. Understanding biofilm resistance to antibacterial agents. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2003;2:114–22. doi:10.1038/nrd1008.
- [8] Costerton JW, Geesey GG, Cheng KJ. How bacteria stick. Sci Am 1978;238:86–95. doi:10.1038/scientificamerican0178-86.
- [9] Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP. Bacterial biofilms: A common cause of persistent infections. Science 1999;284:1318–22. doi:10.1126/science.284.5418.1318.
- [10] Kostakioti M, Hadjifrangiskou M, Hultgren SJ. Bacterial biofilms: Development, dispersal, and therapeutic strategies in the dawn of the postantibiotic era. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2013;3. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a010306.
- [11] Flemming HC, Wingender J. The biofilm matrix. Nat Rev Microbiol 2010;8:623-33. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2415.
- [12] Filloux A, Vallet I. Biofilm: Mise en place et organisation d'une communauté bactérienne. Medecine/Sciences 2003;19:77–83. doi:10.1051/medsci/200319177.
- [13] Leid JG. Bacterial Biofilms Resist Key Host Defenses. Microbe 2009;4:66–70.
- [14] Ramage G, Rajendran R, Sherry L, Williams C. Fungal biofilm resistance. Int J Microbiol 2012;2012:1–14. doi:10.1155/2012/528521.
- [15] Høiby N, Bjarnsholt T, Givskov M, Molin S, Ciofu O. Antibiotic resistance of bacterial biofilms. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2010;35:322–32. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2009.12.011.
- [16] Kuhn DM, George T, Chandra J, Mukherjee PK, Ghannoum MA. Antifungal susceptibility of Candida biofilms: Unique efficacy of amphotericin B lipid formulations and echinocandins. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002;46:1773–80. doi:10.1128/AAC.46.6.1773-1780.2002.
- [17] Peleg AY, Hogan DA, Mylonakis E. Medically important bacterial–fungal interactions. Nat Rev Microbiol 2010;8:340–9. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2313.
- [18] Fourie R, Ells R, Swart CW, Sebolai OM, Albertyn J, Pohl CH. Candida albicans and Pseudomonas aeruginosa interaction, with focus on the role of eicosanoids. Front Physiol 2016;7:64. doi:10.3389/fphys.2016.00064.
- [19] Lof M, Janus MM, Krom BP. Metabolic Interactions between bacteria and fungi in commensal oral biofilms. J Fungi 2017;3:40. doi:10.3390/jof3030040.
- [20] Bernard C, Renaudeau N, Mollichella ML, Quellard N, Girardot M, Imbert C. Cutibacterium acnes protects Candida albicans from the effect of micafungin in biofilms.

Int J Antimicrob Agents 2018;52:942–6. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.08.009.

- [21] Shirtliff ME, Peters BM, Jabra-Rizk MA. Cross-kingdom interactions: Candida albicans and bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2009;299:1–8. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01668.x.
- [22] Bauernfeind A, Bertele RM, Harms K, Hörl G, Jungwirth R, Petermüller C, et al. Qualitative and quantitative microbiological analysis of sputa of 102 patients with cystic fibrosis. Infection n.d.;15:270–7.
- [23] Schlecht LM, Peters BM, Krom BP, Freiberg JA, Hansch GM, Filler SG, et al. Systemic Staphylococcus aureus infection mediated by Candida albicans hyphal invasion of mucosal tissue. Microbiol (United Kingdom) 2015;161:168–81. doi:10.1099/mic.0.083485-0.
- [24] Harriott MM, Noverr MC. Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus form polymicrobial biofilms: Effects on antimicrobial resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:3914–22. doi:10.1128/AAC.00657-09.
- [25] Adam B, Baillie GS, Douglas LJ. Mixed species biofilms of Candida albicans and Staphylococcus epidermidis. J Med Microbiol 2002;51:344–9. doi:10.1099/0022-1317-51- 4-344.
- [26] Ovchinnikova ES, Van der Mei HC, Krom BP, Busscher HJ. Exchange of adsorbed serum proteins during adhesion of Staphylococcus aureus to an abiotic surface and Candida albicans hyphae-An AFM study. Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces 2013;110:45– 50. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2013.04.015.
- [27] Millsap KW, Bos R, Van der Mei HC, Busscher HJ. Adhesive interactions between voice prosthetic yeast and bacteria on silicone rubber in the absence and presence of saliva. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, Int J Gen Mol Microbiol 2001;79:337–43. doi:10.1023/A:1012013101862.
- [28] Peters BM, Ward RM, Rane HS, Lee SA, Noverr MC. Efficacy of ethanol against Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus polymicrobial biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57:74–82. doi:10.1128/AAC.01599-12.
- [29] Lin YJ, Alsad L, Vogel F, Koppar S, Auguste F, Seymour J, et al. Interactions between

Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus within mixed species biofilms. Bios 2013;84:30–9. doi:10.2307/23595341.

- [30] El-Azizi MA, Starks SE, Khardori N. Interactions of Candida albicans with other Candida spp. and bacteria in the biofilms. J. Appl. Microbiol., vol. 96, Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111); 2004, p. 1067–73. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02213.x.
- [31] Peters BM, Jabra-Rizk MA, Scheper MA, Leid JG, Costerton JW, Shirtliff ME. Microbial interactions and differential protein expression in Staphylococcus aureus - Candida albicans dual-species biofilms. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2010;59:493–503. doi:10.1111/j.1574-695X.2010.00710.x.
- [32] Harriott MM, Noverr MC. Ability of *Candida albicans* mutants to induce *Staphylococcus* aureus vancomycin resistance during polymicrobial biofilm formation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2010;54:3746–55. doi:10.1128/AAC.00573-10.
- [33] Pammi M, Liang R, Hicks J, Mistretta T-A, Versalovic J. Biofilm extracellular DNA enhances mixed species biofilms of Staphylococcus epidermidis and Candida albicans. BMC Microbiol 2013;13:257–69. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-13-257.
- [34] Peters BM, Ovchinnikova ES, Krom BP, Schlecht LM, Zhou H, Hoyer LL, et al. Staphylococcus aureus adherence to Candida albicans hyphae is mediated by the hyphal adhesin Als3p. Microbiol (United Kingdom) 2012;158:2975–86. doi:10.1099/mic.0.062109-0.
- [35] Kean R, Rajendran R, Haggarty J, Townsend EM, Short B, Burgess KE, et al. Candida albicans mycofilms support Staphylococcus aureus colonization and enhances miconazole resistance in dual-species interactions. Front Microbiol 2017;8:258. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00258.
- [36] Chen Y, Wang X yan, Huang Y chao, Zhao G qiang, Lei Y jie, Ye L hua, et al. Study on the structure of Candida albicans–Staphylococcus epidermidis mixed species biofilm on polyvinyl chloride biomaterial. Cell Biochem Biophys 2015;73:461–8. doi:10.1007/s12013-015-0672-y.
- [37] Kong EF, Tsui C, Kucharíková S, Andes D, Van Dijck P, Jabra-Rizk MA. Commensal protection of Staphylococcus aureus against antimicrobials by Candida albicans biofilm

matrix. MBio 2016;7:e01365-16. doi:10.1128/mBio.01365-16.

- [38] Kruppa M. Quorum sensing and *Candida albicans*. Mycoses 2009;52:1-10. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0507.2008.01626.x.
- [39] Jabra-Rizk MA, Meiller TF, James CE, Shirtliff ME. Effect of farnesol on Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation and antimicrobial susceptibility. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006;50:1463–9. doi:10.1128/aac.50.4.1463-1469.2006.
- [40] Scheres N, Krom BP. Staphylococcus–Candida interaction models: Antibiotic resistance testing and host interactions. Methods Mol. Biol., vol. 1356, 2016, p. 153–61. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3052-4_11.
- [41] Sudbery PE. Growth of *Candida albicans* hyphae. Nat Rev Microbiol 2011;9:737-48. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2636.
- [42] Peters BM, Noverr MC. Candida albicans-Staphylococcus aureus polymicrobial peritonitis modulates host innate immunity. Infect Immun 2013;81:2178–89. doi:10.1128/IAI.00265- 13.
- [43] Carlson E. Synergistic effect of Candida albicans and Staphylococcus aureus on mouse mortality. Infect Immun 1982;38:921–4.
- [44] Carlson E. Effect of strain of Staphylococcus aureus on synergism with Candida albicans resulting in mouse mortality and morbidity. Infect Immun 1983;42:285–92.
- [45] Carlson E. Enhancement by Candida albicans of Staphylococcus aureus, Serratia marcescens, and Streptococcus faecalis in the establishment of infection in mice. Infect Immun 1983;39:193–7.
- [46] Carlson EC. Synergism of *Candida albicans* and delta toxin producing *Staphylococcus* aureus on mouse mortality and morbidity: Protection by indomethacin. Zentralblatt Fur Bakteriol Mikrobiol Und Hyg - Abt 1 Orig A 1988;269:377–86. doi:10.1016/S0176- 6724(88)80181-0.
- [47] Holt JE, Houston A, Adams C, Edwards S, Kjellerup B V. Role of extracellular polymeric substances in polymicrobial biofilm infections of Staphylococcus epidermidis and Candida albicans modelled in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Pathog Dis 2017;75.

doi:10.1093/femspd/ftx052.

- [48] Jenkinson HF, Lala HC, Shepherd MG. Coaggregation of Streptococcus sanguis and other streptococci with Candida albicans. Infect Immun 1990;58:1429–36.
- [49] Arzmi MH, Dashper S, Catmull D, Cirillo N, Reynolds EC, McCullough M. Coaggregation of Candida albicans, Actinomyces naeslundii and Streptococcus mutans is Candida albicans strain dependent. FEMS Yeast Res 2015;15:fov038. doi:10.1093/femsyr/fov038.
- [50] Holmes AR, McNab R, Jenkinson HF. Candida albicans binding to the oral bacterium Streptococcus gordonii involves multiple adhesin-receptor interactions. Infect Immun 1996;64:4680–5.
- [51] Gregoire S, Xiao J, Silva BB, Gonzalez I, Agidi PS, Klein MI, et al. Role of glucosyltransferase B in interactions of Candida albicans with Streptococcus mutans and with an experimental pellicle on hydroxyapatite surfaces. Appl Environ Microbiol 2011;77:6357–67. doi:10.1128/AEM.05203-11.
- [52] Falsetta ML, Klein MI, Colonne PM, Scott-Anne K, Gregoire S, Pai CH, et al. Symbiotic relationship between Streptococcus mutans and Candida albicans synergizes virulence of plaque biofilms in vivo. Infect Immun 2014;82:1968–81. doi:10.1128/IAI.00087-14.
- [53] Koo H, Bowen WH. Candida albicans and Streptococcus mutans: a potential synergistic alliance to cause virulent tooth decay in children. Future Microbiol 2014;9:1295–7. doi:10.2217/fmb.14.92.
- [54] Hwang G, Liu Y, Kim D, Li Y, Krysan DJ, Koo H. Candida albicans mannans mediate Streptococcus mutans exoenzyme GtfB binding to modulate cross-kingdom biofilm development in vivo. PLoS Pathog 2017;13:e1006407. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006407.
- [55] Ricker A, Vickerman M, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. Streptococcus gordonii glucosyltransferase promotes biofilm interactions with Candida albicans. J Oral Microbiol 2014;6. doi:10.3402/jom.v6.23419.
- [56] Silverman RJ, Nobbs AH, Vickerman MM, Barbour ME, Jenkinson HF. Interaction of Candida albicans cell wall Als3 protein with Streptococcus gordonii SspB adhesin

promotes development of mixed-species communities. Infect Immun 2010;78:4644–52. doi:10.1128/IAI.00685-10.

- [57] Nobbs AH, Margaret Vickerman M, Jenkinson HF. Heterologous expression of Candida albicans cell wall-associated adhesins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae reveals differential specificities in adherence and biofilm formation and in binding oral Streptococcus gordonii. Eukaryot Cell 2010;9:1622–34. doi:10.1128/EC.00103-10.
- [58] Klotz SA, Gaur NK, De Armond R, Sheppard D, Khardori N, Edwards JE, et al. Candida albicans Als proteins mediate aggregation with bacteria and yeasts. Med Mycol 2007;45:363–70. doi:10.1080/13693780701299333.
- [59] Dutton LC, Jenkinson HF, Lamont RJ, Nobbs AH. Role of *Candida albicans* secreted aspartyl protease Sap9 in interkingdom biofilm formation. Pathog Dis 2016;74:ftw005. doi:10.1093/femspd/ftw005.
- [60] Sullivan JMO, Jenkinson HF, Cannon RD. Adhesion of Candida albicans to oral streptococci is promoted by selective adsorbtion of salivary proteins to the streptococcal cell surface. Microbiology 2000;146:41–8. doi:10.1099/00221287-146-1-41.
- [61] Diaz PI, Xie Z, Sobue T, Thompson A, Biyikoglu B, Ricker A, et al. Synergistic interaction between Candida albicans and commensal oral streptococci in a novel in vitro mucosal model. Infect Immun 2012;80:620–32. doi:10.1128/IAI.05896-11.
- [62] Xu H, Sobue T, Thompson A, Xie Z, Poon K, Ricker A, et al. Streptococcal co-infection augments Candida pathogenicity by amplifying the mucosal inflammatory response. Cell Microbiol 2014;16:214–31. doi:10.1111/cmi.12216.
- [63] Cavalcanti IMG, Nobbs AH, Ricomini-Filho AP, Jenkinson HF, Del Bel Cury AA. Interkingdom cooperation between Candida albicans, Streptococcus oralis and Actinomyces oris modulates early biofilm development on denture material. Pathog Dis 2016;74:ftw002. doi:10.1093/femspd/ftw002.
- [64] Bamford C V, D'Mello A, Nobbs AH, Dutton LC, Vickerman MM, Jenkinson HF. Streptococcus gordonii modulates Candida albicans biofilm formation through intergeneric communication. Infect Immun 2009;77:3696–704. doi:10.1128/IAI.00438-09.
- [65] Sztajer H, Szafranski SP, Tomasch J, Reck M, Nimtz M, Rohde M, et al. Cross-feeding and interkingdom communication in dual-species biofilms of Streptococcus mutans and Candida albicans. ISME J 2014;8:2256–71. doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.73.
- [66] Barbosa JO, Rossoni RD, Vilela SFG, De Alvarenga JA, Velloso M dos S, De Azevedo Prata MC, et al. Streptococcus mutans can modulate biofilm formation and attenuate the virulence of Candida albicans. PLoS One 2016;11:e0150457. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150457.
- [67] Kim D, Sengupta A, Niepa THR, Lee BH, Weljie A, Freitas-Blanco VS, et al. Candida albicans stimulates Streptococcus mutans microcolony development via cross-kingdom biofilm-derived metabolites. Sci Rep 2017;7:41332. doi:10.1038/srep41332.
- [68] Vílchez R, Lemme A, Ballhausen B, Thiel V, Schulz S, Jansen R, et al. Streptococcus mutans inhibits Candida albicans hyphal formation by the fatty acid signaling molecule trans-2-decenoic acid (SDSF). ChemBioChem 2010;11:1552–62. doi:10.1002/cbic.201000086.
- [69] Jarosz LM, Deng DM, Van Der Mei HC, Crielaard W, Krom BP. Streptococcus mutans competence-stimulating peptide inhibits Candida albicans hypha formation. Eukaryot Cell 2009;8:1658–64. doi:10.1128/EC.00070-09.
- [70] Jack AA, Daniels DE, Jepson MA, Margaret Vickerman M, Lamont RJ, Jenkinson HF, et al. Streptococcus gordonii comCDE (competence) operon modulates biofilm formation with Candida albicans. Microbiol (United Kingdom) 2015;161:411–21. doi:10.1099/mic.0.000010.
- [71] Ramage G, VandeWalle K, López-Ribot JL, Wickes BL. The filamentation pathway controlled by the Efg1 regulator protein is required for normal biofilm formation and development in Candida albicans. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2002;214:95–100. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2002.tb11330.x.
- [72] Xu H, Sobue T, Bertolini M, Thompson A, Vickerman M, Nobile CJ, et al. S. oralis activates the Efg1 filamentation pathway in C. albicans to promote cross-kingdom interactions and mucosal biofilms. Virulence 2017;8:1602–17. doi:10.1080/21505594.2017.1326438.
- [73] Nobile CJ, Andes DR, Nett JE, Smith FJ, Yue F, Phan QT, et al. Critical role of Bcr1dependent adhesins in C. albicans biofilm formation in vitro and in vivo. PLoS Pathog 2006;2:0636–49. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0020063.
- [74] Ellepola K, Liu Y, Cao T, Koo H, Seneviratne CJ. Bacterial GtfB augments Candida albicans accumulation in cross-kingdom biofilms. J Dent Res 2017;96:1129–35. doi:10.1177/0022034517714414.
- [75] Koo H, Hayacibara MF, Schobel BD, Cury JA, Rosalen PL, Park YK, et al. Inhibition of Streptococcus mutans biofilm accumulation and polysaccharide production by apigenin and tt-farnesol. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003;52:782–9. doi:10.1093/jac/dkg449.
- [76] Fernandes RA, Monteiro DR, Arias LS, Fernandes GL, Delbem ACB, Barbosa DB. Biofilm formation by Candida albicans and Streptococcus mutans in the presence of farnesol: a quantitative evaluation. Biofouling 2016;32:329–38. doi:10.1080/08927014.2016.1144053.
- [77] Fernandes RA, Monteiro DR, Arias LS, Fernandes GL, Delbem ACB, Barbosa DB. Virulence factors in Candida albicans and Streptococcus mutans biofilms mediated by farnesol. Indian J Microbiol 2018;58:138–45. doi:10.1007/s12088-018-0714-4.
- [78] Montelongo-Jauregui D, Srinivasan A, Ramasubramanian AK, Lopez-Ribot JL. An in vitro model for oral mixed biofilms of *Candida albicans* and *Streptococcus gordonii* in synthetic saliva. Front Microbiol 2016;7:1–13. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00686.
- [79] Whitmore SE, Lamont RJ. The pathogenic persona of community-associated oral streptococci. Mol Microbiol 2011;81:305–14. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07707.x.
- [80] Sobue T, Diaz P, Xu H, Bertolini M, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. Experimental models of C. albicans-streptococcal co-infection, Humana Press, New York, NY; 2016, p. 137–52. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3052-4_10.
- [81] Xu H, Sobue T, Bertolini M, Thompson A, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. Streptococcus oralis and Candida albicans synergistically activate μ-calpain to degrade E-cadherin from oral epithelial junctions. J Infect Dis 2016;214:925–34. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiw201.
- [82] Bertolini MM, Xu H, Sobue T, Nobile CJ, Del Bel Cury AA, Dongari-Bagtzoglou A.

Candida-streptococcal mucosal biofilms display distinct structural and virulence characteristics depending on growth conditions and hyphal morphotypes. Mol Oral Microbiol 2015;30:307–22. doi:10.1111/omi.12095.

- [83] Vieira Colombo AP, Magalhães CB, Hartenbach FARR, Martins do Souto R, Maciel da Silva-Boghossian C. Periodontal-disease-associated biofilm: A reservoir for pathogens of medical importance. Microb Pathog 2015;94:27–34. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2015.09.009.
- [84] Carman RJ, Sayeed S, Li J, Genheimer CW, Hiltonsmith MF, Wilkins TD, et al. Clostridium perfringens toxin genotypes in the feces of healthy North Americans. Anaerobe 2008;14:102–8. doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2008.01.003.
- [85] Theriot CM, Young VB. Interactions between the gastrointestinal microbiome and Clostridium difficile. Annu Rev Microbiol 2015;69:445–61. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-091014-104115.
- [86] Sam QH, Chang MW, Chai LYA. The fungal mycobiome and its interaction with gut bacteria in the host. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18. doi:10.3390/ijms18020330.
- [87] Fox EP, Cowley ES, Nobile CJ, Hartooni N, Newman DK, Johnson AD. Anaerobic bacteria grow within Candida albicans biofilms and induce biofilm formation in suspension cultures. Curr Biol 2014;24:2411–6. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.08.057.
- [88] Van Leeuwen PT, Peet JM Van Der, Bikker FJ, Hoogenkamp MA, Paiva AMO, Kostidis S, et al. Interspecies interactions between Clostridium difficile and Candida albicans. MSphere 2016;1:1–15. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00187-16.Editor.
- [89] Janus MM, Crielaard W, Volgenant CMC, Van der Veen MH, Brandt BW, Krom BP. Candida albicans alters the bacterial microbiome of early in vitro oral biofilms. J Oral Microbiol 2017;9:1–10. doi:10.1080/20002297.2016.1270613.
- [90] Lambooij JM, Hoogenkamp MA, Brandt BW, Janus MM, Krom BP. Fungal mitochondrial oxygen consumption induces the growth of strict anaerobic bacteria. Fungal Genet Biol 2017;109:1–6. doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2017.10.001.

Adhesion

Communication

Biofilm structure and matrix

C. albicans + S. aureus = increased biomass

Polymicrobial biofilm = coated S. aureus

Consequence of interactions

Figure 1: Main features of C. albicans-S. aureus relationship related to biofilm lifestyle

Communication

Biofilm structure and matrix

Consequence of interactions

Enhancement of resistance of C. albicans and Streptococcus spp. to antimicrobial agents

C. albicans + Streptococcus spp. = increased biomass

C. albicans - Streptococcus spp. polymicrobial biofilm

Enhancement of virulence on murine models

