



HAL
open science

Simple and complex tool use improve language syntax comprehension

Raphaël Py, Marie-Hélène Grosbras, C. Brozzoli, Marie Montant

► **To cite this version:**

Raphaël Py, Marie-Hélène Grosbras, C. Brozzoli, Marie Montant. Simple and complex tool use improve language syntax comprehension. Society for the Neurobiology of Language, Oct 2023, Marseille, France. . hal-04428422

HAL Id: hal-04428422

<https://hal.science/hal-04428422v1>

Submitted on 31 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Simple and complex tool use improve language syntax comprehension

Raphaël Py^{1,2,3}, Marie-Hélène Grosbras^{1,2}, Claudio Brozzoli^{4,*}, Marie Montant^{1,3,*}

¹ Institute of Language, Communication and the Brain ; ² Laboratoire de Neurosciences Cognitives, Aix-Marseille University ; ³ Laboratoire de Psychologie Cognitive, Aix-Marseille University ; ⁴ Centre de Recherche en Neurosciences de Lyon, University of Lyon ; * Equal contribution





Context and Goal :

Do language and motor skills share cognitive processes? It has recently been suggested that a form of hierarchical nesting processing may operate in both skills: motor syntax in manual actions and language syntax in sentence comprehension.

We manipulated the effector (Hand vs Tool) and embedding sequence complexity (Simple vs Complex) of the motor training task. If the same syntactic processes are involved in our motor and language tasks, we should observe a learning transfer.

Protocol :




Affirmation type



		True/False
T1	The writer admires the poet a1 v1 a2	CC SRC ORC ✓ ✓ ✗
T2	The writer takes the paper a1 v2 o	CC SRC ORC ✓ ✓ ✓
T3	The poet admires the writer a2 v1 a1	CC SRC ORC ✗ ✗ ✓
T4	The poet takes the paper a2 v2 o	CC SRC ORC ✗ ✗ ✗

Results :

► Effector effect



► Group effect, specific for T3 and T4




Whole model : [Complexity × Effector × Timepoint × Sentence type]
► Interaction [Effector × Timepoint] is significant [$\chi^2_{(3)} = 4.80, P = 0.03$]
• Hand [Pre, mean $d' = 1.91 \pm 0.04$; Post, $d' = 2.10 \pm 0.05$; $P < 0.001$, Tukey's post hoc test]

Whole model : [Complexity × Effector × Timepoint × Affirmation type]
► Interaction [Complexity × Effector × Timepoint × Affirmation type] is significant [$\chi^2_{(3)} = 10.2, P = 0.02$]
• CT [Pre, Accuracy = 0.82 ± 0.02 ; Post, Accuracy = 0.87 ± 0.03 ; $P = 0.02$, Tukey's post hoc test]
• ST [Pre, Accuracy = 0.80 ± 0.03 ; Post, Accuracy = 0.88 ± 0.02 ; $P < 0.001$, Tukey's post hoc test]

• CT [Pre, Accuracy = 0.87 ± 0.02 ; Post, Accuracy = 0.94 ± 0.02 ; $P = 0.001$, Tukey's post hoc test]

► Correlation



Discussion :

- Following tool-use training participants improved their overall performance in the language syntax comprehension task, no matter the complexity of the action trained.
- We observed a better comprehension of complex syntactic constructions in the CT group (Affirmation type 3 and 4).
- Importantly, we did not observe an effect for SH nor CH groups, meaning that motor embedding complexity alone is not sufficient to produce learning transfer.
- We found a positive correlation between initial motor and language performances in the CT group, suggesting that language syntax abilities can predict motor proficiency for complex tool use.

► In sum, we obtained a learning transfer from the motor to the language tasks in the tool-use conditions. This transfer was greater when the training involved embedded actions (complex motor sequences).

Altogether, our results are in line with the idea that motor and language skills share **common domain-general syntactic processes**.

■ Thibault, S., Py, R., Gervasi, A. M., Salemme, R., Koun, E., Lövdén, M., Boulenger, V., Roy, A. C., & Brozzoli, C. (2021). Tool use and language share syntactic processes and neural patterns in the basal ganglia. *Science*, 374(6569).
■ Roy, A. C., Curie, A., Nazir, T., Paulignan, Y., des Portes, V., Fournier, P., & Deprez, V. (2013). Syntax at Hand: Common Syntactic Structures for Actions and Language. *PLoS ONE*, 8(8).
■ Pulvermüller, F. (2014). The syntax of action. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 18(5), 219-220.

■ Maffongelli, L., Bartoli, E., Sammler, D., Kölsch, S., Campus, C., Olivier, E., Fadiga, L., & D'Ausilio, A. (2015). Distinct brain signatures of content and structure violation during action observation. *Neuropsychologia*, 75, 30-39.
■ Van de Cavey, J., & Hartsuiker, R. J. (2016). Is there a domain-general cognitive structuring system? Evidence from structural priming across music, math, action descriptions, and language. *Cognition*, 146, 172-184.
■ Makuuchi, M., Bähmann, J., Anwander, A., & Friederici, A. D. (2009). Segregating the core computational faculty of human language from working memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(20), 8362-8367.

Special thanks to the ILCB for funding this research.

raphael.py@univ-amu.fr