

OFDR optic fiber measurements: Performance limitations

Sahar Farahbakhsh, Martin Poncelet, Ludovic Chamoin

▶ To cite this version:

Sahar Farahbakhsh, Martin Poncelet, Ludovic Chamoin. OFDR optic fiber measurements: Performance limitations. Colloque National Mécamat, 2024, Jan 2024, Aussois, France. hal-04425622

HAL Id: hal-04425622 https://hal.science/hal-04425622

Submitted on 30 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

OFDR OPTIC FIBER MEASUREMENTS: PERFORMANCE LIMITATIONS

Sahar FARAHBAKHSH^a, Martin PONCELET^a, Ludovic CHAMOIN^{a,b}

a: Universite Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupelec, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, LMPS-Laboratoire de Mecanique Paris-Saclay, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France b: Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), 1 rue Descartes, 75005 Paris, France

Context

As a part of the PhD research project, an OFDR Rayleigh-based optic fiber sensor is embedded as shown in a beam sample, passing through it 4 times to provide strain measurements along the axis of the fiber during a quasi-static 4-point bending test. Throughout the test, strain data in certain zones - generally where higher strains _ occur - disappeared and reappeared, without $\frac{3}{2}$ fiber breakage. This phenomenon happened both in loading and unloading phases, and could last minutes.



How optic fiber sensors work?

- Made of three main parts: Core, cladding and coating
- A small difference in the refraction index of core and cladding, leading to total internal reflection
- Three main scattering phenomena in optic fiber Ο sensors: Raman, Brillouin and Rayleigh

Yes

Frequency Remark Type Advantage change

Only

temperature

Up to 60 km

Accurate and

stable

Constant

frequency



Brillouin Anti-Stokes

 $\omega_0 + \omega_B$

Anti-Stoke

 $\omega_0 + \omega_R$

Frequenc

OFDR technology

A Rayleigh-based method that uses interferometry and a frequency-modulated optical wave:

- Coupled backscattered light from 2 arms: beat frequency revealed by FFT
- backscattering Rayleigh state gives Initial 2. signature (RBS) of fiber
- 3. FFT on RBS and strained fiber: signals in spatial domain
- Inverse FFT applied on a sliding window on both signals
- Cross-correlation in each window: frequency shifts 5.
- Frequency shifts linearly related to temperature and strain changes in fiber



Marphan

Shape



Raman

Hypothesis

Why are the measurements disappearing in certain zones?

- Maximum strain threshold has passed
- Local pressure on the optic fiber causing local loss of data 0
- Strong local strain gradient causing local data loss 0

Protocol

A tensile test was designed on a notched sample resulting in a variable gradient of strain along the fiber axis. The material, shape and other details of this sample had to be chosen based on different criteria.

A parametric study on the influence of the notch shape on the strain and the strain gradient is done.



In a second step, the optimal notch shape (radius and ligament) is chosen:

Stokes

 $\omega_0 - \omega_R$

 $\omega_0 - \omega_B$

- idea is to have two notches with different • The dimensions, and ensure that their contributions don't superimpose.
- Notches must be small enough to induce high strain

Material

Using the Ashby chart, the material was chosen based on the maximum elastic strain that is limited by the optic fiber sensor strain measurement threshold (1.5% strain).

> etals and polymers: yield stree Ceramics and glasses: MOR Elastomers: tensile tear strengt

> > Yield before buckling

Non-technical

ceramics



gradient, but large enough to enable several measurement point in the region of interest even with the largest gage pitch. The gage pitch of the employed optic fiber sensor can vary between 0.65mm, 1.3mm, 2.6 mm, 5.2 mm or 10.4 mm.

The optic fiber is located in a groove on each side of the sample, in reversed orientation. This might help to understand if a region of high strain or strain gradient has an influence downstream or upstream.

The additional side parts are added to the sample in order to ensure that the sample would not twist or bend prior and during the tensile test.



Results

The sample has undergone several tensile tests, all within the elastic limit of the sample and with the exact same conditions. The only difference between these tests was the gage pitch of the optic fiber sensor. The strain measurements of three of these tests as well as the corresponding strain gradients are presented.



- Local data loss in high strain gradient areas confirmed
- strain, sometimes higher than 1.5% Maximum (observed during previous tests, such as the aforementioned 4-point bending test) does not necessarily lead to data loss or fiber breakage
- Further research could elaborate on the effect of high strain gradient in the presence of other possible data loss sources such as high strain values, local pressure on sensor, considerable axis curve, etc.



[1] L. Chamoin, S. Farahbakhsh, and M. Poncelet, "An educational review on distributed optic fiber sensing based on Rayleigh backscattering for damage tracking and structural health monitoring," Measurement Science and Technology, vol. 33, p. 124008, Dec. 2022.

[2] X. Bao and Y.Wang, "Recent advancements in rayleigh scattering-based distributed fiber sensors," Advanced Devices & Instrumentation, vol. 2021, 2021.

[1] Z. Ding, C. Wang, K. Liu, J. Jiang, D. Yang, G. Pan, Z. Pu, and T. Liu, "Distributed optical fiber sensors based on optical frequency domain reflectometry : A review," Sensors, vol. 18, no. 4, p. 1072, 2018.

[4] X. Bao and L. Chen, "Recent progress in distributed fiber optic sensors," Sensors, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 8601-8639, 2012.

0







