



HAL
open science

Prehistoric archaeology and geology, a historical perspective

Claudine Cohen

► **To cite this version:**

Claudine Cohen. Prehistoric archaeology and geology, a historical perspective. Travaux du Comité français d'Histoire de la Géologie, 2022, 3ème série (tome 36 bis), pp.7-8. hal-04423934

HAL Id: hal-04423934

<https://hal.science/hal-04423934v1>

Submitted on 29 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Prehistoric archaeology and geology, a historical perspective

Claudine COHEN

EHESS (CRAL) – EPHE/PSL Biogéosciences; Cohen @ehess.fr

Abstract. This paper examines the role played by Geology in the constitution of Prehistoric Archaeology as a scientific discipline. Geology was a tool for the establishment of its evidence, and a scientific model whose concepts, vocabulary and onomastics were directly imported from one discipline into the other. Despite the limits of its usage as sole evidence, Geology remains until today an irreplaceable companion to prehistoric archaeological research.

By the turn of the 19th century, Geology had accessed the status of a scientific discipline, and thus gained a unique prestige among the natural sciences (Rudwick, 2005, 2008). It became a powerful driving force for several disciplines such as palaeontology¹ and evolutionary biology², and even a key to the recognition of their scientific status. In prehistoric archaeology, geology constituted the very basis of a knowledge that it helped to found.

Early evidence for human antiquity was established in Northern France (Cohen & Hublin, 2017). From the 1830s, Casimir Picard (1838) then Boucher de Perthes working in the region of Abbeville, showed that the stratigraphy of the lower Somme river valley gave evidence to the contemporaneity of extinct animals and flint tools made by human hands; the succession of layers provided evidence for the relative antiquity of chipped vs polished flint artefacts, as belonging to two distinct epochs of human prehistory -- “Celtic” (Neolithic) vs “antediluvial” (Palaeolithic) epoch (Boucher de Perthes, 1847, 1857, 1864). Whereas French academic authorities were reluctant to admit Boucher’s claims for the antiquity of Man, great English geologists

such as Charles Lyell, Joseph Prestwich, and Hugh Falconer travelled to the Somme valley in Abbeville to recognize and confirm their validity (Cohen, 1997; Cohen & Hublin, 2017). The word “*archéo-géologie*”, was coined by Jacques Boucher de Perthes to name his own research: for him it meant a new way of looking at archaeological objects and their antiquity, in which geology had a central place. Eventually, Charles Lyell (1863) summarized this new body of knowledge in an important book intitled *Geological Evidences of the Antiquity of Man* in which he listed a number of significant discoveries made in France, in England and elsewhere, and recognized in Quaternary terraces the geological traces of glaciers which punctuated the period during which prehistoric Humans thrived in Western Europe.

Geology was not only a tool; it truly became a model for prehistoric archaeology. Beyond the uses of geology as evidence, Prehistory’s methods and concepts were directly borrowed from geology: thus the constitution of a scientific language in Prehistoric archaeology used, as it had long been the case in Geology, the names of eponymous localities (such as Mousterian from Le

¹ Cuvier’s first volume of his *Ossements fossiles de quadrupèdes*, generally considered as the founding work of scientific palaeontology, opens with a « Géologie du Bassin de Paris ».

² Darwin’s early training at Edinburgh and Cambridge was in Geology, and he recognized the influence Lyell’s geological thinking had upon the constitution of his own evolutionary thought. See Sandra Herbert, *Darwin, Geologist*, Ithaca, Cornell University Press, 2005.

Moustier, or Magdalenian from La Madeleine, etc.) to establish a nomenclature of successive prehistoric levels; typical stone tools of these prehistoric cultures were used as stratigraphic markers, in the same way as “guiding fossils” were used in stratigraphic palaeontology (Mortillet, 1884). Similarly, the succession of prehistoric industries in a vertical sequence (Mortillet, *ibid.*) illustrates the impact of geological methods and concepts upon the epistemological foundations of prehistoric archaeology.

Geological evidence eventually proved insufficient or even inadequate to address certain issues raised by prehistoric archaeological research, it could also be the occasion for mistakes, or even frauds: the Moulin Quignon jaw (Boucher de Perthes, 1864), whose antiquity was established by its sole stratigraphic position (Cohen & Hublin, 2017; Cohen, 2018), appeared later as a recent human bone which had simply been planted by workers at the bottom of a stratigraphic section. With the multiplication of finds, anatomical studies, which had been until then impossible because of the lack of fossil remains for comparison, could now take place over geological considerations.

However, geology still was, and remains today, essential to the stratigraphic study of sites, their interpretation and relative dating. Geological knowledge constitutes an essential framework for the study of prehistoric cultures, and is part of interdisciplinary research into human Prehistory. It is enlightening in what regards the exploration of shelters and decorated caves (Pigeaud, 2023), as it makes it possible, in particular, to study human actions, behaviours and artistic productions in relationship with mineral and geological materials specific to a given site. Geology is indeed today an irreplaceable companion for the prehistorian to study the material contexts of early cultures and art, and to explore the relationships of humans with their environments.

Approaching the history of prehistory from the perspective of its changing connections and articulations with geology and exploring the various collaboration of these two disciplines for more than a century and a half thus proves important and fruitful for science historians, as well as for scientists engaged in these two domains.

Bibliography

- BOUCHER DE PERTHES, J. (1847, 1854, 1863) *Antiquités celtiques et antédiluviennes*, Paris, Treuttel & Wurtz, 3 vol. (reprint of the 1st volume Cambridge University Press 2010).
- COHEN, C. (1998) “Charles Lyell and the Evidences for the Antiquity of Man”, in BLUNDELL, D.J. & SCOTT, A. C., eds, *Lyell, The past is the key to the present*, Geological Society of London, Special publications, 1998: pp. 83-93.
- COHEN, C. (2018) Comment les impostures savantes sont-elles possibles? Ethique, politique, et historicité des preuves. in DENOYELLE and MANNINO K. eds., *Les Impostures savants: le faux, une autre science de l'antique?*, *Studi di Antichità* 16, Università del Salento, Dipartimento di Beni Culturali (Introd.to the volume).
- COHEN, C. and HUBLIN, J.-J. (2017) *Boucher de Perthes, les origines romantiques de la pré-histoire*, Paris, Belin Coll. Alpha
- LYELL, C. (1863) *Geological Evidences of the Antiquity of Man*, London, John Murray
- MORTILLET, G. de (1884) *Le Préhistorique, Antiquité de l'Homme*, Paris
- PICARD, C., (1838). “Notice sur quelques instruments celtiques”. *Mémoires de la Société royale d'Emulation d'Abbeville*, 221-272.
- PIGEAUD, R. (2023) *Le Concept de Grotte ornée*. Mémoire d'HDR, Paris EHESS.
- RUDWICK, M. (2005) *Bursting the limits of time. The Reconstruction of Geohistory in the Age of Revolution* The University of Chicago Press
- RUDWICK, M. (2008) *Worlds before Adam. The Reconstruction of Geohistory in the Age of Reform*. The University of Chicago Press.