



HAL
open science

Nanostructured Oxide (SnO₂, FTO) Thin Films for Energy Harvesting: A Significant Increase in Thermoelectric Power at Low Temperature

Karuppiah Deva Arun Kumar, S. Valanarasu, Alex Capelle, Sibel Nar, Wael Karim, Arnaud Stolz, Barthélemy Aspe, Nadjib Semmar

► **To cite this version:**

Karuppiah Deva Arun Kumar, S. Valanarasu, Alex Capelle, Sibel Nar, Wael Karim, et al.. Nanostructured Oxide (SnO₂, FTO) Thin Films for Energy Harvesting: A Significant Increase in Thermoelectric Power at Low Temperature. *Micromachines*, 2024, 15 (2), pp.188. 10.3390/mi15020188 . hal-04421015

HAL Id: hal-04421015

<https://hal.science/hal-04421015v1>

Submitted on 29 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Article

Nanostructured Oxide (SnO₂, FTO) Thin Films for Energy Harvesting: A Significant Increase in Thermoelectric Power at Low Temperature

Karuppiyah Deva Arun Kumar^{1,2,*}, S. Valanarasu², Alex Capelle¹, Sibel Nar^{1,3}, Wael Karim¹ , Arnaud Stolz¹ , Barthélemy Aspe¹ and Nadjib Semmar^{1,*}

¹ Groupe de Recherches sur l'Énergétique des Milieux Ionisés, GREMI, Université d'Orléans, CNRS, 14 Rue d'Issoudun, 45067 Orléans, France; sibel.nar@univ-orleans.fr (S.N.); wael.karim@univ-orleans.fr (W.K.); barthelemy.aspe@univ-orleans.fr (B.A.)

² Department of Physics, Arul Anandar College, Madurai 625514, India

³ Laboratoire Nanotechnologies et Nanosystèmes (LN2)-CNRS IRL-3463, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC J1K OA5, Canada

* Correspondence: deva-arun-kumar.karuppiyah@univ-orleans.fr (K.D.A.K.); nadjib.semmar@univ-orleans.fr (N.S.)

Abstract: Previous studies have shown that undoped and doped SnO₂ thin films have better optical and electrical properties. This study aims to investigate the thermoelectric properties of two distinct semiconducting oxide thin films, namely SnO₂ and F-doped SnO₂ (FTO), by the nebulizer spray pyrolysis technique. An X-ray diffraction study reveals that the synthesized films exhibit a tetragonal structure with the (200) preferred orientation. The film structural quality increases from SnO₂ to FTO due to the substitution of F⁻ ions into the host lattice. The film thickness increases from 530 nm for SnO₂ to 650 nm for FTO films. Room-temperature electrical resistivity decreases from $(8.96 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2} \Omega\text{-cm}$ to $(4.64 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3} \Omega\text{-cm}$ for the SnO₂ and FTO thin films, respectively. This is due to the increase in the carrier density of the films, $(2.92 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$ (SnO₂) and $(1.63 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-3}$ (FTO), caused by anionic substitution. It is confirmed that varying the temperature (K) enhances the electron transport properties. The obtained Seebeck coefficient (*S*) increases as the temperature is increased, up to 360 K. The synthesized films exhibit the *S* value of $-234 \pm 3 \mu\text{V/K}$ (SnO₂) and $-204 \pm 3 \mu\text{V/K}$ (FTO) at 360 K. The estimated power factor (PF) drastically increases from $\sim 70 (\mu\text{W/m}\cdot\text{K}^2)$ to $\sim 900 (\mu\text{W/m}\cdot\text{K}^2)$ for the SnO₂ and FTO film, respectively.

Keywords: oxide thin films; spray pyrolysis; Hall Effect; Seebeck coefficient



Citation: Deva Arun Kumar, K.; Valanarasu, S.; Capelle, A.; Nar, S.; Karim, W.; Stolz, A.; Aspe, B.; Semmar, N. Nanostructured Oxide (SnO₂, FTO) Thin Films for Energy Harvesting: A Significant Increase in Thermoelectric Power at Low Temperature. *Micromachines* **2024**, *15*, 188. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mi15020188>

Academic Editors: Kenji Uchino and Sankar Das

Received: 27 November 2023

Revised: 20 January 2024

Accepted: 23 January 2024

Published: 26 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Energy resource depletion has become one of the most crucial problems in the modern world, which demands the use of energy in different forms. Factories, automobiles, steel industries, and other similar sources produce about $\sim 75\%$ of waste heat [1], which is very difficult to recycle. Hence, it is our responsibility to explore alternatives for reusing waste heat energies from diverse sources. Thermal energy harvesting offers a promising approach to harness the abundance of freely available heat and transform it into a more practical and usable form, such as electrical energy. The performance of a thermoelectric (TE) material is expressed by the dimensional figure of merit $zT = (\sigma S^2 / \kappa) \times T$, where σ is the electrical conductivity, *S* is the Seebeck coefficient, κ is the thermal conductivity, and *T* is the absolute temperature [2]. Some commercial chalcogenide-based thermoelectric alloy materials such as Pb, Hg (toxic), Bi, Te, Ag, and Se (expensive) are available for energy harvesting. However, alloys are unstable in air and can decompose at quite low temperatures. Additionally, the electrical conductivity, Seebeck coefficient, and thermal conductivity cannot be tuned independently.

Oxide materials are known to be highly promising for practical operations such as optoelectronics, photovoltaics, thermoelectrics, etc. In addition, oxide materials are more stable at high temperatures and are inexpensive. Researchers have therefore focused on the fabrication of stable, eco-friendly, abundant, and cost-effective TE devices based on oxide materials. Bulk oxide materials have some disadvantages, however, such as the time required for the sintering process, fabrication of the *n*-type and *p*-type elements, arrangement of device shapes, and mechanical fragility. In contrast, oxide thin films offer substantial advantages compared to bulk oxide materials such as quick fabrication, flexibility, and control of defects even at the nanoscale.

Many oxide thin films such as cadmium oxide (CdO), zinc oxide (ZnO), titanium dioxide (TiO₂), tin dioxide (SnO₂), etc., are available for various device fabrications. However, SnO₂ thin films can outperform the electronic transport behavior of other oxide films due to their intrinsic defects and good environmental stability. SnO₂ is a familiar *n*-type semiconducting metal oxide, and it has a wide bandgap (~3.6 eV) with low binding energy (~30 meV) [3]. There are some impurities or doping elements that can be incorporated or substituted in the SnO₂ system without affecting the host lattice structure; this could improve the electrical properties of SnO₂. Fluorine (F⁻) is the main doping element considered for SnO₂ to form an FTO system, as the electrical performance is expected to be significantly increased [4]. In the FTO system, an anionic source of fluorine ions (F⁻) replaces O²⁻; thus, fluorine could act as a free electron. Reports show that FTO has a higher electron carrier density, wide band gap, and high transparency in the visible region [5]. Alternatively, Sn-doped indium oxide (In₂O₃:Sn, ITO) has also attracted the attention of the research community because of its wide range of practical applications. Nevertheless, in comparison to FTO, ITO is a more expensive material. Additionally, the substitution of Sn²⁺ ions can affect the host In³⁺ ions, leading to a reduction in carrier concentration and electron mobility.

Based on the literature, Bian Tian et al. [6] reported that the average Seebeck coefficient (*S*) value of ITO/In₂O₃ is about 109 μV/°C at high temperature. This value is relatively lower than the one reported by Ferreira et al. [7] for the SnO₂ thin film, who found that the Seebeck coefficient was -255 μV/K with a low power factor (~10⁻⁴ W/m·K²) at room temperature. On the other hand, G. Gordillo et al. [8] studied F-doped SnO₂ thin films by spray pyrolysis at 400 °C. While incorporating HF into the host precursor (Sn) solution, they noticed a reduced electrical resistivity at 3 × 10⁻⁴ Ω·cm. However, under the same conditions, the Seebeck coefficient (thermoelectric power) also exhibited a low value, measuring less than -100 μV/K. Therefore, our goal is to enhance the Seebeck coefficient and power factor of F-incorporated SnO₂ thin films for thermoelectric energy conversion, focusing on commercial aspects.

Recent reports of the preparation of SnO₂ and FTO thin films used different physical and chemical methods [7–15]. All of these methods have advantages and disadvantages. Among them, chemically grown thin film techniques are easy to handle and make large-area deposition possible. Nebulizer-assisted spray pyrolysis (NSP) stands out with a significant benefit: it offers a cost-effective, non-vacuum technique for large-area deposition, capable of producing high-quality films with minimal precursor volume. The NSP method operates based on the Bernoulli principle [16]. When a pressurized airflow is directed through a constricted orifice, the velocity of the airflow increases, generating a stream of mist particles. The stream of mist particles, known as aerosols (particle size ~2.5 μm), helps improve the quality of the film and enables uniform growth due to gradual nucleation with minimum wastage. The benefits of NSP methods are high productivity, ease of handling, controllable film growth rate, ability to achieve desired thickness, rapid fabrication over larger areas, deposition feasibility at both low and high temperatures, and the formation of pinhole-free films [3,17]. Based on the above-mentioned advantages, we used the NSP technique to develop good-quality SnO₂-based thin films at the desired substrate temperature.

The objective of this work is to study the effect of F⁻ ions on the thermoelectric properties of SnO₂ thin films by the economic nebulizer-assisted spray pyrolysis technique.

In this report, we investigate both SnO₂ and FTO thin films to study their structural, morphological, and thermoelectric properties. Lastly, the Seebeck coefficient and power factor values obtained are compared to those reported in previous studies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Thin Film Synthesis by Nebulizer-Assisted Spray Pyrolysis

High-purity precursors of anhydrous tin (II) chloride (SnCl₂) and ammonium fluoride (NH₄F) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and Nice Chemicals, with 3 N purity. The optimum concentrations of tin (II) chloride (0.09 M) and ammonium fluoride (0.01 M or 10%) precursors were dissolved one by one in 10 mL of isopropanol and deionized water as a solvent in the ratio of 3:1 (isopropanol/DI water). The prepared solution was continuously stirred at room temperature for 15 min to form a homogeneously mixed solution.

Before the film preparation, borosilicate glass substrates (SiO₂-B₂O₃) were cleaned using deionized water, isopropanol, and acetone, followed by drying in a hot-air oven at 200 °C for 2 h. The process is helpful to remove contamination or dust particles from the raw substrate, and to make impurity-free cleaned substrates. The pre-cleaned glass substrate was kept on the hot plate, which was maintained at 400 °C (±5 °C) [3]. The equipped solution was placed in the nebulizer container, which is well connected to the glass tube (spray gun). Figure 1 shows a schematic view of the nebulizer spray pyrolysis process at the desired temperature. The precursor solution was continuously sprayed (10 min) on the heated substrate in an X–Y direction to obtain uniform film formation, as well as good adherence over the substrate surface. In this study, we employed other optimized deposition parameters, maintaining a constant spray pressure of 1.5 kg/cm² and a nozzle-to-substrate distance of 3 cm. After completing 10 mL of sprayed solution, the deposited films were allowed to cool at room temperature for further characterization.




Figure 1. Schematic view of the nebulizer spray pyrolysis setup process.

2.2. Characterizations

The following tools were used to analyze the film's structural, morphological, and thermoelectric properties. The structural properties of the films were determined by XRD using Cu-K α radiation (Bruker D8 Discover, Billerica, MA, USA), and the morphological analysis was carried out on a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) system (Zeiss Supra 40, Oberkochen, Germany). The film thickness was measured using a stylus profilometer (Bruker Dektak, Frankfurt, Germany), and cross-sectional SEM imaging was also performed. Room-temperature Hall Effects were measured through four-probe measurements (ECOPIA-HMS5500, Republic of Korea). Temperature-dependent Seebeck coefficient (*S*) variation was systematically observed by using the Peltier module for temperature change monitoring, connected with a Keithley DMM6500, USA (homemade setup as in a previous study [18]). The measurement of the Seebeck coefficient was conducted in the temperature range from 300 K to 360 K. All the characterizations were performed at the GREMI laboratory, Orleans.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structural Properties

The XRD patterns of the synthesized oxide thin films are shown in Figure 2. From the XRD pattern, the six identified peaks were determined as corresponding to the (110), (101) (200), (211), (310), and (301) planes of the polycrystalline SnO₂ structure, as the pattern matches with a reference file from the data base: JCPDS card No: 46-1088 [19]. It can be seen that the tetragonal phase structure is attained for both synthesized thin films. The SnO₂ lattice incorporates doped F⁻ ions in interstitial positions, while simultaneously replacing O²⁻ ions with F⁻ ions for the FTO system [20]. No secondary phases related to Sn, F clusters, or SnO were detected in the XRD data, confirming that the films consist solely of the SnO₂ phase. This result is likely attributed to the low doping concentration of F⁻ ions. In addition, no peak shift was observed in the XRD pattern despite doping. However, the full width at half maximum of the peaks decreased slightly from SnO₂ to FTO thin films; this can be attributed to an improvement in the structural quality. In addition, with the inclusion of fluorine ions in the SnO₂ lattice, an increase in the (211) preferred orientation compared with the strong (200) preferential orientation of the SnO₂ film was observed. The relative peak intensity change in diffraction peaks might be due to the changes in the atomic distribution upon doping [21,22].




Figure 2. XRD patterns of the synthesized oxide (SnO₂ and FTO) thin films; data compared with JCPDS card No: 46-1088 [19].

3.2. SEM Analyses

Morphological (SEM) analysis was used to investigate the grain growth level as well as the size of the grains for the synthesized films. Figure 3a,b displays the SEM images acquired for the oxide films, specifically for SnO₂ and FTO, respectively. In Figure 3a, the SnO₂ film displays small spherical-shaped grains distributed over the surface without any holes or cracks. With the inclusion of F⁻ ions in the host material, the spherical grains observed in the FTO thin film, as depicted in Figure 3b, exhibit an enlargement in size and interconnection with each other. The increase in grain size confirms the increase in grain growth when compared to pure SnO₂. The particle distribution curves for both synthesized thin films, namely SnO₂ and FTO, are depicted on the right side of Figure 3a,b, respectively. The estimated average grain size is ~90 nm for SnO₂ and ~170 nm for FTO film, respectively. The increase in grain size can be attributed to the variation in film thickness. The film thickness increases from ~530 nm in SnO₂ film to ~650 nm in FTO film, as validated by cross-sectional SEM images provided in the insert in Figure 3a,b. The increase in film thickness might be due to the effect of F-doping, allowing a higher concentration of dopants to be incorporated into the SnO₂ lattice. This phenomenon appears to enhance both the carrier

concentration (n_e) and carrier mobility (μ_e), and is discussed in the Hall measurement section. In general, any semiconducting materials should have a high carrier concentration ($\sim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) because there is less scattering of electrons in the grains. In our case, the presence of spherically interconnected grains facilitates low electron scattering, primarily attributed to the ionized impurity scattering process [23,24].

In Figure S1 (Supplementary Materials), the EDX images illustrate the synthesized SnO_2 and FTO films, along with the corresponding elemental mapping results. The EDX results affirm the existence of fluorine within the SnO_2 lattice, showing that the oxygen ions are occupied by fluorine. The inserted table verifies the substitution of F^- ions into O^{2-} sites, which is clearly apparent as the elemental oxygen content decreases from the SnO_2 to the FTO thin film. Figure S1 illustrates the mapping pictures correlating SnO_2 and FTO films. Observable elements such as tin, oxygen, and fluorine are detected on the surface of the FTO thin film. Moreover, tin and oxygen, as host elements, exhibit a predominant arrangement on the SnO_2 compared to the FTO film. Additionally, platinum is detected on the surface of both films; it was sputtered (4 nm) before measurement to prevent charging.




Figure 3. SEM images of the synthesized oxide thin films: (a) SnO_2 and (b) FTO. The corresponding size distribution graphs are presented on the right side of each image. The SEM images include cross-sectional views to show the films' thickness.

3.3. Hall Effect Measurements

Hall Effect measurement was performed to obtain electrical resistivity (ρ), electron carrier concentration (n_e), and electron mobility (μ_e) values for the synthesized oxide films, which are detailed in Table 1. Typically, SnO_2 thin films exhibit a higher carrier concentration and low resistivity due to their intrinsic defects. Moreover, the introduction of F^- ions into the SnO_2 lattice may modify its electrical performance. This is due to the reduction in grain boundaries causing the scattering of free electrons. In the FTO system, either tin or fluorine ions can randomly replace an intrinsic oxygen vacancy, resulting in the release of well-localized electrons [25] in the conduction band (CB) or in the lattice oxygen

sites (O^{2-}), thereby providing free electrons. This free electron generation in CB leads to an increase in the carrier concentration and reduces the resistivity.

Table 1. Temperature-dependent Hall Effect and TE parameters for the synthesized oxide thin films.

Electrical and TE Parameters	SnO ₂		FTO	
	300 K	360 K	300 K	360 K
n (cm ⁻³)	$(2.92 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{19}$	$(2.52 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{19}$	$(1.63 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{20}$	$(1.56 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{20}$
μ (cm ² /V·s)	2.41 ± 0.08	2.77 ± 0.04	8.40 ± 0.02	8.71 ± 0.02
ρ (Ω ·cm)	$(8.96 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2}$	$(7.95 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-2}$	$(4.64 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$	$(4.58 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$
S (μ V/K)	-135 ± 3	-234 ± 3	-52 ± 3	-204 ± 3
PF (μ W/m·K ²)	~ 20	~ 70	~ 58	~ 900

The enhanced electrical performance of the FTO system may be attributed to the following possible reasons: (i) oxygen (O^{2-}) vacancies being occupied by fluorine (F^-) ions, which generate free electrons within the system; (ii) substitutional incorporation of F^- ions into oxygen sites as extensively discussed in our prior report [3]. The electrical resistivity obtained is $(8.96 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2} \Omega$ ·cm for SnO₂ and decreases to $(4.64 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3} \Omega$ ·cm for FTO films. This can be attributed to the ongoing occupation of oxygen vacancies by F^- ions, consequently increasing the carrier concentration from $(2.92 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to $(1.63 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for the respective films. The presence of accumulated grains (evidenced in SEM) could promote continuous moving paths for electrons and reduce the e^-/h^+ recombination [26]; hence, there is an increase in electron mobility. Here, the observed electron mobility rises from $(2.41 \pm 0.08) \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$ to $(8.40 \pm 0.02) \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s}$ for SnO₂ and FTO films, respectively. Based on Hall Effect measurements, the FTO thin film exhibits a higher carrier concentration and lower resistivity than SnO₂.

3.4. Thermoelectric Properties

The present work aims to study the thermoelectric (TE) properties of cost-effective semiconducting oxide thin films fabricated with a nebulizer-assisted spray technique. The Peltier module-based homemade Seebeck coefficient measurement is presented in Figure S2 (Supplementary Materials). Two key parameters that play an important role in determining the TE performance are the resistivity (ρ) and the Seebeck coefficient (S). By using both ρ and S values, the power factor of the TE materials can be estimated by S^2/ρ [27]. Figure 4 shows the variation in electrical resistivity as a function of temperature (300–360 K) for the synthesized films. The ρ value of both of the synthesized films decreases as a function of increasing temperature, attributed to the rise in electron mobility (μ_e). Furthermore, this resistivity trend with operating temperature is ascribed to the decrease in activation energy. It can be seen that the minimum ρ is $(4.58 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3} \Omega$ ·cm at 360 K compared to $(4.64 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3} \Omega$ ·cm at 300 K for the FTO thin film. Table 1 lists the temperature-dependent ρ , μ_e , and n_e values. It is evident that the FTO film resistivity ($\sim 10^{-3} \Omega$ ·cm) is relatively one order lower than that of the SnO₂ film ($\sim 10^{-2} \Omega$ ·cm) due to the high electron concentration and mobility, as discussed in Section 3.4. Khelifi et al. [12] reported a similar electrical conductivity value of $8.11 \times 10^2 \Omega$ ·cm⁻¹ for F-doped SnO₂ thin films prepared by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis.

The Peltier module was employed as a steady-state method to measure the Seebeck coefficient value of the synthesized thin films. With the help of the Peltier module for an accurate temperature change measurement, the Seebeck coefficients were determined using the following classical relations:

$$S = \frac{dV}{dT}; \quad dV = S \cdot dT \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta V = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} S(T) dT; \quad S\left(T = \frac{T_1 + T_2}{2}\right) \quad (2)$$

$$\int_{T_1}^{T_2} (S) dT = \int_{V_1}^{V_2} dV \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta V = S \cdot \Delta T; S = \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta T} \quad (4)$$

where ΔV and ΔT represent the difference in electrical voltage and the temperature change, respectively [28]. T_1 and T_2 are the temperatures of the hot and cold ends, respectively. The heating sequence used to measure the synthesized FTO thin film is depicted in Figure S3 (Supplementary Materials), establishing ΔT with corresponding ΔV .




Figure 4. The temperature dependence of electrical resistivity (ρ) for the SnO₂ synthesized thin films is represented by the upper curve, while the lower curve corresponds to FTO.

Figure 5 shows the variation in the Seebeck coefficient as a function of temperature (300–360 K) for the synthesized films. In accordance with the literature, at room temperature, the SnO₂ thin films show *n*-type conduction originating from ionized defects such as oxygen vacancies [29]. In our case, we noted negative *S* values in both synthesized thin films, confirming their classification as *n*-type semiconducting thermoelectric materials. The Hall measurement study provided additional support for this observation, revealing a negative Hall coefficient. Consequently, both the Seebeck and Hall measurements corroborate that both oxide films exhibit *n*-type behavior, indicating that electrons are the predominant charge carriers. In Figure 5, the Seebeck coefficient displayed a consistently negative sign across the entire temperature range, with increasing values of *S* indicating a decrease in the electron density. The *S* variation in both thin films increased with increasing temperature. The synthesized SnO₂ film exhibited the *S* value of $-135 \pm 3 \mu\text{V/K}$ at 300 K and rose to a maximum of $-234 \pm 3 \mu\text{V/K}$ at 360 K. For the FTO film, a low *S* value was measured of $-52 \pm 3 \mu\text{V/K}$ at 300 K and $-204 \pm 3 \mu\text{V/K}$ at 360 K. According to the literature, *S* is inversely proportional to the σ as a function of carrier concentration n_e [30]. The absolute value of *S* is lower in the FTO film compared to the SnO₂ film in the entire temperature range, possibly due to the difference in the grain boundary and oxygen deficiency. In addition, the lower *S* is consistent with the higher σ in Table 1 due to maximum n_e . Although the SnO₂ film has a larger *S* in the entire temperature range, this can be explained with the minimum n_e . Yakuphanoglu [11] observed an *S* value of $-500 \mu\text{V/K}$ at 300 K for a SnO₂ thin film coated on an ITO substrate by dip coating, and Trejo-Zamudio et al. [31] reported that the maximum *S* value found was $-200 \mu\text{V/K}$ at 300 K for a SnO₂ thin film Bi-doped by spray pyrolysis, which is consistent with our measurements. In addition to

this, several previously documented studies on SnO₂ and dissimilar metal-doped SnO₂ thin films [32–34] consistently align with our work in terms of their corresponding Seebeck coefficients. In Figure 5, we present a comparison of data from the reported studies on oxide thin films. The synthesized SnO₂ film demonstrates an asymptotic behavior, closely matching the variation reported for the SnO₂ film [35]. However, the reported film exhibits a maximum S in the temperature range from 310 K to 340 K, which could be attributed to the difference in film thickness (~450 nm), lower than our synthesized SnO₂ film (~530 nm).




Figure 5. Temperature dependence of the Seebeck coefficient (S) for the synthesized thin films, and the variation in S compared with reported works [35–37].

The estimated power factor (PF) is shown in Figure 6 as a function of temperature. The temperature-dependent PF was estimated by using both ρ and S values across the entire temperature range. It is evident that the Seebeck coefficient exhibits an increasing trend with rising temperature. As anticipated from the low ρ and high S values, the estimated PF consistently increases as the temperature rises up to 360 K. In the extrinsic conductivity region, the increase in PF with temperature is due to the increasing number of thermally excited charge carriers. The values of ρ , S , and PF obtained for the synthesized oxide films are listed in Table 1 and compared with the values reported in previous studies on oxide thin films [35–37], as presented in Table 2. At 360 K, the maximum power factor value is found for the FTO thin film is $\sim 900 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}\cdot\text{K}^2$, which is one order of magnitude higher than that of the SnO₂ film ($\sim 70 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}\cdot\text{K}^2$). The maximum achieved PF ($\sim 900 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}\cdot\text{K}^2$) is noticeably higher when compared with the reported values for SnO₂ thin films ($1 \times 10^{-4} \text{W}/\text{m}\cdot\text{K}^2$) [7]. Ribeiro et al. [38] reported a higher PF value ($\sim 0.5 \text{mW}/\text{m}\cdot\text{K}^2$) for transparent oxide (TiO₂:Nb) thin films ($\sim 150 \text{nm}$ thick) near room temperature (300 K). Therefore, we conclude that the obtained PF value ($\sim 900 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}\cdot\text{K}^2$) is two times higher than the previously reported values mentioned above for different oxide thin films. The increase in PF is attributed to the substantial impact of the high Seebeck coefficient and electrical conductivity values, similar to the findings of our study. The powerful increase in PF up to 360 K suggests that the spray-pyrolysis-synthesized oxide thin films might be promising TE materials.




Figure 6. Temperature dependence of the power factor (PF) for the synthesized oxide thin films of SnO₂ and FTO.

Table 2. Comparative thermoelectric results between the synthesized oxide thin films and those reported previously.

Materials	ρ (m Ω ·m)	S (μ V/K)	PF (mW/m·K ²)	Working Temp. (K)	Method	Reference
(i). SnO ₂	0.889	135–234	0.02–0.07	300–360	Nebulizer	Our results
(ii). FTO	0.046	52–204	0.058–0.90	300–360	Spray Pyrolysis	
SnO ₂	0.27	100–200	0.05–0.15	310–400	Spray Pyrolysis	[35]
SnO ₂	0.61	255	0.1	300	RF Sputtering	[7]
SnO ₂ :Al (10%)	0.32	250	-	300	Spray Pyrolysis	[36]
In ₂ O ₃ :Sn (5%)	0.04	120–200	1.5–4.7	300–723	Spray Pyrolysis	[37]

4. Conclusions

In this study, we examined the thermoelectric properties of nanostructured semi-conducting oxide thin films, which were synthesized using a chemical spray pyrolysis technique at a substrate temperature of 400 °C. The oxide films exhibit a polycrystalline structure with a preferential orientation along the (200) plane of the tetragonal crystal structure as confirmed by XRD analysis. Upon F-doping, the resistivity of the SnO₂ film decreased from $(8.96 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2} \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ to $(4.64 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3} \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$. This change in electrical transport behavior can be attributed to the introduction of fluorine dopants into the SnO₂ lattice. The inclusion of F⁻ ions as dopants modulates the ρ and S of the synthesized film, influenced by both the structural crystallinity and film thickness. The low ρ and moderate S values obtained led to the achievement of a high PF by varying the temperature. The maximum PF of $\sim 900 \mu\text{W}/\text{m} \cdot \text{K}^2$ was achieved for the FTO thin film, which is one order of magnitude higher than that of SnO₂ film ($\sim 70 \mu\text{W}/\text{m} \cdot \text{K}^2$) at 360 K. The obtained PF value ($\sim 900 \mu\text{W}/\text{m} \cdot \text{K}^2$) is two times higher than the previously reported values for different oxide thin films. With their remarkable properties, the chemically grown *n*-type oxide thin films become highly attractive materials for constructing future TE devices, particularly when combined with *p*-type materials for thermoelectric junction generators.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/mi15020188/s1>. Figure S1: EDX imaging records the synthesized thin films of SnO₂ and FTO, along with elemental mapping images for each individual element; Figure S2: Peltier module-based homemade Seebeck coefficient measurement with the temperature range from 300 K to 360 K; Figure S3: Example of heating sequence for establishing ΔT in a temperature range from 22 °C to 28 °C, along with corresponding ΔV for FTO thin film.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.D.A.K., S.V. and N.S.; methodology, K.D.A.K., S.V. and N.S.; software, K.D.A.K., N.S. and A.S.; validation, K.D.A.K., S.V. and N.S.; formal analysis, K.D.A.K., S.V., S.N., B.A. and N.S.; investigation, K.D.A.K., A.C., S.V., S.N., B.A., W.K. and N.S.; resources, N.S.; data curation, K.D.A.K., S.V., S.N., B.A., W.K. and N.S.; writing—original draft preparation, K.D.A.K.; writing—review and editing, K.D.A.K., S.V., A.C., S.N., W.K., A.S., B.A. and N.S.; visualization, K.D.A.K., S.V., S.N., B.A. and N.S.; supervision, S.V. and N.S.; project administration, S.V. and N.S.; funding acquisition, N.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The data are included in the main text and in the Supplementary Information.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the ARD MATEX project, the Renatech network, and the CERTeM 2020 Program for supporting the cleanroom facilities.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Zevenhoven, R.; Beyene, A. The relative contribution of waste heat from power plants to global warming. *Energy* **2011**, *36*, 3754–3762. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Bhaskar, A.; Pai, Y.H.; Wu, W.M.; Chang, C.L.; Liu, C.J. Low thermal conductivity and enhanced thermoelectric performance of nanostructured Al-doped ZnTe. *Ceram. Int.* **2016**, *42*, 1070–1076. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Kumar, K.D.A.; Valanarasu, S.; Jeyadheepan, K.; Kim, H.S.; Vikraman, D. Evaluation of the physical, optical, and electrical properties of SnO₂: F thin films prepared by nebulized spray pyrolysis for optoelectronics. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2018**, *29*, 3648–3656. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Thomas, R.; Mathavan, T.; Jothirajan, M.A.; Somaily, H.H.; Zahran, H.Y.; Yahia, I.S. An effect of lanthanum doping on physical characteristics of FTO thin films coated by nebulizer spray pyrolysis technique. *Opt. Mater.* **2020**, *99*, 109518. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Consonni, V.; Rey, G.; Roussel, H.; Doisneau, B.; Blanquet, E.; Bellet, D. Preferential orientation of fluorine-doped SnO₂ thin films: The effects of growth temperature. *Acta Mater.* **2013**, *61*, 22–31. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Tian, B.; Cheng, G.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, B.; Liu, J.; Jiang, Z. Optimization on thermoelectric characteristics of indium tin oxide/indium oxide thin film thermocouples based on screen printing technology. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2021**, *92*, 105001. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Ferreira, M.; Loureiro, J.; Nogueira, A.; Rodrigues, A.; Martins, R.; Ferreira, I. SnO₂ thin film oxides produced by rf sputtering for transparent thermoelectric devices. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2015**, *2*, 647–653. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Gordillo, G.; Paez, B.; Jacome, C.; Florez, J.M. Characterization of SnO₂ thin films through thermoelectric power measurements. *Thin Solid Film.* **1999**, *342*, 160–166. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Aouaj, M.A.; Diaz, R.; Belayachi, A.; Rueda, F.; Abd-Lefdil, M. Comparative study of ITO and FTO thin films grown by spray pyrolysis. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2009**, *44*, 1458–1461. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Paloly, A.R.; Bushiri, M.J. The effect of solvents on the growth and key properties of tin oxide thin films deposited via chemical spray pyrolysis. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *261*, 124209. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Yakuphanoglu, F. Electrical conductivity, Seebeck coefficient and optical properties of SnO₂ film deposited on ITO by dip coating. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2009**, *470*, 55–59. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Khelifi, C.; Attaf, A.; Yahia, A.; Dahnoun, M. Investigation of F doped SnO₂ thin films properties deposited via ultrasonic spray technique for several applications. *Surf. Interfaces* **2019**, *15*, 244–249. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Vieira, E.M.; Silva, J.P.B.; Veltruská, K.; Matolín, V.; Pires, A.L.; Pereira, A.M.; Goncalves, L.M. Highly sensitive thermoelectric touch sensor based on p-type SnOx thin film. *Nanotechnology* **2019**, *30*, 435502. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Noor, N.; Chew, C.K.; Bhachu, D.S.; Waugh, M.R.; Carmalt, C.J.; Parkin, I.P. Influencing FTO thin film growth with thin seeding layers: A route to microstructural modification. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2015**, *3*, 9359–9368. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Muthukumar, A.; Rey, G.; Giusti, G.; Consonni, V.; Appert, E.; Roussel, H.; Bellet, D. Fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) thin film as transparent conductive oxide (TCO) for photovoltaic applications. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2013**, *1512*, 710–711.
16. Thirumoorthi, M.; Prakash, J.T.J. Effect of F doping on physical properties of (211) oriented SnO₂ thin films prepared by jet nebulizer spray pyrolysis technique. *Superlattices Microstruct.* **2016**, *89*, 378–389. [[CrossRef](#)]

17. Kumar, K.D.A.; Valanarasu, S.; Kathalingam, A.; Jeyadheepan, K. Nd³⁺ Doping effect on the optical and electrical properties of SnO₂ thin films prepared by nebulizer spray pyrolysis for opto-electronic application. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2018**, *101*, 264–271. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Nar, S.; Stolz, A.; Machon, D.; Bourhis, E.; Andreazza, P.; Boucherif, A.; Semmar, N. Effect of Nanographene Coating on the Seebeck Coefficient of Mesoporous Silicon. *Nanomaterials* **2023**, *13*, 1254. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Vishwakarma, S.R.; Upadhyay, J.P.; Prasad, H.C. Physical properties of arsenic-doped tin oxide thin films. *Thin Solid Films* **1989**, *176*, 99–110. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Yadav, A.A.; Masumdar, E.U.; Moholkar, A.V.; Neumann-Spallart, M.; Rajpure, K.Y.; Bhosale, C.H. Electrical, structural and optical properties of SnO₂: F thin films: Effect of the substrate temperature. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2009**, *488*, 350. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Karthick, P.; Saravanakumar, K.; Sanjeeviraja, C.; Jeyadheepan, K. Realization of highly conducting and transparent SnO₂ thin films by optimizing F/Sn molar ratio for electrochemical applications. *Thin Solid Films* **2020**, *713*, 138362. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Wu, Y.; Giddings, A.D.; Verheijen, M.A.; Macco, B.; Prosa, T.J.; Larson, D.J.; Kessels, W.M. Dopant Distribution in Atomic Layer Deposited ZnO:Al Films Visualized by Transmission Electron Microscopy and Atom Probe Tomography. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1209–1217. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Anand, V.; Sakthivelu, A.; Kumar, K.D.A.; Valanarasu, S.; Kathalingam, A.; Ganesh, V.; Yahia, I.S. Rare earth Sm³⁺ co-doped AZO thin films for opto-electronic application prepared by spray pyrolysis. *Ceram. Int.* **2018**, *44*, 67306738. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Shen, H.L.; Zhang, H.; Lu, L.F.; Jiang, F.; Chao, Y.A.N.G. Preparation and properties of AZO thin films on different substrates. *Prog. Nat. Sci. Mater. Int.* **2010**, *20*, 44–48. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Buckeridge, J.; Catlow, C.R.A.; Farrow, M.R.; Logsdail, A.J.; Scanlon, D.O.; Keal, T.W.; Sherwood, P.; Woodley, S.M.; Sokol, A.A.; Walsh, A. Deep vs. shallow nature of oxygen vacancies and consequent n-type carrier concentrations in transparent conducting oxides. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2018**, *2*, 054604. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Jung, Y.H.; Park, K.H.; Oh, J.S.; Kim, D.H.; Hong, C.K. Effect of TiO₂ rutile nanorods on the photoelectrodes of dye-sensitized solar cells. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2013**, *8*, 37. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Kumar, K.D.A.; Meena, D.K.; Bose, R.S.; Meena, R.; Murahari, P.; Mele, P.; Ramesh, K. Optical and thermoelectric properties of Sb₂Te₃/ZnTe nanostructured composites. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2021**, *865*, 158621. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Fadavieslam, M.R.; Shahtahmasebi, N.; Rezaee-Roknabadi, M.; Bagheri-Mohagheghi, M.M. A study of the photoconductivity and thermoelectric properties of Sn_xSy optical semiconductor thin films deposited by the spray pyrolysis technique. *Phys. Scr.* **2011**, *84*, 035705. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Patil, P.S.; Kawar, R.K.; Seth, T.; Amalnerkar, D.P.; Chigare, P.S. Effect of substrate temperature on structural, electrical and optical properties of sprayed tin oxide (SnO₂) thin films. *Ceram. Int.* **2003**, *29*, 725–734. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Gao, Z.; Wang, J.S. Thermoelectric penta-silicene with a high room-temperature figure of merit. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12*, 14298–14307. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Trejo-Zamudio, D.; Quiñones-Galván, J.G.; de Moure-Flores, F.J.; Gómez-Herrera, M.L.; Santos-Cruz, J. Structural and thermoelectric properties of SnO₂:Bi thin films. In Proceedings of the 2022 19th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computing Science and Automatic Control (CCE), Mexico City, Mexico, 9–11 November 2022; pp. 1–5.
32. Khademi, N.; Bagheri-Mohagheghi, M.M.; Shirpay, A. Bi-doped SnO₂ transparent conducting thin films deposited by spray pyrolysis: Structural, electrical, optical, and photo-thermoelectric properties. *Opt. Quantum Electron.* **2022**, *54*, 130. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Ishibe, T.; Tomeda, A.; Komatsubara, Y.; Kitaura, R.; Uenuma, M.; Uraoka, Y.; Nakamura, Y. Carrier and phonon transport control by domain engineering for high-performance transparent thin film thermoelectric generator. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2021**, *118*, 151601. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Bagheri-Mohagheghi, M.M.; Shahtahmasebi, N.; Alinejad, M.R.; Youssefi, A.; Shokooh-Saremi, M. Fe-doped SnO₂ transparent semi-conducting thin films deposited by spray pyrolysis technique: Thermoelectric and p-type conductivity properties. *Solid State Sci.* **2009**, *11*, 233–239. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Bagheri-Mohagheghi, M.M.; Shokooh-Saremi, M. The electrical, optical, structural and thermoelectrical characterization of n- and p-type cobalt-doped SnO₂ transparent semiconducting films prepared by spray pyrolysis technique. *Phys. B Condens. Matter* **2010**, *405*, 4205–4210. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Moharrami, F.; Bagheri-Mohagheghi, M.M.; Azimi-Juybari, H. Study of structural, electrical, optical, thermoelectric and photo-conductive properties of S and Al co-doped SnO₂ semiconductor thin films prepared by spray pyrolysis. *Thin Solid Films* **2012**, *520*, 6503–6509. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Brinzari, V.; Damaskin, I.; Trakhtenberg, L.; Cho, B.K.; Korotcenkov, G. Thermoelectrical properties of spray pyrolyzed indium oxide thin films doped by tin. *Thin Solid Films* **2014**, *552*, 225. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Ribeiro, J.M.; Correia, F.C.; Kuzmin, A.; Jonane, I.; Kong, M.; Goñi, A.R.; Tavares, C.J. Influence of Nb-doping on the local structure and thermoelectric properties of transparent TiO₂:Nb thin films. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2020**, *838*, 155561. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.