

Transient Brittle Creep Mechanism Explains Early Postseismic Phase of the 2011 Tohoku-Oki Megathrust Earthquake: Observations by High-Rate GPS Solutions

Axel Periollat, Mathilde Radiguet, Jérôme Weiss, Cédric Twardzik, David

Amitrano, Nathalie Cotte, Lou Marill, Anne Socquet

▶ To cite this version:

Axel Periollat, Mathilde Radiguet, Jérôme Weiss, Cédric Twardzik, David Amitrano, et al.. Transient Brittle Creep Mechanism Explains Early Postseismic Phase of the 2011 Tohoku-Oki Megathrust Earthquake: Observations by High-Rate GPS Solutions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2022, 127 (8), 10.1029/2022JB024005. hal-04420761

HAL Id: hal-04420761 https://hal.science/hal-04420761

Submitted on 26 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



JGR Solid Earth

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2022JB024005

Key Points:

- Kinematic and static positions time series are used to analyze the postseismic deformation following the M_w 9.0 Tohoku-Oki earthquake
- The temporal evolution of the early postseismic is explained by an Omori-like Transient Brittle Creep mechanism with a *p*-value around 0.75
- The spatial analysis reveals a major afterslip zone downdip from the main rupture and a secondary one close to the Ibaraki-Oki event

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

A. Periollat, axel.periollat@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Citation:

Periollat, A., Radiguet, M., Weiss, J., Twardzik, C., Amitrano, D., Cotte, N., et al. (2022). Transient brittle creep mechanism explains early postseismic phase of the 2011 Tohoku-Oki megathrust earthquake: Observations by high-rate GPS solutions. *Journal* of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 127, e2022JB024005. https://doi. org/10.1029/2022JB024005

Received 12 JAN 2022 Accepted 2 JUL 2022

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Axel Periollat, Mathilde Radiguet, Jérôme Weiss Formal analysis: Axel Periollat, Mathilde Radiguet, Jérôme Weiss, Cédric Twardzik Methodology: Axel Periollat, Cédric Twardzik, David Amitrano, Nathalie Cotte, Lou Marill Project Administration: Anne Socquet Software: David Amitrano Supervision: Mathilde Radiguet, Jérôme Weiss, Nathalie Cotte Validation: Mathilde Radiguet, Jérôme Weiss Writing - original draft: Axel Periollat Writing – review & editing: Axel Periollat, Mathilde Radiguet, Jérôme

© 2022. American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved.

Transient Brittle Creep Mechanism Explains Early Postseismic Phase of the 2011 Tohoku-Oki Megathrust Earthquake: Observations by High-Rate GPS Solutions

Axel Periollat¹, Mathilde Radiguet¹, Jérôme Weiss¹, Cédric Twardzik², David Amitrano¹, Nathalie Cotte¹, Lou Marill¹, and Anne Socquet¹

¹Université Grenoble Alpes, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IRD, Université Gustave Eiffel, ISTerre, Grenoble, France, ²Institut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg, UMR 7516, Université de Strasbourg, EOST, CNRS, Strasbourg, France

Abstract The early stage of the postseismic phase is characterized by a large deformation rate. Its analysis is thus key to decipher the role played by different mechanisms (afterslip and viscoelasticity) at various time scales. Here, we process GPS data to obtain 30-s kinematic position time series recording the surface deformation following the $M_{\rm w}$ 9.0 Tohoku-Oki megathrust earthquake (2011), and combine them with static solutions over 9 years. We analyze the temporal evolution of the time series and use these observations to image the postseismic slip. We find that the first month of deformation following Tohoku-Oki can be explained by an afterslip mechanism, that exhibits an "Omori-like" decay, with a p-value around 0.75 almost everywhere with the exception of a small region around Ibaraki prefecture where $p \sim 1$ is observed. This p < 1 indicates that the postseismic displacements do not increase logarithmically with time as predicted by rate-and-state rheology. Instead, we argue that early afterslip is associated with a transient brittle creep mechanism. We use numerical simulations to show that an exponent of p < 1 can be explained by a combination of thermal activation of local slips and elastic interactions. Over longer time scales, an additional mechanism is required to explain the observed deformation signal, and the transient brittle creep mechanism is combined with viscoelastic relaxation modeled by a Newtonian flow. The spatial analysis reveals two distinct afterslip regions, a major one on the North, associated with a p-value around 0.75, and a smaller one close to the Ibaraki aftershock, associated with $p \sim 1$.

Plain Language Summary The Tohoku-Oki earthquake of 11 March 2011, is the largest subduction earthquake of the past decade. Earthquakes produce a perturbation in the state of stress of the crust and mantle, and this perturbation is relaxed after the earthquake, during the postseismic phase. This phase is associated with deformations measurable at the earth surface with instruments such as GPS stations. Two main mechanisms are commonly considered to explain this postseismic phase: afterslip, which corresponds to the aseismic slip occurring around the fault zone, or a viscoelastic relaxation in the mantle. The originality of our study is the inclusion of the GPS signal from the first few minutes after the earthquake, giving details on the early postseismic deformation. We find that the early postseismic phase of Tohoku-Oki can be understood from a different physical model than those commonly considered. Based on a spatial analysis, we have identified a first major afterslip region downdip of the Tohoku-Oki rupture zone and a second small area near the largest aftershock. These two regions also show different temporal evolutions. Further studies on other large earthquakes should allow to revisit the early postseismic phase of large subduction earthquakes.

1. Introduction

Following large earthquakes, the state of stress of the surrounding earth crust and mantle is modified, triggering aftershocks and various aseismic processes, in the so called postseismic phase. Aftershocks represent a small portion of the energy released in this postseismic phase, and aseismic processes, among which afterslip (transient frictional sliding on the fault), viscoelastic relaxation in the mantle, and/or poroelastic rebound are considered to dominate. These mechanisms involve different spatial and temporal scales, and it is not trivial to differentiate between them based on the deformation signal observed at the surface (Ingleby & Wright, 2017).

A detailed analysis of the temporal evolution of the deformation is necessary to identify the underlying mechanisms at play at both short (minutes to days) and long (years) timescales. The early stages of the postseismic



Weiss, Cédric Twardzik, David Amitrano, Anne Socquet phase, from a few minutes to a few hours after the mainshock, are characterized by high deformation rates. Analyzing the deformation in this early period can bring strong constraints on the postseismic behavior. Yet, the early postseismic deformation has been little studied, because high-rate time series of the surface deformation in the vicinity of the rupture zone are not easily available and, when available, it is complex to detect signal at this early stage due to the larger noise level of the high-rate time series compared to traditional daily position time series (Twardzik et al., 2019).

The recent devastating megathrusts earthquake (Sumatra, Chile and Japan) have significantly increased our understanding of the postseismic phase. In particular, the M_w 9.0 Tohoku earthquake was recorded with unprecedented high resolution in space and time, and provides a unique opportunity to analyze the postseismic relaxation, including its early stage. For these large subduction earthquakes, afterslip and viscoelastic relaxation have been identified as the most important mechanisms (e.g., Wang et al., 2012) to explain the observed deformation. Instead, the contribution of the poroelastic rebound to the inland displacement was shown to be small for the Tohoku-Oki event (Hu et al., 2014).

Deciphering the contributions of afterslip and viscoelastic relaxation in the postseismic deformation signal, notably in the early stages remains a difficult problem. Postseismic models assuming an elastic earth (Ozawa et al., 2012) tend to substantially overestimate the amount of afterslip compared to viscoelastic models including a transient viscosity (Sun & Wang, 2015; Sun et al., 2014). Several studies considered that afterslip is the dominant mechanism at short time scales, from a few days to a few months after the mainshock (Hsu, 2006; Perfettini & Avouac, 2014; Perfettini et al., 2010), and it is generally modeled using the rate-and-state formalism, with afterslip occurring in a region with a velocity-strengthening friction regime. Within a steady-state approximation of the rate-and-state law (Dieterich, 1979; Marone et al., 1991), a logarithmic increase of slip with time is predicted from the rate-and-state formulation. This temporal evolution matches the aftershock decay rates, leading to the hypothesis that aftershocks are driven by afterslip (Perfettini & Avouac, 2004). However, the importance of viscoelastic relaxation in the first year of the deformation has been evidenced for the Tohoku-Oki earthquake by the landward motion recorded by offshore geodetic data, motion that can only be explained by viscoelastic deformation (Sun & Wang, 2015; Sun et al., 2014), and modeled using low transient viscosities in the mantle $(2.5 - 5.0 \times 10^{17} \text{ Pa.s})$.

Previous studies investigating the early postseismic phase (signal from the first minutes to few hours after the end of the coseismic rupture) of large earthquakes are still quite rare, and include the M_w 6.4 2004 Parkfield earthquake (Langbein, 2006), the M_w 8.1 2003 Tokachi-Oki earthquake (Fukuda et al., 2009, 2013; Miyazaki & Larson, 2008), the M_w 7.2 2012 Nicoya earthquake (Malservisi et al., 2015), and more recently the M_w 7.8 2016 Pedernales earthquake (Tsang et al., 2019), the M_w 8.3 Illapel earthquake (Twardzik et al., 2021) and a compilation of studies including four megathrusts in South America (Twardzik et al., 2019). All these studies reveal the importance of early postseismic deformation, and the fact that measurements made using daily GNSS solutions can lead to a significant overestimation of the coseismic offsets. Concerning the mechanisms involved at this early stage, Langbein (2006) and Morikami and Mitsui (2020) show that the GPS data starting from a few minutes after the mainshock are adequately fitted by an «Omori-like» evolution of the velocity, that is, $v \sim 1/t^p$ and p < 1. Fukuda et al. (2009) suggested that the Tokachi-Oki relaxation follows the prediction from the full rate-and-state formulation, including the acceleration phase (Perfettini & Ampuero, 2008). However, an alternative explanation for this apparent acceleration is the perturbation due to an early aftershock (Miyazaki & Larson, 2008).

We take advantage of the dense inland GPS network in Japan to investigate the postseismic deformation mechanisms after the great Tohoku-Oki earthquake which occurred on 11 March 2011 at 05:46:24 (UTC) near the northeast coast of Honshu. This earthquake has been widely recorded with various datasets, such as inland seismic and/or geodetic data, seafloor geodetic observations and tsunami data. Previous studies of the coseismic phase feature a ~400 km (along strike) by ~150 km (along dip) slipping area, with a maximum slip that sometimes exceeds 50 m at shallow depth close to the trench (see for a review Lay, 2018; Tajima et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018). Previous works have been done on the postseismic phase of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake. Some only considered afterslip (Ozawa et al., 2012; Perfettini & Avouac, 2014) and revealed a large afterslip patch downdip from the coseismic slip and possibly shallow afterslip (Perfettini & Avouac, 2014). Others also take into account the contribution from viscoelastic deformation (i.e., Sun & Wang, 2015; Sun et al., 2014). Two studies analyzed the early postseismic phase of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake Munekane (2012), and Morikami and Mitsui (2020). They use high-rate kinematic GPS data and reveal information about the kinematics of the postseismic phase, but without providing details on the possible mechanisms. In this study, we want to explore further the postseismic phase of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake, more specifically at its early stages, and provide a mechanical interpretation of the observed evolution of the deformation.

In this study, we characterize the temporal evolution of the postseismic deformation from minutes to years after the Tohoku-Oki event, combining 30-s kinematic GPS data for the early stages (from 10 min to 1 month) after the coseismic rupture and daily solutions for longer time scales (from 1 month to \sim 9 years). Then, we use simple analytical models to explain the temporal evolution of our data with the goal to constrain the mechanisms and the rheology of this postseismic phase. Following Montési (2004), we associate each temporal evolution with a given rheological model (afterslip, viscoelastic relaxation). Despite its simplicity, our approach aims at discussing the mechanisms at stake, and thus goes further than curve fitting approaches (Sobrero et al., 2020; Tobita, 2016) which only focus on finding the set of functions minimizing the residuals.

Section 2 describes the GPS data used, processing methods employed to obtain the position time series, and the post-processing. In Section 3, we model the observed postseismic surface displacement using different rheological models. We show that afterslip with an "Omori-like" evolution of the velocity and an exponent of p < 1 best fits our early postseismic data. We check how the model explains the observation at larger time-scales (~9 years) and we show that we should combine afterslip and viscoelastic relaxation. We discuss the physical meaning of the exponential decay and its implications for the fault rheology. In Section 4, we focus on the spatial pattern of postseismic slip by inverting the observed surface displacements to locate slip on the plate interface. We produce coseismic slip models for the mainshock and the largest M_w 7.7 Ibaraki-Oki aftershock which occurred ~30 min after the mainshock. Then, different time windows of increasing length are used to investigate the spatio-temporal evolution of the postseismic slip. Both analysis in Section 3 and 4 allow us to determine two separate types of behaviors. The first concerns regions which are directly affected by the Tohoku-Oki event, the other is a small region near the Ibaraki-Oki rupture area. In Section 5, we discuss the observed results, in particular the significance of the exponent p < 1 found in our temporal evolution, in the light of results coming from numerical mechanical modeling.

2. GPS Data Analysis

The *GPS Earth Observation Network* (GEONET) network in Japan consists of around 1,200 permanent GPS stations. In this study, we selected the 318 stations within a distance of 500 km from the Tohoku-Oki epicenter, which correspond to the stations that recorded the Tohoku-Oki mainshock, its main aftershocks (Figures 1a and 1b) and the postseismic signal (Nishimura et al., 2011).

Our study is a compilation of two distinct GPS processing strategies: (a) the first month after the mainshock is processed with high-rate kinematic 30-s solutions to investigate in detail the fast motion at the beginning of the postseismic phase, and (b) from one month to ~9 years after the mainshock the time series are extended with daily solutions from static processing. In each case, the time series are processed with the Precise Point Positioning (PPP) approach (Zumberge et al., 1997), using the GIPSYX-1.3 software developed by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL). This single receiver approach requires high accuracy on satellites clocks and orbits (Bertiger et al., 2010). We used the final JPL clock corrections and orbits http://www.igs.org/products defined in the IGS14 reference frame (Altamimi et al., 2016). Tropospheric delays and gradients are estimated (every 30-s) using VMF1 mapping functions (Boehm et al., 2006) and we consider high order ionospheric terms using the IRI-2012 model (Bilitza et al., 2014). Ocean-loading effects are corrected using the FES2014b model (Spiridonov & Vinogradova, 2020). An elevation mask of 7° is used. Antenna and radome models are used to correct phase center variations on antennas.

For the high rate positions, our processing strategy is similar to the multi-step iterative method described by Twardzik et al. (2019). To reduce high frequency noise in the time series, a Kalman filter is used during the processing of the GPS data, and the associated random walk parameters are selected to be suitable for detecting slow processes over time scales of hours to days. Following Twardzik et al. (2019), and consistently with Choi (2007), we used $9.0e-5 \text{ m/}\sqrt{\text{s}}$ for the troposphere zenith random walk parameter and $3.0e-4 \text{ m/}\sqrt{\text{s}}$ for the random walk parameter of the Kalman filter for the kinematic positioning. We process separately the data from one month before the earthquake up to the origin time of the earthquake, and from 10 min after the origin time ($t_0^* = t_0 + 10 \text{ min}$) to one month after the earthquake. We start the time series at t_0^* to avoid perturbation due to





Figure 1. Maps of the Japan Area and examples of GPS kinematic time series. (a) Plate boundaries are illustrated in red, the associated Pacific interseismic loading (~80 mm/year) is represented with the red arrow. Significant earthquake focal mechanisms (F-net catalog) sorted by size (yellow as foreshock, black as mainshock, black and blue as largest aftershocks). Stations used for this study are located within the black circle (distance from the mainshock epicenter less than 500 km). (b) Black triangles are stations used for this study, brown triangles illustrate stations shown on Figure 1c, gray triangles are stations represented on Figures 2, 3, 5 and 6 and blue triangles are stations used to build the stack for the common modes correction. Earthquakes recorded by our kinematic data are the mainshock as well as the Ibaraki-Oki and largest north aftershock. Plates boundaries (red lines) and isodepth of the fault are given (thin red lines). (c) Postseismic signal from five different stations (shown on Figure 1b) on East component after the kinematic processing and post-processing phases applied (Sidereal Filtering and Removing Common Modes).

the passing of the seismic waves. This separated processing for the data before and after the earthquake prevents our estimation of the coseismic offset to be biased by the temporal smoothing induced by the kinematic processing. The obtained kinematic position times series are still biased by multipath effects due to the reflected waves recorded by receivers and common modes due to mismodeling of satellites orbits. To correct multipath effects (see Figure S1a in Supporting Information S1), we build a sidereal filter following Twardzik et al. (2019), using the signal data from 7 days in the period before the earthquake. We also correct the time series from common modes following the approach of Marquez-Azua and DeMets (2003), by stacking signals (see Figure S1b in Supporting Information S1) on stations far from coseismic and postseismic effects (blue triangles locations on Figure 1b).

We estimate the mean position uncertainty for each individual station and component by calculating the Root Mean Square (RMS); over a period spanning one month before the foreshock (9 February 2011–9 March 2011). To quantify the improvement in time series quality associated with our post-processing, we estimated the RMS on each component, and we average over all stations. Our corrections lead to a global RMS reduction from 9.1 to 6.3 mm and from 9.5 to 7.0 mm on the North and East components respectively. The RMS reduction on the Vertical component is low (from 22 to 21 mm); this is expected as the Vertical component is highly sensitive to local uncertainties especially in the evaluation of the wet tropospheric delay. This, combined with a low postseismic signal on this component, implies poor signal to noise ratio on the Vertical. Consequently, we did not include vertical time series in the temporal subsequent analysis.

To summarize, our data set consists of 30-s kinematic time series starting from 10 min after the earthquake origin time (t_0^* : 05:56:00 UTC) and up to 1 month after Tohoku-Oki, which we complete with daily solutions up to ~9 years after the mainshock. Among the 318 stations processed, five examples of final kinematic position time series are shown on Figure 1c, with their locations indicated as brown triangles on Figure 1b. For all the data (kinematic and daily static processing), we detrend the time series by removing the interseismic velocity, in order to focus on the postseismic signal only. The interseismic trend was estimated using a trajectory model (Marill et al., 2021), over a two years period (2009–2011) prior the earthquake (see Figure S2 in Supporting



10.1029/2022JB024005



Figure 2. Temporal Evolution and models used to fit GPS kinematic time series. (a–i) Displacement evolution on the East component (gray curve) during the first month after the mainshock in logarithmic scale on the *x*-axis. Different mechanisms and models are tested, the viscoelastic model with an exponential velocity decay (Equation 2 or Equation 4—blue curves), the velocity-strengthening afterslip associated with a logarithmic increase of the displacement (Equation 7—orange curves), the transient brittle creep mechanism described by an "Omori-like" signature characterized by a *p*-value (Equation 10—red curves) and the combination of mantellic viscoelasticity and velocity-strengthening afterslip (Equation 11—green curves). Station locations are represented on Figure 1b (gray triangles) and situated (a–c). Near the coast of Tohoku (d–f). Center of Japan (g–i). Near the coast of Ibaraki.

Information S1). Even if we start 10 min after the earthquake origin time, we were able to capture the coseismic displacements of two large aftershocks. The first aftershock is a M_w 7.4 (06:08:53 UTC) located north of the mainshock, and we find some sites along the Miyagi-Iwate coast showing a coseismic displacement of a few centimeters. The second is the M_w 7.7 Ibaraki-Oki (06:15:34 UTC) earthquake, south of the mainshock (Figures 1a and 1b). Stations near the largest aftershock location, as station 3010 on the Figure 1c, show a well detected coseismic offset, partially smoothed by the Kalman Filter during the GNSS processing. We estimate the offsets due to the aftershocks using a time window from 9 to 27 min after t_0^* which allows us to account for the smoothed offsets. This estimated coseismic offset is then removed from the postseismic time series which we analyze and we have chosen to not consider the data recorded during this time window when fitting with the different models described below (thus the data gap in Figures 2 and 3).

3. Modeling Postseismic Temporal Evolution

To model the postseismic temporal evolution, we first explore the characteristics of the temporal evolution of the surface displacements during the early stage of the postseismic phase, defined as the first month following the Tohoku-Oki earthquake. Then, using our daily solutions, we extended this analysis to a longer timescale of ~ 9 years.

Figure 3. Residuals Analysis. (a–i) Residual displacements on the East component during the first month after the mainshock in logarithmic scale on the *x*-axis, for three models: The transient brittle creep mechanism described by an "Omori-like" signature characterized by a *p*-value < 1 (Equation 10—red curves), the velocity-strengthening afterslip associated to a 1/*t* decay of the velocity (Equation 7—orange curves) and the combination of mantellic viscoelasticity and velocity-strengthening afterslip (Equation 11—green curves). The purely viscoelastic model (Equations 2 and 4—blue curve in Figure 2) is excluded because it fits the data poorly. Station locations are represented on Figure 1b (gray triangles) and situated (a–c). Near the coast of Tohoku (d–f). Center of Japan (g–i). Near the coast of Ibaraki.

3.1. Early Postseismic Deformation

In what follows, we consider that the stresses resulting from the coseismic rupture induce a postseismic relaxation accommodated by viscoelasticity in the mantle, afterslip, or a combination of both. Coupling this with an elastic crust from a simple conceptual model (Rice & Tse, 1986) gives rise to different expressions for the time evolution of surface velocities and displacements. These expressions are then compared to our GPS time series to discuss the underlying mechanisms at play. Using non-linear least-squares inversion, we compute parameters and uncertainties of the different models on surface displacement time series. We invert parameters for the East and North component to adjust postseismic kinematic position time series. Results obtained on East and North components are consistent but we choose to represent only results obtained on the East component which has the largest deformation amplitude, due to the mainshock location and the thrust faulting mechanism. Figure 2 shows the East component from surface displacements of GPS stations located near Tohoku (Figures 2a–2c), in the central Honshu (Figures 2d–2f) and close to the Ibaraki coast (Figures 2g–2i). The location of these stations is given on Figure 1b (gray triangles). The data gap between 9 and 27 min after t_0^* results from the coseismic correction for the two largest aftershocks recorded. Hereafter, the different possible mechanisms of postseismic deformation and the associated models are described, with the related data fitting description (see Figure 2).

3.1.1. Viscoelastic Relaxation

The first possible mechanism for postseismic deformation is related to the viscoelasticity of the mantle, which is commonly modeled as a Burgers rheology represented by a combination of a Maxwell fluid of viscosity η_M and shear modulus G_M , and a Kelvin solid of viscosity η_K and shear modulus G_K (Sun & Wang, 2015; Sun et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2012). The Kelvin, $\tau_K = \eta_K/G_K$ and Maxwell $\tau_M = \eta_M/G_M$ characteristic timescales are usually defined

with $\tau_K \ll \tau_M$. Consequently, the transient Kelvin component of the rheology is considered to be predominant at short timescales, while the Maxwell component dominates at long timescales. Thus, in an early postseismic regime, a viscoelastic mantle submitted to a constant stress σ should give rise to a velocity v(t) of the form:

$$v(t) = e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_K}},\tag{1}$$

with the initial postseismic velocity $v_0 = v(t_0)$. Consequently the surface displacement u(t) is given by the relation:

$$u(t) = v_0 \tau_K \left(1 - e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_K}} \right).$$
⁽²⁾

A best-fitting attempt of our GPS kinematic time series with expression Equation 2, with τ_k and the prefactor v_0 as adjustable parameters, clearly fails to reproduce the observations (blue curves on Figure 2). At longer timescales, the Maxwell component would add, under a constant stress, a constant velocity term proportional to η_M , that is, a displacement increasing linearly with time, which is not observed in our data. However, in this case, a Newtonian flow of the mantle would relax the stress induced by the coseismic rupture, leading to a feedback loop between a decreasing stress and a stress-dependent rheology. To take this into account, following many others (Helmstetter & Shaw, 2009; Marone et al., 1991; Montési, 2004), we can write:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k\left(v_i - v(t)\right),\tag{3}$$

where v_i is the interseismic velocity resulting from tectonic loading and k a stiffness parameter representing the elastic stiffness of the lithosphere. This interseismic velocity (~cm/year) is negligible compared to our recorded early postseismic velocities (~cm/hour to cm/day). In addition, the time series have been corrected from the interseismic trend (see Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1). As (Montési, 2004) mentioned, coupling expression Equation 3 with a Newtonian creep (*i.e.*, $v \sim \sigma$) within a layer of thickness H predicts an exponential decay of the velocity that mimics Equation 1, hence leading to an expression similar to Equation 2 for the displacement as,

$$u(t) = v_0 \tau_* \left(1 - e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_*}} \right), \tag{4}$$

with a characteristic timescale $\tau_* = k H \eta_M$ (Montési, 2004). Note that although expressions Equations 2 and 4 are similar, they correspond to different physical mechanisms.

As shown on Figure 2, early (~month) Tohoku-Oki postseismic deformation is characterized by an absence of a common characteristic timescale, in qualitative agreement with previous observations for other earthquakes (Savage et al., 2005). This rules out a predominant role of viscoelastic relaxation of the mantle during the early stage of the postseismic phase. We will discuss in more detail below its possible role at longer timescales (~years).

3.1.2. Velocity-Strengthening Afterslip

We now consider that the elastic stresses induced by the coseismic rupture are relaxed through afterslip along a "creeping" section of the fault. Following many others (Marone et al., 1991; Perfettini & Ampuero, 2008), we first assume a velocity-strengthening rate-and-state rheology for this creeping region. Assuming further a rapid evolution of the state variable, the steady-state regime of this rheology is given by:

$$v = v_* e^{\frac{\sigma - \sigma_*}{a - b}},\tag{5}$$

where σ_* is the stress supported by the fault for a reference velocity v_* while *a* and *b* are the classical rate-and-state parameters. Coupling this rheology with relation Equation 3 and a negligible interseismic loading rate ($v_i \ll v$) leads to a velocity history of the form (Montési, 2004), (see the conceptual model on Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1):

1

$$v(t) = v_0 \frac{c}{t+c},\tag{6}$$

with c a time constant depending on the rate-and-state parameters and the stiffness k. This then leads to a logarithmic increase of the displacement:

$$u(t) = c v_0 \ln\left(1 + \frac{t}{c}\right). \tag{7}$$

Note that, in these expressions, *c* does not represent a characteristic *time-decay* for the velocity (as τ_K in Equation 1), but a small *time-delay* before it transitions toward a power law decrease of *v* while avoiding a singularity at $t \rightarrow 0$. Expression Equation 7, with *c* and v_0 as adjustable parameters, fits our GPS kinematic time series much better than expression Equation 2 (orange curves on Figure 2). Still, it is shown on Figure 3 that the corresponding residuals are significantly larger than the RMS of the signal for a majority of the analyzed GPS stations, especially for the first ~40 hr of the signal. Figure 3 suggests that a velocity-strengthening rate-and-state rheology at steady-state improperly models our observations of the early postseismic deformation (very early stage). Nevertheless, we find a small region close to the Ibaraki-Oki aftershock location where the velocity-strengthening afterslip model seems to properly explain the surface displacement evolution (Figures 2 and 3g–3i).

The model fails to fit the very early stage (i.e., the first ~40 hr); this could be explained by the fact that, at short timescales, the steady-state approximation of the rate-and-state formulation is incorrect (Helmstetter & Shaw, 2009). Releasing this approximation, Perfettini and Ampuero (2008) performed a numerical analysis of the dynamics of a velocity-strengthening fault patch following a stress perturbation, and revealed a brief transient acceleration that we do not detect in our data, followed by a very fast velocity decrease before reaching a 1/t decay reminiscent of expression Equation 6. This is also not compatible with our kinematic GPS observations that show instead a velocity decay slower than 1/t from the onset of postseismic deformation (see below).

3.1.3. Transient Brittle Creep

To explain the temporal evolution of postseismic surface displacements, some authors have invoked a transient creep mechanism within an unruptured section of the fault and its surroundings (Savage, 2007; Savage et al., 2005). Under constant stress, most of the materials exhibit initially a transient (primary) creep regime characterized by a decreasing strain-rate, before reaching a secondary creep regime of constant strain-rate. It has been observed empirically for a long time, including for rocks (Griggs, 1939) that this primary creep can be associated, depending on the material and the loading conditions, to a logarithmic increase of the strain, corresponding to a 1/t decay for the strain-rate. In our case, this would translate into expressions similar to Equations 6 and 7 for surface velocities and displacements. Note however that the physical interpretation of such transient creep within a gouge and/or a damaged material surrounding the fault is different from a rate-and-state interfacial rheology discussed in the previous section. Scholz (1968), inspired by previous works on metals (Cottrell, 1952), proposed to explain this so-called transient logarithmic creep of rocks from the cumulative effect of numerous stress- and thermally-activated fracturing and local faulting events. We will come back later to the underlying hypotheses of Scholz's modeling. Here we just note that this mechanism seems inconsistent with our early postseismic data, as we already stressed that Equation 7 doesn't adequately fit our GPS kinematic position time series. However, such logarithmic creep appears as a special case rather than a common rule. Indeed, a more generic empirical expression of transient creep strain-rate $\dot{\epsilon}$, including for rocks (Carter & Kirby, 1978) is:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = A\sigma^n \left(\frac{c}{t+c}\right)^p \exp\left(\frac{-E}{k_B T}\right). \tag{8}$$

Where $p \le 1$, *n* generally lies in the range $2 = n \le 4$ for rocks, *A* is a material constant, and the exponential term accounts for thermal activation characterized by *E* the activation energy (J), $k_{\rm B}$ the Boltzmann constant (J K⁻¹) and *T* the temperature (*K*). In this framework, logarithmic creep corresponds to the end member where p = 1. Historically, Andrade (1910) was the first to report such power law decay of the strain-rate with *p*-values lower than 1 for metal wires with $p \approx 2/3$, which was later called Andrade's creep law. Translating this in terms of postseismic velocities,

$$v(t) = v_0(\sigma) \left(\frac{c}{t+c}\right)^p,$$
(9a)

with the stress dependence expressed as,

$$v_0(\sigma) = A\sigma^n. \tag{9b}$$

It leads to the following expression for the displacement:

$$u(t) = \frac{v_0 c}{1 - p} \left[\left(1 + \frac{t}{c} \right)^{1 - p} - 1 \right].$$
(10)

We fit our GPS kinematic time series with this last expression, with c, p and v_0 as adjustable parameters, and find on Figure 2 an excellent agreement with our data (red curves) for the first ~40 hours of the deformation signal. p-values uncertainties are given in Figure S4 in Supporting Information S1. The corresponding residuals shown on Figure 3 (red curves) are almost flat and remain within the confidence interval determined by the signal RMS.

Figure 3 suggests that a transient brittle creep mechanism properly models our observations of the early postseismic deformation, especially during the very early stage (~40 hours).

We create a catalog of parameters and uncertainties obtained from the non-linear least square inversion. For a few stations (26 sites) with too many missing data during the first month, the algorithm could not solve for the p-value. These stations are not considered in the following. We also remove from our analysis 89 stations which have unreliable estimates of p, discarding stations with a coefficient of determination r^2 lower than 0.8. These stations are mostly located far from the Tohoku-Oki earthquake (as Hokkaido island) and thus have a low signal-to-noise ratio. The 203 remaining stations have an average r^2 of 0.94.

Figure 4a shows the spatial pattern of the *p* exponent with its statistical distribution on Figures 4b and 4c. These figures indicate that most of *p* exponents are centered around 0.75. An exception is a small region close to the location of the Ibaraki-Oki aftershock location where *p*-values closer to 1 are observed. This observation is consistent with that made by Morikami and Mitsui (2020) who fitted velocities instead of displacements with expression Equation 9a. Our results, obtained directly from the fit of the displacement time series, are more robust as they do not depend on the time-binning chosen to estimate velocities. The vast majority of the delay times *c* are of the order of a few hours, without a clear spatial pattern (see on Figures 4d and 4e). Figure 4f indicates that the initial velocity v_0 is higher on the Tohoku coast (~4–5 cm/hr), and lower far from mainshock influence (~<1 cm/hr), consistently with a reduced influence of the coseismic stress perturbation. Stations along the Ibaraki coast are also associated with relatively large initial velocities (~<3 cm/hr). Interestingly, *p*-values obtained for most of the stations are relatively close to the classical Andrade's exponent p = 2/3. Overall, these results suggest that early postseismic deformation following the Tohoku-Oki earthquake could be explained by "afterslip" associated with a transient brittle creep mechanism within the gouge and the surrounding material. We will discuss in more details below the physical interpretation of this transient creep, in particular the significance of the *p*-value exponent.

In what precedes, we only considered a transient creep rheology under constant stress, that is, without considering the stress relaxation induced by the deformation. If we now account for stress relaxation, by coupling this creep rheology (Equations 9a and 9b) with expression Equation 3, it can be shown that the resulting velocity history is unchanged at small timescales $(t \rightarrow 0)$ whatever the *p*-value (expression Equation 9a), while at large time scales $(t \rightarrow \infty)$, an apparent 1/t decay (i.e., p = 1) is obtained. In particular, the logarithmic creep behavior (corresponding to Equations 6 and 7) remains phenomenologically unchanged. In other words, a p < 1 value cannot be explained by stress relaxation, whose sole effect is to reinforce the velocity decay, that is, to increase the apparent *p*-value. In our case, this suggests that the postseismic deformation during the first month after the coseismic rupture only marginally relaxed the induced stresses.

3.1.4. Combination of Viscoelastic Relaxation and Afterslip

To complete this analysis, we also considered a mixed model, combining a viscoelastic mechanism as described by Equation 2 or Equation 4 with afterslip along a "creeping" section of the fault associated with a logarithmic increase of the surface displacements (expression Equation 7). As we already described in the previous sections, this 1/t decay could be related to a velocity-strengthening rate-and-state rheology, (expressions Equations 5–7) or to the cumulative effect of numerous stress and thermally-activated fracturing and faulting local events (Equation 8 with the particular case p = 1),

$$u(t) = v_0 c \ln\left(1 + \frac{t}{c}\right) + v_1 \tau_K \left(1 - e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_K}}\right). \tag{11}$$

Figure 4. Parameters and statistics obtained on 203 stations successfully inverted for time series up to 1 month: *p*-value exponents (a) Interpolated map and (b) Statistics and distribution of North area (c) Statistics and distribution of South area. Time delay *c* in hours (d) Interpolated map and (e) Statistics. (f) Map of horizontal initial velocity v_0 .

This last tested model (green curves on Figures 2 and 3) is able to properly fit our GPS kinematic time series, including the first ~40 hours, better than the logarithmic decay model alone (Equation 7). The RMS computed on the residual's analysis of Equation 11 (Figure 3) is similar to the value obtained for the transient brittle creep Equation 10. However, we rejected this model for two reasons. First, the obtained relaxation times τ_K or τ_* (Equation 2 or Equation 4) for this combined model are very short, of the order of a few days. Using a Young's modulus of $G_K = 50$ GPa, this would imply Kelvin viscosities of the mantle η_K to be of the order of 10^{16} Pa.s, that is, about 50 times smaller than commonly considered values (Wang et al., 2012). Second, a conceptual model involving a single mechanism is always preferable to a more complex one combining different mechanisms.

Figure 5. Residuals Analysis of transient brittle creep model on the combination of GPS kinematic (over 1 month), + static time series up to ~ 2020 . (a–i) Displacement evolution on the East component (gray curve) during ~ 9 years after the mainshock in logarithmic scale on the *x*-axis. The *p*-value of the transient brittle creep (Equation 10—red curves) was determined over the first month. Then, the related residuals displacements are computed (blue curves) over large timescales. The black dashed-dotted curves correspond to Equation 2 or Equation 4. RMS of residual displacements is shown (magenta dotted lines). Stations locations are represented on Figure 1b (gray triangles) and situated (a–c). Near the coast of Tohoku (d–f). Center of Japan (g–i). Near the coast of Ibaraki.

3.2. Postseismic Deformation at Larger Time-Scales

We have shown above that a transient brittle creep model with p < 1 fits very well our early postseismic data, from a few minutes to 1 month after the mainshock. The following question is to determine to what extent such model can adequately explain postseismic deformation over longer timescales. To check this, we used position time series combining our kinematic (30-s) first-month time series with daily solutions extending up to ~9 years after the mainshock. At each station, the Omori-like decay (Equation 10 for displacements) over this longer period shows a detectable deviation starting ~100 days after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake (Figure 5). This indicates that a transient brittle creep mechanism along the fault cannot account alone for postseismic deformation at timescales from several months to several years. On Figure 5, we show that an exponential (Equation 4) adequately fits the residuals of this transient creep model. This suggests a signature of a viscoelastic deformation of the mantle. Thus, we build a model that combine a transient brittle creep and a viscoelastic deformation of the mantle:

$$u(t) = \frac{v_0 c}{1 - p} \left[\left(1 + \frac{t}{c} \right)^{1 - p} - 1 \right] + v_1 \tau_* \left(1 - e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_*}} \right).$$
(12)

Figure 6 shows how well this model explains our data from 10 min after the mainshock up to several years after. Here v_0 , c, and p have been obtained from our kinematic time series over the first month (Section 3.1.3), while v_1 and the characteristic time τ_* have been determined from a similar non-linear least square inversion method on the residuals shown on Figure 5 (blue curves). Results are shown on Figure 6 (black curves). We explored the spatial variations on the relaxation time τ_* (see Figure 7a) and we observed a relaxation time around 7 years (statistics on Figure 7b), and which seems to be larger near the Tohoku-Oki earthquake. We have also explored the spatial variations of v_1 on Figure 7c and we find velocities v_1 of a few cm/year, which is orders of magnitude lower than the few cm/hr of the initial postseismic velocities v_0 obtained for the afterslip component. Considering a negligible

10.1029/2022JB024005

Figure 6. Temporal Evolution and models used to fit GPS kinematic + static time series up to ~ 2020 . (a–i) Displacement evolution on the East component (gray) during ~ 9 years after the mainshock in logarithmic scale on the *x*-axis. The transient brittle creep mechanism determined by a *p*-value is combined with an exponential decay function associated with a mantellic viscoelastic mechanism (Equation 2 or Equation 4) which the nature is debated in the discussion (black curves). Final residual displacements (brown curves). Station locations are represented on Figure 1b (gray triangles) and situated (a–c). Near the coast of Tohoku (d–f). Center of Japan (g–i). Near the coast of Ibaraki.

stress relaxation and a shear modulus of $G_K = 50$ GPa, a characteristic timescale of ~7 years would yield a viscosity around 10¹⁹ Pa.s where the spatial variations of η_* are available on Figure S5 in Supporting Information S1. This is compatible with the values of the Maxwell viscosity reported in the literature (Sun et al., 2014).

To conclude on the temporal evolution of the postseismic surface displacements after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake, we observe that the kinematic position time series during the first month following the earthquake are well explained with a transient brittle creep model, with a p exponent of about 0.75 on most of the region and a p exponent closer to 1 on the south nearby the Ibaraki-Oki aftershock. This temporal evolution differs from the 1/t decay (*i.e.*, p = 1) predicted by velocity-strengthening friction. Over longer time scales (several years), an additional mechanism, compatible with viscoelastic mantle relaxation has to be considered.

In the following, we investigate in more details the first month of the postseismic phase, and focus on its spatial pattern. In addition to the spatial variability in the *p*-value already discussed, we will estimate the location of the aseismic slip on the plate interface.

4. Static Inversion to Constrain Coseismic and Postseismic Slip

In what follows, we consider that the postseismic signal occurring during the first month after the earthquake is due either to frictional sliding on the plate interface or shear on a localized band around the interface, and we estimate its spatial distribution by inverting the GPS data (see details Text S1 in Supporting Information S1). We invert East and North components as well as Vertical component even if it is associated to larger uncertainties. In Japan, the large number of inland stations allows to estimate with a good spatial resolution the occurrence of slip below the island. However, the resolution is poor in the offshore region of the plate interface (see Text S2 and Figure S6 in Supporting Information S1). Using the configuration detailed in Figure S7a and S7b in

Figure 7. Parameters and Statistics obtained on 192 stations successfully inverted for Time Series up to ~2020. (a) Relaxation time τ_* interpolated map. (b) Map of horizontal velocities v_1 associated with a mantellic viscoelastic mechanism. (c) Relaxation time τ_* statistics and distribution.

Supporting Information S1 we estimate the slip on the plate interface by doing static inversions for 12 successive time windows.

4.1. Time Windows Definition

We estimate the postseismic displacements over the first month for several time windows with increasing duration, and select the time windows so that they correspond to a similar increase in surface displacement. We use the station 0930 as a reference, since it records among the largest postseismic displacements. The cumulative displacement windows $\Delta d = 2, 4, 6,...$ cm define time windows $(t_0^*, t_0^* + \Delta t)$ of increasing duration from 3.25 hr to 29.4 days, see details in Figure S8 in Supporting Information S1. We end up separating the first month of postseismic record into 12-time windows, each corresponding to an almost identical amplitude of displacement, as shown in Figure S8 in Supporting Information S1. As the postseismic velocity decreases with time, the successive time-windows have an increasing duration. Then, we perform static inversions for the coseismic displacements of the Tohoku-Oki and Ibaraki-Oki events, as well as for the cumulative postseismic displacement over these different time windows.

4.2. Slip Inversion Procedure

For each time window considered, the horizontal and vertical displacements are inverted to estimate the slip on the subduction interface. We inverted East and North components as well as the Vertical component associated to large uncertainties (computed on time series in Section 2). We use the 3D geometry from Slab 2.0 (Hayes et al., 2018) to represent the Japanese subduction interface. The slab extends about 600 km along strike and 350 km along dip, down to a depth of 110 km. It is discretized in 1,526 triangular patches of ~193 km².

The static Greens functions are computed for an elastic half space using the point source formulation of Okada (1985). We use a regularized least square inversion scheme following (Radiguet et al., 2011, 2016) (see details in Text S1 in Supporting Information S1). The selection of the optimal slip directions and regularization parameters is detailed in the Figures S7a and S7b in Supporting Information S1.

Figure 8. Spatio-temporal evolution of (a). Tohoku-Oki coseismic offset (05:46:00–05:56:00 UTC) with $\chi^2 = 16.2$ (b). Ibaraki-Oki coseismic offset (06:06:00–06:24:00 UTC) with $\chi^2 = 2.8$. Black and blue arrows represent observed and predicted horizontal displacements. Green lines represent slip contour in meters. Stars correspond to the epicenters of the three main events. Color scale indicates the slip amplitude in meters.

4.3. Slip Location for the Mainshock, Aftershocks and the Early Postseismic Period

The slip models obtained for the mainshock and aftershocks coseismic displacements are shown on Figures 8a and 8b (see residual horizontal displacements Figure S9 in Supporting Information S1). The mainshock coseismic slip distribution has a moment magnitude of M_w 9.0 (assuming a Young Modulus E = 50 GPa), and corresponds to a large area of shallow (<30 km) slip near the trench with a maximum amplitude of ~33 m. This model, although constrained only by onshore GPS stations, gives a first order estimate of the coseismic offset slip distribution for the Tohoku-Oki earthquake. Our model is consistent with several previously published models, but probably underestimates the large amount of slip close to the trench since we use only onshore GNSS data (see for a review Lay, 2018; Tajima et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018). The inversion of the time window which includes the aftershocks, see below, (Figure 8b) ($t_0^* + 9$ to $t_0^* + 27$ min) allows to estimate the slip distribution which corresponds to a magnitude of M_w 7.9. We identified a first slip region nearby the Ibaraki prefecture which is associated with the aftershock of Ibaraki-Oki (06:15:34 UTC) which has a magnitude of M_w 7.7 (F-NET) or M_w 7.9 (USGS). We also remarked a small slip dip area north of Tohoku-Oki which corresponds to the location of a M_w 7.4 (06:08:53 UTC) aftershock (identified by F-NET), that occurred during the same 18 min time window.

To explore the postseismic period, we remove the data recorded during the aftershock evaluation period $(t_0^* + 9-27 \text{ min gap visible in Figures 2 and 3})$, and correct from the aftershocks offsets by estimating a step function. The time series begin at t_0^* , 10 min after the initiation of the mainshock. As defined in Section 4.1, we represent the cumulative (since t_0^*) slip distribution during the first month of the postseismic period, for the 12-time windows of increasing duration (see Figure 9 and time windows duration on Table S1 in Supporting Information S1). The observed, predicted and residual horizontal displacements are available on Figures S10 and S11 in Supporting Information S1.

Figure 9. Spatio-temporal evolution of afterslip during the first month on 12-time windows: Aftershock(s) coseismic offsets are removed from the postseismic deformation. Green lines represent slip contours of the Tohoku-Oki mainshock and Ibaraki-Oki aftershock in meters. Stars correspond to the three main events. Color scale indicates the slip amplitude in meters.

Figure 10. (a) Afterslip during the first month following Tohoku-Oki divided in two main areas: region 1 in the North (afterslip central and northern patch near the Iwate-Miyagi coast) and region 2 in the South (afterslip near Ibaraki coast) are defined by a slip larger than 1 m (b) Equivalent seismic magnitude of the postseismic slip computed over time for all the fault plane (gray line), region 1 (blue line) and region 2 (brown line). Black and magenta stars represent the seismic magnitudes of the mainshock and the aftershock, respectively. (c) Equivalent seismic moment normalized between 0 and 1 over time, black lines represent the best-fit following Equation 10 for both regions. (d) Moment Rate computed over the time window $(t_0^* + 10.1 \text{ hr and } t_0^* 29.4 \text{ days})$.

For the first snapshot (Figure 9a, t_0^* + 3.25 hr), the signal is slightly above the noise level (maximum 2 cm of displacement at some stations), and it is difficult to distinguish a clear pattern in the slip distribution, although the slipping area is located downdip from the mainshock (a distribution i.e., potentially biased by the lack of resolution nearby the trench). The successive snapshots for the first day (Figures 9b and 9c) reveal a coherent trenchward motion of the GPS network (Figure S10 in Supporting Information S1). Our inversion reveals two main afterslip regions: one located just below the Tohoku-Oki coseismic slip rupture, and a second one south of the Ibaraki-Oki aftershock. Between these 2 regions, an intermediate zone at the latitude ~37°N (see Figures 9d–9l) do not show significant afterslip. The existence of these two well-separated patches of afterslip is actually visible in the pattern displayed by the surface GPS displacements, characterized by two groups of GPS stations pointing toward two distinct directions (Figure S10 in Supporting Information S1). The amount of postseismic surface displacements and corresponding afterslip for the first day after the event, shown in Figures 9a-9c is not available using daily GPS solution alone (Figure S10 in Supporting Information S1). We can see that this first day early afterslip is significant, with maximum horizontal displacements of 18 cm, corresponding to an equivalent magnitude of M_w 8 for the whole subduction interface (see Figure 10a-b). This estimation does not consider the postseismic signal just after the mainshock (the first 10 min) where the deformation is the strongest. An estimation of the missed signal can be made by extrapolating the temporal evolution of Equation 10 to earlier time. The estimate is highly dependent on the c-value (larger early slip for lower c-value). Considering c = 1 hr (a value corresponding to a lower bound of our estimates), and p = 0.75, only 3% of the slip of the first 24 hr would occur during the first 10 min.

Our slip inversion shows that the largest amplitude of the early afterslip is located mostly at depth between 30 and 50 km, downdip of the coseismic slip, and is separated in two patches (region 1 and region 2 in Figure 10a), in agreement with previous studies (see for a review (Lay, 2018; Tajima et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018)). Our inversion also shows some afterslip of lower amplitude propagating in the coseismic rupture zone. Given the low resolving power of our onshore GNSS data to offshore slip (see Text S2 and Figure S6 in Supporting Information S1), we consider that this feature is not well resolved. A robust pattern is the identification of two main afterslip regions, one located just below the mainshock and another one on the south, associated with the $M_{\rm w}$ 7.7 aftershock, separated by a region of low afterslip (Figure 10a). We postulate that these two regions could be associated with the different temporal evolutions identified in the previous section. We estimate the equivalent seismic moments and magnitudes released aseismically for these two regions (Figures 10b and 10c) and their associated moment rates (Figure 10d). As expected, region 1 (below the mainshock) has a larger magnitude than region 2 (M_w 8.1 vs. M_w 7.4 after 1 month). Despite the low temporal resolution of our kinematic inversion (12 sequences over 1 month), a fit to the temporal evolution of the cumulative moment using Equation 10 gives an optimal p value of 0.8 for region 1 and 0.99 for region 2, which is compatible with the models from in the previous section obtained using the position time series (with $p \sim 0.75$ in region 1 and $p \sim 1$ in region 2), and confirms the differences in the temporal evolution of afterslip for the two regions.

5. Discussion

The former observations of early postseismic deformation following large subduction earthquakes were limited by the low number of GPS time series (Twardzik et al., 2019). The Tohoku-Oki earthquake studied in this paper represents an opportunity to improve our understanding of such postseismic phase and to constrain its temporal evolution over a wide range of timescales (Malservisi et al., 2015), owing to the large aseismic displacement recorded and the dense network of GPS stations. In Section 3, we argued that our GPS time series, from the timescale of a few minutes to that of several years after the coseismic rupture can be explained by a combination of afterslip, largely dominant at short timescales, and a viscoelastic relaxation process of the mantle at longer timescales. Hereafter we mainly discuss the nature of the afterslip mechanism, which dominates the early postseismic stage with an Omori-like signature (Figure 2). Its temporal evolution mostly depends on the *p*-value (Equation 10) which we found, consistently with (Morikami & Mitsui, 2020), to be significantly smaller than one except for a small region near the Ibaraki-Oki aftershock where the *p*-value is closer to one (Figure 4a). We have highlighted that this p < 1 value is incompatible with a classical velocity-strengthening regime of a rate-andstate rheology. Hereafter we propose a possible mechanism to explain *p* values lower than one, which we attempt to validate using numerical mechanical modeling.

5.1. Velocity-Strengthening Interfacial Rheology Versus Transient Brittle Creep

As we already mentioned above, the current interpretations of afterslip are either based on a velocity-strengthening regime of a rate-and-state rheology for a frictional interface (Marone et al., 1991; Perfettini & Ampuero, 2008; Perfettini & Avouac, 2004), or a transient creep mechanism (Savage, 2007; Savage et al., 2005). Although these two interpretations appear at first glance as being different in nature, they actually share some similarities in their underlying physics. Scholz modeled transient brittle creep as resulting from the cumulative effect of numerous stress- and thermally-activated fracturing events, (Scholz, 1968) each of them inducing a small strain/slip increment, and predicted a logarithmic strain (or slip) of the type $\varepsilon(t) \sim \ln(1 + t/c)$, corresponding to a strain-rate (or slip velocity) decaying in 1/t, that is, p = 1. In other words, this approach is unable to model the p < 1 values characterizing the early phase of afterslip for the Tohoku earthquake in most regions (Figure 4a). However, Scholz's model, reconsidered more recently by Savage and co-workers in the context of postseismic deformation (Savage, 2007; Savage et al., 2005), is based on several strong simplifying assumptions:

- 1. An exhaustion hypothesis, that is, a local site/asperity cannot slip more than once
- 2. An absence of mechanical interactions between slip events

On the other hand, the rate-and-state rheology originates from an empirical formulation based on laboratory sliding-block experiments (Dieterich, 1979; Marone, 1998). (Baumberger et al., 1999; Heslot et al., 1994) considered the role of thermal activation of local slip events to explain the velocity-strengthening regime of dynamic friction, as well as the aging of the interface under constant normal stress (the state effect). This suggests a

Figure 11. Progressive damage modeling of transient brittle creep. The elastic domain is discretized into *N*-finite elements of initially equal Young's modulus E_0 but a variable cohesion. The figure represents the damage field obtained at the end of the athermal pre-loading stage, with an incipient inclined fault. The color represent the damage values, normalized such that the maximum damage values, that is, the minimum elastic moduli, are shown in black along the fault. This faulted domain is then reloaded under a constant external uniaxial creep stress σ , with thermal activation switched on. This leads to a resolved shear stress τ along the fault plane and a normal stress σ_n .

similarity with brittle creep. However, much like in Scholz's model of brittle creep, they neglected elastic interactions between microslip events.

As we will show in Section 5.2, the simplifying assumptions of Scholz's model are unphysical, and their release allows modeling a transient creep with p-values lower than one, as well as possible effect of temperature on the p-value.

5.2. Transient Brittle Creep as a Combination of Thermally-Activated Processes and Eslastic Stress Transfers

To explore this, we used a damage model, which takes into account elastic interactions, and implemented thermal activation from a kinetic Monte-Carlo algorithm (Bortz et al., 1975). The athermal version of this damage model has been thoroughly detailed elsewhere (Amitrano et al., 1999; Girard et al., 2010), hence we will only recall its main characteristics here. In this 2D model (see Figure 11), an elastic domain is discretized into N finite-elements, all with the same Young's modulus E_0 . Loading is applied on the boundaries of the domain. Initial disorder is introduced from a spatially variable cohesion drawn from a uniform distribution. At the element scale, damage of the material, that is, a decrease of the modulus E by a factor 1-d (with d = 0.1for the simulations presented below), occurs whenever the local stress state reaches a Mohr-Coulomb's criterion (see Figure S12 in Supporting Information S1). This local softening generates a strain increment. After each damage event, the static equilibrium is re-calculated while the external loading is maintained constant, inducing a redistribution of elastic stresses within the domain, which can potentially trigger additional damage events, particularly in the vicinity of the former one (see details in Figure S12 in Supporting Information S1). This was shown to successfully reproduce the main characteristics of rocks damage and Coulombic failure, such as the progressive localization of damage upon approaching a peak stress at which an incipient fault nucleates, or the impact of confining pressure and of the internal friction μ on strength and on the mechanical behavior (ductile vs. brittle) (Amitrano, 2003; Amitrano et al., 1999). This way, our model physically

considers elastic interactions between damage/strain events, that is, releases the assumption (2) of Scholz's model mentioned above. In addition, a given element can damage several times in the course of deformation, hence releasing the exhaustion assumption (point [1] above). Such cascades of events eventually explain damage and strain localization along a "fault." There is no explicit timescale in the athermal version of the model, which is therefore unable to simulate creep deformation under a constant external loading (Amitrano & Helmstetter, 2006). introduced time-dependent damage within this framework from deterministic static fatigue laws at the element scale. This allowed them to successfully reproduce the phenomenology of creep of rocks, including the transition from stage I (a decelerating creep) to stage III (an accelerating creep) preceding failure.

We consider here a different approach based on a stochastic, physics-based modeling of thermal activation. In the athermal model, damage can only occur when the local stress state reaches the Mohr-Coulomb failure envelope. In this new version, at any time t a damage event can occur at element I, with a probability:

$$P_i \sim \exp\left(\frac{-E_a^i}{k_B T}\right),\tag{13}$$

where $k_{\rm B} = 1.38 \ 10^{-23} \,\text{J K}^{-1}$ is the Boltzmann constant, *T* the temperature, and $E_{\rm a}^{i}$ an activation energy of damage for the element *i* in J. A natural choice for this activation energy is (Castellanos & Zaiser, 2018):

$$E_{a}^{i} = V_{a}\Delta\sigma_{i},\tag{14}$$

PERIOLLAT ET AL.

21699356, 2022, 8, Downlor

1029/2022JB024005 by Portail BibCNRS INSU, Wiley Online

where V_a is a spatially constant activation volume and $\Delta \sigma_i$ a Coulomb stress gap between the stress state of the element *i* at time *t* and the Mohr-Coulomb failure envelope. The kinetic Monte-Carlo algorithm allows to randomly select in an efficient numerical way, following a probability P_i , the element *i* that will damage next after a Δt_i time delay. This is not necessarily the one which is the nearest from its local damage threshold, although its probability of damage is the largest Equation 13. As soon as a damage event is thermally activated, elastic stresses are redistributed without advancing further the time (the corresponding elastic timescales are considered to be negligible compared to those of thermally-activated creep), and possibly trigger new damage events in an athermal way. Once such an athermal cascade stops, a new thermally activated event *j* is selected and time increases by Δt_j . This way, the model combines thermally-activated damage and deformation as well as elastic stress redistributions. In what follows, the values of V_a and *T*, as well as the distribution of local cohesion values have been chosen arbitrarily. Indeed, we are not interested in predicting quantitatively creep kinematics for some specific material, instead in simulating the phenomenology of transient creep.

To do this, we considered the following loading protocol (see details in Figure S12 in Supporting Information S1). The internal friction coefficient is fixed to $\mu = 0.7$. A rectangular domain is first compressed uniaxially under a monotonic and *athermal* way, following previous works (Amitrano et al., 1999; Girard et al., 2010). This leads to the development of an inclined fault of highly damaged material, associated to a large macroscopic stress drop. We stop this preliminary step just after this stress drop, and unload the domain. The resulting damage pattern then serves as an initial condition (see Figure S12 in Supporting Information S1) for a second step. During that second step the kinetic Monte-Carlo algorithm is switched on and the domain is re-loaded under a constant macroscopic uniaxial compression stress σ_0 (creep mode) corresponding to some % of the stress remaining after the stress drop of step 1. This way, we simulate the creep of a pre-damaged fault under both normal and shear stress components along the fault plane. In this configuration, all damage and deformation accumulating during the transient creep loading concentrates along the pre-existing fault, while the upper and lower blocks mimic the role of much stiffer elastic plates, however allowing elastic stress transfers. All the results summarized below have been averaged over 10 realizations of the initial disorder (see Figure 12).

The resulting macroscopic strain $\varepsilon(t)$ and strain-rate $\dot{\varepsilon}(t)$, which can therefore be directly linked to a displacement and average "slip velocity" along the fault, follows the typical phenomenology of rocks creep with a decelerating primary (or transient) creep stage I (see Figures 12b, 12d and 12f) followed by an accelerating stage III (see Figure S13 in Supporting Information S1). Note that, in our simulations and much like what is observed in rocks, the creep stage II associated with a supposedly constant strain-rate $\dot{\varepsilon}_{min}$ seems to manifest only in the form of an inflection point (see Figure S13 in Supporting Information S1). We are not interested here in the accelerating stage III, which resulted in our simulations from the development of a secondary conjugate fault, and focus instead on the transient stage I (see Figures 12b, 12d and 12f) during which all deformation occurs along the pre-existing fault (see Figure S12 in Supporting Information S1). Note that under a slow constant strain-rate loading condition, more consistent with tectonic loading at large timescales, such accelerating creep (stage III) would not occur as the primary creep would relax the stress.

In our simulations, the transient creep (stage I) is characterized by a power-law decay of the strain-rate, $\dot{e}(t) \sim 1/t^p$, with a *p*-value always smaller than 1, over several orders of timescales (Figure 12). We can therefore conclude that a transient brittle creep mechanism combining the thermal activation of damage/strain/slip events as well as elastic stress interactions allows reproducing the phenomenology of Tohoku early afterslip. This can be qualitatively interpreted as follows: a *p*-value smaller than 1 implies a slower decay of the afterslip rate compared to p = 1. This comes from the fact that, unlike for the simpler Scholz's approach, a thermally activated event can trigger a cascade of athermal events, or the associated stress redistribution can advance the clock of thermal activation of other sites, in the end sustaining the creep dynamics. In addition, a given site/asperity can slip several times during afterslip, while Scholz excluded this possibility with his exhaustion assumption.

By increasing the applied creep stress while keeping the temperature T unchanged (see Figures 12a and 12b), we observed a shortening of the transient creep stage I (see Figure S13 in Supporting Information S1), as expected, but no significant modification of the *p*-value. Instead, an increase of temperature *T*, while keeping the applied stress constant, appears to decrease the *p*-value as observed for rocks creep in laboratory tests (Carter & Kirby, 1978), see Figures 12a–12c.

Figure 12. Strain ϵ (left) and Strain Rate $d\epsilon/dt$ (right) obtained for the creep deformation of the modeled domain, with the following modeling parameters: Friction coefficient $\mu = 0.7$, constant loading maintained at 50% or 60% of the stress remaining after the stress drop of the pre-loading stage, for T = 300 K (a–d) or T = 600 K (e–f). Ten simulations corresponding to different realizations of the initial disorder were performed in each case, and the black dotted curves represent the average response where the slopes represent the *p*-values estimated. The present curves focus on the transient creep (stage I).

5.3. Identification of Two Main Afterslip Regions

The detailed inversion procedure provides a robust pattern which allow the identification of two main afterlip regions (Figures 9 and 10a), one below the mainshock and another one, separated by a zone of lower afterslip, located on the south. This spatial separation (Figure 10) and the analysis of the temporal evolution of afterslip on the northern and southern region (Figures 2, 4 and 10b), have shown a different behavior defined by a larger *p*-value on the southern region. The postseismic deformation recorded in the Southern region is most likely the consequence of the M_w 7.7 Ibaraki-Oki earthquake, this large aftershock having triggered its own afterslip in this region two evolves differently with respect to the region 1 could be related to a temperature effect. In the southern region, thermal models (Ji et al., 2016) suggest a negative thermal anomaly, related with the nearby subduction Philippine sea plate. The results of our numerical simulations (Figure 12) provide some clues that a lower temperature could have some impact on the transient brittle creep signature (*i.e.*, higher *p*-values). This could potentially explain, at least partly, the larger *p*-values observed south of 37°N (see Figure 4a).

5.4. Postseismic Deformation at Larger Timescales and Viscoelastic Relaxation

At timescales larger than a few months, transient afterslip is unable to fully explain our displacement records. We have shown that the associated residuals are characterized by an exponential decay (Figures 5 and 6), therefore suggesting a combination of transient afterslip and viscoelastic relaxation in the mantle (see Equations 2 and 4) to explain the entire postseismic deformation from a few minutes after the coseismic rupture up to several years.

As mentioned in Section 3, considering a classical Burgers rheology for the viscoelasticity of the mantle with two characteristic timescales $\tau_K \ll \tau_M$, such an exponential decay can be explained either by (a) a transient viscoelasticity regime (associated with τ_K) under a constant stress (Equation 2), or (b) the Maxwell component of the rheology (associated with τ_M) relaxing the stress (Equation 4). The viscosities obtained from our data, around 10^{19} Pa.s, are in favor of the second explanation.

Our analysis did not include the near-trench observations coming from seafloor GPS measurements, as the first data obtained contain several days of postseismic signal. This is the major difference with the studies of (Sun & Wang, 2015; Sun et al., 2014). These authors observed a landward motion of the zone nearby, in opposition with the seaward motions recorded by the land GPS stations. Considering this near-field observation, they modeled the postseismic deformation with a transient viscoelastic mantle rheology in the oceanic mantle. They argued that a non-negligible role of this viscoelasticity leads to a large reduction of the afterslip required to explain the land GPS data. However, their conclusion is not necessarily in contradiction with ours, as the period covered by their study is 1–3 years after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake, while we show that afterslip associated with a transient creep mechanism dominates the postseismic deformation up to few months after the coseismic rupture. Over this early period, we consider viscoelastic relaxation of the mantle to be negligible to explain the motions of the GPS stations installed in mainland Japan.

6. Conclusions

We processed and analyzed high-rate (30-s) GPS solutions recorded during the first month after the M_w 9.0 Tohoku-Oki megathrust earthquake (2011), and complemented this data set with daily solutions at larger timescales, up to ~9 years after the mainshock. This allowed us to explore the kinematics of postseismic deformation following a megathrust earthquake over an unprecedented range of timescales, hence to constrain the nature of the underlying physical processes. We found that early postseismic time series (minutes to months) can be explained by an afterslip mechanism with a p < 1 "Omori-like" signature for the velocities, in disagreement with the prediction of a rate-and-state velocity-strengthening rheology for a frictional interface (which would imply a $p \approx 1$). We argue instead that this early postseismic deformation results from a transient brittle creep mechanism within an unruptured section of the fault and its surroundings, corresponding to the cumulative effect of stressand thermally activated local slip/deformation events. The regional variations of the *p*-value indicate that the area affected by the Ibaraki-Oki aftershock is associated with a $p \sim 1$, that could be due to a negative thermal anomaly in this region.

At larger timescales (years), this transient afterslip mechanism underestimates the surface seaward motions observed by the land GPS stations. Afterslip can explain neither the landward motions observed by a few offshore GPS stations nor the exponential decay of the inland GPS velocities, that are likely a signature of viscoelastic deformation, which becomes significant at multiyear timescales.

In the future, it would be of upmost interest to extend such analysis to other megathrust earthquakes. This would allow to determine whether the characteristics of the Tohoku-Oki postseismic deformation are common or rather an exception.

Data Availability Statement

The GNSS data processing presented in this paper was performed using the GRICAD infrastructure (https://gricad.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr), which is supported by Grenoble research communities. Kinematic GNSS time series are accessible with the DOI: https://doi.org/10.17178/GNSS.products.Japan_GIPSYX.kinematic.2011. Static GNSS time series are accessible with the DOI: https://doi.org/10.17178/GNSS.products.Japan_GIPSYX.daily.

Acknowledgments

This work has benefited from fruitful discussions with Hugo Perfettini. This study has been supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-17-CE31-0002-01) AtypicSSE project. The authors are very grateful to the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan (GSI) for making the GEONET GNSS data available. MR acknowledges the support of the Face foundation (Thomas Jefferson Fund TJF19-21).

References

- Altamimi, Z., Rebischung, P., Métivier, L., & Collilieux, X. (2016). ITRF2014: A new release of the International terrestrial Reference frame modeling nonlinear station motions: ITRF2014. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121(8), 6109–6131. https://doi. org/10.1002/2016JB013098
- Amitrano, D. (2003). Brittle-ductile transition and associated seismicity: Experimental and numerical studies and relationship with the b value. Journal of Geophysical Research, 108(B1). https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000680
- Amitrano, D., Grasso, J.-R., & Hantz, D. (1999). From diffuse to localised damage through elastic interaction. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26(14), 2109–2112. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL900388
- Amitrano, D., & Helmstetter, A. (2006). Brittle creep, damage, and time to failure in rocks: Time to failure in rocks. Journal of Geophysical Research, 111(B11), B11201. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB004252
- Andrade, E. N. D. C. (1910). On the viscous flow in metals, and allied phenomena. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series A: Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, 84(567), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1910.0050
- Baumberger, T., Berthoud, P., & Caroli, C. (1999). Physical analysis of the state- and rate-dependent friction law. II. Dynamic friction. *Physical Review B*, 60(6), 3928–3939. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.3928
- Bertiger, W., Desai, S. D., Haines, B., Harvey, N., Moore, A. W., Owen, S., & Weiss, J. P. (2010). Single receiver phase ambiguity resolution with GPS data. *Journal of Geodesy*, 84(5), 327–337. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-010-0371-9
- Bilitza, D., Altadill, D., Zhang, Y., Mertens, C., Truhlik, V., Richards, P., et al. (2014). The International Reference Ionosphere 2012—A model of international collaboration. *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate*, 4, A07. https://doi.org/10.1051/swsc/2014004
- Boehm, J., Niell, A., Tregoning, P., & Schuh, H. (2006). Global mapping function (GMF): A new empirical mapping function based on numerical weather model data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(7), L07304. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025546
- Bortz, A. B., Kalos, M. H., & Lebowitz, J. L. (1975). A new algorithm for Monte Carlo simulation of king spin systems. Journal of Computational Physics, 17(1), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(75)90060-1
- Carter, N. L., & Kirby, S. H. (1978). Transient creep and semibrittle behavior of crystalline rocks (Vol. 33).
- Castellanos, D. F., & Zaiser, M. (2018). Avalanche behavior in creep failure of disordered materials. *Physical Review Letters*, 121(12), 125501. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.125501
- Choi, K. (2007). Improvements in GPS precision: 10 Hz to one day. Doctoral dissertation. University of Colorado at Boulder.
- Cottrell, A. H. (1952). The time laws of creep. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 1(1), 53-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(52)90006-9
- Dieterich, J. H. (1979). Modeling of rock friction: 1. Experimental results and constitutive equations. Journal of Geophysical Research, 84(B5), 2161. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB084iB05p02161
- Fukuda, J., Johnson, K. M., Larson, K. M., & Miyazaki, S. (2009). Fault friction parameters inferred from the early stages of afterslip following the 2003 Tokachi-oki earthquake. Journal of Geophysical Research, 114(B4), B04412. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB006166
- Fukuda, J., Kato, A., Kato, N., & Aoki, Y. (2013). Are the frictional properties of creeping faults persistent? Evidence from rapid afterslip following the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Afterslip and fault friction properties. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(14), 3613–3617. https:// doi.org/10.1002/grl.50713
- Girard, L., Amitrano, D., & Weiss, J. (2010). Failure as a critical phenomenon in a progressive damage model. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2010(01), P01013. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2010/01/P01013

- Hayes, G. P., Moore, G. L., Portner, D. E., Hearne, M., Flamme, H., Furtney, M., & Smoczyk, G. M. (2018). Slab2, a comprehensive subduction zone geometry model. *Science*, 362(6410), 58–61. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat4723
- Helmstetter, A., & Shaw, B. E. (2009). Afterslip and aftershocks in the rate-and-state friction law: Aftershocks and rate-and-state friction. Journal of Geophysical Research, 114(B1). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005077
- Heslot, F., Baumberger, T., Perrin, B., Caroli, B., & Caroli, C. (1994). Creep, stick-slip, and dry-friction dynamics: Experiments and a heuristic model. *Physical Review E*, 49(6), 4973–4988. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.49.4973
- Hsu, Y.-J., Simons, M., Avouac, J. P., Galetzka, J., Sieh, K., Chlieh, M., et al. (2006). Frictional afterslip following the 2005 Nias-Simeulue earthquake, Sumatra. *Science*, 312(5782), 1921–1926. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1126960
- Hu, Y., Bürgmann, R., Freymueller, J. T., Banerjee, P., & Wang, K. (2014). Contributions of poroelastic rebound and a weak volcanic arc to the postseismic deformation of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake. *Earth Planets and Space*, 66(1), 106. https://doi.org/10.1186/1880-5981-66-106
- Ingleby, T., & Wright, T. J. (2017). Omori-like decay of postseismic velocities following continental earthquakes: Omori decay of postseismic velocities. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(7), 3119–3130. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL072865
- Ji, Y., Yoshioka, S., Manea, V. C., Manea, M., & Matsumoto, T. (2016). Three-dimensional numerical modeling of thermal regime and slab dehydration beneath Kanto and Tohoku, Japan. Journal of Geophysical Research, 122, 332–353. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013230
- Langbein, J. (2006). Coseismic and initial postseismic deformation from the 2004 Parkfield, California earthquake, observed by GPS, EDM, creepmeters, and borehole strainmeters. 56.
- Lay, T. (2018). A review of the rupture characteristics of the 2011 Tohoku-oki M_w 9.1 earthquake. *Tectonophysics*, 733, 4–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.09.022
- Malservisi, R., Schwartz, S. Y., Voss, N., Protti, M., Gonzalez, V., Dixon, T. H., et al. (2015). Multiscale postseismic behavior on a megathrust: The 2012 Nicoya earthquake, Costa Rica: Postseismic 2012 Nicoya earthquake. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 16*(6), 1848–1864. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GC005794
- Marill, L., Marsan, D., Socquet, A., Radiguet, M., Cotte, N., & Rousset, B. (2021). 14-year acceleration along the Japan Trench. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126(11). https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB021226
- Marone, C. (1998). Laboratory-derived friction laws and their application to seismic faulting. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 26(1), 643–696. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.26.1.643
- Marone, C. J., Scholtz, C. H., & Bilham, R. (1991). On the mechanics of earthquake afterslip. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(B5), 8441. https://doi.org/10.1029/91JB00275
- Marquez-Azua, B., & DeMets, C. (2003). Crustal velocity field of Mexico from continuous GPS measurements, 1993 to June 2001: Implications for the neotectonics of Mexico. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(B9), 2450. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB002241
- Miyazaki, S., & Larson, K. M. (2008). Coseismic and early postseismic slip for the 2003 Tokachi-oki earthquake sequence inferred from GPS data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *35*(4), L04302. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032309
- Montési, L. G. J. (2004). Controls of shear zone rheology and tectonic loading on postseismic creep: Time dependence of postseismic creep. Journal of Geophysical Research, 109(B10), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002925

applicable C

Griggs, D. (1939). Creep of rocks.

21699356, 2022, 8, Downloaded from

10.1029/2022JB024005 by Portail

BibCNRS INSU,

Wiley Online

ubrary on

[09/12/2023]. See

- Morikami, S., & Mitsui, Y. (2020). Omori-like slow decay (p < 1) of postseismic displacement rates following the 2011 Tohoku megathrust earthquake. *Earth Planets and Space*, 72(1), 37. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-020-01162-w
- Munekane, H. (2012). Coseismic and early postseismic slips associated with the 2011 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku earthquake sequence: EOF analysis of GPS kinematic time series. *Earth Planets and Space*, 64(12), 1077–1091. https://doi.org/10.5047/eps.2012.07.009
- Nishimura, T., Munekane, H., & Yarai, H. (2011). The 2011 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku Earthquake and its aftershocks observed by GEONET. *Earth Planets and Space*, 63(7), 631–363. https://doi.org/10.5047/eps.2011.06.025
- Okada, Y. (1985). Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 20(4), 1135–1154. https://doi.org/10.1785/bssa0750041135
- Ozawa, S., Nishimura, T., Munekane, H., Suito, H., Kobayashi, T., Tobita, M., & Imakiire, T. (2012). Preceding, coseismic, and postseismic slips of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake, Japan: Afterslip of the Tohoku earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *117*(B7), B07404. https://doi. org/10.1029/2011JB009120
- Perfettini, H., & Ampuero, J.-P. (2008). Dynamics of a velocity strengthening fault region: Implications for slow earthquakes and postseismic slip. Journal of Geophysical Research, 113(B9), B09411. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005398
- Perfettini, H., & Avouac, J.-P. (2004). Postseismic relaxation driven by brittle creep: A possible mechanism to reconcile geodetic measurements and the decay rate of aftershocks, application to the Chi-Chi earthquake, Taiwan: Dynamics of brittle creep. Journal of Geophysical Research, 109(B2), B02304. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002488
- Perfettini, H., & Avouac, J. P. (2014). The seismic cycle in the area of the 2011 M_w 9.0 Tohoku-Oki earthquake. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 119(5), 4469–4515. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010697
- Perfettini, H., Avouac, J.-P., Tavera, H., Kositsky, A., Nocquet, J.-M., Bondoux, F., et al. (2010). Seismic and aseismic slip on the Central Peru megathrust. *Nature*, 465(7294), 78–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09062
- Radiguet, M., Cotton, F., Vergnolle, M., Campillo, M., Valette, B., Kostoglodov, V., & Cotte, N. (2011). Spatial and temporal evolution of a long term slow slip event: The 2006 Guerrero Slow Slip Event: Evolution of the 2006 Guerrero SSE. *Geophysical Journal International*, 184(2), 816–828. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04866.x
- Radiguet, M., Perfettini, H., Cotte, N., Gualandi, A., Valette, B., Kostoglodov, V., et al. (2016). Triggering of the 2014 M_w 7.3 Papanoa earthquake by a slow slip event in Guerrero, Mexico. *Nature Geoscience*, 9(11), 829–833. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2817
- Rice, J. R., & Tse, S. T. (1986). Dynamic motion of a single degree of freedom system following a rate and state dependent friction law. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 91, 521–530.
- Savage, J. C. (2007). Postseismic relaxation associated with transient creep rheology. Journal of Geophysical Research, 112(B5), B05412. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JB004688
- Savage, J. C., Svarc, J. L., & Yu, S.-B. (2005). Postseismic relaxation and transient creep: Postseismic relaxation and creep. Journal of Geophysical Research, 110(B11). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB003687
- Scholz, C. H. (1968). Mechanism of creep in brittle rock. Journal of Geophysical Research, 73(10), 3295–3302. https://doi.org/10.1029/ JB073i010p03295
- Sobrero, F. S., Bevis, M., Gómez, D. D., & Wang, F. (2020). Logarithmic and exponential transients in GNSS trajectory models as indicators of dominant processes in postseismic deformation. *Journal of Geodesy*, 94(9), 84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-020-01413-4
- Spiridonov, E. A., & Vinogradova, O. Y. (2020). Oceanic tide model FES2014b: Comparison with Gravity measurements. Izvestiya: Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics, 56(11), 1432–1446. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001433820110092
- Sun, T., & Wang, K. (2015). Viscoelastic relaxation following subduction earthquakes and its effects on afterslip determination. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 120(2), 1329–1344. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011707
- Sun, T., Wang, K., Iinuma, T., Hino, R., He, J., Fujimoto, H., et al. (2014). Prevalence of viscoelastic relaxation after the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake. *Nature*, 514(7520), 84–87. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13778
- Tajima, F., Mori, J., & Kennett, B. L. N. (2013). A review of the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake (Mw 9.0): Large-scale rupture across heterogeneous plate coupling. *Tectonophysics*, 586, 15–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.09.014
- Tobita, M. (2016). Combined logarithmic and exponential function model for fitting postseismic GNSS time series after 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake. Earth Planets and Space, 68(1), 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-016-0422-4
- Tsang, L. L. H., Vergnolle, M., Twardzik, C., Sladen, A., Nocquet, J.-M., Rolandone, F., et al. (2019). Imaging rapid early afterslip of the 2016 Pedernales earthquake, Ecuador. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 524, 115724. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115724
- Twardzik, C., Vergnolle, M., Sladen, A., & Avallone, A. (2019). Unravelling the contribution of early postseismic deformation using sub-daily GNSS positioning. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1775. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39038-z
- Twardzik, C., Vergnolle, M., Sladen, A., & Tsang, L. L. H. (2021). Very early identification of a bimodal frictional behavior during the post-seismic phase of the 2015 M_w 8.3 Illapel, Chile, earthquake. Solid Earth, 12(11), 2523–2537. https://doi.org/10.5194/se-12-2523-2021
- Wang, K., Hu, Y., & He, J. (2012). Deformation cycles of subduction earthquakes in a viscoelastic Earth. *Nature*, 484(7394), 327–332. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11032
- Wang, K., Sun, T., Brown, L., Hino, R., Tomita, F., Kido, M., et al. (2018). Learning from crustal deformation associated with the M9 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake. *Geosphere*, 14(2), 552–571. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES01531.1
- Zumberge, J. F., Heflin, M. B., Jefferson, D. C., Watkins, M. M., & Webb, F. H. (1997). Precise point positioning for the efficient and robust analysis of GPS data from large networks. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102(B3), 5005–5017. https://doi.org/10.1029/96JB03860

References From the Supporting Information

- Hansen, P. C. (1992). Analysis of discrete ill-posed problems by means of the L-curve. SIAM Review, 34(4), 561-580. https://doi.org/10.1137/1034115
- Okada, Y. (1992). Internal deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 82(2), 1018–1040. https://doi.org/10.1785/bssa0820021018
- Tarantola, A. (2005). Inverse problem theory and methods for model parameter estimation. SIAM.
- Tarantola, A., & Valette, B. (1982). Generalized nonlinear inverse problems solved using the least squares criterion. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 20(2), 219. https://doi.org/10.1029/RG020i002p00219

Library

by me

applicable Creati