

# New and revised cyrtospiriferid (Spiriferida) brachiopods from the lower Famennian (Upper Devonian) of Armenia

Vahram Serobyan, Taniel Danelian, Catherine Crônier, Araik Grigoryan, Bernard Mottequin

### ▶ To cite this version:

Vahram Serobyan, Taniel Danelian, Catherine Crônier, Araik Grigoryan, Bernard Mottequin. New and revised cyrtospiriferid (Spiriferida) brachiopods from the lower Famennian (Upper Devonian) of Armenia. Journal of Paleontology, 2022, 96 (4), pp.839-858. 10.1017/jpa.2022.9. hal-04418661

## HAL Id: hal-04418661 https://hal.science/hal-04418661

Submitted on 26 Jan 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	New and revised cyrtospiriferid (Spiriferida) brachiopods from the lower
2	Famennian (Upper Devonian) of Armenia
3	
4	Vahram Serobyan <sup>1, 2</sup> , Taniel Danelian <sup>2</sup> , Catherine Crônier <sup>2</sup> , Araik Grigoryan <sup>1</sup> and Bernard
5	Mottequin <sup>3</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Geological Sciences of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of
8	Armenia-Yerevan, Armenia, 24A, Marshal Baghramyan Avenue, Yerevan 0019, Republic of
9	Armenia < <u>vahramserobyan@gmail.com</u> > <aragrigoryan@yandex.ru></aragrigoryan@yandex.ru>
10	<sup>2</sup> Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8198- Evo-Eco-Paleo, F-59000 Lille, France
11	< <u>vahram.serobyan@univ-lille.fr&gt; <taniel.danelian@univ-lille.fr< u="">&gt; <catherine.cronier@univ-< td=""></catherine.cronier@univ-<></taniel.danelian@univ-lille.fr<></u>
12	lille.fr>
13	<sup>3</sup> Operational Directorate Earth and History of Life, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences,
14	rue Vautier 29, B 1000 Brussels, Belgium bmottequin@naturalsciences.be>
15	
16	Running Header: Lower Famennian cyrtospiriferid brachiopods from Armenia
17	
18	Abstract.—The Upper Devonian sedimentary sequences of Central Armenia, which mainly
19	consist of shallow water, mixed carbonate-siliciclastic deposits, contain abundant and diverse
20	brachiopods that are dominated by spiriferides. Based on newly collected material from the
21	lower Famennian orbelianus brachiopod zone (coeval to the crepida conodont zone) of Armenia,
22	we here introduce two new cyrtospiriferid genera and fully document their type species,

23 including their intraspecific variability. Pentagonospirifer n.gen. is a monospecific genus assigned to the subfamily Cyrtospiriferinae that is currently known only from the lower 24 Famennian of Armenia; it is likely that its type species, *P. abrahamyanae* n. sp., evolved from 25 26 the species Cyrtospirifer verneuili sensu Abrahamyan, known from the Frasnian and lower Famennian of Armenia. The second new genus, Tornatospirifer n. gen. is assigned to the 27 subfamily Cyrtiopsinae and defined on the basis of one of the most biostratigraphically valuable 28 29 cyrtospiriferid species, namely T. armenicus (Abrahamyan, 1974), described previously from the lower Famennian orbelianus Zone of Armenia. It is likely that it evolved from a Frasnian 30 31 ancestral stock of *Tiocyrspis* Sartenaer, 1994, known from north-western Europe, which probably migrated during the early Frasnian to the north Gondwanan margin. A neotype is 32 selected for Abrahamyan's species as the type material is lost. Epibionts (*Cornulites, Hederella*) 33 are also documented. 34

35

#### 36 Introduction

37

Cyrtospiriferidae are spire-bearer brachiopods that originated in the Middle Devonian (Givetian) 38 (Paeckelmann, 1942; Grechishnikova, 1996; Schemm-Gregory, 2011; Gourvennec, 2019). They 39 diversified rapidly during the Frasnian and proliferated on tropical/subtropical platforms during 40 the Late Devonian (Ma and Day, 1999) until their extinction at the end of the Famennian during 41 42 the Hangenberg event (Carter and Gourvennec, 2006; Mottequin et al., 2014). Thanks to their 43 richness in brachiopods, the Upper Devonian sedimentary sequences of Armenia can provide interesting insights to improve our understanding of their changes in diversity in relation to well-44 known extinction events (Abrahamyan, 1957, 1964, 1974; Arakelyan, 1964; Alekseeva et al., 45

46	2018a, b; Serobyan et al., 2021). However, although Upper Devonian–Lower Carboniferous
47	brachiopods of the Lesser Caucasus (Armenian and Nakhichevan; Fig. 1) were studied
48	systematically by Abrahamyan (1957, 1964, 1974), Grechishnikova (1986, 1996) and more
49	recently by Alekseeva et al. (2018a, b) and Serobyan et al. (2019, 2021) they still remain largely
50	undocumented from a taxonomic and biostratigraphic point of view. This is particularly true for
51	the cyrtospiriferids, among which most species were described previously without examination
52	of the most fundamental features in brachiopod taxonomy, such as their internal structure and
53	type of micro-ornament, which are significant for their supraspecific classification.
54	Consequently, many of them were mistakenly referred to genera such as Cyrtospirifer Nalivkin
55	in Fredericks, 1924 and Cyrtiopsis Grabau, 1923.
56	Based on recently collected material from a single brachiopod biozone (the orbelianus
57	Zone) from four different localities in Armenia (Fig. 1), the prime objective of this contribution
58	is to reassess the taxonomy of two lower Famennian cyrtospiriferid species, one of which was
59	documented in the second half of the 20th century and used in the biostratigraphy of the lower
60	Famennian sequences of the Lesser Caucasus. Taking this opportunity, taxonomic aspects of the
61	family Cyrtospiriferidae are discussed, as well as evolutionary and paleobiogeographic
62	implications of the newly erected genera. Finally, two types of encrusters are documented for the
63	first time from the Armenian material and the epibiont-host relationship is discussed.

65 Geological and stratigraphic setting

67	The Upper Devonian sedimentary sequences of Armenia have a restricted geographic
68	distribution and occur mainly in the southern part of Central Armenia, but they continue
69	westwards in Nakhichevan (Fig. 1). They consist essentially of marly and sandy biogenic
70	limestones, rich in brachiopods, and intercalations of quartzose sandstones (arenites), fine-
71	grained sandstones and shales. The carbonate-siliciclastic sequences of both areas belong to the
72	South Armenian Block (SAB; Sosson et al., 2010), which was part at the time of the northern
73	margin of the Gondwana megacontinent. These sedimentary sequences are considered to have
74	been accumulated in rather shallow water platform environments (Arakelyan, 1964; Ginter et al.,
75	2011). The Frasnian and Famennian deposits were subdivided into a number of 'formations' by
76	Arakelyan (1964) (e.g., Baghrsagh, Noravank, Ertych; Fig. 2). However, the latter were defined
77	mainly based on their brachiopod assemblages, they thus bear a biostratigraphic rather than
78	lithostratigraphic significance (see discussion in Serobyan et al., 2019). Consequently, they are
79	here regarded as horizons and not as lithostratigraphic formations.
80	The biostratigraphical zonal scheme of the Upper Devonian rocks of the Lesser Caucasus
81	was first established by Rzhonsnitskaya (1948), who simply subdivided the Devonian into two
82	parts: Lower and Upper. Later, Abrahamyan (1957) introduced a new continuous
83	biostratigraphic scheme, mainly for the Famennian, composed of taxon-range and assemblage
84	biozones (Fig. 2). Abrahamyan's biozones were further revised by Mamedov and
85	Rzhonsnitskaya (1985) and updated by Rzhonsnitskaya and Mamedov (2000), who correlated
86	them also with the conodont biozonation of Aristov (1994), which was carried out in
87	Nakhichevan. In addition, Grechishnikova et al. (1980, 1982) and Grechishnikova and Levitskii
88	(2011), and more recently updated by Grechishnikova in Alekseeva et al. (2018a, b), contributed
89	also to the biostratigraphy of the Middle Devonian-Lower Carboniferous sequences of the

90 Lesser Caucasus. However, in spite of these studies, the brachiopod biostratigraphy of the Middle–Upper Devonian sequences of the Lesser Caucasus still remain poorly understood. 91 Moreover, these studies cause sometimes confusion, as it can be illustrated with the following 92 93 example; Aristov (1994) recognized two conodont zones across the Givetian–Frasnian boundary: Ancyrodella binodosa and A. rotunbiloba; he further specified that the binodosa Zone may be 94 correlated with the lower part of *Cyrtospirifer subarchiaci–Cyphoterorhynchus arpaensis* 95 brachiopod Zone, whereas its upper part should be coeval with the *rotunbiloba* conodont Zone. 96 However, Rzhonsnitskaya and Mamedov (2000) raised the lower boundary of the Cyrtospirifer 97 98 subarchiaci–Cyphoterorhynchus arpaensis brachiopod zone to the early Frasnian. Afterwards, Alekseeva et al. (2018a) considered this brachiopod zone to be late Frasnian in age, without 99 giving any further evidence. Another example that requires future clarification concerns the 100 101 lower Famennian brachiopod biozonation. Abrahamyan (1957) and Grechishnikova et al. (1980, 1982) recognized only one brachiopod zone within this substage, namely the Cyrtospirifer 102 orbelianus and the Mesoplica meisteri zones, respectively. However, Rzhonsnitskaya and 103 104 Mamedov (2000) established two biozones for the same interval: the lowermost Famennian Mesoplica meisteri–Cyrtospirifer asiaticus and the lower Famennian Cyrtiopsis orbelianus–C. 105 106 armenicus Zones. Finally, Alekseeva et al. (2018a) followed the biostratigraphic scheme of Grechishnikova et al. (1980) and considered that the lower Famennian of the Lesser Caucasus is 107 represented by only one brachiopod zone; however, they re-named it as Mesoplica meisteri-108 109 Cyrtospirifer asiaticus Zone. The biostratigraphic scheme of Rzhonsnitskaya and Mamedov (2000) was followed by Ginter et al. (2011), who described chondrichthyan remains from the 110 Upper Devonian-Lower Carboniferous succession of Armenia, some of which were recovered 111 112 from the Frasnian–Famennian interval of the Ertych and Noravank sections. Besides

chondrichthyans, these authors reported also conodonts from the *Cyrtiopsis orbelianus*–*C*. *armenicus* Zone of the Noravank section, among which, some elements indicate the lower
Famennian *crepida* Zone (see Ginter et al., 2011; text-fig. 4).

116

#### 117 Material and methods

118

The examined material was collected from the *Cyrtospirifer orbelianus* brachiopod Zone of
Abrahamyan (1957) cropping out in four different localities (Fig. 1); this brachiopod zone is
correlated with the *crepida* conodont Zone (Fig. 2).

The internal morphology of specimens was investigated by using the standard technique 122 123 of serial sections and acetate peels, in addition to numerous photographs of ground specimens taken with a Canon EOS 700D camera, in order to catch the very minute details related to the 124 development of internal features. Shells selected for scanning electron microscopy were coated 125 126 with gold and their micro-ornament was observed with the help of a ZEISS EVO 10 Scanning Electron Microscope hosted with the Paleontology team of Lille University. The larger 127 128 specimens were coated with magnesium oxide before being photographed. Repositories and institutional abbreviations.—Most of the specimens illustrated and investigated 129 herein are deposited at the Geological Museum of the Institute of Geological Sciences of the 130 131 National Academy of Sciences of Armenia, Yerevan (IGSNASRAGM/PS). The prefix PS indicates the laboratory of Paleontology and Stratigraphy. A few specimens are housed at the 132 133 public paleontological collection of Lille University (France). 134

135 Systematic paleontology

The supraspecific classification of this study follows the one proposed by Carter et al. (1994) andJohnson (2006).

139	
140	Order Spiriferida Waagen, 1883
141	Suborder Spiriferidina Waagen, 1883
142	Superfamily Cyrtospiriferoidea Termier and Termier, 1949
143	Family Cyrtospiriferidae Termier and Termier, 1949
144	Subfamily Cyrtospiriferinae Termier and Termier, 1949
145	Genus Pentagonospirifer new genus
146	

147 *Type species.—Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae* n. gen. n. sp., by monotypy.

148

136

Diagnosis.—Shell medium-sized, aequibiconvex to ventribiconvex, with acute to slightly 149 mucronate cardinal extremities; widest at hinge line; ventral interarea triangular, high, variably 150 151 concave, apsacline; pseudodeltidium with distinct, stacked sets of growth lamellae, with apical foramen; dorsal fold and ventral sulcus wide, well-defined; ribs numerous, usually simple on 152 flanks, increasing by bifurcation in sulcus and on fold; micro-ornament of capillae with pustules 153 154 on them and closely spaced growth lines; dental plates long, always intrasinal; delthyrial thickening is formed by a development of strong apical callus on delthyrial plate, around dental 155 plates and floor of ventral valve; ctenophoridium unsupported. 156

158 *Occurrence.*—Lower Famennian of Armenia.

159

*Etymology.—Pentagonus, a, um* (Latin): five-angled; *spirifer* is a common suffix used in the
spiriferide group. The name draws attention to the pentagonal ventral valve of the genus.

*Remarks.—Pentagonospirifer* n. gen. is assigned to the subfamily Cyrtospiriferinae
(Cyrtospiriferidae) on the basis of its wide hinge line, high interarea and acute cardinal
extremities.

The new genus resembles to some extent to some representatives of the lower Famennian 166 genus Sinospirifer Grabau, 1931 as revised by Ma and Day (2003, 2007), Ma et al. (2003) by its 167 168 wide hinge line, acute cardinal extremities, a massive apical callus developed in the ventral interior and its unsupported ctenophoridium; however, *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen. has a higher 169 170 ventral interarea, a minute foramen near the apex, more ribs in sulcus and on fold, and pustules 171 developed only on capillae. Internally, the most significant difference concerns the dental plates that are intrasinal and devoid of thickening in *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen., whereas *Sinospirifer* 172 173 possesses greatly thickened extrasinal dental plates.

Externally, *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen. appears to be closely related to *Wenjukovispirifer* Oleneva, 2016, known from the Famennian of the European Platform, and more particularly by its rounded subpentagonal outline, inflated shell, high ventral interarea, well-developed sulcus and fold, an ornamentation composed of simple, and low ribs separated by narrower interspaces;

however, the new genus is distinguished by its relatively aequibiconvex lateral profile, its
pseudodeltidium with distinct, stacked sets of growth lamellaeand its minute foramen developed
apically. It is worth noting that the internal structure of *Wenjukovispirifer* is not known as yet;
therefore, further comparison is hampered. Nevertheless, Oleneva (2016) did mention the
presence of a ventral septum (=myophragm?) in the ventral interior of *Wenjukovispirifer*, which
was not observed in *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen. Moreover, no strong apical callus on the delthyrial
plate is mentioned for *Wenjukovispirifer*, a feature observed in *Pentagonospirifer*.

The new genus may be distinguished from the late Givetian to early Famennian? genus *Cyrtospirifer* Nalivkin in Frederiks, 1924, as revised by Ma and Day (2003), by its more
longitudinally elongated shell, the presence of a robust pseudodeltidium and its micro-ornament.
Internally, *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen. mainly differs by the presence of strong apical callosity
developed on the delthyrial plate.

Pentagonospirifer n. gen. displays many external similarities (e.g., rounded 190 191 subpentagonal outline, acute cardinal extremities, widest at hinge line, well-developed sulcus and fold with ribs fainter than those on flanks) with *Pripyatispirifer* Pushkin, 1996 from the lower 192 193 Famennian of Belarus and possibly from the lower Frasnian of Nakhichevan (Afanasjeva in Alekseeva et al., 2018b), but differs from the latter by its larger shell size, high ventral interarea 194 195 and by its minute apical foramen. Besides, the new genus differs by its micro-ornament composed of capillae with pustules on them as *Pripyatispirifer* representatives lack plicae and 196 pustules on ribs. It is difficult to compare precisely the internal morphology of these genera as 197 Pushkin (1996) did not illustrate transverse sections, but based on Pushkin's illustration (1996, p. 198 199 47, fig. 2), the cardinal process of *Pripyatispirifer* appears to be trilobed, which is quite an 200 unusual case, thus different from that of *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen.

201 Pentagonospirifer n. gen. differs mainly from Eodmitria Brice, 1982, known from the 202 lower-middle Frasnian of Western Europe (Belgium, France and Germany) and Turkey (Brice, 1982; Sartenaer, 1982; Gourvennec, 2006), by its more inflated shell, high ventral interarea and 203 204 well-defined fold and sulcus. Furthermore, the micro-ornament of *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen. consists of capillae with pustules on them (only on ribs), whereas the micro-ornament of 205 206 *Eodmitria* is papillose. It is worth noting that both genera have quite similar internal morphologies, with the exception of the disposition of dental plates, which are intrasinal in 207 Pentagonospirifer, while they are extrasinal in Eodmitria. 208

209 Pentagonospirifer n. gen. is distinguished externally from Pseudocyrtiopsis Ma and Day,
210 1999 from the lower Famennian of South China, by its larger and more inflated shell (due to the
211 greater thickness of the dorsal valve), its bifurcating ribs in sulcus and on fold, its finer and more
212 numerous ribs on flanks, as well as the lack of capillae that are developed in the interspaces of
213 Pseudocyrtiopsis representatives. Internally, Pentagonospirifer n. gen. mainly differs by the
214 presence of a strong apical callosity developed on the delthyrial plate and by its unsupported
215 ctenophoridium.

*Pentagonospirifer* n. gen. differs from *Plicapustula* Ma and Day, 2007, from the lower
Famennian of South China and North America, by its more inflated and transversely elongated
shell, well-developed pseudodeltidium with a minute foramen near the apex, bifurcating ribs
across the whole width of sulcus and by the presence of capillae on ribs. Moreover, no strong
apical callus on the delthyrial plate is mentioned for *Plicapustula*, a feature observed in *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen.

222	Pentagonospirifer n. gen. is distinguished externally from Lamarckispirifer Gatinaud,
223	1949 from the lower Famennian of South China as revised by Ma and Day (2007), by its inflated
224	shell, its high and subogival tongue, the larger number of ribs on flanks and fold and in sulcus,
225	and by the absence of a median furrow on fold that is generally present in Lamarckispirifer.
226	Moreover, Pentagonospirifer n. gen. differs by the presence of a strong apical callus developed
227	on the delthyrial plate and by its unsupported ctenophoridium.
228	
229	Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae new species
230	Figures 3–5; Table 1
231	
232	Holotype.—An almost complete articulated specimen that is partly covered by encrusters in its
233	sulcus (IGSNASRAGM 3928/PS3073; Fig. 3.11-3.18), lower Famennian orbelianus Zone of
234	Djravank section (7 km southeast from Areni, Vayots Dzor Province, Central Armenia (Fig. 1)).
235	
236	Diagnosis.—Shell medium-sized (up to 36.7 mm in width, 34.1 mm in length, 29.2 mm in
237	thickness) (Table 1), wider than long, aequibiconvex to ventribiconvex, widest at hinge line,
238	highest at about midlength, with acute cardinal extremities; anterior margin emarginate; anterior
239	commissure uniplicate; ventral interarea triangular, high, apsacline; pseudodeltidium with
240	distinct, stacked sets of growth lamellae and with a minute, ovate foramen positioned apically;
241	dorsal fold and ventral sulcus wide, and well-defined; tongue high, subtriangular to subcircular
242	in outline; ribs numerous, usually simple on flanks, increasing by bifurcation in sulcus and on

fold; micro-ornament of capillae with pustules on them and closely spaced growth lines; dental
plates long, extending to about 30% of the shell length, slightly convergent, diverging dorsally in
the umbonal region, always intrasinal; delthyrial thickening is formed by a development of
strong apical callus on delthyrial plate, around dental plates and floor of ventral valve;
ctenophoridium unsupported, slightly convex, with numerous vertical lamellae.

248

249 Occurrence.—This species occurs in the lower Famennian Ertych horizon of Arakelian (1964),

the *Cyrtospirifer orbelianus* brachiopod Zone of Abrahamyan (1957) and the *Cyrtiopsis* 

251 *orbelianus–Cyrtiopsis armenicus* brachiopod zone of Rzhonsnitskaya and Mamedov (2000) (see

Fig. 2). The Ertych horizon is also correlated with the *crepida* conodont Zone.

253

254 *Description.*—Shell medium-sized, wider than long, generally aequibiconvex to slightly 255 ventribiconvex, rounded subpentagonal in outline, widest at hinge line, highest at about 256 midlength; cardinal extremities acute; anterior margin emarginate; anterior commissure 257 uniplicate. Ventral valve strongly inflated, with convex flanks sloping steeply towards lateral 258 commissures; highest in the posterior third of the valve, then decreasing progressively towards 259 anterior margin; umbo strongly inflated, large and prominent; beak straight to suberect; interarea apsacline, triangular, high, generally slightly concave (occasionally perpendicular to 260 261 commissural plane ) well-defined; delthyrium wide, covered by a pseudodeltidium for most of its height, the latter being formed by distinct, stacked sets of growth lamellae; foramen minute, 262 263 ovate near the apex; sulcus relatively wide, shallow to moderately deep, originating from beak,

with rounded lateral boundaries, round-to flat-bottomed at front; tongue high, perpendicular tocommissural plane or slightly bent dorsally, subtriangular to subcircular in outline.

Dorsal valve wider than long, strongly inflated, with flanks sloping moderately towards lateral commissures, subtrapezoidal in outline; highest in the anterior third of the valve (occasionally near midlength at the juvenile growth stages), but progressively decreasing towards anterior margin; interarea linear, flat to slightly concave, orthocline; fold moderately high to high, wide, originating from beak, round-topped at front.

Ornamentation of up to 29 rounded (5 ribs per 5 mm at anterior margin near sulcus and fold), simple, flattened, low ribs on each flank, becoming fainter towards posterolateral margins; in sulcus and on fold, up to 25 ribs, increasing by bifurcation, much narrower than those present on flanks; ribs twice wider than interspaces on the entire shell; micro-ornament of fine capillae only on ribs with pustules on them and with closely spaced growth lines sometimes thickened as growth varices.

Ventral valve interior (Fig. 4) with relatively thin, long, intrasinal and slightly divergent
dental plates, becoming subparallel more anteriorly, converging dorsally in umbonal region (as
seen in transverse section); delthyrial thickening formed by accretion of strong apical callus from
the internal faces of the dental plates and floor of ventral valve; delthyrial plate thin; lateral
apical cavities posteriorly infilled; teeth relatively small, subcircular.

Dorsal valve interior (Fig. 4) with flat to slightly concave, unsupported ctenophoridium composed of up to 27 well-developed relatively long lamellae; dental sockets shallow; hinge plate divided; crural bases mediodorsally oriented; spiral cones not preserved in the sectioned specimens.

*Etymology.*—The species name refers to Marina Semenovna Abrahamyan (1922–1999), who
contributed greatly to the taxonomy and biostratigraphy of the Upper Devonian–Lower
Carboniferous brachiopods of the Lesser Caucasus.

290

291 Material examined.—Forty-eight articulated specimens and nine dissociated valves from the Ertych horizon of the sections Ertych (nine articulated specimens and two ventral valves), 292 293 Djravank (twenty-eight articulated specimens and five ventral valves) and Noravank (eleven 294 articulated specimens and two ventral valves). One of the examined specimens (IGSNASRAGM 3965/PS 3071) is encrusted by two cornulitid tubeworms, which displays the external features of 295 the genus Cornulites von Schlotheim, 1820 (Fig. 3.2, 3.19), whereas the encrusters observed on 296 297 IGSNASRAGM 3928/PS 3034 (Fig. 3.11, 3.15, 3.18) appear to be colonial organisms belonging 298 to the genus Hederella Hall, 1883.

299

300 Shell ontogeny.—The examination of a few juvenile specimens shows that almost all superficial features (e.g., aequibiconvex shell covered by numerous rib, well-developed sulcus and fold, 301 relatively high ventral interarea, high tongue) may be observed since the early growth stages; the 302 only difference to separate juveniles from adults is their shell size. The size distributions during 303 304 the growth, as represented by the width/length, the width/thickness, the width/width of sulcus 305 and the width/length of dorsal valve plots, show a continuous and progressive growth with no 306 distinct grouping (Fig. 5). The relative proportions of the latter are represented by sufficient 307 material remaining constant (linear regression: y = ax+b; significant probability value: p < break

0.01\*\*\*) whatever the degree of development of individuals (Fig. 5). Moreover, the correlation
is positive with width varying proportionally with length, thickness, width of sulcus and the
length of dorsal valve. However, the width /length of the dorsal valve ratio shows less dispersed
values (Fig. 5.4). To complete the scatter plots, the measurements (in mm) of numerous
individuals of *P. abrahamyanae* n. gen. n. sp. are also represented in Table 1.

314	Remarks.— Despite the great faunal similarities between the Upper Devonian sequences of
315	Armenia and Nakhichevan, the literature review of papers devoted to the brachiopods described
316	in Nakhichevan (e.g., Grechishnikova, 1986, 1996; Grechishnikova in Alekseeva et al., 2018 b)
317	did not reveal specimens that could be assigned to Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae n. gen. n.
318	sp. The main difference of the latter species from other early Famennian cyrtospiriferids of
319	Armenia is the presence of delthyrial thickening that is formed by a development of strong apical
320	callus on delthyrial plate, around dental plates and floor of ventral valve. It is worth noting
321	thatuntil now, among the spiriferides described in Armenia, encrusters are observed only in the
322	specimens of this species.
323	
324	Subfamily Cyrtiopsinae Ivanova, 1972
325	Genus Tornatospirifer new genus
326	
327	Type species.—Cyrtiopsis senceliae armenica Abrahamyan, 1974.
328	

329 Other species.—Cyrtiopsis caucasia Grechishnikova, 1986 is considered here as a junior 330 synonym of the type species of *Tornatospirifer* n. gen. as we observed all superficial features that were supposed to separate the latter from Abrahamyan's species. Cyrtiopsis senceliae 331 332 Sartenaer sensu Sidjachenko (1962) and Cyrtospirifer (Cyrtospirifer) helenae Sidjachenko, 1962 from the Famennian of Central and southeastern Kara-Tau (Kazakhstan) are allocated to this 333 334 genus although the pseudodeltidium and micro-ornament of the latter are unknown due to poor preservation. Spirifer (Cyrtospirifer) archiaci Murchison sensu Nalivkin (1937) also from 335 Central Kara-Tau is tentatively assigned to this genus; however, its internal morphology and 336 337 micro-ornament are unknown. Spirifer murchisonianus de Koninck [sic] sensu Reed (1922: pl. 10, figs. 3–12, pl. 11, figs. 1–7) from the Famennian (excluding the lowermost and uppermost 338 Famennian according to Sartenaer, 1970) of Pamir (Tajikistan) also probably belongs to this new 339 genus. Sartenaer (1956a, 1965) clearly demonstrated that Spirifer murchisonianus de Koninck is 340 a nomen nudum. Although Reed (1922) did not illustrate serial sections, he mentioned the 341 presence of dental plates and dorsal median septum (he apparently observed the development of 342 a callus supporting the ctenophoridium) that fit well with the diagnosis of *Tornatospirifer* n. gen. 343 344

*Diagnosis.*—Shell medium-sized, ventribiconvex, rounded subpentagonal, brachythyrid with
obtuse or rounded cardinal extremities (though the latter are worn in most of the studied
specimens); ventral interarea triangular, high, apsacline; delthyrium relatively wide, covered by a
pseudodeltidium formed by several distinct plates; fold and sulcus wide, well-defined; ribs
numerous, simple on flanks, increasing by bifurcation in sulcus and on fold; micro-ornament of
closely spaced growth lines, capillae occurring only on ribs and numerous pustules developed

351	only on ca	pillae; dent	al plates loi	ng, strong	intrasinal;	delthyrial	plate w	ell-develop	ped;
-----	------------	--------------	---------------	------------	-------------	------------	---------	-------------	------

352 ctenophoridium supported by an apical callosity; hinge plates divided.

353

354 *Occurrence.*—Lower Famennian of Armenia and Nakhichevan, Famennian of Central Kara-Tau

355 (Kazakhstan) and probably Famennian of Pamir (Tajikistan).

356

357 *Etymology.—Tornatus, a, um* (Latin): rounded; *spirifer* is a common suffix used in the

358 spiriferide genera. The name refers to the rounded subpentagonal shell shape.

359

360 *Remarks.—Tornatospirifer* n. gen. is placed within the subfamily Cyrtiopsinae due to its

361 brachythyrid shell and obtuse or rounded cardinal extremities.

*Tornatospirifer* n. gen. is closely related to the genus *Tiocyrspis* Sartenaer, 1994, which is known from the upper Frasnian of Belgium and Germany; however, the former displays more inflated ventral valve and higher ventral interarea. Moreover, *Tornatospirifer* n. gen. possesses delthyrial plate, a character that is not observed in *Tiocyrspis*.

*Tornatospirifer* n. gen. mainly differs externally from *Cyrtiopsis* Grabau, 1923 *sensu* Ma
and Day (1999) from the lower Famennian of China, by its high ventral interarea, its
pseudodeltidium composed of several distinct plates and by its clearly defined sulcus contrary to
those with rounded lateral boundaries occurring in *Cyrtiopsis* representatives. The essential
internal difference between the two genera is the presence of a well-developed delthyrial plate in *Tornatospirifer* n. gen., which is absent in *Cyrtiopsis*.

*Tornatospirifer* n. gen. shares several external and internal characters with the genus
 *Uchtospirifer* Ljashenko, 1957 *sensu* Sokiran (2006). More particularly, both genera display a

brachythyrid shell that is subpentagonal in outline and ornamented with closely spaced and
flattened ribs, an apsacline and triangular ventral interarea, as well as intrasinal and divergent
dental plates. However, *Tornatospirifer* n. gen. differs by its more globular shell, the type of its
pseudodeltidium (formed by several distinct plates), the development of ribs in sulcus and on
fold that are not transforming into capillae, flattening or disappearing as it is observed in *Uchtospirifer* representatives. Internally *Tornatospirifer* n. gen. is distinguished by its supported
ctenophoridium whereas the latter is unsupported in *Uchtospirifer*.

381 *Tornatospirifer* n. gen. differs from the lower Famennian genus *Pseudocyrtiopsis* Ma and 382 Day, 1999 from South China, by its general shape and outline, bifurcating ribs in sulcus and on 383 fold as well as lack of capillae that are developed in the interspaces of *Pseudocyrtiopsis* 384 representatives. Although, it is also worthwhile to stress that these genera have quite similar 385 internal morphology (e.g., strong, divergent dental plates, a well-developed delthyrial plate and a 386 supported ctenophoridium).

*Tornatospirifer* n. gen. is distinguished externally from *Ghorispirifer* Mottequin and
 Brice, 2019, known from the upper–uppermost Famennian of Afghanistan and Iran by its high
 ventral interarea and by its micro-ornament, which is composed of capillae and numerous
 pustules only on ribs, whereas *Ghorispirifer* has capillae with pustules both on ribs and in
 interspaces. The most substantial difference lies in the ventral internal morphology. In
 *Tornatospirifer* n. gen. the dental plates are connected by a well-developed delthyrial plate which
 is absent in *Ghorispirifer*.

*Tornatospirifer* n. gen. is distinguished externally from the widespread genus
 *Dichospirifer* Brice 1971, as revised by Mottequin and Brice (2019), by its high ventral interarea,
 well-defined fold and sulcus, its simple ribs on flanks. Furthermore, the micro-ornament of the

397 new genus consists of capillae with dense pustules only on ribs whereas capillae with rare 398 pustules are observed both on ribs and in interspaces in *Dichospirifer*. The internal morphology of both genera is quite similar with the exception of the delthyrial plate, which is massive and 399 400 well-developed in *Tornatospirifer* n. gen., when *Dichospirifer* possesses a rudimentary one, and secondly the ctenophoridium of the latter genus is bilobed posteriorly, which is not the case of 401 402 the new genus. The new genus is distinguished from the Famennian genus *Dmitria* Sidjachenko, 1961, 403 by its well-developed sulcus and fold, its high and subogival tongue, its high ventral interarea 404 405 and by the presence of numerous pustules developed on capillae. Internally, *Tornatospirifer* n. gen. mainly differs by its delthyrial plate, which is absent in *Dmitria* representatives. 406 407 Tornatospirifer armenicus (Abrahamyan, 1974) 408 409 Figures 6–9; Table 2 410 1952 Cyrtospirifer murchisonianus; Arakelyan, p. 40, 42. 411 412 1957 Cyrtospirifer murchisonianus (de Koninck); Abrahamyan, p. 73, pl. 9, fig. 1; text-fig. 14.1. 413 1964 Cyrtospirifer murchisonianus (de Koninck); Arakelyan, p. 75, 77, 94. 1973 Cyrtospirifer murchisonianus (de Koninck); Abrahamyan et al., p. 218. 414 1974 Cyrtiopsis senceliae armenica ssp. n.; Abrahamyan, p. 61, pl. 21, fig. 1; pl. 22, fig. 4. 415 1975 Cyrtiopsis senceliae armenica; Abrahamyan et al., p. 24. 416 417 1978 Cyrtiopsis senceliae armenica Abrahamyan; Brice in Brice et al., p. 24. 418 1979 Cyrtiopsis armenica (Abrahamyan); Aristov et al., p. 88, 91. 1986 Cyrtiopsis caucasia; Grechishnikova, p. 55, pl. 1, figs. 3–4; text-fig. 2. 419

420	2018a Cyrtiopsis caucasia Grechishnikova; Grechishnikova in Alekseeva et al., p. 855.
421	2018b Cyrtiopsis caucasia Grechishnikova; Afanasjeva in Alekseeva et al., p. 1005, pl. 29, figs.
422	5–6; text-fig. 101.
423	2019 Cyrtiopsis senceliae armenica Abrahamyan; Mottequin and Brice 2019, p. 56.
424	
425	Neotype.—After extensive search at the Geological Museum of Yerevan, none of the syntypes
426	illustrated by Abrahamyan (1974: pl. 21, fig. 1; pl. 22, fig. 4) was found in Abrahamyan's
427	collection; they are therefore considered as lost. Consequently, one of the recently collected
428	specimens from the Ertych horizon (lower Famennian) of the Shamamidzor section (type
429	locality) (Lanjanist village, Ararat province, West Central Armenia; Fig. 1) is hereby designated
430	as the neotype (IGSNASRAGM 3980/PS 3048 and figured in Figure 7.1–7.8).
431	
432	Occurrence.—This species characterizes the lower Famennian Cyrtospirifer orbelianus Zone of
433	Abrahamyan (1957), as well as the Cyrtiopsis orbelianus-Cyrtiopsis armenicus Zone of
434	Rzhonsnitskaya and Mamedov (2000) (Fig. 2) both in Armenia and Nakhichevan. T. armenicus
435	is known only in the Ertych horizon; in addition to our results from the Shamamidzor, Ertych,
436	Djravank and Noravank sections, it was also found in the Zangakatun section (Armenia), studied
437	by Abrahamyan (1957) and Arakelyan (1964) and in the Gyumushlug section in Nakhichevan
438	studied by Abrahamyan (1957) and Grechishnikova (1986) (Fig. 1).
439	
440	Description.—Shell medium-sized (reaching 33.7 mm in width, 31.3 mm in length and 27.5 mm
441	in thickness) (Table 2), slightly wider than long, ventribiconvex, rounded subpentagonal in
442	outline, widest at about midlength; cardinal extremities obtuse or rounded (although the latter are

443 poorly preserved in our examined material); anterior margin gently emarginate to straight;444 anterior commissure uniplicate.

Ventral valve strongly inflated, rounded subpentagonal in outline, with convex flanks 445 sloping moderately towards lateral commissures; highest in the posterior third of the valve, then 446 decreasing progressively towards anterior margin; umbo markedly inflated, large; beak acute, 447 448 straight to suberect; interarea apsacline, triangular, high, well-defined, slightly concave; delthyrium relatively wide, covered by pseudodeltidium formed by several distinct plates with an 449 ovate foramen at its top (Abrahamyan, 1974: pl. 22, fig. 4) (Fig. 7.9); sulcus well-defined, wide, 450 451 moderately deep, originating from beak, widening and becoming deeper anteriorly, flat- to round-bottomed at front; tongue wider than high, perpendicular to commissural plane or slightly 452 bent dorsally, high, subcircular to subogival in outline. 453

Dorsal valve wider than long, moderately inflated with convex flanks sloping gently to moderately towards lateral commissures, rounded rectangular in outline; highest at about midlength or more anteriorly to it, then decreasing gradually towards anterior margin; interarea linear, nearly flat, orthocline; fold well-delimited, wide, moderately high, originating from beak, widening and becoming relatively higher anteriorly, round-topped at front.

Flanks covered by 26 to 32 rounded (5–6 ribs per 5 mm at anterior margin near sulcus and fold), simple, flattened, low ribs, becoming weaker towards posterolateral margins; in sulcus and on fold, up to 25 ribs, mainly increasing by bifurcations, generally in their middle part; interspaces narrower than ribs; micro-ornament (Fig. 7.7–7.8) of closely spaced growth lines (irregularly thickened as growth varices), capillae occurring only on ribs and numerous pustules developed on capillae.

Ventral valve interior (Fig. 8) with long, intrasinal posteriorly thickened and divergent
(19–25 degrees) dental plates; delthyrial plate thick; central and lateral apical cavities large and
poorly filled in by callus; teeth small, subrectangular.

Dorsal valve interior (Fig. 8) with ctenophoridium consisting of up to 36 well-developed,
relatively long lamellae, and supported by a short pseudoseptum; hinge plates divided; outer
hinge plate slightly concave; crural bases dorsally convergent; spiralia oriented posterolaterally
with at least 18 whorls per spiral cone.

472

*Material examined.*—Forty-one articulated specimens, ten ventral and six dorsal valves from the
sections Ertych (five articulated specimens and two dorsal valves), Djravank (eighteen
articulated specimen and three ventral valves), Noravank (twelve articulated specimens, two
ventral and two dorsal valves), and Shamamidzor (six articulated specimens, five ventral and two
dorsal valves).

478

479 Shell ontogeny.—The examination of juvenile forms suggests that the latter differ from adults in 480 having inconspicuous umbones, small acute beaks, fainter ribs and flat ventral interarea. The 481 tongue of specimens at young stages is subogival in outline, then progressively becoming subcircular with age. Sulcus and fold well-developed since early growth stage. The size 482 distribution during growth, as represented by the width/length, width/thickness, width/width of 483 484 sulcus and width/length of dorsal valve plots, show a continuous and progressive growth with no distinct groupings (Fig. 9). The relative proportions of *Tornatospirifer armenicus* (Abrahamyan, 485 1974) represented by sufficient material remain constant (linear regression: y = ax+b; significant 486 487 probability value:  $p < 0.01^{***}$ ) whatever the degree of development of individuals (Fig. 9).

Moreover, there is a positive correlation in the variation of the shell's width proportionally with length, thickness, width of sulcus and the length of dorsal valve. The width/length of the dorsal valve shows less dispersed values (Fig. 9.4). To complete the scatter plots, the measurements (in mm) of numerous individuals of *Tornatospirifer armenicus* (Abrahamyan, 1974) are also presented in Table 2.

493

*Remarks.*—Arakelyan (1952) initially identified this species as *Cyrtospirifer murchisonianus* (de 494 Verneuil, 1845), a lower Frasnian representative of the genus Uchtospirifer from Russia 495 496 (Ljashenko, 1959; Sartenaer, 1965). It was first illustrated by Abrahamyan (1957), who also examined its internal morphology, without resolving the taxonomic confusion. Many years later, 497 498 the relatively detailed study of Abrahamyan (1974) established that it should be assigned to the 499 genus Cyrtiopsis, considering it as a subspecies of Cyrtiopsis senceliae Sartenaer, 1956b (= 'Pseudocyrtiopsis' senceliae, see discussions in Ma and Day (1999) and Mottequin and Brice 500 (2019)), as species known from the lower Famennian of southern Belgium, one of the paratypes 501 502 of which (Sartenaer, 1956b: pl. 1, fig. 4) was re-illustrated recently by Mottequin and Brice (2019: fig. 5.16–20). In fact, 'P.' senceliae is clearly distinct from the Armenian material as its 503 504 mucronate shell is longer than wide (different outline) and displays notably a fold divided longitudinally into three subequal parts. Brice in Brice et al. (1978) considered Cyrtiopsis 505 senceliae armenica Abramyan, 1974 as a synonym of Ghorispirifer chakhaensis (Brice, 1971) 506 507 known from the upper and uppermost Famennian of Afghanistan and Iran. However, as explained by Mottequin and Brice (2019), this opinion cannot be accepted as the material from 508 509 Armenia may be easily distinguished from G. chakhaensis by its different outline (G. 510 *chakhaensis* displays a rounded or ovate outline with rounded posterolateral commissures,

511 whereas the specimens from Armenia display a rounded subpentagonal outline and almost 512 straight posterolateral commissures), its pseudodeltidium formed by several distinct plates, its high ventral interarea, having more ribs in sulcus and on fold and lacking numerous pustules 513 developed on capillae. Moreover, T. armenicus has a delthyrial plate, which is absent in G. 514 chakhaensis. Afterwards, Aristov et al. (1979) raised Abrahamyan's (1974) subspecies to the 515 516 species level. Grechishnikova (1986) erected Cyrtiopsis caucasia from the lower Famennian of Nakhichevan and indicated the similarity to C. senceliae armenicus coming from the same 517 locality (Gyumushlug section; Fig. 1). The author compared her material with Abrahamyan's 518 519 (1974) subspecies and mentioned that C. caucasia differs from the former by its triangular shell 520 outline, smaller size, ventral triangular interarea and prominent ventral beak. Grechishnikova (1986: pl. 1, fig. 3) illustrated only the holotype, which was re-illustrated by Afanasjeva in 521 522 Alekseeva et al. (2018b: pl. 29, fig. 5). The latter compared Grechishnikova's species and C. senceliae from Western Europe, China [sic] as well as Armenia, and indicated that the former 523 differs by its subtriangular shell outline. Thus, she implicitly considered either that 524 525 Abrahamyan's (1974) subspecies is still a subspecies of Sartenaer's (1956b) species, or simply that she does not recognize *armenicus* as a valid subspecies. However, all of the external features 526 527 mentioned by both Grechishnikova (1986) and Afanasjeva in Alekseeva et al. (2018a, b), which were supposed to point the differences, are also observed in Abrahamyan's species with the 528 exception of the subtriangular shell outline that is not even observed in their material, as the 529 530 holotype of *C. caucasia* is rounded subpentagonal in outline. Consequently, they certainly should be considered as intraspecific variations. Thus, we consider *Cyrtiopsis caucasia* as a junior 531 synonym of Abrahamyan's species. As seen above, a great attention has been paid to this species 532 533 because of its peculiar external morphology and potential utility for recognition the lower

Famennian strata in the Lesser Caucasus; however, its affinities at the genus level were unknownso far.

536

#### 537 **Discussion**

538

539 Definition of the family Cyrtospiriferidae and the likely origin of the two new genera.—In the classification put forward by Carter et al. (1994), one of the main distinguishing features of 540 the superfamily Cyrtospiriferoidea is the presence of a delthyrial plate. In the same classification 541 542 and taken into account the width of the hinge line and type of cardinal extremities, the family Cyrtospiriferidae is divided into two subfamilies: the Cyrtospiriferinae Termier and Termier, 543 1949 and the Cyrtiopsinae Ivanova, 1972, with Cyrtospirifer Nalivkin in Fredericks, 1924 and 544 Cyrtiopsis Grabau, 1923 as their type genera, respectively. However, following the taxonomic 545 revision conducted by Ma and Day (1999) it was realized that the genus Cyrtiopsis is devoid of 546 547 any delthyrial plate, which contradicts one of the main criteria in the definition of the superfamily Cyrtospiriferoidea proposed by Carter et al. (1994). Therefore, Ma and Day (2000, 548 p. 450) revised the family Cyrtospiriferidae to include genera that are devoid of a delthyrial 549 550 plate. Later, Ma and Day (2003) noted that some genera possess a posterior ventral shell thickening that could be considered as equivalent to a delthyrial plate and therefore they could 551 also be included in the family Cyrtospiriferidae. Although Johnson (2006), while defining the 552 superfamily Cyrtospiriferoidea, argued that its representatives generally possess a delthyrial 553 plate, it is obvious that the concept of this superfamily also requires revision to include those 554 555 members, which are devoid of any delthyrial plate. Moreover, amongst all genera assigned to the subfamily Cyrtiopsinae, a delthyrial plate is observed only in the genus Pseudocyrtiopsis Ma and 556

557 Day (1999), a genus erected to include those cyrtospiriferid species that resemble somehow to *Cyrtiopsis* Grabau, 1923, but also differ from it by their wide hinge line and the presence of a 558 delthyrial plate. Although both Ma and Day (1999) and later Gourvennec and Carter (2007) 559 560 attributed *Pseudocyrtiopsis* to the subfamily Cyrtiopsinae, this genus displays a high ventral interarea, a wide hinge line and mucronate cardinal extremities. These morphological characters 561 are suggestive of its assignment to the Cyrtospiriferinae, according to Johnson's (2006) 562 classification. Thus, it appears that Tornatospirifer n. gen. and not Pseudocyrtiopsis Ma and Day 563 (1999) is the only genus of the subfamily Cyrtiopsinae, which possesses a delthyrial plate. In 564 565 conclusion, in spite of the extensive taxonomic studies led by Carter et al. (1994), Ma and Day 566 (1999, 2000, 2003, 2007), Ma et al. (2003) and Johnson (2006), the superfamily Cyrtospiriferoidea, as well as the family Cyrtospiriferidae and its subfamilies, still require a 567 568 thorough revision in order to further understand the phylogenetic relationships amongst the different species and genera assigned to this interesting and diverse group of spire-bearing 569 brachiopods. 570

571 It is likely that the new species *Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae* evolved from a *Cyrtospirifer* stock. Adult shells of both genera resemble each other in terms of their size, 572 573 rounded subpentagonal outline, acute cardinal extremities and apsacline ventral interareas. It is possible that P. abrahamyanae evolved from Cyrtospirifer verneuili (Murchison, 1840) sensu 574 Abrahamyan (1957), which has been reported by the latter author from both the Frasnian and 575 576 lower Famennian deposits of Armenia. Morphologic trends leading to *Pentagonospirifer* would have involved longitudinal elongation and inflation of the shell, development of a more complex 577 578 pseudodeltidium (composed of several plates) and micro-ornament (fine capillae only on ribs 579 with pustules on them) and a strong medial callosity on the delthyrial plate. We tentatively

regard *Tornatospirifer armenicus* (Abrahamyan, 1974) and the newly defined genus

581 *Tornatospirifer* as having evolved from *Tiocyrspis* Sartenaer, 1994. The latter genus is the oldest

582 cyrtiopsine representative and, it is only known from the upper Frasnian of Germany and

583 Belgium (Sartenaer, 1994); *Tornatospirifer* differs from *Tiocyrspis* mainly by its more complex

584 pseudodeltidium and by possessing a delthyrial plate.

585

Paleobiogeographic considerations.—The Late Devonian was characterized by a high eustatic 586 sea level and prolonged cosmopolitanism (Johnson et al., 1985). Brachiopod provincialism was 587 588 overall low at the time, presumably due to favorable patterns in oceanic current circulation that 589 led to substantial interchange between previously isolated faunas (Brock and Yazdi, 2000). However, as shown through the studies of Gaetani (1965, 1968), Brice (1971), and Brice et al. 590 591 (1973, 1999) a single bioprovince may be individualized for the Upper Devonian brachiopod assemblages found along the northern margin of Gondwana. Serobyan et al. (2021) concurred 592 recently with this viewpoint based on the study of lower Famennian rhynchonellides and 593 594 athyrides from Armenia; these authors argued for a rather uniform early Famennian brachiopod bioprovince that was developed between Pamir (to the east) and the Anatolide-Tauride 595 596 microplate (to the west) (Fig. 10).

597 The brachiopods studied herein belong to the rhynchonelliforms that used to have a 598 benthic mode of life and produced lecithotrophic larvae, with a free-swimming larval stage 599 (Williams et al., 1996; Carlson, 2016). Both the monospecific genus *Pentagonospirifer* n. gen. 600 and the type species of *Tornatospirifer (T. armenicus)* appear to be restricted to the lower 601 Famennian of the South Armenian Block. However, at least two (and possibly three) previously 602 described species from the Kara-Tau region of Central Kazakhstan have been assigned by us to

the newly defined genus Tornatospirifer n. gen.; another questionably assigned species (P. (?) 603 604 murchisonianus de Koninck [sic] sensu Reed, 1922) is known from Pamir (Tajikistan) (Fig. 10); morphologically it strongly resembles *Tornatospirifer armenicus* (Abrahamyan, 1974) and 605 606 therefore phylogenetically the two species may be closely related. Thus, *Tornatospirifer* species had a quite wide latitudinal distribution during the Famennian, across the Paleo-Tethys ocean. 607 608 Moreover, if our hypothesis is correct for the evolutionary origin of *Tornatospirifer* from a 609 *Tiocyrspis* ancestral stock situated in Western Europe, we may tentatively consider that its origination and migration took place during the earliest Famennian to both the Central 610 611 Kazakhstan block and the northern Gondwanan margin (SAB). Nevertheless, in order to achieve robust conclusions in terms of the biogeographic distribution of Upper Devonian brachiopods, 612 modern taxonomic revisions are necessary on the brachiopod fauna described during the second 613 614 half of 20th century from areas of the former Soviet Union (Nalivkin, 1937, 1941; Martinova, 1961; Sidjachenko, 1962). 615

616

Brachiopod encrusters: patterns and likely interaction with their host.—Paleozoic encrusters 617 618 were common elements of shelly substrates during the Devonian time. More particularly, as 619 noted by Musabelliu and Zaton (2018) the lower Famennian marked an increase in cornulitid 620 abundance and morphological disparity. These epifaunal suspension feeders may be helpful for paleoecological interpretations; they inhabited only in waters of normal salinity (Vinn et al., 621 2019) as it is also the case of most brachiopod species. However, their biological affinities, 622 623 morphological peculiarities and paleobiodiversity remain largely undocumented. This is the very 624 first report documenting the presence of encrusters and their encrustation patterns on Upper Devonian brachiopods from the Lesser Caucasus (Armenia and Nakhichevan). Indeed, no 625

observation or mention of any kind has been made before on this aspect (e.g. Abrahamyan, 1957,

627 1964, 1974; Arakelyan, 1964; Grechishnikova, 1986, 1996; Alekseeva et al., 2018a, b).

In our material, one specimen (IGSNASRAGM 3965/PS 3071) of Pentagonospirifer 628 abrahamyanae n. gen. n. sp. was found to be encrusted by two cornulitid tubeworms on its dorsal 629 valve, near the anterior margin, although one of them is only partly preserved (Fig. 3.2, 3.19). 630 631 The latter displays the external features of the genus *Cornulites* von Schlotheim, 1820, the representatives of which are considered as hard-substrate encrusters; they are known since the 632 Middle Ordovician and up to the Late Carboniferous (Vinn, 2010). The tube of Cornulites sp. is 633 634 oriented with its aperture directed towards the brachiopod anterior commissure; this polarity suggests the way in which the tubeworm was attached on the brachiopod shell and its subsequent 635 growth direction so that to "steal" some food transported by the weak currents produced by the 636 feeding activity of the brachiopod host (Richards, 1974). Moreover, the brachiopod shell 637 displays distinct shell malformations that were obviously caused by the growth of the cornulitid 638 tubeworm, affecting thus the shell-secreting epithelium of the host. Obviously, by feeding on the 639 640 food brought by the weak currents produced by the brachiopod lophophore, the cornulitid had a clear negative impact on the host, as a deviation in the growth pattern is observed on the shell of 641 642 the latter. It is likely that the small size of the host is not due to its young age, but due to the negative impact of the encrustors. In this regard, it is reasonable to consider this cornulitid 643 tubeworm as an ectoparasite (Richards, 1974) and not as a commensal in relation to its owner. 644 645 Encrusters observed on a second brachiopod specimen of *Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae* n. gen. n. sp. (IGSNASRAGM 3928/PS 3034; Fig. 3.11, 3.15, 3.18) were likely colonial organisms; 646 647 they may be assigned to *Hederella* Hall, 1883, an enigmatic encrusting metazoan that is known 648 from the Silurian to the Permian, although it is more common in the Devonian record (Tapanila,

2005). Although it resembles cyclostome bryozoans, it is not considered as a bryozoan because
of its branching patterns, lack of an astogenetic gradient, skeletal microstructure, and wide range
in tube diameters (Wilson and Taylor, 2001). It is likely that it was some kind of a lophophorategrade animal of uncertain affinity (Taylor and Wilson, 2008).

653

#### 654 Conclusions

655

656 The taxonomic examination of two cyrtospiriferid species, based on newly collected material from the lower Famennian of Armenia led us to define two new genera: *Pentagonospirifer* 657 658 (Cyrtospiriferinae) and Tornatospirifer (Cyrtiopsinae). Tornatospirifer n. gen. appears to be the 659 only genus within the subfamily Cyrtiopsinae, which possesses a delthyrial plate. This indicates 660 that although most of cyrtiopsine genera lack a delthyrial plate, the presence or absence of this internal structure should not be used in the definition of the Cyrtiopsinae in classifications that 661 possibly will be established after a thorough revision of the whole superfamily 662 Cyrtospiriferoidea, as recommended by Ma and Day (2000). Cyrtiopsis caucasia 663 664 Grechishnikova, 1986 is hereby considered as a junior synonym of *Tornatospirifer armenicus* 665 (Abrahamyan, 1974), which is one of the most biostratigraphically valuable species for the 666 recognition of lower Famennian strata in the Lesser Caucasus. Both illustrated species appear to 667 be restricted to the lower Famennian of the SAB arguing for its relative isolation within the northern margin of Gondwana. 668

669

#### 670 Acknowledgments

672	V. Serobyan is grateful to the French Embassy in Yerevan and the MOB-LIL-EX International
673	Mobility Grant Programme of the University of Lille for funding his studies in France. T.
674	Danelian and A. Grigoryan would like to thank the Erasmus + Programme for funding their staff
675	mobility in 2018 and 2019, respectively. C. Crônier acknowledges the financial support of the
676	Lille Institute on Environmental Sciences (IRePSE). Fieldwork was also facilitated by the
677	logistic support of the Institute of Geological Sciences (Armenian Academy of Sciences). The
678	authors thank the Région Hauts-de-France, and the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de
679	la Recherche (CPER Climibio), and the European Fund for Regional Economic Development for
680	their financial support. Sylvie Régnier (UMR Evo-Eco-Paleo, ULille) is gratefully acknowledged
681	for the high-quality SEM pictures obtained on specimens deposited at IGSNASRAGM. The
682	authors are also grateful to Andrzej Baliński for his advice on spiriferides from the Eastern
683	European Platform. This work is a contribution to the IGCP project n° 652 'Reading Geologic
684	Time in Paleozoic Sedimentary Rocks". The manuscript benefited from the reviews of A.
685	Baliński and of an anonymous reviewer, and from the editorial help of J. Jin, to whom all we are
686	much indebted.
687	
688	
689	
690	References
691	

692	Abrahamyan, M.S., 1957, Brakhiopody verkhnefamenskikh i etrenskikh otlozheniy yugo-
693	zapadnoy Armenii: Yerevan, Izdatelstvo Akademii Nauk Armyanskoy SSR, 142 p. [in
694	Russian]
695	Abrahamyan, M.S., 1964, Karbon, in Mkrtchian, S.S., Vardaniants, L.A., Gabrielian, A.A,
696	Maghakian, I.G., and Paffenholz, C.N., eds., Geologiya Armyanskoy SSR: Yerevan,
697	Akademiya Nauk Armyanskoy SSR, v. 2, p. 96–118. [in Russian]
698	Abrahamyan, M.S., 1974, Opisanie fauny, Devonskaya sistema, Tip Brachiopoda, Brakhiopody,
699	in Akopian, V.T., ed., Atlas iskopaemoy fauny Armyanskoy SSR: Yerevan, Akademiya
700	Nauk Armyanskoy SSR, Institut Geologicheskikh Nauk, p. 48–67. [in Russian]
701	Abrahamyan, M.S., Arakelyan, R.A., and Azizbekov, Sh.A., 1973, Malyy Kavkaz (Yuzhnoe
702	Zakavkaz'ya), in Rzhonsnitskaya, M.A., ed., Kavkazskaya geosinklinal'naya oblast i
703	Predkavkaz'e, in Nalivkin, D.V., Rzhonsnitskaya, M.A., Markovski, B.P., eds., Stratigrafiya
704	SSSR, Devonskaya sistema: Moscow, Nedra 1, p. 210–219. [in Russian]
705	Abrahamyan, M.S., Arakelyan, R.A., and Papoyan, A.S., 1975, Granitsa Devona i Nizhnego
706	Karbona, in Stratigrafiya Karbona i Geologiya Uglenosnykh Formatsii SSSR. Moscow,
707	Nedra, p. 49–55. [in Russian]
708	Alekseeva, R.E., Afanasjeva, G.A., Grechishnikova, I.A., Oleneva, N.V., and Pakhnevich, A.V.,
709	2018a, Devonian and Carboniferous Brachiopods and Biostratigraphy of Transcaucasia:
710	Paleontological Journal, v. 52, p. 829–967.
711	Alekseeva, R.E., Afanasjeva, G.A., Grechishnikova, I.A., Oleneva, N.V., and Pakhnevich, A.V.,
712	2018b, Devonian and Carboniferous Brachiopods and Biostratigraphy of Transcaucasia
713	(Ending): Paleontological Journal, v. 52, p. 969–1085.

- 714 Arakelyan, R.A., 1952, Stratigrafiya paleozoyskikh otlozheniy yugo-zapadnoy Armenii i
- 715 prilegayushchikh chastey Nakhichevanskoy ASSR: Yerevan, Akademiya Nauk Armyanskoy
- 716 SSR, Institut Geologicheskikh Nauk., 142 p. [in Russian]
- 717 Arakelyan, R.A., 1964, Devon, *in* Mkrtchian, S.S., Vardaniants, L.A., Gabrielian, A.A.,
- 718 Maghakian, I.G., and Paffenholz, C.N., eds., Geologiya Armyanskoy SSR: Yerevan,
- 719 Akademiya Nauk Armyanskoy SSR, v. 2, p. 46–96. [in Russian]
- Aristov, V.A., 1994, Konodonty devona–nizhnego karbona Evrazii: soobshhestva, zonalnoye
- 721 raschleneniye, korrelyatsiya raznofatsialnykh otlozheniy: Trudy Geologicheskogo Instituta
- 722 Rossiyskoy Akademii Nauk v. 484, 1–193. [in Russian]
- 723 Aristov, V.A., Grechishnikova, I.A., Tschigova, V.A., and Felix, V.P., 1979, Subdivision and
- correlation of the Famennian and the lower Tournaisian deposits of Transcaucasia (on
- brachiopods, conodonts and ostracods): Geological Survey of Belgium, Professional Paper,

v. 161, p. 91–95.

- Brice, D., 1971, Étude paléontologique et stratigraphique du Dévonien de l'Afghanistan: Notes
  et Mémoires sur le Moyen-Orient, v. 11, p. 1–364.
- Brice, D., 1982, *Eodmitria*, genre nouveau de brachiopode Cyrtospiriferidae du Frasnien
  Inférieur et Moyen: Geobios, v. 15, p. 575–581.
- 731 Brice, D., Lafuste, J., Lapparent, A.F.de, Pillet, J., and Yassini, I., 1973, Étude de deux
- gisements paléozoïques (Silurien et Dévonien) de l'Elbourz oriental (Iran): Annales de la
  Société géologique du Nord, v. 93, p. 177–218.
- Brice, D., Jenny, J., Stampfli, G., and Bigey, F., 1978, Le Dévonien de l'Elbourz oriental:
- stratigraphie, paléontologie (Brachiopodes et Bryozoaires), paléogéographie: Rivista Italiana
- di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia, v. 84, p. 1–56.

737	Brice, D., Mistiaen, B., and Rohart, JC., 1999, New data on distribution of brachiopods, rugose
738	corals and stromatoporoids in the Upper Devonian of central and eastern Iran
739	Paleobiogeographic implications: Annales de la Société Géologique du Nord, v. 2, p. 21–32.
740	Brock, G.A., and Yazdi, M., 2000, Palaeobiogeographic affinities of Late Devonian brachiopods
741	from Iran: Records of the western Australian Museum, Supplement, p. 321-334.
742	Carlson, S.J., 2016, The Evolution of Brachiopoda: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary
743	Sciences, v. 44, p. 409–438.
744	Carter, J.L., Johnson, J.G., Gourvennec, R., and Hou, H.F., 1994, A revised classification of the
745	spiriferid brachiopods: Annals of the Carnegie Museum, v. 63, p. 327–374.
746	Carter, J.L., and Gourvennec, R., 2006, Spiriferida. Introduction, in Kaesler, R.L., Treatise on
747	Invertebrate Paleontology. Part H, Brachiopoda, 5 (revised): Boulder and Lawrence,
748	Geological Society of America (and University of Kansas Press), p. H1689–H1694.
749	Frederiks, G.N., 1924, Paleontologicheskiye etyudy. 2. O Verkhne-Kamennougol'nykh
750	spiriferidakh Urala: Izvestiya Geologicheskogo Komiteta v. 38, p. 295–324. [in Russian]
751	Gaetani, M., 1965, The geology of the Upper Djadjerud and Lar valleys (North Iran). 2
752	Palaeontology. Brachiopods and molluscs from Geirud Formation, Member A (Upper
753	Devonian and Tournaisian): Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia, v. 71, p. 679–
754	771.
755	Gaetani, M., 1968, Lower Carboniferous brachiopods from Central Elburz: Rivista Italiana di
756	Paleontologia e Stratigrafia, v. 74, p. 665–744.
757	Gatinaud, G., 1949, Contributions à l'étude des Brachiopodes Spiriferidae. I, Exposé d'une

nouvelle méthode d'étude de la morphologie externe des Spiriferidae à sinus plissé: Bulletin

- du Museum national d'Histoire naturelle, v. 21 (1, 2, 3, 4), p. 153–159, p. 300–307, p. 408–
  413, p. 487–492.
- Ginter, M., Hairapetian, V., and Grigorian, A, 2011, Chondrichthyan microfossils from the
  Famennian and Tournaisian of Armenia: Acta Geologica Polonica, v. 61, p. 153–173.
- Gourvennec, R., 2006, Upper Devonian Brachiopods from Eastern Taurus (Turkey): Geologica
  Croatica, v. 59, p. 1–17.
- Gourvennec, R., 2019, Silurian–Devonian Spiriferida and Spiriferinida (Brachiopods) from the
   Tindouf Basin (Algeria): Palaeontographica Abteilung, v. 313, p. 81–149.
- 767 Gourvennec, R., and Carter, J.L., 2007, Spiriferida and Spiriferinida, in Seldon, P.A., ed.,
- Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology. Part H, Brachiopoda 6 (revised): Lawrence, Kansas,
  Geological Society of America (and University of Kansas), H2772–H2796.
- Grabau, A.W., 1923, Stratigraphy of China. Part 1. Palaeozoic and older: Geological Survey of
  China, Beijing, p. 200 (201–528 [1924]).
- Grabau, A.W., 1931, Devonian Brachiopoda of China, I: Devonian Brachiopoda from Yunnan
- and other districts in South China: China Geological Survey, Palaeontologia Sinica Ser. B 3,

774 p. 1–545.

- 775 Grechishnikova, I.A., 1986, Novye devonskiye spiriferidy Zakavkaz'ya: Zapiski Gornogo
- 776 Instituta, v. 107, p. 52–60. [in Russian]
- 777 Grechishnikova, I.A., 1996, New Givetian spiriferids (Brachiopoda) of Transcaucasia:
- Paleontologicheskiy Zhurnal, v. 4, p. 34–37. [in Russian]
- 779 Grechishnikova, I.A., and Levitskii, E.S., 2011, The Famennian–Lower Carboniferous Reference
- 780 Section Geran-Kalasi (Nakhichevan Autonomous Region, Azerbaijan): Stratigraphy and
- 781 Geological Correlation, v. 19, p. 21–43.

782	Grechishnikova, I.A., Levitskii, V.S., and Feliks, V.P., 1980, K biostratigrafii srednego Devona
783	Zakavkaz'ya: Byulleten' Moskovskogo Obshchestva Ispytateley Prirody, Otdel Geologii, v.
784	55, p. 39–50.
785	Grechishnikova, I.A., Aristov, V.A., Reitlinger, E.A., and Chizhova, V.A., 1982, Biostratigrafiya
786	pogranichnykh otlozhenii devona i karbona Zakavkaz'ya (opornye razrezy): Severo-
787	Vostochnyy Kompleksnyy Nauchno-Issledovatel'skiy Institut Dal'nevostochnogo
788	Nauchnogo Tsentra Akademii Nauk SSSR, p. 1–38. [in Russian]
789	Grigoryan A., Serobyan, V, Randon. C., Mayilyan, R., Avagyan, N., Danelian, T., 2019, A
790	Famennian (Late Devonian) conodont assemblage from brachiopod-rich limestones of the
791	Djravank section (southern Armenia): Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences of the
792	Republic of Armenia, Earth Sciences, v. 72, p. 3–12.
793	Hall, J., 1883, Bryozoans of the Upper Heldelberg and Hamilton Groups: Transactions of the
794	Albany Institute, v. 10, p. 145–197.
795	Ivanova, E.A., 1972, Osnovnyye zakonomernosti evolyutsii spiriferid (Brachiopoda):
796	Paleontological Journal, v. 3, p. 309–320.
797	Johnson, J.G., 2006, Cyrtospiriferoidea (part), in Kaesler, R.L., ed., Treatise on Invertebrate
798	Paleontology. Part H, Brachiopoda 5 (revised): Boulder, Colorado, Lawrence, Geological
799	Society of America (and University of Kansas Press), p. H1722–H1732.
800	Johnson, J.G., Klapper, G., and Sandberg, C.A., 1985, Devonian eustatic fluctuations in
801	Euramerica: Bulletin of the Geological Society of America v. 96, p. 567–587.
802	Ljashenko, A.I., 1957, Novyi rod Devonskikh brakhiopod Uchtospirifer: Doklady Akademii
803	Nauk SSSR 117, p. 885–888. [in Russian]

804	Ljashenko, A.I., 1959, Atlas brakhiopod i stratigrafiya Devonskikh otlozhenii tsentral'nykh
805	oblastei Russkoi Platformy: Moskva, Gostoptehizdat, 451 p. [in Russian]
806	Ma, XP., Day, J., 1999, The late Devonian brachiopod Cyrtiopsis davidsoni Grabau 1923, and
807	related forms from central Hunan of South China: Journal of Paleontology, v. 73, p. 608-
808	624.
809	Ma, XP., and Day, J., 2000, Revision of <i>Tenticospirifer</i> Tien, 1938, and morphologically
810	similar spiriferid brachiopod genera from the Late Devonian (Frasnian) of Eurasia, North
811	America, and Australia: Journal of Paleontology v. 74, p. 444–463.
812	Ma, XP., and Day, J., 2003, Revision of North American and selected Eurasian Late Devonian
813	(Frasnian) species of Cyrtospirifer and Regelia (Brachiopoda): Journal of Paleontology, v.
814	77, p. 267–292.
815	Ma, XP., and Day, J., 2007., Morphology and revision of Late Devonian (Early Famennian)
816	Cyrtospirifer (Brachiopoda) and related genera from South China and North America:
817	Journal of Paleontology, v. 81, p. 286–311.
818	Ma, XP., Chen, X.Q., Day, J., and Jin., Y.G., 2003., Restudy of the Chinese Upper Devonian
819	cyrtospiriferid (Brachiopoda): Sinospirifer Grabau, 1931: Acta Palaeontologica Sinica, 42, p.
820	367–381.
821	Mamedov, A.B., and Rzhonsnitskaya, M.A., 1985, Devonian of the south Transcaucasus: Zonal
822	subdivision, boundaries of series and stages, correlation: Courier Forschungsinstitut
823	Senckenberg, v. 75, p. 135–156.
824	Martynova, M.V., 1961, Stratigrafiya i brakhiopody Famenskogo yarusa zapadnoy chasti
825	Tsentral'nogo Kazakhstana: Materialy po Geologii Tsentral'nogo Kazakhstana, v. 2, p. 1–
826	211. [in Russian]

827	Mottequin, B., and Brice, D., 2019, Reappraisal of some Upper Devonian (Famennian)
828	spiriferide brachiopods from the Band-e Bayan Domain (Afghanistan): Geobios, v. 52, p. 47-
829	65.
830	Mottequin, B., Brice, D., and Legrand-Blain, M., 2014, Biostratigraphic significance of
831	brachiopods near the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary: Geological Magazine, v. 151, p.
832	216–228.
833	Murchison, R.I., 1840, Description de quelques-unes des coquilles fossiles les plus abondantes
834	dans les couches dévoniennes du Bas-Boulonnais: Bulletin de la Société géologique de
835	France, v. 11, p. 250–256.
836	Musabelliu, S., and Zaton, M., 2018, Patterns of cornulitid encrustation on the Late
837	Devonianbrachiopod shells from Russia Proceedings of the Geologists' Association, v. 129,
838	p. 227–234.
839	Nalivkin, D.V., 1937, Brakhiopody verkhnego i srednego devona i nizhnego karbona Severo-
840	Vostochnogo Kazakhstana: Tsentral'nyi Nauchno-Issledovatel'skii Geologo-Razvedochnyi
841	Institut (TSNIGRI), Trudy 99, p. 1–200. [in Russian]
842	Nalivkin, D.V., 1941, Brakhiopody Glavnogo devonskogo polya, in Borisiak, A.A., and Gekker,
843	R.F., eds., Fauna Glavnogo devonskogo polya: Moska, Paleontologicheskiy institut AN
844	SSSR, v. 1, p. 139–221. [in Russian]
845	Oleneva, N.V., 2016, Devonian Brachiopods of the Orders Spiriferida and Spiriferinida of the
846	European Russia and Transcaucasia: Systematics, Shell Microstructure: Paleontological
847	Journal, v. 50, p. 1207–1296.
848	Paeckelmann, W., 1942, Beiträge zur Kenntnis devonischer Spiriferen: Abhandlungen des
849	Reichsamts für Bodenforschung, v. 197, p. 1–188.
	38

- Pushkin, V.I., 1996, *Pripyatispirifer*, a new Lower Famennian genus from Belarus: Bulletin de
  l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre, v. 66, p. 43–51.
- 852 Reed, F.R.C., 1922, Devonian fossils from Chitral and the Pamirs. Memoirs of the Geological
- Survey of India: Palaeontologica Indica, New Series, v. 6, p. 1–134.
- Richards, P.R., 1974, Ecology of the Cornulitidae: Journal of Paleontology, Tulsa, v. 48, p. 514–
  523.
- Rzhonsnitskaya, M.A., 1948, Devonskiye otlozheniya Zakavkaz'ya: Doklady Akademy Nauk
  SSSR, v. 59, p. 1477–1480. [in Russian]
- 858 Rzhonsnitskaya, M.A., and Mamedov, A.B., 2000, Devonian stage boundaries in the southern
- Transcaucasus: Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, v. 225, p. 329–333.
- 860 Sartenaer, P., 1956a, Signification et importance du genre *Cyrtiopsis* dans les dépôts famenniens
- 861 inferieurs. Première note: *Cyrtiopsis murchisoniana* (de Verneuil, E., 1845): Bulletin de
- l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, v. 32, p. 1–19.
- 863 Sartenaer, P., 1956b, Signification et importance du genre Cyrtiopsis dans les dépôts Famenniens
- 864 inferieurs. Deuxième note: *Cyrtiopsis senceliae* nov. sp: Bulletin de l'Institut royal des
- Sciences naturelles de Belgique, v. 32, p. 1–12.
- 866 Sartenaer, P., 1965, Signification et importance du genre *Cyrtiopsis* dans les dépôts famenniens
- 867 inférieurs. Quatrième Note. Position systématique et stratigraphique du lectotype de l'espèce
- *Spirifer Murchisonianus* De Verneuil, E., 1845: Bulletin de la Société belge de Géologie, de
  Paléontologie et d'Hydrogéologie, v. 73, p. 366–392.
- 870 Sartenaer, P., 1970, Nouveaux genres rhynchonellides (Brachiopodes) du Paléozoïque : Bulletin
- de l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, v. 46, p. 1–32.

- 872 Sartenaer, P., 1982, The presence and significance of *Spirifer bisinus*, *S. malaisi*, *S.*
- supradisjunctus and S. seminoi in Early Frasnian beds of Western Europe, in Sartenaer, P.,
- ed., Papers on the Frasnian/Givetian boundary: Brussels, Geological Survey of Belgium, p.
  122–196.
- 876 Sartenaer, P., 1994, *Tiocyrspis*, a new late Frasnian cyrtiopsid genus from Germany and
- Belgium, *in* Königshof, P., and Werner, R. eds., Willi Ziegler-Festschrift II: Courier
  Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, v. 169, p. 29–43.
- 879 Schemm-Gregory, M., 2011, A new species of *Cyrtospirifer* (Brachiopoda) from the Middle
- 880 Devonian of the Western Sahara (Northwest Africa): Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e
- 881 Stratigrafia, v. 117, p. 3–13.
- 882 Serobyan, V., Grigoryan, A., Mottequin, B., Mayilyan, R., Crônier, C., and Danelian, T., 2019,
- 883 Biostratigraphy of the Upper Devonian trigonirhynchiid brachiopods (Rhynchonellida) from
- 884 Armenia: Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia, Earth
- 885 Sciences, v. 72, p. 3–18.
- 886 Serobyan, V., Danelian, T., Crônier, C., Grigoryan, A., and Mottequin, B., 2021, Lower
- 887 Famennian (Upper Devonian) rhynchonellide and athyride brachiopods from the South

Armenian Block: Journal of Paleontology, v. 95, p. 527–552.

- Sidjachenko, A.I., 1961, Verkhnedevonskiy podrod tsirtospiriferid *Dmitria*: Paleontologicheskii
  Zhurnal, v. 2, p. 80–85.
- 891 Sidjachenko, A.I., 1962, Spiriferidy i stratigrafiya famenskikh otlozheniy tsentral'nogo i yugo-
- 892 vostochnogo Karatau: Moskva, Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Sibirskoje Otdelenie, 147 p. [in
- 893 Russian]

- Sokiran, E.V., 2006, Early–Middle Frasnian cyrtospiriferid brachiopods from the East European
  Platform: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, v. 51, p. 759–772.
- 896 Sosson, M., Rolland, Y., Müller, C., Danelian, T., Melkonyan, R., Kekelia, S., Adamia, S.,
- Babazadeh, V., Kangarli, T., Avagyan, A., Galoyan, G., and Mosar, J., 2010, Subductions,
- 898 obduction and collision in the Lesser Caucasus (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia), new
- insights, *in* Sosson, M., Kaymakci, N., Stephenson, E.A., Bergerat, F., and Starostenko, V.,
- 900 eds., Sedimentary Basin Tectonics from the Black Sea and Caucasus to the Arabian Platform:
- 901 London, Geological Society, Special Publication, v. 340, p. 329–352.
- 902 Tapanila, L., 2005, Palaeoecology and diversity of endosymbionts in Palaeozoic marine
- 903 invertebrates: Trace fossil evidence: Lethaia, v. 38, p. 89–99.
- Taylor, P.D., and Wilson, M.A., 2008, Morphology and affinities of hederelloid "bryozoans":
  Virginia Museum of Natural History, Special Publication, v. 15, p. 301–309.
- 906 Termier, H., and Termier, G., 1949, Essai sur l'évolution des Spiriféridés: Notes et Mémoires,
- 907 Service géologique, Division des Mines et de la Géologie (Maroc), v. 74, p. 85–112.
- 908 Verneuil, E. de, 1845, Géologie de la Russie d'Europe et des Montagnes de l'Oural: Paris,
- 909 Paléontologie, v. 2, p. 1–512.
- Vinn, O., 2010, Adaptive strategies in the evolution of encrusting tentaculitoid tubeworms:
- Palæogeography, Palæoclimatology, Palæoecology, Amsterdam, v. 292, p. 211–221.
- Vinn, O., Musabelliu, S., and Zaton, M., 2019, Cornulitids from the Upper Devonian of the
- 913 Central Devonian Field, Russia: GFF, v. 141, p. 68–76.
- Von Schlotheim, E.F, 1820, Die Petrefakten-Kunde auf ihrem jetzigen Standpunkte durch die
- 915 Beshreibung seiner Sammlung versteinerter und fossiler Ueberreste des their-und
- 916 Planzenreichs der Voiwelt erlaeutert: Gotha, 437 p.

$J_1 = W$ adgen, $W.H., 1005, Jan Kange 1055h5, I. I Tourchis-Linestone I 055h5. Diachtop$	917	Waagen, W.I	H., 1883, Salt I	Range fossils,	I. Productus-I	Limestone	Fossils:	Brachio	pod
--	-----	-------------	------------------	----------------	----------------	-----------	----------	---------	-----

```
Palaeontologia Indica, Series, 13–14, p. 391–546.
```

- 919 Williams, A., Carlson, S.J., Brunton, C.H.C., Holmer, L.E., and Popov, L. 1996, A supra-ordinal
- 920 classification of the Brachiopoda: Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of
- 921 London, B, v. 351, p. 1171–1193.
- Wilson, M.A., and Taylor, P.D., 2001, "Pseudobryozoans" and the problem of encruster
- diversity in the Paleozoic: PaleoBios, v. 21 (supplement to no. 2), p. 134–135.
- 24 Ziegler, W., and Sandberg, C.A., 1990, The Late Devonian standard conodont zonation: Courier
- 925 Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, v. 121, p. 1–115.

#### 927 Figures captions

928

Figure 1. Schematic geological map and distribution of Upper Paleozoic sequences in the Lesser
Caucasus (Central Armenia and Nakhichevan), including the location of sections mentioned in
the present study (after Serobyan et al., 2019, modified).

932

**Figure 2**. Biochronostratigraphic framework of the Frasnian–lower Famennian sedimentary

sequences in the Lesser Caucasus, including the biostratigraphic horizons established by

Arakelyan (1964) and brachiopod biozones of Abrahamyan (1957) in Central Armenia and of

936 Grechishnikova et al. (1980) and Rzhonsnitskaya and Mamedov (2000) in Nakhichevan. It also

937 includes the regional conodont zonation established by Aristov (1994) in Nakhichevan and the

standard conodont zones of Ziegler and Sandberg (1990).

939

940 Figure 3. Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae n. gen. n. sp. from the lower Famennian of Armenia. 941 The arrows indicate the anterior margin. (1–5, 19). IGSNASRAGM 3965/PS 3071 (Djravank section), almost complete juvenile specimen in ventral, dorsal, lateral, posterior and anterior 942 943 views (1–5), and close-up of cornulitid tubeworm (19). (6–10). IGSNASRAGM 3966/PS 3072 (Djravank section), almost complete specimen in ventral, dorsal, lateral, posterior and anterior 944 views. (11-18). IGSNASRAGM 3967/PS 3073 (holotype, Djravank section), almost compete 945 specimen in ventral, dorsal, lateral, posterior, posterodorsal and anterior views (11–15), close-up 946 of the ventral interarea (delthyrium) showing the pseudodeltidium composed of several distinct, 947 948 stacked sets of growth lamellae with an ovate foramen near the apex (16-17) and close-up of *Hederella* encrustation patterns near the front (18). (20–26). IGSNASRAGM 3968/PS 3074 949 (Ertych section), partly exfoliated specimen in ventral, dorsal, lateral, posterior, posterodorsal 950 951 and anterior views (21-26), and close-up of the micro-ornament (capillae with pustules) on ventral valve (25–26). Scale bars: 10 mm (1–15, 20–24), 5mm (16–17), 400 µm (25), 200 µm 952 (26).953

954

Figure 4. Transverse serial sections of *Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae* n. gen. n. sp.,
(IGSNASRAGM 3964/PS 3070), Djravank section. Numbers refer to distance in mm measured
from the top of the ventral umbo. Scale bars: 5 mm.

958

Figure 5. Scatter diagrams of *Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae* n. gen. n. sp. 1, Relation
between shell width and length. 2, Relation between shell width and thickness. 3, Relation
between shell width and width of sulcus. 4, Relation between shell width and length of dorsal

962 valve. Abbreviations: *N*, number of specimens measured; y=ax+b, linear model; *r*, coefficient of 963 correlation;  $p^{***}$ , significant probability value.

964

965	Figure 6. Tornatospirifer armenicus (Abrahamyan, 1974) from the lower Famennian of
966	Armenia. (1-6). IGSNASRAGM 3978/PS 3084 (Djravank section), almost complete specimen
967	in ventral, dorsal, lateral, posterior, posterodorsal and anterior views. (7-12). IGSNASRAGM
968	3979/PS 3085 (Noravank section), almost complete specimen in ventral, dorsal, lateral, posterior,
969	posterodorsal and anterior views. (13-18). IGSNASRAGM 3980/PS 3086 (Djravank), partly
970	exfoliated specimen in ventral, dorsal, lateral, posterior, posterodorsal and anterior views. Scale
971	bar: 10 mm (1–18).
972	
973	Figure 7. Tornatospirifer armenicus (Abrahamyan, 1974) from the lower Famennian of
974	Armenia. (1-8). IGSNASRAGM 3981/PS 3087 (neotype, Shamamidzor section), partly
975	exfoliated specimen in ventral, dorsal, lateral, posterior, posterodorsal and anterior views (1-6),
976	and close-up of the micro-ornament (capillae with pustules) on dorsal valve (7–8). (9).
977	IGSNASRAGM 3982/PS 3088 (Djravank section), incomplete specimen, close-up of the ventral
978	interarea (delthyrium) showing partly preserved pseudodeltidium with an ovate foramen near the
979	apex. Scale bars: 10 mm (1–6), 2.5 mm (9), 400 μm (7), 200 μm (8).
980	
981	Figure 8. Transverse serial sections of <i>Tornatospirifer armenicus</i> (Abrahamyan, 1974)
982	(IGSNASRAGM 3977/PS 3083), Djravank section. Numbers refer to distances in mm measured
983	from the top of the ventral umbo.

984

985	Figure 9. Scatter diagrams of Tornatospirifer armenicus (Abrahamyan, 1974). 1, Relation
986	between shell width and length. 2, Relation between shell width and thickness. 3, Relation
987	between shell width and width of sulcus. 4, Relation between shell width and length of dorsal
988	valve. Abbreviations: <i>N</i> , number of specimens measured; $y=ax+b$ , linear model; <i>r</i> , coefficient of
989	correlation; $p^{***}$ , significant probability value.
990	
991	Figure 10. Late Devonian paleogeographic reconstruction of the Paleotethys Ocean and its
992	surrounding continents, including the position of the South-Armenian Block along the northern
993	margin of the Gondwana megacontinent (redrawn and modified after Denayer and Hoçgör 2014,
994	based on the maps of Stampfli et al., 2002).
995	
996	Table 1. Measurements in mm and ratios of <i>Pentagonospirifer abrahamyanae</i> n. gen. n. sp.
997	Abbreviations: W-width of the shell, L-length of the shell, T-thickness of the shell, Ws-width
998	of the sulcus, dL-length of the dorsal valve.
999	
1000	Table 2. Measurements in mm and ratios of Tornatospirifer armenicus (Abrahamyan, 1974)
1001	Abbreviations: W-width of the shell, L-length of the shell, T-thickness of the shell, Ws-width

1002 of the sulcus, dL–length of the dorsal valve.