



HAL
open science

Morphological disparity trends in Devonian trilobites from North Africa

Valentin Bault, Catherine Crônier, Claude Monnet

► **To cite this version:**

Valentin Bault, Catherine Crônier, Claude Monnet. Morphological disparity trends in Devonian trilobites from North Africa. *Palaeontology*, 2022, 65 (5), pp.6200304. 10.1111/pala.12623. hal-04412266

HAL Id: hal-04412266

<https://hal.science/hal-04412266v1>

Submitted on 23 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **MORPHOLOGICAL DISPARITY TRENDS OF DEVONIAN**

2 **TRILOBITES FROM NORTH AFRICA**

3

4 *by* VALENTIN BAULT^{1*}, CATHERINE CRÔNIER¹, *and* CLAUDE MONNET¹

5 ¹ Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8198 – Evo-Eco-Paleo, F-59000 Lille, France;

6 valentin.bault@univ-lille.fr, catherine.cronier@univ-lille.fr, claude.monnet@univ-lille.fr

7

8 * Corresponding author

9

10

11 **ORCID:**

12 Valentin Bault <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9225-5195>

13 Catherine Crônier <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7606-0822>

14 Claude Monnet <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0899-8392>

15

16

17

18

19 **Abstract:** The Devonian was a time of drastic environmental changes that shaped the
20 morphology of trilobites. This study aims to investigate their morphological evolution and to
21 show the influence of some abiotic and biotic factors (bathymetry, feeding habits and visual
22 abilities) on their shape. A dataset was compiled to investigate the shape of three structures
23 (cephalon as a whole, the central part of the cephalon and pygidium) of Devonian trilobites
24 from North Africa, using a geometric morphometric approach. Based on empirical
25 morphospaces, the morphological changes were quantified through the Devonian stages. The
26 results reveal important variations in the morphological disparity of the glabella shape, the
27 facial suture location, the pygidial length and the presence of spines. In the Lochkovian, the
28 morphological disparity was low, subsequently increased in the Pragian with numerous
29 innovations, and reached a maximum in the Emsian. If the morphospace occupancy remained
30 until the Eifelian, a severe loss of disparity occurred in the Givetian, a time known for
31 important environmental changes. Disparity then remained low in the Late Devonian. The
32 shapes inherited from the Silurian persisted throughout the Devonian whereas Pragian
33 novelties were most affected by losses. These persistent shapes were more versatile for
34 environmental adaptation, helping those trilobites to survive to environmental events.
35 Similarly, the trilobite orders that survived Devonian events had a wide morphological
36 spectrum and were better adapted to withstand environmental change.

37

38 **Key words:** Trilobites, North Africa, Devonian, Morphological disparity, Morphometrics,
39 Evolution, environmental changes

40

41 Appearing as early as the Cambrian Explosion, trilobites diversified quickly to reach their
42 maximum diversity at the end of the Cambrian (Westrop & Aldrain 1998) and then undergone
43 an irregular decline until the end of the Permian (Sepkoski & Sheehan 1983; Foote 1993; Fan

44 *et al.* 2020). Especially, the Devonian marked an important step of this decline. Despite an
45 important diversity increase in the Early Devonian, a protracted decrease at all taxonomic
46 ranks (family, genus, species) occurred during the Middle Devonian up to the disappearance
47 of three of the five orders (i.e., Odontopleurida, Harpetida and Corynexochida using [Adrain](#)
48 [\(2011\)](#) classification updated by [Lamsdell & Selden \(2015\)](#) concerning the superfamily
49 Aulacopleuroidea) in the Late Devonian during the Kellwasser events ([Feist 1991](#); [Chlupáč](#)
50 [1994](#); [Crônier & vanViersen 2007](#); [Lerosey-Aubril & Feist 2012](#); [Bault *et al.* 2021](#)). In
51 addition to these diversity losses, the visual abilities and the life environments have been
52 modified in trilobites, and especially after the Frasnian/Famennian boundary ([Chlupáč 1994](#);
53 [Lerosey-Aubril & Feist 2012](#)). Therefore, the Devonian is a critical period in the evolutionary
54 history of trilobites. This interval of time is also well known to record major biotic and abiotic
55 changes, such as the Devonian Nekton Revolution ([Klug *et al.* 2010](#)), the development of
56 vascular land plants ([Pawlik *et al.* 2020](#)), exceptional sea-level highstands, widespread
57 epicontinental shallow seas, greenhouse climates ([Tinn *et al.* 2020](#)) and several
58 anoxic/hypoxic events and rapid sea level fluctuations ([House 2002](#); [Becker *et al.* 2016](#)).

59 Another important morphological indicator of evolutionary success or failure is the
60 disparity, as measured by the occupancy of a morphospace ([Foote 1997](#); [Wills 2001](#); [Erwin](#)
61 [2007](#), [Minelli 2016](#), [Guillerme *et al.* 2020b](#)). Success in diversity does not necessarily go
62 together with success in disparity. Many taxa show high diversity with low disparity, while
63 others are highly diverse but also exhibit high disparity ([Minelli 2016](#)). Taxonomic richness
64 and morphological disparity are two complementary metrics, which can be decoupled ([Foote](#)
65 [1991a, 1991b, 1993](#)). Such decoupled changes in taxonomic diversity and morphological
66 disparity can suggest different proxies for understanding the ecological impact of the
67 extinction event for example ([Wan *et al.* 2021](#)). Morphological disparity of trilobites has been
68 extensively documented in the Cambrian and the Ordovician ([Foote 1991a](#); [Smith &](#)

69 [Lieberman 1999](#); [Webster 2007](#); [Hopkins 2013](#); [Jacobs & Carlucci 2019](#)). Contrariwise,
70 Devonian studies are rare and often dedicated to developmental and ontogenetic topics
71 exploring the intrinsic factors of morphological changes (e.g. [Crônier *et al.* 1998](#); [Crônier](#)
72 [2013](#); [Oudot *et al.* 2019](#)). However, if biotic and abiotic factors are known to contribute to
73 diversification, they also contributed to the morphological disparity ([Crônier *et al.* 2004](#);
74 [Hopkins & Gerber 2017](#)). Some relationships have been noticed or demonstrated between
75 trilobite shapes and ecological affinities such as bathymetric conditions ([Hopkins 2014](#)),
76 feeding habits ([Fortey & Owens 1999](#)) or life habits ([Fortey 2014](#)). Although the
77 environmental influence on the morphological disparity was rarely evaluated, [Hopkins \(2014\)](#)
78 emphasized the effect of bathymetry, substrate and latitude on the trilobite shape for the entire
79 Palaeozoic. As the result of these environmental effects, the morphological disparity can be
80 dependant of the geographical location of populations through time ([Hopkins & Webster](#)
81 [2009](#); [Abe & Liebermann 2012](#); [Esteve *et al.* 2017](#)). These recent studies have been done at
82 the global scale or focusing on only one family. Nevertheless, for Devonian trilobites, no
83 studies have been performed on the total richness available throughout a span time for a
84 relatively well-constrained area.

85 During the Devonian time, the environmental and ecological changes were particularly
86 well exhibited in North Africa wherein trilobites were especially well represented and diverse
87 ([Lebrun 2018](#); [Bault *et al.* 2021](#)). This area is one of the richest in terms of Devonian trilobites
88 with five orders and 15 families ([Bault *et al.* 2021](#)), corresponding to all known worldwide
89 Devonian orders and the majority of families ([Crônier & van Viersen 2007](#)). In addition to its
90 fossil record, this area is also particularly well known for its stratigraphy and its
91 palaeoenvironmental context ([Massa 1965](#); [Hollard 1967](#); [Wendt & Belka 1991](#); [Becker *et al.*](#)
92 [2004](#); [Abbache *et al.* 2019](#); among others). It allows establishing the relationship between the

93 trilobites characterized by their shape and their habits and their palaeoenvironmental
94 affinities. Moreover, the Devonian events were all spotted in this area (Kaufmann 1998).

95 In the context of a period of diversity changes (Bault *et al.* 2021), analysing the
96 morphological changes through time for a relatively well-constrained area, helps to better
97 understand the impact of the Devonian biological events on benthic faunas. We focused our
98 investigations on the Devonian trilobites gathered from North African area. To understand the
99 fluctuations in time and space, the disparity patterns are assessed through morphological
100 spaces in a stratigraphic and palaeoenvironmental framework. First, we investigated the
101 evolution of the trilobite morphospace to identify the different morphotypes and to explore the
102 presence of evolutionary key innovations in lineages for each stage. Then, we investigated
103 how the morphological shape may be linked to different palaeoenvironmental and/or
104 palaeoecological factors (such as bathymetry, feeding habits and visual ability) and detecting
105 which factors contribute most to the observed patterns.

106

107 MATERIAL AND METHODS

108 Fig. 1 near here

109 *Trilobite data and frameworks*

110 We compiled a dataset to investigate the morphology of Silurian and Devonian trilobites from
111 Morocco and Algeria (Fig. 1). This area was situated on the continental margin of the
112 Gondwana at a latitude between 30° and 45°S (Scotese & Golonka 1992; Golonka 2002). It
113 was a favourable place for the settlement of benthic communities such as trilobites (Morzadec
114 2001; McKellar & Chatterton 2009). All figures of specimens illustrated over the last 80 years
115 with a sufficient quality have been directly exploited for shape analyses (Appendix S1).
116 Morphology of trilobites is here quantified by focusing on their most important structures, i.e.,
117 cephala, glabella and pygidia (Fig. 2). The glabella is a subset of the cephalon and it is here

118 analysed with the occipital ring and prelabellar field as “central cephalon”. The cephalon is
119 studied as a whole, including the central cephalon landmarks for morphological analyses. A
120 first dataset contains 328 complete cephala, 959 complete central cephala and 605 complete
121 pygidia; each structure being studied independently. This dataset includes all well-preserved
122 and illustrated specimens during the last 80 years from 65 publications (Appendix S2). Only
123 dorsal views were used and the right-side from each structure was selected except if the left-
124 side was more completed; mirror images were used to correspond to the right side. A second
125 dataset contains 30 complete specimens of 30 different species for which both the cephalon
126 and the pygidium were simultaneously preserved.

127 Fig. 2 near here

128 For each specimen, taxonomy, age (stage) as well as ecological characteristics were also
129 included in the dataset. Firstly, genera were classified by environmental affinities, i.e., by
130 water depth conditions (Fortey 1975; Turvey 2005; Crônier & vanViersen 2007).
131 Bathymetrical affinity for each genus was determine as the environment where it mainly
132 occurred. Because these data were not available for each occurrence, we interpolated it with
133 the data from other papers with the same stratigraphic context or from genera with the same
134 affinity. Four categories are identified along the bathymetric profile: (1) the shoreface
135 environment characterized by shallow and proximal conditions; (2) the upper offshore or
136 inner shelf environment characterized by relatively shallow waters; (3) the median offshore or
137 middle shelf environment characterized by relatively deep deposits; and (4) the lower offshore
138 or outer shelf environment located below effective storm wave base. It is important to note
139 that depth categories were based on sedimentological evidences and not from trilobite shapes
140 to avoid analysis biases.

141 Secondly, genera were classified by their diet. We referred to Fortey and Owens (1999) to
142 determine the feeding habits of genera based on hypostome features. Three diet categories are

143 recognized in our database: ‘Predator/Scavenger’, which ingested small preys, ‘Particle
144 feeding’, which fed on nutrients and ‘Filter chamber’, which brought sedimentary material
145 into suspension before selecting out edible particles (Fortey & Owens 1999). It should be
146 noted that the estimates of feeding habits are only assumptions and the evidence in the fossil
147 record is rare. For instance, only two examples confirmed as particle feeders are known (Zhu
148 *et al.* 2014; Gutiérrez-Marco *et al.* 2017). Feeding habits are still an ongoing debate and
149 advances in biomechanics (Bicknell *et al.* 2018, 2021) will help refine the trilobite diets.

150 Third, genera were classified by their eyes, preserved as calcite lenses on a visual surface
151 (Clarkson *et al.* 2006). Each taxon has been assigned to one of the three categories: blind,
152 reduced-eye, or well-developed-eye. The diagnostic features related to the eye size or to the
153 number of lenses were considered to establish these categories. In trilobites, the visual ability
154 has often been linked to environment or life habits (Clarkson 1967; Feist 1991). For this last
155 analysis, blind cephalons were not considered because of the lack of three landmarks (i.e.,
156 landmarks 8, 9 and 14; Fig. 2).

157

158 *Landmark data*

159 The 2D shape of studied trilobites is here quantified by a geometric morphometric approach,
160 which is now a standard set of techniques for quantifying shape independently of size by
161 using homologous points (landmarks) on the surface of a series of objects (Bookstein 1991;
162 Rohlf & Marcus 1993; Rohlf 1999; Adams *et al.* 2004, 2013; Mitteroecker & Gunz 2009;
163 Zelditch *et al.* 2012). This method preserves the geometry of the landmark configurations
164 throughout the analysis and thus permits representation of analytical results as actual shapes
165 or forms. It is routinely used in biological and palaeontological studies.

166 The shape of cephalons is here described by a set of 15 landmarks and one curve of 24
167 equally spaced semilandmarks between landmark 2 and landmark 7 (Fig. 2, Table 1). For

168 **central cephalon**, seven landmarks plus the semi-landmark curve have been digitized (Fig. 2,
169 Table 1). Similarly, seven landmarks were digitized for pygidia (Fig. 2, Table 1). Landmarks
170 were positioned in key locations to summarize the complexity of morphologies. The semi-
171 landmarks were processed directly and not slided. Specimens with missing landmarks were
172 excluded. The *x*- and *y*- coordinates of 2D landmarks and semi-landmarks were digitized (Fig.
173 2) with TPSdig 2.32 (Rohlf 2010, 2015). The landmark 2D coordinates were then exported in
174 the standard TPS file format (Rohlf 2012).

175 Table 1 near here.

176 Because we analysed specimens from different lineages, shapes can be different with
177 extreme landmark locations and thus, geometric morphometric methods could not be applied
178 (Zelditch *et al.* 2012). In our case this issue concerns only the cephala where landmarks 10, 11
179 and 12 can exhibit topological changes compare to relative position to other landmarks (Fig.
180 2, Table 1). Pinocchio effect (Chapman 1990; Thulman 2019) can also affect landmarks 13
181 and 16 (Fig. 2, Table 1). In order to assess the impact of these issues, we removed them to see
182 if the morphospace is affected by these *biases* or not. To assess the possible impact of each
183 landmark, we calculated the Pearson's correlation coefficient between each landmark
184 dimension and the major ordination axes and their statistical significance by means of the
185 'envfit' function of the 'vegan' R-package (Oksanen *et al.* 2020). The graphical output of
186 these correlations as arrows proportional to these correlations on the PCA-based morphospace
187 leads to a so-called correlation circle. In our case, this analysis shows that none of the
188 previously mentioned "suspicious" landmarks (11, 12, 13, and 16) have an influence larger
189 than the other landmarks (Fig. S1). Thus, our morphospaces are not determining by
190 topological issues or a Pinocchio effect.

191

192 *Shape analyses*

193 Standardization of the landmark data (superimposition) to remove variability due to
194 differences of size, placement, and orientation was performed by means of a generalized
195 Procrustes analysis (GPA), which minimize the sum of square distances between equivalent
196 landmarks (Gower 1975; Rohlf & Slice 1990; Bookstein 1991; O’Higgins 2000; Zelditch *et*
197 *al.* 2012). We slid the semi-landmark curve optimizing the minimum Procrustes distance
198 (Perez *et al.* 2006; Gunz & Mitteroecker 2013). The superimposed landmarks are projected to
199 a linear tangent space at the full Procrustes mean (Rohlf 1999; Kendall 1984).

200 For each of the three studied structures (i.e., cephalon, central cephalon and pygidia), we
201 performed a principal components analysis (PCA; Ringnér 2008; Abdi & Williams 2010) to
202 reduce the high-dimensionality of the superimposed landmarks to fewer variables
203 (eigenvectors), along which the shape variation is maximal. Each specimen can be
204 represented by relatively few variables and can be plotted in a PCA-space (morphospace)
205 defined by principal component axes (PCs), which are ordered by decreasing percentage of
206 the total shape variance. The morphospace is centred on the average shape (consensus) and
207 where each point represents a specimen shape and its position reflects the relative shape
208 similarities and differences between specimens. Finally, among the studied specimens, series
209 of virtual shapes were reconstructed by doing a back transformation from the PC scores for
210 PC1 and PC2 to illustrate the largest shape changes over the morphospace. Shape analyses
211 have been computed with the R scientific environment (v. 3.6.2; R Core Team 2019;
212 <https://cran.r-project.org/>) and the packages ‘geomorph’ (v. 3.2.1; Adams & Otárola-Castillo
213 2013) and ‘epaleo’ (v. 0.8.41; Monnet, unpub.), as well as the software PAST (version 3.24;
214 Hammer *et al.* 2001). Figures from R outputs.

215 To identify some morphological clusters or morphotypes (Fig. S2), we performed a
216 Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) with the package ‘epaleo’ on the Principal Component

217 scores by using the Euclidean distance measure and Ward's linkage algorithm. See [Everitt et](#)
218 [al. \(2011\)](#) or [Murtagh & Contreras \(2012\)](#) for more details on methods.

219

220 *Morphospace analyses*

221 Morphospaces were established for the complete data set of all specimens independently for
222 cephala, **central cephala** and pygidia. They were explored to evaluate the morphospace
223 occupation first by the previously defined morphotypes, and then by the orders (using [Adrain](#)
224 [\(2011\)](#) classification updated by [Lamsdell & Selden \(2015\)](#) concerning Proetida). Moreover,
225 to investigate trilobite shape changes through time, individuals for each structures were
226 categorized by stages on their respective morphospace. This allows visualizing how the
227 different groups are distributed in the morphospace, to identify which morphotypes or orders
228 are impacted by morphological changes and how, and to identify key morphologies among
229 trilobites for a given stage for a successful diversification or disappearance.

230 **Morphospace occupation changes through time are reinforced by the estimation of one**
231 **morphological disparity index ([Wills 2001](#); [Guillerme et al. 2020a](#)). We selected the sum of**
232 **variances (SoV), which is an index measuring the average morphological dissimilarity**
233 **estimated as the sum of univariate variance of all dimensions in the morphospace ([Foote](#)**
234 **[1991b, 1993](#)). SoV is reconstructed for the three studied trilobite structures.**

235 Additionally, the morphospace was explored according to the inference of the potential
236 influence on morphospace variation of three different factors related to life modes known to
237 be changing with Devonian events: bathymetry, feeding habits and visual ability ([Chlupáč](#)
238 [1994](#); [Lerosey-Aubril & Feist 2012](#)). Effect of eye reduction was investigated for all the
239 structures, including those without eyes (i.e., **central cephalon** and pygidium). Eye reduction
240 may affect other parts of trilobites by imposing a rearrangement of structures, such as the
241 suture line position (and so fixigena) in Phacopida or Proetida. This analyse was done by

242 mapping each factor of each individual onto the morphospace. We computed a one-side
243 nonparametric, permutational ANOVA on the PC axes to test statistically if a shape difference
244 exists according to these three palaeoenvironmental and palaeoecological different factors
245 (i.e., bathymetry, feeding habits and visual ability). Procrustes ANOVA with permutation
246 procedures are performed using the Wilks' lambda test to quantify the amount of shape
247 variation allocated to factors in a linear model and to estimate the probability of this variation
248 for a null model (Collyer & Adams 2018). Statistical analyses based on PCA axes are
249 advocated instead of original Procrustes coordinates because the scores of each axis are
250 uncorrelated and the number of dimensions corresponds to the degree of freedom (Rohlf
251 1993; Polly 2017). Then, to assess how the shape is distributed according to these factors, we
252 highlighted the different categories of our factors on PCA. Morphospace analyses have been
253 also computed with R 3.6.2 and PAST 3.24.

254

255 **RESULTS**

256 *Global morphological space*

257 For cephalata, the PCA yields 77 principal components (PCs), five of which explained 83.4% of
258 the variance in shape of the studied trilobites: PC1, 47.1%; PC2, 15.6%; PC3, 11.9%; PC4,
259 4.7%; and PC5, 4.1%. The remaining components accounted for less than 4% each. The
260 morphological interpretation of the shape changes associated to PC1 and PC2 is depicted by
261 overlying reconstructed shapes at various locations of the morphospace (Fig. 3A). PC1
262 depicts the presence or not of genal spines and sagittal spine, the shape change of the glabella
263 and the postero-lateral position (i.e., opistoparian, proparian or gonatoparian; Fig. 2) of the
264 facial suture. Positive scores of PC1 show the presence of long genal or sagittal spines, a wide
265 transversal (tr.) glabella at its base and an opistoparian facial suture with its terminal end to
266 the posterior border (Fig. 3A). At the opposite, negative scores of PC1 show cephalata without

267 spines, a wide (tr.) glabella at the frontal lobe and a proparian facial suture with its terminal
268 end to the lateral border. PC2 depicts the presence or absence of spines and the postero-lateral
269 position of the facial suture too (Fig. 3A). However, the main shape change seems to be
270 depicted by the shape of the occipital ring. **Low** scores of PC2 show a wide (tr.) occipital ring,
271 while **high** scores of PC2 show a narrow (tr.) occipital ring. PC3 contrasts two different
272 morphologies with spines (Fig. S3A). **Positive** scores of PC3 show a long sagittal spine
273 without genal spine, while **negative** scores of PC3 show genal spines without sagittal spine.
274 Finally, **negative** scores of PC4 indicate narrow (tr.) librigena, while **positive** scores show
275 wide (tr.) librigena.

276 The set of individuals are grouped into five morphotypes, along the first two principal
277 component axes, with only few individuals between them (Fig. S2A, Fig. 3A). HCA shows
278 five main morphologies corresponding to five main morphotypes (Fig. S2). The most
279 common shapes are depicted by **negative** PC1 and null PC2 scores (Fig. 3A). These shapes
280 assigned to Morphotype 1 (MCp1) correspond to cephalo without sagittal and genal spines,
281 with a wide frontal lobe (tr.) and a proparian facial suture (Fig. 3A). MCp1 spread to similar
282 glabellar shape and facial suture position but with presence of genal spines, i.e., MCp3, and of
283 sagittal spine, i.e., MCp2, depicted by **positive** PC1 and PC2 scores. Other morphologies are
284 depicted by slightly **negative** PC1 and PC2 scores (i.e., MCp5) to **positive** PC1 and **negative**
285 PC2 scores (i.e., MCp4). These two morphotypes 4 and 5 correspond to cephalo with a
286 proparian or opistoparian facial suture, with a frontal lobe narrower (tr.) than in the other
287 morphotypes, such as the basal part of the glabella is wider (tr.). The main difference between
288 MCp4 and MCp5 is the presence of genal spines in MCp4. For all types of glabella (i.e., wide
289 or narrow frontal lobe (tr.), MCp1 and MCp5), cephalo can exhibit spines but it requires
290 narrower (tr.) glabellar furrows without an inflated frontal lobe (Morphotypes 2, 3 and 4).

291

Fig. 3 near here

292 For **central cephalata**, the PCA yields 62 PCs, two of which explained **82.3%** of the variance
293 in shape of the studied trilobites: **PC1, 72.9%; PC2, 9.4%**. The remaining components
294 accounted for less than **5%** each. PC1 depicts the variation of the glabellar shape. **Negative**
295 PC1 scores depict individuals with a wide (tr.) frontal lobe compare to the glabellar base and
296 without preglabellar field anteriorly (Fig. 3B). While **positive** PC1 scores depict individuals
297 with wide (tr.) preoccipital and occipital rings and with a preglabellar field (Fig. 3B). Rare
298 intermediate shapes show a frontal lobe just slightly wider (tr.) than the glabellar base. Shapes
299 with very narrow (tr.) or very wide (tr.) occipital and preoccipital rings do not exist. PC2
300 depicts the presence or not of a preglabellar field and the width (tr.) of glabellar lobes.

301 The set of individuals are grouped into two morphotypes (MCn1 and MCn2; Fig. S2B),
302 mainly along the first principal component axis PC1 (Fig. 3B). **Negative** PC1 and null PC2
303 scores depict a common shape (Fig. 3B). This shape assigned to MCn1 corresponds to **central**
304 **cephalata** with a wide (tr.) frontal lobe compare to the glabellar base and without preglabellar
305 field anteriorly (Fig. 3B). **Positive** PC1 and null PC2 scores depict another common shape
306 (Fig. 3B). This shape assigned to MCn2 corresponds to **central cephalata** with wide (tr.)
307 preoccipital and occipital rings and with a preglabellar field (Fig. 3B).

308 For pygidia, the PCA yields 14 PCs, four of which explained 89.9% of the variance in
309 shape of the studied trilobites: PC1, 42.5%; PC2, 22.2%; PC3, 14.2%, and PC4, 11.0%. The
310 remaining components accounted for less than 5% each. PC1 (Fig. 3C) shows that the main
311 shape change contrasts narrow (tr.) pygidia (negative scores) to wide (tr.) pygidia (positive
312 scores). PC2 depicts the sagittal (sag.) length of the pygidial axis, PC3 depicts the presence of
313 lateral and sagittal spines and PC4 depicts the curve of the pygidial border (Fig. S3B). Along
314 PC3 and PC4, most of the shapes show individuals with no spines. Only few individuals
315 located in the negative PC3 scores have small pygidial spines. These pygidial spines are
316 generally short and never longer (sag.) than the pygidium itself. Positive scores on PC4

317 indicate a maximal (tr.) length below the anterior most point of sagittal pygidial length and
318 conversely negative scores indicate a maximal (tr.) length above the anterior most point of
319 sagittal pygidial length (Fig. S3B). No pygidia are present in the area of the morphospace that
320 depicts pygidia with a very narrow (tr.) and a long (sag.) pygidial axis (i.e., negative PC1 and
321 PC2 scores).

322 The set of individuals are grouped into three morphotypes (Fig. S2C). The most common
323 shapes assigned to MPg1 and MPg2 are depicted by rather null scores of PC1 (Fig. 3C).
324 These shapes correspond to pygidia with a medium size and with either a long (i.e., MPg1) or
325 a medium length of pygidial axis (i.e., MPg2). MPg3 is depicted by negative PC1 and positive
326 PC2 scores (Fig. 3C). The shapes associated to this cluster correspond to pygidia with a
327 narrow (tr.) and a short (sag.) pygidial axis (i.e., MPg3).

328

329 Fig. 4 near here

330 *Morphospace occupation by time*

331 The changes in occupancy/filling of the morphospaces (i.e., the morphological disparity)
332 through time are illustrated in figure 4 for the three structures. For cephalata, the morphological
333 space was characterized by a reduced occupation from the Ludlow to the Lochkovian,
334 followed by widespread occupation in the second part of the Early Devonian. During the
335 Ludlow, the filling of morphological space was narrow (Fig. 4A) and was restricted to MCp1
336 and MCp5. The location of morphotypes through time is given in figure S4A. **At this time, the**
337 **morphological dissimilarity among specimens (i.e., SoV) was low.** Then, the morphological
338 disparity remained reduced in the Lochkovian and restricted to only one cephalon assigned to
339 a new morphotype (i.e., MCp4) in the early Lochkovian and three cephalata to MCp1 in the late
340 Lochkovian (Fig. 4A). After this low disparity period, the disparity in the Pragian covered
341 almost all the morphospace, with the only exception of some cephalata with sagittal spines i.e.,

342 MCp2 (Fig. 4A, Fig. S4A). This morphology appeared during Emsian when the morphospace
343 reached its maximal coverage and SoV was the highest.

344 The transition to the Middle Devonian (Fig. 4A, Fig. S4A) did not coincide with an
345 important loss of morphological disparity for the central cephalon and the pygidium but the
346 cephalon was more affected with the disappearance of MCp2. This morphology loss is
347 confirmed by the strong decrease of SoV. Only cephalata characterized by low PC2 scores
348 disappeared. These cephalata exhibit sagittal spines. However, the morphotypes 2, 3 and 4
349 disappeared from North Africa in the late Eifelian (Fig. 4A, Fig. S4A). These morphotypes
350 correspond to cephalata with spines. If MCp1 and MCp5 persisted in the early Givetian, MCp1
351 disappeared in the middle Givetian and MCp5 in the late Givetian. In the Late Devonian, only
352 MCp1 reappeared.

353 As for cephalata, the morphospace occupation for central cephalata was not widespread from
354 the Ludlow to the Lochkovian, but largely extended during the Pragian toward shapes with
355 wide sagittal (sag.) preglabellar field and wide (tr.) occipital ring. However, SoV did not
356 increased strongly throughout the Early Devonian. The morphospace occupation remained
357 constant during the Emsian and the Eifelian but collapsed during the Givetian (Fig. 4B, Fig.
358 S4B). This collapse concerned both density and expansion, with the disappearance of shapes
359 with wide (tr.) frontal lobe in both morphotypes. This disappearance led to a morphospace
360 occupation comparable to the Lochkovian one. During the Frasnian, SoV increased and
361 corresponded to the morphological expansion that increased to specimens with a wide (sag.)
362 preglabellar field and a wide (tr.) occipital ring despite the decrease of specimen density.
363 Finally, the morphospace collapsed again during the Famennian to achieve a similar
364 morphospace occupation as the Lochkovian or the Givetian (Fig. 4B, Fig. S4B).

365 As for cephalata and central cephalata, the morphological space for pygidia was characterized
366 by a widespread occupation of shapes during the late Early Devonian (Fig. 4C, Fig. S4C).

367 From the Ludlow to the Lochkovian, the morphological space slightly increased by the
368 apparition of MPg1 which is characterized by a long (sag.) pygidial axis (Fig. 4C, Fig. S4C).
369 After this low disparity period, the morphological space reached a maximal occupation by
370 continuing the previous morphologies but also by developing new one, i.e., MPg3 (negative
371 PC1 scores) with narrow (tr.) pygidia from the Pragian to the Emsian (Fig. 4C, Fig. S4C). In
372 this morphological space, MPg1 with long (sag.) pygidial axis developed but without co-
373 occurring with pygidia with a long (sag.) axis and a narrow (tr.) outline. Morphologies with
374 spines and curved pygidial border were also developed (negatives PC3 and PC4 scores).
375 Narrowest (tr.) pygidia occurred only in the Pragian, never again. **The pygidium had the most**
376 **important SoV increase of all the tagmata, but it started to decrease since the Emsian.**

377 The transition to the Middle Devonian did not coincide with a loss of morphological
378 disparity; the three main morphotypes remain present (Fig. 4C, Fig. S4C). The reduction of
379 the morphological space occurred during the late Eifelian. All the extreme shapes
380 disappeared: narrow (MPg3) and wide (tr.) pygidia disappeared as well as long (MPg1) and
381 short (sag.) pygidial axis and pygidia with curved pygidial border (Fig. 4C, Fig. S4C). If the
382 pygidia with spines persisted in the Eifelian, they disappeared in the Givetian with the
383 collapse of the morphospace **as underlined by the SoV decrease**; only one pygidium
384 morphotype is represented, the MPg2. A slight expansion of the morphological space
385 occurred during the Frasnian but remained relatively restricted compare to the Early
386 Devonian. Only wide (tr.) pygidium were present. During the Famennian, the morphological
387 space was a little bit more widespread and not so scarce but remained in the same occupation
388 ranges until the latest Famennian where a reduction of the morphological space occurred. **In**
389 **contrast, SoV slightly declined in the Famennian.** Only MPg2 persisted in the Late Devonian
390 (Fig. 4C, Fig. S4C).

391

392

Fig. 5 near here

393 *Morphospace occupation by taxonomic orders*

394 For cephalata, the morphospace shows a reduced overlap between Phacopida and Proetida, two
395 orders that occupied respectively a vast area (Fig. 5A). Lichida appeared somewhat an
396 intermediate Order (Fig. 5A). Corynexochida is the only Order with a restricted area (Fig.
397 5A). The main cephalic shape differences between these lineages are related to the position of
398 the facial suture and the relative width (tr.) of the frontal lobe. Proetida were distinguished by
399 an opistoparian facial suture and a wide (tr.) glabellar lobe equal to the frontal lobe (MCp4
400 and MCp5). Proetida have no sagittal spines and no or short genal spines (according to PC3
401 scores close to zero, Fig. S3A). At the opposite, Phacopida are distinguished by a wide (tr.)
402 frontal lobe (according to **positive** scores of PC2) and mainly without spines (**morphotype 1**,
403 according to **negative** scores of PC1). All of the Phacopida specimens have a proparian facial
404 suture, no genal spines but some of them are characterized by the presence of sagittal spines.
405 Corynexochida are distinguished by a prominent frontal lobe and no long (sag.) spines
406 (MCp1). Finally, Lichida are characterized by a wide (tr.) frontal lobe as Phacopida and an
407 opistoparian facial suture as Proetida. As Proetida and Corynexochida, Lichida have no
408 sagittal spines and no or short (sag.) genal spines (according to PC3 scores close to zero).

409 For **central cephalata**, six orders are documented (Fig. 5B). The morphospace shows
410 important overlap between Trinucleida, Phacopida, Proetida, Corynexochida, and Lichida
411 (Fig. 5B). Phacopida and Proetida remain two orders that occupied respectively a vast area
412 sharing a moderate overlap (Fig. 5B). Proetida are characterized mainly by MCn2 with a wide
413 (tr.) preoccipital and occipital rings, while Phacopida are characterized mainly by MCn1.
414 Corynexochida are characterized only by MCn1 with a wide (tr.) frontal lobe and no
415 preglabellar field. Trinucleida are characterized by an intermediate morphology between wide
416 (tr.) frontal lobe (i.e., MCn1) and wide (tr.) preoccipital and occipital rings (i.e., MCn2).

417 Lichida is another order with a vast area but sharing an important overlap with Phacopida
418 (Fig. 5B). As for cephala, Lichida are characterized by various morphologies corresponding to
419 both MCn1 and Mcn2 excluding the morphologies with a prelabellar field. Harpetida is the
420 only Order with an isolated area with no overlap. They are characterized by narrow (tr.)
421 **central cephala** with a broad prelabellar field.

422 For pygidia, the morphospace shows a large overlap of most orders. However, Phacopida
423 and Corynexochida are quite separate (Fig. 5C). The main pygidial shape differences between
424 lineages are related to the width (tr.) of pygidia and the length of the pygidial axis. Proetida
425 are distinguished by a wide (tr.) pygidium with a medium-size pygidial axis (MPg2). As for
426 Proetida, Phacopida can have a wide (tr.) pygidium with a medium-size pygidial axis (MPg1).
427 However, most of them have a wide (tr.) pygidium with a long (sag.) pygidial axis (MPg1).
428 Corynexochida is the only order with a narrow (tr.) pygidia (MPg3) with a small pygidial
429 axis. Lichida order is characterized by a relatively important shape variation despite its weak
430 number of specimens where the pygidial axis length or the pygidium (tr.) width seem to be
431 not discriminant (MPg1, MPg2 and MPg3).

432

433 Fig. 6 near here

434 *Morphospace occupation by bathymetry*

435 For cephala, **central cephala** and pygidia, all habitat groups related to the depth/bathymetry
436 show a very large overlap (Fig. 6A-C). Nevertheless, the results of the ANOVA (Table 2)
437 show significant p-values ($p < 0.05$) suggesting a shape difference between all habitat groups
438 according to bathymetry with some exceptions. These exceptions mainly concerned cephala
439 from deep environments or with important difference in water depth affinities (i.e., shallow
440 vs. middle shelf). These datasets (i.e., cephala, **central cephala**, pygidia) reveal a
441 differentiation between bathymetry-related groups. For cephala, MCp1 and MCp4 were

442 present at every bathymetric level, unlike other morphotypes (Fig. 6A). MCp2 gathers mainly
443 trilobites, which lived in the middle shelf. Some trilobites from shallow environments have
444 also this morphotype but they are rare and this trend never concerns the extreme shapes with
445 long (sag.) spines (according to the **highest** PC2 scores). Almost all the trilobites, which lived
446 in the inner shelf, correspond to MCp5. Two specimens from the middle shelf correspond also
447 MCp5. MCp4 is dominated by trilobites from the middle shelf but trilobites with other
448 bathymetrical affinities could also have this morphology. Finally, trilobites from shallow to
449 middle shelf environments could have cephalata attributed to MCp3, but never encountered in
450 the deep environment. All **central cephalon** morphologies were present at different depth
451 except **central cephalata** with large (sag.) preglabellar field (Fig. 6B). For pygidia,
452 morphological differences related to bathymetry affinities affect only the MPg3 which was
453 absent from shallow and deep environments. MPg1 and MPg2 encountered at every
454 bathymetric depth were present in the deep environment (Fig. 6C).

455 Table 2

456

457 *Morphospace occupation by vision*

458 For cephalata, **central cephalata** and pygidia (Fig. 6D-F), the morphospace shows a widespread
459 area for large-eyed trilobites, while reduced-eye trilobites show a more restricted area. Blind
460 trilobites show a restricted area (Fig. 6E-F). Nevertheless, the results of the ANOVA (Table
461 2) show significant p-values ($p < 0.05$) suggesting a shape difference between all vision groups
462 according to visual abilities except between two compared groups of pygidia represented by
463 reduced-eyed and blind taxa. These datasets (i.e., cephalata, **central cephalata**, pygidia) reveal a
464 differentiation between visual abilities. For cephalata, reduced-eyes trilobites are not
465 represented in MCp2, MCp4 and MCp5 and they are characterized by shape without spines or
466 with very small spines. For **central cephalata**, both blind and reduced-eye trilobites are

467 represented by both MCn1 and MCn2. However, for MCn2, reduced-eye trilobites did not
468 constitute a subset of the large-eyed trilobites but had their own unique morphology with wide
469 (sag.) prelabellar field contrary to MCn1 for which they showed no new morphology (Fig.
470 6E).

471 For pygidia, only MPg2 corresponds to blind or reduced-eyes trilobites, while all other
472 morphotypes show large eyes trilobites.

473

474 *Morphospace occupation by feeding habits*

475 For cephalia as for **central cephalia** and pygidia (Fig. 6G-I), the morphospace shows a
476 widespread area for one group, i.e., predator/scavenger habits, while particle feeding and filter
477 chamber habits show a restricted area. The results of the ANOVA (Table 2) show significant
478 p-values ($p < 0.001$) suggesting a shape difference between all diet groups according to
479 feeding habits; only two compared groups of pygidia represented by particle feeding and
480 predator/scavenger taxa show a p-value $p < 0.05$. For cephalia, particle-feeding trilobites were
481 mainly restricted to MCp4 characterized by no genal spines except one specimen within
482 MCp3 (Fig. 6G). In terms of morphology, predators and/or scavengers were distinguished
483 from particle feeding trilobites, although these differences could be minor as for MCp4 where
484 the facial suture was slightly different between both diet trilobites. For **central cephalia**,
485 particle-feeding trilobites were also characterized by narrow (tr.) frontal lobes occurring
486 mainly in MCn2 (Fig. 6H). At the opposite, Predator/Scavenger trilobites occupied all the
487 morphospace. The greatest number of **central cephalia** allows having the repartition of filter
488 chambers, which had very large prelabellar field and narrow (tr.) frontal lobes. For pygidia,
489 particle-feeding trilobites show only MPg2 (Fig. 6I). They also have no spines occupying only
490 the centre of the PC3-PC4 morphospace.

491

492 **DISCUSSION**

493 *Relationships between morphological spaces and proxies*

494 The maximum morphological disparity in trilobites with many different morphologies for
495 both cephalia and pygidia was documented in the Ordovician but remained important in the
496 Devonian (Foote 1993; Webster 2007). During this Devonian time interval, and according to
497 our results, the overall dispersion of taxa in the morphospace shows (1) cephalia with spines or
498 not, visual surfaces or not, proparian or opistoparian facial sutures, glabella with wide or
499 narrow (tr.) anterior frontal lobes, and (2) pygidia with spines, wide or narrow (tr.) outlines
500 and different lengths (sag.) of pygidial axis. The type of sutures was already an important
501 criterion to segregate the trilobite morphologies in the Early Palaeozoic (Foote 1991a)
502 although their ecological or life-history-strategy significance is uncertain (Hopkins 2014). The
503 position of facial sutures corresponded to a trade-off between burrowing and moulting and
504 could be an advantage to develop an infaunal lifestyle (Esteve *et al.* 2021). The presence of
505 spines is identified as contributor to overall morphological variation for pygidia too, for which
506 elongation (sag.) is a major axis of shape variation.

507 The three studied morphospaces are characterized by the presence of morphological
508 clusters. The palaeoecology, measured here as the bathymetry and the diet factors, has only a
509 weak effect on the trilobite morphology because different categories overlapped, and cannot
510 explain such identified morphotypes (Fig. 6, Table 2). Hopkins (2014) already noticed a weak
511 bathymetry influenced on the trilobite morphology excepted during the Ordovician and the
512 Late Devonian when Proetida lived in shallow environments and Phacopida in deeper ones.
513 The absence of real links between the trilobite morphologies and the water depth contrasts
514 with the structure of the morphological disparity in ammonoids (Neige *et al.* 1997). As
515 nektonic organisms, the ammonoids colonized the water column from the platform to the

516 basin with typical morphologies related to the pressure, which is not the case for benthic
517 trilobites found only on the sea floor of the platform.

518 The diet influenced on the shape of trilobites as it contributed to morphological variations
519 in modern arthropods (Lunardi *et al.* 2017). The distinct glabellar shapes recognized in our
520 analyses (Fig. 6) are already identified in previous studies and are interpreted as an indicator
521 of feeding habits (Fortey & Owens 1999). The expanded glabella anteriorly with wide frontal
522 lobes observed in our study was interpreted as predator feeding habits, which are associated
523 with the ingestion of bulky food (Fortey & Owen 1999). However, some predator/scavenger
524 taxa showed a glabellar shape with narrower anterior frontal lobes, a morphology attributed to
525 particle feeding trilobites. Difference of feeding habits for a same dorsal morphology is
526 explained by the fact that morphologies changes in connection with diet mostly concerned
527 especially the ventral structure, i.e., the hypostome (Fortey & Owens 1999). Filter chamber
528 feeding trilobite needed a large cephalic shield to filter suspension particules in the water.
529 Consequently, these trilobites presented the larger preglabellar field (Fig. 6). However, a large
530 cephalic shield can also be used to avoid sinking into the mud (Richter 1920) and would not
531 be related to feeding habits. Although pygidium shape did not played a role in trilobite
532 feeding, particle feeding trilobites occupied only a small area of the morphospace.

533 The visual abilities, estimated here from the shape of the visual surfaces, have an important
534 effect on the global trilobite morphology. Devonian trilobites with well-developed eyes
535 occupied the global morphospace whereas blind and reduced-eye trilobites occupied only a
536 small part of this morphospace (Fig. 6). Moreover, blind and reduced-eye trilobites occupied
537 the same small part of the morphospace, except for taxa with narrow frontal lobes anteriorly
538 (Fig. 6, Table 2). During the Devonian, pedomorphic evolutionary trends have been
539 observed in Late Devonian taxa showing progressive eye reduction between closely related
540 species that eventually leads to blindness (Feist & Clarkson 1989; Feist 1995; Crônier &

541 [Courville 2003](#); [Schoenemann 2018](#)). Such eye reduction implies morphological changes as
542 the enlargement of fixigenae observed among Proetida or the 'displacement' of the facial
543 suture towards the outer margins observed among Phacopida ([Feist 1995](#); [Crônier & Courville](#)
544 [2003](#)). Consequently, Phacopida with reduced eyes are mainly confined to the part of the
545 morphospace characterized by a suture closer to the lateral border (i.e., higher PC1 values,
546 Fig. 6D).

547 In addition to diets and habitats, the trilobite morphologies are known to be influenced by
548 their lifestyle, such as living in low-oxygen habitats ([Fortey 2004](#)) or the enrolment type
549 ([Suárez & Esteve 2021](#)). In the same way, the competition between species is also a driver of
550 diversification recognized in arthropods ([Eberle et al. 2014](#)).

551

552 *Morphological evolution through time*

553 The trilobite morphospace occupation evolved during the Devonian in North Africa. A
554 minimum occupancy in the morphospace occurred at the beginning of the Early Devonian,
555 inherited from the end of the Silurian (Fig. 4). Only one cephalic and pygidial morphotypes
556 and two **central cephala** morphotypes were encountered; the difference between cephala and
557 **central cephala** being due to a lack of cephalic record. These morphologies were adapted to all
558 depth/bathymetry and vision abilities but not to a particle feeding behaviour. Despite a
559 reduced shape variability, trilobites were not restricted to any depth or type of vision. These
560 morphologies coincided with the expansion of Phacopida disparity (Fig. 5A) and their
561 dominance during the Lochkovian in North Africa ([Bault et al. 2021](#)).

562 During the Pragian, the morphological disparity increased and new morphologies were
563 explored, i.e., cephala with an opistoparian facial suture and a narrow (tr.) frontal lobe. These
564 new morphologies are assigned to Proetida (Fig. 5A) which developed in North Africa ([Bault](#)
565 [et al. 2021](#)) and exhibited their maximal dispersion at the global scale at this time ([Foote](#)

566 1993). Moreover, exoskeletons with spines proliferated, i.e., pygidia with spines developed as
567 well as cephalons with genal spines, which became more diverse and extended towards shapes
568 with very long genal spines. Pygidia with a narrow (tr.) outline assigned to Corynexochida
569 appeared too (Fig. 5C). Cephalons with a thick preglabellar field appeared in both Proetida and
570 Phacopida morphologies without link with environmental depth (Fig. 6A-C) contrary to the
571 Ordovician (Fortey & Wilmot 1991). Genal spines and preglabellar field appeared at the same
572 time in different lineages showing a common trajectory in morphospace through time.
573 However, Phacopida explored morphologies with sagittal spines but not Proetida suggesting
574 that different causes could influence different lineages. The diversification of morphologies
575 with the appearance of novelties in the Pragian coincided with new ecological opportunities
576 due to the sea-level fall (Chlupáč 1994). The extension of the carbonate platform toward
577 offshore environment offered new habitats leading to the morphospace expansion toward the
578 middle shelf and to a trilobite radiation. Similarly, the predator/scavenger trilobites showed an
579 increasing morphospace occupation possibly linked to a food partitioning and to an
580 interspecific competition (Schluter 2000; Grant & Grant 2006). The increase of enrolment
581 type in the Devonian was also a source of morphological disparity (Suárez & Esteve 2021).
582 More generally, the diversification phases could be partly attributed to interspecific
583 competition in arthropods (Eberle *et al.* 2014). The diversification of many clades in the Early
584 Devonian (Alroy *et al.* 2008; Klug *et al.* 2010; Fan *et al.* 2020), may have an influence on the
585 predator morphology with the arrival of new preys (Cattau *et al.* 2017). This advantageous
586 feeding strategy could induce a radiation of predator/scavenger trilobites and at the opposite,
587 particle feeding trilobites decreased maybe because an increasing competition of more
588 efficient organisms. Despite new morphologies explored in the Pragian, those already present
589 during the Lochkovian remained majority.

590 During the Emsian, all the main morphologies persisted with the maximum dispersion in
591 the morphospace with the development of cephalia with long genal spines and especially with
592 a wide (tr.) frontal lobe (Fig. 3). Only shape losses regarding the narrowest (tr.) pygidia
593 occurred, leading to a decrease of morphological disparity. In trilobites, spinose exoskeletons
594 were interpreted as passive morphological defences by some authors (Fortey 2004; Pates &
595 Bicknell 2019). In a context of the Devonian Nekton Revolution (Klug *et al.* 2010), the
596 emergence of morphologies with spines can be interpreted as a new answer to an increase of
597 the predation. However, this hypothesis seems unlikely because some potential predators
598 appeared only from the Emsian like ammonoids or chondrichthyans (Becker *et al.* 2019) or
599 developed in the end of the Devonian like fishes (Derycke 2017). In addition, the
600 morphologies with spines disappeared in the Middle Devonian and Frasnian, contrary to other
601 shapes, which remained in the Famennian (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, these disappearances could
602 be attributed to the inefficiency of spines against the large jaws predators of the Late
603 Devonian (Brett 2003). The diversification of morphologies in the Early Devonian could be
604 partly related to novel feeding habits, i.e., particle feeding habits with the appearance of
605 glabella with a short (sag.) preglabellar field in trilobites (Fortey 2014). In addition, only
606 exoskeletons with genal spines were attributed to this diet as well as in North Africa during
607 the Devonian as in other areas and at other times (Fortey & Owens 1999). In their
608 evolutionary history during the Early Devonian, trilobites explored morphospace with much
609 of the subsequent variation in their cephalic features compared to their pygidial features.
610 Accordingly, the pygidial characters display much overlap of taxonomic groups in the
611 morphospace, while the cephalic characters show more distinct morphospace occupation at
612 the order level.

613 The morphospace occupation persisted during the Eifelian with all the cephalic, central
614 cephalia and pygidial morphotypes despite environmental changes implying sea-level and oxic

615 condition changes (Kaufmann 1998). Only the morphologies appeared during the Pragian
616 were rarer, especially MCp2 for cephalon and MPg3 for pygidia (Fig. 4).

617 The Givetian was characterized by an important loss of morphology. The disappearance of
618 some orders, i.e., Lichida and Corynexochida (Bault *et al.* 2021) led respectively to the loss of
619 exoskeletons (i.e., cephalon and pygidia as well) with spines or the loss of cephalon with a long
620 (sag.) glabella and of pygidia with a long (sag.) axis. However, Corynexochida was known in
621 the lower Givetian of North Africa (Feist & Orth 2000) but only represented by a single
622 pygidium; thus, the loss of the cephalic morphology occurred later although it is not possible
623 to observe this in the morphospace. Between the different lineages, a common pattern of
624 disappearance seems to exist and in particular, for both Proetida and Phacopida, which
625 showed that the most affected trilobites were those with morphologies with protuberance like
626 spines and preglabellar field implying a common origin of disappearance. The Givetian is
627 known to be a period marked by a series of anoxic/hypoxic events associated with sea-level
628 rise (Kaufman 1996; House 2002; Becker *et al.* 2016) and to have an important impact on the
629 trilobite diversity (Feist 1991; Chlupáč 1994; Lerosey-Aubril & Feist 2012). The
630 morphospace was reduced probably due to marginal or lateral extinctions (Foote 1991b; Korn
631 *et al.* 2013). Morphologies with the lowest bathymetric extent such as the MCp2 and MCp3
632 for cephalon and MPg3 for pygidia were the most impacted and they disappeared (Fig. 6A-C).
633 Indeed, these morphologies have never been encountered in a deep environment, which could
634 be a disadvantage in a context of rising sea level. In addition, the morphologies that remained
635 were versatile and exhibited different vision abilities (Fig. 6). Accordingly, these
636 morphologies were more adapted to environmental changes. The morphologies persisted
637 during the Givetian corresponded to morphologies already present in the Ludlow and
638 represent persistent morphologies.

639 The dispersion in the morphospace decreased in the Frasnian to reach only one
640 morphotype for cephalia (MCp1) and for pygidia (MPg2). Nevertheless, the absence of
641 additional morphotypes (i.e., MCp3 and MCp4 without spines or short genal spines) for
642 cephalia in Proetida is due to the lack of sampled specimens in our dataset. Some Proetida
643 were encountered in the upper Frasnian of North Africa (Feist 2002). The Frasnian is marked
644 by an increase of the morphological disparity characterized by the reappearance of
645 morphologies with numerous spines. These morphologies occurred within the dark-grey
646 hypoxic limestone levels of the Kellwasser deposits related to relative deep-sea conditions
647 (Feist 2002). Trilobite morphologies did not show significant shape losses and seem to have
648 been little affected by the Kellwasser Events that occurred at the Frasnian/Famennian
649 boundary and was one of five major extinction events in the history of life on Earth (McGhee
650 1988, 1996; Racki 2005, 2020). The main shape change was the disappearance of
651 morphologies with spines except genal spines in Cyrtosymbolinae (Proetida). Unfortunately,
652 only the central cephalia and the pygidia for the Famennian Proetida are available in North
653 Africa and they are therefore not included in the cephalic morphospace. As for the Givetian
654 and the Frasnian, only the versatile morphologies related to depth conditions and vision
655 abilities persisted during the Famennian. Only Proetida and Phacopida crossed the Frasnian-
656 Famennian boundary both at the global and at the North Africa regional scale (Chlupáč 1994;
657 Lerosey-Aubril & Feist 2012; Bault *et al.* 2021). These two orders are characterized by the
658 maximal morphological variation in the Devonian morphospace in North Africa (Fig. 4). Such
659 variability is known to be helpful to cope the environmental changes in other clades (Kolbe *et*
660 *al.* 2011; González-Suárez & Revilla 2012; Huang *et al.* 2015; Austin & Dunlap 2019).
661 Across all these events, from the Eifelian to the Famennian, there were irregular shape losses
662 among trilobites but no extensive morphological innovation occurred after mass extinctions
663 despite potential availability of the ecospace (Erwin 2015).

664 The notable extinction at the end of the Famennian, i.e., the Hangenberg event led to the
665 demise of Phacopida whereas Proetida survived (Feist 1991; Lerosey-Aubril & Feist 2012;
666 Bault *et al.* 2021). During this event, a severe shape loss but selective occurred which only
667 affected Phacopida and their cephalo. Such selective patterns were previously identified in
668 other organisms such as ammonoids where the morphology of clymeniids was more affected
669 than that of goniatitids (Korn *et al.* 2013). If Phacopida and Proetida showed different
670 morphologies of cephalo, they exhibited similar morphologies of pygidia, suggesting that the
671 pygidia were the structures with the less extinction selectivity contrary to the cephalo.

672

673 *Morphospace divergence in the light of morphological constraints*

674 Location of cephalo, central cephalo and pygidia in the morphospaces showed a different
675 relative distribution of specimens: for instance, Corynexochida and Phacopida that occupied
676 the same part of the central cephalon morphospace, occupied distinct parts of the pygidium
677 morphospace (Fig. 5B-C). This is explained by the relatively independence of the evolution of
678 the studied structures known as tagmas (Hughes 2003) even if some covariations between
679 these different structures exist due to enrolment (Oudot *et al.* 2019). Functional morphology,
680 consequently functional modularity, explains why some orders showed overlapping
681 morphologies for cephalo or pygidia but different shapes for other structures. Modularity was
682 implied in the developmental process of trilobites indicating a developmental constraint on
683 the morphology. Not all combination of characters were possible due to intrinsic constraints
684 that channel the morphological evolution like physical or developmental constraints (Arnold
685 1992; Gould 2002; Gerber 2014; Briggs 2017). For trilobites from the Devonian of North
686 Africa, it was not possible to develop a sagittal spine without genal spines in the cephalon
687 (high PC1 and low PC2 scores; Fig. 3A). Ontogenetic studies underlined a progressive
688 enlargement (tr.) of the glabella during the development, especially in Phacopida due to a

689 change in the food niche (Crônier & Feist 1997; Crônier & Fortey 2006; Jacobs & Carlucci
690 2019). Thus, feeding constraints during the development probably led to the absence of
691 trilobites with a very narrow (tr.) glabella at an adult stage (high PC2 scores; Fig. 3B) in our
692 dataset.

693 Some taxa exhibit intermediate morphologies between the morphotypes indicating that
694 physical constraints did not hampered the existence of these morphologies (Fig. 3). The
695 density distribution of morphologies in morphospace can reflect the positions of clusters with
696 high-fitness interpreted as ‘adaptive peak’, separated by ‘valleys’ with few morphologies,
697 driven by competition, trophic specialization or behaviour (McGhee 2007; Polly 2017). The
698 trade-offs between different tasks led to adaptive landscape and therefore to phenotypic
699 clusters (Shoval *et al.* 2012; Tandler *et al.* 2015). Thus, the overall dispersion of taxa was
700 restricted to some areas of the morphospace with some empty areas and with a heterogeneous
701 distribution for the Devonian time slice. This explains why some morphologies were absent
702 during the Devonian such as a narrow (tr.) pygidium associated with a long (sag.) axis while
703 such morphologies were present during the Cambrian, in the genus *Balcoracania* for example
704 (Pocock 1970). Consequently, intrinsic factors alone cannot explain the absence of these
705 morphologies and two other factors can be identified: the environmental conditions were not
706 favourable to these morphologies or these morphological areas were simply never explored
707 again after their disappearance. In the case of *Balcoracania*, this genus was found in very
708 shallow environment, like lagoon or estuaries, during the Cambrian (Paterson *et al.* 2007) but
709 trilobites deserted this environment during the Devonian of North Africa, suggesting an
710 environmental explanation for the absence of a narrow (tr.) pygidium. The evolution of such
711 parameter may be a trade-off between selection on factors such as function,
712 ecology/environment, or development.

713 Our study shows same trends of covariation of different characteristics and the most
714 obvious covariation concerns the cephalon for which a common pattern of morphological
715 changes occurred. For both Proetida and Phacopida, some arrangements of the cephalon were
716 needed to develop spines: the glabellar shape became less curved with a narrow (tr.) frontal
717 lobe (lower PC1 and PC2 scores, Fig. 3A). Morphological covariations are known in trilobites
718 and were mainly due to physical and developmental constraints (Hughes 2003; Crônier &
719 Feist 1997; Gerber & Hopkins 2011; Webster & Zelditch 2011; Oudot *et al.* 2019).

720

721 CONCLUSION

722 In the Devonian, North African trilobites showed substantial morphological variations in their
723 cephala, **central cephala** and pygidia. The morphological disparity concerned the width (tr.) of
724 the glabella, the location of facial sutures, the elongation (sag.) of pygidia and the presence of
725 spines. Both abiotic and biotic factors such as depth of water conditions, feeding habits and
726 visual abilities seems to have only a weak effect on the global trilobite morphology. Trilobites
727 with well-developed eyes occupied the global morphospace whereas blind and reduced-eye
728 trilobites occupied only a small part of this morphospace.

729 North African trilobites have experienced significant morphological fluctuations from the
730 Early to the Late Devonian. During the Devonian, the trilobites exhibited cases/examples of
731 convergence in the visual surfaces or and spines and, a development of ‘rare’ morphologies
732 such as the very spiny shapes of the Pragian-Emsian. The morphological disparity was low at
733 the end of the Silurian and the Lochkovian. The trilobites occupied a relatively small part of
734 the morphospace. Subsequently, the disparity increased considerably in the Pragian with the
735 appearance of several new morphologies. Then, the disparity reached a maximum in the
736 Emsian. The trilobites occupied the global morphospace and exhibited additional new
737 morphologies, although some areas of the morphospace remained empty because some

738 morphologies did not develop in the Devonian. The morphological disparity persisted until
739 the Eifelian, decreased considerably in the Givetian, and reached a minimum in the Late
740 Devonian with a density more important for the Famennian than for the Frasnian. The
741 trilobites developed no novelties anymore. This significant decrease in the morphological
742 disparity occurred during a period characterized by numerous environmental events implying
743 habitat changes with sea-level fluctuations and anoxic/hypoxic conditions. Some taxa (i.e.,
744 morphologies) were more resilient to these environmental changes and persisted during the
745 Devonian because of their adaptation to different bathymetry and their visual ability.
746 Similarly, Phacopida and Proetida survived to most of the events thanks to their large
747 morphospace occupation, and they were characterized by an evolutionary trend toward a
748 progressive eye reduction leading to blindness.

749

750 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

751 This work is a contribution to the IGCP 652 ‘high-resolution Paleozoic geologic timescale’, to
752 the project ECOS Sud-MINCYT A17A01 (Argentina), and to the French CNRS UMR 8198
753 Evo-Eco-Paleo. The authors thank the Région Hauts-de-France, and the Ministère de
754 l’Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (CPER Climibio) for their financial support.

755

756 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION**

757 **Conceptualization** C. Crônier, V. Bault, C. Monnet **Data / Curation / Formal Analysis /**

758 **Funding acquisition** C. Crônier **Investigation** V. Bault **Methodology Project**

759 **administration / Resources Softwares** C. Monnet **Supervision** C. Crônier **Validation /**

760 **Vizualisation** V. Bault, C. Crônier, C. Monnet **Writing-Original** V. Bault, C. Crônier, C.

761 Monnet **Draft Preparation** V. Bault **Writing – Review & Editing** V. Bault, C. Crônier, C.

762 Monnet

763

764 **REFERENCES**

- 765 ABBACHE, A., OUALI MEHADJI, A., CRÔNIER, C., ALLAIRE, N. and MONNET, C.
766 2019. Le Dévonien supérieur du Sahara nord-occidental (Algérie): Faciès,
767 environnements et signification géodynamique des calcaires griottes. *Carnets Geol.*, **19**,
768 71–96.
- 769 ABDI, H. and WILLIAMS, L. J. 2010. Principal component analysis. *Wiley Interdisciplinary*
770 *Reviews: Computational Statistics*, **2**, 433–459.
- 771 ABE, F. R. and LIEBERMAN, B. S. 2012. Quantifying morphological change during an
772 evolutionary radiation of Devonian trilobites. *Paleobiology*, **38**, 292–307.
- 773 ADAMS, D. C. and OTÁROLA-CASTILLO, E. 2013. Geomorph: an R package for the
774 collection and analysis of geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods in Ecology and*
775 *Evolution*, **4**, 393–399.
- 776 ADAMS, D. C., ROHLF, F. J. and SLICE, D. E. 2004. Geometric morphometrics: Ten years
777 of progress following the “revolution.” *Italian Journal of Zoology*, **71**, 5–16.
- 778 ADAMS, D. C., ROHLF, F. J. and SLICE, D. E. 2013. A field comes of age: Geometric
779 morphometrics in the 21st century. *Hystrix*, **24**, 7–14.
- 780 ALROY, J., ABERHAN, M., BOTTJER, D. J., FOOTE, M., FURSICH, F. T., HARRIES, A.
781 J., HENDY, W., HOLLAND, M., IVANY, L. C., KIESSLING, W., KOSNIK, M. A.,
782 MARSHALL, C. R., MCGOWAN, A. J., MILLER, A. I., OLSZEWSKI, T. D.,
783 PATZKOWSKY, M. E., PETERS, S. E., VILLIER, L., WAGNER, P. J., BONUSO, N.,
784 BORKOW, P. S., BRENNEIS, B., CLAPHAM, M. E., FALL, L. M., FERGUSON, C.
785 A., HANSON, V. L., KRUG, A. Z., LAYOU, K. M., LECKEY, E. H., NÜRNBERG,
786 S., POWERS, C. M., SESSA, J. A., SIMPSON, C., TOMAŠOVÝCH, A. and

787 VISAGGI, C. C. 2008. Phanerozoic Trends in the Global Diversity of Marine
788 Invertebrates. *Science*, **321**, 97–100.

789 NOLD, S. J. 1992. Constraints on phenotypic evolution. *The American Naturalist*, **140**,
790 S85–S107.

791 JUSTIN, M. W. and DUNLAP, A. S. 2019. Intraspecific variation in worker body size makes
792 North American bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.) less susceptible to decline. *The American*
793 *Naturalist*, **194**, 381–394.

794 ULT, V., CRÔNIER, C., ALLAIRE, N. and MONNET, C. 2021. Trilobite biodiversity
795 trends in the Devonian of North Africa. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
796 *Palaeoecology*, **565**. DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2020.110208

797 ZCKER, R. T., KÖNIGSHOF, P. and BRETT, C. E. 2016. Devonian climate, sea level and
798 evolutionary events: an introduction. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*,
799 **423**, 1–10.

800 ZCKER, R. T., JANSEN, U., PLODOWSKI, G., SCHINDLER, E., ABOUSSALAM, Z. S.
801 and WEDDIGE, K. 2004. Devonian litho-and biostratigraphy of the Dra Valley area—
802 an overview. 1–10. In EL HASSANI, A. (ed.). Devonian Neritic-Pelagic Correlation
803 and Events in the Dra Valley (Western Anti-Atlas, Morocco). Subcommission on
804 Devonian Stratigraphy. *International Meeting on Stratigraphy*, Rabat.

805 ZCKER, R. T., KLUG, C., SÖTE, T., HARTENFELS, S., ABOUSSALAM, Z. S. and EL
806 HASSANI, A. 2019. The oldest ammonoids of Morocco (Tafilalt, lower Emsian). *Swiss*
807 *Journal of Palaeontology*, **138**, 9–25.

808 CKNELL, R. D. C., LEDOGAR, J. A., WROE, S., GUTZLER, B. C., WATSON, W. H.
809 and PATERSON, J. R. 2018. Computational biomechanical analyses demonstrate
810 similar shell-crushing abilities in modern and ancient arthropods. *Proceedings of the*
811 *Royal Society B*, **285**, 20181935.

812 BICKNELL, R. D. C., HOLMES, J. D., EDGECOMBE, G. D., LOSSO, S. R., ORTEGA-
813 HERNÁNDEZ, J., WROE, S. and PATERSON, J. R. 2021. Biomechanical analyses of
814 Cambrian euarthropod limbs reveal their effectiveness in mastication and durophagy.
815 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **288**, 20202075.

816 BOOKSTEIN, F. L. 1991. *Morphometric tools for landmark data: geometry and biology*.
817 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 435 pp.

818 BRETT, C. E. 2003. Durophagous predation in Paleozoic marine benthic assemblages. 401–
819 432. In KELLEY, P., KOWALEWSKY, M. AND HANSEN, T. (eds). *Predator–Prey*
820 *interactions in the Fossil Record*. Kluwer Academic – Plenum, Dordrecht.

821 BRIGGS, D. E. 2017. Seilacher, konstruktions-morphologie, morphodynamics, and the
822 evolution of form. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B. Molecular and*
823 *Developmental Evolution*, **328**, 197–206.

824 CAO, W., ZAHIROVIC, S., FLAMENT, N., WILLIAMS, S., GOLONKA, J., and MÜLLER,
825 R. D. 2017, Improving global paleogeography since the late Paleozoic using
826 paleobiology. *Biogeosciences*, **14**, 5425–5439.

827 CATTANU, C. E., FLETCHER, R. J., KIMBALL, R. T., MILLER, C. W. and KITCHENS, W.
828 M. 2017. Rapid morphological change of a top predator with the invasion of a novel
829 prey. *Nature Ecol Evol*, **2**, 108–115.

830 CHAPMAN, R. E. 1990. Conventional procrustes approaches. 1–267. In ROHLF, F. J. and
831 BOOKSTEIN, F. L. (eds.). *Proceedings of the Michigan Morphometrics Workshop*.
832 University of Michigan Museum of Zoology, Ann Arbor.

833 CHLUPÁČ, I. 1994. Devonian trilobites - evolution and events. *Geobios*, **27**, 487–505.

834 CLARKSON, E. N. K. 1967. Environmental significance of eye-reduction in trilobites and
835 recent arthropods. *Marine Geology*, **5**, 367–375.

836 ARKSON, E. N. K., LEVI-SETTI, R. and HORVÁTH, G. 2006. The eyes of trilobites: the
837 oldest preserved visual system. *Arthropod Structure & Development*, **35**, 247–259.

838 COHEN, K. M., FINNEY, S. C., GIBBARD, P. L., and FAN, J.-X. 2013 (updated). The ICS
839 International Chronostratigraphic Chart. *Episodes*, **36**, 199–204.

840 LLYER, M. L. and ADAMS, D. C. 2018. RRPP: An r package for fitting linear models to
841 high-dimensional data using residual randomization. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*,
842 **9**, 1772–1779.

843 ÔNIER, C., 2013. Morphological disparity and developmental patterning: contribution of
844 phacopid trilobites. *Palaeontology*, **56**, 1263–1271.

845 ÔNIER, C. and COURVILLE, P. 2003. Variations du rythme du développement chez les
846 trilobites Phacopidae néodévonien. *C.R. Palevol*, **2**, 577–585.

847 ÔNIER, C. and FEIST, R. 1997. Morphologie et évolution ontogénétique de
848 *Trimercephalus lelievrei* nov. sp., premier trilobite phacopidé aveugle du Famennien
849 nord-africain. *Geobios*, **30**, 161–170.

850 ÔNIER, C. and FORTEY, R. 2006. Morphology and ontogeny of an Early Devonian
851 phacopid trilobite with reduced sight from southern Thailand. *Journal of Paleontology*,
852 **80**, 529–536.

853 ÔNIER, C. and VAN VIERSEN, A. 2007. Trilobite palaeobiodiversity during the
854 Devonian in the Ardennes Massif. *Bulletin de la Société géologique de France*, **178**,
855 473–483.

856 ÔNIER, C., FEIST, R. and AUFFRAY, J.-C. 2004. Variation in the eye of *Acuticryphops*
857 (Phacopina, Trilobita) and its evolutionary significance: a biometric and morphometric
858 approach. *Paleobiology*, **30**, 471–481.

859 CRÔNIER, C., RENAUD, S., FEIST, R. and AUFFRAY, J.-C. 1998. Ontogeny of
860 *Trimerocephalus Lelievrei* (Trilobita, Phacopida), a representative of the Late Devonian
861 phacopine paedomorphocline: a morphometric approach. *Paleobiology*, **24**, 359–370.

862 DERYCKE, C. 2017. Paléobiodiversité des gnathostomes (chondrichthyens, acanthodiens et
863 actinoptérygiens) du Dévonien du Maroc (NW Gondwana). *Mémoires de la Société*
864 *Géologique de France*, **180**, 44–77.

865 EBERLE, J., MYBURGH, R. and AHRENS, D. 2014. The Evolution of Morphospace in
866 Phytophagous Scarab Chafers: No Competition - No Divergence? *PLoS ONE*, **9**,
867 e98536.

868 ERWIN, D. H. 2007. Disparity: morphologic pattern and developmental context.
869 *Palaeontology*, **50**, 57–73.

870 ERWIN, D. H. 2015. Novelty and innovation in the history of life. *Current Biology*, **25**,
871 R930–R940.

872 ESTEVE, J., Zhao, Y.L. and PENG, J. 2017. Morphological assessment of the Cambrian
873 trilobites *Oryctocephalus indicus* (Reed 1910) from China and *Oryctocephalus*
874 ‘*reticulatus*’ (Lermontova 1940) from Siberia. *Lethaia*, **50**, 175–193.

875 ESTEVE, J., MARCÉ-NOGUÉ, J., PÉREZ-PERIS, F. and RAYFIELD, E. 2021. Cephalic
876 biomechanics underpins the evolutionary success of trilobites. *Palaeontology*, **64**, 519–
877 530.

878 EVERITT, B. S., LANDAU, S., LEESE, M. and STAHL, D. 2011. *Cluster analysis*. Wiley,
879 5th Edition, 348 pp.

880 FAN, J., SHEN, S., ERWIN, D. H., SADLER, P. M., MACLEOD, N., CHENG, Q., HOU,
881 X., YANG, J., WANG, X. and WANG, Y. 2020. A high-resolution summary of
882 Cambrian to Early Triassic marine invertebrate biodiversity. *Science*, **367**, 272–277.

883 FEIST, R. 1991. The late Devonian trilobite crises. *Historical Biology*, **5**, 197–214.

884 IST, R. 1995. Effect of paedomorphosis in eye reduction on patterns of evolution and
885 extinction in trilobites. 225–244. In MCNAMARA, K.J. (ed.). *Evolutionary Change*
886 *and Heterochrony*. John Wiley and Sons.

887 IST, R. 2002. Trilobites from the latest Frasnian Kellwasser crisis in North Africa (Mriirt,
888 Central Moroccan Meseta). *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, **47**, 203–210.

889 IST, R. and CLARKSON, E. N. K. 1989. Environmentally controlled phyletic evolution,
890 blindness and extinction in Late Devonian tropidocoryphine trilobites. *Lethaia*, **22**, 359–
891 373.

892 IST, R. and ORTH, B. 2000. Trilobites de la limite Eifélien/Givétien de la région
893 stratotypique (Tafilalet, Maider, Maroc). 78–91. In EL HASSANI, A. (ed.).
894 *Proceedings of the Subcommittee on Devonian Stratigraphy (SDS)–IGCP 421*
895 *Morocco Meeting*. Travaux de l’Institut Scientifique Rabat, Série Géologie &
896 Géographie Physique, 20.

897 OTE, M. 1991a. Morphologic patterns of diversification: examples from trilobites.
898 *Palaeontology*, **34**, 461–485.

899 OTE, M. 1991b. Morphological and taxonomic diversity in a clade’s history: the blastoid
900 record and stochastic simulations. *Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology, The*
901 *University of Michigan*, **28**, 101–140.

902 OTE, M. 1993. Discordance and concordance between morphological and taxonomic
903 diversity. *Paleobiology*, **19**, 185–204.

904 OTE, M. 1997. The evolution of morphological diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology and*
905 *Systematics*, **28**, 129–152.

906 RTEY, R. A. 1975. Early Ordovician trilobite communities. *Fossils and Strata*, **4**, 331–
907 352.

908 RTEY, R. A. 2004. Lifestyles of the trilobites. *American scientist*, **92**, 446–453.

909 FORTEY, R. A. 2014. The palaeoecology of trilobites. *Journal of Zoology*, **292**, 250–259.

910 FORTEY, R. A. and OWENS, R. M. 1999. Feeding habits in trilobites. *Palaeontology*, **42**,

911 429–465.

912 FORTEY, R. A. and WILMOT, N. V. 1991. Trilobite cuticle thickness in relation to

913 palaeoenvironment. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, **65**, 141–151.

914 GERBER, S. 2014. Not all roads can be taken: development induces anisotropic accessibility

915 in morphospace. *Evolution & development*, **16**, 373–381.

916 GERBER, S. and HOPKINS, M. J. 2011. Mosaic heterochrony and evolutionary modularity:

917 the trilobite genus *Zacanthopsis* as a case study. *Evolution: International Journal of*

918 *Organic Evolution*, **65**, 3241–3252.

919 GOLONKA, J. 2002. Plate-tectonic Maps of the Phanerozoic. 21–75. In KIESSLING, W.,

920 FLÜGEL, E., GOLONKA, J. (eds.). *Phanerozoic Reef Patterns*. SEPM (Society for

921 Sedimentary Geology) Special Publication, 72.

922 GONZÁLEZ-SUÁREZ, M. and REVILLA, E. 2013. Variability in life-history and ecological

923 traits is a buffer against extinction in mammals. *Ecology letters*, **16**, 242–251.

924 GOULD, S. J. 2002. *The structure of evolutionary theory*. Harvard University Press,

925 Cambridge, 1433 pp.

926 GOWER, J. C. 1975. Generalized Procrustes analysis. *Psychometrika*, **40**, 33–51.

927 GRANT, P. R. and GRANT, B. R. 2006. Evolution of Character Displacement in Darwin's

928 Finches. *Science*, **313**, 224–226.

929 GUILLERME, T., PUTTICK, M.N., MARCY, A.E. and WEISBECKER, V. 2020a. Shifting

930 spaces: Which disparity or dissimilarity measurement best summarize occupancy in

931 multidimensional spaces? *Ecology and evolution*, **10**, 7261–7275.

932 GUILLERME, T., COOPER, N., BRUSATTE, S. L., DAVIS, K. E., JACKSON, A. L.,

933 GERBER, S., GOSWAMI, A., HEALY, K., HOPKINS, M. J., JONES, M. E. H.,

934 LLOYD G. T., O'REILLY, J. E., PATE, A., PUTTICK, M. N., RAYFIELD, E. J.,
935 SAUPE, E. E., SHERRATT, E., SLATER, G. J., WEISBECKER, V., THOMAS, G. H.
936 and DONOGHUE, P. C. J. 2020^b. Disparities in the analysis of morphological
937 disparity. *Biology Letters*, **16**, 20200199.

938 NZ, P. and MITTEROECKER, P. 2013. Semilandmarks: a method for quantifying curves
939 and surfaces. *Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy*, **24**, 103–109.

940 TIÉRREZ-MARCO, J., GARCÍA-BELLIDO, D., RÁBANO, I. and SÁ, A. A. 2017.
941 Digestive and appendicular soft-parts, with behavioural implications, in a large
942 Ordovician trilobite from the Fezouata Lagerstätte, Morocco. *Scientific Reports*, **7**,
943 39728.

944 MMER, Ø., HARPER, D. A. and RYAN, P. D. 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics
945 software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia electronica*, **4**, 1–9.

946 LLARD, H. 1967. Le Dévonien du Maroc et du Sahara nord-occidental. 203–244. In
947 OSWALD, D. H. (ed.). *International Symposium on the Devonian System*. Society of
948 Petroleum Geologists, Calgary, Alberta 1.

949 LLARD, H. 1968. Le Dévonien du Maroc et du Sahara nord occidental. International
950 Symposium on the Devonian System, Calgary. *Alberta Soc. Pet. Geol.*, **1**, 203–244.

951 PKINS, M. J. 2013. Decoupling of taxonomic diversity and morphological disparity
952 during decline of the Cambrian trilobite family Pterocephaliidae. *Journal of*
953 *Evolutionary Biology*, **26**, 1665–1676.

954 PKINS, M. J. 2014. The environmental structure of trilobite morphological disparity.
955 *Paleobiology*, **40**, 352–373.

956 PKINS, M. J. and GERBER, S. 2017. Morphological disparity. *Evolutionary*
957 *developmental biology*, 1–12.

958 HOPKINS, M. J. and WEBSTER, M. 2009. Ontogeny and geographic variation of a new
959 species of the corynexochine trilobite *Zacanthopsis* (Dyeran, Cambrian). *Journal of*
960 *Paleontology*, **83**, 524–547.

961 HOUSE, M. R. 2002. Strength, timing, setting and cause of mid-Palaeozoic extinctions.
962 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **181**, 5–25.

963 HUANG, S., ROY, K., VALENTINE, J. W. and JABLONSKI, D. 2015. Convergence,
964 divergence, and parallelism in marine biodiversity trends: Integrating present-day and
965 fossil data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **112**, 4903–4908.

966 HUGHES, N. C. 2003. Trilobite tagmosis and body patterning from morphological and
967 developmental perspectives. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **43**, 185–206.

968 JACOBS, G. S. and CARLUCCI, J. R. 2019. Ontogeny and shape change of the phacopid
969 trilobite *Calyptaulax*. *Journal of Paleontology*, **93**, 1105–1125.

970 KAUFMANN, B. 1998. Facies, stratigraphy and diagenesis of Middle Devonian reef-and
971 mud-mounds in the Maïder (eastern Anti-Atlas, Morocco). *Acta Geologica Polonica*,
972 **48**, 43–106.

973 KENDALL, D. G. 1984. Shape-Manifolds, Procrustean Metrics and Complex Projective
974 Spaces. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, **16**, 81–121.

975 KLUG, C., KRÖGER, B., KIESSLING, W., MULLINS, G. L., SERVAIS, T., FRÝDA, J.,
976 KORN, D. and TURNER, S. 2010. The Devonian nekton revolution. *Lethaia*, **43**, 465–
977 477.

978 KOLBE, S. E., LOCKWOOD, R. and HUNT, G. 2011. Does morphological variation buffer
979 against extinction? A test using veneroid bivalves from the Plio-Pleistocene of Florida.
980 *Paleobiology*, **37**, 355–368.

981 ORN, D., HOPKINS, M. J. and WALTON, S. A. 2013. Extinction space – a method for the
982 quantification and classification of changes in morphospace across extinction
983 boundaries. *Evolution*, **67**, 2795–2810.

984 BRUN, P. 2018. *Fossiles du Maroc. Tome I. Gisements emblématiques du Paléozoïque de*
985 *l'Anti-Atlas*. Les Editions du Piat, Saint-Julien-du-Pinet, 298 pp.

986 ROSEY-AUBRIL, R. and FEIST, R. 2012. Quantitative approach to diversity and decline
987 in Late Palaeozoic trilobites. *Earth and Life*, 535–555.

988 NARDI, R. R., BENÍTEZ, H. A., CÂMARA, T. P., GOMES, L. P. and ARRAIS-SILVA,
989 W. W. 2017. Head shape variation in response to diet in *Triatoma williami* (Hemiptera,
990 Reduviidae: Triatominae), a possible Chagas disease vector of legal Amazônia.
991 *Zoologischer Anzeiger*, **267**, 187–193.

992 ASSA, D., COMBAZ, A. and MANDERSCHEID, G. 1965. Observations sur le Siluro-
993 Dévonien des confins algéro-marocains. *Compagnie Française des Pétroles. Notes*
994 *Mém.*, **8**, 1–187.

995 GHEE G. R. 1988. The Late Devonian extinction event: evidence for abrupt ecosystem
996 collapse. *Paleobiology*, **14**, 250–257.

997 GHEE G. R. 1996. *The Late Devonian Mass Extinction*. Columbia University Press, New
998 York, 302 pp.

999 GHEE G. R. 2007. *The Geometry of Evolution: Adaptive Landscapes and Theoretical*
1000 *Morphospaces*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 200 pp.

1001 KELLAR, R. C. and CHATTERTON, B. D. 2009. Early and Middle Devonian
1002 Phacopidae (Trilobita) of southern Morocco. *Canadian Soc. of Petroleum Geologists*,
1003 **28**, 1–110.

1004 NELLI, A. 2016. Species diversity vs. morphological disparity in the light of evolutionary
1005 developmental biology. *Annals of Botany*, **117**, 781–794.

1006 MITTEROECKER, P. and GUNZ, P. 2009. Advances in geometric morphometrics.
1007 *Evolutionary Biology*, **36**, 235–247.

1008 MORZADEC, P. 2001. Les Trilobites Asteropyginae du Dévonien de l'Anti-Atlas (Maroc).
1009 *Palaeontographica Abteilung A*, **262**, 53–85.

1010 MURTAGH, F. and CONTRERAS, P. 2012. Algorithms for hierarchical clustering: an
1011 overview. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, **2**,
1012 86–97.

1013 NEIGE, P., MARCHAND, D. and BONNOT, A. 1997. Ammonoid morphological signal
1014 versus sea-level changes. *Geological Magazine*, **134**, 261–264.

1015 O'HIGGINS, P. 2000. The study of morphological variation in the hominid fossil record:
1016 biology, landmarks and geometry. *Journal of Anatomy*, **197**, 103–20.

1017 OKSANEN, J., BLANCHET, G., FRIENDLY, M., KINDT, R., LEGENDRE, P.,
1018 MCGLINN, D., MINCHIN, P.R., O'HARA, R. B., SIMPSON, G. L., SOLYMOS, P.,
1019 M. H. H., SZOECS, E. and WAGNER, H. 2020. vegan: Community Ecology Package.
1020 R package version 2.5-7. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>

1021 OUDOT, M., NEIGE, P., LAFFONT, R., NAVARRO, N., KHALDI, A. Y. and CRÔNIER,
1022 C. 2019. Functional integration for enrolment constrains evolutionary variation of
1023 phacopid trilobites despite developmental modularity. *Palaeontology*, **62**, 805–821.

1024 PATERSON, J. R., JAGO, J. B., BROCK, G. A. and GEHLING, J. G. 2007. Taphonomy and
1025 palaeoecology of the emuellid trilobite *Balcoracania dailyi* (early Cambrian, South
1026 Australia). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **249**, 302–321.

1027 PATES, S. and BICKNELL, R. D. 2019. Elongated thoracic spines as potential predatory
1028 deterrents in olenelline trilobites from the lower Cambrian of Nevada.
1029 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **516**, 295–306.

1030 WLIK, L., BUMA B., ŠAMONIL P., KVAČEK J., GALĄZKA A., KOHOUT P. and
1031 MALIK I. 2020. Impact of trees and forests on the Devonian landscape and weathering
1032 processes with implications to the global Earth's system properties - A critical review.
1033 *Earth-Science Reviews*, **205**, 103200.

1034 REZ, S. I., BERNAL, V. and GONZALEZ, P.N. 2006. Differences between sliding semi-
1035 landmark methods in geometric morphometrics, with an application to human
1036 craniofacial and dental variation. *Journal of anatomy*, **208**, 769–784.

1037 COCK, K. J. 1970. The Emuellidae, a new family of trilobites from the Lower Cambrian
1038 of South Australia. *Palaeontology*, **13**, 522–562.

1039 LLY, P. D. 2017. Morphometries and evolution: the challenge of crossing rugged
1040 phenotypic landscapes with straight paths. *Vavilovskiy zhurnal genetiki i seleksii*, **21**,
1041 452–461.

1042 ACKI, G. 2005. Toward understanding Late Devonian global events: few answers, many
1043 questions. 5–36. In OVER, D. J., MORROW, J. R. and WIGNALL, P. B. (eds).
1044 *Understanding Late Devonian and Permian – Triassic Biotic and Climatic Events:
1045 Towards an Integrated Approach*. Developments in Palaeontology & Stratigraphy, 20.

1046 ACKI, G. 2020. Volcanic scenario of the Frasnian–Famennian major biotic crisis and other
1047 Late Devonian global changes: more answers than questions? *Global Planetary
1048 Change*, **189**, 103174.

1049 CHTER, R. 1920. Beitrage zur Kenntnis devonischer Trilobiten 111. Uber die Organisation
1050 von *Harpes*, einen Sonderfall unter Crustaceen. *Abhandlungen der Senckenberg
1051 Naturforschenden Gesellschaft*, **37**, 177–218.

1052 NGNÉR, M. 2008. What is principal component analysis? *Nature Biotechnology*, **26**, 303–
1053 304.

- 1054 ROHLF, F. J. 1993. Relative warp analysis and an example of its application to mosquito
1055 wings. *Contributions to morphometrics*, **8**, 131–159.
- 1056 ROHLF, F. J. 1999. Shape statistics: Procrustes superimpositions and tangent spaces. *Journal*
1057 *of classification*, **16**, 197–223.
- 1058 ROHLF, F. J. 2010. TpsDig. Department of Ecology and Evolution, State Univ. of New York,
1059 Stony Brook, NY.
- 1060 ROHLF, F. J. 2012. Tps Utility Program, ver. 1.50. Department of Ecology and Evolution,
1061 Stony Brook, State University of New York, New York.
- 1062 ROHLF, F. J. 2015. The tps series of software. *Hystrix*, **26**, 9–12.
- 1063 ROHLF, F. J. and MARCUS, L. F. 1993. A revolution in morphometrics. *Trends in ecology*
1064 *& evolution*, **8**, 129–132.
- 1065 ROHLF, F. J. and SLICE, D., 1990. Extensions of the Procrustes method for the optimal
1066 superimposition of landmarks. *Systematic biology*, **39**, 40–59.
- 1067 SCHLUTER, D. 2000. Ecological character displacement in adaptive radiation. *The American*
1068 *Naturalist*, **156**, S4–S16.
- 1069 SCHOENEMANN, B. 2018. Evolution of eye reduction and loss in trilobites and some
1070 related fossil arthropods. *Emerg. Sci. J.*, **2**, 272–286.
- 1071 SCOTESE, C. R. and GOLONKA, J. 1992. *Paleogeographic Atlas*. PALEOMAP Progress
1072 Report 20-0692. Department of Geology, University of Texas, Arlington, 34 pp.
- 1073 SEPKOSKI, J. J. and SHEEHAN, P. M. 1983. Diversification, faunal change, and community
1074 replacement during the Ordovician radiations. *Biotic Interactions in Recent and Fossil*
1075 *Benthic Communities*, 673–717.
- 1076 SHOVAL, O., SHEFTEL, H., SHINAR, G., HART, Y., RAMOTE, O., MAYO, A., DEKEL,
1077 E., KAVANAGH, K. and ALON, U. 2012. Evolutionary trade- offs, pareto optimality,
1078 and the geometry of phenotype space. *Science*, **336**, 1157–1160.

1079 IITH, L. H. and LIEBERMAN, B. S. 1999. Disparity and constraint in olenelloid trilobites
1080 and the Cambrian radiation. *Paleobiology*, **25**, 459–470.

1081 ÁREZ, M.G. and ESTEVE, J. 2021. Morphological diversity and disparity in trilobite
1082 cephalia and the evolution of trilobite enrolment throughout the Palaeozoic. *Lethaia*,
1083 DOI 10.1111/let.12437.

1084 ANDLER, A., MAYO, A. and ALON, U. 2015. Evolutionary tradeoffs, Pareto optimality
1085 and the morphology of ammonite shells. *BMC Systems Biology*, **9**, 12.

1086 NN, O., MEIDLA, T. and AINSAAR, L. 2020. Diving with trilobites: Life in the Silurian-
1087 Devonian Seas. 345–366. In MARTINETTO, E., TSCHOPP, E., GASTALDO, R.
1088 (eds). *Nature through Time: virtual field trips through the Nature of the past*. Springer
1089 Textbooks in Earth Sciences, Geography and Environment.

1090 ULMAN, D. K. 2019. A typology of Florida fluted points using landmark-based geometric
1091 morphometrics. *PaleoAmerica*, **5**, 181–190.

1092 RVEY, S. T. 2005. Early Ordovician (Arenig) trilobite palaeoecology and
1093 palaeobiogeography of the South China Plate. *Palaeontology*, **48**, 519–547.

1094 AN, J., FOSTER, W. J., TIAN, L., STUBBS, T. L., BENTON, M. J., QIU, X. and YUAN,
1095 A. 2021. Decoupling of morphological disparity and taxonomic diversity during the
1096 end-Permian mass extinction. *Paleobiology*. DOI: 10.1017/pab.2020.57

1097 EBSTER, M., 2007. A Cambrian peak in morphological variation within trilobite species.
1098 *Science*, **317**, 499–502.

1099 EBSTER, M. and ZELDITCH, M. L. 2011. Modularity of a Cambrian ptychoparioid
1100 trilobite cranidium. *Evolution & development*, **13**, 96–109.

1101 ENDT, J. and BELKA, Z. 1991. Age and depositional environment of Upper Devonian
1102 (early Frasnian to early Famennian) black shales and limestones (Kellwasser facies) in
1103 the eastern Anti-Atlas, Morocco. *Facies*, **25**, 51–89.

- 1104 WESTROP, S. R. and ADRAIN, J. M. 1998. Trilobite alpha diversity and the reorganization
1105 of Ordovician benthic marine communities. *Paleobiology*, **24**, 1–16.
- 1106 WILLS, M. A. 2001. Disparity vs. diversity. 495–500. In BRIGGS, D. E. G. and
1107 CROWTHER, P. R. (eds.). *Palaeobiology II: a synthesis*. Blackwell Science Ltd,
1108 Oxford, 583 pp.
- 1109 ZELDITCH, M. L., SWIDERSKI, D. L. and SHEETS, H. D. 2012. Geometric morphometrics
1110 for biologists: A Primer. 2nd Edition, *Academic press, New York*, 488 pp.
- 1111 ZHU, X., LEROSEY-AUBRIL, R. and ESTEVE, J. 2014. Gut content fossilization and
1112 evidence for detritus feeding habits in an enrolled trilobite from the Cambrian of China.
1113 *Lethaia*, **47**, 66–76.

1114 **Figures**

1115

1116 **Figure 1.** (A) Location of Morocco and Algeria (white star) on a palinspastic map (Cao et al.
1117 2017), with continent positions and shapes for the Emsian. (B) Geographical location of
1118 studied area with the Devonian outcrops (in bold; modified from Hollard 1968).

1119

1120 **Figure 2.** Complete chimeric exoskeleton of trilobite in dorsal view exhibiting eight
1121 landmarks for cephalon (in red), plus eight for central cephalon (in blue), and seven for
1122 pygidium; and some linear measurements. Modified from Crônier (2013).

1123

1124 **Figure 3.** Location of: A, 328 cephala; B, 959 central cephala; and C, 605 pygidia from the
1125 Devonian of North Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the two first
1126 principal component axes performed on Procrustes residuals. Black dots represent the
1127 reconstructed virtual shape, see Fig. S8 for more details. Coloured representative
1128 morphotypes are displayed.

1129

1130 **Figure 4.** Location of morphotypes of: A, cephala; B, central cephala; and C, pygidia from
1131 the Devonian of North Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the two first
1132 principal component axes performed on Procrustes residuals, represented for each Devonian
1133 stage. Coloured morphotypes according to Figure 3. D, Morphological disparity estimated by
1134 the sum of variance (SoV) through time of cephala (blue), central cephala (red), and pygidia
1135 (green). Ages from Cohen et al. (2013, updated with the version of 3/2020).

1136

1137 **Figure 5.** Location of: A, cephalia; B, **central cephalia**; and C, pygidia from the Devonian of
1138 North Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the two first principal
1139 component axes performed on Procrustes residuals for each order.

1140

1141 **Figure 6.** Location of: A, D, G, cephalia; B, E, H, **central cephalia**; and C, F, I, pygidia from
1142 the Devonian of North Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the two first
1143 principal component axes performed on Procrustes residuals for three factors: (A-C)
1144 bathymetry; (D-F) visual abilities and (G-I) feeding habits. See supplementary figures S5, S6
1145 and S7 for more details.

1146

1147 **Table 1.** Description of landmarks.

1148

1149 **Table 2.** P-values of the Procrustes ANOVA with permutation procedures to assess shape
1150 covariation according to bathymetry, visual abilities and feeding habits as factors.

1151 **Supplemental figures**

1152

1153 **Figure S1.** Results of analyses performed to verify if topological issues occurred with our
1154 set of landmarks. Correlation circles of landmarks showing the most contributing variables
1155 for: A, cephalia; B, **central cephalia**; and C, pygidia. Morphospace defined according to the
1156 two first principal component axes performed on Procrustes residuals using cephalon
1157 specimens without (D) landmarks 13 and 16 and (E) landmarks 10 and 12.

1158

1159 **Figure S2.** Clusters identify by a Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) performed with the
1160 Euclidian distance measure and Ward's linkage algorithm on the PC's scores for all
1161 specimens, and the global morphospace defined according to the two first principal
1162 component axes performed on Procrustes residuals for: A, cephalia; B, **central cephalia**; and
1163 C, pygidia.

1164

1165 **Figure S3.** Location of: A, 328 cephalia; and B, 605 pygidia from the Devonian of North
1166 Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the third and the fourth principal
1167 component axes performed on Procrustes residuals.

1168

1169 **Figure S4.** Location of: A, cephalia; B, **central cephalia**; and C, pygidia from the Devonian of
1170 North Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the two first principal
1171 component axes performed on Procrustes residuals, represented for each Devonian stage. The
1172 number of specimens is indicated for each stage. Ages from [Cohen *et al.* \(2013, updated with](#)
1173 [the version of 3/2020\)](#).

1174

1175 **Figure S5.** Location of the morphotypes of: A, cephalia; B, **central cephalia**; and C, pygidia
1176 from the Devonian of North Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the two
1177 first principal component axes performed on Procrustes residuals for bathymetry. Ages from
1178 [Cohen *et al.* \(2013, updated with the version of 3/2020\)](#).

1179

1180 **Figure S6.** Location of the morphotypes of: A, cephalia; B, **central cephalia**; and C, pygidia
1181 from the Devonian of North Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the two
1182 first principal component axes performed on Procrustes residuals for vision abilities. Ages
1183 from [Cohen *et al.* \(2013, updated with the version of 3/2020\)](#).

1184

1185 **Figure S7.** Location of the morphotypes of: A, cephalia; B, **central cephalia**; and C, pygidia
1186 from the Devonian of North Africa in the global morphospace defined according to the two
1187 first principal component axes performed on Procrustes residuals for feeding habits. Ages
1188 from [Cohen *et al.* \(2013, updated with the version of 3/2020\)](#).

1189

1190 **Figure S8.** Reconstruction of virtual shapes of cephalia according respectively to the two first
1191 principal component axes PC1 and PC2 performed on Procrustes residuals.

1192

1193 **Appendix. Supplementary data**

1194

1195 **Appendix S1.** Dataset of Silurian and Devonian trilobites from Morocco and Algeria.

1196

1197 **Appendix S2.** References used in the dataset, including 65 publications with sufficient
1198 chronostratigraphic information to calibrate occurrences at the stage and substage levels, and
1199 taxonomic information to form an up-to-date taxonomy. These references constitute a
1200 relatively complete fossil record of the Silurian and Devonian in North Africa.

1201

1202 1. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1964. Neue Trilobiten aus dem marokkanischen und deutschen Unter-und Mitteldevon.

1203 *Senckenbergiana lethaea*, 45, 115–133.

1204 2. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1966a. Über einige neue Trilobiten aus dem Silurium und Devon, besonders von Marokko.

1205 *Senckenbergiana lethaea*, 47, 111–121.

1206 3. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1966b. Zur Taxonomie und Verbreitung der Trilobiten-Gattung *Kolihapeltis* Prantl &

1207 Přibyl 1947 im Unter-Devon. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, 40, 192–204.

1208 4. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1966c. Note préliminaire sur quelques trilobites (en particulier des Proétidés) du Silurien,

1209 du Dévonien inférieur et du Dévonien moyen du Maroc. *Notes et Mémoires du Service Géologique du*

1210 *Maroc*, 26, 55–68.

1211 5. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1967a. Neue obersilurische sowie unter-und mitteldevonische Trilobiten aus Marokko,

1212 Deutschland und einigen anderen europäischen Gebieten. 1. *Senckenbergiana lethaea*, 48, 463–479.

1213 6. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1967b. Neue obersilurische sowie unter-und mitteldevonische Trilobiten aus Marokko,

1214 Deutschland und einigen anderen europäischen Gebieten. 2. *Senckenbergiana lethaea*, 48, 481–509.

1215 7. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1969. Trilobiten Des Jüngeren Siluriums Sowie des Unter-und Mitteldevons, *Abh.*

1216 *seckenb. naturforsch. Ges.*, 520, 1–692.

1217 8. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1970. Trilobiten des jüngeren Siluriums sowie des Unter-und-Mitteldevons. II. *Abh.*

1218 *seckenb. naturforsch. Ges.*, 525, 1–233.

1219 9. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1981a. Scutelluidae (Trilobita) aus dem Unterdevon des Hamar Laghdad (Tafilalt, SE-

- 1220 Marokko) und das Alter der ‘mud mounds’ (Ober-Zlichovium bis tiefstes Dalejum). *Senckenbergiana*
1221 *lethaea*, 62, 193–204.
- 1222 10. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1981b. Trilobiten des jüngeren Siluriums sowie des Unter-und-Mitteldevons. III.
1223 *Senckenbergiana lethaea*, 62, 1–75.
- 1224 11. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1982. Der Hamar Laghdad (Tafilalt, SE Marokko), eine bedeutende Fundstätte
1225 devonischer Trilobiten. *Natur und Museum*, 112, 172–182.
- 1226 12. ALBERTI, G.K.B. 1983. Trilobiten des jüngeren Siluriums sowie des Unter-und-Mitteldevons. IV.
1227 *Senckenbergiana lethaea*, 64, 1–87.
- 1228 13. ALBERTI, H. 1973. Neue Trilobiten (Cyrtosymbolen) aus dem Ober-Devon IV bis VI (Nord-Afrika und
1229 Mittel-Europa). Beitrag 1. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, 144, 143–180.
- 1230 14. ALBERTI, H. 1975. Neue Trilobiten (*Waribole*) aus dem Ober-Devon IV-VI (Nord-Afrika und Mittel-
1231 Europa). Beitrag 3. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, 149, 180–210.
- 1232 15. BECKER, R.T., ABOUSSALAM, Z., HELLING, S., AFHÜPPE, L., BAIDDER, L. and EL HASSANI, A.
1233 2018. The world-famous Devonian mudmounds at Hamar Laghdad and overlying cephalopod-rich strata.
1234 *Münstersche Forschungen zur Geologie und Paläontologie*, 110, 214–228.
- 1235 16. BIGNON, A., CORBACHO, J. and LÓPEZ-SORIANO, F.J. 2014. A revision of the first Asteropyginae
1236 (Trilobita, Devonian). *Geobios*, 47, 281–291.
- 1237 17. BURTON, C.J. and ELDREDGE, N. 1974. Two new subspecies of *Phacops rana* (Trilobita) from the
1238 Middle Devonian of north-west Africa. *Palaeontology*, 17, 349–363.
- 1239 18. CHATTERTON, B.D.E. and GIBB, S. 2010. Latest Early to early Middle Devonian trilobites from the
1240 *Erbenochile* bed, Jbel Issoumour, southeastern Morocco. *Journal of Paleontology*, 84, 1188–1205.
- 1241 19. CHATTERTON, B.D.E., GIBB, S. and MCKELLAR, R. 2019. Species of the Devonian aulacopleurid
1242 trilobite *Cyphaspides* from Southeastern Morocco. *Journal of Paleontology*, 1–16.
- 1243 20. CHATTERTON, B.D.E., FORTEY, R., BRETT, K., GIBB, S. and MCKELLAR, R. 2006. Trilobites from
1244 the upper Lower to Middle Devonian Timrhanrhart Formation, Jebelgara el Zguilma, southern Morocco.
1245 *Palaeontographica Canadiana*, 25, 1–177.
- 1246 21. CORBACHO, J. 2014a. *Lanceaspis hammondi* n. gen., n. sp. – a questionable early Asteropyginae
1247 (Trilobita) from the Pragian of the Morocco. *Batalleria*, 20, 3–10.
- 1248 22. CORBACHO, J. 2014b. *Struveaspis bignoni*: nueva especie de Phacopidae (Trilobita) de Marruecos;
1249 Devónico medio (Eifeliense). *Scripta Musei Geologici Seminarii Barcinonensis*, 16, 3–12.

- 1250 23. CORBACHO, J. and KIER, C., 2013. Diversidad y distribución de *Acanthopyge* (*Belenopyge*), (Lichidae) en
1251 Marruecos; Devónico inferior y medio. *Batalleria*, 18, 3–14.
- 1252 24. CORBACHO, J. and LÓPEZ-SORIANO, F.J. 2013. Two new species of Trochurinae trilobites from the
1253 Middle Devonian (Eifelian) of Southern Morocco. *Batalleria*, 18, 15–24.
- 1254 25. CRÔNIER, C. and CLARKSON, E.N.K. 2001. Variation of eye-lens distribution in a new late Devonian
1255 phacopid trilobite. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences*, 92, 103–113.
- 1256 26. CRÔNIER, C. and FEIST, R. 1997. Morphologie et évolution ontogénique de *Trimeroccephalus lelievrei* nov.
1257 sp., premier trilobite phacopidé aveugle du Famennien nord-africain. *Geobios, Mémoires spéciales*, 20,
1258 161–170.
- 1259 27. CRÔNIER, C., OUDOT, M., KLUG, C. and DE BAETS, K. 2018b. Trilobites from the Red Fauna (latest
1260 Emsian) of Hamar Laghdad, Morocco and their biodiversity. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und*
1261 *Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, 290, 241–276.
- 1262 28. CRÔNIER, C., MALTI, F.Z., FRANÇOIS, A., BENYOUCEF, M. and BRICE, D. 2013. First occurrence of
1263 a phacopid trilobite faunule from the Upper Devonian of Saoura Valley, Algeria and biodiversity
1264 fluctuations. *Geological Magazine*, 150, 1002–1021.
- 1265 29. CRÔNIER, C., ABBACHE, A., KHALDI, A.Y., OUDOT, M., MAILLET, S. and OUALI MEHADJI, A.
1266 2018a. Middle Devonian trilobites of the Saoura Valley, Algeria: insights into their biodiversity and
1267 Moroccan affinities. *Geological Magazine*, 155, 811–840.
- 1268 30. EDGECOMBE, G.D. 1991. *Morocconites* Struve, 1989, a Devonian acastine trilobite (Calmoniidae:
1269 Acastinae). *American Museum Novitates*, 299, 1–7.
- 1270 31. FEIST, R. 2002. Trilobites from the latest Frasnian Kellwasser Crisis in North Africa (Mriat central Moroccan
1271 Meseta). *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, 47, 203–210.
- 1272 32. FEIST, R. 2019. Post-Kellwasser event recovery and diversification of phacopid trilobites in the early
1273 Famennian (Late Devonian). *Bulletin of Geosciences*, 94, 1–22.
- 1274 33. FEIST, R. and BELKA, Z. 2018. Late Emsian (Devonian) trilobite communities from the Kess-Kess
1275 mounds, Hamar Laghdad (Anti-Atlas, Morocco). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie,*
1276 *Abhandlungen*, 290, 277–290.
- 1277 34. FEIST, R. and CHATTERTON, B.D. 2015. Kolihapeltine trilobites, the spiniest scutelluids from the eastern
1278 Anti-Atlas (Morocco, Early Devonian): evolution, environment and classification. *Papers in*
1279 *Palaeontology*, 1, 255–287.

- 1280 35. FEIST, R. and MCNAMARA, K.J. 2007. Biodiversity, distribution and patterns of extinction of the last
1281 odontopleuroid trilobites during the Devonian (Givetian, Frasnian). *Geological Magazine*, 144, 777–796.
- 1282 36. FEIST, R. and ORTH, B. 2000. Trilobites de la limite Eifélien/Givétien de la région stratotypique (Tafilalet,
1283 Maider, Maroc), in: Proceedings of the Subcommission on Devonian Stratigraphy (SDS)–IGCP 421
1284 Morocco Meeting. *Travaux de l'Institut Scientifique Rabat, Série Géologie & Géographie Physique*, 78–
1285 91.
- 1286 37. FEIST, R. and WEYER, D. 2018. The proetid trilobite *Perliproetus*, a marker of the late Famennian in
1287 Central Europe and North Africa. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen*, 287,
1288 195–206.
- 1289 38. FEIST, R., MAHBOUBI, A. and GIRARD, C. 2016. New Late Devonian phacopid trilobites from
1290 Marhouma, SW Algerian Sahara. *Bulletin of Geosciences*, 91, 243–59.
- 1291 39. FREY, L., NAGLIK, C., HOFMANN, R., SCHEMM-GREGORY, M., FRYDA, J., KRÖGER, B.,
1292 TAYLOR, P.D., WILSON, M.A. and KLUG, C. 2014. Diversity and palaeoecology of Early Devonian
1293 invertebrate associations in the Tafilalet. *Bulletin of Geoscience*, 89 (1), 75–112.
- 1294 40. GIBB, S. and CHATTERTON, B.D.E. 2007. *Timsaloproetus* new genus (Proetida: Trilobita) and included
1295 species from Lower and Middle Devonian strata of southern Morocco. *Journal of Paleontology*, 81, 352–
1296 367.
- 1297 41. GIBB, S. and CHATTERTON, B.D.E. 2010. Gerastos (Order Proetida; Class Trilobita) from the Lower to
1298 Middle Devonian of the southern Moroccan Anti-Atlas Region. *Palaeontographica Canadiana*, 30, 1–87.
- 1299 42. JOHNSON, R.G. and FORTEY, R.A. 2012. Proetid trilobites from the Lower Devonian (Pragian) Ihandar
1300 Formation, Anti-Atlas, Morocco. *Journal of Paleontology*, 86, 1032–1050.
- 1301 43. KHALDI, A.Y., CRÔNIER, C., HAINAUT, G., ABBACHE, A. and OUALI MEHADJI, A. 2016. A
1302 trilobite faunule from the Lower Devonian of the Saoura Valley, Algeria: biodiversity, morphological
1303 variability and palaeobiogeographical affinities. *Geological Magazine*, 153, 357–387.
- 1304 44. KLUG, C., SCHULZ, H. and DE BAETS, K. 2009. Red Devonian trilobites with green eyes from Morocco
1305 and the silicification of the trilobite exoskeleton. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, 54, 117–124.
- 1306 45. KLUG, C., KRÖGER, B., KORN, D., RÜCKLIN, M., SCHEMM-GREGORY, M., DE BAETS, K. and
1307 MAPES, R.H. 2008. Ecological change during the early Emsian (Devonian) in the Tafilalet (Morocco), the
1308 origin of the Ammonoidea, and the first African pyrgocystid edrioasteroids, machaerids and phyllocarids.
1309 *Palaeontographica, Abteilung A*, 283, 83–176.

- 1310 46. LEROSEY-AUBRIL R. and FEIST R. 2005. Ontogeny of a new cyrtosymboline trilobite from the
1311 Famennian of Morocco. *Acta Palaeontol Pol*, 50, 449–464.
- 1312 47. LEROSEY-AUBRIL, R. and FEIST, R. 2006. Late ontogeny and hypostomal condition of a new
1313 cyrtosymboline trilobite from the Famennian of Morocco. *Palaeontology*, 49, 1053–1068.
- 1314 48. LEROSEY-AUBRIL, R., FEIST, R. and CHATTERTON, B.D. 2008. The ontogeny and systematics of the
1315 otarionine trilobite *Otarionella* from the Devonian of the Montagne Noire, France and the Maider,
1316 Morocco. *Geological Magazine*, 145, 55–71.
- 1317 49. MCKELLAR, R. and CHATTERTON, B.D. 2009. Early and Middle Devonian Phacopidae (Trilobita) of
1318 southern Morocco. *Palaeontographica Canadiana*, 28, 1–110.
- 1319 50. MORZADEC, P. 1988. Le genre *Psychopyge* (Trilobita) dans le Dévonien Inférieur du Nord de l’Afrique et
1320 l’Ouest de l’Europe. *Palaeontographica, Abteilung A* **200**, 153–161.
- 1321 51. MORZADEC, P. 1990. Évolution, biozonation et biogéographie de *Protacanthina* Gandl, trilobite du
1322 Dévonien inférieur Nord-Gondwanien. *Geobios*, 23, 719–735.
- 1323 52. MORZADEC, P. 1995. *Erbenochile erbeni* (Alberti), Trilobite du Dévonien inférieur d’Ougarta (Algérie).
1324 *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Palaontologie-Monatshefte*, 10, 614–621.
- 1325 53. MORZADEC, P. 1997. Asteropyginae trilobites from the Devonian of the Ougarta (Algeria)
1326 *Palaeontographica, Abteilung A*, 244, 143–158.
- 1327 54. MORZADEC, P. 2001. Les Trilobites Asteropyginae du Dévonien de l’Anti-Atlas (Maroc).
1328 *Palaeontographica Abteilung A*, 262, 53–85.
- 1329 55. RICHTER, R. and RICHTER, E., 1943. Trilobiten aus dem Devon von Marokko. *Senckenbergiana*, 26, 116–
1330 199.
- 1331 56. SCHRAUT, G. 2000a. Eine neue Unterart von *Phacops* (*Phacops*) *sparsinodosus* Struve 1970 aus dem
1332 Mittel-Devon von Marokko. *Senckenbergiana Lethaea*, 80, 525–535.
- 1333 57. SCHRAUT, G. 2000b. Trilobiten aus dem Unter-Devon des südöstlichen Anti-Atlas, Süd-Marokko.
1334 *Senckenbergiana Lethaea*, 79, 361–433.
- 1335 58. SCHRAUT, G. and FEIST, R. 2004. The Devonian styginid trilobite *Paralejurus*, with new data from Spain
1336 and Morocco. *Journal of Paleontology*, 78, 709–722.
- 1337 59. STRUVE, W. 1995. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Phacopina (Trilobita), 18: Die Riesen-Phacopiden aus dem
1338 Maider, SE-marokkanische Prä-Sahara. *Senckenbergiana lethaea*, 75, 77–130.
- 1339 60. VAN VIERSEN, A.P. and HEISING, H. 2015. Description of *Kettneraspis? prescheri* sp. nov. (Trilobita,

- 1340 Odontopleuridae) from the “couche rouge” (Pragian, Lower Devonian) in Morocco. *Geologica Belgica*,
1341 18, 15–20.
- 1342 61. VAN VIERSEN, A.P. and HOLLAND, D. 2016. Morphological trends and new species of *Cyphaspis*
1343 (Trilobita, Otariioninae) in the Devonian of Morocco, Turkey, Germany and Belgium. *Geologica Belgica*,
1344 19, 251–271.
- 1345 62. VAN VIERSEN, A.P. and LEROUGE, F. 2019. Cornuproetine (proetide) trilobites with nine thorax
1346 segments from the Devonian of Morocco, Germany and the Czech Republic. *Paläontologische*
1347 *Zeitschrift*, 94, 227–254.
- 1348 63. VAN VIERSEN, A.P. and PRESCHEER, H. 2011. New species of the lichid trilobite *Ceratarges* from the
1349 Middle Devonian in Morocco. *Geologica Belgica*, 14, 193–202.
- 1350 64. VAN VIERSEN, A.P. and PRESCHEER, H. 2014. “Devil horned” *Cyphaspis* (Trilobita, Otariioninae):
1351 examples from the Middle Devonian of the Ardennes (Belgium), Eifel (Germany) and Ma’der (Morocco).
1352 *Geologica Belgica*, 17, 268–275.
- 1353 65. VAN VIERSEN, A.P., HOLLAND, D. and KOPPKA, J. 2017. The phacopine trilobite genera *Morocops*
1354 Basse, 2006 and *Adrisiops* gen. nov. from the Devonian of Morocco. *Bulletin of Geosciences*, 92, 13–30.