

Simulation-based performances assessment of FMC-TFM array imaging techniques

Pierre Calmon, Florian Le Bourdais, Xavier Artusi, Roberto Miorelli,

Stéphane Leberre

► To cite this version:

Pierre Calmon, Florian Le Bourdais, Xavier Artusi, Roberto Miorelli, Stéphane Leberre. Simulationbased performances assessment of FMC-TFM array imaging techniques. ECNDT 2018 - 12th European Conference on Non-Destructive Testing, Jun 2018, Göteborg, Sweden. hal-04411666

HAL Id: hal-04411666 https://hal.science/hal-04411666

Submitted on 23 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Simulation-based performances assessment of FMC-TFM array imaging techniques

P. Calmon¹, F. Le Bourdais¹, X. Artusi¹, R. Miorelli¹, S Leberre¹

¹CEA, LIST, France, pierre.calmon@cea.fr

Abstract

Advanced array imaging techniques such as FMC-TFM and derived methods have attracted a great deal of interest and are more and more used in the industry since they allow improved performances in a wide range of situations. However, questions often arise about the quantification of these performances, the relative advantages of different techniques or set-ups, and the sensitivity to uncontrolled parameters. Thus it is of interest to optimize the technique by reducing the number of ultrasonic salvos while maintaining a good level of performances. To assess the performance of array imaging techniques is not a trivial task since it requires to account for variability of influent parameters. In this communication we demonstrate the potential of simulation tool to fulfil these needs. The present study is based on the use of versatile simulation tools implemented in the CIVA platform which allow to predict results obtained when applying a given processing algorithm to a given acquisition scheme (defined by the subset of simultaneously/successively emitting/receiving elements). Comparing different processing techniques and different acquisition schemes becomes possible. Moreover, statistical analysis of results issued from meta-models derived from CIVA allow to evaluate the sensitivity of the technique to various influent parameters. Probability of detection curves can be extracted and the sizing accuracy can be quantified and compared.

Simulation of TFM images

Advanced array imaging techniques such as FMC-TFM [1] and derived methods [2] have attracted a lot of industrial interest in recent years following the increase in computational power and hence the ability to use these methods during online non-destructive evaluation inside acquisition systems [3], [4]. As these imaging techniques become more common, their reliability assessment becomes relevant. In this paper, we propose to show how simulation can be useful for this purpose. We illustrate our approach on a representative example shown in Figure 1. Such an inspection typically results in several images corresponding to different ultrasonic propagation paths. Since current models accurately reproduce experimental images (see also Figure 1), it becomes possible to use their predictions to perform sensitivity analysis and performance demonstration.



Figure 1: (left) Set-up of the phased array in FMC-TFM imaging mode. (right) Comparison of simulated and experimental imaging results for L-L(a)(b) and TT-T(c)(d) reconstruction modes.

Sensivity analysis

Sensivity analysis is an efficient approach to optimize and assess the reliability of NDE techniques. In the case of the TFM images the aleatory parameters considered the effective velocity of the L and T waves in the material, as well as flaw parameters such as the depth and orientation of the flaw. Imaging algorithms and settings (such as the grid mesh) can also be taken into account. The use of numerical data base and surrogate models allow "real time" visualization of the effect of a variation in influential parameters. Sensivity analysis can be performed on the maximum amplitude of the TFM images using Sobol analysis over the identified aleatory parameters. One typical intermediate simulation result is shown Figure 2.



Figure 2. Simulated TFM images obtained a crack when taking into account the signal to noise ratio as well as an uncertainty on the velocity used for reconstruction of the TFM image. These images are used to compute the sensivity analysis indicators.

Creative Commons CC-BY-NC licence https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Accuracy and reliability assessment

Another aspect of the uncertainty associated to FMC-TFM image inspections is the error introduced when sizing a flaw from an image. A numerical database can be used to estimate the accuracy of the defect sizing from the image. It requires to model and automatically reproduce the sizing achieved by the operator and define a metric. Figure 3 illustrates this application on simulated diffraction echoes imaged with a FMC-TFM method. In that case, the metric we chose to use is the probability of accuracy such that Probability of accuracy(x) = $p[||X_{Meas} - X_{True}|| \le x]$, where X_{Meas} is the estimated size and X_{True} the true one.



Figure 3. Illustration of the automated defect size estimation procedure: the two tip diffraction echoes of a crack are randomly placed within a -2 dB isocontour with respect to the local maximum value. This uncertainty is studied as a function of the TFM image grid size. For each grid step, a different curve is obtained by comparing the error of the estimation with a threshold.

Such an analysis complements the more usual reliability assessment, which is the estimation of the probability of detection (POD) [5] through the MAPOD approach. Figure 4 shows one example of a POD curve obtained by using a threshold defined from a calibration. The probability of false alarm can also be addressed by introducing noise in the FMC data.



Figure 4. Simulated POD curve obtained when taking into account the uncertainty on the velocity used for reconstruction of the TFM image. The hit-miss POD is obtained by thresholding the TFM image's maximum value.

Conclusions

The work presented in this paper deals with the ability of simulation to address uncertainty analysis in the context of TFM and derived imaging techniques. It proposes three different contributions: sensivity analysis, sizing accuracy assessment and POD estimation on TFM images.

References

[1] C. Holmes, B. W. Drinkwater, and P. D. Wilcox, "Post-processing of the full matrix of ultrasonic transmit–receive array data for non-destructive evaluation," *NDT E Int.*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 701–711, Dec. 2005.

[2] L. Le Jeune, S. Robert, E. Lopez Villaverde, and C. Prada, "Plane Wave Imaging for ultrasonic non-destructive testing: Generalization to multimodal imaging," *Ultrasonics*, vol. 64, pp. 128–138, Jan. 2016.

[3] M. Njiki, A. Elouardi, S. Bouaziz, O. Casula, and O. Roy, "A real-time implementation of the Total Focusing Method for rapid and precise diagnostic in non destructive evaluation," in 2013 IEEE 24th International Conference on Application-Specific Systems, Architectures and Processors, 2013, pp. 245–248.

[4] L. LE BER, G. BENOIST, and P. DAINELLI, "Corrosion detection and measurement improvement using advanced ultrasonic tools."

[5] M. HDBK, "Nondestructive Evaluation System Reliability Assessment," Dep. Def. Handb., vol. 7, 2009.