

Commuting and Acceptance of Worksite Physical Activity Opportunities: Insights From a French University Hospital

Baptiste da Silva, Aymery Constant, Marie Briere, Céline Schnebelen

▶ To cite this version:

Baptiste da Silva, Aymery Constant, Marie Briere, Céline Schnebelen. Commuting and Acceptance of Worksite Physical Activity Opportunities: Insights From a French University Hospital. Workplace Health & Safety, 2023, Workplace Health and Safety, 10.1177/21650799231217308. hal-04411533

HAL Id: hal-04411533

https://hal.science/hal-04411533

Submitted on 29 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Commuting and Acceptance of worksite physical activity opportunities:

Insights from a French University Hospital

Baptiste Da Silva ¹, Aymery Constant ¹, Marie Brière ³, Celine Schnebelen ³

¹ EHESP School of Public Health.

² Institut NuMeCan, INRAE 1341, INSERM 1241, Université de Rennes 1.

³ Angers University Hospital.

Abstract

Background: Recent research suggests a need for worksite programs that promote structured physical activity (PA) among hospital staff. The objectives of this study were to assess the hospital employees' acceptance of PA opportunities that could be implemented at the worksite, and the association between worksite PA and commuting and other socio-cognitive factors. Method: Acceptance of seven PA opportunities from the WHO guidelines were correlated with commuting and socio-cognitive factors though an on-line survey conducted among the workforce of the University Hospital of Angers, France (N = 6874) between April 25 and May 22, 2022. Results: Only three PA opportunities in the seven proposed reached high approval rates among at least 50% of the 1427 participants, namely provide cycle facilities onsite, create a fitness room onsite; and establish partnerships with private associations or sports club, albeit rates decreased significantly with commuting distance for the first and the last proposals. The number of approved PA opportunities were positively related to the perceived negative influence of commuting on well-being, and self-rated concerns with current PA level. Worksite PAwas negatively related to older age, long commuting, and flexible rest days. Conclusion: Based on these results, we recommend raising PA awareness and self-efficacy before implementing an easily accessible fitness center for employees. Providing cycle facilities and a more walkable environment in the hospital setting while encouraging active travelling between

home and work for short commuters could additionally increase the level of physical activity on an equitable and sustainable basis.

Background

Physical inactivity have been widely reported in healthcare workers (Alyafei et al., 2023; Younis et al., 2023). High levels of occupational stress resulting from heavy workloads, extended working hours, and high levels of time pressure tend to jeopardize healthy lifestyle behaviors and increase the risk of musculoskeletal conditions (Zhang et al., 2020). Barriers to health-promoting self-care in registered nurses include lack of time/overwork, lack of resources/facilities, fatigue, outside commitments, supportive versus unsupportive individuals, and positive versus negative role models (Ross et al., 2019). These problems may be aggravated in employees living far away from work, as lengthy commuting time increases the risk of physical inactivity and sleep problems if individuals have longer than normal weekly working hours (Halonen et al., 2020).

In response to these problems, the World Health Organization (WHO) initiated the Health Promoting Hospitals Project, that aims to reorient hospitals into health promoting environments, with active community participation (Pelikan et al., 2001). More recently, the Dr. Lorna Breen Health Care Provider Protection Act (H.R.1667) has been introduced and passed by the House and Senate to reduce behavioral health conditions among healthcare professionals in the United States ("Dr. Lorna Breen Health Care Provider Protection Act," 2022). Several research findings have emphasized the need for worksite health promotion programs (WHPP) to foster physical activity (PA) among employees (Pronk, 2021; Shimo et al., 2021). According to the WHO Regional Office for Europe, PA opportunities that have the

potential to transform the work environment to foster active lifestyles include: creating onsite fitness facilities, giving easy access to walking/running routes, offering reduced-fee fitness or a recreation center memberships to employees; and promoting participation to sporting events (WHO, 2000). Apart from increased PA and improved physical fitness (Henriques-Neto et al., 2020), walking or cycling to work is perceived as more relaxing and exciting than commuting by car or public transport, which is reported as being more stressful and boring (Chatterjee et al., 2020). Fostering active commuting is therefore increasingly recommended as well (Herman & Larouche, 2021).

One of the key motivations for WHPP is the potential to reach a high percentage of people and to modify the health of employees who would be unlikely to participate in preventive health behaviors (Hogsbro et al., 2018), But participation levels are often limited (Lier et al., 2019; Tsai et al., 2019). Employees may differ in their personal profile, beliefs about health, and awareness of health behaviors, all of which shape their acceptance of the intervention, that in turn may affect participation (CDC, 2018; Kaveh et al., 2020; Rossi et al., 2022). And the degree to which an intervention is appraised as easy to apply and adhere to in daily life is also pivotal (Safi et al., 2022; Sekhon et al., 2017). For instance, distance between home and workplace constitutes a barrier not only to active commuting (Aranda-Balboa et al., 2021) but also to others health behaviors, as many nurses spoke of commutes in excess of one hour that, coupled with shifts, left little time for exercising (Ross et al., 2019; Safi et al., 2022). In order to design and implement effective WHPP, it is imperative beforehand to use a multifaceted approach taking into account the complex factors influencing employees' acceptance of PA opportunities.

Amid the deleterious impact of the COVID-19 crisis had on employees' health and well-being, the Angers University Hospital (AUH) authorities proposed the introduction of a WHPP designed to foster employees' healthy lifestyles including (but not limited to) PA. The proposed plan sets out a considerable agenda for action that will have to meet with the approval and

receive the majority support of the hospital staff. To date, however, few studies have examined employees' acceptance of PA opportunities in hospitals. The objectives of this study were to assess the hospital employees' acceptance of PA opportunities that could be implemented at the worksite, and its associations with commuting and socio-cognitive factors.

Methods

Participants and procedures

To meet the study's objectives, we conducted a cross sectional study among the Angers hospital staff to assess their opinions on several PA opportunities that could be implemented at the worksite. An on-line survey was conducted between April 25 and May 22, 2022 at the University Hospital of Angers (AUH), in France. This 1729-bed University Hospital with a clinical and non-clinical workforce of 6874 provides health care to nearly 200,000 patients a year. The 89-acres main campus is located within cycling distance of the center of town and comprises facilities devoted to health care, administration, research and logistics. The survey was advertised through meetings in all AUH departments, followed by email dissemination, posters, and word of mouth. Written study information was provided to the participants and their electronic consent obtained prior to commencement. The survey was anonymous. The participants were both clinical staff, including medical, nursing, and allied health, and non-clinical staff including administrative, management, logistics and research personnel. All AUH staff working during this time and willing to complete the survey met the inclusion criteria.

Measurements

Participants were presented with seven PA opportunities from the WHO guidelines (WHO, 2000), which might reasonably be implemented at AUH in the coming years: 1) Provide cycle facilities (additional garages, pumps, etc.); 2) Establish partnerships with associations or sports clubs; 3) Create a fitness room at worksite; 4) Establish partnerships with fitness rooms; 5)

Create a pedestrian health trail at worksite; 6) Organize and/or foster participation in sporting events and 7) Communicate on staff achieving significant sports performance in sport events. The participants were asked to rate how much they agree or disagree with each proposed opportunity on a Likert-type response scale. The response options were ranked from 1 to 6, with the following description: strongly disagree=1, disagree =2; rather disagree=3; rather agree=4; agree=5; strongly agree= 6. In order to obtain conservative estimates, we considered that respondent approved a given measure if they responded, "agree" or "strongly agree" to the question. To facilitate the treatment of the data, approvals obtained from each measure were added to generate a cumulative score ranging from 0 to 7 that enabled the research team to assess the number of approved PA opportunities.

Commuting characteristics: Commuting distance (in kilometers) and perceived influence of commuting on well-being (none, positive, negative) were assessed, together with active commuting. The term "active commuting" refered to those modes of travel to and from work, which involved PA (walking and cycling). According to this definition, active commuting can involve commuting solely by walking or cycling. Walking or cycling in combination with passive commuting (for example a combination of car with walking, or of train with cycling) is considered as "mixed" commuting. The term "short commuters" referred to participants with a commuting distance ≤ 5 kms, while others are labelled "long commuters" in this study.

Socio-cognitive factors: Participants self-rated their health by answering the question: "In general, how would you rate your health?" and their physical condition by answering: "In general, how would you rate your physical condition?". The response options were ranked from 1 to 6, with the following description: Very poor =1, poor = 2; rather poor = 3; rather good = 4; good = 5; very good = 6. They also self-rated their PA frequency (1 = never; 2 = sometimes; 3 = often; 4 = every day), and how much they were concerned with their current PA level by answering the following question: "In general, how much are you concerned with your level of

PA ?" The response options were ranked from 1 to 6, with the following description: not concerned at all = 1, unconcerned = 2; unconcerned = 3; rather concerned = 4; concerned = 5; very concerned = 6. Sociodemographic variables such as age in years (18-34; 35-44; 45-54; 55 and older), gender (male/female), occupational status (medical; paramedical; non-clinical staff), and professional constraints such as having duty periods (e.g. on duty doctors attending emergency cases outside regular work schedule) and flexible rest days (i.e. having to work on Sunday and holidays at least 10 days per year) were also collected.

Statistical analyses

Categorical data were expressed as numbers and percentages, whereas ordinal data were expressed as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs). The Chi-square test was used to compare categorical data at the baseline. The Kuder-Richardson Formula and the Cronbach alpha test were used to assess the reliability of the cumulative score assessing the number of approved PA opportunities (Foster, 2021). As this study's outcome was a count variable, we used a generalized Poisson regression model to estimate the strength of the association between the number of approved PA opportunities and each covariate (univariate analysis). To determine variables independently associated with the outcome, all variables that demonstrated an association with p < .05 in the univariate analysis were considered as candidate variables in the multivariate model. The goodness of fit of the multivariate model was assessed using the Value/df for the Deviance statistics. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical package, version 19 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, United States).

The research protocol met the General Data Protection Regulation criteria and was approved by the hospital ethical board (Comité d'éthique du CHU d'Angers; decision # 2022-082).

Results

Participants

Among the 6874 persons working at the Angers University Hospital, 1425 completed the survey, corresponding to a participation rate of 20.7%. The study sample comprised 43.2% of non-clinical staff (administration, management, technical services...) and 22.7% of medical personnel while they account for 18.1 % and 34.9 % of the workforce, respectively. Conversely, paramedical staff accounted for 34.0% of the study sample while representing 47.0% of the workforce. Based on these estimates, weights were applied for the weighted study sample matches the population distribution (Weighted N = 1427).

The majority of the participants (Table 1) were women (80.3%), aged between 18 and 44 years (63.7%) and were long (69.3%) and passive commuters (61.9. A majority of participants reported a positive influence of commuting on well-being (52.8%), as well as exercising often (37.1%) or almost every day (17.3%). On average, participants rated their health and their physical condition as "rather good" (medians=4, IQR [4-5]), and reported being "rather concerned" with their current level of PA (median=4, IQR [4-5]). Only 31.2% of participants had duty periods (weighted N= 445) and 34.6% of them had flexible rest days (weighted N= 494).

Three in seven proposed PA opportunities reached high approval rates among at least 50% (*n* = 714)of participants (Table 2), with highest ratings for proposed #1 (Provide cycle facilities onsite), proposed #2 (Create a fitness center onsite) and proposed #3 (Establish partnerships with associations or sports club). The proposed #4 (Establish partnerships with private fitness rooms) reached high approval rate in nearly half of participants, while proposed #5 (Creating a pedestrian health trail onsite), proposed #6 (Organizing and/or foster participation to sporting events) and proposed #7 (Communicate on staff achieving significant sports performance)

reached approval rates in a minority of participants. However, rates decreased significantly (p<.001) according to commuting distance for proposed #1, proposed # 2, proposed #4 and proposed #6. Approvals for each PA opportunity were added to generate a cumulative acceptance score ranging from 0 to 7, with a satisfactory reliability coefficient according to the Kuder-Richardson Formula and the Cronbach alpha test (α =0.73). On average, participants approved 3 in 7 proposed PA opportunities (median=3, IQR [1-5]).

In the univariate analysis (Table 3, model 1), the number of approved PA opportunities was positively related to active communing, to PA frequency, to perceived commuting influence on well-being (either positively or negatively), and to self-rated health, physical condition and concern with current PA level. It was negatively related to older age, long commuting, and flexible rest days. In the multivariate analysis (Table 3, model 2), the number of approved PA opportunities was positively related to the perceived negative influence of commuting on well-being, and self-rated concerns with current PA level. It was negatively related to older age, long commuting, and flexible rest days.

Discussion

Only three PA opportunities in the seven proposed reached a high approval rates among at least 50% of participants, namely provide cycle facilities onsite, create a fitness room onsite; and establish partnerships with private associations or sports club, albeit rates decreased significantly with commuting distance for the first and the last proposed PA opportunities. The number of approved PA opportunities varied according to age, commuting and socio-cognitive factors.

Data were collected after the third lockdown in France, in a period when COVID-19 cases and deaths were minimal. However, the pandemic jeopardized healthy lifestyles in whole segments of the population (Constant et al., 2020), and had a detrimental impact on hospital workers mental health (Fournier et al., 2022). In such context, the implementation of a WHPP should have been of interest for the entire AUH workforce. But only 20% of employees responded to the survey, with paramedical staff being particularly underrepresented. Previous studies highlighted that the low level of nurses' involvement in health policy is common, because most participants, beside their roles in workplace, had family obligations making them to allocate little time for health policies activities. Lack of mentoring by nursing leaders could also negatively affect their involvement in policies development (Hajizadeh et al., 2021), highlighting the need for WHPP to gain support from nurse leaders and intermediate level management. Conversely, the administration/management staff participated massively in the study, as these employees generally work in a sitting position for much of the day, putting them at risk of musculoskeletal conditions (Putsa et al., 2022). Of note, these participation rates by occupation are consistent with other finding (Chiou et al., 2014).

Most participants were passive and long commuters yet reported positive or no influence of commuting on well-being. Providing cycling facilities onsite was nevertheless the most popular proposed PA opportunity. While commuting distance constitutes an important barrier to

cycling, most long commuters still approved this measure. One possible explanation is that increasing the number of cyclists coming to work could reduce traffic jam around and within the hospital setting (Hamilton & Wichman, 2018), and therefore benefit long commuters coming by car. Participants were for the most part physically active but self-rated their health and physical condition as "average". They could therefore benefit from easy access to PA facilities, which can lower perceptions of inadequate time as a constraint to participation (Safi et al., 2022). In this study, however, partnerships with associations, sports clubs or private fitness room were less popular among long commuters, as these structures could be located far from living residences. Conversely, most employees approved a fitness center onsite, with similar rates among short and long commuters. A qualitative study indicated that fitness centers are described as easily accessible and a comfortable place for PA, and some female participants emphasized the feeling of safety compared to outdoor activity (Riseth et al., 2019). More walkable environments at the hospital could however be relevant to help employees be active through recreational walking (Marquet et al., 2020) and could benefit to patients and visitors from all social backgrounds (Christie et al., 2022). Only a sizeable minority of participants approved this PA opportunity, but with similar rates among short and long commuters. Finally, involving employees in team sport events and commuting for sport performances were the least popular proposed PA opportunity, probably because of the high proportion of women among participants. For instances, a study conducted in adults showed that PA opportunities for women may be more appealing if they are for women only, supervised, and done at a fixed time, while men are attracted to PA opportunities that have a degree of competition, are vigorous, skillbased, and outdoors (van Uffelen et al., 2017). In this study, ancillary analyses showed that the approval rate of team sport events decreased with age (OR=0.82 [0.73 – 0.93]) and was lower in women as compared to men (OR=0.60 [0.46 - 0.79]). Considering the high proportion of

women in the healthcare sector (Eurostat, 2021), taking into account their expectations in the design of WHPP seems pivotal to optimize participation.

In multivariate analysis, the number of approved PA opportunities was higher in participants concerned with their current level of PA, indicating that acceptance is higher among employees who were already aware of physical activity (Martins et al., 2021). The first step of the WHPP should therefore be to make people aware of the PA benefits, for instance in terms of quality of life, physical function and independence (Lechner et al., 2006). Expectedly, the number of approved PA opportunities was lower in long commuters, but also in those aged 54 years of age or older, and with flexible rest days. Increased middle management support for engaging in PA and having flexibility during working days could therefore possibly increase motivation for PA (Kaveh et al., 2020; Safi et al., 2022). Other indicators of occupational constraints (occupation status; duty periods) were unrelated to acceptance rates.

The results of this study must be viewed in light of its main limitations. Firstly, the cross-sectional design does not allow causal inferences about relationships between variables to be determined. Secondly, time limits and psychological variables such as lack of confidence in being active, intrinsic motivation, and reasons for practice such as enjoyment, challenge, and affiliation were not assessed. Finally, a low proportion of the paramedical staff answered the survey, which may limit the generalizability of our results. The large size of our cohort and the inclusion of diverse professions nevertheless have offered an interesting opportunity to assess the acceptance of WHPP and select beforehand the most appropriate PA opportunities to be implemented.

Implications for Occupational Health

Changes in social and physical worksite environment designed to foster active lifestyle among hospital staff should gain support from the entire workforce, especially long commuters, aged employees, and women. Based on these results, we recommend raising PA awareness and self-efficacy before implementing an easily accessible fitness center for employees. Providing cycle facilities and a more walkable environment in the hospital setting while encouraging active travelling between home and work for short commuters could additionally increase the level of physical activity on an equitable and sustainable basis.

Applying Research to Occupational Health Practice

While initiatives such as The Health Promoting Hospitals Project and the Dr. Lorna Breen Health Care Provider Protection Act encourage nurses and other healthcare providers to take care of their body, programs promoting physical activity (PA) at hospital must meet with the approval and receive the support of the majority of employees to foster participation. Considering the employee perceptions, sociodemographic and commuting characteristics allowed the selection of a limited number of PA opportunities to be implemented, namely provide cycle facilities onsite, create a fitness room onsite, and establish partnerships with private associations or sports club, albeit approval rates decreased significantly with commuting distance for the first and the last proposed PA opportunities. The number of approved PA opportunities was higher in participants concerned with their current level of PA, indicating that acceptance is higher among employees that were already aware of physical activity, putting others at risk of non-participation. The first steps of the worksite programs should therefore be to make people aware of the PA benefits, increase self-efficacy and middle management support for engaging in PA.

References

- Alyafei, M., Alchawa, M., Farooq, A., Selim, N., & Bougmiza, I. (2023). Physical Activity among Primary Health Care Physicians and Its Impact on Counseling Practices. *Biomed Hub*, 8(1), 31-41. https://doi.org/10.1159/000530085
- Aranda-Balboa, M. J., Chillón, P., Saucedo-Araujo, R. G., Molina-García, J., & Huertas-Delgado, F. J. (2021). Children and Parental Barriers to Active Commuting to School:

 A Comparison Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 18(5).

 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052504
- CDC. (2018). Engaging Employees in Their Health and Wellness.
- Chatterjee, K., Chng, S., Clark, B., Davis, A., De Vos, J., Ettema, D., . . . Reardon, L. (2020).

 Commuting and wellbeing: a critical overview of the literature with implications for policy and future research. *Transport Reviews*, 40(1), 5-34. https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2019.1649317
- Chiou, S. T., Chiang, J. H., Huang, N., & Chien, L. Y. (2014). Health behaviors and participation in health promotion activities among hospital staff: which occupational group performs better? *BMC Health Serv Res*, *14*, 474. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-14-474
- Christie, C. D., Friedenreich, C. M., Vena, J. E., Turley, L., & McCormack, G. R. (2022). Cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between the built environment and walking: effect modification by socioeconomic status. *BMC Public Health*, 22(1), 1233. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13611-0
- Constant, A., Conserve, D. F., Gallopel-Morvan, K., & Raude, J. (2020). Socio-Cognitive Factors Associated With Lifestyle Changes in Response to the COVID-19 Epidemic in

- the General Population: Results From a Cross-Sectional Study in France. *Front Psychol*, 11, 579460. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.579460
- Dr. Lorna Breen Health Care Provider Protection Act, (2022). https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1667
- Eurostat. (2021). *Majority of health jobs held by women*. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/fr/web/products-eurostat-news/-/edn-20210308-1
- Foster, R. C. (2021). KR20 and KR21 for Some Nondichotomous Data (It's Not Just Cronbach's Alpha). *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 81(6), 1172-1202. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164421992535
- Fournier, A., Laurent, A., Lheureux, F., Ribeiro-Marthoud, M. A., Ecarnot, F., Binquet, C., & Quenot, J. P. (2022). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of professionals in 77 hospitals in France. *PLoS One*, *17*(2), e0263666. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263666
- Hajizadeh, A., Zamanzadeh, V., Kakemam, E., Bahreini, R., & Khodayari-Zarnaq, R. (2021).

 Factors influencing nurses participation in the health policy-making process: a systematic review. *BMC Nurs*, 20(1), 128. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00648-6
- Halonen, J. I., Pulakka, A., Vahtera, J., Pentti, J., Laström, H., Stenholm, S., & Hanson, L. M.
 (2020). Commuting time to work and behaviour-related health: a fixed-effect analysis.
 Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 77(2), 77. https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2019-106173
- Hamilton, T. L., & Wichman, C. J. (2018). Bicycle infrastructure and traffic congestion:
 Evidence from DC's Capital Bikeshare. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 87, 72-93. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2017.03.007

- Henriques-Neto, D., Peralta, M., Garradas, S., Pelegrini, A., Pinto, A. A., Sánchez-Miguel, P.
 A., & Marques, A. (2020). Active Commuting and Physical Fitness: A Systematic Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 17(8).
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17082721
- Herman, K. M., & Larouche, R. (2021). Active commuting to work or school: Associations with subjective well-being and work-life balance. *Journal of Transport & Health*, 22, 101118. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2021.101118
- Hogsbro, C., Davidsen, M., & Sorensen, J. (2018). Long-term sickness absence from work due to physical inactivity: A registry-based study. *Scand J Public Health*, *46*(3), 306-313. https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494817751328
- Kaveh, M. H., Layeghiasl, M., Nazari, M., Ghahremani, L., & Karimi, M. (2020). What Are the Determinants of a Workplace Health Promotion? Application of a Social Marketing Model in Identifying Determinants of Physical Activity in the Workplace (a Qualitative Study). Front Public Health, 8, 614631. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.614631
- Lechner, L., Bolman, C., & Van Dijke, M. (2006). Factors related to misperception of physical activity in The Netherlands and implications for health promotion programmes. *Health Promotion International*, 21(2), 104-112. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dal011
- Lier, L. M., Breuer, C., & Dallmeyer, S. (2019). Organizational-level determinants of participation in workplace health promotion programs: a cross-company study. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1), 268. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6578-7
- Marquet, O., Floyd, M. F., James, P., Glanz, K., Jennings, V., Jankowska, M. M., . . . Hipp, J. A. (2020). Associations Between Worksite Walkability, Greenness, and Physical Activity Around Work. *Environment and Behavior*, 52(2), 139-163. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916518797165

- Martins, L. C. G., Lopes, M. V. O., Diniz, C. M., & Guedes, N. G. (2021). The factors related to a sedentary lifestyle: A meta-analysis review. *J Adv Nurs*, 77(3), 1188-1205. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14669
- Pelikan, J. M., Krajic, K., & Dietscher, C. (2001). The health promoting hospital (HPH): concept and development. *Patient Educ Couns*, 45(4), 239-243. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0738-3991(01)00187-2
- Pronk, N. P. (2021). Implementing movement at the workplace: Approaches to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary behavior in the context of work. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*, *64*, 17-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2020.10.004
- Putsa, B., Jalayondeja, W., Mekhora, K., Bhuanantanondh, P., & Jalayondeja, C. (2022). Factors associated with reduced risk of musculoskeletal disorders among office workers: a cross-sectional study 2017 to 2020. *BMC Public Health*, 22(1), 1503. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13940-0
- Riseth, L., Nost, T. H., Nilsen, T. I. L., & Steinsbekk, A. (2019). Long-term members' use of fitness centers: a qualitative study. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil*, 11, 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13102-019-0114-z
- Ross, A., Touchton-Leonard, K., Perez, A., Wehrlen, L., Kazmi, N., & Gibbons, S. (2019).

 Factors That Influence Health-Promoting Self-care in Registered Nurses: Barriers and Facilitators. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci*, 42(4), 358-373.

 https://doi.org/10.1097/ans.00000000000000274
- Rossi, P., Miele, F., & Piras, E. M. (2022). The co-production of a workplace health promotion program: expected benefits, contested boundaries. *Soc Theory Health*, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41285-022-00186-4
- Safi, A., Cole, M., Kelly, A. L., Zariwala, M. G., & Walker, N. C. (2022). Workplace Physical Activity Barriers and Facilitators: A Qualitative Study Based on Employees Physical

- Activity Levels. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 19(15). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19159442
- Sekhon, M., Cartwright, M., & Francis, J. J. (2017). Acceptability of healthcare interventions: an overview of reviews and development of a theoretical framework. *BMC Health Serv Res*, *17*(1), 88. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2031-8
- Shimo, K., Hasegawa, M., Mizutani, S., Hasegawa, T., & Ushida, T. (2021). Effects of a 12-week workplace counseling program on physical activity and low back pain: A pilot randomized controlled study. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*, 34(5), 845-852. https://doi.org/10.3233/BMR-200178
- Tsai, R., Alterman, T., Grosch, J. W., & Luckhaupt, S. E. (2019). Availability of and Participation in Workplace Health Promotion Programs by Sociodemographic, Occupation, and Work Organization Characteristics in US Workers. *Am J Health Promot*, 33(7), 1028-1038. https://doi.org/10.1177/0890117119844478
- van Uffelen, J. G. Z., Khan, A., & Burton, N. W. (2017). Gender differences in physical activity motivators and context preferences: a population-based study in people in their sixties. BMC Public Health, 17(1), 624. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4540-0
- WHO, R. O. f. E. W. E. C. f. E. a. H. (2000). Guidelines on improving the physical fitness of employees. In: Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- Younis, J., Jiang, H., Fan, Y., Wang, L., Li, Z., Jebril, M., . . . Hui, Z. (2023). Prevalence of overweight, obesity, and associated factors among healthcare workers in the Gaza Strip,
 Palestine: A cross-sectional study. Front Public Health, 11, 1129797.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1129797
- Zhang, Q., Chair, S. Y., Lo, S. H. S., Chau, J. P., Schwade, M., & Zhao, X. (2020). Association between shift work and obesity among nurses: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

 Int J Nurs Stud, 112, 103757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103757

Table 1. Participants' characteristics, weighted estimates (N = 1427)

Variables	N (weighted %)			
Female sex	1149 (80.3)			
Age in years				
55 and older	177 (12.4)			
45 - 54	342 (24.0)			
35-44	473 (33.2)			
18-34	435 (30.5)			
Professional constraints				
Periods of duty	445 (31.2)			
Flexible rest days	494 (34.6)			
Communing distance				
More than 20kms	394 (27.6)			
11-20 kms	329 (23.0)			
6-10 Kms	266 (18.6)			
5 kms or less	438 (30.7)			
Mode of commuting				
Active	244 (17.1)			
Mixed	300 (21.0)			
Passive	883 (61.9)			
Perceived influence of transport on v	vell			
Positive	753 (52.8)			
Negative	222 (15.6)			
None	451 (31.6)			
PA frequency				
Almost every day	246 (17.3)			
Often	529 (37.1)			
Sometimes	478 (33.5)			
Never/rarely	173 (12.1)			
Median value (IQR) of self-rated				
Health	4 (0.9)			
Physical condition	4 (1.0)			
Concern with PA current level	4 (1.1)			

Note: IQR= interquartile range

Table 2. Number and percentage (%) of participants with highest approval rates for each proposed PA opportunity according to commuting distance, weighted estimates (N=1427)

		All	(
			<u>≤5</u>	6-10	11-20	> 20	
#	Proposed PA opportunities	N=1427	N=438	N=266	N=329	N=394	p-value
1	Provide cycle facilities onsite (additional	66.4 (947)	360 (82.2)	188 (70.9)	198 (60.2)	201 (51.0)	<.001
2	Create a fitness center onsite	748 (52.4)	248 (56.5)	138 (51.9)	159 (48.3)	203 (51.5)	.152
3	Establish partnerships with associations or sports clubs	719 (50.4)	263 (59.9)	146 (55.1)	156 (47.4)	154 (39.1)	<.001
4	Establish partnerships with private fitness rooms	681 (47.7)	258 (58.8)	135 (50.8)	145 (44.1)	143 (36.3)	<.001
4	Create a pedestrian health trail onsite	584 (40.9)	175 (39.9)	96 (36.1)	136 (41.3)	177 (44.9)	.144
6	Organize and/or participate in sporting events	385 (27.0)	143 (32.6)	81 (30.5)	66 (20.1)	95 (24.1)	<.001
7	Communicate on staff achieving significant sports performance	361 (25.3)	125 (28.5)	67 (25.2)	72 (21.9)	97 (24.6)	.207

Table 3: Rates Ratios (RR) and Confidence intervals (95% CI) of the number of approved PA opportunities, weighted estimates

Variables	Univariate RR [95% CI]		Multivariate RR [95%
Female sex	0.97 [0.91 – 1.04]		('11
Age			
55 and older	0.88 [0.80 -0.99]		0.87 [0.78 - 0.96]
45 - 54	0.91 [0.84 - 0.99]		0.92 [0.85 - 1.00]
35-44	0.92 [0.85 - 0.98]		0.93 [0.86 - 1.00]
18-34	1		
Commuting distance			
More than 20kms	0.77 [0.72 - 0.84]		0.86 [0.78 - 0.85]
11-20 kms	0.78 [0.73 - 0.86]		0.86 [0.77 - 0.95]
6-10 Kms	0.89 [0.82 - 0.96]		0.91 [0.83 - 0.99]
5 kms or less	1		1
Mode of commuting			
Active	1.25 [1.16 – 1.35]		1.01 [0.91 – 1.13]
Mixed	1.22 [1.13 – 1.31]		1.03 [0.94 – 1.12]
Passive	1		1
Perceived influence of commu	ıting on well being		
Positive	1.26 [1.18 – 1.35]		1.08[0.99-1.17]
Negative	1.15 [1.05 – 1.27]		1.14 [1.04 – 1.25]
None	1	1	1
Occupation status			
Non-clinical	1.06 [0.98 – 1.15]		
Paramedical	1.08 [0.98 – 1.15]		
Medical	1	1	1
Professional constraints			
Periods of duty	1.02 [0.96 – 1.09]		
Flexible rest days	0.93 [0.87 – 0.99]		0.92 [0.86 - 0.98]
Frequency of PA			[
Almost every day	1.56 [1.39 – 1.76]		1.08 [0.94 – 1.24]
Often	1.31 [1.17 – 1.46]		1.01 [0.90 – 1.14]
Sometimes	1.23 [1.10 – 1.38]		1.11 [0.99 – 1.25]
Never	1		1
Cognitive factors			
Self-rated health	1.09 [1.05 – 1.12]		1.04 [1.00 – 1.09]
Self-rated physical condition	1.10 [1.07 – 1.14]		1.01 [0.96 – 1.05]
Self-rated concern with PA	• •		1.19 [1.15 – 1.22]

Note: significant results are indicated in bold