

Mountain soil multitrophic networks shaped by the interplay between habitat and pedoclimatic conditions

Irene Calderón-Sanou, Marc Ohlmann, Tamara Münkemüller, Lucie Zinger, Mickael Hedde, Clément Lionnet, Camille Martinez-Almoyna, Amélie Saillard, Julien Renaud, Nicolas Le Guillarme, et al.

► To cite this version:

Irene Calderón-Sanou, Marc Ohlmann, Tamara Münkemüller, Lucie Zinger, Mickael Hedde, et al.. Mountain soil multitrophic networks shaped by the interplay between habitat and pedoclimatic conditions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 2024, 190, pp.109282. 10.1016/j.soilbio.2023.109282 . hal-04409236

HAL Id: hal-04409236 https://hal.science/hal-04409236v1

Submitted on 24 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	Mountain soil multitrophic networks shaped by the interplay between habitat and					
2	pedoclimatic conditions					
3						
4	Irene Calderón-Sanou ^{1,2*} §, Marc Ohlmann ¹ §†, Tamara Münkemüller ¹ , Lucie Zinger ^{3,4} ,					
5	Mickael Hedde ⁵ , Clément Lionnet ¹ , Camille Martinez-Almoyna ^{1,6} , Amélie Saillard ¹ , Julien					
6	Renaud ¹ , Nicolas Le Guillarme ¹ , Ludovic Gielly ¹ , Orchamp Consortium & Wilfried Thuiller ¹					
7						
8	§ Joint first co-authors					
9	†Deceased shortly before submission (17/06/2023).					
10	1. Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, LECA, F-38000 Grenoble, France					
11	2. German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig,					
12	Germany					
13	3. Institut de Biologie de l'ENS (IBENS), Département de biologie, École normale supérieure,					
14	CNRS, INSERM, Université PSL, 75005 Paris, France					
15	4. Naturalis Biodiversity Center, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands					
16	5. Eco&Sols, INRAE – IRD – CIRAD – Institut Agro, Montpellier, France					
17	6. Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LESSEM, INRAE, Grenoble, France					
18	*Corresponding author: irecalsa@gmail.com					
19						
20						
21	Number of words:					
22	-abstract: 148					
23	-main text: 5169					
24	Number of references: 92					
25	Number of figures: 5					
26	Number of tables: 1					
27						
20 29	Supplementary Information:					
20 30	Appendix 1 & 2					
31						
32						

Statement of authorship: WT, TM, MO and IC-S conceptualised the study. AS and WT led the field work, with participation of MO, CM-A, JR, CL, LG, IC-S and several members of the Orchamp consortium. LG executed eDNA extractions and all laboratory samples processing. CL and IC-S processed and cleaned the sequenced eDNA data. JR and CM-A provided the code for extracting the environmental data. IC-S, LZ, MH, CM-A, MO and NLG participated in the assignment of the taxa to trophic groups and the construction of the metaweb. IC-S and MO ran the analyses. All co-authors participated in the writing led by IC-S, MO, WT and TM.

41 Data accessibility statement: Should the manuscript be accepted, the data supporting the 42 results will be archived in an appropriate public repository (Dryad, Figshare or Hal) and the 43 data DOI will be included at the end of the article.

44

45 Acknowledgments:

This project was possible thanks to the many people that participated in the field work and in the lab procedures, including students, interns, postdoctoral fellows, field assistants, park managers and rangers. We thank Cindy Arnoldi for conducting the soil physicochemical analyses.

50 This research was funded by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) through the GlobNet & EcoNet projects (ANR-16-CE02-0009, ANR-18-CE02-0010-01), from two 51 52 'Investissement d'Avenir' grant (Idex UGA: ANR-15-IDEX-02; Montane: OSUG@2020: ANR-10-LAB-56, MIAI@Grenoble Alpes: ANR-19-P3IA-0003), the METRO Grenoble 53 54 Alpes, the Isere departement and the Office Français de la Biodiversité (OFB). Support was also received from the Lautaret Garden-UMS 3370 (Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, SAJF, 55 38000 Grenoble, France), member of AnaEE-France (ANR-11-INBS-0001AnaEE-Services, 56 Investissements d'Avenir frame) and of the LTSER Zone Atelier Alpes, a member of the 57 eLTER-Europe network. 58

60 Abstract

61

Our knowledge of the factors influencing the distribution of soil organisms is limited to specific 62 taxonomic groups. Consequently, our understanding of the drivers shaping the entire soil 63 64 multitrophic network is constrained. To address this gap, we conducted an extensive soil biodiversity monitoring program in the French Alps, using environmental DNA to obtain multi-65 taxon data from 418 soil samples. The spatial structure of resulting soil multitrophic networks 66 varied significantly between and within habitats. From forests to grasslands, we observed a 67 68 shift in the abundance of trophic groups from fungal to bacterial feeding channels, reflecting different ecosystem functioning. Furthermore, forest soil networks were more strongly 69 70 spatially structured which could only partly be explained by abiotic conditions. Grassland soil networks were more strongly driven by plant community composition and soil characteristics. 71 72 Our findings provide valuable insights into how climate and land-use changes may 73 differentially affect soil multitrophic networks in mountains. 74 75 **Keywords:** network dissimilarity, soil biodiversity, trophic interactions, environmental DNA metabarcoding, ecological filters, metaweb. 76 77

• •

78

80 1. Introduction

81

Soil biodiversity encompasses a complex network of interactions among functionally and 82 83 trophically diverse organisms, playing a vital role in supporting ecosystem functions and 84 services such as carbon sequestration, organic matter decomposition, and enhancing plant 85 performance and resistance to pests and stress (Bardgett and van der Putten, 2014; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2020). Over the past decades, our understanding of the spatial distribution of 86 87 soil taxa has improved, particularly for specific groups like bacteria (Fierer and Jackson, 2006; 88 Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2018) and fungi (Tedersoo et al., 2014; Davison et al., 2015). 89 However, our current knowledge primarily relies on a few specific taxa, while the broader 90 ecological processes shaping the whole soil communities and their organisation into interaction 91 networks remain poorly understood. This knowledge gap stem from challenges associated with 92 capturing the intricate spatial heterogeneity inherent to the soil environment (Ettema and 93 Wardle, 2002), and the methodological complexities of sampling and analysing the vast 94 diversity of the soil biota (Geisen et al., 2019). These limitations have hindered the application 95 of existing theoretical frameworks on soil biota (Thakur et al., 2020). Advancing research in this direction promises to unravel the ecological processes that structure soil biodiversity and 96 97 to predict the impacts of global change on terrestrial ecosystems (Soliveres et al., 2016; 98 Eisenhauer et al., 2021).

99

100 Gaining insights into the organization of soil communities necessitates studying spatial, 101 environmental, and biotic drivers, and this poses challenges (Decaëns, 2010; Münkemüller et 102 al., 2020; Eisenhauer et al., 2022). First, capturing the full complexity of soil systems requires 103 accounting for their inherent spatial heterogeneity from landscape to local scales (Ettema and 104 Wardle, 2002; Thakur et al., 2020). At the landscape scale, dominant habitat types, such as 105 grasslands or forests, are crucial for soil taxonomic and functional composition (Fiore-Donno 106 et al., 2020; Arribas et al., 2021; Sepp et al., 2021). Consequently, the heterogeneity between 107 habitats within a landscape can give rise to mosaic patterns of soil communities. Moreover, the 108 spatial connectivity of habitats and the varying dispersal capacities of soil organisms can 109 influence the local composition and structure of soil communities (Arribas et al., 2021). But 110 even at the local scale of a few meters, variable abiotic conditions, including microclimate and 111 soil physico-chemical properties, along with stochastic processes, can lead to pronounced differences in soil communities (Ramirez et al., 2014; O'Brien et al., 2016; Zinger et al., 2019). 112 113 The structure of the vegetation within an habitat or its taxonomy or functional composition can also affect the abundance and diversity of different soil taxa or trophic groups (Ganault et al., 2021; Noguerales et al., 2021; Calderón-Sanou et al., 2022). Effectively disentangling the effects of spatial, environmental and biotic processes necessitates a sampling design that encompasses multiple spatial scales, ranging from the heterogeneity between habitats to smallscale soil variations.

119

Second, comprehensive sampling and cross-taxa analysis are required to capture the high 120 121 diversity in soils. Recent advancements in monitoring techniques, such as environmental DNA 122 metabarcoding (eDNA) (Taberlet et al., 2012), offer cost-effective means to obtain extensive 123 data on soil biota at large spatial extent (Taberlet et al., 2018; Geisen et al., 2019). To more 124 concisely present the different taxa they can be classified into trophic groups that share similar 125 resources or prey (Eltonian niche; Elton 1927), e.g. fungivorous nematodes or photoautotrophic 126 bacteria (Louca et al., 2016; Potapov et al., 2022). To better comprehend the complex 127 interactions, we can construct multitrophic networks that consider multiple trophic groups 128 (nodes) and their linkages across trophic levels (links) (Thompson et al., 2012; Gravel et al., 129 2019). However, constructing multitrophic networks from large eDNA datasets is not without 130 challenges. It entails handling and classifying thousands of sequences into trophic groups, and 131 subsequently linking these groups based on known interactions. Obstacles include the 132 resolution limitations of DNA markers for species-level assignment, the incompleteness of 133 sequence reference databases, inconsistent terminology in trophic ecology across soil taxa 134 (Hedde et al., 2022), and the sparse trophic information available for soil organisms in literature 135 and repositories (but see Potapov et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the application of artificial intelligence tools now offers the potential for automating classification tasks (Compson et al., 136 137 2018; Le Guillarme and Thuiller, 2023).

138

139 Third, if we are to understand soil biodiversity, not as a group of independent taxa, but rather 140 as multitrophic networks, we need the appropriate methods and frameworks to investigate how 141 ecological processes act on soil trophic groups and their interactions. The mono-trophic 142 community assembly framework (Keddy, 1992; Thuiller et al., 2013) can be extended to 143 multitrophic networks using the metaweb concept (Dunne, 2006). At the regional scale, the 144 metaweb represents the potential ecological network encompassing all species (or trophic 145 groups) from the regional pool and their potential interactions (Fig. 1c). At the local scale, realised local networks emerge as subsets of the metaweb due to ecological filtering of species 146 147 (Bauer et al., 2022). This filtering process can be influenced by spatial filters like landscape 148 barriers, limiting dispersal (Peay et al., 2010; Gobbi et al., 2022; Blasbichler et al., 2023), abiotic factors filtering out species lacking physiological adaptations (Maaß et al., 2015; 149 Glassman et al., 2017; Steinwandter and Seeber, 2023), and biotic interactions such as 150 mutualisms, herbivory and predation (Vályi et al., 2016). By using the metaweb concept, we 151 152 can evaluate the effects of ecological filters not only on the taxonomic composition of the local 153 community but also on its network structure (i.e. composition of interactions). Important biotic interactions in the soil are via plant-soil feedbacks, where the taxonomic or functional 154 155 composition of plant communities influences soil multitrophic networks and vice-versa 156 (Kardol and De Long, 2018; Kardol et al., 2018). Moreover, trophic interactions within the 157 network can elucidate its local structure and composition (Thakur and Geisen, 2019). We 158 anticipate that interactions between taxa or groups within the network should result in co-159 variation across environmental and spatial gradients. For instance, environments characterised 160 by decomposer communities dominated by bacteria (rather than fungi) should promote the 161 dominance of bacteria consumers and related higher-level consumers within the multitrophic 162 network (Moore and de Ruiter, 1991), see e.g. Martinez-Almoyna et al. 2022. Null models can 163 be used to test the significance of such co-variation in the assembly of ecological communities 164 (Caruso et al., 2022).

165

166 Here, we analysed the spatial variation in soil multitrophic network structure, encompassing 167 trophic group and interaction composition. We used data from all over the French Alps 168 including lowland forests and high-elevation grassland ecosystems. To represent their spatial 169 heterogeneity, we employed a stratified and nested sampling design, consisting of 24 elevation 170 gradients with multiple plots at varying elevations (Fig. 1a). We measured soil biodiversity in 171 418 soil samples, using environmental DNA (eDNA). Using an ontology-based data integration 172 pipeline, combining multiple databases with existing knowledge on the trophic habits of soil 173 organisms (Le Guillarme and Thuiller, 2023; Le Guillarme et al., 2023), we constructed a 174 metaweb comprising 55 soil trophic groups with 383 potential trophic interactions (Fig. 1b). 175 Finally, we applied the metaweb framework to characterise the importance of ecological filters 176 on soil multitrophic networks (Fig. 1c), using a combination of network diversity indices based 177 on Hill numbers (Ohlmann et al., 2019) and associated null models. We quantified local variations in soil multitrophic network structure both between and within habitats, addressing 178 179 three specific questions: (Q1) Do different habitats differ in soil multitrophic networks? (Q2) Do trophic interactions contribute to variations in group abundances between habitats?, and, 180

181 (Q3) How do abiotic conditions, spatial factors, and plant communities shape soil multitrophic182 networks within habitats?

183

184 2. Material and Methods

185 **2.1. Study site**

The data come from the Orchamp long-term biodiversity observatory (<u>www.orchamp.osug.fr</u>, Appendix 1). It encompasses 24 elevation gradients (at the time of this study) across the French Alps sampled between 2016 and 2020 (Fig. 1a). These gradients represent diverse climatic, vegetation and soil conditions. Each gradient consists of four to nine 30 x 30 m permanent plots, spaced approximately 200 m apart in elevation. The elevation range covered by each gradient varies between 585 and 1380 m (Fig. S1). We worked with 127 plots, ranging in total from 280 m and 2780 m above sea level.

193 Habitat type (forest, grassland, shrubland) was determined on-site. Most gradients covered all 194 three types of habitats, with some exceptions where only one or two types were present (e.g. 195 grassland and shrubland in high-elevation mountains). Plant species abundances were 196 estimated at the peak of the vegetation along a linear transect traversing each plot, using the pin-point method (Jonasson, 1988). Soil sampling was performed at the end of the summer 197 198 season in three subplots (2 x 2 m) located along a separate 4 m wide transect within each plot. 199 In each subplot, we collected ten soil cores, 5 cm in diameter and \sim 15 cm in depth (excluding 200 litter), pooled together to a biological sample. Some elevation gradients (37 plots) were 201 sampled twice (i.e. in different years).

202

203 2.2. Soil biota sampling

Soil biodiversity was measured using environmental DNA (eDNA) metabarcoding from the soil samples. In the field, we extracted eDNA from a 15 g aliquot following Taberlet *et al.* (2012, 2018). The remaining soil was sieved at 2 mm and used to measure soil physicochemical properties (Table 1).

We employed six DNA markers, including two universal markers (Euka02 for eukaryote, Bact01 for bacteria) and four clade-specific markers (Fung02 for fungi, Inse01 for insect, Olig01 for oligochaeta, and Coll02 for collembola) (Taberlet et al., 2018). Details regarding the markers and molecular analyses are detailed in Appendix 2. A standardised bioinformatic pipeline was applied to curate the retrieved sequences from contaminants and errors (Calderón-Sanou et al., 2020), using the OBITools software (Boyer et al., 2016) and the 'metabaR' R package (R Core Team, 2020; Zinger et al., 2021). Sequences were clustered into Molecular

Operational Taxonomic Unit (MOTU) with SUMACLUST (Mercier et al., 2013) using a 215 clustering threshold of 97% for Euka02, Fung01 and Bacte01, 85% for Coll01, 88% for Olig01 216 and 95% for Inse01, following the recommendations in Bonin et al. (2023). Taxonomic 217 annotations were performed on MOTUs with the SILVAngs pipeline (Quast et al., 2013) 218 219 (SILVA version 138.1) for ribosomal universal markers. For clade specific markers, we used 220 the ecotag program from the OBITools, and marker-specific databases built with the ecoPCR 221 program (Ficetola et al., 2010), from the EMBL database version 136. Metazoan taxa not 222 registered in the European region were removed from the data (<1% of total reads) using the 223 GBIFfilter tool (https://github.com/nleguillarme/gbif-filter-python).

224

225 2.3. Multitrophic network construction

226 Trophic groups and classes: We assigned the retrieved taxonomically annotated MOTUs to 10 227 trophic classes including autotrophs, decomposers, detritivores, phytophages or phytoparasites, plant mutualists, bacterivores, fungivores, omnivores, predators and zooparasites. We then 228 229 refined those trophic classes by subdividing them into 55 trophic groups in total. These were 230 defined by separating phylogenetic distant groups that could have a different set of prey/predators (e.g. bacterivorous mites vs. bacterivorous nematodes) and groups differing in 231 232 their resources acquisition strategy (e.g. different types of mycorrhiza and saprotrophs). The 233 taxonomic rank used to delimitate phylogenetic distant groups comprised Bacteria, Fungi, 234 Protista, the different phyla within Metazoa, and the different classes or orders within 235 Arthropoda and Annelida. (Appendix 2).

236 To facilitate the assignment of the taxonomically annotated MOTUs to trophic classes, we first 237 built a knowledge graph integrating information about the trophic interactions and feeding 238 habits of soil-associated consumers from multiple data sources using the ontology-based data 239 integration pipeline described in Le Guillarme & Thuiller (2023). This trophic knowledge graph uses the NCBITaxon ontology and the Soil Food Web Ontology (SFWO) (Le Guillarme 240 241 et al., 2023) as global schemas for reconciling taxonomic and semantic heterogeneities between 242 the data sources. It provides a unified access to multisource trophic information across 243 taxonomic groups and trophic levels. The list of data sources and details on the assignment 244 criteria can be found in Appendix 2.

245

<u>Building the metaweb:</u> A metaweb is a potential network containing all trophic groups and
their potential interactions (Dunne, 2006). We added three basal resources to construct our
metaweb: light (or chemical energy), plants, and organic matter. Trophic links were then added

between groups based on their main feeding preferences. Therefore, plant mutualists and phytoparasites were linked with the plant resource, detritivores and decomposers were linked with the organic matter resource and autotrophs were associated with the light resource. Bacterivores were linked with all trophic groups containing bacteria, and fungivores with all trophic groups containing fungi. The trophic interactions of omnivores, predators and zooparasites, were determined through a literature review of dietary preferences of taxa representing over 90% of the read abundance of the group (Appendix 2).

256

257 Local networks: From the metaweb, the composition and structure of the local soil multitrophic 258 networks were deduced based on locally present trophic groups, i.e. local networks are 259 subgraphs of the metaweb. This assumes that co-occurring groups interact locally as defined 260 in the metaweb. We approximated local relative abundance of each trophic group using a 261 double-transformation, where first, total read counts were transformed into proportions within 262 the sample, and second, the resulting proportions were standardised by the largest proportion 263 observed across samples for each trophic group ('eDNA index' in Kelly et al. 2019). The 264 relative abundance of each trophic group varied thus between 0 (absent) to 1 (largest observed proportion), allowing to have a comparable measure across trophic groups. Abundance values 265 266 of the basal resources (light, plant, organic matter) were set to non-null (0.001) to not affect 267 diversity measurements. For trophic class abundance estimates, we summed group relative 268 abundances.

269

270 2.4. Network analyses

271 With the aim of analysing spatial variation in soil multitrophic network structure, we used beta 272 diversity metrics that account for compositional changes in the network across samples. We 273 used a set of network diversity indices based on the generalisation of Hill numbers proposed 274 by Ohlmann et al. (2019). These indices allow to quantify diversity in trophic groups and 275 trophic interactions, varying the weight of the relative abundance of groups and links. Here, 276 we focussed on Shannon diversity (q=1, see Calderón-Sanou et al. 2020) but also replicated 277 the analyses using species richness (q=0) and Simpson diversity (q=2) to assess the extent to 278 which the observed changes are compositional versus structural. Since interactions in the 279 metaweb are binary (either presence or absent), we approximated the abundance of interactions 280 as the product of the relative abundances of the interacting groups. These diversity indices can 281 be further decomposed into alpha, gamma, and ß-diversity (ßT), and be used to calculate the 282 pairwise dissimilarities for both groups (BpP) and links (BpL). B-diversity (BT) quantifies the effective number of different communities, based on shared groups (BTP) or interactions (BTL),
ranging from 1 (indicating identical group or interaction abundance distribution) to the total
number of communities, when networks do not share any common group or interaction. The
diversity metrics were computed using the R package 'econetwork' (Miele et al., 2021).

287

288 To quantify changes in the spatial structure of soil multitrophic networks across habitats, we calculated pairwise dissimilarity measures for both groups (BpP) and interactions (BpL) among 289 290 all pairs of local networks. Subsequently, we used UMAP, a non-linear dimension reduction 291 algorithm, to visualize the local networks in a two-dimensional space based on their group and 292 interaction dissimilarities (McInnes et al., 2020). Given the strong structural differences 293 observed in local soil multitrophic networks between forests and grasslands (Fig. 2, S3), and 294 the high variability in network composition in shrublands due their intermediate state along the 295 elevation gradient, our analysis focused exclusively on grasslands and forests for further 296 comparison. We compared the relative abundances of trophic groups and classes between 297 forests and grasslands with a Wilcoxon test and used the 'group-TL-tsne' network layout from 298 the R package 'metanetwork' (Ohlmann et al., 2023) together with edge bundling provided by 299 'edgebundle' R package (Schoch, 2022) to represent the difference network between average 300 networks in forests vs. grasslands.

301

302 We tested the imprint of trophic interactions on community assembly with null models. Firstly, 303 we constructed an average soil multitrophic network for each habitat by using the average 304 relative abundances of trophic groups per habitat. We then calculated the ß-diversity (BT) for 305 both group and link interactions between the two habitats. Next, we built the null model. Since 306 group and interaction diversities are strongly correlated, we aimed at keeping group diversity 307 constant in the null model while modifying interaction diversity. This was achieved by 308 permuting the node labels within the metaweb. Consequently, group β-diversity remains 309 unchanged as this diversity index is invariant to label permutation. However, interaction ß-310 diversity was affected since it relies on the product of relative abundances of interacting groups. 311 If dominant interacting groups differ between the two habitats, the observed interaction β -312 diversity would be higher than expected under a random distribution of group abundances. To evaluate the significance of our findings, we conducted 3000 permutations and compared the 313 314 observed diversities with the corresponding null distribution.

316 Finally, to quantify the relative importance of environmental and spatial distances in explaining network dissimilarity within habitats (i.e. grasslands and forests), we used Generalised 317 Dissimilarity Models (GDM) (Ferrier et al., 2007). We built two GDM per habitat using trophic 318 319 group turnover (BpP) and interaction turnover (BpL) as response variables, with the R package 320 'gdm' (Fitzpatrick et al., 2022). For the environmental predictors we selected a set of weakly correlated variables (Pearson's r < 0.5, Fig. S2) representing climatic, soil and vegetation 321 322 conditions that could influence soil organisms (Table 1). The spatial coordinates of the samples 323 and all the selected environmental variables were used as predictors. The environmental 324 distance between samples was directly calculated by the 'gdm' package, but for plant 325 composition, we used our own dissimilarity indices to represent changes in vegetation 326 composition from both a taxonomic and functional point of view (Table 1). Plant taxonomic 327 dissimilarity between plots was calculated using the *beta.pair* function from the R package 328 'betapart' (Baselga et al., 2022). To estimate plant functional dissimilarity we retrieved trait 329 values for species of which cumulative abundances represented at least 90% of the plot 330 coverage. Missing values (<15% of the total data) were estimated using the imputation method 331 offered by the R package 'mice' (van Buuren and Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011). Plant 332 functional dissimilarity was estimated using the *beta.fd.multidim* function from the R package 333 'mFD' (Magneville et al., 2021). In the analysis, soil samples from the same subplot collected 334 in different years (i.e. 95 of the 418 samples) were treated as separate samples as they differed 335 in environmental conditions, except for climate and NDVI that were averaged across a period 336 of 10 years. Their spatial dependency was considered through the spatial coordinates of the 337 plot.

338

339 **3. Results**

340

The metaweb constructed for the French Alps region using the taxa identified in 418 soil 341 342 samples consisted of 55 trophic groups, three basal resources and a total of 383 potential 343 interactions (Fig. S3). On average, local networks were composed of 41 ± 4 trophic groups 344 (and resources), with 206 ± 37 interactions. All 10 trophic classes were present in all local 345 networks, with a single exception where no group from the fungivore class was detected. The mean dissimilarity between local soil multitrophic networks was primarily influenced by 346 changes in the dominance of trophic groups, rather than by changes in the presence or absence 347 348 of groups (as indicated by increasing dissimilarity when increasing parameter q, which 349 represents the weight of trophic group abundance and interaction, Fig. S4).

Overall, we found that multitrophic network composition varied across the environmental 351 352 range covered in this study. The habitat type, particularly when comparing grassland and forest habitats, strongly structured the network composition, both in terms of trophic group and 353 354 interaction composition (Q1) (Fig. 2, Fig. S5). The influence of habitat type on interaction 355 composition was more pronounced compared to trophic group composition (Table S1). When 356 examining shrubland areas, which represent ongoing shifts from grassland to forest, we found 357 highly variable network structures (Fig. 2). These structures exhibited similarities to both forest 358 and grassland networks, potentially due to the spatial proximity of shrubland sampling sites to 359 forests or grasslands, or the specific stage of succession in the shrubland areas. By contrasting 360 the average networks of forests and grasslands, we identified compositional differences in the 361 abundance of trophic groups and classes between the two habitats (Fig. 3). Forest soil 362 multitrophic networks were enriched in ectomycorrhizal fungi, litter and wood saprotrophic 363 fungi, macro-detritivores like earthworms and diplopods at basal level, fungivores (particularly 364 eudaphic collembola and protura), soil top predators including predatory mites, centipedes, 365 predatory coleoptera and pseudoscorpions, as well as zooparasites. In contrast, grassland soil multitrophic networks had a higher proportion of decomposers and detritivores, particularly 366 367 soil saprotrophic fungi, enchytraeids and coprophagous coleoptera (e.g. dung beetles), 368 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and root endophytes, and most groups of plant phytoparasites and 369 autotrophs. Grasslands also exhibited a higher proportion of bacterivores and omnivores compared to forests, notably bacterivorous nematodes, rotifers and epigeic collembola, at 370 371 higher trophic levels. We further examined the ß-diversity of interactions between habitats and 372 compared it to null expectations derived from randomising trophic group abundances while 373 preserving trophic group diversity and network structure (see methods). The observed ß-374 diversity was significantly higher than expected by chance (Fig. 4), indicating that trophic 375 groups enriched in each habitat were not randomly distributed in the network, but instead 376 formed pairs of trophically linked groups. This suggests that differences in trophic group 377 abundance between habitats (Fig. 3) are influenced by the structure of trophic links within the 378 network (Q2).

379

The composition of forest and grassland soil multitrophic networks was influenced by distinct ecological filters (Q3). In both habitats, predictors associated with these filters accounted for approximately 10% of the variance in soil multitrophic network dissimilarity (Fig. 5, Fig. S6). In forests, spatial and environmental predictors played a more important role, particularly soil C/N ratio (related to organic matter degradability), pH, and frozen degree days (FDD) as indicators of abiotic stress (Fig. 5a). Dissimilarities in trophic groups and interactions were more pronounced between sites separated by large spatial distances and remained consistent along the gradients of FDD and pH (Fig. 5b). Changes in soil C/N ratio primarily influenced interaction dissimilarity, particularly at higher values of the C/N ratio gradient (>20).

In contrast, grassland soil multitrophic networks were predominantly driven by plant taxonomic and functional composition, as well as soil C/N ratio (Fig. 5a). Soil C/N ratio and plant taxonomic/functional composition better explained interaction dissimilarity (Fig. 5c), while plant taxonomic composition was more effective in predicting trophic group dissimilarity. Dissimilarities in trophic groups and interactions remained relatively constant along the plant dissimilarity gradient but were more pronounced in the lower part of the FDD gradient (> 40 cumulative degrees) and the upper part of the C/N ratio gradient (>15).

396

397 4. Discussion

398

Our study employed high-resolution data spanning contrasted habitats and extensive 399 400 environmental gradients to quantify the variability of soil multitrophic network structure. This 401 approach revealed new insights into how the environment, space and biotic interactions shape 402 soil multitrophic network assembly in the French Alps. We observed significant differences in 403 dominant trophic groups between habitats, that was associated with the structure of the trophic 404 links in the network (i.e. the abundance of trophically linked groups co-vary across habitats). 405 Furthermore, we uncovered notable discrepancies in the relative importance of the ecological 406 filters between forests and grasslands.

407

408 **4.1. Habitat filtering in soil multitrophic networks**

409 This study encompassed diverse climatic regions in the French Alps (e.g. alpine, continental, mediterranean) and an elevation range of 2500m, resulting in significant environmental 410 411 variability within and across habitat. As anticipated, habitat filtering strongly influenced soil 412 multitrophic network structure, especially when comparing forests and grasslands (Crotty et 413 al., 2014; Arribas et al., 2021; Sepp et al., 2021). Differences in abiotic conditions and resource 414 availability between habitats could explain the observed differences in the proportions of trophic groups. Grassland soils exhibit lower acidity, higher P and N availability and a lower 415 416 C/N ratio (Joimel et al. 2016, Fig. S1), and have a higher below-ground plant biomass, which 417 is more easily decomposed, leading to significant inputs of readily decomposable organic

418 matter into the soil (Mason and Zanner, 2005; Heděnec et al., 2022). These factors likely enhanced resource availability for detritus-based trophic groups, resulting in the higher 419 420 dominance of copiotroph decomposers (e.g. saprophytic fungi and bacteria), micro- or meso-421 detritivores (i.e. Enchytraeidae) and microbivores in grasslands. In contrast, plant detritus in 422 forests, which is more challenging to decompose, serves as a direct resource for litter and wood 423 saprotrophic fungi and provide a habitat for macro-detritivores, which feed on plant litter and 424 associated fungi (David and Handa, 2010; Zuo et al., 2014). Light availability in grasslands 425 may favour the presence of photoautotrophic bacteria that use light as their primary resource, 426 and facilitate interactions, such as arbuscular mycorrhiza (Konvalinková and Jansa, 2016). 427 Moreover, grasslands had a higher proportion of phytoparasites, likely due to the higher 428 biomass of fine roots in this habitat (Jackson et al., 1997; Heděnec et al., 2022), which are more 429 easy to colonise by symbionts, while forests had a higher proportion of zooparasites, benefiting 430 from a greater abundance of potential hosts, predominantly arthropods, molluscs or 431 earthworms, for the taxa belonging to our zooparasite groups. These findings align with our 432 results showing that trophic interactions within the soil multitrophic network contributed to 433 explain network dissimilarities between habitats (Fig. 4) and are consistent with previous 434 studies examining changes in the trophic composition of protists (de Araujo et al., 2018; Fiore-435 Donno et al., 2020) and nematodes (Zhao and Neher, 2014) across habitats. The distinct 436 identities of soil antagonists in the soil multitrophic networks from grassland to forests warrant 437 further investigation as they could provide insights for land management strategies in mountain 438 ecosystems (Wall et al., 2015).

439

440 **4.2. Impact of ecological filters within habitats**

441 Our study design aimed to investigate the impact of ecological processes on soil multitrophic network structure across elevation gradients and diverse environmental conditions. It is 442 443 important to note that the studied mountain system included various forest types (e.g. low-444 elevation deciduous, high-elevation coniferous) and grassland types (e.g. subalpine, alpine). 445 To capture this ecological heterogeneity within habitats, our research incorporated variations 446 in abiotic conditions, plant composition, and geographic distance, offering a comprehensive 447 analysis of the complex environmental variation characterising our mountainous systems. 448 Although the GDM explained a relatively low percentage of variance when quantifying changes in the structure of local networks (Fig. 5a), it is important to note that typical deviance 449 450 explained for models of compositional dissimilarity ranges from 20% to 50%, and this 451 percentage can decrease with an increasing number of sites, which was relatively high in our 452 study (Ferrier et al., 2007; Mokany et al., 2022). Furthermore, the resolution of our soil multitrophic networks using trophic groups instead of species led to low average dissimilarities 453 454 (0.27 and 0.48 in forests, and 0.30 and 0.53 in grasslands for groups and interactions respectively), reducing model predictability (Mokany et al., 2022). Importantly, we found that 455 456 trophic link structure significantly influenced changes in trophic groups abundance 457 composition (Fig. 4). However, current models cannot account for this factor, and incorporating network structure in diversity models may enhance predictability but requires 458 459 further implementation (Poggiato et al., 2022).

460

Spatial processes, environmental filtering, and changes in plant community composition 461 462 explained variation in soil multitrophic networks within habitats. In forests, spatial distance 463 played a significant role, explaining a substantial portion of trophic group (31%) and 464 interaction dissimilarity (58%), even when accounting for confounding environmental changes. 465 Limited dispersal opportunities in closed forest habitats, particularly for organisms dispersed 466 through wind (anemochory), might influence soil multitrophic network structure in mountain 467 forests. Conversely, open grassland habitats subject to strong winds (Tackenberg and Stöcklin, 468 2008) and high levels of herbivory, both domestic and wild, can facilitate the dispersal of soil 469 organisms. Furthermore, mountain massifs and large distances between forested areas (up to 470 250 km) can impact meso- and macrofauna, susceptible to ecological drift due to their limited 471 dispersal capacity or smaller population sizes (Arribas et al., 2021; Kang et al., 2022). This is 472 in line with our results showing that network dissimilarity increased with greater spatial 473 distances (Fig. 5b). Yet, the spatial distance used in the model may not fully capture the 474 complexity of the spatial structure, and further investigation using experimental setups to 475 clarify spatial connectivity and dispersal limitation effects is required.

476

477 Environmental filtering played a significant role in both habitats, primarily influenced by soil 478 edaphic properties. In the French Alps, the soil C/N ratio emerged as the most influential abiotic 479 factor in shaping soil multitrophic network composition. It reflects organic matter 480 decomposability and resource availability, as discussed above, impacting decomposer groups, 481 their consumers, and the entire feeding channel. Conversely, variables related to total energy 482 or resources, such as the NDVI, GDD and SOM, had a smaller impact on soil multitrophic network dissimilarity. While this contrasts with previous studies emphasizing the role of these 483 484 variables in diversity patterns of soil groups in mountains (Zinger et al., 2011; Calderón-Sanou 485 et al., 2022; Steinwandter and Seeber, 2023), it highlights the emergence of different ecological drivers at different levels of biodiversity organization. In our study, resource quality,
particularly litter quality, seemed to dominate network composition rather than quantity.
Additionally, environmental factors associated with harsh conditions, such as pH and frozen
degree days, known drivers of soil taxonomic diversity and composition (Fierer and Jackson,
2006; Decaëns, 2010; Gobbi et al., 2022), also played a major role in shaping soil multitrophic
networks.

492

493 Plant-soil interactions likely play a crucial role in shaping grasslands soil multitrophic networks 494 in the French Alps. Although we did not directly measure these interactions, the taxonomic 495 and/or functional composition of plant communities had a major impact on soil multitrophic 496 network structure. Mountain grasslands exhibit high variability in plant species richness and 497 the presence of functionally important taxa such as Fabaceae, Brassicaceae or Poaceae across 498 different elevations and massifs. Changes in plant diversity can influence the composition of 499 soil multitrophic networks (Eisenhauer et al., 2013), and altering plant identity can affect 500 different soil taxa (Zinger et al., 2011). Interestingly, taxonomic dissimilarity had a larger effect 501 than plant functional dissimilarity, possibly because above-ground traits used in our study may 502 have a lesser role in plant-soil interactions compared to root traits (Kardol and De Long, 2018; 503 Wilschut et al., 2019). Surprisingly, in forests, plant composition had a minor impact on soil 504 multitrophic network structure. Soil variables like pH and C/N ratio may better capture 505 variations in forest type (e.g. broadleaf vs coniferous forests), directly influencing soil 506 multitrophic networks.

507

508 5. Conclusions

509 This study enhances our understanding of how ecological processes operate in below-ground communities and networks, thereby extending our knowledge of ecological communities as a 510 511 whole. Furthermore, our research offers valuable insights into the impacts of global change on 512 soil biodiversity and terrestrial ecosystem functioning, particularly in vulnerable mountainous 513 systems experiencing climate change and land-use modifications. Land management decisions, 514 such as forestry, agriculture, and tourism, can alter local land-use and habitats, necessitating 515 consideration of their effects on soil multitrophic networks and ecosystem functioning. 516 However, further investigations are needed to explore the underlying mechanisms driving 517 spatial changes in network structure. Additionally, studying the recovery and resilience of soil multitrophic networks to land-use changes is essential, considering the dynamic nature of 518 ecosystems in the face of a constantly changing climate. These future studies will enhance our 519

- 520 understanding of soil multitrophic networks and their responses to environmental changes,
- aiding informed land management decisions and sustainable practices.

	Environmental variable	Abbr.	Description	Temporal range	Resolution	Source
	Growing degree days	GDD	Annual sum of degree-days over a 0°C soil temperature	Average across 2009-2019		
	Annual precipitation	AP	The sum of all the monthly precipitation estimates		SAFRAN- SURFEX/ISBA-	
Climate	Frost degree days	FDD	Annual sum of average daily degrees below zero in soil temperature. Summarizes the duration and intensity of ground freezing events.		Piot	Crocus-MEPRA reanalysis (Vernay et al., 2021)
	Soil pH	pН	Top-soil wahter pH	Same year	Sample	Measured in the lab
	Soil Organic Matter	SOM	Soil organic matter content	than the	Sample	
Soil	Soil C/N	C/N	Soil carbon to nitrogen ration in organic matter	sample was collected (2016- 2020)	Sample	
	Plant taxonomic dissimilarity		Jaccard pairwise dissimilarity index between subplots.	Sama yaar	Plot	Botanical surveys
Vegetation	Plant functional dissimilarity		Jaccard-like functional index. Traits: specific leaf area (SLA), leaf C/N, root depth (ordered variable), vegetative plant height, and woodyness index (categorical variable ranging from herbaceous to woody).	than the sample was collected (2016- 2020)	Plot	Botanical surveys and our own trait measurement values for species (median values across individuals). Root depth was, extracted from (Landolt et al., 2010).
	Normalised Difference Vegetation Index	NDVI	Estimated from the surface spectral reflectance at a resolution of 250 m. Raw NDVI times series were pre- processed following (Choler, 2015), and we kept the mean yearly sum of NDVI greater than 0.2	Average across 2010-2020	Plot	MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer), available online: https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/produ cts/mod09q1v006/.

524 Table 1. Environmental variables used in this study.



527

528 Figure 1. Study design to investigate soil multitrophic network structure in the French Alps (a) 529 Study sites across the French Alps were selected using a stratified sampling design across elevations 530 and nested design with subplots within gradients. The collected 418 soil samples were distributed across 531 nested spatial distances ranging from 8 m to 250 km. (b) eDNA and bioinformatic analysis were used 532 to assign detected organisms to taxonomic and functional information. (c) The metaweb framework was 533 used to quantify spatial changes in the structure of soil multitrophic networks across the study area and 534 examine their associations with environmental and spatial factors. 535



Figure 2. 2-D plane representing the dissimilarity between soil multitrophic networks based on the
composition of trophic groups and trophic interactions with a colour scale representing the habitat type.
Each dot is a local network from a single sub-plot. Dots that are close to each other in the 2D plane have
similar trophic group compositions or interactions.



Figure 3. Differences in trophic group and class abundances between soil multitrophic networks
in forests and grasslands. Colours indicate the significance of Wilcoxon tests calculated for the
difference in abundances of each group between habitats (p<0.05) while node sizes are proportional to
significant abundance differences. A Wilcoxon test was also applied to compare differences in trophic
class abundances (dashed circles) between habitats. Abundance of trophic classes correspond to the sum
of trophic groups relative abundances.





551 Figure 4. Null distribution of the ß-diversity of interactions (BTL) at fixed trophic group diversity.

552 The values of the histogram show the BTL between the average soil multitrophic networks of forest and

553 grassland computed using 3000 permutations of trophic group abundances at fixed network structure,

beading to various βTL values while keeping trophic group β-diversity constant. The vertical line shows
the observed βTL across habitats. The value of βTL varies from one when the average network of forest

and grassland have identical interaction abundances distribution, to two, when the networks do not share

557 any common group or interaction.





561 Figure 5. Main predictors of soil multitrophic network dissimilarity within forest and grassland 562 habitats. The relative importance of each predictor was estimated using Generalised Dissimilarity 563 Models (a). It is measured as the sum of the coefficients of the three I-splines of the focus predictor 564 and represents the total amount of change along the predictor gradient. Partial curves for three of the 565 most important predictors of network dissimilarity in forest (b) and grassland (c). Each panel shows 566 the network dissimilarity as a function of an environmental predictor when holding all other variables 567 to their mean. The slope at any point on the curve indicates the rate of network dissimilarity at that position along the environmental gradient (x-axis), while the total height reached by the function 568 indicates the total amount of network dissimilarity due to that environmental predictor. SOM: Soil 569 570 Organic Matter, FDD: Frost Degree Days, GDD: Growing Degree Days, AP: Annual Precipitation, 571 NDVI: Normalised Difference Vegetation Index. 572

573 References

- 575 Arribas, P., Andújar, C., Salces-Castellano, A., Emerson, B.C., Vogler, A.P., 2021. The limited spatial 576 scale of dispersal in soil arthropods revealed with whole-community haplotype-level 577 metabarcoding. Molecular Ecology 30, 48-61. doi:10.1111/mec.15591 578 Bardgett, R.D., van der Putten, W.H., 2014. Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. 579 Nature 515, 505-511. doi:10.1038/nature13855 580 Baselga, A., Orme, D., Villeger, S., Bortoli, J.D., Leprieur, F., Logez, M., Henriques-Silva, R., 581 Martínez-Santalla, S., Martín-Devasa, R., Gómez-Rodríguez, C., Crujeiras, R., 2022. betapart: 582 Partitioning Beta Diversity into Turnover and Nestedness Components.
- Bauer, B., Berti, E., Ryser, R., Gauzens, B., Hirt, M.R., Rosenbaum, B., Digel, C., Ott, D., Scheu, S.,
 Brose, U., 2022. Biotic filtering by species' interactions constrains food-web variability
 across spatial and abiotic gradients. Ecology Letters 25, 1225–1236. doi:10.1111/ele.13995
- Blasbichler, H., Plunger, J., Seeber, J., Steinwandter, M., 2023. Abrupt boundaries between mountain
 meadows and forests separate ground-dwelling invertebrate communities: a case study from
 South Tyrol, Italy. Soil Organisms 95, 203–216. doi:10.25674/so95iss3id338
- Bonin, A., Guerrieri, A., Ficetola, G.F., 2023. Optimal sequence similarity thresholds for clustering of
 molecular operational taxonomic units in DNA metabarcoding studies. Molecular Ecology 23,
 368–381. doi:10.22541/au.163398436.69595421/v1
- Boyer, F., Mercier, C., Bonin, A., Le Bras, Y., Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., 2016. OBITOOLS : a UNIX inspired software package for DNA metabarcoding. Molecular Ecology Resources 16, 176–
 182. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12428
- Calderón-Sanou, I., Münkemüller, T., Boyer, F., Zinger, L., Thuiller, W., 2020. From environmental
 DNA sequences to ecological conclusions: How strong is the influence of methodological
 choices? Journal of Biogeography 47, 193–206. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13681
- Calderón-Sanou, I., Zinger, L., Hedde, M., Martinez-Almoyna, C., Saillard, A., Renaud, J., Gielly, L.,
 Khedim, N., Lionnet, C., Ohlmann, M., Consortium, O., Münkemüller, T., Thuiller, W., 2022.
 Energy and physiological tolerance explain multi-trophic soil diversity in temperate
 mountains. Diversity and Distributions 28, 2549–2564. doi:10.1111/ddi.13529
- 602 Caruso, T., Clemente, G.V., Rillig, M.C., Garlaschelli, D., 2022. Fluctuating ecological networks: A
 603 synthesis of maximum-entropy approaches for pattern detection and process inference.
 604 Methods in Ecology and Evolution 13, 2306–2317. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13985
- 605 Choler, P., 2015. Growth response of temperate mountain grasslands to inter-annual variations in
 606 snow cover duration. Biogeosciences 12, 3885–3897. doi:10.5194/bg-12-3885-2015
- Compson, Z.G., Monk, W.A., Curry, C.J., Gravel, D., Bush, A., Baker, C.J.O., Al Manir, M.S.,
 Riazanov, A., Hajibabaei, M., Shokralla, S., Gibson, J.F., Stefani, S., Wright, M.T.G., Baird,
 D.J., 2018. Chapter Two Linking DNA Metabarcoding and Text Mining to Create NetworkBased Biomonitoring Tools: A Case Study on Boreal Wetland Macroinvertebrate
 Communities, in: Bohan, D.A., Dumbrell, A.J., Woodward, G., Jackson, M. (Eds.), Advances
 in Ecological Research, Next Generation Biomonitoring: Part 2. Academic Press, pp. 33–74.
 doi:10.1016/bs.aecr.2018.09.001
- 614 Crotty, F.V., Blackshaw, R.P., Adl, S.M., Inger, R., Murray, P.J., 2014. Divergence of feeding
 615 channels within the soil food web determined by ecosystem type. Ecology and Evolution 4,
 616 1–13. doi:10.1002/ece3.905
- 617 David, J.-F., Handa, I.T., 2010. The ecology of saprophagous macroarthropods (millipedes, woodlice)
 618 in the context of global change. Biological Reviews 85, 881–895. doi:10.1111/j.1469619 185X.2010.00138.x
- Davison, J., Moora, M., Öpik, M., Adholeya, A., Ainsaar, L., Bâ, A., Burla, S., Diedhiou, A.G.,
 Hiiesalu, I., Jairus, T., Johnson, N.C., Kane, A., Koorem, K., Kochar, M., Ndiaye, C., Pärtel,
 M., Reier, Ü., Saks, Ü., Singh, R., Vasar, M., Zobel, M., 2015. Global assessment of
 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus diversity reveals very low endemism. Science 349, 970–973.
 doi:10.1126/science.aab1161
- de Araujo, A.S.F., Mendes, L.W., Lemos, L.N., Antunes, J.E.L., Beserra, J.E.A., de Lyra, M. do
 C.C.P., Figueiredo, M. do V.B., Lopes, Â.C. de A., Gomes, R.L.F., Bezerra, W.M., Melo,

- 627 V.M.M., de Araujo, F.F., Geisen, S., 2018. Protist species richness and soil microbiome 628 complexity increase towards climax vegetation in the Brazilian Cerrado. Communications 629 Biology 1, 135. doi:10.1038/s42003-018-0129-0 630 Decaëns, T., 2010. Macroecological patterns in soil communities. Global Ecology and Biogeography 631 19, 287–302. doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00517.x 632 Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Oliverio, A.M., Brewer, T.E., Benavent-González, A., Eldridge, D.J., 633 Bardgett, R.D., Maestre, F.T., Singh, B.K., Fierer, N., 2018. A global atlas of the dominant 634 bacteria found in soil. Science 359, 320-325. doi:10.1126/science.aap9516 Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Reich, P.B., Trivedi, C., Eldridge, D.J., Abades, S., Alfaro, F.D., Bastida, F., 635 636 Berhe, A.A., Cutler, N.A., Gallardo, A., García-Velázquez, L., Hart, S.C., Hayes, P.E., He, J.-637 Z., Hseu, Z.-Y., Hu, H.-W., Kirchmair, M., Neuhauser, S., Pérez, C.A., Reed, S.C., Santos, F., 638 Sullivan, B.W., Trivedi, P., Wang, J.-T., Weber-Grullon, L., Williams, M.A., Singh, B.K., 639 2020. Multiple elements of soil biodiversity drive ecosystem functions across biomes. Nature 640 Ecology & Evolution 4, 210-220. doi:10.1038/s41559-019-1084-y 641 Dunne, J.A., 2006. The network structure of food webs, in: Pascual, M., Dunne, J.A. (Eds.), 642 Ecological Networks: Linking Structure to Dynamics in Food Webs, Oxford University Press, 643 pp. 27–86. 644 Eisenhauer, N., Bender, S.F., Calderón-Sanou, I., de Vries, F.T., Lembrechts, J.J., Thuiller, W., Wall, 645 D.H., Zeiss, R., Bahram, M., Beugnon, R., Burton, V.J., Crowther, T.W., Delgado-Baquerizo, 646 M., Geisen, S., Kardol, P., Krashevska, V., Martínez-Muñoz, C.A., Patoine, G., Seeber, J., 647 Soudzilovskaia, N.A., Steinwandter, M., Sünnemann, M., Sun, X., van der Heijden, M.G.A., 648 Guerra, C.A., Potapov, A., 2022. Frontiers in soil ecology-Insights from the World 649 Biodiversity Forum 2022. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and Environment 1, 245–261. doi:10.1002/sae2.12031 650 651 Eisenhauer, N., Buscot, F., Heintz-Buschart, A., Jurburg, S.D., Küsel, K., Sikorski, J., Vogel, H.-J., 652 Guerra, C.A., 2021. The multidimensionality of soil macroecology. Global Ecology and 653 Biogeography: A Journal of Macroecology 30, 4-10. doi:10.1111/geb.13211 654 Eisenhauer, N., Dobies, T., Cesarz, S., Hobbie, S.E., Meyer, R.J., Worm, K., Reich, P.B., 2013. Plant 655 diversity effects on soil food webs are stronger than those of elevated CO2 and N deposition 656 in a long-term grassland experiment. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 657 6889-6894. doi:10.1073/pnas.1217382110 658 Elton, C.S., 1927. Animal ecology. Macmillan Co., New York, doi:10.5962/bhl.title.7435 659 Ettema, C.H., Wardle, D.A., 2002. Spatial soil ecology. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17, 177–183. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02496-5 660 661 Ferrier, S., Manion, G., Elith, J., Richardson, K., 2007. Using generalized dissimilarity modelling to 662 analyse and predict patterns of beta diversity in regional biodiversity assessment. Diversity 663 and Distributions 13, 252-264. doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00341.x 664 Ficetola, G.F., Coissac, E., Zundel, S., Riaz, T., Shehzad, W., Bessière, J., Taberlet, P., Pompanon, F., 665 2010. An In silico approach for the evaluation of DNA barcodes. BMC Genomics 11, 434. 666 doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-434 667 Fierer, N., Jackson, R.B., 2006. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. 668 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103, 626–631. 669 Fiore-Donno, A.M., Richter-Heitmann, T., Bonkowski, M., 2020. Contrasting Responses of Protistan 670 Plant Parasites and Phagotrophs to Ecosystems, Land Management and Soil Properties. 671 Frontiers in Microbiology 11. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.01823 672 Fitzpatrick, M., Mokany, K., Manion, G., Nieto-Lugilde, D., Ferrier, S., Lisk, M., Ware, C., Woolley, 673 S., Harwood, T., 2022. gdm: Generalized Dissimilarity Modeling. 674 Ganault, P., Nahmani, J., Hättenschwiler, S., Gillespie, L.M., David, J.-F., Henneron, L., Iorio, E., 675 Mazzia, C., Muys, B., Pasquet, A., Prada-Salcedo, L.D., Wambsganss, J., Decaëns, T., 2021. 676 Relative importance of tree species richness, tree functional type, and microenvironment for 677 soil macrofauna communities in European forests. Oecologia 196, 455-468. 678 doi:10.1007/s00442-021-04931-w
- 679 Geisen, S., Briones, M.J.I., Gan, H., Behan-Pelletier, V.M., Friman, V.-P., de Groot, G.A., Hannula,
 680 S.E., Lindo, Z., Philippot, L., Tiunov, A.V., Wall, D.H., 2019. A methodological framework

- to embrace soil biodiversity. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 136, 107536.
 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107536
- Glassman, S.I., Wang, I.J., Bruns, T.D., 2017. Environmental filtering by pH and soil nutrients drives
 community assembly in fungi at fine spatial scales. Molecular Ecology 26, 6960–6973.
 doi:10.1111/mec.14414
- 686 Gobbi, M., Corlatti, L., Caccianiga, M., Ter Braak, C.J.F., Pedrotti, L., 2022. Hay meadows'
 687 overriding effect shapes ground beetle functional diversity in mountainous landscapes.
 688 Ecosphere 13, e4193. doi:10.1002/ecs2.4193
- 689 Gravel, D., Baiser, B., Dunne, J.A., Kopelke, J.-P., Martinez, N.D., Nyman, T., Poisot, T., Stouffer,
 690 D.B., Tylianakis, J.M., Wood, S.A., Rosling, T., 2019. Bringing Elton and Grinnell Together:
 691 a quantitative framework to represent the biogeography of ecological interaction networks.
 692 Ecography 42, 401–620.
- Hedde, M., Blight, O., Briones, M.J.I., Bonfanti, J., Brauman, A., Brondani, M., Calderón Sanou, I.,
 Clause, J., Conti, E., Cortet, J., Decaëns, T., Erktan, A., Gérard, S., Goulpeau, A., Iannelli,
 M., Joimel-Boulanger, S., Jouquet, P., Le Guillarme, N., Marsden, C., Martinez Almoyna, C.,
 Mulder, C., Perrin, W., Pétillon, J., Pey, B., Potapov, A.M., Si-moussi, S., Thuiller, W., Trap,
 J., Vergnes, A., Zaitsev, A., Capowiez, Y., 2022. A common framework for developing
 robust soil fauna classifications. Geoderma 426, 116073.
 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.116073
- Heděnec, P., Jiménez, J.J., Moradi, J., Domene, X., Hackenberger, D., Barot, S., Frossard, A., Oktaba,
 L., Filser, J., Kindlmann, P., Frouz, J., 2022. Global distribution of soil fauna functional
 groups and their estimated litter consumption across biomes. Scientific Reports 12, 17362.
 doi:10.1038/s41598-022-21563-z
- Jackson, R.B., Mooney, H.A., Schulze, E.-D., 1997. A global budget for fine root biomass, surface
 area, and nutrient contents. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 94, 7362–7366.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.94.14.7362
- Joimel, S., Cortet, J., Jolivet, C.C., Saby, N.P.A., Chenot, E.D., Branchu, P., Consalès, J.N., Lefort,
 C., Morel, J.L., Schwartz, C., 2016. Physico-chemical characteristics of topsoil for contrasted
 forest, agricultural, urban and industrial land uses in France. Science of The Total
 Environment 545–546, 40–47. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.12.035
- Jonasson, S., 1988. Evaluation of the Point Intercept Method for the Estimation of Plant Biomass.
 Oikos 52, 101–106. doi:10.2307/3565988
- Kang, L., Chen, L., Zhang, D., Peng, Y., Song, Y., Kou, D., Deng, Y., Yang, Y., 2022. Stochastic
 processes regulate belowground community assembly in alpine grasslands on the Tibetan
 Plateau. Environmental Microbiology 24, 179–194. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.15827
- Kardol, P., De Long, J.R., 2018. How anthropogenic shifts in plant community composition alter soil food webs. F1000Research 7, 4. doi:10.12688/f1000research.13008.1
- Kardol, P., De Long, J.R., Mariotte, P., 2018. Soil Biota as Drivers of Plant Community Assembly, in:
 Ohgushi, T., Wurst, S., Johnson, S.N. (Eds.), Aboveground–Belowground Community
 Ecology, Ecological Studies. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 293–318.
 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-91614-9_13
- Keddy, P.A., 1992. Assembly and Response Rules: Two Goals for Predictive Community Ecology.
 Journal of Vegetation Science 3, 157–164. doi:10.2307/3235676
- Kelly, R.P., Shelton, A.O., Gallego, R., 2019. Understanding PCR Processes to Draw Meaningful
 Conclusions from Environmental DNA Studies. Scientific Reports 9, 12133.
 doi:10.1038/s41598-019-48546-x
- Konvalinková, T., Jansa, J., 2016. Lights Off for Arbuscular Mycorrhiza: On Its Symbiotic
 Functioning under Light Deprivation. Frontiers in Plant Science 7.
- Landolt, E., Bäumler, B., Ehrhardt, A., Hegg, O., Klötzli, F., Lämmler, W., Nobis, M., RudmannMaurer, K., Schweingruber, F.H., Theurillat, J.-P., Urmi, E., Vust, M., Wohlgemuth, T.,
 2010. Flora indicativa: Okologische Zeigerwerte und biologische Kennzeichen zur Flora der
 Schweiz und der Alpen. Haupt, Bern.
- Le Guillarme, N., Hedde, M., Potapov, A.M., Berg, M.P., Briones, M.J.I., Calderón-Sanou, I.,
 Degrune, F., Hohberg, K., Martinez-Almoyna, C., Martínez-Muñoz, C.A., Pey, B., Russell,
 D.J., Thuiller, W., 2023. The Soil Food Web Ontology: aligning trophic groups, processes,

- 736 and resources to harmonise and automatise soil food web reconstructions. 737
 - doi:10.1101/2023.02.03.526812
- 738 Le Guillarme, N., Thuiller, W., 2023. A practical approach to constructing a knowledge graph for soil 739 ecological research. European Journal of Soil Biology 117, 103497. 740 doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2023.103497
- 741 Louca, S., Parfrey, L.W., Doebeli, M., 2016. Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean 742 microbiome. Science 353, 1272-1277. doi:10.1126/science.aaf4507
- 743 Maaß, S., Maraun, M., Scheu, S., Rillig, M.C., Caruso, T., 2015. Environmental filtering vs. resource-744 based niche partitioning in diverse soil animal assemblages. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 745 85, 145-152. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.005
- 746 Magneville, C., Loiseau, N., Albouy, C., Casajus, N., Claverie, T., Escalas, A., Leprieur, F., Maire, 747 E., Mouillot, D., Villeger, S., 2021. mFD: Compute and Illustrate the Multiple Facets of 748 Functional Diversity.
- 749 Martinez-Almoyna, C., Saillard, A., Zinger, L., Lionnet, C., Arnoldi, C., Foulquier, A., Gielly, L., 750 Piton, G., Münkemüller, T., Thuiller, W., 2022. Differential effects of soil trophic networks 751 on microbial decomposition activity in mountain ecosystems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 752 172, 108771. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108771
- 753 Mason, J.A., Zanner, C.W., 2005. GRASSLAND SOILS, in: Hillel, D. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Soils in 754 the Environment. Elsevier, Oxford, pp. 138–145. doi:10.1016/B0-12-348530-4/00028-X
- 755 McInnes, L., Healy, J., Melville, J., 2020. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection 756 for Dimension Reduction. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1802.03426
- 757 Mercier, C., Boyer, F., Bonin, A., Coissac, E., 2013. SUMATRA and SUMACLUST: fast and exact 758 comparison and clustering of sequences, in: Programs and Abstracts of the SegBio 2013 759 Workshop. Abstract. Citeseer, pp. 27-29.
- 760 Miele, V., Matias, C., Dray, S., Botella, C., Ohlmann, M., Poggiato, G., Thuiller, W., 2021. 761 econetwork: Analyzing Ecological Networks.
- 762 Mokany, K., Ware, C., Woolley, S.N.C., Ferrier, S., Fitzpatrick, M.C., 2022. A working guide to 763 harnessing generalized dissimilarity modelling for biodiversity analysis and conservation 764 assessment. Global Ecology and Biogeography 31, 802-821. doi:10.1111/geb.13459
- 765 Moore, J.C., de Ruiter, P.C., 1991. Temporal and spatial heterogeneity of trophic interactions within 766 below-ground food webs. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 34, 371-397. 767 doi:10.1016/0167-8809(91)90122-E
- 768 Münkemüller, T., Gallien, L., Pollock, L.J., Barros, C., Carboni, M., Chalmandrier, L., Mazel, F., Mokany, K., Roquet, C., Smyčka, J., Talluto, M.V., Thuiller, W., 2020. Dos and don'ts when 769 770 inferring assembly rules from diversity patterns. Global Ecology and Biogeography 29, 1212– 771 1229. doi:10.1111/geb.13098
- 772 Noguerales, V., Meramveliotakis, E., Castro-Insua, A., Andújar, C., Arribas, P., Creedy, T.J., 773 Overcast, I., Morlon, H., Emerson, B.C., Vogler, A.P., Papadopoulou, A., 2021. Community 774 metabarcoding reveals the relative role of environmental filtering and spatial processes in 775 metacommunity dynamics of soil microarthropods across a mosaic of montane forests. 776 Molecular Ecology 1–19. doi:10.1111/mec.16275
- 777 O'Brien, S.L., Gibbons, S.M., Owens, S.M., Hampton-Marcell, J., Johnston, E.R., Jastrow, J.D., 778 Gilbert, J.A., Meyer, F., Antonopoulos, D.A., 2016. Spatial scale drives patterns in soil 779 bacterial diversity. Environmental Microbiology 18, 2039–2051. doi:10.1111/1462-780 2920.13231
- 781 Ohlmann, M., Garnier, J., Vuillon, L., 2023. 'metanetwork': a R package dedicated to handling and 782 representing trophic metanetworks. Ecology and Evolution in press.
- 783 Ohlmann, M., Miele, V., Dray, S., Chalmandrier, L., O'Connor, L., Thuiller, W., 2019. Diversity 784 indices for ecological networks: a unifying framework using Hill numbers. Ecology Letters 785 22, 737-747. doi:10.1111/ele.13221
- 786 Peay, K.G., Garbelotto, M., Bruns, T.D., 2010. Evidence of dispersal limitation in soil 787 microorganisms: Isolation reduces species richness on mycorrhizal tree islands. Ecology 91, 788 3631-3640. doi:10.1890/09-2237.1

- Poggiato, G., Andréoletti, J., Shirley, L., Thuiller, W., 2022. Integrating food webs in species
 distribution models improves ecological niche estimation and predictions (preprint). Preprints.
 doi:10.22541/au.166853394.45823739/v1
- Potapov, A.M., Beaulieu, F., Birkhofer, K., Bluhm, S.L., Degtyarev, M.I., Devetter, M., Goncharov,
 A.A., Gongalsky, K.B., Klarner, B., Korobushkin, D.I., Liebke, D.F., Maraun, M., Mc
 Donnell, R.J., Pollierer, M.M., Schaefer, I., Shrubovych, J., Semenyuk, I.I., Sendra, A.,
- 795 Tuma, J., Tůmová, M., Vassilieva, A.B., Chen, T., Geisen, S., Schmidt, O., Tiunov, A.V.,
- Scheu, S., 2022. Feeding habits and multifunctional classification of soil-associated
 consumers from protists to vertebrates. Biological Reviews brv.12832. doi:10.1111/brv.12832
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., Glöckner, F.O.,
 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and webbased tools. Nucleic Acids Research 41, D590–D596. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219
- 801 R Core Team, 2020. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
- Ramirez, K.S., Leff, J.W., Barberán, A., Bates, S.T., Betley, J., Crowther, T.W., Kelly, E.F., Oldfield,
 E.E., Shaw, E.A., Steenbock, C., Bradford, M.A., Wall, D.H., Fierer, N., 2014. Biogeographic
 patterns in below-ground diversity in New York City's Central Park are similar to those
 observed globally. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281, 20141988.
 doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.1988
- Schoch, D., 2022. edgebundle: Algorithms for Bundling Edges in Networks and Visualizing Flow and
 Metro Maps.
- Sepp, S.-K., Davison, J., Moora, M., Neuenkamp, L., Oja, J., Roslin, T., Vasar, M., Öpik, M., Zobel,
 M., 2021. Woody encroachment in grassland elicits complex changes in the functional
 structure of above- and belowground biota. Ecosphere 12, e03512.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3512
- Soliveres, S., van der Plas, F., Manning, P., Prati, D., Gossner, M.M., Renner, S.C., Alt, F., Arndt, H.,
 Baumgartner, V., Binkenstein, J., Birkhofer, K., Blaser, S., Blüthgen, N., Boch, S., Böhm, S.,
 Börschig, C., Buscot, F., Diekötter, T., Heinze, J., Hölzel, N., Jung, K., Klaus, V.H.,
 Kleinebecker, T., Klemmer, S., Krauss, J., Lange, M., Morris, E.K., Müller, J., Oelmann, Y.,
 Ouermann, L. Paželić, E., Pillin, M.C., Schaefer, H.M., Schleter, M., Schwitt, P., Sc
- 817 Overmann, J., Pašalić, E., Rillig, M.C., Schaefer, H.M., Schloter, M., Schmitt, B., Schöning,
- 818 I., Schrumpf, M., Sikorski, J., Socher, S.A., Solly, E.F., Sonnemann, I., Sorkau, E., Steckel,
 819 J., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Stempfhuber, B., Tschapka, M., Türke, M., Venter, P.C., Weiner,
- 820
 821
 820
 820
 821
 821
 822
 823
 824
 824
 824
 825
 825
 826
 826
 827
 827
 828
 828
 829
 829
 829
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
 820
- 821 S., Fischer, M., Allan, E., 2016. Biodiversity at multiple trophic levels is needed for
 822 ecosystem multifunctionality. Nature 536, 456–459. doi:10.1038/nature19092
- Steinwandter, M., Seeber, J., 2023. Ground-dwelling invertebrates of the high alpine: Changes in
 diversity and community composition along elevation (1500–3000 m). Applied Soil Ecology
- 825 190, 104988. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2023.104988
 826 Taberlet, P., Bonin, A., Zinger, L., Coissac, E., 2018. Environmental DNA: for biodiversity research 827 and monitoring. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Hajibabaei, M., Rieseberg, L.H., 2012. Environmental DNA. Molecular
 Ecology 21, 1789–1793. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05542.x
- Tackenberg, O., Stöcklin, J., 2008. Wind dispersal of alpine plant species: A comparison with lowland
 species. Journal of Vegetation Science 19, 109–118. doi:10.3170/2007-8-18338
- 832 Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Polme, S., Koljalg, U., Yorou, N.S., Wijesundera, R., Ruiz, L.V., Vasco-833 Palacios, A.M., Thu, P.Q., Suija, A., Smith, M.E., Sharp, C., Saluveer, E., Saitta, A., Rosas, 834 M., Riit, T., Ratkowsky, D., Pritsch, K., Poldmaa, K., Piepenbring, M., Phosri, C., Peterson, 835 M., Parts, K., Partel, K., Otsing, E., Nouhra, E., Njouonkou, A.L., Nilsson, R.H., Morgado, 836 L.N., Mayor, J., May, T.W., Majuakim, L., Lodge, D.J., Lee, S.S., Larsson, K.-H., Kohout, 837 P., Hosaka, K., Hiiesalu, I., Henkel, T.W., Harend, H., Guo, L. -d., Greslebin, A., Grelet, G., 838 Geml, J., Gates, G., Dunstan, W., Dunk, C., Drenkhan, R., Dearnaley, J., De Kesel, A., Dang, 839 T., Chen, X., Buegger, F., Brearley, F.Q., Bonito, G., Anslan, S., Abell, S., Abarenkov, K., 2014. Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. Science 346, 1256688–1256688. 840 841 doi:10.1126/science.1256688
- Thakur, M.P., Geisen, S., 2019. Trophic Regulations of the Soil Microbiome. Trends in Microbiology
 27, 771–780. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2019.04.008

- Thakur, M.P., Phillips, H.R.P., Brose, U., Vries, F.T.D., Lavelle, P., Loreau, M., Mathieu, J., Mulder,
 C., Putten, W.H.V. der, Rillig, M.C., Wardle, D.A., Bach, E.M., Bartz, M.L.C., Bennett, J.M.,
 Briones, M.J.I., Brown, G., Decaëns, T., Eisenhauer, N., Ferlian, O., Guerra, C.A., KönigRies, B., Orgiazzi, A., Ramirez, K.S., Russell, D.J., Rutgers, M., Wall, D.H., Cameron, E.K.,
 2020. Towards an integrative understanding of soil biodiversity. Biological Reviews 95, 350–
 364. doi:10.1111/brv.12567
- Thompson, R.M., Brose, U., Dunne, J.A., Hall, R.O., Hladyz, S., Kitching, R.L., Martinez, N.D.,
 Rantala, H., Romanuk, T.N., Stouffer, D.B., Tylianakis, J.M., 2012. Food webs: reconciling
 the structure and function of biodiversity. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27, 689–697.
 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2012.08.005
- Thuiller, W., Münkemüller, T., Lavergne, S., Mouillot, D., Mouquet, N., Schiffers, K., Gravel, D.,
 2013. A road map for integrating eco-evolutionary processes into biodiversity models.
 Ecology Letters 16, 94–105. doi:10.1111/ele.12104
- Vályi, K., Mardhiah, U., Rillig, M.C., Hempel, S., 2016. Community assembly and coexistence in communities of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. The ISME Journal 10, 2341–2351.
 doi:10.1038/ismej.2016.46
- van Buuren, S., Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K., 2011. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations
 in R. Journal of Statistical Software 45, 1–67. doi:10.18637/jss.v045.i03
- Vernay, M., Lafaysse, M., Monteiro, D., Hagenmuller, P., Nheili, R., Samacoïts, R., Verfaillie, D.,
 Morin, S., 2021. The S2M meteorological and snow cover reanalysis over the French
 mountainous areas, description and evaluation (1958–2020) (preprint). Cryosphere Snow
 and Sea Ice. doi:10.5194/essd-2021-249
- Wall, D.H., Nielsen, U.N., Six, J., 2015. Soil biodiversity and human health. Nature 528, 69–76.
 doi:10.1038/nature15744
- Wilschut, R.A., van der Putten, W.H., Garbeva, P., Harkes, P., Konings, W., Kulkarni, P., Martens,
 H., Geisen, S., 2019. Root traits and belowground herbivores relate to plant–soil feedback
 variation among congeners. Nature Communications 10, 1564. doi:10.1038/s41467-01909615-x
- Zhao, J., Neher, D.A., 2014. Soil energy pathways of different ecosystems using nematode trophic
 group analysis: a meta analysis. Nematology 16, 379–385. doi:10.1163/15685411-00002771
- Zinger, L., Lejon, D.P.H., Baptist, F., Bouasria, A., Aubert, S., Geremia, R.A., Choler, P., 2011.
 Contrasting Diversity Patterns of Crenarchaeal, Bacterial and Fungal Soil Communities in an
 Alpine Landscape. PLoS ONE 6, e19950. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019950
- Zinger, L., Lionnet, C., Benoiston, A.-S., Donald, J., Mercier, C., Boyer, F., 2021. metabaR: An r
 package for the evaluation and improvement of DNA metabarcoding data quality. Methods in
 Ecology and Evolution 12, 586–592. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13552
- Zinger, L., Taberlet, P., Schimann, H., Bonin, A., Boyer, F., De Barba, M., Gaucher, P., Gielly, L.,
 Giguet-Covex, C., Iribar, A., Réjou-Méchain, M., Rayé, G., Rioux, D., Schilling, V., Tymen,
 B., Viers, J., Zouiten, C., Thuiller, W., Coissac, E., Chave, J., 2019. Body size determines soil
 community assembly in a tropical forest. Molecular Ecology 28, 528–543.
 doi:10.1111/mec.14919
- Zuo, J., Fonck, M., van Hal, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Berg, M.P., 2014. Diversity of macro-detritivores
 in dead wood is influenced by tree species, decay stage and environment. Soil Biology and
 Biochemistry 78, 288–297. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.08.010
- 888