



HAL
open science

Electrospun PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membrane: electrospinnability, surface morphology and mechanical response

Jacky Jia Li Lee, Andri Andriyana, Bee Chin Ang, Bertrand Huneau, Erwan Verron

► **To cite this version:**

Jacky Jia Li Lee, Andri Andriyana, Bee Chin Ang, Bertrand Huneau, Erwan Verron. Electrospun PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membrane: electrospinnability, surface morphology and mechanical response. *Materials Research Express*, 2018, 5 (6), pp.065311. 10.1088/2053-1591/aac87b . hal-04408041

HAL Id: hal-04408041

<https://hal.science/hal-04408041>

Submitted on 21 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Electrospun PMMA Polymer Blend Nanofibrous Membrane: Electrospinnability, Surface Morphology and Mechanical Response

Jacky Jia Li Lee^{1,a}, Andri Andriyana^{1*,b}, Bee Chin Ang^{2,c}, Bertrand Huneau^{3,d}, Erwan Verron^{3,e}

¹Centre of Advanced Materials,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
University of Malaya,
50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

²Centre of Advanced Materials,
Department of Chemical Engineering,
University of Malaya,
50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

³École Centrale de Nantes,
GeM,

UMR CNRS 6183, BP92101,
44321 Nantes cedex 3, France.

^ajacky_ljl@siswa.um.edu.my, ^bandri.andriyana@um.edu.my, ^camelynang@um.edu.my,

^dbertrand.huneau@ec-nantes.fr, ^eerwan.verron@ec-nantes.fr

* Corresponding Author.

Abstract: The present work focuses on the electrospinning ability, the evaluation of resulting surface morphology and mechanical response of PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membranes. For this purpose, electrospinning ability of PMMA polymer blend is firstly investigated by exploring various set of electrospinning parameters. From the evaluation of surface morphology of the resulting electrospun membranes, the optimum parameters are identified. Using these optimum parameters, tensile specimens are subsequently produced. Three deformation modes are considered: monotonic tensile test, cyclic test with increasing maximum strain and cyclic-relaxation test. Morphological analysis shows that the optimized tensile specimens are initially isotropic on the plane. The mechanical test results highlight the strong inelastic responses of the materials, which include inelastic strain and time-dependent behavior characterized by stress relaxation. Finally, in-situ tensile test outcomes suggest that strain-induced fiber re-orientation took place.

1.0 Introduction

The advancement in technologies led to the extensive researches in the submicron to nano-scaled materials because nano-scaled materials have far superior properties when compared to their bulk form. Among all the nano-scaled materials, nanofibrous membranes are one of the materials that are explored due to their magnificent property of surface area to weight ratio. This property has motivated the use of nanofibrous membranes in applications such as filtration, biomedical applications, sensors and clothings [1-9].

Electrospinning is a versatile fabrication technique for producing nanofibrous membranes. The access to manipulate easily the membrane morphology through proper adjustment of different relevant processing parameters has made electrospinning to be widely used. A large variety of polymers can be used to produce nanofibrous membranes including polyethylene oxide (PEO), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyacrylonitrile (PAN), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) along with different kind of fillers [9-19]. PMMA was chosen in this study due to its mechanical properties [20, 21] and its biocompatibility [22]. In view of further improving the physical and mechanical properties of the nanofibrous membranes, PMMA polymer blend, which is composed of high and low molecular weight PMMA, was adopted in this research.

From a number of works in the literature dealing with electrospinning parameters, it appears that their effect depends on the type of polymer used [9, 13, 23, 24]. Since there is no study available on the role of electrospinning parameters on the morphology of PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membrane, it is essential to explore this effect in such materials.

In a number of works, simple monotonic tensile tests on single nanofiber were conducted to obtain the mechanical properties [25, 26]. Alternatively, the mechanical properties of

1
2
3 nanofibrous membranes were probed using atomic force microscopy (AFM) [27, 28].
4
5 Moreover, the effect of different strain rates [29] and different temperatures [30] on the
6
7 mechanical properties of the nanofibrous membranes were recently investigated. However, to
8
9 the best of our knowledge, only basic mechanical properties such as Young's modulus, tensile
10
11 strength and strain at fracture were commonly investigated. Indeed, only few studies focused
12
13 on the mechanical behavior of non-aligned nanofibrous membrane under complex loading
14
15 histories and the corresponding micromechanism deformation of nanofibrous membranes
16
17 under loading [23].
18
19
20
21
22

23 In this work, a polymer blend of high molecular weight poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)
24
25 ($M_w \sim 996,000$ g/mol) and low molecular weight PMMA ($M_w \sim 120,000$ g/mol) was considered
26
27 to fabricate nanofibrous membrane using electrospinning technique. The electrospinnability and
28
29 also the parameters for producing the best nanofibrous membrane (smallest fiber diameters and
30
31 least beads) was also determined in this study.
32
33
34

35 In addition, the mechanical properties and responses of the nanofibrous membranes produced
36
37 using optimum parameters were determined. Three types of mechanical tests were considered:
38
39 monotonic tensile, cyclic loading with increasing maximum strain and cyclic loading
40
41 interrupted with several relaxation segments. Moreover, in-situ tensile test in SEM was also
42
43 carried out. It is to note that, the mentioned in-situ tensile test in SEM has never been carried
44
45 out before to study the micromechanism deformation of the nanofibrous membranes and the
46
47 re-orientation of the fibers upon deformation.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2.0 Experimental

2.1 Materials

PMMA polymer of average molecular weight of 996,000 g/mol (referred to as high molecular weight PMMA) and 120,000 g/mol (referred to as low molecular weight PMMA) were considered. Moreover, N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) was used as the solvent. All materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2 Experimental Design

The parameters for all samples prepared are shown in **Table 1**. Weight percentage was calculated by dividing the weight of PMMA with the weight of the total solution (DMF+PMMA). All solutions were prepared one day before electrospinning to ensure that PMMA was fully dissolved in DMF. During the stirring process, reflux process was used to prevent DMF from evaporating out from the flasks. After PMMA had been completely dissolved, the polymer solution was then electrospun onto aluminium foil which was attached on a stationary stainless steel plate. The setup for electrospinning is shown in **Figure 1**. The setup for electrospinning is shown in **Figure 1**.

2.3 Characterization

The surface morphology of the samples was obtained by using the SEM Phenom ProX. All nanofibrous membranes were coated with a thin layer of platinum using the platinum coater. The mean diameters of the nanofibers were obtained by calculating the mean value of 100 readings obtained by using the software ImageJ.

High molecular weight PMMA, low molecular weight PMMA, PMMA polymer blend film as well as the chosen PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membrane were sent for thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) to investigate their thermal properties.

2.4 Mechanical Tests

Mechanical tests were carried out using Shimadzu AGS-X Series Universal Tensile Testing Machine equipped with 50N load cell at strain rate of $5.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. 2 cm x 6 cm PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membranes were cut and used for the mechanical tests. Before the mechanical tests were conducted, the thickness of each specimen was measured using the Mitutoyo ABSOLUTE Digimatic Caliper with accuracy of 0.01 mm. The gauge length was fixed at 3 cm.

Three types of mechanical tests were conducted: monotonic tensile, cyclic loading with increasing maximum strain and cyclic loading interrupted with several relaxation segments (referred to as cyclic-relaxation in the rest of the paper). For monotonic tensile loading, the selected PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous specimen was stretched until fracture. Meanwhile for cyclic loading test, the specimen was initially subjected to a maximum strain of 1% before it is increased by 1% for each subsequent cycle until 9% maximum strain. The specimen was

1
2
3 then continued to be stretched until fracture. It is to note that in each cycle, the specimen was
4
5 unloaded to zero stress (zero force) instead of zero strain in order to avoid specimen buckling.
6
7 The cyclic loading profile is shown in **Figure 2(a)**.
8
9

10
11 For cyclic-relaxation, the specimen was stretched up to 2% strain and held for 15 minutes
12
13 at constant strain. The tensile specimen was then stretched further to 4% strain and held for 15
14
15 minutes before it is re-stretched to a maximum strain of 6%. Afterwards, the specimen was
16
17 unloaded and similar relaxation segments were introduced during the unloading at the strain
18
19 levels of 4% and 2%. Similarly to uploading, each relaxation is conducted for the duration of
20
21 15 minutes. The profile for cyclic-relaxation test is shown in Figure 2(b). It is to note that this
22
23 loading sequence is inspired from the works of Lion (1996) [31] and Bergström and Boyce
24
25 (1998) [32].
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **2.5 In-situ Tensile Test in SEM and Orientation Tensor**

35
36
37

38 In order to observe the effect of mechanical loading on the orientations of the fibers, in-situ
39
40 tensile test in SEM was carried out. For this purpose, a Deben tensile stage was used. The SEM
41
42 used in this section is JEOL JSM-6060LV. The tensile stage attached into the SEM is shown
43
44 in **Figure 3**.
45
46
47

48 The average orientations of the fibers at initial and stretched states were then described by
49
50 the use of orientation tensor, thereby allowing the study of strain-induced fiber re-orientation
51
52 in the materials.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 40 images were taken for each maximum strain. The orientation distributions were then
4 taken using OrientationJ, a plugin for ImageJ [33]. The distributions were then normalized with
5 respect to total amount of fibers to obtain the normalized probability density of the orientations
6 of fibers.
7
8
9

10
11
12
13 The method to obtain the orientation tensor of the fibers have been developed by Advani
14 and Tucker [34]. According to their study, in order to properly describe the vector of the fibers,
15 \mathbf{p} , two *Eulerian* angles, $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$ and $\beta \in [0, 2\pi]$ are needed, as shown in **Figure 4**.
16
17
18
19

20
21 In summary, the components of the vector \mathbf{p} of the fibers are:
22

$$23 \quad p_1 = \sin \alpha \cos \beta \quad (1)$$

$$24 \quad p_2 = \sin \alpha \sin \beta \quad (2)$$

$$25 \quad p_3 = \cos \alpha \quad (3)$$

26
27 where $(p_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ are the components of vector \mathbf{p} in the axis of $(e_i)_{i=1,2,3}$. Thus,
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

$$36 \quad \mathbf{p} = \sin \alpha \cos \beta \mathbf{e}_1 + \sin \alpha \sin \beta \mathbf{e}_2 + \cos \alpha \mathbf{e}_3 \quad (4)$$

37
38 The tensor of the orientation can be expressed in terms of 3x3 matrix:
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

$$46 \quad \mathbf{A}_0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 A_{0ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \quad (5)$$

which means that once the normalized probability density of the orientation of fibers ρ has been obtained, the tensor of orientation \mathbf{A}_0 can be obtained. The orientation tensor \mathbf{A}_0 can be represented as:

$$\mathbf{A}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} A_{011} & A_{012} & A_{013} \\ A_{021} & A_{022} & A_{023} \\ A_{031} & A_{032} & A_{033} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

However, due to the nanofibrous membrane being a planar-like structure, the orientation tensor \mathbf{A}_0 can be reduced to

$$\mathbf{A}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} A_{011} & A_{012} & 0 \\ A_{021} & A_{022} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Electrospinnability

As shown in Table 1, various parameters have been optimized in order to produce PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membranes which are suitable for filtration application, i.e. possess certain strength to withstand water pressure and contain high fiber amount to enhance filtration capabilities. Each assigned letter indicated a change in one of the parameters.

Before the electrospinning of the polymer blends, high M_w PMMA and low M_w PMMA have been electrospun separately to determine their electrospinnability and physical properties. Based on the research conducted by Mohammad Khanlou et al. (2015),[35] it requires at least 15 wt% and a maximum of 25 wt% of low M_w PMMA to produce nanofibrous membranes.

1
2
3 Meanwhile for high M_w PMMA, it requires a minimum of 3 wt% and a maximum of 7 wt% of
4 high M_w PMMA to successfully produce nanofibrous membranes.
5
6
7

8
9 Through physical inspection, it was found that the nanofibrous membrane produced from
10 low M_w PMMA had a higher amount of fibers formed and the resulting membrane was thicker.
11 This observation can be related to the higher polymer content in the solution. Indeed, the higher
12 polymer content promotes higher polymer chain entanglement which leads to a thicker
13 membrane [36]. At the macroscopic level, the membrane's surface appeared to be fibrous as
14 shown in **Figure 5**. This observation is similar and analogy to the structure of polymer
15 crystalline spherulite, where amorphous regions (macroscopic fibril surface of electrospun
16 membrane) are found outside the crystalline lamellae (electrospun membrane).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 On the other hand, for the same volume of polymer solution and the same electrospinning
30 duration, nanofibrous membrane produced from high M_w PMMA was found to be thinner. The
31 lower amount of polymer content used for high M_w PMMA led to lower polymer
32 entanglements during electrospinning and thinner electrospun membrane [36]. The nanofibrous
33 membrane produced was brittle but the surface of the nanofibrous membrane produced was
34 smooth as shown in **Figure 6**.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 For the electrospinnability of various polymer concentrations, when Samples H1-H4 were
44 compared, the high M_w PMMA concentration was varied while the low M_w PMMA
45 concentration and electrospinning parameters were fixed at 15 wt%. The results showed that
46 only Samples H1 and H2 could be electrospun. The electrospinning parameters for Samples
47 H3 and H4 were then modified and labeled as Samples P1-P10 as shown in Table 1 for further
48 analysis on the electrospinnability of the polymer concentrations. However, the PMMA polymer
49 blend nanofibrous membrane still cannot be electrospun for high M_w PMMA concentration of
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 4 wt% and 5 wt% paired with low M_w PMMA concentration of 15 wt% regardless of varying
4
5 electrospinning parameters.
6
7

8
9 The low M_w PMMA concentrations were then varied accordingly with high M_w PMMA
10 concentrations as shown for Samples A1-A4, B1-B4 and C1-C4. From these samples, only
11 Samples A3, A4, B3, B4, C1 and C2 can be electrospun. Therefore, it is found that the
12 minimum requirement for electrospinning PMMA polymer blend is to have either ≥ 15 wt%
13 low M_w PMMA and < 4 wt% high M_w PMMA or ≥ 4 wt% high M_w PMMA and < 15 wt% low
14 M_w PMMA. Higher polymer content of the PMMA polymer blend led to highly viscous
15 polymer solution which impedes the ability to control and maintain the flow of polymer
16 solution to the tip of the needle during electrospinning [37].
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 After electrospun various polymer blend concentrations, it was also noticed that the
29 physical properties of the nanofibrous membranes were dependent on the dominant polymer
30 type. Polymer blend with higher high M_w PMMA concentration tend to form more brittle
31 nanofibrous membranes while polymer blend with higher low M_w PMMA concentration tend
32 to form fibrous nanofibrous membranes.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 In the direction of overcoming the undesirable properties of brittleness, fibrous surface and
42 thickness of nanofibrous membrane, the optimum polymer blend concentration was selected
43 through physical inspection: 4 wt% high M_w PMMA and 8 wt% low M_w PMMA. Various
44 electrospinning parameters were manipulated for this polymer blend concentration to further
45 improve the surface morphology of the nanofibrous membranes.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.2 Surface Morphology of Electrospun Nanofibrous Membranes

The concentration of the polymer blend was fixed at 4 wt% high M_w PMMA and 8 wt% low M_w PMMA as the physical properties favors the filtration application as well as for studying the mechanical properties of the nanofibrous membranes. The surface morphology of the nanofibrous membranes were obtained through SEM. In order to study precisely the effect of the main processing parameters of electrospinning on the surface morphology of the nanofibrous membranes, one of the processing parameters was altered while the other processing parameters remained constant. The effects of the processing parameters are discussed as follows.

3.2.1 Feed Rate

In this study, the feed rates used were 0.5 ml/h, 1.0 ml/h and 2.0 ml/h and were labeled as F1, F2 and F3 respectively. The SEM images of the three samples as well as the graph comparing the average fibers diameter of the nanofibrous membranes are shown in **Figure 7**.

As seen from the SEM images in Figure 7, there are no beads formed in all three samples. This is because the feed rates used in this study are adequate to provide stable flow of the solution during electrospinning and the electrical force of the electrospinning is able to overcome the surface tension of the polymer blend. These observation and deduction are also seen and explained in other polymer solutions [38, 39].

Meanwhile, the average fibers diameters of the nanofibrous membranes for sample F1, F2 and F3 are shown in Figure 7 (d). At 0.5 ml/h, the average fibers diameter is $729 \text{ nm} \pm 18 \text{ nm}$ and this value decreases to $661 \text{ nm} \pm 11 \text{ nm}$ when the feed rate is increased to 1.0 ml/h.

1
2
3 However, the value increases to $782 \text{ nm} \pm 13 \text{ nm}$ when the feed rate is further increased to 2.0
4 ml/h. In most researches did by other researchers on polymer solutions, they observed an
5 increase in fiber diameter with increasing feed rate [11, 35, 40].
6
7

8
9
10
11 However, in this study, the lowest feed rate causes an increase in the fiber diameter. This
12 is mainly due to not achieving the minimum flow rate mandatory for electrospinning [41]. The
13 low flow rate caused the solution to be pulled to the collector during electrospinning within the
14 needle, causing the flow of the solution to be unstable, which led to the larger fiber diameter.
15
16 On the other hand, the fibers diameter increases with high flow rate because with high flow
17 rate, more polymer solution are pulled to the collector, thus causing the solvent in the solution
18 to be more difficult to evaporate, leading to the increase in fibers diameter [42].
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 3.2.2 Supply Voltage

29
30
31
32 The effect of the supply voltage on the surface morphology of the nanofibrous membranes
33 are studied by comparing samples V1, F2 and V2, which are electrospun at 10 kV, 15 kV and
34 20 kV respectively. The SEM images of the three samples as well as graph comparing the
35 average fiber diameters of the three samples are shown in **Figure 8**.
36
37
38
39
40

41
42 There are tiny, little beads formed in Sample V1 while for Sample F2 and V2, no bead is
43 observed. Beads are formed in Sample V1, which is produced using supply voltage of 13 kV
44 because the lower supply voltage does not provide sufficient electrical force to stretch the fibers
45 and eliminate the beads during electrospinning [42]. Meanwhile, beads are not formed in
46 Sample F2 and V2 because the polymer concentration used and the electrospinning parameters
47 are within the correct range to prevent beads formation.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 The average fibers diameter, are $794 \text{ nm} \pm 14 \text{ nm}$, $661 \text{ nm} \pm 11 \text{ nm}$ and $694 \text{ nm} \pm 9 \text{ nm}$ for
58 applied voltage of 13 kV, 15 kV and 20 kV respectively. The average fibers diameter decreases
59
60

1
2
3 when the supply voltage is increased from 13 kV to 15 kV because the increase supply voltage
4 increases the electrical force, which aids in overcoming the surface tension of the polymer
5 solution as well as the "pulling force" to elongate the fibers upon reaching the collector. This
6 observation is also found in research did by Zhang, Wang [13] on polyacrylonitrile (PAN). On
7 the contrary, the average fibers diameter increases when the supply voltage is increased from
8 15 kV to 20 kV. In this case, the high supply voltage causes the discontinuity of the polymer
9 solution flowing out from the needle, as well as the high electrical force causes the fibers to
10 reached the collector faster, reducing the time of stretching of the fibers [42].
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 3.2.3 Working Distance

24
25
26 Working distance of electrospinning is also studied in this research. The distances studied
27 are labeled as D1, F2 and D2, which has a working distance of 10 cm, 15 cm and 20 cm
28 respectively. The SEM images of three samples as well as the graph comparing the average
29 fiber diameter of the three samples are shown in **Figure 9**.
30
31
32
33
34

35
36 No beads are observed in all three samples. This signified that the working distances used
37 in this study provide sufficient time for the polymer solution to split and elongate, thus
38 eliminating the beads formation. This phenomenon is also explained by Buchko et al. [43].
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 On the other hand, the average fibers diameters of the three samples obtained are 769 nm
46 ± 14 nm, 661 nm ± 11 nm and 751 nm ± 11 nm respectively. The average fibers diameters
47 decreased with increasing working distance from 10 cm to 15 cm. The increase in working
48 distance provides longer time for the polymer solution to split and elongate during
49 electrospinning before reaching the collector. However, the average fiber diameter is found to
50 increase when the working distance is increased from 15 cm to 20 cm. Different studies on
51 effect of working distance has been made by other researchers, but results obtained were
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 different [12, 42, 44]. It can be believed that the effect of working distance varied with other
4 factors such as type of polymer, supply voltage and type of solvent. In order to study the effect
5 of the working distance on the surface morphology of the nanofibrous membrane, the supply
6 voltage was kept constant at 15 kV. With the supply voltage fixed at 15 kV, even though the
7 increase in working distance would provide longer time for splitting and elongation, the
8 electrical force would decrease. When the working distance is increased to 20 cm, the effect of
9 lower electrical force per distance is predominant than the longer polymer solution travelling
10 duration, thus leading to an increase in the average fiber diameter of the nanofibrous membrane.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 3.2.4 Gauge Size

27
28
29
30 The effect of gauge size on the surface morphology of the nanofibrous membrane is also
31 studied. The three samples used for comparisons are G1, G2 and F2, with the gauge size of 0.6
32 mm, 0.8 mm and 1.1 mm respectively. The SEM images as well as the graph comparing the
33 average fiber diameter of the three samples are shown in **Figure 10**.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 From Figure 10, there are no beads found in all three samples. This signifies that the gauge
41 sizes used do not affect the beads formation of the nanofibrous membrane.
42
43
44
45

46 Meanwhile the average fibers diameters obtained for the samples are $672 \text{ nm} \pm 10 \text{ nm}$, 657
47 $\text{nm} \pm 9 \text{ nm}$ and $661 \text{ nm} \pm 11 \text{ nm}$ respectively. The minor difference in the average fiber diameter
48 is caused by the error obtaining the fibers diameter when using ImageJ, but this error had been
49 minimized as 100 readings were taken to obtain the average fibers diameter. This showed that
50 the gauge size did not affect the beads formation as well as the surface morphology of the
51 nanofibrous membrane.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 However, during the electrospinning process, the use of smaller gauge size tends to clog
4 the flow of polymer solution. Therefore, in the production of nanofibrous membrane for
5 mechanical tests, 1.1 mm gauge is used to ease the electrospinning process.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **3.3 Thermal Analysis**

18
19
20 High M_w PMMA, low M_w PMMA, PMMA polymer blend film and PMMA polymer blend
21 nanofibrous membrane (F2) were sent for thermal analysis. PMMA polymer blend film was
22 prepared by casting the PMMA polymer blend solution in a Petri dish. **Figure 11** shows the
23 graph of weight percentage against temperature for all four samples.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 From Figure 11, all four samples start to decompose at a higher temperature than the
32 minimum thermal decomposition (528°C) recorded by Beyler and Hirschler [45]. Low M_w
33 PMMA, high M_w PMMA and PMMA blend film showed early stages of decomposition at
34 720°C , 660°C and 650°C respectively. PMMA blend fiber on the other hand, starts to
35 decompose at temperature of 750°C . The increase in the decomposition temperature is
36 presumed to be caused by the increase in the crystallinity after electrospinning of PMMA
37 polymer blend. During the electrospinning process, the polymer will tend to align in long chain
38 order and increase the crystallinity and hence increase the thermal stability.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **3.4 Mechanical Tests**

55
56
57 Based on the results discussed in Section 3.2, the best nanofibrous membrane is Sample F2,
58 which has the smallest average fibers diameter ($661\text{ nm} \pm 11\text{ nm}$) without any observable beads.
59
60

1
2
3 This optimized nanofibrous membrane can be produced by using 4 wt% high M_w PMMA and
4
5 8 wt% low M_w PMMA along with the following electrospinning parameters: supply voltage of
6
7 15 kV, feed rate of 1.0 ml/h, working distance of 15 cm and gauge size of 1.1 mm. Therefore,
8
9 this set of parameters is used to produce nanofibrous membranes for mechanical tests.
10
11
12

13 The following mechanical tests were carried out to study the mechanical responses of the
14
15 nanofibrous membranes: monotonic tensile, cyclic loading and cyclic-relaxation. The results
16
17 obtained are discussed as follows.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 3.4.1 Monotonic Tensile 26 27

28 Monotonic tensile was carried out at a strain rate of $5.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. Two samples were
29
30 tested for monotonic tensile test. **Figure 12** (a) shows the stress-strain curve obtained from
31
32 both samples in monotonic tensile loading. The stress-strain curves obtained from both samples
33
34 are relatively similar.
35
36
37

38 From the stress-strain curves obtained from both samples in Figure 12, the engineering
39
40 stress increased until the sample reached maximum stress. As the applied strain was further
41
42 increased, the stress started to decrease. Furthermore, it appeared that the stress decreased at a
43
44 lower rate as the sample was further strained until it underwent fracture at approximately 27%
45
46 strain.
47
48
49

50 From the stress-strain curves of the two samples in Figure 12, the average Young's
51
52 Modulus, yield strength and stress at fracture are found to be 11.65 MPa, 145 kPa and 24 kPa
53
54 respectively. The Young's Modulus was calculated by calculating the gradient of the elastic
55
56 region from the stress-strain curve. The yield point was determined through 0.2% offset method.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In electrospun nanofibrous membranes, Wong et al. [23] suggested the existence of two
4 competing phenomena related to topology change: deformation induced fiber re-orientation
5 and deformation-induced inter-fiber bond damage. The phenomena of realignment of fibers
6 and permanent damage were also observed by Ridruejo et al. [29]. The realignment of the fibers
7 were observed and discussed in Section 3.4.4.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 At around 1.5% strain onwards, it was found that the stress-strain curves in Figure 12 (a)
17 obtained were fluctuating. While the possible reason for this fluctuation remains unclear, it
18 could be possibly due to the fibers in the nanofibrous membrane escaping or hooking onto the
19 fiber membrane itself, causing the decrease or increase in the stress obtained. This phenomenon
20 can be observed during tensile test under optical microscope and in-situ tensile test in SEM
21 (see section 3.4.4).

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31 Figure 12 (b) shows the stress-strain curve of PMMA film as comparison [46]. It was
32 noticed that both bulk PMMA and PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membrane showed
33 qualitative similar stress-strain curve. In bulk PMMA, the general stress-strain characteristics
34 is often described by cold drawing, where two competing phenomena take place: necking and
35 deformation-induced polymeric chain re-alignment [47]. In electrospun nanofibrous
36 membranes, Wong et al. [23] suggested the existence of two competing phenomena related to
37 topology change: deformation induced fiber re-orientation and deformation-induced inter-fiber
38 bond damage. The phenomena of realignment of fibers and permanent damage were also
39 observed by Ridruejo et al. [29]. The realignment of the fibers were observed and discussed in
40 Section 3.4.4.

41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.4.2 Cyclic Loading

In cyclic loading, the nanofibrous membrane was subjected to uploading and unloading with increasing maximum strain until the nanofibrous membrane fractured. The test was carried out to determine the behavior of inelastic deformation as well as the evolution of the elastic modulus of the nanofibrous membranes. The results obtained from cyclic loading were shown in **Figure 13**.

From Figure 13, beyond a certain level of strain, the nanofibrous membrane did not return to its original position after unloading, i.e. inelastic deformation occurred in the nanofibrous membrane. To further understand the behavior of the inelastic deformations of the nanofibrous membrane, a graph of inelastic strain ratio, $\varepsilon_p/\varepsilon_{\max}$ against maximum strain ε_{\max} was plotted. The inelastic strain ratio is calculated by dividing the inelastic strain with the corresponding maximum strain. The graph of inelastic strain ratio against maximum strain is shown in **Figure 14 (a)**.

As seen in Figure 14 (a), the nanofibrous membrane experienced high amount of inelastic deformation before fracture. The inelastic deformation experienced by the nanofibrous membrane also increased with increasing maximum strain in a non-linear way.

By calculating the Young's Modulus of the nanofibrous membrane at each uploading curve, the graph of Young's Modulus against maximum strain was plotted and shown in Figure 14 (b).

Figure 14 (b) illustrates the changes of stiffness of the nanofibrous membrane with respect to the changes in maximum strain. The curve showed an increasing trend at the initial stages, then the Young's Modulus decreased at 2% to 4% maximum strain. The Young's Modulus then remained nearly constant from 4% maximum strain until fracture. The initial increase in the Young's Modulus could be attributed to the realignment of the fibers with deformation, which

1
2
3 caused an increase in the stiffness of the nanofibrous membrane. On the other hand, the
4 following decrease in Young's Modulus after 2% strain might be caused by the permanent
5 broken of physical junctions between the fibers. At early stages, damage of fibers also occurred.
6
7 However, the contribution of the damage on the stiffness is lower compared to the realignment
8 of the fibers. As mentioned by Ridruejo et al. [29], the damage of fibers occurred at the very
9 low maximum strains, which leads to further fiber rearrangement. After 2% strain, the damage
10 in the fibers appeared to become predominant, thus causing the decrease in the stiffness at 4%
11 maximum strain.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 3.4.3 Cyclic-relaxation Test

27
28
29
30 Cyclic-relaxation test was carried out to study the material's behavior through the change
31 in stress values when the material is held at constant strain over a specific duration. From the
32 stress-strain curve obtained after performing the stress-relaxation test, the first and second
33 relaxation showed similar trend, which drop rapidly and eventually achieve equilibrium at the
34 end of the curve. In order to compare the rate of relaxation, both first and second relaxations
35 were normalized by dividing the value of stress with their first value of stress. **Figure 15** shows
36 the normalized stress versus time at the first and second relaxation parts.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 From Figure 15, the two normalized stress curve from first relaxation and second relaxation
48 coincide, thus at both relaxations, the nanofibrous membrane achieved equilibrium at the same
49 rate. This shows that the nanofibrous membrane exhibits linear viscoelastic behavior where the
50 rate of relaxation is independent of strain level.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.3.4 In-situ Tensile Testing in SEM

In-situ tensile test in SEM was also carried out on the nanofibrous membrane. The main purpose of this in-situ tensile test is to study the evolution of fiber orientation as the nanofibrous membrane deforms. From the SEM images shown in **Figure 16**, fibers in the nanofibrous membranes had been reoriented after subjected to tensile loading.

Figure 17 shows the graph of normalized distribution of nanofibrous membranes stretched at different maximum strain against fiber orientations.

The 0° angle corresponds to a direction parallel to the direction of tensile force. As seen in **Figure 16**, before the tensile test was carried out, the nanofibrous membrane was essentially isotropic on the plane, having fibers distributed randomly in all directions. However, after the nanofibrous membrane was stretched to 10% strain, the fibers started to realign themselves parallel to the direction of tensile force. As the nanofibrous membrane was further stretched to higher strain levels, the fibers kept on realigning themselves parallel to the direction of tensile force. **Figure 18** shows the illustrations of the fibers orientation at different strain levels.

The results were then further analyzed in the form of orientation tensors. Through the orientation tensors, the rate of realignment of the fibers can be determined. The orientation tensors were calculated based on the equations as discussed in Section 2.5. In order to determine the rate of re-orientation of the fibers in the nanofibrous membrane, the value of A_{011} in the orientation tensor against respective stretch is required. **Figure 19** shows the value of A_{011} of orientation tensor against stretch of the nanofibrous membrane.

Based on **Figure 19**, the value of A_{011} started off at 0.5, which signified that the nanofibrous membrane was initially isotropic on the plane, having fibers randomly distributed in all directions on the plane of the nanofibrous membrane. Once the fibers were further stretched to

1
2
3 maximum strain of 20%, the value of A_{011} increased to maximum of 0.75. The value of A_{011}
4
5 remained the same despite being further stretched to 30% maximum strain.
6
7

8
9 Finally, it is to note that due to the lengthy procedure of in-situ tensile test in SEM and as
10
11 seen in the relaxation test in Section 3.4.3, relaxation had already taken place in the SEM while
12
13 the orientations of the fibers were taken. Consequently, the obtained orientations correspond
14
15 to the equilibrium state of the fibers. Further improvement of in-situ tensile test should be
16
17 introduced in order to access the fiber orientation at any given applied strain.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **4. CONCLUSION**

31
32
33
34 PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membranes were successfully produced through
35
36 electrospinning. Different PMMA blend concentrations had been tested to check on the
37
38 electrospinnability as well as the physical properties of the nanofibrous membranes produced.
39
40 Through physical inspections, the optimum polymer blend concentration that was able to
41
42 produce nanofibrous membranes suitable for mechanical tests was 4 wt% high M_w PMMA and
43
44 8 wt% low M_w PMMA.
45
46
47
48

49 The optimum parameters to electrospin the PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membrane
50
51 are 4 wt% high M_w PMMA, 8 wt% low M_w PMMA, 1.0 ml/h feed rate, 15 kV supply voltage,
52
53 15 cm working distance and 1.1mm gauge size, which are able to obtain beadless non-woven
54
55 nanofibrous membrane with average fibers diameter of 661 ± 11 nm.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Thermogravimetric analysis showed an increase in thermal stability with the
4 electrospinning of PMMA polymer blend nanofibrous membrane as compared to its powder
5 form and normal film casting.
6
7
8
9

10
11 Through the mechanical tests, the nanofibrous membrane has a Young's Modulus of 11.65
12 MPa. The average yield strength is 145 kPa while the average stress at fracture is 24 kPa. The
13 general stress-strain characteristics of the membrane could be related to either intrinsic cold
14 draws or interaction between fiber reorientation and inter-fiber damage. Cyclic loading showed
15 that the material underwent high inelastic deformation before complete rupture. Stress-
16 relaxation test showed that the nanofibrous membranes exhibit linear viscoelastic response. In-
17 situ test showed that the nanofibrous membrane realigned themselves parallel to the direction
18 of tensile force with increasing strain.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

33
34
35 The authors greatly appreciate the financial support from University of Malaya under the
36 University of Malaya Research Grant (UMRG) fund (Grant No. RP034C-15AET and RP034E-
37 15AET), Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) fund (Grant No. FP048-2013B),
38 Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia through High Impact Research Grant (Grant No.
39 D000008-16001) and from Embassy of France in Malaysia.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 **Keywords:** PMMA, surface morphology, isotropic nanofibrous membrane, mechanical
53 properties, electrospinning
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

1. Ding, B., et al., *Gas sensors based on electrospun nanofibers*. Sensors, 2009. **9**(3): p. 1609-1624.
2. Heikkilä, P., et al., *Electrospinning of polyamides with different chain compositions for filtration application*. Polymer Engineering & Science, 2008. **48**(6): p. 1168-1176.
3. Huang, Z.-M., et al., *A review on polymer nanofibers by electrospinning and their applications in nanocomposites*. Composites Science and Technology, 2003. **63**(15): p. 2223-2253.
4. Khil, M.-S., et al., *Electrospun nanofibrous polyurethane membrane as wound dressing*. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials, 2003. **67B**(2): p. 675-679.
5. Talebian, S., et al., *Chitosan (PEO)/bioactive glass hybrid nanofibers for bone tissue engineering*. RSC Adv., 2014. **4**(90): p. 49144-49152.
6. Unnithan, A.R., et al., *Wound-dressing materials with antibacterial activity from electrospun polyurethane–dextran nanofiber mats containing ciprofloxacin HCl*. Carbohydrate Polymers, 2012. **90**(4): p. 1786-1793.
7. Wang, X., et al., *Electrospun Nanofibrous Membranes for Highly Sensitive Optical Sensors*. Nano Letters, 2002. **2**(11): p. 1273-1275.
8. Yu, D.-G., et al., *PVP nanofibers prepared using co-axial electrospinning with salt solution as sheath fluid*. Materials Letters, 2012. **67**(1): p. 78-80.
9. Zhou, W., et al., *Ultra-filtration membranes based on electrospun poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) fibrous composite membrane scaffolds*. RSC Advances, 2013. **3**(29): p. 11614-11620.
10. Cheng, L., et al., *NaF-loaded core–shell PAN–PMMA nanofibers as reinforcements for Bis-GMA/TEGDMA restorative resins*. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 2014. **34**: p. 262-269.
11. Doshi, J. and D.H. Reneker. *Electrospinning process and applications of electrospun fibers*. in *Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting, 1993., Conference Record of the 1993 IEEE*. 1993.
12. Lee, J.S., et al., *Role of molecular weight of atactic poly (vinyl alcohol)(PVA) in the structure and properties of PVA nanofabric prepared by electrospinning*. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 2004. **93**(4): p. 1638-1646.
13. Zhang, X., et al., *The Morphology of the Hollow PAN Fibers through Electrospinning*. AASRI Procedia, 2012. **3**: p. 236-241.
14. Tao, J. and S. Shivkumar, *Molecular weight dependent structural regimes during the electrospinning of PVA*. Materials Letters, 2007. **61**(11–12): p. 2325-2328.
15. Islam, M.S., et al. *Fabrication and Characterization of Poly (Vinyl Alcohol)/Chitosan Blend Electrospun Nanofibrous Membrane*. in *Key Engineering Materials*. 2016. Trans Tech Publ.
16. Wong, D., et al. *Surface Morphology Analysis and Mechanical Characterization of Electrospun Nanofibrous Structure*. in *Key Engineering Materials*. 2016. Trans Tech Publ.
17. Lee, J.J.L., et al., *Fabrication of PMMA/zeolite nanofibrous membrane through electrospinning and its adsorption behavior*. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 2017. **134**(6).
18. Li, L., et al., *Hierarchically structured PMMA fibers fabricated by electrospinning*. RSC Advances, 2014. **4**(95): p. 52973-52985.

19. Bae, H.-S., et al., *Fabrication of highly porous PMMA electrospun fibers and their application in the removal of phenol and iodine*. Journal of Polymer Research, 2013. **20**(7): p. 158.
20. Cambridge University Engineering Department, *Materials Data Book*. 2003. p. 11.
21. Stachurski, Z.H., *Strength and deformation of rigid polymers: the stress–strain curve in amorphous PMMA*. Polymer, 2003. **44**(19): p. 6067-6076.
22. Frazer, R.Q., et al., *PMMA: an essential material in medicine and dentistry*. Journal of long-term effects of medical implants, 2005. **15**(6).
23. Wong, D., et al., *Surface morphology and mechanical response of randomly oriented electrospun nanofibrous membrane*. Polymer Testing, 2016. **53**: p. 108-115.
24. Habiba, U., et al., *Adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of anionic dyes on Chitosan/PVA/Na–Titanate/TiO₂ composites synthesized by solution casting method*. Carbohydrate Polymers, 2016. **149**: p. 317-331.
25. Huang, Z.-M., et al., *Electrospinning and mechanical characterization of gelatin nanofibers*. Polymer, 2004. **45**(15): p. 5361-5368.
26. Tan, E.P.S., S.Y. Ng, and C.T. Lim, *Tensile testing of a single ultrafine polymeric fiber*. Biomaterials, 2005. **26**(13): p. 1453-1456.
27. Tan, E.P.S., et al., *Tensile test of a single nanofiber using an atomic force microscope tip*. Applied Physics Letters, 2005. **86**(7): p. 073115.
28. Hwang, K.Y., et al., *Mechanical characterization of nanofibers using a nanomanipulator and atomic force microscope cantilever in a scanning electron microscope*. Polymer Testing, 2010. **29**(3): p. 375-380.
29. Ridruejo, A., C. González, and J. Llorca, *Micromechanisms of deformation and fracture of polypropylene nonwoven fabrics*. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 2011. **48**(1): p. 153-162.
30. Jubera, R., et al., *Mechanical behavior and deformation micromechanisms of polypropylene nonwoven fabrics as a function of temperature and strain rate*. Mechanics of Materials, 2014. **74**: p. 14-25.
31. Lion, A., *A constitutive model for carbon black filled rubber: experimental investigations and mathematical representation*. Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics, 1996. **8**(3): p. 153-169.
32. Bergström, J. and M. Boyce, *Constitutive modeling of the large strain time-dependent behavior of elastomers*. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 1998. **46**(5): p. 931-954.
33. Rezakhaniha, R., et al., *Experimental investigation of collagen waviness and orientation in the arterial adventitia using confocal laser scanning microscopy*. Biomechanics and modeling in mechanobiology, 2012. **11**(3-4): p. 461-473.
34. Advani, S.G. and C.L. Tucker, *The Use of Tensors to Describe and Predict Fiber Orientation in Short Fiber Composites*. Journal of Rheology, 1987. **31**(8): p. 751-784.
35. Mohammad Khanlou, H., et al., *Electrospinning of polymethyl methacrylate nanofibers: optimization of processing parameters using the Taguchi design of experiments*. Textile Research Journal, 2015. **85**(4): p. 356-368.
36. Shenoy, S.L., et al., *Role of chain entanglements on fiber formation during electrospinning of polymer solutions: good solvent, non-specific polymer–polymer interaction limit*. Polymer, 2005. **46**(10): p. 3372-3384.
37. Deitzel, J.M., et al., *The effect of processing variables on the morphology of electrospun nanofibers and textiles*. Polymer, 2001. **42**(1): p. 261-272.
38. Fong, H., I. Chun, and D.H. Reneker, *Beaded nanofibers formed during electrospinning*. Polymer, 1999. **40**(16): p. 4585-4592.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
39. Zong, X., et al., *Structure and process relationship of electrospun bioabsorbable nanofiber membranes*. *Polymer*, 2002. **43**(16): p. 4403-4412.
 40. Li, D. and Y. Xia, *Electrospinning of nanofibers: reinventing the wheel?* *Advanced materials*, 2004. **16**(14): p. 1151-1170.
 41. Zeleny, J., *The role of surface instability in electrical discharges from drops of alcohol and water in air at atmospheric pressure*. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 1935. **219**(6): p. 659-675.
 42. Liu, Y., et al., *Preparation of novel ultrafine fibers based on DNA and poly(ethylene oxide) by electrospinning from aqueous solutions*. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 2007. **67**(5): p. 461-467.
 43. Buchko, C.J., et al., *Processing and microstructural characterization of porous biocompatible protein polymer thin films*. *Polymer*, 1999. **40**(26): p. 7397-7407.
 44. Shukla, S., et al., *Electrospinning of hydroxypropyl cellulose fibers and their application in synthesis of nano and submicron tin oxide fibers*. *Polymer*, 2005. **46**(26): p. 12130-12145.
 45. Beyler, C.L. and M.M. Hirschler, *Thermal decomposition of polymers*. *SFPE handbook of fire protection engineering*, 2002. **2**.
 46. Dixit, M., et al., *Morphology, miscibility and mechanical properties of PMMA/PC blends*. *Phase Transitions*, 2009. **82**(12): p. 866-878.
 47. Callister, W.D. and D.G. Rethwisch, *Materials science and engineering: an introduction*. Vol. 7. 2007: Wiley New York.

Figures




Figure 1. Setup for electrospinning.




Figure 2. Loading profile for (a) cyclic loading test and (b) cyclic-relaxation test.



Figure 3. Red circle indicates the Deben tensile machine which is attached in SEM for in-situ tensile test.




Figure 4. Characterization for an arbitrary unit direction vector \mathbf{p} by means of Eulerian angles in a three-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system.



32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 5. Image of electrospun low Mw PMMA nanofibrous membrane taken using digital microscope at 50x magnification.



Figure 6. Image of electrospun high Mw PMMA nanofibrous membrane taken using digital microscope at 50x magnification.




Figure 7. SEM images of Sample (a) F1, (b) F2, (c) F3 and (d) graph of average fiber diameter against feed rate.




Figure 8. SEM images of Sample (a) V1, (b) F2 (c) V2 and (d) graph of average fibers diameter against supply voltage.




Figure 9. SEM images of Sample (a) D1, (b) F2 (c) D2 and (d) graph of average fibers diameter against working distance.




Figure 10. SEM images of Sample (a) G1, (b) G2 (c) F2 and (d) graph of average fibers diameter against gauge size.




Figure 11. Graph of weight percentage against temperature obtained from TGA.




Figure 12. (a) Stress-strain curve of two samples at strain rate of 0.00055 sec^{-1} under monotonic tensile, (b) stress-strain curve of PMMA/PC film at different weight ratios [46]




Figure 13. Stress-strain curve obtained from cyclic loading of Sample F2 at strain rate of $5.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$.




Figure 14. (a) Plot of inelastic strain ratio against maximum strain. (b) Plot of Young's Modulus versus maximum strain.





Figure 15. Plot of normalized stress for first relaxation and second relaxation against time.



17 *Figure 16.* SEM images of nanofibrous membrane (a) before being stretched and (b) after
18 being stretched to 30% engineering strain.
19




Figure 17. Graph of normalized distribution of fiber orientation against angle of fibers in nanofibrous membrane.




Figure 18. Illustrations of fibers orientation at strain levels A) 0 %, B) 10%, C) 20 % and D) 30 %.




Figure 19. Graph of value of A_{011} against stretch of the nanofibrous membranes.

Tables

Table 1. List of samples with their respective electrospinning parameters.

Sample Reference	Wt% of high M_w PMMA	Wt% of low M_w PMMA	Feed rate, ml/h	Applied voltage, kV	Working distance, cm	Gauge size, mm	Electrospinnability
H1	2	15	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
H2	3	15	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
H3	4	15	1.0	15	15	1.1	No
H4	5	15	1.0	15	15	1.1	No
P1	4	15	1.0	10	15	1.1	No
P2	4	15	1.0	20	15	1.1	No
P3	4	15	1.0	10	10	1.1	No
P4	4	15	1.0	20	20	1.1	No
P5	5	15	1.0	10	15	1.1	No
P6	5	15	1.0	20	15	1.1	No
P7	5	15	1.0	15	10	1.1	No
P8	5	15	1.0	15	20	1.1	No
P9	4	15	2.0	15	15	1.1	No
P10	5	15	2.0	15	15	1.1	No
A1	2	12	1.0	15	15	1.1	No
A2	3	12	1.0	15	15	1.1	No
A3	4	12	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
A4	5	12	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
B1	2	8	1.0	15	15	1.1	No
B2 (F2)	3	8	1.0	15	15	1.1	No
B3	4	8	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
B4	5	8	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
C1	2	20	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
C2	3	20	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
C3	4	20	1.0	15	15	1.1	No
C4	5	20	1.0	15	15	1.1	No
F1	4	8	0.5	15	15	1.1	Yes
F2 (B2)	4	8	1.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
F3	4	8	2.0	15	15	1.1	Yes
V1	4	8	1.0	10	15	1.1	Yes
V2	4	8	1.0	20	15	1.1	Yes
D1	4	8	1.0	15	10	1.1	Yes
D2	4	8	1.0	15	20	1.1	Yes