

# TAVR at 5 Years - Rematch or Swan Song for Surgery?

Eric van Belle

### ▶ To cite this version:

Eric van Belle. TAVR at 5 Years - Rematch or Swan Song for Surgery?. New England Journal of Medicine, 2020, 382 (9), pp.867-868. 10.1056/NEJMe2000240. hal-04407493

# HAL Id: hal-04407493 https://hal.science/hal-04407493v1

Submitted on 20 Jan 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **EDITORIAL**

TAVR at 5 Years — Rematch or Swan Song for Surgery?

• Eric Van Belle, M.D., Ph.D.

To become the first-line treatment for patients with aortic stenosis, <sup>1</sup> transcatheter aortic-valve replacement (TAVR) must not only mitigate periprocedural events but also be as effective as surgical aortic-valve replacement in the long term. Makkar et al. examined this question and report in this issue of the *Journal* the 5-year outcomes of the Placement of Aortic Transcatheter Valves (PARTNER) 2 cohort A trial,<sup>2</sup> which involved patients at intermediate surgical risk. A total of 2032 patients were stratified according to intended transfemoral or transthoracic access and randomly assigned to undergo TAVR with the second-generation balloon-expandable SAPIEN XT valve or surgical aortic-valve replacement. The trial showed that TAVR was noninferior to surgery with respect to the primary outcome of death from any cause or disabling stroke at 2 years.<sup>3</sup> Makkar et al. confirmed that at 5 years there was no significant difference in the incidence of death or disabling stroke between TAVR and surgical aortic-valve replacement (47.9% and 43.4%, respectively; hazard ratio, 1.09, 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.95 to 1.25). Because patients will always prefer a minimally invasive catheter-based therapy to open surgery, this could be seen as a resounding victory. However, a landmark analysis of events occurring between 2 and 5 years after the procedure clearly showed a divergence of event rates in favor of surgery (hazard ratio, 1.27; 95% CI, 1.06 to 1.53) (Table S7 in the Supplementary Appendix of the article, available at NEJM.org). This late increase in risk with TAVR, which was noticeable in both the transfemoralaccess and transthoracic-access subgroups and has already been reported with a self-expanding TAVR valve,  $\frac{4}{3}$  arouses concerns. Several aspects of the 5-year report are worthy of note.

# Sign up for Alerts & Updates

Stay up to date on relevant content from the *New England Journal of Medicine* with free email alerts.

First, 8.5% of the TAVR recipients had at least moderate paravalvular regurgitation at 2 years, as compared with only 0.4% after surgery, with the use of multiple imputation to account for missing echocardiographic data (Table S11). Moderate or severe paravalvular regurgitation after TAVR has consistently been associated with an increase in mortality by a factor of 2 to 3 at 1 to 2 years. Makkar et al. found that this risk of death persists at 5 years (hazard ratio for moderate or severe vs. none or trace, 2.44; 95% CI, 1.54 to 3.85).

Second, mild paravalvular regurgitation at 2 years was seven times more frequent after TAVR than after surgery (25.2% vs. 3.5%). The clinical consequences of this finding are debated. Makkar et al. report a higher mortality among patients with mild paravalvular regurgitation (hazard ratio for mild vs. none or trace, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.98 to 1.59), consistent with the findings of the FRANCE-TAVI registry (Registry of Aortic Valve Bioprostheses Established by Catheter) (hazard ratio, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.27). It is interesting that the diverging mortality curves with TAVR and surgery after 2 years mirrored the late increase in deaths among patients with mild paravalvular regurgitation. It also suggests that grading is challenging for "mild-to-moderate" categories and that misclassification could happen. <sup>7</sup>

Third, the protocol of the PARTNER 2 cohort A trial allowed concomitant procedures. Although coronary-artery disease was evenly distributed between the two groups, 14.5% of the patients in the surgery group had concomitant coronary-artery bypass grafting, but only 3.9% of the patients in the TAVR group underwent percutaneous coronary intervention. In addition, 86 patients in the surgery group (9.1%) underwent combined valve procedures (as compared with none in the TAVR group). Therefore, a total of 23.6% of the patients in the

surgery group had "optimized" surgery, which could have reduced the occurrence of late adverse outcomes. These data indicate that surgery offers an all-in-one treatment that could provide long-term benefit for patients with complex conditions.

Fourth, with respect to valve durability, the aortic-valve gradient remained excellent at 5 years in both the TAVR group and the surgery group (11.4 mm Hg and 10.8 mm Hg, respectively), consistent with findings in the French Aortic National CoreValve and Edwards (FRANCE-2) Registry. However, patients in the TAVR group had nearly three times as many valve-related hospitalizations as those in the surgery group (92 vs. 31) (Table S9), and they underwent 21 aortic-valve reinterventions (as compared with 6 in the surgery group). These findings echo the higher incidence of structural valve deterioration with SAPIEN XT than with surgery (8.8% vs. 3.5%) at 5 years reported by Pibarot in the PARTNER 2 cohort A trial. Page 10.25 processes the surgery group of the page 20.25 processes the page 20.25 processes are processes as the surgery group of the page 20.25 processes are processes as the page 20.25 process

Fifth, for more than 35% of the patients, echocardiography variables were missing or incomplete at 5 years. In addition, follow-up data at 5 years were not available for 281 patients (13.8%), two thirds of whom were in the surgery group. Although the baseline characteristics of these 281 patients are not reported according to treatment assignment, the comparison with patients for whom complete follow-up data were available and the sensitivity analyses to account for missing data are reassuring.

Sixth, the results of the present trial cannot be extrapolated to current clinical practice because second-generation valves are not implanted any more and alternative transthoracic access has been abandoned for carotid or subclavian approaches. The third-generation balloon-expandable SAPIEN 3 valve combined with computed tomography—based annulus sizing was associated with a 2-year incidence of moderate or greater paravalvular regurgitation as low as  $3.7\%\frac{10}{10}$  and a 4-year durability similar to that of surgical valves. The 5-year

durability outcome of the PARTNER 2 SAPIEN 3 intermediate-risk registry is eagerly waited.

The results of the PARTNER 2 cohort A trial showing similar effects for TAVR and surgery with respect to death or disabling stroke at 5 years confirm that TAVR is a game changer. However, this trial also reveals the long-term drawbacks of TAVR technology, which is still relatively new. Whether the refinements of third-generation valves and further iterations will overcome these pitfalls is a question requiring an answer before TAVR can take over as first-line treatment from the well-defined procedure of surgical aortic-valve replacement in intermediate-risk patients. An old fable taught us that "slow but steady wins the race." Since the journey of TAVR is only starting, the moral may be changing.

<u>Disclosure forms</u> provided by the author are available with the full text of this editorial at NEJM.org.

I thank Flavien Vincent, M.D., Ph.D., for his assistance in preparing this editorial.

#### **Author Affiliations**

From Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Lille, Institut Cœur Poumon, Cardiology, Department of Interventional Cardiology for Coronary, Valve, and Structural Heart Diseases; INSERM Unité 1011, Institut Pasteur de Lille, European Genomic Institute for Diabetes; and Université de Lille — all in Lille, France.

#### References (10)

 1. Mack MJ, Leon MB, Thourani VH, et al. Transcatheter aortic-valve replacement with a balloon-expandable valve in low-risk patients. N Engl J Med 2019;380:1695-1705.

- 2. **2.**Makkar RR, Thourani VH, Mack MJ, et al. Five-year outcomes of transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve replacement. N Engl J Med 2020;382:799-809.
- 3. **3.**Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack MJ, et al. Transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve replacement in intermediate-risk patients. N Engl J Med 2016;374:1609-1620.
- 4. **4.**Gleason TG, Reardon MJ, Popma JJ, et al. 5-Year outcomes of self-expanding transcatheter versus surgical aortic valve replacement in high-risk patients. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:2687-2696.
- 5. **5.**Van Belle E, Juthier F, Susen S, et al. Postprocedural aortic regurgitation in balloon-expandable and self-expandable transcatheter aortic valve replacement procedures: analysis of predictors and impact on long-term mortality: insights from the FRANCE2 Registry. Circulation 2014;129:1415-1427.
- 6. **6.**Van Belle E, Vincent F, Labreuche J, et al. Balloon-expandable versus self-expanding transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a propensity-matched comparison from the FRANCE-TAVI Registry. Circulation 2020;141:243-259.
- 7. **7.**Pibarot P, Hahn RT, Weissman NJ, Monaghan MJ. Assessment of paravalvular regurgitation following TAVR: a proposal of unifying grading scheme. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8:340-360.
- 8. **8.**Didier R, Eltchaninoff H, Donzeau-Gouge P, et al. Five-year clinical outcome and valve durability after transcatheter aortic valve replacement in high-risk patients. Circulation 2018;138:2597-2607.

- 9. **9.**Pibarot P. Incidence, predictors, and outcome of structural valve deterioration in transcatheter versus surgical aortic valve replacement: 5 year follow-up from the PARTNER 2 trials intermediate risk. Presented at PCR London Valves 2019, London, November 17–19, 2019.
- 10.**10.**Thourani VH, Kodali S, Makkar RR, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement versus surgical valve replacement in intermediate-risk patients: a propensity score analysis. Lancet 2016;387:2218-2225.