

Traffic and weather influence on small wildlife carcass persistence time on roads

Annaëlle Bénard, Christophe Bonenfant, Thierry Lengagne

► To cite this version:

Annaëlle Bénard, Christophe Bonenfant, Thierry Lengagne. Traffic and weather influence on small wildlife carcass persistence time on roads. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 2024, 126, pp.104012. 10.1016/j.trd.2023.104012 . hal-04405807

HAL Id: hal-04405807 https://hal.science/hal-04405807v1

Submitted on 19 Jan2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Traffic and weather influence on small wildlife carcass persistence time on roads

Annaëlle Bénard^{1*}, Christophe Bonenfant², Thierry Lengagne¹

¹ Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, UMR 5023 LEHNA, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France

² Université de Lyon, F-69000 Lyon; Université Lyon 1; CNRS, UMR5558, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Évolutive, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France

* corresponding author: annaelle.benard@outlook.fr

1. Introduction

Human activities and their role in the on-going global biodiversity decline have been identified as the main cause of biodiversity loss over the past decades (Pollock et al., 2020). Of particular concern are linear transportation infrastructures such as roads (Forman et al., 2003) that threaten the viability of many wildlife populations (Moore et al., 2023), first and foremost of endangered species (Martin et al., 2018; Shepard et al., 2008; Taylor & Goldingay, 2009). The rapidly expanding road network concentrates all major threats to biodiversity (Forman & Alexander, 1998; Rytwinski & Fahrig, 2015), jeopardizing the fitness of individuals. First, roads and traffic hamper animal movement and gene flows through habitat fragmentation (Lesbarrès & Fahrig, 2012; Hepenstrick et al., 2012). Paved and unpaved roads harm habitats by compacting soil, releasing dust and other sediments and altering the hydrology of landscapes, all of which with direct effects on terrestrial and aquatic communities (Goosem, 2007; Trombulak & Frissell, 2000). Chemical pollutants accumulating on roads alter the composition of roadside vegetation communities, often favoring the expansion of invasive species (Lagerwerff & Specht, 2002; Nunes et al., 2020; Valladares et al., 2008). Traffic noise and light alter the physiology and behavior, lower the reproductive success, and deter the presence of multiple species up to several hundreds of meters away from the road (Lengagne, 2008; Parris & Schneider, 2009; Reijnen & Foppen, 1994; Troïanowski et al., 2017). Of all these ecological effects, roadkill is perhaps the most critical driver of biodiversity loss in relation to roads: wildlife-vehicle collisions most likely affect all terrestrial species and may be the second largest source of anthropogenic mortality behind hunting (Hill et al., 2019). However, the scale and implications of this phenomenon have yet to be fully understood (Grilo et al., 2020, 2021; Moore et al., 2023).

One reason for this is a deficiency in roadkill data due to the fact that the quantification of roadkill numbers is likely heavily underestimated, caused by unknown persistence and imperfect detection of dead animals on roads. Past studies suggested that performing road surveys on foot or bike at low 2

speed improves accuracy by increasing the detection rates of roadkill carcasses (Barrientos et al., 2018; Collinson et al., 2014; Ogletree & Mead, 2020). Once killed, an animal can either be scavenged, mechanically disappear with the flow of vehicles, or be displaced by people. This persistence time of carcasses on the road dictates the amount of time carcasses are at risk of detection (Ratton et al., 2014). The direct consequence of a persistence time of carcasses shorter than the frequency of surveys is a severe underestimation of roadkill numbers. Recently, more and more authors have focused on the importance of carcass persistence and its structuring factors in roadkill surveys (Ratton et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2011; Teixeira, Coelho, Esperandio, & Kindel, 2013) and introduced correction factors in the datasets (Gonzalez-Suàrez et al, 2018; Grilo et al., 2020).

Most attempts at estimating the persistence time of carcasses focus on scavenging rates using chicks and other small-bodied animals as bait for carrion feeders, and find that theses carcasses seldom persist more than a few days after being placed on the road (Antworth et al., 2005; Ratton et al., 2014; Santos & Ascensão, 2019; Schwartz et al., 2018). Santos et al. (2011) recorded persistence times for a wide range of species and have highlighted the roles of road traffic, species and seasons on carcass removal rates. They found that carcasses disappeared quicker from smaller roads, possibly because low traffic volumes allow carrion-feeders easier access to roadkill (see also Ratton et al., 2014). Rain and high humidity also accelerated carcass disappearance. Humid conditions promote faster soft tissue decay (Brand et al., 2003), and Santos et al. (2011) suggested that in the case of roadkill, humidity promotes carcass dismemberment by vehicles. In contrast, Henry et al. (2021) found no effect of rainfall on the speed of disappearance in several species of mammals, birds reptiles and aphibians. The position of the carcass on the road also has been shown to influence persistence, with rodent carcasses on the lane disappearing faster than carcasses on the road shoulder (Santos & Ascensão, 2019).

The median persistence time (*i.e.*, the time at which 50% of the carcasses have disappeared) of small-bodied fauna including reptiles, amphibians, small birds (<200 g), bats and other small mammals (<300 g) is approximately 1 day (Santos & Ascensão, 2019; Santos et al., 2011). Considering that in these studies, the surveys were done every 24 hours, median persistence time for these species might in reality be even less than a day. Indeed, Stewart (1971) placed 50 house sparrow carcasses on the surface of a highway and found that none remained after 2 hours. Roadkill surveys, even when performed daily, may under-estimate the number of collisions for the smallest species. Given the distribution of body size among mobile and terrestrial vertebrates (Smith & Lyons, 2013), road mortality could likely be underappreciated for 60% of the extent species. Consequently, efficient mitigation and conservation measures for these species require accurate estimations of persistence time and its spatio-temporal variability (Teixeira, Coelho, Esperandio, & Kindel, 2013).

Many small species of vertebrates are indeed of conservation concern (Pereira et al., 2010). Despite short persistence and low detectability during surveys (Teixeira Coelho, Esperandio, & Kindel, 2013), small-bodied species such as small birds, bats, rodents, reptiles and amphibians are often well represented in roadkill datasets (Gerow et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2011; Selvan et al., 2012). Amphibian roadkill has long been documented because massive roadkill occurs during the breeding season when amphibians leave their terrestrial habitat to gather in breeding ponds. It is the most endangered vertebrate group and has shown a significant decline in the last decades due to environmental disturbance (Sterrett et al., 2019). Mitigation measures are often used to reduce mortality during road crossings (Beebee, 2013; Helldin & Petrovan, 2019; Testud, 2020). Yet, for all small-bodied species including amphibians, we lack good quality data leading to robust estimations of persistence time, and consequently are left with unreliable estimates of roadkill occurrence. a supprimé:

To fill the gap in knowledge for small-bodied wildlife road mortality, we experimentally estimated the persistence time after a collision by placing thawed carcasses on roads and monitoring their removal time and rate at a fine temporal resolution of 2 hours. We estimated persistence time on roads of different traffic volumes and under different weather conditions, predicting that persistence time would be shorter on smaller roads and in high humidity conditions (Santos et al., 2011). As carcass position on the lane could affect persistence (Antworth et al., 2005; Santos & Ascensão, 2019), we randomized the placement of the animal. Santos et al. (2011) found significant differences in persistence between species of similar body sizes but different taxa, so we used both small amphibians and birds (< 20g) in an effort to generalize our results to other small-sized species. Lastly, using roadkill surveys we performed during the reproductive migration of the common toad *Bufo bufo* and citizen-science data on small passerine road mortality, we estimated the proportion of missed carcasses, and therefore the number of amphibian roadkill during surveys as well as the number of small passerine roadkill in the Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes region France.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Study location and sampling design

We measured persistence time between March and July 2022 for dry days, October and December 2022 for rainy days. We bought amphibian carcasses (*Pelophylax kl. esculentus*) from a licensed supplier (SONODIS) and procured small passerine carcasses (< 20g) from a wildlife rehabilitation center (Le Tichodrome, Isère, France). We stored all carcasses at -20°C and thawed them for 24h before the day of the experiment.

We selected four roads for passerine trials, and seven roads for amphibians (speed limit: 80 km.h⁻¹). We chose experimental locations to cover a wide range of road traffic volumes (130 to 9 300 veh.day⁻¹). We retrieved the average traffic volume estimation over the last 3 years from local authorities for all roads but the smallest and less frequented ones (referred to as "*Petit Nice*" thereafter). We estimated missing traffic volume values by placing a camera-trap overlooking the road, and recording one-minute videos every five minutes during 24 hours. We previously compared traffic estimations as provided by authorities and our method using camera traps, and found camera traps yielded very satisfactory results (camera-trap estimation: 11 220 veh.day⁻¹; official mean daily traffic estimation: 11 135 veh.day⁻¹).

On each road, we placed N=10 carcasses on the asphalt road surface such that carcasses were at least 1 meter apart. We chose the lane using a random number generator so that carcasses could be on road shoulders, in the center of the lane so they would fit in-between the tires of most vehicles, or directly in the path of the left or right vehicle tires (Fig. A1). We surveyed the carcasses on foot every two hours to determine whether they had disappeared. We considered a complete removal of a carcass when the observer could no longer detect it when walking on the road. Before running the experiment, we conducted training to ensure the different observers agreed on what a 'removed' carcass was. For passerines, we replicated the experiment on a rain-free day where the road surface was completely dry, and on a rainy day during which the road surface was never dry. For amphibians, we completed the experiments on the eight locations during rain-free days but replicates on rainy days were limited to three locations only corresponding to traffic volumes of 130, 4160 and 8 000 veh.day⁻¹.

2.2 Data analyses of persistence time

We obtained data in which the removal of the carcass from the road was only known to occur within an interval of two hours: for example, a carcass that was present on the first survey but had 6 disappeared on the second had disappeared after a period of two to four hours on the road surface. The observations could be right-censored if the carcass was still present at the end of the experiment. As ignoring interval-censoring in survival data can lead to biases in survival estimates (Radke, 2003), we chose to model the persistence with interval-censored survival models, an extension of traditional survival models designed to analyze survival data in which the event of interest (here, disappearance from road) is only known to occur within a defined time interval (Anderson-Bergman, 2017). Interval censored models accommodate right-censored data points. We modeled the persistence of amphibians and small passerines in two separate models.

We used R package *icenReg* (Anderson-Bergman, 2017) for the R statistical software (R Core Team, 2021) which provides tools for implementing parametric interval-censored proportional hazards survival models. We selected the underlying parametric distributions of each model by visually comparing the available distributions to the corresponding Cox-PH model and selecting a distribution with no systematic deviation (Anderson-Bergman, 2017). Amphibian persistence was modeled using a gamma distribution, and passerine persistence using a log-normal distribution. We modeled the effects of road traffic volume (continuous variable), weather (two modalities categorical variable: dry vs. rain) and position on the lane (two modalities categorical variable: placed in the path of the tires or outside, see Fig. 2) on the persistence of the carcasses. We included observer ID (A/B) to accommodate for systematic differences in measurement between the two observers when analyzing amphibian data, but not for passerines because it lined up with the weather variable (all dry days were conducted by observer A, and all rainy days by observer B). We entered road traffic volume on persistence as a linear covariable, and as a log-transformed variable to account for potential non-linear relationships with carcass persistence. We represented the predicted median persistence by each model (linear vs. log-transformed) and the median persistence times of each road using the Turnbull estimator. We selected the log-transformed model for amphibian persistence and the linear model for passerine persistence based on visual fit (Fig. A2).

2.3 Estimation of roadkill numbers

Using our measurements of persistence times, we computed the number of roadkilled amphibians and small passerines during road surveys. For amphibians, we performed two roadkill surveys on foot on separate evenings in March 2022, during the common toad reproductive migration at a known road-crossing location on road D1504. The time elapsed between the collision window and the survey was three hours, and the D1504 road a mean daily traffic volume estimated at 3379 veh.day⁻¹, which we adjusted to 1126 veh.day⁻¹ considering the rule of thumb that only 25% of the traffic occurs between 7p.m. and 7a.m, the time of the survey, while the persistence experiments were conducted during the day (Van Langevelde & Jaarsma, 2005). Using the traffic volume and the time elapsed between collision window and road survey, we estimated from the survival model the proportion of carcasses having already disappeared from the road surface.

For passerines, roadkill count data was extracted for birds species < 20g from *Faune-Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes*, a citizen science database that compiles species presence as well as roadkill data submitted in real time by volunteers from the region Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (thereafter: AuRA), France. We extracted the daily roadkill reports during the year 2022. Following Teixeira et al. (2012), the roadkill rate λ (collision.day⁻¹) can be estimated as $\lambda = \frac{N}{p.T_r}$ where *N* is the number of roadkill carcasses counted, *p* is the detection rate of the carcasses by the observer and the characteristic time T_r is the time needed to reduce the number of remaining carcasses on the road by $1 - \frac{1}{e^1} \sim 63\%$. We estimated T_r from the fitted survival model. We used it to estimate the daily number of collisions for 2022 in AuRA. We assumed detection rate p = 1 (all carcasses are detected) 8

as we had no information about detection probabilities for small passerines. We explored the implications of imperfect carcass detection on passerine roadkill estimations in section 3 of the supplementary material.

To take into account the underlying distribution patterns of passerine species, we extracted the presence/absence data for each species in AuRA municipalities from Faune-AuRA, and for each municipality, computed the corresponding cumulative length of all roads accessible by car. We used this information to give estimates of the number of roadkill per kilometer of road in areas where the species was present.

3. Results

3.1 Carcasses persistence on the road

Half of the amphibians placed on the road disappeared within the first five hours on all roads except for the smallest ("*Petit Nice*" road, 130 veh.day⁻¹). We projected that at t=24h, 95% of the amphibians should disappear from all roads, except for *Petit Nice* (52% at t=24h). In the following, we report model estimates for all covariables by hazard ratio (standard error); z-value and p-value. In accordance with our predictions, roads with higher traffic led to shorter amphibian carcass persistence: an increase of 50% in daily traffic increases the probability of removal from the road by 1.23 (hazard ratio = 1.67 (0.09); z = 5.62; p < 0.001; Fig. 1a). As predicted, we observed that probability of disappearance was 1.97 (0.23) times faster for amphibians placed in the path of car tires compared to road shoulder (z = 3.86; p < 0.001; Fig. 2a). However rain did not decrease or impact persistence (hazard ratio = 1.19 (0.3); z = 0.58; p = 0.56, Fig. 1a). There were no differences in amphibian persistence between observers (hazard ratio = 0.94 (0.29); z = -0.19; p = 0.85). Within the first two hours, 80% of the passerines were no longer visible on any of the roads. We modeled road traffic volume as a linear covariable of persistence (Fig. A2). Our model predicted that virtually all passerine carcasses (97%) would disappear at time t = 24h. Contrary to all previous hypotheses, we found no differences in passerine persistence time depending on road traffic (hazard ratio = $1.0 (4.5e^{-5})$; *z*= 0.94; *p* = 0.347; Fig. 1b), carcass position on the lane (hazard ratio = 0.99 (0.27); *z* = -0.003; *p* = 0.996; Fig. 2b) or weather (hazard ratio = 1.23 (0.26); *z* = 0.81; *p* = 0.420; Fig. 1b).

3.2 Practical implications for roadkill estimations

On March 15th and March 20th 2022, we counted respectively 12 and 30 common toad carcasses on road D1504. Using the fitted survival model of amphibian persistence described above, we predicted the proportion of missing carcasses on the road according to traffic volume. After three hours, we estimated that 49.6% (95% confidence interval: 39.4, 60.5) of the carcasses had already been removed from the road at the time of survey meaning that, assuming perfect detection of carcasses on the road, they were 17.9 (16.7, 19.1) toad casualties on March 15th and 44.8 (41.8, 48.1) on March 20th.

For passerines, 201 small passerines from 21 species were reported by contributors in 2022 (see Table 1 for a complete list). We estimate the characteristic time T_r at 0.0283 days (95% confidence interval: 0.009, 0.088). Accounting for carcass removal rates amounts to 7093 (2270, 22120) passerines roadkilled in 2022 in the AuRA region (Table 1). The most commonly killed species on roads is the house sparrow *Passer domesticus* with 0.87 individuals killed per year every 100km and the least common is the long-tailed tit *Aegithalos caudatus* with 0.019 individuals. These estimates assume that carcasses are always detected and reported by Faune-AuRA contributors. Straightforward estimates of roadkill numbers accounting for imperfect detection and report can be derived from these results: assuming that 1% of passerine carcasses present on the road are seen and 10 reported, 0.87/0.01 = 87 house sparrows and 0.019/0.01 = 19 long-tailed tits are killed annually per 100km of road, and 709 300 (227 000, 2 212 000) passerines could have been killed on roads in the AuRA region in 2022 (Fig. A3).

4. Discussion

4.1 Persistence on road and implications for road monitoring

Most small carcasses of amphibians and birds in this experiment were removed from the road surface in less than a day. We estimated the median persistence time (*i.e.*, the time needed for half of the carcasses to be removed from the road) of small passerines (< 20g) at t = 22 minutes using a parametric survival model, and this persistence was not affected by road traffic volume, position of the carcass on the road or rain. Common toad carcass persistence was similarly unaffected by rain but was largely dependent on the traffic volume of the road within the explored range (130 - 9000 veh.day⁻¹): the more vehicles, the less time the carcasses remained visible. On roads with 1000 vehicles per day or more, amphibian median persistence time is five hours or less. Amphibians placed directly in the path of the tires disappeared nearly twice as fast than the amphibians positioned elsewhere on the road surface.

Santos et al. (2011) found that 50% of small carcasses disappeared in one day for small-sized species (amphibians, reptiles and small birds). Our results agree with these previous observations, and the fine-tuning of previous estimations shows that the median persistence time is actually less than 24h for both amphibians and small birds. For passerines, 80% of carcasses had disappeared within 2 hours across all locations, and 94% after 12h. For amphibians, after 12h we found that over half of the carcasses had disappeared across all locations: 60% had disappeared from *Petit Nice*, a road with little vehicular traffic, and none remained on the major road *Caluire Saône*. Daily roadkill surveys have been previously recommended for accurate roadkill data for small-bodied species 11

(Henry et al., 2021; Santos et al., 2015). Our results suggest instead that even daily road monitoring will largely underestimate the actual number of collisions of amphibians and small passerines with road vehicles. In fact, the short persistence of these species on the road indicates that roadkill surveys will always underestimate casualties unless we monitor roads continuously. Because this is an impossible task, authors should focus instead on implementing persistence corrections (see Teixeira, Coelho, Esperandio, & Kindel, 2013) to their survey methodology. Body size is often cited as the biggest predictor of carcass persistence time on the road (Barrientos et al., 2018; Guinard et al., 2012; Henry et al., 2021). Nevertheless, we found substantial differences in the persistence time between amphibians and small passerines of similar sizes. Hence, the generalization of persistence times between small-bodied species is not possible, and our results are not suitable to assess the persistence of lizards, snakes, bats and other smalls mammals. We suggest instead that other experiments of persistence with fine-tuning should be conducted on these species to assess the proportion of missed carcasses by daily road surveys.

Scavenger activity is often cited as the main cause of carcasses disappearance in roadkill persistence studies (Antworth et al., 2005; Erritzoe et al., 2003; Slater, 2002). For instance, Schwartz et al (2018) determined that fresh roadkill attracts corvids and foxes that could remove up to 62% of the carcasses within two hours. Smaller roads might be easier to access for carrion-feeders than highly-frequented ones, and roadkill persistence studies report that roadkill generally disappeared faster on smaller roads compared to more frequented ones (Santos et al., 2011; Slater, 2002). We found instead that amphibian persistence decreased with the volume of traffic, suggesting that this is not true for all taxa, or that scavenging is not the main mechanism of carcass removal in this case. It has been suggested that common toads are not attractive to carrion-feeders due to their though skin (Hels & Buchwald, 2001). Instead, mechanical fragmentation of tissues by cars appears to play a major role in amphibian carcass disappearance: the accumulation of repeated crushing of biological tissues by tires flattened most of the carcasses we placed on the road surface that became less and 12

less visible at each observation. This mechanism is consistent with findings that traffic volume significantly decreases persistence time in amphibians (Fig. 1a) and that carcasses directly in the path of the tires for most vehicles were removed 2 times faster compared to carcasses placed outside of it (Fig. 2a).

Passerine carcasses disappeared at higher rates than amphibians (80% within the first 2 hours) and at the same speed across all tested locations and all positions on the lane. Ratton et al. (2014) and Antworth et al. (2005) found longer carcass persistence when using *Gallus domesticus* chick carcasses (resp. 66% removed within 12h and 40% within 2h). However, the chick carcasses weighted slightly more than the wild passerine species used here: reportedly around 30g in both studies, against 20g or less in this experiment. Domestic species are also known to have higher removal rates than wild species in open fields (Prosser et al., 2008). To our knowledge, the only other roadkill persistence experiment at a fine temporal resolution using passerines was conducted by Stewart (1971) with house sparrows *Passer domesticus*. Interestingly, he reported persistence estimates similar to our results (100% removal within the first two hours) on a highway but found very contrasted results on a smaller country road: all carcasses were still visible after 12 hours. On the contrary, and similarly to our findings, chick persistence did not vary between a frequented highway and a low traffic dirt road in Ratton et al. (2014). Small birds persistence on roads appear to be highly variable between species and locations.

Contrary to common toads, passerine persistence on roads showed no correlation to road traffic volume. It could be that the temporal resolution of two hours intervals is not fine enough to capture the variability in passerine removal rates from roads. During the experiments, and contrary to amphibians, bird carcasses often disappeared without a trace in-between surveys, which could instead suggest that the high removal rate is the result of scavenging activity (Antworth et al., 2005). We conducted a complementary experiment with continuous observation of the carcasses 13

during two hours (Supp. Mat. Section 3). We placed 12 passerine carcasses randomly on a highlyfrequented portion of road (8000 veh.day⁻¹) in an urban setting with no unpaved shoulder where the speed limit was 50 km.h⁻¹. We found a higher persistence than previous estimates : 5 out of 12 (41%) were invisible by the end of the two hours, against an average across all roads of 80% in the main experiments. Carcasses disappeared at variable rates, with some becoming undetectable after the passage of 10 vehicles and other being still visible after 800 vehicles (median = 178 vehicles, Fig. A4). All carcasses where crushed by vehicle's tires, including carcasses originally placed out of the path of the tires (center of the road, center of the lane in-between tires, roadside) that were either crushed by vehicles swerving on the lane to avoid obstacles such as parked cars or displaced by the turbulence following passing vehicles. Contrary to the common toad, we observed that passerine body shape and feathers could promote carcass mobility on the road surface. Passerines carcasses that are displaced by passing vehicles can also potentially end up in the vegetation of the road shoulder, masking them from view during surveys (AB personal observations).

Season and weather influence the speed of carcass disappearance in open fields where removal is usually the result of decomposition or scavenging, and the highest rates of removal are found during the summer months where temperatures are high (Costantini et al., 2017; Prosser et al., 2008; Sharanowski et al., 2008). Carcass persistence on roads was instead found to be lower during spring in France (Guinard et al., 2012), and high temperatures and humid conditions accelerated the disappearance of carcasses from roads in Portugal (Santos et al., 2011). We tested here the effect of rainfall on persistence time, and expected carcasses to disappear faster under the rain. We found instead similar persistence between dry and rainy days for both amphibians and passerines (Fig. 1). Rain and humidity might not make a difference when a small-bodied fresh carcass is crushed by a tire, as opposed to bigger animals. Instead of weather, persistence on the road for small species could vary between seasons following scavenger activity (Guinard et al., 2012; Schwartz et al., 2018).

4.2 Impact of vehicular collisions in biodiversity loss

Collisions of wildlife with vehicles have long-been recognized as a significant threat to the persistence of many amphibian populations (Glista et al., 2008; Puky, 2005) but estimating the biodiversity loss in terms of abundance remains a major challenge. In the case of common toads, because amphibian roadkill during the reproductive migration happens during a short time window, we were able to estimate the time since collision. When the speed of carcass removal from the road is also known, estimates of the proportion of carcasses that have disappeared and were subsequently missed during the survey are straightforward, assuming that all toads present on the road during the survey were detected. In the roadkill survey we conducted, ignoring carcass persistence leads to underestimations of the number of toad roadkill of about -50%, for a survey conducted three hours after amphibian crossing at this particular location. A similar survey conducted the next morning (t=12h after road crossing window) would have under-estimated the casualties by about 70%. Aquatic breeding amphibians are particularly suited to this example of roadkill counts correction because roadkill is synchronized at sunset, allowing for estimations of the time elapsed between the road crossings and the survey, and because road crossings are localized in space, allowing for onfoot surveys where the detection rate of carcasses is higher than surveys done by car.

We estimated a total of 7000 collisions between small passerines and vehicles in the AuRA region (Table 1), butnot all small passerine species present in AuRA were present in the roadkill reports for 2022, meaning that this number is likely under-estimated. Roadkill rates are likely linked to the local abundance of species (Seiler & Helldin, 2006). Despite having no current estimate of these species' abundance in the AuRA region, the species for which we found the highest roadkill rates (*P. domesticus* and *E. rubecula*) are also the most reported small passerines in the Faune-AuRA database for living specimens, where they are reported 5 times more than the species with the 15

lowest estimated roadkill rate (*A. caudatus*, Table 1). (562 577, 681 000 direct observations of living specimens for *P. domesticus and E. rubecula*, and 164 600 observations for *A. caudatus* since 2000, Faune-AuRA 2023). This shows that patterns of species abundance in the AuRA region could be a driving factor in the inter-specific differences in roadkill rates, along with species traits and behaviors (Grilo et al., 2020; Teixeira et al., 2013).

However, while we estimate the persistence time on the road for these species, we have little information about the conversion rate of roadkill carcasses into reported roadkill. Indeed, following a collision with a vehicle a passerine might never be detected by contributors (detection rate) or be detected but never reported (reporting rate), because they forgot or could not identify the species with certainty. Additionally, an unknown proportion of passerines could be projected into the roadside vegetation at the moment of impact. Very little work has been done on roadkill detection, although we know that detection rates of roadkill from a car (which encompasses the majority of reports into Faune-AuRA) is significantly lower than when surveying carcasses on foot (Teixeira et al., 2013). Reporting rates in citizen-science roadkill projects are even less documented. Using an estimate of 1% of report for passerine collisions with vehicles (i.e., 1% of the passerines killed by a vehicle are detected, identified and reported by a contributor), we estimate about 700 000 small passerines killed by vehicles in 2022 in the AuRA region, a surface of 70 000 km² (Fig. A3). In other words, the roadkill citizen-science reports only give us a glimpse into a much larger issue, as we multiplied the number of reports by a factor of 3500. Currently, no estimates of the abundance of passerine species in the region are available to put these estimates into perspective. The French Breeding Bird Survey (a standardized protocol for bird populations tracking, Julliard & Jiguet, 2002) reports alarming declines of up to -50% in wild small passerine french populations over the last two decades (european greenfinch Chloris chloris, -50%; european serin European serin, -41.7%; yellowhammer Emberiza citrinella, -53.6%). Although wild passerine populations face multiple anthropogenic threats from farmland practices (Broyer et al., 2016; Moreau et al., 2021; 16

Rigal et al., 2023), road mortality could be an important and under-estimated driver of biodiversity loss that needs investigating.

Conclusion

By conducting daily or bi-daily surveys, an observer would miss an important part of the road mortality for small-bodied birds and amphibians. Adapting the periodicity of roadkill surveys to minimize the number of missed carcasses is not a viable option (unless we monitor road continuously). Instead, we should focus on quantifying the persistence of carcasses and correct the data gathered during surveys. Our estimations of the number of killed animals by collisions with vehicles illustrate the huge gap between raw counts of carcasses on roads and how many animals were actually lost. Common toads casualties three hours after they crossed the road were already underestimated by half, which has severe consequences in the quantification of the impact of road mortality in amphibian biodiversity loss. Assuming a collision-report rate of 1% in the citizenscience project *Faune-Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes*, we estimate 700 000 individuals killed each year in a surface of 70 000 km², which could play a part in the national decline in small passerine population observed over the last two decades.

Acknowledgments

We thank the wildlife rehabilitation center Le Tichodrome for their help in providing small passerine carcasses and Benoit Vallas for his contribution to the data record.

Funding

This work was supported by French Ministry of Research and Higher Education funding (PhD grant 2020-2023) and the Syndicat de la Rivière d'Ain Aval et de ses Affluents.

REFERENCES

Anderson-Bergman, C. (2017). icenReg: Regression Models for Interval Censored Data in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *81*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v081.i12

Antworth, R. L., Pike, D. A., & Stevens, E. E. (2005). Hit and run: Effects of scavenging on estimates of roadkilled vertebrates. *Southeastern Naturalist*, *4*(4), 647–656. https://doi.org/10.1656/1528-7092(2005)004[0647:HAREOS]2.0.CO;2

Barrientos, R., Martins, R. C., Ascensão, F., D'Amico, M., Moreira, F., & Borda-de-Água, L. (2018). A review of searcher efficiency and carcass persistence in infrastructure-driven mortality assessment studies. *Biological Conservation*, *222*(November 2017), 146–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.04.014

Beebee, T. J. C. (2013). Effects of Road Mortality and Mitigation Measures on Amphibian Populations: Amphibians and Roads. *Conservation Biology*, *27*(4), 657–668. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12063

Brand, L., Hussey, M., & Taylor, J. (2003). Decay and Disarticulation of Small Vertebrates in Controlled Experiments. *Journal of Taphonomy*, *1*.

Broyer, J., Sukhanova, O., & Mischenko, A. (2016). How to sustain meadow passerine populations in Europe through alternative mowing management. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 215*, 133–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.09.019

Collinson, W. J., Parker, D. M., Bernard, R. T. F., Reilly, B. K., & Davies-Mostert, H. T. (2014). Wildlife road traffic accidents: A standardized protocol for counting flattened fauna. *Ecology and Evolution*, 4(15), 3060–3071. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1097

Costantini, D., Gustin, M., Ferrarini, A., & Dell'Omo, G. (2017). Estimates of avian collision with power lines and carcass disappearance across differing environments. *Animal Conservation*, 20(2), 173–181. https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12303

Erritzoe, J., Mazgajski, T. D., & Rejt, Ł. (2003). Bird Casualties on European Roads—A Review. *Acta Ornithologica*, *38*(2), 77–93. https://doi.org/10.3161/068.038.0204

Forman, R. T. T., & Alexander, L. E. (1998). Roads and their major ecological effects. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *29*(1998), 207–231. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.29.1.207

Forman, R. T. T., Sperling, D., Bissonette, J. A., Clevenger, A. P., Cutshall, C. D., Dale, V. H., Fahrig, L., France, R. L., Goldman, C. R., Heanue, K., Jones, J., Swanson, F., Turrentine, T., & Winter, T. C. (2003). *Road Ecology: Science and Solutions*. Island Press.

Gerow, K., Kline, N., Swann, D., & Pokorny, M. (2010). Estimating annual vertebrate mortality on roads at Saguaro National Park, Arizona. *Human-Wildlife Interactions*, 4(2), 283–292.

Glista, D. J., DeVault, T. L., & DeWoody, J. A. (2008). Vertebrate road mortality predominantly impacts amphibians. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*, *3*(1), 77–87.

González-Suárez, M., Ferreira, F. Z., & Grilo, C. (2018). Spatial and species-level predictions of road mortality risk using trait data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *27*(9), Art. 9. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12769

Goosem, M. (2007). Special section : Asian biodiversity crises. Fragmentation impacts caused by roads through rainforests. *Current Science*, 93(11), 1587–1595.

Grilo, C., Borda-de-Água, L., Beja, P., Goolsby, E., Soanes, K., le Roux, A., Koroleva, E., Ferreira, F. Z., Gagné, S. A., Wang, Y., & González-Suárez, M. (2021). Conservation threats from roadkill in the global road network. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *30*(11), 2200–2210. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13375

Grilo, C., Koroleva, E., Andrášik, R., Bíl, M., & González-Suárez, M. (2020). Roadkill risk and population vulnerability in European birds and mammals. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *18*(6), 323–328. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2216

Guinard, É., Julliard, R., & Barbraud, C. (2012). Motorways and bird traffic casualties: Carcasses surveys and scavenging bias. *Biological Conservation*, *147*(1), 40–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2012.01.019

Helldin, J. O., & Petrovan, S. O. (2019). Effectiveness of small road tunnels and fences in reducing amphibian roadkill and barrier effects at retrofitted roads in Sweden. *PeerJ*, 7, e7518. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7518

Hels, T., & Buchwald, E. (2001). The effect of road kills on amphibian populations. *Biological Conservation*, *99*(3), 331–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00215-9

Henry, D. A. W., Collinson-Jonker, W. J., Davies-Mostert, H. T., Nicholson, S. K., Roxburgh, L., & Parker, D. M. (2021). Optimising the cost of roadkill surveys based on an analysis of carcass persistence. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *291*, 112664. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112664

Hepenstrick, D., Thiel, D., Holderegger, R., & Gugerli, F. (2012). Genetic discontinuities in roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) coincide with fenced transportation infrastructure. Basic and Applied Ecology, 13(7), 631–638. doi: 10.1016/j.baae.2012.08.009

Hill, J. E., DeVault, T. L., & Belant, J. L. (2019). Cause-specific mortality of the world's terrestrial vertebrates. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 28(5), 680–689. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12881

Julliard, R., & Jiguet, F. (2002). Integrated monitoring of common bird species in France. *Alauda*, 70, 137–147.

Lagerwerff, J. V., & Specht, A. W. (2002, May 1). Contamination of roadside soil and vegetation with cadmium, nickel, lead, and zinc (world) [Research-article]. ACS Publications; American Chemical Society. https://doi.org/10.1021/es60042a001

Lengagne, T. (2008). Traffic noise affects communication behavior in a breeding anuran, Hyla arborea. *Biological Conservation*, 141(8), 2023–2031. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.05.017

Lesbarrères, D., & Fahrig, L. (2012). Measures to reduce population fragmentation by roads: What has worked and how do we know? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *27*(7), 374–380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.01.015

Martin, A. E., Graham, S. L., Henry, M., Pervin, E., & Fahrig, L. (2018). Flying insect abundance declines with increasing road traffic. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, *11*(6), 608–613. https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12300

Meek, R. (2009). Patterns of reptile road-kills in the Vendée region of western France. *Herpetological Journal*, *19*(3), 135–142.

Moore, L. J., Petrovan, S. O., Bates, A. J., Hicks, H. L., Baker, P. J., Perkins, S. E., & Yarnell, R. W. (2023). Demographic effects of road mortality on mammalian populations: A systematic review. *Biological Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12942

Moreau, J., Monceau, K., Crépin, M., Tochon, F. D., Mondet, C., Fraikin, M., Teixeira, M., & Bretagnolle, V. (2021). Feeding partridges with organic or conventional grain triggers cascading effects in life-history traits. *Environmental Pollution*, 278, 116851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116851

Morelli, F., Rodríguez, R. A., Benedetti, Y., & Delgado, J. D. (2020). Avian roadkills occur regardless of bird evolutionary uniqueness across Europe. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 87, 102531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102531

Nunes, L. J. R., Meireles, C. I. R., C.j, P. G., & N.m.c, A. R. (2020). Propagation Model of Invasive Species: Road Systems as Dispersion Facilitators. *Research in Ecology*, 2(1), Art. 1. https://doi.org/10.30564/re.v2i1.1054

Ogletree, K. A., & Mead, A. J. (2020). What Roadkills Did We Miss in a Driving Survey? A Comparison of Driving and Walking Surveys in Baldwin County, Georgia.

Parris, K. M., & Schneider, A. (2009). Impacts of Traffic Noise and Traffic Volume on Birds of Roadside Habitats. *Ecology and Society*, 14(1). https://www.jstor.org/stable/26268029

Pereira, H. M., Leadley, P. W., Proença, V., Alkemade, R., Scharlemann, J. P. W., Fernandez-Manjarrés, J. F., Araújo, M. B., Balvanera, P., Biggs, R., Cheung, W. W. L., Chini, L., Cooper, H. D., Gilman, E. L., Guénette, S., Hurtt, G. C., Huntington, H. P., Mace, G. M., Oberdorff, T., Revenga, C., ... Walpole, M. (2010). Scenarios for Global Biodiversity in the 21st Century. *Science*, 330(6010), 1496–1501. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1196624

Pollock, L. J., O'Connor, L. M. J., Mokany, K., Rosauer, D. F., Talluto, M. V., & Thuiller, W. (2020). Protecting Biodiversity (in All Its Complexity): New Models and Methods. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *35*(12), 1119–1128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.08.015

Prosser, P., Nattrass, C., & Prosser, C. (2008). Rate of removal of bird carcasses in arable farmland by predators and scavengers. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 71(2), 601–608. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.10.013

Puky, M. (2005). *Amphibian road kills: A global perspective*. https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7j7546qv

R Core Team. (2021). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.r-project.org/

Radke, B. R. (2003). A demonstration of interval-censored survival analysis. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 59(4), 241–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-5877(03)00103-x

Ratton, P., Secco, H., & da Rosa, C. A. (2014). Carcass permanency time and its implications to the roadkill data. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-014-0798-z

Reijnen, R., & Foppen, R. (1994). The Effects of Car Traffic on Breeding Bird Populations in Woodland. I. Evidence of Reduced Habitat Quality for Willow Warblers (Phylloscopus trochilus) Breeding Close to a Highway. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *31*(1), 85–94. https://doi.org/10.2307/2404601

Rigal, S., Dakos, V., Alonso, H., Auniņš, A., Benkő, Z., Brotons, L., Chodkiewicz, T., Chylarecki, P., de Carli, E., del Moral, J. C., Domşa, C., Escandell, V., Fontaine, B., Foppen, R., Gregory, R., Harris, S., Herrando, S., Husby, M., Ieronymidou, C., ... Devictor, V. (2023). Farmland practices are driving bird population decline across Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *120*(21), e2216573120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2216573120

Ruiz-Capillas, P., Mata, C., & Malo, J. E. (2015). How many rodents die on the road? Biological and methodological implications from a small mammals' roadkill assessment on a Spanish motorway. *Ecological Research*, *30*(3), 417–427. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-014-1235-1

Rytwinski, T., & Fahrig, L. (2015). The Impacts of Roads and Traffic on Terrestrial Animal Populations. In *Handbook of Road Ecology* (pp. 237–246). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118568170.ch28

Santos, R. A. L., & Ascensão, F. (2019). Assessing the effects of road type and position on the road on small mammal carcass persistence time. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 65(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-018-1246-2

Santos, S. M., Carvalho, F., & Mira, A. (2011). How long do the dead survive on the road? Carcass persistence probability and implications for road-kill monitoring surveys. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(9). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025383

Santos, S. M., Marques, J. T., Lourenço, A., Medinas, D., Barbosa, A. M., Beja, P., & Mira, A. (2015). Sampling effects on the identification of roadkill hotspots: Implications for survey design. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *162*, 87–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.07.037

Schwartz, A. L. W. W., Williams, H. F., Chadwick, E., Thomas, R. J., & Perkins, S. E. (2018). Roadkill scavenging behaviour in an urban environment. *Journal of Urban Ecology*, *4*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/jue/juy006

Seiler, A., & Helldin, J. O. (2006). *Mortality in wildlife due to transportation*. 165–189. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4504-2_8

Selvan, K. M., Sridharan, N., & John, S. (2012). Roadkill animals on national highways of Karnataka, India. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment*, 4(14), 363–365.

Sharanowski, B. J., Walker, E. G., & Anderson, G. S. (2008). Insect succession and decomposition patterns on shaded and sunlit carrion in Saskatchewan in three different seasons. *Forensic Science International*, 179(2), 219–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2008.05.019

Shepard, D. B., Dreslik, M. J., Jellen, B. C., & Phillips, C. A. (2008). Reptile Road Mortality around an Oasis in the Illinois Corn Desert with Emphasis on the Endangered Eastern Massasauga. *Copeia*, 2008(2), 350–359. https://doi.org/10.1643/CE-06-276

Slater, F. M. (2002). An assessment of wildlife road casualties—The potential discrepancy between numbers counted and numbers killed. *Web Ecology*, *3*, 33–42. https://doi.org/10.5194/we-3-33-2002

Smith, F. A., & Lyons, S. K. (Eds.). (2013). *Animal Body Size: Linking Pattern and Process across Space, Time, and Taxonomic Group*. University of Chicago Press. https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/A/bo15233198.html

Sterrett, S. C., Katz, R. A., Brand, A. B., Fields, W. R., Dietrich, A. E., Hocking, D. J., Foreman, T. M., Wiewel, A. N. M., & Campbell Grant, E. H. (2019). Proactive management of amphibians: Challenges and opportunities. *Biological Conservation*, 236, 404–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.05.057

Taylor, B. D., & Goldingay, R. L. (2009). Can Road-Crossing Structures Improve Population Viability of an Urban Gliding Mammal? *Ecology and Society*, *14*(2). JSTOR. http://www.jstor.org/stable/26268299

Teixeira, F. Z., Coelho, A. V. P., Esperandio, I. B., & Kindel, A. (2013). Vertebrate road mortality estimates: Effects of sampling methods and carcass removal. *Biological Conservation*, *157*, 317–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2012.09.006

Teixeira, F. Z., Coelho, I. P., Esperandio, I. B., Rosa Oliveira, N., Porto Peter, F., Dornelles, S. S., Rosa Delazeri, N., Tavares, M., Borges Martins, M., & Kindel, A. (2013). Are road-kill hotspots coincident among different vertebrate groups? *Oecologia Australis*, *17*(1), 36–47. https://doi.org/10.4257/oeco.2013.1701.04

Testud, G. (2020). Étude de la perméabilité de la LGV Bretagne – Pays de la Loire (BPL) aux déplacements des amphibiens [PhD thesis, Université Paris sciences et lettres]. https://www.theses.fr/2020UPSLP043

Troïanowski, M., Mondy, N., Dumet, A., Arcanjo, C., & Lengagne, T. (2017). Effects of traffic noise on tree frog stress levels, immunity, and color signaling. *Conservation Biology*, *31*(5), 1132–1140. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12893

Trombulak, S. C., & Frissell, C. A. (2000). Review of Ecological Effects of Roads on Terrestrial and Aquatic Communities. *Conservation Biology*, *14*(1), 13.

Valladares, F., Tena, D., Matesanz, S., Bochet, E., Balaguer, L., Costa-Tenorio, M., Tormo, J., & García-Fayos, P. (2008). Functional traits and phylogeny: What is the main ecological process determining species assemblage in roadside plant communities? *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *19*(3), 381–392. https://doi.org/10.3170/2008-8-18378

Van Langevelde, F., & Jaarsma, C. F. (2005). Using traffic flow theory to model traffic mortality in mammals. *Landscape Ecology*, 19(8), 895–907. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-005-0464-7

Table 1: Estimated number of passerine-vehicle collisions in the Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes region of France in 2022. The reports were obtained from the citizen-science database Faune-AuRA. We estimated the total number of collisions for each species by correcting the reports with estimates of the persistence of carcasses; and then provided roadkill rate estimates (collisions per 100 km of road) using the species distribution patterns from Faune-AuRA. These estimations of roadkill rates do not account for the number of carcasses missed or not reported by the observers.

	Reported in Faune-AuRA (2022)	Number of collisions after correcting for persistence (95% confidence interval)	Number of roadkill per 100km of road (95% confidence interval)
Long-tailed tit Aegithalos caudatus	1	35.3 (11.3, 110.1)	0.019 (0.006, 0.06)
European goldfinch Carduelis carduelis	5	176.5 (56.5, 550.3)	0.081 (0.03, 0.25)
Eurasian blue tit Cyanistes caeruleus	2	70.6 (22.6, 220.1)	0.030 (0.01, 0.09)
Cirl bunting Emberiza cirlus	1	35.3 (11.3, 110.1)	0.025 (0.008, 0.08)
Yellowhammer Emberiza citrinella	2	70.6 (22.6, 220.1)	0.069 (0.022, 0.21)
European robin Erithacus rubecula	58	2046.9 (655.2, 6382.9)	0.84 (0.28, 2.63)
Common chaffinch Fringilla coelebs	16	564.6 (180.7, 1760.8)	0.23 (0.07, 0.7)
White wagtail Motacilla alba	6	211.8 (67.8, 660.3)	0.12 (0.03, 0.33)
Great tit Parus major	10	352.9 (112.9, 1100.5)	0.14 (0.05, 0.44)
House sparrow Passer domesticus	56	1976.3 (632.6, 6162.8)	0.87 (0.28, 2.7)
Black redstart Phoenicurus ochruros	11	388.2 (124.3, 1210.6)	0.18 (0.06, 0.56)
Common redstart Phoenicurus phoenicurus	3	105.9 (33.9, 330.2)	0.081 (0.03, 0.25)
Willow warbler Phylloscopus trochilus	1	35.3 (11.3, 110.1)	0.05 (0.02,0.15)
Whinchat Saxicola rubetra	1	35.3 (11.3, 110.1)	0.052 (0.02, 0.16)
European stonechat Saxicola rubicola	6	211.8 (67.8, 660.3)	0.13 (0.04, 0.42)
European serin Serinus serinus	1	35.3 (11.3, 110.1)	0.022 (0.007, 0.07)
Eurasian blackcap Sylvia atricapilla	12	423.5 (135.6, 1320.6)	0.18 (0.06, 0.56)
Garden warbler Sylvia borin	1	35.3 (11.3, 110.1)	0.057 (0.02, 0.18)
Common whitethroat Sylvia communis	1	35.3 (11.3, 110.1)	0.034 (0.01, 0.11)
Sardinian warbler Sylvia melanocephala	1	35.3 (11.3, 110.1)	0.14 (0.04, 0.44)
Eurasian wren Troglodytes troglodytes	3	105.9 (33.9, 330.2)	0.05 (0.02, 0.16)
TOTAL	201	7093 (2270.6, 22120.2)	3.39 (1.08, 10.57)



Figure 1: Carcass persistence on the road. We represent the median persistence time (time for 50% of carcasses to disappear) of thawed carcasses of amphibian (a) and small passerines (b) in relation to the volume of traffic of the road, blue squares represent a rainy day and wet road surface and red triangle, dry days. Predictions from the fitted parametric survival models are represented as black lines (gray lines as the 25% confidence interval).



Figure 2: Kaplan-Meier curves of carcass persistence. Survival curves of the first 12 hours of amphibian (a) and small passerine (b) carcass persistence time across all roads. Carcasses were randomly positioned to be either in the path of the tires of most 4-wheeled vehicles (red), or outside of the path of the tires (blue). Parametric survival models showed that frog carcasses disappeared faster when placed in the path of vehicle tires while passerines had similar persistence on every position on the lane. 25

Supplementary material



Figure A1: Experimental design. Amphibian and small passerine carcasses were randomly located in the road lane by drawing a number between 1 and 5 using a random number generator. Locations 1, 3 and 5 were located outside of the path of most vehicle's tyres, and locations 2 and 3 were located in the path of most vehicle's tyres.



Figure A2: Survival model predictions of carcass persistence. We represent the median persistence time (**•**; time for half of the carcasses to disappear) of amphibian (a, b) and small passerine (c, d) carcasses on roads of different volumes of traffic. We fitted parametric survival models for both taxa, including road traffic volume as one of the covariables. The predictions of the models are represented as black lines (gray lines: 95% confidence interval). Road traffic volume was either modeled as a linear (a, c) or log-linear (b, d) covariable, and we selected the best option based on the visual fit between the median persistence on each road and the model predictions. Amphibian persistence was modeled using a log-linear effect of traffic (b) and passerine persistence was



Figure A3: Passerine roadkill estimates in 2022. Estimated number of collisions (gray area: 95% confidence interval) between 21 small passerine species (< 20g) and road vehicles in 2022 in the region Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (AuRA), France, based on reports from citizen-science database Faune-AuRA. Roadkill reports were first adjusted to account for carcass persistence time on the road (tested experimentally with fresh carcasses) following Teixeira et al. (2013). Then, following the assumption that only a small proportion of small-bodied carcasses present on the road are both seen and reported by users in citizen-science roadkill reporting projects, we show here the estimated number of actual collisions if between 0.5 and 30% of passerines carcasses present on the road surface end up in the database. For example, if 1% of the visible small passerine roadkill is reported, we estimate 709 100 actual collisions in AuRA in 2022.

Complete list of small passerines species reported in Faune-AuRA in 2022: *Aegithalos caudatus, Carduelis carduelis, Carduelis chloris, Cyanistes caeruleus, Emberiza cirlus, Emberiza citrinella, Erithacus rubecula, Fringilla coelebs, Fringilla montifringilla, Motacilla alba, Motacilla flava flava, Parus major, Passer domesticus, Phoenicurus ochruros, Phoenicurus phoenicurus, Phylloscopus trochilus, Prunella modularis, Saxicola rubetra, Saxicola rubicola, Serinus serinus, Sylvia atricapilla, Sylvia borin, Sylvia communis, Sylvia melanocephala, Troglodytes troglodytes.*



Figure A4: Kaplan-Meier curve of passerine persistence on a road. We conducted an additional experiment where we placed 12 small passerine carcasses on a dry road surface (8000 veh.day⁻¹) and continuously counted the number of passing vehicles until the carcasses disappeared (*i.e.* carcass can't be detected on foot during a road survey or species is no longer identifiable). The experiment was conducted on an urban road with a 50 km.h⁻¹ speed limit, during a day with no rainfall. Passerines were placed randomly on the road surface using a random number generator. Half of the carcasses had disappeared after 632 vehicles, and 58% were still visible when the experiment was ended after 2 hours. All carcass disappearances were the result of repeated crushing by vehicle wheels. All birds (100%) were crushed at least once during the experiment, including carcasses placed in parts of the road lane that vehicles were unlikely to reach, either because the turbulence of passing vehicles had displaced the carcasses, or because some vehicles swerved on the lane to avoid obstacles. The rates of carcass removal during the first 2 hours are lower here than in the experiments conducted in the main body of this article, which hints at a possible involvement of other mechanisms of carcass removal beyond mechanical crushing by tires.