

# A predictive model of organic acids separation by chromatography with strong anionic resins in sulfate form

Wuyang Zhong, Patrick Perre, Fanny Duval, Julien Lemaire

# ► To cite this version:

Wuyang Zhong, Patrick Perre, Fanny Duval, Julien Lemaire. A predictive model of organic acids separation by chromatography with strong anionic resins in sulfate form. Journal of Chromatography A, 2022, 1661, pp.462671. 10.1016/j.chroma.2021.462671. hal-04403456

# HAL Id: hal-04403456 https://hal.science/hal-04403456v1

Submitted on 18 Jan2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 A predictive model of organic acids separation by chromatography with
  - strong anionic resins in sulfate form
- 3 Wuyang ZHONG, Patrick PERRE, Fanny DUVAL, Julien LEMAIRE\*
- 4 Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, Laboratoire de Génie des Procédés et Matériaux,
- 5 SFR Condorcet FR CNRS 3417, Centre Européen de Biotechnologie et de Bioéconomie (CEBB),
- 6 3 rue des Rouges Terres 51110 Pomacle, France
- 7 \* Corresponding author.
- 8 Address : CEBB,: CentraleSupélec, 3 rue des Rouges-Terres, 51110 Pomacle, France.
- 9 E-mail address: julien.lemaire@centralesupelec.fr
- 10 Tel : +33658045189
- 11 Keywords: Chromatography, Modeling, Strong anionic resin, CE/SE method, Langmuir
- 12 adsorption, ion-exchange

### 13 Abstract

2

Organic acids commonly have quite symmetrical chromatography profiles at low pH (< 1.5) with strong anionic resins, but a significant tailing can be observed with succinic and citric acids. Classical adsorption models, like the Langmuir model, fail to predict this behavior, which can have a major influence on mean retention times and profile shapes, therefore on chromatography performances.

A new retention model was developed to better predict organic acid separation with strong anionic resin. This model combines a refined Langmuir adsorption model and an ionexchange model. Organic acid adsorption is assumed to be due to hydrogen bonding with sulfate and hydrogen sulfate counter-anions on the resin. The adsorption capacity depends mostly on molecular size: up to sixteen formic acid molecules could be adsorbed per counter-anions, meanwhile only two succinic acid or one citric acid molecules could be adsorbed.

26 This adsorption model was then embedded in a generic and accurate modeling approach 27 (continuous column with mass balance equations solved by the conservation 28 element/solution element (CE/SE) method). All parameters of this column model were 29 identified by fitting the simulation to experimental results (equilibrium curves and pulse 30 tests). Then, the column model was validated with original experimental results from a 31 binary mixture pulse test (formic and succinic acids). Results show that the simulations are 32 much more predictive for multi-component pulse tests, both in terms of profile shape and 33 retention time, which cannot be captured without considering ion-exchange.

### 34 Introduction

35 Chromatographic separation is a well-established technology in many domains, such as the 36 food and feed industry [1], pharmaceutical production [2], protein and enzyme purification 37 [3], and heavy metal separation [4]. Chromatography can separate or purify target 38 components, even with very close properties like stereoisomers, without using a large 39 amount of energy, chemicals, or solvent. For example, chromatography is often used to 40 separate sugars or organic acids from hemicellulose hydrolysates or fermentation broths [5]. 41 These applications often require high purity, high recovery rate, and low operational costs 42 for economic reasons. Thus, chromatography is the ultimate solution when conventional 43 separation techniques such as distillation, liquid-liquid extraction, membrane filtration, or 44 crystallization are not sufficiently selective or consume too much energy, chemicals, or 45 solvent.

46 Preparative chromatography consists of pushing a mixture (generally liquid) through a 47 column filled with a porous solid phase using a mobile phase called the eluent. Its principle is 48 to separate different compounds according to their affinities towards both phases that 49 affect their travel speed and retention time inside the column. The solid phase can be 50 composed of dense or microporous resin beads. Hundreds of resin types exist on the market 51 to separate ions or organic molecules, depending on their structures and retention 52 mechanisms. Retention of a molecule on a resin surface often implies adsorption by Van Der 53 Waals forces owing to its hydrophobic matrix.

- Ion-exchange resins are covered with counter-anions or counter-cations, making them hydrophilic [6]. For instance, molecules such as sugars or organic acids can be separated by different adsorption mechanisms (H bond, van der Waals force, chelation) with the counterion fixed on the resin surface, and ionic compounds can be separated by ion-exchange or Donnan exclusion effect. For example, strong anionic resins (coated with quaternary ammonium groups) or weak anionic resins (coated with amine groups) are often used to separate organic acids by interacting with their acid group.
- 61 However, the application of chromatography at an industrial scale is severely limited by its 62 low productivity, which impacts capital expenditures, and by how it dilutes separated 63 compounds, which impacts both capital and operational costs because further concentration 64 steps are required. To tackle these limiting factors, several dozen multi-column 65 chromatography processes have been developed over the last three decades, but their 66 development and tuning are difficult. Modeling the elution profile inside columns is a 67 valuable tool to make predictive simulations and optimize the performance of multi-column 68 chromatography systems. Further investigations and model development are still needed to 69 improve prediction accuracy, evidenced by continuing research activity in this field [7, 8, 9, 70 10].
- 71 For instance, our previous work found that outlet profiles of some organic acids have 72 uncommon tailing that cannot be explained by usual Langmuir adsorption models [11]. This 73 phenomenon was explained theoretically by considering the small fraction of organic acid 74 dissociated into anions (< 1% at pH below 1.5). Historically, this fraction was neglected, but it 75 can be strongly retained on resin ion-exchange sites [11]. A new model was then proposed 76 to better predict the behavior of organic acids with strong anionic resins. In addition, 77 Langmuir adsorption mechanisms were described in more detail, based on Honeywell 78 Universal Oil Products (Honeywell UOP) patent assumptions [12]. Mono-component

simulation of an organic acid profile was performed using a discontinuous column model (Martin and Synge plate model [13]) and a finite difference method to solve differential equations. Uncommon tailing of succinic and citric acid profiles was better predicted. This model still needs to be adjusted and then validated with more experimental data and improved for multi-component simulation.

84 Chromatography simulation is based on solving mass balances in the mobile phase 85 (convection-diffusion equations) and local exchanges with the stationary phase. It generally 86 results in a non-linear and coupled system of partial differential equations. The classical 87 numerical solving methods are finite element [14, 15, 16], finite difference [17,18], or finite 88 volume [19] for the spatial discretization, with an explicit or implicit time scheme. The CE/SE 89 (conservation element/solution element) method, proposed by NASA in 1995 [20], has been 90 applied for simulating explosions [21, 22], crystallization [23] and chemical reactions [24, 25]. 91 It was first used to simulate chromatography with the Langmuir adsorption model in 2004 92 [26]. The CE/SE method allows simultaneous treatment of space and time integration. In the 93 case of packed bed chromatography [27], global trials of the CE/SE method showed a better 94 trade-off between accuracy, stability, and calculation speed than traditional methods using 95 an upwind scheme. Compared to conventional approaches, CE/SE method was proved, at 96 equivalent accuracy, to save the CPU time by 77% for the pseudo-linear system of glucose-97 fructose separation, and by 96% for non-linear enantiomer separation [37].

98 The present work aims to improve the prediction of the outlet profile of different organic 99 acids and to confirm the role of ion-exchange with strong anionic resin. A more detailed 100 Langmuir adsorption model is proposed based on our previous theoretical work [11], 101 assuming that adsorption is due to a hydrogen bond between organic acids and sulfate 102 anions, as mentioned first in a UOP patent [12]. Experiments were performed first to identify 103 all model parameters (equilibrium constant, mass transfer coefficients, axial dispersion 104 coefficient), then to validate assumptions, and finally to check model prediction. In addition, 105 a continuous column model, solved by the CE/SE method, was developed to more accurately 106 simulate multi-component separation by chromatography. This new model tackles 107 discrepancies observed in the case of succinic or citric acid separation with strong anionic 108 resin.

109 2. Materials and methods

### 110 2.1 Experimental setup

111 The separation of organic acids by chromatography with strong anionic resin was studied 112 using a classical chromatography setup. Experimental devices and chemicals used in this 113 study are detailed in Tables 1 and 2.

The product or the eluent is fed at the top of column by the volumetric pump. The liquid phase flows downwards in the column, while soluble compounds interact with the resin. The output liquid goes through a pH and conductivity sensor, then a UV absorbance analyzer, and finally is sampled by a fraction collector for offline analysis. All sensors and the fraction collector are connected to a computer to monitor pH, conductivity, UV absorbance, and sample collection.

120 The eluent was a  $H_2SO_4$  solution at pH 1.5 ( $\approx 0.02 \text{ mol/L}$ ) for all experiments. For information, 121 its ionic strength is 0.0314 mol/L. Product pH was also adjusted at 1.5 to limit pH and 122 conductivity variation, and to keep a similar ionic strength during coumpound elution. The on-line measurement of UV absorbance was used to select samples to analyze by HPLC. The
 HPLC system (Ultimate 3000, Dionex) is equipped with a refractometer RI-101 (Shodex) at
 35°C. The analytical column is an Aminex HPX-87H (Biorad) connected to a guard column
 (Micro-Guard cartridge Biorad) kept at 45°C within an oven. The mobile phase is a 2 mmol/L
 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution, prepared with Milli-Q water (Direct 8, Millipore).

The flowrate was set at 0.5 BV/h (BV represents the total bed volume, equal to 0.35 L), 128 corresponding to  $3.10^{-4}$  m/s interstitial flow velocity (Re<sub>p</sub> = 6.6). The internal column 129 130 diameter was 2.5 cm, and the bed length was 70 cm. A jacketed column linked to a 131 thermostatic bath was used to maintain the temperature at 25°C. The column was filled with 132 the strong anion resin DIAION UMA 150, functionalized with trimethylamine groups (type 1), 133 manufactured by Mitsubishi Chemical, whose characteristics are summarized in Table 3. The 134 resin is supplied in Cl<sup>-</sup> form, but it was put in sulfate form before running experiments by 135 using the following procedure: 2 BV of 1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution was fed into the column at 1 136 BV/h, then 8 BV of eluent was fed to wash the bed resin and reach pH equilibrium.

The bed porosity was measured by a pulse test with 10 mL 1 mol/L Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution eluted at 138 1 BV/h. The porosity was estimated between 0.39 and 0.41, which corresponds to the range 139 given by the resin manufacturer (Table 3). Finally, the extra-column volume (in tubes, valves, 140 sensors, etc.) was measured by performing a similar pulse test without the chromatography 141 column. It was estimated around 0.05 BV (15 mL). All chromatographic profiles were 142 corrected by removing this extra-column volume from the eluted volume.

#### 143 2.2 Determination of transfer and axial dispersion coefficient

A pulse test consists of injecting a small volume of product (0.05 BV) followed by the eluent at a constant flow rate until all compounds leave the column. It is one of the most common methods used in chromatography to study compound retention and dispersion along the column. A pulse test was chosen in our study to determine physical properties such as adsorption isotherm, mass transfer coefficients, bed porosity and extra-column volume.

149 During pulse tests, component concentrations at column output are plotted as a function of 150 the eluted volume. The curve obtained is usually called a chromatographic profile, which 151 looks like a peak more or less wide and symmetric. This profile is mainly characterized by its 152 mean retention volume  $V_R$  and its standard deviation  $\sigma$ :

$$V_R = \int_0^\infty V \cdot c \cdot dV / \int_0^\infty c \cdot dV$$
 (1)

$$\sigma^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} V^{2} . c. dV / \int_{0}^{\infty} c. dV - V_{R}^{2}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where *V* is the eluted volume (in BV), and *c* is the component concentration in the mobilephase at column output (concentration unit does not matter).

155 The peak width depends almost on axial dispersion and mass transfer resistance while the 156 component passes through the column. The volume of product fed into the column (< 0.05 157 BV) is small enough to have a negligible influence on the shape of the pulse test profile. 158 Dead volumes were minimized to limit their influence on dispersion. The global dispersion is 159 usually characterized by the height equivalent to a theoretical plate (HETP) as a function of 160  $V_R$ ,  $\sigma^2$  and the bed length *L*:

$$HETP = \frac{L \cdot \sigma^2}{V_R^2} \tag{3}$$

161 The van Deemter curve [28] describes the relationship between HETP (inversely proportional 162 to the global dispersion) and the interstitial velocity v (in m.s<sup>-1</sup>). This curve is estimated 163 experimentally by plotting the HETP of component profiles obtained at different flowrates 164 during the pulse test (Fig. 1).

165 One of the most known versions of the van Deemter equation reads as:

$$HETP = A + \frac{B}{v} + C.v \tag{4}$$

This equation considers that the global dispersion has three main causes: the mechanical dispersion (A term), the molecular diffusion (B term), and the mass transfer resistance (Cterm), as depicted in Figure 1. The mathematical expressions to obtain the values of A, B, and C are important for prediction purposes. The original article by van Deemter [28] gives the following expressions:

$$A = 2.\beta.d_p \qquad B = 2.\frac{D_m}{\tau} \qquad C = 2.\left[\frac{\varepsilon/\alpha}{(1+F/K_j)^2}\right] \qquad (5)$$

where  $\beta$  is a packing factor that equals 1 for a homogeneous packed bed,  $d_p$  is the mean particle diameter (in m),  $D_m$  is the molecular diffusion coefficient (in m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>),  $\tau$  is the bed tortuosity,  $\varepsilon$  is the bed porosity,  $F = \varepsilon/(1 - \varepsilon)$  is the volumetric ratio of mobile phase to stationary phase,  $K_j$  is the linear equilibrium constant (concentration ratio between stationary and mobile phase), and  $\alpha$  is the liquid-side local mass transfer coefficient (s<sup>-1</sup>).

Within narrow operating conditions of preparative chromatography (flowrate between 0.5 and 2 BV/h), van Deemter curves are almost linear over the whole intertitial velocity range.
Thus, the most simple version of van Deemter equation was sufficient to describe experimental results and estimate its coefficients.

180 From experimental points, the range of *C* can be estimated quite accurately by linear 181 regression, then  $\alpha$  range can be deduced from previous equation. *A* and *B* are difficult to 182 estimate separately because of the lack of experimental points in the non-linear part of van 183 Deemter curve. However, in the present modelling work, only axial disperion coefficient *D* is 184 required, and it can be expressed as the sum of molecular diffusion and mechanical 185 dispersion, according to the following equation:

$$D = \frac{D_m}{\tau} + \beta . \, d_p . \, v = \frac{A}{2} . \, v + \frac{B}{2}$$
(6)

Thus, the range of *D* can be estimated quite accurately from the experimental points by
fitting the van Deemter equation with the least squares method, while considering the range
of *C* previously estimated by linear regression.

- 189 2.3 Physical formulation at the bed level
- 190 2.3.1 Mass balance

191 Two types of unidimensional column model are often used to describe the mass transfer 192 inside a chromatography column [13]: 193• Continuous models, solved by usual finite methods, where the column is discretized194into a large number of very small elements. In this case, the axial dispersion depends195on the axial dispersion coefficient D and the global mass transfer coefficient  $k_a$ .

Discontinuous models where the chromatography column is assimilated to a plate
 column. Each theoretical plate acts as a perfectly stirred reactor. In this case, the
 axial dispersion becomes an emerging property of the theoretical plate number N.

Discontinuous models, easy to code and to solve, require low CPU time. However, because the axial dispersion is an indirect effect of the theoretical plate number N, this model imposes the same axial dispersion for all compounds. Thus, this model is not appropriate for multi-component chromatography simulation if their axial dispersion coefficients *D* are not similar. That is the reason why a continuous model was chosen in the present work.

At the level of the chromatography column (Fig. 2), the local 1-D mass balance of one component *j* in the mobile phase, for a section of extension  $\partial x$ , can be expressed as two mass balances for liquid side and solid side:

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \cdot v \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial x} = \varepsilon \cdot D \frac{\partial^2 c_j}{\partial x^2} + \varepsilon \cdot R_j^{a \leftrightarrow b} - (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot R_j^{l \leftrightarrow s} \#(7)$$
$$\frac{\partial q_j}{\partial t} = R_j^{l \leftrightarrow s}$$
(8)

where  $c_j$  is the concentration of component *j* in the mobile phase (in mol.m<sup>-3</sup>), *D* is the axial dispersion coefficient (in m.s<sup>-2</sup>), *v* is the interstitial velocity (in m.s<sup>-1</sup>),  $R_j^{a\leftrightarrow b}$  is the mass variation of component *j* due to acid–base reactions (in mol.m<sup>-3</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>),  $R_j^{l\leftrightarrow s}$  is the mass exchange of component *j* between the mobile phase and the stationary phase (in mol.m<sup>-3</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>), and  $q_j$  is the concentration of component *j* in the stationary phase (in mol.m<sup>-3</sup>).

The  $R_j^{a \leftrightarrow b}$  term is due to the acid-base reactions in the mobile phase between  $SO_4^{2-}$  and HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anions, organic acid HA and its conjugate base A<sup>-</sup>, and H<sup>+</sup> cations. When the mobile phase goes through the column, organic acids HA can be retained by Langmuir adsorption; meanwhile, A<sup>-</sup> can be exchanged by  $SO_4^{2-}$  or  $HSO_4^{-}$  anions on resin sites. As the concentration ratio between acid and basic compounds changes in the mobile phase, the acid-base reactions tend to restore equilibrium by transforming the acid into a base or the inverse.

Finally, to avoid any  $R_j^{a \leftrightarrow b}$  term, mass balances in the mobile phase were written for the total content of each organic acid A ( $c_A^{tot} = c_{A^-} + c_{HA}$ ), the total sulfate content SO<sub>4</sub> ( $c_{SO_4}^{tot} = c_{SO_4^{2^-}} + c_{HSO_4^-}$ ) and the total acid content H ( $c_H^{tot} = c_{H^+} + c_{HA} + c_{HSO_4^-}$ ). These balances are not affected by acid-base reactions. Then, the concentration of each compound can be estimated using the equilibrium constant of each acid-base reaction. Indeed, these reactions are assumed to always be at an equilibrium state because they are considered to be much faster than all other phenomena.

The mass exchange  $R_j^{l\leftrightarrow s}$  between the mobile phase and stationary phase depends on the adopted mass transfer model. With instantaneous mass transfer model, concentrations  $q_j$  in the stationary phase are assumed to be in equilibrium with concentrations  $c_j$  in the mobile phase. In this case, it is not necessary to estimate  $R_j^{l\leftrightarrow s}$  because concentrations  $q_j$  can be deduced directly from concentrations  $c_j$  by solving the equilibrium equations system. With a non-instantaneous mass transfer model, a new equation is needed to estimate  $R_j^{l \leftrightarrow s}$ , which also depends on equilibrium equations between the mobile and stationary phase.

In a previous work [11], the instantaneous mass transfer model was adopted with a discontinuous model, as the influence of mass transfer resistance can be considered directly in the theoretical plate number *N* that summarizes the global effect of all dispersion mechanisms.

In the present work, a non-instantaneous mass transfer model was chosen to consider compounds with different mass transfer resistances. Mass transfer resistance between the mobile and stationary phase is driven by molecular diffusion and hydrodynamic properties at the interface (summarized in the Sherwood number, depending on Reynolds and Schmidt numbers). The lumped model was chosen to estimate the mass exchange  $R_j^{l \leftrightarrow s}$  from the global mass transfer coefficient  $k_{a_j}$  of component j (in s<sup>-1</sup>) between the mobile phase and the stationary phase, due to diffusion inside resin and at its interface [29]:

$$R_j^{l\leftrightarrow s} = k_{aj} \cdot \left( q_j^{eq}(c) - q_j \right) \#(9)$$

where  $q_j^{eq}$  represents the theoretical concentration of component *j* in the stationary phase (in mol.m<sup>-3</sup>), which would be in equilibrium with the mobile phase. This equilibrium is calculated from the concentration of all components in the mobile phase by solving all equilibrium equations (adsorption, ion-exchange, and acid–base reactions).

248 The mass exchange  $R_i^{l \leftrightarrow s}$  can also be expressed at the liquid side, as:

$$R_j^{l\leftrightarrow s} = \alpha_j \cdot \left(c_j - c_j^i\right) \tag{10}$$

249 where  $c_i^i$  is the concentration of the component j at the interface (in mol.m<sup>-3</sup>).

Thus,  $k_{aj}$  can be estimated from the  $\alpha_j$  value by assuming a linear equilibrium, whose coefficient  $K_j$  is estimated from the adsorption isotherm of component j (cf. section 2.3.2).

$$q_{j} = K_{j} * c_{j}^{i}$$
  $q_{j}^{eq} = K_{j} * c_{j}$   $k_{a} = \frac{\alpha_{j} \cdot (c_{j} - c_{j}^{i})}{\left(q_{j}^{eq} - q_{j}\right)} = \frac{\alpha_{j}}{K_{j}}$  (11)

#### 252 2.3.2 Adsorption mechanisms

Counter-anions  $SO_4^{2-}$  or  $HSO_4^{-}$  cover the surface of strong anionic resin in sulfate form (Fig. 253 254 3). Their proportion depends on ion-exchange equilibrium and their concentration in the mobile phase. The adsorption mechanism of organic acid on this type of resin is not well 255 documented in the literature. UOP patents [30, 31] suggested that the molecular form of 256 organic acid was retained only on counter-anions HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Lemaire *et al.* [11] 257 developed this hypothesis in their model, assuming that the interaction was probably 258 hydrogen bonding between the acid hydrogen atom of organic acids and the lone electron 259 260 pairs of sulfate and hydrogen sulfate oxygen atoms.

A Langmuir model is generally used to describe adsorption on chromatography resins, whichinvolves several assumptions [32]:

- 263 1. The surface containing adsorption sites is perfectly flat with no corrugation,
- 264 2. All adsorption sites are energetically equivalent,
- 265 3. Only mono-layer coverage occurs,

266 4. No interaction occurs between molecules adsorbed on adjacent sites.

As shown in Figure 3, each  $SO_4^{2-}$  or  $HSO_4^{-}$  contains eight lone electron pairs, so up to eight 267 hydrogen bonds can be theoretically observed on each anion. As an improvement compared 268 269 to previous works [11], this feature was directly integrated into the adsorption equation in the present work. As a first approximation, the adsorption sites on  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $HSO_4^{-}$  were 270 assumed to be similar. Thus, instead of writing two adsorption equations for  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $HSO_4^{-}$ 271 separately, the total concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $HSO_4^{-}$  in the stationary phase, called  $q_{SO4}^{tot}$  (in 272 mol/L), was used. In the case of a single component model, the Langmuir equilibrium model 273 274 for component *j* was described as:

$$q_{j} = \frac{N_{tot} \cdot q_{SO4}^{tot} \cdot k_{s_{j}} \cdot c_{j}}{1 + N_{j} \cdot k_{s_{j}} \cdot c_{j}}$$
(12)

where  $N_{tot}$  is the number of lone electron pairs on each counter-anion ( $N_{tot} = 8$ ),  $k_{sj}$  is the adsorption constant of component *j*, and  $N_j$  is the number of lone electron pairs occupied by each adsorbed molecule.

In the case of a multi-component model, the competitive Langmuir equilibrium model for *m*components can be described as:

$$q_{j} = \frac{N_{tot}. q_{SO4}^{tot}. k_{s_{j}}. c_{j}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_{i}. k_{s_{i}}. c_{i}}$$
(13)

The value of  $q_{SO4}^{tot}$  can be estimated from the value of the ion-exchange resin capacity  $q_{max}$ , which is the concentration of quaternary ammonium groups RMe<sub>3</sub>N<sup>+</sup>. The resin supplier provides an estimation (ca. 2.3 eq/L where eq corresponds to the equivalent molar charge amount). This value was checked by mixing a given volume of resin in OH<sup>-</sup> form with a given volume of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution whose concentration is known. The titration of residual acid concentration in the solution enabled estimating the initial OH<sup>-</sup> concentration in resin (2.1 ± 0.2 eq/L).

287 Equilibrium curves of additional organic acids, determined by frontal analysis, are currently 288 in progress in our laboratory. This dataset is intended to refine the competitive Langmuir 289 equilibrium model. So far, these additional results are consistent and will be the object of 290 another paper. Equilibrium curves enable us to estimate Langmuir model coefficients (N and  $k_s$ ) by fitting the model to experimental points. As the N and  $k_s$  values are correlated in the 291 292 linear zone of equilibrium curves, their estimation can be quite inaccurate when it is 293 impossible to obtain sufficient experimental points in the non-linear zone. Consequently, N294 and  $k_s$  are given in Table 4 with their uncertainty range. Because N represents the number 295 of lone electron pairs occupied by each adsorbed molecule, N was assumed afterward to be 296 equal to only rounded values that could be explained physically. When the experimental 297 range of N can include two possibilities (for formic and succinic acids), two corresponding 298 ranges of the  $k_s$  value were identified.

According to the Langmuir adsorption model and *N* estimation from Table 4, formic, succinic, and citric acids seem to occupy a different number *N* of lone electron pairs on oxygen atoms of sulfate or hydrogen sulfate counter-anions. The values are rather consistent with their different molecular size and the number of carboxylic acid groups (Fig. 4). 303 Citric acid occupies more adsorption sites, most likely because of its large molecular size, 304 which hinders access to free lone pairs, and its three carboxylic acid groups that can make H 305 bonds with up to three lone pairs. N = 8 corresponds to only one citric acid molecule per 306 sulfate or hydrogen sulfate counter-anion (all eight lone pairs occupied: H-bond or cluttered). 307 Inversely, N = 0.5 or 1 for formic acid, which is the smallest acid and has only one carboxylic 308 acid group. It corresponds to one or two formic acid molecules per lone pairs (none 309 cluttered and only single H-bonds). The formation of formic acid dimers could explain that 310 up to two molecules can occupy the same adsorption site [33]. Succinic acid is an 311 intermediate case because of its medium size and two carboxylic acid groups. N = 4 or 8 312 corresponds to one or two succinic acids per sulfate or hydrogen sulfate counter-anions 313 (four lone or eight pairs occupied). 314  $k_s$  values of formic, succinic, and citric acid are quite similar and correspond to the strength 315 of the H-bond between their carboxylic acid group and lone electron pairs of sulfate or 316 hydrogen sulfate counter-anions. Their value has been refined further in the present work to 317 remove the possible influence of ion-exchange that may have caused  $k_s$  overestimation.

#### 318 2.3.3 Ion-exchange mechanisms

In the present model, ion-exchange was also considered to explain the retention of some organic acids with a particular chromatographic profile (large tailing) with strong anionic resin in sulfate form. Indeed, despite the very low dissociation of organic acids at pH below 2, a small concentration of corresponding organic anions could greatly influence their profile in the case of a very strong affinity for resin ion-exchange sites.

In the mobile phase, organic acid HA and hydrogen sulfate anion  $HSO_4^-$  can dissociate into its corresponding conjugate base  $A^-$  or  $SO_4^{2-}$  and one proton  $H^+$ . The corresponding acid–base equilibria can be written as follow:

$$HA \leftrightarrow A^- + H^+ \qquad \qquad K_a^{HA} = \frac{c_{H^+} \cdot c_A^-}{c_{HA}} \tag{14}$$

$$HSO_{4}^{-} \leftrightarrow SO_{4}^{2-} + H^{+} \qquad \qquad K_{a}^{HSO_{4}^{-}} = \frac{c_{H^{+}} \cdot c_{SO_{4}}^{2-}}{c_{HSO_{4}}^{-}}$$
(15)

In the present model, usual pKa values of formic, succinic, and citric acids and hydrogen
sulfate at 20 °C (operating temperature) were considered: 3.76, 4.16, 3.13, and 1.99,
respectively.

Organic anions  $A_j^-$  can replace  $HSO_4^-$  or  $SO_4^{2-}$  counter-anions on quaternary ammonium ion-exchange sites. Ion-exchange reactions correspond to the following equilibria:

$$Me_{3}N^{+}HSO_{4}^{-} + A_{j}^{-} \leftrightarrow Me_{3}N^{+}A_{j}^{-} + HSO_{4}^{-} \qquad \qquad K_{A_{j}^{-}/HSO_{4}^{-}}^{iex} = \frac{c_{HSO_{4}^{-}} \cdot q_{A_{j}^{-}}}{q_{HSO_{4}^{-}} \cdot c_{A_{j}^{-}}}$$
(16)

$$Me_{3}N^{+}SO_{4}^{2-} + 2A_{j}^{-} \leftrightarrow 2Me_{3}N^{+}A_{j}^{-} + SO_{4}^{2-} \qquad \qquad K_{A^{-}/SO_{4}}^{iex} = \frac{c_{SO_{4}}^{2-} \cdot q_{A_{j}^{-}}^{2}}{q_{SO_{4}}^{2-} \cdot c_{A_{j}^{-}}^{2}}$$
(17)

$$Me_{3}N^{+}SO_{4}^{2-} + 2HSO_{4}^{-} \leftrightarrow 2Me_{3}N^{+}HSO_{4}^{-} + SO_{4}^{2-} K_{HSO_{4}^{-}/SO_{4}^{2-}}^{iex} = \frac{c_{SO_{4}^{2-}}q_{HSO_{4}^{-2}}}{q_{SO_{4}^{2-}}c_{HSO_{4}^{-2}}}$$
(18)

332 where  $K_{X^-/Y^-}^{iex}$  is the ion-exchange coefficient of anions X<sup>-</sup> by anions Y<sup>-</sup> on resin sites.

333 In the stationary phase, the concentration of ion-exchange sites  $q_{max}$  is always conserved:

$$q_{max} = 2. q_{SO_4^{2-}} + q_{HSO_4^{-}} + \sum_j q_{A_j^{-}}$$
(19)

All corresponding equilibrium constants can be deduced from resin manufacturer data listed in the appendices [34, 35], which provides the value of  $K_{X^-/OH^-}^{eq}$  between many anions X<sup>-</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup>.

#### **337** 2.4 Computational strategy

As detailed in the introduction, several computational methods could be used to solve the system of equations in space and in time. The CE/SE method was chosen in the present work for its ability to deal with space and time integrals simultaneously. The integral is calculated between normal mesh points and intermediate mesh points, and it takes two integrals to move one step forward in space or time.

This method is based on Gauss's divergence theorem [36]. In the case of a 1D space model, it is also called Green's theorem. For a partial differential equation (PDE) like:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = p \tag{20}$$

345 Green's theorem tells us that the line integral along a closed curve  $\partial C$  of a function equals 346 the integral of the derivative over the corresponding plane region  $\partial D$ :

$$\oint_{\partial C} (-u.\,dx + f.\,dt) = \iint_{\partial D} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) dx.\,dt = \iint_{\partial D} p.\,dx.\,dt$$
(21)

Figure 5 shows the space and time discretization used and the mesh points where all variables are estimated. By definition, the solution element SE (j,n) is the gray space-time interior region centered at point A (j,n). It includes a horizontal line segment, a vertical line segment, and their immediate neighborhood points (B, D, F). In this solution element, we chose to approximate u and f values by a first-order Taylor formula from its center point A (j,n):

$$u^{*}(x,t) \sim u_{j}^{n} + u_{x_{j}}^{n} \cdot (x - x_{j}) + u_{t_{j}}^{n} \cdot (t - t_{n})$$
(22)

$$f^{*}(x,t) \sim f_{j}^{n} + f_{x_{j}}^{n} \cdot (x - x_{j}) + f_{t_{j}}^{n} \cdot (t - t_{n})$$
(23)

where  $u_{xj}^n$  and  $f_{xj}^n$  correspond to the space derivative of u and f at the mesh point (j,n), and u<sub>tj</sub><sup>n</sup> and  $f_{tj}^n$  correspond to the time derivative of u and f at the mesh point (j,n).

A zero-order Taylor formula was chosen to approximate the source term p, which means that p was treated as a constant in each solution element:

$$p^*(x,t) \sim p_j^n \tag{24}$$

The conservation element CE (j,n) corresponds to the rectangle FADE (blue) and ABCD (green), where the source term p was consequently considered equal at mesh points A, B, D, and E, but different at much points  $C(n^{n-1/2})$  and  $E(n^{n-1/2})$ 

and F, but different at mesh points C (
$$p_{j-1/2}$$
) and E ( $p_{j+1/2}$ ).

Finally, Green's theorem applied within the rectangle FBCE (blue + green) by approximating u, f and p leads to the following explicit equation that enabled  $u_j^n$  to be estimated from values of u, f and p at the previous semi-time step:

$$u_{j}^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right] - s_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + s_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\Delta t}{4} \cdot \left( p_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + p_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$
(25)

363 where

$$s_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{\Delta t}{2.\Delta x}\right) \cdot f_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{\Delta x}{8}\right) \cdot u_{x_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{8.\Delta x}\right) \cdot f_{t_{j\pm\frac{1}{2}}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$$
(26)

364 In the case of chromatography convection-diffusion equation, the CE/SE method can be 365 applied to solve the mass balance in the mobile phase by defining:

$$f = v.c - D.\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$$
  $u = c$   $p = -\frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}.k_a.(q^{eq}-q)$  (27)

As a consequence, f,  $u_t$ ,  $f_x$ , then  $f_t$  can be deduced from  $u_x$  at the mesh point (j,n):

$$f = v.u - D.u_x$$
  $f_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}.\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \left(v.\frac{\partial u}{\partial u} - D.\frac{\partial u}{\partial u\partial x}\right)u_x \sim v.u_x$  (28)

$$u_t = p - f_x \text{ (Eq 20)} \qquad f_t = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left(v \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} - D \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial u \partial x}\right) u_t \sim v \cdot u_t \qquad (29)$$

This set of equations prove that only u and  $u_x$  are needed to solve Eq 25. There are many possibilities to calculate the derivative  $u_x$  from u. In the present model, it was calculated by using a modified harmonic mean [37]:

$$u_{x} = \frac{|u_{x}^{+}|u_{x}^{-} + |u_{x}^{-}|u_{x}^{+}|}{|u_{x}^{+}| + |u_{x}^{-}|} \qquad u_{x}^{-} = (u_{j}^{n} - u_{j-1}^{n})/\Delta x \qquad u_{x}^{+} = (u_{j+1}^{n} - u_{j}^{n})/\Delta x \qquad (30)$$

where  $u_x^-$  and  $u_x^+$  are the partial derivatives on the left and right sides, respectively. The modified harmonic mean equals zero when  $u_x^-$  and  $u_x^+$  have opposite signs and equals the traditional harmonic mean when  $u_x^-$  and  $u_x^+$  have the same sign.

Thus, only one variable u is needed to solve the system, which is calculated via Eq 25 with an explicit scheme. Starting from points at time step n, Eqs 22–30 allow us to move to time step n+1/2. Points at time n+1 are then calculated in the same way as points at time n+1/2.

376 Meanwhile, the mass balance in the stationary phase can be solved separately by a 1<sup>st</sup> order
 377 finite-difference method, at each mesh point (j,n):

$$\frac{q_j^n - q_j^{n-1}}{\Delta t} = k_a \cdot \left( q^{eq_j^n} - q_j^n \right) \tag{31}$$

Boundary conditions are used to obtain values of first and last mesh points, as proposed byChuanyi et al. [37]:

$$\frac{\partial c_1^n}{\partial x} \sim \frac{c_2^n - c_1^n}{\Delta x} = \frac{\nu}{D} \left( c_1^n - c_{feed} \right) \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{\partial c_{j_{end}}^n}{\partial x} \sim \frac{c_{j_{end}}^n - c_{(j_{end}-1)}^n}{\Delta x} = 0$$
(33)

# 380 3. Results and discussion

### 381 3.1 Influence of Langmuir and ion-exchange coefficients

382 A tailing can be observed in the chromatography outlet profile of some organic acids with a strong anionic exchange resin. Theoretically, this could be due to a high value of their 383 adsorption affinity  $k_s$  or ion-exchange coefficient  $K^{iex}$ . Figures 6-A and 6-B show the impact 384 of values  $k_s$  and  $K^{iex}$  on the outlet profile during the single component pulse test simulation 385 of citric acid with parameters of Tables 4, 5 and 7. In Figure 6-A,  $K^{iex}$  is fixed at 1, a 386 negligible value, to study only the influence of  $k_s$  on the profile shape and position. Then, 387 the influence of  $K^{iex}$  is depicted in Figure 6-B. Pulse tests with different values of  $K^{eix}$  were 388 simulated. As the objective was to highlight the effect of  $K^{iex}$  only on the profile shape, the 389 value of  $k_s$  was adjusted for each value of  $K^{iex}$  to obtain the same retention volume. 390

As expected, Figure 6-A shows that the higher is the adsorption coefficient  $k_s$ , the higher is the retention volume. However, the value of the coefficient  $k_s$  barely changes the profile shape and its relative symmetry. In comparison, Figure 6-B shows that  $K^{iex}$  significantly impacts the profile shape by increasing its tailing.

To summarize, the retention volume depends on both  $K^{iex}$  and  $k_s$  while the profile tail is influenced almost solely by  $K^{iex}$ . Indeed, very high  $k_s$  values could also lead theoretically to significant profile tailing, but these values would not be consistent with experimental retention volume, which corresponds to a  $k_s$  ranging between 0 and 0.3 L/mol.

The impact of ion-exchange constant  $K^{iex}$  depends on the pH of the liquid phase and the pKa of the organic acid. When the pH is much lower than pKa (pH < pKa - 2), organic acids are mainly in molecular form. In this case, a very small proportion is dissociated into the anionic form, and the peak is less sensitive to the value of  $K^{iex}$ .

### 403 3.2 Identification of Langmuir and ion-exchange coefficients

In the present study,  $K^{iex}$  was estimated to reproduce the experimental profile tailing obtained for formic, succinic, and citric acids, starting from the resin supplier's estimation. Meanwhile,  $k_s$  was adjusted to fit the experimental retention volume. Initially,  $k_s$  was set to the experimental value estimated previously from equilibrium curves, considering different possible N values (Table 4). Figure 7 shows experimental mono-component pulse tests that were used to refine estimations of  $k_s$  and  $K^{iex}$  given in Table 6. The operating conditions of these pulse tests are detailed in Table 5.

411 The mean value of *D* obtained from the van Deemter curve was used for simulation. Only 412 the value of  $k_a$  was slightly adjusted to fit better the peak left front (Table 7).

In Table 6, the identified ranges of  $K^{iex}$  correspond quite well to the supplier's data for 413 formic and citric acids.  $k_s$  ranges identified from pulse test simulations were not influenced 414 by different possible N values considered for each organic acid. Indeed, the denominator 415  $(1 + N. k_s. c)$  of the Langmuir equation remained close to 1 because of weak component 416 417 concentration during pulse test simulations. For formic and succinic acids, the  $k_s$  range estimated from pulse test simulation is consistent with its range identified from equilibrium 418 curves, for N = 0.5 and 4, respectively. Meanwhile, the  $k_s$  range of citric acid identified from 419 420 pulse tests lies at the bottom of its range estimated from equilibrium curves. Thus, 0.5, 4 421 and 8 were considered afterward as the unique, consistent N values for formic, succinic and 422 citric acids, respectively. For citric acid, the slight  $k_s$  overestimation from equilibrium curves

- 423 can be explained by the strong influence of ion-exchange (illustrated by the significant tailing424 of the citric acid profile, cf. Fig. 7).
- 425 3.3 Analysis of ion-exchange effect on the chromatography profile

Figure 7 presents simulation curves of pulse tests for different organic acids, to compare the
Langmuir adsorption model with the hybrid model, which considers that organic acid can be
retained by Langmuir adsorption but also by ion-exchange.

Both models can predict the experimental results for formic acid. This is not surprising as its tailing is negligible, and its profile is almost symmetrical. The formic acid profile is marginally influenced by ion-exchange due to its very low  $K^{iex}$  (< 30). Moreover, it is much less dissociated into anions than citric acid because of its higher pKa (3.76 vs. 3.13). Thus, at pH 1.5, only 0.5% formic acid is dissociated, making the ion-exchange effect even more negligible.

- 435 In comparison, succinic acid has the highest pKa (4.16) but also the highest  $K^{iex}$  according to 436 Table 6 (900 ± 100). Therefore, despite a low proportion dissociated into anions (0.2% at pH
- 437 1.5), the strong retention of succinate anion on resin ion-exchange sites can explain its slight438 profile tailing.
- Finally, the citric acid profile has the largest tailing because of its lower pKa (3.13) and quite high  $K^{iex}$ . Indeed, citric acid is the most dissociated into anions (2.3% at pH 1.5) and citrate anions are strongly retained by ion-exchange.
- Figure 7 confirms that the tailing of succinic and citric acid profiles cannot be reproduced by the Langmuir adsorption model. In contrast, the hybrid model is in good agreement with experimental results. It confirms that organic anions retention by ion-exchange must be considered to make predictive simulation, even if they are poorly dissociated at pH = 1.5.

# 446 4. Multi-component pulse test prediction

- To confirm that the hybrid model is more predictive than the model with only Langmuir adsorption, a pulse test with a mixture of formic acid and succinic acid was both simulated and carried out (Fig. 8). Table 8 gives parameter values used in both models, whose ranges were estimated previously from experimental data analysis (Tables 6 and 7).
- 451 Experimental profiles depicted in Figure 10 correspond to a pulse test performed with 10 mL 452 pH 1.5 solution, composed of 0.65 mol/L formic acid and 0.267 mol/L succinic acid, eluted 453 with pH 1.5  $H_2SO_4$  solution at 0.5 BV/h, using the same setup described above.
- Figure 8 confirms clearly that the hybrid model predicts the chromatographic profile of both organic acids much better. More specifically, the hybrid model is able to predict the significant tailing of the succinic acid profile, unlike the Langmuir model. Moreover, the mean retention volume of formic acid is better predicted by the hybrid model, even though there is still a slight difference (0.1 BV) compared to experimental points.
- The slight discrepancies observed can be due to assumptions and simplifications in the present hybrid model. For example, all adsorption sites were considered equivalent. To be more accurate, adsorption sites on the upper side of  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $HSO_4^{-}$  counter-anions should be considered more accessible than sites closer to the resin matrix (Fig. 4). In addition, some studies [38, 39] showed that the original Langmuir competitive equation is

not suitable for multi-layer adsorption. Thus, a more accurate model considering different
types of adsorption sites might be an interesting approach to better predictions of multicomponent mixture separation by chromatography.

# 467 5. Conclusion

468 Strong anionic resins, often used in sulfate form in chemical or food and feed industries to 469 separate organic acids by chromatography, require better retention mechanisms to improve 470 the model's prediction. In this work, a hybrid model was developed and used to explain the 471 elution profiles of succinic and citric acids, which display unusual tailing. Compared to classic 472 Langmuir adsorption models, it also considers the effect of organic acid dissociation into 473 anions that can be retained on resin ion-exchange sites. The model was solved with the 474 CE/SE method.

475 Estimation of adsorption equilibrium coefficient (N and  $k_s$ ) were consistent with the 476 adsorption of organic acids by means of hydrogen bonding between the hydrogen atom of 477 the carboxylic acid group and one lone electron pair of oxygen atoms of sulfate or hydrogen 478 sulfate counter-anions. Present results confirm that the tailing of succinic and citric acid 479 profiles is mainly caused by ion-exchange of their very low dissociated fraction, even at low 480 pH (< 1.5). Organic acid dissociation and ion-exchange is, therefore, must be considered to 481 develop a predictive model for succinic and citric acids. The prediction of the hybrid model 482 was successfully validated in the case of a multi-component pulse test.

This hybrid model is currently implemented in a multi-column chromatography simulation program to predict and optimize the performance of simulated moving bed technologies. It is also useful to design new multi-fraction processes.

486

## 487 Acknowledgments

This study was carried out in the Centre Européen de Biotechnologie et de Bioéconomie (CEBB), supported by Région Grand Est, Département de la Marne, Grand Reims and the European Union. In particular, the authors would like to thank Département de la Marne, Grand Reims, Région Grand Est and European Union with European Regional Development Fund (ERDF Champagne-Ardenne 2014-2020) for their financial support to the Chair of Biotechnology of CentraleSupélec.

## 494 References

- 495 [1] Jun Y., Feijie H., Wenyan H., Method for detecting paraffin in food by gas
  496 chromatography and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and application
  497 thereof, Worldwide applications, CN Patent 102621245A, 2012
- 498[2]Shane M.P., Methods for monitoring integrated continuous pharmaceutical499manufacturing processes, US Patent 20160041551A1, 2016,
- 500[3]Qiao-Le H., Zhao-xi S., Liming Z., Model-based process design of a ternary protein501separation using multi-step gradient ion-exchange SMB chromatography, Computers502& Chemical Engineering 138 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.-5032020.106851

- 504[4]Ammann, A.A. Speciation of heavy metals in environmental water by ion505chromatography coupled to ICP-MS, Anal Bioanal Chem 372 (2002), 448-452,506https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-001-1115-8
- 507 [5] Kaifei C., Shilai H., Hang L., Gang L., Shicheng Z.g, Jian-min C., Ion exchange
  508 separation for recovery of monosaccharides, organic acids and phenolic compounds
  509 from hydrolysates of lignocellulosic biomass, Separation and Purification Technology
  510 172 (2017), 100-106, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.-2016.08.004
- 511[6]Bolin G., Lili W., Chaozhan W., Xindu G., Preparation of hydrophobic interaction512chromatographic packings based on monodisperse poly (glycidyl-methacrylate-co-513ethylenedimethacrylate) beads and their application, Journal of Chromatography A5141022 (2004), 33-39 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.-2003.09.063
- 515 [7] Shanshan S., Xiulan X., Lijun Z., Liang C., Zhaochu X., Yufeng L., Preparative
  516 separation of five polyphenols from the fruits of Sorbus pohuashanensis Hedl. by
  517 high-speed counter-current chromatography, Journal of Chromatography B 1172
  518 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2021.122620.
- 519[8]Ton B., Pascal B., Peter J.S., Ron A.H. Peters, Charge-based separation of synthetic520macromolecules by non-aqueous ion exchange chromatography, Journal of521Chromatography A 1626 (2020), https://doi.org/-10.1016/j.chroma.2020.461351.
- 522 [9] Ali S., Mohammad Reza K., Masoud A., Ahmad S., Shahram S., Mathematical modeling and optimization of industrial scale ELUXYL simulated moving bed (SMB),
  524 Separation and Purification Technology 248 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.116961.
- 526 [10] Cheol-Yeon J., Jae-Hwan C., Jin-Woo K., Sungyong M., Development of a simulated
  527 moving bed process for ultra-high-purity separation of ribose from a low-selectivity
  528 sugar mixture in microalgal hydrolyzate, Separation and Purification Technology 262
  529 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/-j.seppur.2020.118298
- Julien L., Claire-Line B., Florence L., Marc-André T., Moncef S., Dominique P.,
  Purification of organic acids by chromatography with strong anionic resins:
  Investigation of uptake mechanisms; Journal of Chromatography A 1458 (2016), 6369, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.-2016.06.057.
- 534 [12]Kulprathipanja S., Oroskar A.R., Separation of lactic acid from fermentation broth535with an anionic polymeric absorbent, US Patent 5068418, 1991.
- 536 [13]Guiochon G., Shirazi D.G., Felinger A., Katti A.M., Fundamentals of preparative and537non-linear chromatography, Academic Press 2<sup>nd</sup> edition (2006), 283–286,538ISBN0123705371, 9780123705372.
- 539 [14]Juke, A., Epping, A., Schmidt-Traub, H., Optimal design of batch and simulated540moving bed chromatographic separation processes, Journal of Chromatography A541944 (2012), 93-117, https://doi.org-/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)01311-5.
- 542 [15] Kaczmarski K., Use of orthogonal collocation on finite elements with moving 543 boundaries in the simulation of non-linear multi-component chromatography. 544 Influence of fluid velocity variation on retention time in LC and HPLC, Computers & 545 Chemical Engineering 20 (1996), 49-64, https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-546 1354(95)00004-L.
- 547 [16] Hørsholt A., Christiansen L.H., Meyer K., Huusom J.K., Jørgensen J.B., A
  548 Discontinuous-Galerkin Finite-element method for simulation of packed bed
  549 chromatographic processes, FAC-PapersOnLine 52 (2019), 346-351,
  550 https://doi.org/10.1016/-j.ifacol.2019.06.086.

- 551 [17] Dünnebier G., Weirich I., Klat K.U.t, Computationally efficient dynamic modelling and
  552 simulation of simulated moving bed chromatographic processes with linear
  553 isotherms, Chemical Engineering Science 53 (1998), 2537-2546,
  554 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509(98)00076-1.
- 555 [18]Kaczmarski K., Antos D., Fast finite difference method for solving multi-component556adsorption-chromatography models, Computers & Chemical Engineering 20 (1996),5571271-1276, https://doi.org/10.1016-/0098-1354(95)00247-2.
- Lim Y.I., Le Lann J.M., Joulia X., Accuracy, temporal performance and stability
  comparisons of discretization methods for the solution of partial differential
  equations (PDEs) in the presence of steep moving fronts, Computers and Chemical
  Engineering, 25 (2001), 1483-1492, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354(01)00712-8.
- 562 [20] Chang, S.C., The method of space-time conservation element and solution
  563 element—A new approach for solving the navier-stokes and euler equations. J.
  564 Comput. Phys. 119, 2 (July 1995), 295–324. https://doi.org/10.1006/-jcph.1995.1137
- Wei L., Dong H., Pan H., Hu X., Zhu J., Study on the mechanism of the deflagration to
  detonation transition process of explosive, Journal of Energetic Materials 32 (2014)
  238-251. https://doi.org/10.1080/07370652.2013.825347
- 568 [22] Shen H., Gang W., Liu K., Deliang Z. Numerical simulation of liquid-fueled
  569 detonations by an eulerian-lagrangian model. International Journal of Nonlinear
  570 Sciences and Numerical Simulation (2012), 13, https://doi.org/177-188.
  571 10.1515/ijnsns.2011.102.
- 572 [23]Orkomi A.A., Shahrokhi M., Simulation and control of multidimensional573crystallization processes, Chem. Eng. Commun. 201 (2014), 870-895,574https://doi.org/10.1080-/00986445.2013.785947.
- 575 [24]Qamar S., Yousaf M., The space-time CESE method for solving special relativistic576hydrodynamic equations, J. Comput. Phys. 231 (2012) 3928-3945, https://doi.org/-57710.1016/j.jcp.2012.01.039.
- 578 [25] Sadighi S., Ahmad A., Shirvani M., Dynamic simulation of a pilot scale vacuum gas oil
  579 hydrocracking unit by the space-time CE/SE method, Chemical Engineering &
  580 Technology 35 (2012), 919-928, https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201100305
- 581 [26]Young-Il L., Sten-Bay J., A fast and accurate numerical method for solving simulated582moving bed (SMB) chromatographic separation problems, Chemical Engineering583Science, Volume 59 (2004), 1931-1947, https://doi.org/10.1016-/j.ces.2003.12.026
- Lan L., Young-Il L., Performance evaluation of the conservation element and solution
  element method in SMB process simulation; Chemical Engineering and Processing
  48 (2009), 878–884, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2008.11.003
- 587 [28]Van Deemter J. J., Zuiderweg F.J., Klinkenberg A., Longitudinal diffusion and588resistance to mass transfer as causes of nonideality in chromatography. Chemical589Engineering Science 50 (1995), 3869-3882, https://doi.org/10.1016/00092509-590(96)81813-6.
- 591 [29]Felinger, A., Guiochon, G. Comparison of the kinetic models of linear592chromatography. Chromatographia 60 (2004), 175-180. https://doi.org/10.1365-593/s10337-004-0288-7
- 594 [30]Kulprathipanja S., Oroskar A.R., Separation of lactic acid from fermentation broth595with an anionic polymeric absorbent, US Patent 5068418, 1991.
- 596 [31]Kulprathipanja S., Oroskar A.R., Separation of an organic acid from fermentation597broth with an anionic polymeric adsorbent, US Patent 5068419,1991.

- 598 Sircar S., Comments on practical use of Langmuir gas adsorption isotherm model. [32] 599 Adsorption 23 (2017), 121–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10450-016-9839-0 600 [33] Benni D., Weichao Z., Catalytic effect of water, water dimer, or formic acid on the 601 tautomerization of nitroguanidine, Computational and Theoretical Chemistry 1049 602 (2014), 90-96, https://doi.org/10.1016/-j.comptc.2014.09.025. Ion Exchange Resins Selectivity, DuPont, Form No. 45-D01458-en, Rev. 2 November 603 [34] 604 2019 605 [35] DOWEX<sup>™</sup> Ion Exchange Resins Technical Information, Form No. 177-01755-0207 606 [36] Sin-Chung C., "Courant Number and Mach Number Insensitive CE/SE Euler Solvers," 607 AIAA Paper 2005-4355, 41st AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference and 608 Exhibit (2005), Tucson, AZ. Chuanyi Y., Shaokun T., Yinghua L., Hong-Mei Y., Moses O.T., Combination of space-609 [37] 610 time conservation element/solution element method and continuous prediction 611 technique for accelerated simulation of simulated moving bed chromatography, 612 Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification 96 (2015), 54-61, 613 https://doi.org/10.1016/-j.cep.2015.07.023. 614 [38] Neil R.A., Adsorption of formic acid on clean and oxygen covered Pt(111), 615 Applications of Surface Science 11-12 (1982), 774-783, 616 https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-5963(82)90120-9. 617 [39] Nejad A., Suhm M., Concerted Pair Motion Due to Double Hydrogen Bonding: The 618 Formic Acid Dimer Case, Journal of the Indian Institute of Science 100 (2019),
- 619 https://doi.org/100. 10.1007/s41745-019-00137-5.

# 620 Appendices

Anion	Type 1	Type 2
HSiO <sub>3</sub>	<1.0	<1.0
OH <sup></sup>	1.0	1.0
<i>F</i>	1.6	0.3
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COO <sup>-</sup>	2.6	0.3
CH <sub>3</sub> COO <sup>-</sup>	3.2	0.5
НСОО-	4.6	0.5
$H_2PO_4^-$	5.0	0.5
103	5.5	0.5
HCO <sub>3</sub>	6.0	1.2
Cl <sup>-</sup>	22	2.3
NO <sub>2</sub>	24	3
BrO <sub>3</sub>	27	3
HSO <sub>3</sub>	27	3
CN <sup>-</sup>	28	3
HSO <sub>4</sub>	35	9
$Br^{-}$	50	6
NO <sub>3</sub>	65	8
ClO <sub>3</sub>	74	12
Phenate	110	27
$SO_{4}^{2-}$	150	/
<i>I<sup>-</sup></i>	175	17
$SeO_4^{2-}$	280	/
Citrate	220	23
$ClO_4^-$	>500	/
$CrO_4^{2-}$	1700	/
$C_6H_5SO_3^-$	>500	75

# 621 SBA (strong base anion) resins selectivity coefficients [34, 35]

# 623 Figures and Tables





632

**Fig. 4.** Schematic illustration of organic acid adsorption by hydrogen bonding on  $SO_4^{2-}$  or  $HSO_4^{-}$  counter-anions, which have eight lone electron pairs each. N = 0.5 for formic acid could be explained by dimer conformation, and means that up to 16 molecules could be adsorbed (only 4 of them are represented). N = 8 for citric acid corresponds to one molecule maximum adsorbed on each counter-anion. Indeed, citric acid can form up to 3 hydrogen bonds and the other five free sites could be cluttered. N = 4 for succinic acid corresponds to 2 molecules maximum adsorbed on each counter-anion, because it can form up to 2 hydrogen bonds and could clutter less free sites.

645



**Fig. 5.** The SE (solution element, gray part) and CE (conservation element, green and blue part) at position j and

648time n. Values at point A are calculated from points C and E at n-1/2; values at C or E are obtained from points at<br/>time n-1 via the CE and SE at the point at time n-1/2.



**Fig. 6.** Influence of  $k_s$  (Fig.6-A on the top) and  $K^{iex}$  (Fig.6-B at the bottom) on organic acid outlet profile.





658 Fig.7. Influence of ion-exchange on outlet profile of formic (Fig. 7-A), succinic (Fig. 7-B) and citric acids (Fig. 7-C)



**Fig.8.** Multi-component pulse test to compare predictions of a model with only Langmuir adsorption to the 661 hybrid model, which also considers ion-exchange

#### Table 1: Chemicals used in this work

	Producer	N° CAS	Purity
Formic acid	Sigma-Aldrich	64-18-6	>98%
Succinic acid	Acros Organics	110-15-6	99%
Citric acid	Acros Organics	5949-29-1	99.5%
Sulfuric acid	VWR PROLABO	7664-93-9	95%
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Acros Organics	7757-82-6	99+%

#### 

#### Table 2: Devices used in this work

Device	Manufacturer	Model
Pump	MasterFlex L/S	7518-10
Column	YMC Europe ltd.	ECO25
pH/conductivity sensor	Gilson	Verity 1810
UV sensor	Gilson	159 UV-VIS
Fraction collector	Gilson	FC 203B
HPLC	Dionex	Ultimate 3000

#### 

#### Table 3: Main characteristics of the strong anionic resin used in this work (DIAION UMA 150)

Matrix	Styrene-DVB Gel	
Functional group	Quaternary ammonium (Type I)	
Salt splitting capacity	> 1.4 eq/L	
Water content	37–47%	
Mean particle size	220–260 μm	
Uniformity coefficient	< 1.1	

#### Table 4. Coefficients of the Langmuir equilibrium model for organic acid adsorption on $HSO_4^-$ and $SO_4^{2-}$ counter-anions.

## 

Organic acids	N estimation	N assumed	$k_s$ (L/mol)
Formic acid	0.25–1.15	0.5 or 1	0.05–0.25 ( <i>N</i> = 0.5) 0.025–0.125 ( <i>N</i> = 1)
Succinic acid	2.1–11	4 or 8	0.14–0.50 ( <i>N</i> = 4) 0.07–0.25 ( <i>N</i> = 8)
Citric acid	5.2–10	8	0.14-0.28

674 Table 5. Operating conditions of single component pulse tests

	Formic acid	Succinic acid	Citric acid
Sample volume (BV)	0.035	0.02	0.02
Concentration (mol/L)	0.75	0.27	0.55
Eluent	pH 1.5 H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (0.02 mol/L)		
Flowrate (BV/h)		0.5	

## 676 Table 6. Comparison of $K^{iex}$ and $k_s$ estimations of formic, succinic and citric acids

Organic acid	<i>K<sup>iex</sup></i> identified from pulse test	K <sup>iex</sup> supplier	$k_s$ estimated from equilibrium curves	$k_s$ identified from pulse test simulations
Formic acid	15 ± 10	5	0.05–0.25 (N = 0.5) 0.025–0.125 (N = 1)	0.2–0.25 ( <i>N</i> = 0.5 or 1)
Succinic acid	900 ± 100	Not given	0.14–0.50 ( <i>N</i> = 4) 0.07–0.25 ( <i>N</i> = 8)	0.26–0.28 ( <i>N</i> = 4 or 8)
Citric acid	300 ± 50	250	0.14–0.28 ( <i>N</i> = 8)	0.12–0.14 ( <i>N</i> = 8)

677

678 Table 7. Values of  $k_a$  and D of formic, succinic and citric acids.

Organic acid	$k_a$ van Deemter (10 <sup>-3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_a$ adjusted (10 <sup>-3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	D van Deemter (10 <sup>-7</sup> m <sup>2</sup> /s)	<i>D</i> used (10 <sup>-7</sup> m <sup>2</sup> /s)	
Formic acid	rmic acid 31–35		1-1.1	1	
Succinic acid	7.5–9.5	8	0.68-1.4	0.8	
Citric acid	2.8-3.4	5.6	4–5	4	

#### 679

680 Table 8. Parameters used for multi-component pulse test simulation

Model	Organic acid	Ν	$k_s$ (L/mol)	K <sup>iex</sup>	$k_a (s^{-1})$	<i>D</i> (10 <sup>-7</sup> m <sup>2</sup> /s)
Hybrid model	Formic acid	0.5	0.24	25	0.045	1
ion-exchange)	Succinic acid	4	0.26	800	0.008	0.5
Langmuir model	Formic acid	0.5	0.25	0	0.045	1
(only adsorption)	Succinic acid	4	0.44	0	0.008	0.5

681