

# Antidepressant-like effects of psychedelics in a chronic despair mouse model: is the 5-HT2A receptor the unique player?

Mehdi Sekssaoui, Joël Bockaert, Philippe Marin, Carine Bécamel

### ▶ To cite this version:

Mehdi Sekssaoui, Joël Bockaert, Philippe Marin, Carine Bécamel. Antidepressant-like effects of psychedelics in a chronic despair mouse model: is the 5-HT2A receptor the unique player?. Neuropsychopharmacology, 2024, 49 (4), pp.747-756. 10.1038/s41386-024-01794-6. hal-04402314

### HAL Id: hal-04402314 https://hal.science/hal-04402314

Submitted on 18 Jan2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**OPEN** 

#### Check for updates

# Antidepressant-like effects of psychedelics in a chronic despair mouse model: is the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor the unique player?

Mehdi Sekssaoui<sup>1</sup>, Joël Bockaert<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Marin <sup>1,2</sup> and Carine Bécamel <sup>1,2⊠</sup>

© The Author(s) 2024

ARTICLE

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the most disabling psychiatric disorders in the world. First-line treatments such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) still have many limitations, including a resistance to treatment in 30% of patients and a delayed clinical benefit that is observed only after several weeks of treatment. Increasing clinical evidence indicates that the acute administration of psychedelic agonists of the serotonin 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor (5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R), such as psilocybin, to patients with MDD induce fast antidepressant effects, which persist up to five weeks after the treatment. However, the involvement of the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R in these antidepressant effects remains controversial. Furthermore, whether the hallucinogenic properties of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists are mandatory to their antidepressant activity is still an open question. Here, we addressed these issues by investigating the effect of two psychedelics of different chemical families, DOI and psilocybin, and a non-hallucinogenic 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonist, lisuride, in a chronic despair mouse model exhibiting a robust depressive-like phenotype. We show that a single injection of each drug to wild type mice induces anxiolytic- and antidepressant-like effects in the novelty-suppressed feeding, sucrose preference and forced swim tests, which last up to 15 days. DOI and lisuride administration did not produce antidepressant-like effects in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists can produce antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> mice. Collectively, these findings indicate that 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists can produce antidepressant-like effects in dependently of hallucinogenic properties involving or not involving the receptor.

Neuropsychopharmacology; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-024-01794-6

### INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the leading causes of disability worldwide. It can affect up to 20% of people during the entire lifespan in developed countries, generating high economic burdens [1]. MDD prevalence is increasing since the COVID-19 pandemics that has left more people in mental distress than ever before. MDD strongly compromises the quality of life of patients and can ultimately lead to suicide, the most dramatic outcome contributing to the high mortality of MDD [1, 2]. Conventional antidepressants, such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), show unequivocal therapeutic efficacy, but several weeks of treatment are generally required to observe a clinical benefit. Furthermore, less than 50% of patients show complete remission even with optimized therapies, and 30% are resistant to any treatment. There is thus an urgent need to develop fast-acting and more efficient antidepressants for patients with MDD, especially for those with suicidal ideations or behaviors.

A recent paradigm shift in the treatment of MDD has been the use of the NMDA receptor antagonist ketamine which produces fast antidepressant effects even in patients resistant to conventional antidepressants. In fact, ketamine antidepressant-like effects in preclinical models of depression are independent of NMDA receptor inhibition but require AMPA receptor activation [3]. Serotonergic psychedelics, such as Lysergic Acid Diethylamide (LSD) or psilocybin have also been recognized for their potential to treat MDD [4-7]. Clinical trials, generally of small sizes, revealed that one or two doses of psilocybin induce fast (within hours) and prolonged alleviation of depressive symptoms that last several weeks and, sometimes, up to 12 months after the treatment [8, 9]. A larger phase 2b clinical trial in patients with major depressive episodes and resistant to classical treatments conducted in 10 countries in Europe and North America recently showed that 30% of patients who received a single dose of psilocybin (25 mg) in combination with psychological support, had a rapid reduction of depressive symptoms that persisted three weeks after the treatment [10]. Furthermore, unlike ketamine, psychedelics do not cause dependence or withdrawal syndrome [11] and induce side effects (anxiety, alteration of perception, or psychotic states) only in a small proportion of patients [12], thus raising a great hope for the treatment of patients with MDD associated to suicidal ideations/behaviors.

Although there is a consensus that  $5-HT_{2A}$  receptor ( $5-HT_{2A}R$ ) activation mediates the psychedelic effects of LSD and psilocybin in human and mice [13–16], its role in their antidepressant effects remains controversial [17, 18]. Another open question is whether the psychedelic properties of  $5-HT_{2A}R$  agonists are necessary for their antidepressant activity. Here, we investigated these issues in the chronic despair model (CDM) in the mouse, a preclinical model of depression of high translational value. We show that the  $5-HT_{2A}R$  is involved in the antidepressant-like effects of DOI, a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle, Université de Montpellier, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, F-34094 Montpellier, France. <sup>2</sup>These authors contributed equally: Philippe Marin, Carine Bécamel. <sup>Ed</sup>email: carine.becamel@igf.cnrs.fr

synthetic psychedelic, but not in the effects of psilocybin. We also show that lisuride, a non-hallucinogenic ergot derivative that behaves as a  $5-HT_{2A}R$  agonist, induces antidepressant-like effects in the same model, suggesting that the antidepressant activity of  $5-HT_{2A}R$  agonists is independent of their psychedelic properties.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Animals

Wild-type male and female C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories. 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R-deficient (5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup>) mice, originally generated at Columbia University, were kindly provided by Dr Laurence Lanfumey, Institut de Psychiatrie et Neurosciences de Paris (IPNP), 102-108 rue de la santé, 75014 Paris, France. These mice were originally generated on a 12956/SvEv background and backcrossed over at least ten generations onto the inbred C57BL/6J line. Mice from both sexes were indifferently used in behavioral experiments. Mice were housed under standardized conditions with a 12-h light (from 7:00 am to 7:00 pm)/dark cycle, stable temperature ( $22 \pm 1$  °C), controlled humidity ( $55 \pm 10\%$ ), and free access to food and water. Animal husbandry and experimental procedures were performed in compliance with the animal use and care guidelines of the University of Montpellier, the French Agriculture Ministry, and the European Council Directive (86/609/EEC). All the experiments were conducted with animals aged from postnatal day 60.

### **Drugs and treatments**

2,5-Dimethoxy-4-iodoamphetamine (DOI; Sigma D101), psilocybin (Cayman Chemical No.14041) and Lisuride maleate (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) were injected intraperitoneally at the dose of 1 mg/kg. WAY-100635 (Sigma W108) was injected at the dose of 0.5 mg/kg. Ketamine (Imalgene 1000) was injected at the dose of 3 mg/kg. Eticlopride (Tocris 1847) and SCH-23390 (Tocris 0925) were injected sub-cutaneously at the dose of 0.03 mg/kg. For microdosing experiments, DOI and psilocybin were injected daily at the dose of 0.05 mg/kg that does not induce head twitch response (HTR) in mice, during 6 days. DOI, WAY-100635 Ketamine, eticlopride and SCH-23390 were dissolved in saline solution (0.9% NaCl). Psilocybin and Lisuride were first dissolved in DMSO and then diluted in saline solution. (DMSO 1.5%, 0.9% NaCl). Vehicle groups received this solution. Treatments were given in the morning, between 9:00 and 10:00 am. A volume of 5 mL/kg was administrated for each drug.

### **Behavioral tests**

Chronic behavioral despair model. In order to induce depressive-like symptoms in mice, we used a previously well-described protocol [19, 20] where mice were subjected to repeated swimming in a 2-liter beaker containing 20 cm of water (22–25 °C) for 10 min daily during 5 consecutive days (induction phase). Then mice were kept in their home cages without any stress (resting phase). Three days after the last swimming session (Day 0), mice were injected either with vehicle, or DOI (1 mg/kg, i.p.), or psilocybin (1 mg/kg, i.p.) + WAY-100635 (0.5 mg/kg, i.p.) or lisuride (1 mg/kg, i.p.) or psilocybin (1 mg/kg, i.p.) + SCH23390 (0.03 mg/kg, s.c.), or psilocybin (1 mg/kg, i.p.) + SCH23390 (0.03 mg/kg, s.c.), or ketamine (3 mg/kg, i.p.). All experiments (induction and tests) were performed in the morning. For microdosing experiments, mice were daily injected either with vehicle, or DOI (0.05 mg/kg, i.p.) or psilocybin (0.05 mg/kg, i.p.) during 6 days. The first behavioral test was performed 48 h after the last injection of psychedelics.

*Head twitch responses.* HTRs were monitored over 30 min after the administration of either vehicle, DOI (1 mg/kg, i.p.) or psilocybin (1 mg/kg, i.p.) or lisuride (1 mg/kg, i.p.) or DOI (0.05 mg/kg, i.p.) or psilocybin (0.05 mg/kg, i.p.) in both naive C57BL/6 J and 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> mice. Data were categorized in 10-min time frames (0–10 ; 10–20 ; 20–30 min). HTR was counted as a head flick by a blind observer.

Novelty-suppressed feeding test. The test was conducted in a 50 cm-large square open field, the floor of which was covered with approximately 2 cm of wooden bedding. Twenty-four hours prior to behavioral testing, the food was removed from the home cage. At the time of testing, a single pellet of food was placed on a white paper in the center of the box. High illumination (1000 lux) was used to produce an anxiogenic environment. Each mouse was placed in the same corner of the open field and a stopwatch was immediately started. The latency to eat

(defined as the mouse biting the pellet) was measured. The testing sessions lasted 10 min. The maximum value (600 s) was attributed to animals that do not bite the pellet during the testing session. Immediately after biting the food pellet, mice were placed in a test cage containing a new, weighed food pellet. The amount of pellet eaten for 5 min was measured. Then, the animals were placed back in their home cage with food and water *ad libitum* [21, 22].

Forced swimming test. The procedure used was adapted from Porsolt et al. [23]. Mice were placed in a 2-L beaker filled with 20 cm of 23-25 °C water and videotaped for the entire session (6 min). Immobility duration was scored for the entire session by a blinded observer.

Sucrose preference test. The protocol used was adapted from Liu et al. [24, 25]. During the first phase, mice in their home cages were continuously offered two bottles, one containing a sucrose (1%) solution and one containing regular water, for 48 h. The position of the two bottles in each cage was swapped every 12 h to avoid place preference bias. Mice also had access to food ad libitum. They were then transferred to the testing cage for 12 h with food and water ad libitum for adaptation. Thereafter, they were deprived of both food and water for 24 h. Immediately after deprivation, they were offered the bottle of 1% sucrose solution and the bottle of regular water for 12 h. Each bottle was weighed before and after the test. No significant differences in total volume intake (~10 ml) were measured between the groups. At the end of the test, animals were placed again in the home cage with food and water ad libitum. The sucrose preference index was defined as (sucrose intake - water intake)/total intake [18, 26]. Cages with leaking bottles were excluded.

*Circular corridor (cyclotron).* Locomotor activity (number of beam interruptions) was measured in a circular corridor (14-cm wide, 18-cm diameter) with four infrared beams placed at 90° angles (Imetronic, Pessac, France) in a low luminosity environment. Mice activity was monitored for 30 min.

### Statistical analysis

Data are mean values ± SEMs. Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism (v. 9.5.0). Outliers were removed from data analysis using Graphpad Prism. The threshold for statistical significance was set at 0.05. In each dataset, Gaussian distribution was verified (Shapiro–Wilk normality test), and the homogeneity of sample variance was tested using Bartlett's test. As long as no variance among groups was detected, ordinary one-way ANOVA test was performed. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA or two-way ANOVA and Dunnett's adjustment for post-hoc analysis in groups with normal distribution and by Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn's adjustment for post-hoc analysis in groups with non-parametric distribution.

#### RESULTS

### Antidepressant-like effects of $5-HT_{2A}R$ agonists in the chronic despair mouse model of depression

To investigate the antidepressant-like effects of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists, CDM mice were consecutively subjected to behavioral tests that collectively evaluate depressive-like behaviors, namely the novelty-suppressed feeding test (NSF, [21, 22]) to assess anxietylike behavior, the sucrose preference test (SPT) to assess anhedonia [24, 25] and the forced swim test (FST) to assess despair behavior [23]. These tests were performed from 2 to 15 days after a single intraperitoneal injection of either vehicle or a 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonist (Fig. 1A and Table 1). Two hallucinogenic 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists belonging to different chemical families, psilocybin (1 mg/kg), a tryptamine and 2,5-Dimethoxy-4-iodoamphetamine (DOI, 1 mg/kg), a phenethylamine, were tested. We also investigated the effect of lisuride (1 mg/kg), a nonhallucinogenic 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonist prescribed for more than 40 years for its anti-parkinsonian activity and ability to lower prolactin level [27, 28]. We first verified that administration of DOI or psilocybin induced a significant increase in HTR in wild type mice, compared to vehicle-injected animals, demonstrating hallucinogenic-like activity, whereas administration of lisuride had no effect (Supplementary Fig. S1A and Table 1). As expected, administration of DOI or psilocybin to  $5-HT_{2A}^{-/-}$  mice did not increase the number of head twitches (Supplementary Fig. S1B and Table 1). CDM mice exhibited a strong depressive-like phenotype, as assessed by their increase in latency to feed, decrease in sucrose consumption and increase in immobility time in the FST, compared with non-conditioned mice (naive mice, Fig. 1B–D and Table 1). Administration of either DOI or psilocybin to CDM mice induced a significant decrease in the latency to feed in the NSF test and in the immobility time in the FST, compared to vehicle-injected mice (Fig. 1B, D and Table 1). DOI and psilocybin administration to CDM mice also restored sucrose preference to a level similar to that measured in non-conditioned mice (Fig. 1C and Table 1). Similar results were obtained in lisuride-injected CDM mice (Fig. 1B-D and Table 1) and in CDM mice injected with ketamine (3 mg/kg, i.p.) used as a reference molecule producing fast antidepressant action [20] (Supplementary Fig. S2A-C and Table 1). None of these treatments affected locomotor activity of mice, assessed by the number of beam interruptions in the cyclotron test (Supplementary Fig. S3 and Supplementary Table S1). Neither DOI, nor psilocybin nor lisuride administration induced a significant effect in non-conditioned mice in the three behavioral tests (Supplementary Fig. S4 and Table 1). Collectively, these results indicate that hallucinogenic 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists as well as a 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonist devoid of psychedelic activity in

rodents [15, 29] and humans [28, 30] induce rapid and prolonged antidepressant-like effects in CDM mice.

## The antidepressant-like effects of DOI and lisuride are mediated by 5-HT\_{2A}R activation

To establish the role of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R activation in the antidepressant effect of the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists tested, 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> mice were subjected to the chronic despair conditioning protocol (5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM mice) followed by the same behavioral tests. Similar to what was observed in wild-type CDM mice, the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM mice showed a depressive-like behavior compared to non-conditioned 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Furthermore, the antidepressant-like effects induced by a single intraperitoneal injection of DOI (1 mg/kg) or lisuride (1 mg/kg) were abolished in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM mice (Fig. 2 and Table 1), indicating that they are mediated by 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R activation.

### The antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin are independent of $5-HT_{2A}$ , $5-HT_{1A}$ , D1 and D2 receptor activation

There are contradictory reports about the involvement of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>Rs in the antidepressant properties of psilocybin [17, 18], the most widely studied psychedelic in clinical trials for its fast-acting antidepressant effects [5]. Reminiscent of its effects in wild type CDM mice, psilocybin administration (1 mg/kg) to 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM



**Fig. 1 Hallucinogenic or non-hallucinogenic 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists produce antidepressant-like effects in CDM mice. A** Timeline of treatment and behavioral experiments in wild type (WT) CDM mice. Mice received an injection of the tested compounds 48 h after the end of the chronic despair protocol. They were then subjected to tests assessing Novelty Suppressed Feeding (NSF), Sucrose Preference (SPT) and Forced Swimming (FST) from 2 to 15 days after the injection of the drug (Day 0). **B** The histogram represents the time of first bite (seconds) measured in the NSF paradigm for each condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 20), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + DOI (n = 20), CDM mice + psilocybin (n = 20), CDM mice + lisuride (n = 9). \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 vs. CDM condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 20), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 20), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + DOI (n = 20), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + DOI (n = 20), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + DOI (n = 20), CDM mice + psilocybin (n = 20), CDM mice + psilocybin

Table 1.	Mean ± SEM, n and	<i>p</i> values related to Figs.	1–4 and Supplementary	y Figs. S1, S2, S4 and S5.
	· · · · · · ·	P		

	in, if and p values related to rigs. T T and supple	incinculy rigs. 51,	52, 51 and 55.		
Figure number	Test	n	Mean ± SEM	Exact <i>p</i> value	F/H/W value
1B	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	20 (10M/10F)	373 ± 26		H = 18,16
		19 (10M/9F)	$508 \pm 28$	p = 0.005	
		20 (11M/9F)	$392 \pm 18$	p = 0.015	
		20 (10M/10F)	$364 \pm 25$	p = 0.002	
		9 (5M/4F)	$323 \pm 42$	p = 0.003	
1C	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple	20 (10M/10F)	$0.795 \pm 0.016$		F (4, 83) = 5.473
	comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	$0.700 \pm 0.016$	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		20 (11M/9F)	$0.779 \pm 0.014$	p = 0.002	
		20 (10M/10F)	$0.769 \pm 0.018$	p = 0.010	
		9 (5M/4F)	$0.787\pm0.018$	p = 0.010	
1D	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple	20 (10M/10F)	74±9		F(4, 83) = 15.56
	comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	$160 \pm 8$	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		20 (11M/9F)	87 ± 8	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		20 (10M/10F)	$100 \pm 9$	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		9 (5M/4F)	73 ± 12	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
2A	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	$354 \pm 24$		H = 35,19
		17 (8M/9F)	565 ± 11	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		18 (10M/8F)	$535 \pm 23$	p > 0.999	
		8 (4M/4F)	598±1	p > 0.999	
2B	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	0.819 ± 0.015		H = 11,74
		19 (10M/9F)	$0.709 \pm 0.024$	p = 0.003	
		19 (10M/9F)	$0.762 \pm 0.028$	p = 0.182	
		9 (4M/5F)	$0.749 \pm 0.029$	p > 0.999	
2C	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	80 ± 7		F (3, 62) = 29.22
		19 (10M/9F)	173 ± 11	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		19 (10M/9F)	185 ± 9	p = 0.717	
		9 (4M/5F)	186 ± 9	p = 0.779	
3A	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	354 ± 24		H = 33,36
		17 (8M/9F)	565 ± 11	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		24 (12M/12F)	296 ± 25	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		18 (10M/8F)	409 ± 39	p = 0.005	
3B	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	0.819 ± 0.015		H = 23,41
		19 (10M/9F)	$0.709 \pm 0.024$	p = 0.005	
		24 (12M/12F)	0.838 ± 0.011	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		18 (10M/8F)	0.844 ± 0.014	p < 0.001	
3C	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	80 ± 7		F (3, 77) = 17.81
		19 (10M/9F)	173±11	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		24 (12M/12F)	114±9	p < 0.001	
		19 (11M/8F)	100 ± 9	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
4A	Welch ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	7 (4M/3F)	$252 \pm 43$		10.98 (3.000, 15.40)
		7 (4M/3F)	480 ± 27	p = 0.003	
		10 (5M/5F)	352 ± 55	p = 0.152	
		10 (5M/5F)	285 ± 24	p < 0.001	
4B	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	7 (4M/3F)	$0.820 \pm 0.027$		F (3, 30) = 8.189
		7(4M/3F)	$0.602 \pm 0.024$	p < 0.001	
		10 (5M/5F)	0.741 ± 0.023	p = 0.006	
		10 (5M/5F)	$0.737 \pm 0.034$	p = 0.008	
4C	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	7 (4M/3F)	89±11		H = 13,67
		7 (4M/3F)	151±9	p = 0.028	
		10 (5M/5F)	96±9	p = 0.020	
		10 (5M/5F)	82±12	p = 0.001	

Table 1. continue	d				
Figure number	Test	n	Mean ± SEM	Exact p value	F/H/W value
S1A	Two-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple		Psilo 0–10 min	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
	comparisons		Psilo 10–20 min	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		6 (3M/3F)	Psilo 20–30 min	p = 0.001	
		6 (3M/3F)	DOI 0-10 min	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		6 (3M/3F)	DOI 10-20 min	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		6 (3M/3F)	DOI 20-30 min	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
			Lisu 0–10 min	p = 0.357	
			Lisu 10–20 min	p = 0.058	
			Lisu 20–30 min	p = 0.906	
S1B	Two-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons		Psilo 0–10 min	p = 0.934	
		6 (3M/3F)	Psilo 10–20 min	p = 0.353	
		6 (3M/3F)	Psilo 20–30 min	p = 0.868	
		6 (3M/3F)	DOI 0-10 min	p = 0.607	
			DOI 10-20 min	p = 0.884	
			DOI 20-30 min	p > 0.999	
S1C	Two-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple		Psilo 0–10 min	p = 0.092	
	comparisons	6 (3M/3F)	Psilo 10–20 min	p = 0.205	
		6 (3M/3F)	Psilo 20–30 min	p = 0.321	
		6 (3M/3F)	DOI 0-10 min	p = 0.120	
			DOI 10-20 min	p = 0.234	
			DOI 20-30 min	<i>p</i> > 0.087	
S2A	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	9 (5M/4F)	321 ± 31		F (2, 26) = 6.428
		8 (4M/4F)	$470 \pm 46$	p = 0.012	
		12 (7M/5F)	$312 \pm 26$	p = 0.005	
S2B	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	9 (5M/4F)	$0.788 \pm 0.018$		F (2, 26) = 9.691
		8 (4M/4F)	$0.667 \pm 0.032$	p = 0.003	
		12 (7M/5F)	$0.804 \pm 0.019$	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
S2C	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	9 (5M/4F)	69±11		H = 9906
		8 (4M/4F)	135 ± 18	p = 0.008	
		12 (7M/5F)	78±7	p = 0.015	
		30 (16M/14F)	371 ± 21		
S2D	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	28 (16M/12F)	560 ± 9	<i>p</i> < 0.001	H = 51,37
		24 (12M/12F)	296 ± 25	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		12 (8M/4F)	352 ± 41	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		12 (8M/4F)	386 ± 29	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
S2E	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	30 (16M/14F)	0.816±0.013		F (4, 103) = 13.16
		30 (18M/12F)	0.691 ± 0.020	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		24 (12M/12F)	0.838±0.011	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		12 (8M/4F)	$0.795 \pm 0.024$	p = 0.002	
		12 (8M/4F)	$0.788 \pm 0.019$	p = 0.003	
S2F	Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	30 (16M/14F)	84±6		H = 46,38
		30 (18M/12F)	179±9	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		24 (12M/12F)	114±9	<i>p</i> < 0.001	
		12 (8M/4F)	109±9	p = 0.004	
		12 (8M/4F)	$114 \pm 11$	p = 0.011	
S4A	One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	20 (10M/10F)	373 ± 26		F (3, 45) = 2.273
		10 (5M/5F)	360 ± 41	p = 0.990	
		10 (5M/5F)	263 ± 25	p = 0.081	
		9 (5M/4F)	399 ± 59	p = 0.929	

- - - -

. .

Table I. continued						
Test	n	Mean ± SEM	Exact <i>p</i> value	F/H/W value		
Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	20 (10M/10F)	$0.795 \pm 0.016$		H = 6386		
	9 (5M/4F)	$0.828\pm0.019$	p = 0.685			
	10 (5M/5F)	$0.830\pm0.015$	p = 0.663			
	8 (5M/3F)	$0.763 \pm 0.018$	p = 0.547			
Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	20 (10M/10F)	74 ± 9		H = 6177		
	10 (5M/5F)	98 ± 15	p = 0.351			
	10 (5M/5F)	95 ± 12	p = 0.530			
	9 (5M/4F)	61±9	p > 0.999			
Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	9 (5M/4F)	$0.032\pm0.004$		H = 1090		
	8 (4M/4F)	$0.041 \pm 0.007$	p = 0.594			
	12 (7M/5F)	$0.037\pm0.005$	p > 0.999			
Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	7 (4M/3F)	$0.049\pm0.009$		H = 1309		
	7 (4M/3F)	$0.037\pm0.007$	p = 0.849			
	10 (5M/5F)	$0.044\pm0.007$	p > 0.999			
	10 (5M/5F)	$0.049\pm0.009$	p > 0.999			
Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	20 (10M/10F)	$0.032\pm0.005$		H = 9378		
	19 (10M/9F)	$0.027\pm0.005$	p > 0.999			
	20 (11M/9F)	$0.029\pm0.003$	p > 0.999			
	20 (10M/10F)	$0.047\pm0.006$	p = 0.029			
	9 (5M/4F)	$0.023\pm0.004$	p > 0.999			
One-way ANOVA + Dunnett's multiple comparisons	19 (10M/9F)	$0.049\pm0.006$		F (5, 98) = 2.274		
	17 (8M/9F)	$0.043\pm0.005$	P = 0.911			
	18 (10M/8F)	$0.043\pm0.006$	p > 0.999			
	8 (4M/4F)	$0.051 \pm 0.008$	p = 0.914			
	24 (12M/12F)	$0.065 \pm 0.006$	p = 0.031			
	18 (10M/8F)	$0.046 \pm 0.006$	p = 0.996			
Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	11 (6M/5F)	$0.040\pm0.008$		H = 0,7129		
	11 (8M/3F)	$0.039 \pm 0.006$	p > 0.999			
	12 (8M/4F)	$0.043 \pm 0.003$	p > 0.999			
	12 (8M/4F)	$0.042\pm0.005$	p > 0.999			
	Test       Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons       Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons	Test       n         Fuskal-Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons       20 (10M/10F)         9 (5M/4F)       10 (5M/5F)         8 (5M/3F)       8 (5M/3F)         Kruskal-Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons       20 (10M/10F)         10 (5M/5F)       10 (5M/5F)         10 (5M/5F)       9 (5M/4F)         10 (5M/5F)       9 (5M/4F)         10 (5M/5F)       9 (5M/4F)         10 (5M/5F)       10 (5M/5F)         10 (5M/5F)       10 (5M/5F)         11 (0 (5M/5F)       10 (5M/5F)         Kruskal-Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons       7 (4M/3F)         10 (5M/5F)       10 (5M/5F)         10 (5M/5F)       10 (5M/5F)         Kruskal-Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons       7 (4M/3F)         10 (5M/5F)       10 (5M/5F)         10 (5M/5F)       20 (10M/10F)         19 (10M/9F)       20 (10M/10F)         20 (10M/10F)       10 (10M/9F)         20 (10M/10F)       9 (5M/4F)         20 (10M/10F)       10 (10M/9F)         20 (10M/10F)       9 (5M/4F)         20 (10M/10F)       10 (10M/9F)         20 (10M/10F)       10 (10M/9F)         21 (20M/2F	TestnMean ± SEMKruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons (500/4F)0.795 ± 0.0169 (50/4F)0.828 ± 0.01910 (50//5F)0.830 ± 0.0158 (50//3F)0.763 ± 0.018Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons (9 (50//3F)20 (100//10F)7 (100//3F)98 ± 1510 (50//3F)98 ± 1510 (50//3F)95 ± 129 (50//4F)0.032 ± 0.00410 (50//3F)0.032 ± 0.00410 (50//3F)0.032 ± 0.00410 (50//3F)0.032 ± 0.00410 (50//3F)0.032 ± 0.00410 (50//3F)0.037 ± 0.005Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons (10 (50//3F)0.049 ± 0.0097 (40//3F)0.037 ± 0.00710 (50//3F)0.049 ± 0.009Kruskal–Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons20 (100//10F)7 (400/3F)0.049 ± 0.00610 (500/3F)0.049 ± 0.00610 (500/3F)0.043 ± 0.006 </td <td>TestnMean ± SEMExct p valueFuskal-Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons Markan Mallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons Markan Mallis + Dunn's multiple comparison Markan Mallis + Dunn's multiple comparison Markan Mallis + Dunn's multiple comparison Markan Mallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons Markan Markan Mallis + Dunn's multiple comparison Markan Mallis + Dunn's multiple comparison<b< td=""></b<></br></td>	TestnMean ± SEMExct p valueFuskal-Wallis + Dunn's multiple comparisons 		

mice significantly decreased both the latency to feed in the NSF test and the immobility time in the FST, compared to vehicleinjected mice (Fig. 3A, C and Table 1). Psilocybin also significantly increased sucrose preference in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM mice compared to vehicle-injected mice (Fig. 3B and Table 1). These results indicate that the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin in CDM mice are independent of the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R.

Psilocin, the active metabolite of psilocybin, is a dual agonist of 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> and 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptors [31]. In order to determine the role of 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>R activation in the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin,  $5-HT_{2A}^{-/-}$  CDM mice were injected with WAY-100635 (0.5 mg/kg, i.p.), a potent and selective  $5-HT_{1A}R$  antagonist, 30 min prior psilocybin administration. This treatment did not abolish the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin in CDM mice (Fig. 3A–C and Table 1), suggesting that they are also independent of  $5-HT_{1A}R$  activation.

Previous studies have shown that a pretreatment with a D2 receptor antagonist reduces some of the positive symptoms induced by psilocybin intake in human [16, 32]. In order to explore the role of D1 and D2 dopamine receptor activation in the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin,  $5-HT_{2A}^{-/-}$  CDM mice were injected either with SCH23390 (0.03 mg/kg, s.c.), a D1 receptor antagonist, or eticlopride, a selective D2 receptor antagonist (0.03 mg/kg, s.c.), 30 min prior to psilocybin administration. Neither SCH23390 nor eticlopride administration affected

the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin in CDM mice (Supplementary Fig. S2D–F and Table 1), suggesting that they are independent of D1 and D2 receptor activation.

### Sub-chronic microdosing administration of DOI or psilocybin induce antidepressant-like effects in CDM mice

We next examined whether sub-chronic administration of subhallucinogenic doses of DOI or psilocybin (0.05 mg/kg each, i.p.) induce antidepressant-like effects in CDM mice. Drugs were injected daily for 6 days to mimic a "weekday" dosing. While these treatments did not induce a significant increase in HTRs, compared with vehicle-treated mice (Supplementary Fig. S1C and Table 1), they still produced antidepressant-like effects in the SPT and FST (Fig. 4B, C and Table 1). Likewise, psilocybin microdosing significantly decreased the latency to bite in the NSF test, but DOI microdosing only tended to decrease it (Fig. 4A and Table 1). Microdosing administration of the two drugs did not affect food consumption by CDM mice, as assessed immediately after the NSF test (Supplementary Fig. S5B and Table 1). Likewise, administration of a single dose of DOI or lisuride (1 mg/kg) did not alter food consumption of mice, whereas administration of 1 mg/ kg psilocybin induced a significant increase in appetite of mice (Supplementary Fig. S5C and Table 1). In contrast to its antidepressant-like effects, the psilocybin-induced increase in food intake was prevented by the pretreatment of CDM mice with either WAY100635, or SCH23390 or eticlopride (Supplementary Fig. S5D, E and Table 1).

### DISCUSSION

Although psychedelics activate many 5-HT receptors, including the 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>, 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> and 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptors [31], it is well accepted that the alterations of conscious states they induce in human are initiated by 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R activation, as they are blocked by ketanserin, a potent 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R antagonist [14, 16]. In addition, the psilocybin effects in human are correlated with the occupancy of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>Rs in the cerebral cortex [33]. In contrast, the nature of the receptor implicated in the antidepressant effects of psychedelics remains controversial.

Here, we show that two psychedelics of different chemical families, DOI and psilocybin, exhibit similar efficiency to reverse depressive-like behaviors in CDM mice, as assessed in the NSF test, SPT and FST. The antidepressant-like effects of DOI were not observed in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM mice, indicating a prominent role of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>Rs, as previously observed for its effects on extinction of fear memory [34], neuroplasticity (spine growth), expression of plasticity-related genes and synaptic plasticity [35-38]. In contrast, the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin were still observed in CDM mice deficient in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R. This is consistent with the findings of Hesselgrave et al. [17] indicating that ketanserin does not inhibit psilocybin antidepressant-like effects in a model of chronic stress of similar etiology to the depression model used in the present study. The ability of ketanserin used at the same dose to inhibit the psilocybin-induced HTR in this study already suggested that the absence of effect of ketanserin on depressive symptoms was not related to the administration of an inadequate dose of antagonist. The lack of involvement of the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R in the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin in chronic stress models of depression is also reminiscent of the antidepressant effects of ketamine that are independent of NMDA receptor inhibition [3]. Contrastingly, in a model of chronic corticosterone administration, Cameron et al. [18] reported that the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin are abolished in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> mice. These contradictory results may be due to the difference in the depression model used in both studies. The depressive-like states resulting from the chronic corticosterone treatment used by Cameron et al. and from the chronic stress used in our study might involve different neuronal networks and mechanisms. Accordingly, depression-like phenotype cannot be considered as a unique pathological state and it is likely that 5-HT<sub>24</sub>Rs have a differential influence upon depressive symptoms depending on the etiology of the depressive-like state. The diversity of neuronal mechanisms underlying MDD of different etiologies might also explain why some patients do not respond to classical antidepressants or psilocybin treatment [10]. Another reason that might explain the discrepancies between our results and those of Cameron et al. [18] is the different mice strains used in both studies. Experiments described in the study of Hesselgrave et al. [17, 18] and the present report were performed on C57BL/6J mice, whereas Cameron et al. used the 129S6/SvEv mouse strain [18]. As stated by the authors, this strain does not respond to 5-MeO, a serotonergic psychedelic, or to ketamine in the FST, but does exhibit a depressive-like phenotype in the SPT test, which is a specificity of this model.

The identification of the receptor(s) and downstream mechanisms involved in the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin in models of chronic stress certainly warrant further exploration. Given the agonist property of psilocin, the active metabolite of psilocybin, at 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>R and 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R [31] and the antidepressant properties of 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>R agonists [39], we investigated the possibility that the antidepressant-like effects of psilocybin in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM mice involved the 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>R. However, WAY100635, a potent 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>R antagonist was totally ineffective to block psilocybin antidepressant-like effects, ruling out a role of 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>Rs in the effect of psilocybin in this model.

The use of psychedelics in psychiatric diseases is rapidly expanding in spite of some reservations about their clinical use at a large scale and the limitations of clinical studies assessing their efficiency. Double-blind clinical studies are almost impossible to carry on due to the obvious psychoactive effects of these drugs.



**Fig. 2 DOI** and Lisuride do not produce antidepressant-like effects in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM mice. A The histogram represents the time to first bite (seconds) measured in the NSF paradigm for each condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 17), CDM mice + DOI (n = 18), CDM mice + lisuride (n = 8). ns, p > 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.001 vs. CDM condition, Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's test. **B** The histogram represents the sucrose preference index calculated for each condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + lisuride (n = 9). ns, p > 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 vs. CDM condition, Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's test. **C** The histogram represents the immobility time (seconds) measured in the FST for each condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + new presents (n = 19), CDM mice + DOI (n = 19), CDM mice +



**Fig. 3 Psilocybin induces antidepressant-like effects independently of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R or 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>R stimulation. A** The histogram represents the time to first bite (seconds) measured in the NSF paradigm for each condition in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> mice. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 17), CDM mice + psilocybin (n = 24), CDM mice + WAY-100635 + psilocybin (n = 18). \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.01 vs. CDM condition, Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's test. **B** The histogram represents the sucrose preference index calculated for each condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + psilocybin (n = 24), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), TM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), TM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), CDM mice + vehicle (n = 19), TM mice + vehic



Fig. 4 Sub-chronic microdosing administration of hallucinogenic 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists induces antidepressant-like effects in CDM mice. A The histogram represents the time to first bite (seconds) measured in the NSF paradigm for each condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 7), CDM mice + mPsilocybin (n = 10). ns, p > 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001 vs. CDM condition. Welch ANOVA test followed by Dunnett's test. B The histogram represents the sucrose preference index calculated for each condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 7), CDM mice + mDol (n = 10), CDM mice + mDol (n = 10), CDM mice + mPsilocybin (n = 10). \*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.01 vs. CDM condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 7), CDM mice + mDol (n = 10), CDM mice + mPsilocybin (n = 10). \*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001 vs. CDM condition. Naive mice + vehicle (n = 7), CDM mice + mDol (n = 10), CDM mice + mDol (n = 10), CDM mice + mPsilocybin (n = 10). \*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*p < 0.05, \*\*

In addition, psychedelic treatments are most often associated with a psychotherapy. It is thus difficult to define whether benefits must be attributed to psychedelics or the psychotherapy. Finally, the safety issues are difficult to refute in particular on the longterm and it is likely that illegal practice will take over. To circumvent these issues and in light of the increasing popularity of microdosing psychedelic intake due to the belief of its positive effects on mood state and some cognitive processes, such as attention [40], we investigated the effect of daily microdosing administration of psychedelics to CDM mice and showed that this protocol is as efficient as a single dose administration to induce antidepressant-like effects. Furthermore, this protocol did not result in an increased food consumption in psilocybin-treated mice, as observed after a single administration. This observation,

Recently, several groups of investigators have tested the idea that newly synthetized 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists devoid of psychedelic properties may still produce antidepressant effects [41-43], which would circumvent most of the aforementioned problems. Several newly synthesized compounds produced encouraging results in preclinical studies [42]. In this context, we examined whether lisuride, an ergot derivative behaving as a potent 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonist and devoid of psychedelic action, induces antidepressant-like effects in CDM mice. Lisuride showed equivalent efficacy to DOI and psilocybin and its antidepressant effect was absent in 5-HT<sub>2A</sub><sup>-/-</sup> CDM mice. Interestingly, in another model of depression, lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-treated mice, lisuride administration also produced antidepressant-like effects [44], whereas DOI was ineffective [44]. Moreover, it was recently shown that Lisuride exerts antidepressant-like actions in VMAT2 (vesicular monoamine transporter 2) heterozygotes mice that exhibit anhedonia-like responses to sucrose solutions and enhanced immobility in tail suspension and forced swim tests [45]. Lisuride has been approved in Europe for more than 40 years for the treatment of parkinsonian symptoms and dyskinesias [27] or to lower prolactin level [28]. Even if it is currently less prescribed due to its lower effectiveness on Parkinson symptoms compared to other D2 receptor agonists, it has a wide margin of safety. Our results showing a robust antidepressant-like effect of lisuride without inducing HTR in CDM mice also support the notion that a psychedelic experience might not be required for the therapeutic response to 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R agonists [46]. Consistent with this hypothesis, a recent case report showed that the antidepressant effect of psilocybin is independent of 5-HT<sub>2A</sub>R activation and, accordingly, of its psychedelic activity [47]. However, another report from patients/volunteers showing that the altered state of consciousness produced by psychedelics is critical for their clinical efficacy [48] indicates that the role of psychedelic properties of  $5-HT_{2A}R$ agonists in their antidepressant effects remains an open question. Even though it is difficult to predict therapeutic efficacy of psychedelics in patients from their pharmacological effects in preclinical models, the robust antidepressant-like effects of lisuride in CDM mice also indicate that clinical trials investigating its antidepressant action in patients with MDD and resistant to conventional treatments would certainly be of great interest.

### REFERENCES

- 1. WHO. Global Health Observatory data repository. WHO; 2020. https://apps.who. int/gho/data/node.home.
- Courtet P, Olie E, Debien C, Vaiva G. Keep socially (but not physically) connected and carry on: preventing suicide in the age of COVID-19. J Clin Psychiatry. 2020;81:20com13370.
- Zanos P, Moaddel R, Morris PJ, Georgiou P, Fischell J, Elmer GI, et al. NMDAR inhibition-independent antidepressant actions of ketamine metabolites. Nature. 2016;533:481–6.
- Krystal JH, Abdallah CG, Sanacora G, Charney DS, Duman RS. Ketamine: a paradigm shift for depression research and treatment. Neuron. 2019;101:774–8.
- Prouzeau D, Conejero I, Voyvodic PL, Becamel C, Abbar M, Lopez-Castroman J. Psilocybin efficacy and mechanisms of action in major depressive disorder: a review. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2022;24:573–81.
- 6. Nichols DE. Psychedelics. Pharmacol Rev. 2016;68:264-355.
- Carhart-Harris R, Giribaldi B, Watts R, Baker-Jones M, Murphy-Beiner A, Murphy R, et al. Trial of psilocybin versus escitalopram for depression. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:1402–11.
- Nichols DE, Johnson MW, Nichols CD. Psychedelics as medicines: an emerging new paradigm. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2017;101:209–19.
- 9. Nutt D, Erritzoe D, Carhart-Harris R. Psychedelic psychiatry's brave new world. Cell. 2020;181:24–8.
- Goodwin GM, Aaronson ST, Alvarez O, Arden PC, Baker A, Bennett JC, et al. Singledose psilocybin for a treatment-resistant episode of major depression. N Engl J Med. 2022;387:1637–48.

- Johnson M, Richards W, Griffiths R. Human hallucinogen research: guidelines for safety. J Psychopharmacol. 2008;22:603–20.
- Strassman RJ. Adverse reactions to psychedelic drugs. A review of the literature. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1984;172:577–95.
- Halberstadt AL, Chatha M, Klein AK, Wallach J, Brandt SD. Correlation between the potency of hallucinogens in the mouse head-twitch response assay and their behavioral and subjective effects in other species. Neuropharmacology. 2020;167:107933.
- Preller KH, Herdener M, Pokorny T, Planzer A, Kraehenmann R, Stampfli P, et al. The fabric of meaning and subjective effects in LSD-induced states depend on serotonin 2A receptor activation. Curr Biol. 2017;27:451–7.
- Gonzalez-Maeso J, Weisstaub NV, Zhou M, Chan P, Ivic L, Ang R, et al. Hallucinogens recruit specific cortical 5-HT(2A) receptor-mediated signaling pathways to affect behavior. Neuron. 2007;53:439–52.
- Vollenweider FX, Vollenweider-Scherpenhuyzen MF, Babler A, Vogel H, Hell D. Psilocybin induces schizophrenia-like psychosis in humans via a serotonin-2 agonist action. Neuroreport. 1998;9:3897–902.
- Hesselgrave N, Troppoli TA, Wulff AB, Cole AB, Thompson SM. Harnessing psilocybin: antidepressant-like behavioral and synaptic actions of psilocybin are independent of 5-HT2R activation in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2021;118:e2022489118.
- Cameron LP, Patel SD, Vargas MV, Barragan EV, Saeger HN, Warren HT, et al. 5-HT2ARs mediate therapeutic behavioral effects of psychedelic tryptamines. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2023;14:351–8.
- Sun P, Wang F, Wang L, Zhang Y, Yamamoto R, Sugai T, et al. Increase in cortical pyramidal cell excitability accompanies depression-like behavior in mice: a transcranial magnetic stimulation study. J Neurosci. 2011;31:16464–72.
- Serchov T, Clement HW, Schwarz MK, lasevoli F, Tosh DK, Idzko M, et al. Increased signaling via adenosine A1 receptors, sleep deprivation, imipramine, and ketamine inhibit depressive-like behavior via induction of Homer1a. Neuron. 2015;87:549–62.
- David DJ, Samuels BA, Rainer Q, Wang JW, Marsteller D, Mendez I, et al. Neurogenesis-dependent and -independent effects of fluoxetine in an animal model of anxiety/depression. Neuron. 2009;62:479–93.
- Samuels B, Hen R. Novelty-Suppressed Feeding in the Mouse. In: Gould T, editor. Mood and Anxiety Related Phenotypes in Mice. Humana Press; 2011. p. 107–121.
- 23. Porsolt RD, Bertin A, Jalfre M. Behavioral despair in mice: a primary screening test for antidepressants. Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther. 1977;229:327–36.
- Liu MY, Yin CY, Zhu LJ, Zhu XH, Xu C, Luo CX, et al. Sucrose preference test for measurement of stress-induced anhedonia in mice. Nat Protoc. 2018; 13:1686–98.
- Zhou QG, Zhu LJ, Chen C, Wu HY, Luo CX, Chang L, et al. Hippocampal neuronal nitric oxide synthase mediates the stress-related depressive behaviors of glucocorticoids by downregulating glucocorticoid receptor. J Neurosci. 2011;31:7579–90.
- Vargas MV, Dunlap LE, Dong C, Carter SJ, Tombari RJ, Jami SA, et al. Psychedelics promote neuroplasticity through the activation of intracellular 5-HT2A receptors. Science. 2023;379:700–6.
- 27. Parkes JD, Schachter M, Marsden CD, Smith B, Wilson A. Lisuride in parkinsonism. Ann Neurol. 1981;9:48–52.
- Verde G, Chiodini PG, Liuzzi A, Cozzi R, Favales F, Botalla L, et al. Effectiveness of the dopamine agonist lisuride in the treatment of acromegaly and pathological hyperprolactinemic states. J Endocrinol Invest. 1980;3:405–14.
- Halberstadt AL, Geyer MA. LSD but not lisuride disrupts prepulse inhibition in rats by activating the 5-HT(2A) receptor. Psychopharmacology. 2010;208:179–89.
- Schmidt LG, Kuhn S, Smolka M, Schmidt K, Rommelspacher H. Lisuride, a dopamine D2 receptor agonist, and anticraving drug expectancy as modifiers of relapse in alcohol dependence. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2002;26:209–17.
- Rickli A, Moning OD, Hoener MC, Liechti ME. Receptor interaction profiles of novel psychoactive tryptamines compared with classic hallucinogens. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2016;26:1327–37.
- Vollenweider FX, Vontobel P, Hell D, Leenders KL. 5-HT modulation of dopamine release in basal ganglia in psilocybin-induced psychosis in man-a PET study with [11C]raclopride. Neuropsychopharmacology. 1999;20:424–33.
- Madsen MK, Fisher PM, Burmester D, Dyssegaard A, Stenbaek DS, Kristiansen S, et al. Psychedelic effects of psilocybin correlate with serotonin 2 A receptor occupancy and plasma psilocin levels. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2019;44:1328–34.
- Pedzich BD, Rubens S, Sekssaoui M, Pierre A, Van Schuerbeek A, Marin P, et al. Effects of a psychedelic 5-HT2A receptor agonist on anxiety-related behavior and fear processing in mice. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2022;47:1304–14.
- de la Fuente Revenga M, Zhu B, Guevara CA, Naler LB, Saunders JM, Zhou Z, et al. Prolonged epigenomic and synaptic plasticity alterations following single exposure to a psychedelic in mice. Cell Rep. 2021;37:109836.

- 36. Desouza LA, Benekareddy M, Fanibunda SE, Mohammad F, Janakiraman B, Ghai U, et al. The hallucinogenic serotonin(2 A) receptor agonist, 2,5-Dimethoxy-4-lodoamphetamine, promotes cAMP response element binding protein-dependent gene expression of specific plasticity-associated genes in the rodent neocortex. Front Mol Neurosci. 2021;14:790213.
- Barre A, Berthoux C, De Bundel D, Valjent E, Bockaert J, Marin P, et al. Presynaptic serotonin 2 A receptors modulate thalamocortical plasticity and associative learning. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016;113:E1382–1391.
- Berthoux C, Barre A, Bockaert J, Marin P, Becamel C. Sustained activation of postsynaptic 5-HT2A receptors gates plasticity at prefrontal cortex synapses. Cereb Cortex. 2019;29:1659–69.
- Slifirski G, Krol M, Turlo J. 5-HT receptors and the development of new antidepressants. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:9015.
- Kuypers KP, Ng L, Erritzoe D, Knudsen GM, Nichols CD, Nichols DE, et al. Microdosing psychedelics: More questions than answers? An overview and suggestions for future research. J Psychopharmacol. 2019;33:1039–57.
- Cao D, Yu J, Wang H, Luo Z, Liu X, He L, et al. Structure-based discovery of nonhallucinogenic psychedelic analogs. Science. 2022;375:403–11.
- Kaplan AL, Confair DN, Kim K, Barros-Alvarez X, Rodriguiz RM, Yang Y, et al. Bespoke library docking for 5-HT(2A) receptor agonists with antidepressant activity. Nature. 2022;610:582–91.
- Dong C, Ly C, Dunlap LE, Vargas MV, Sun J, Hwang IW, et al. Psychedelic-inspired drug discovery using an engineered biosensor. Cell. 2021;184:2779–2792.e2718.
- 44. Qu Y, Chang L, Ma L, Wan X, Hashimoto K. Rapid antidepressant-like effect of non-hallucinogenic psychedelic analog lisuride, but not hallucinogenic psychedelic DOI, in lipopolysaccharide-treated mice. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2023;222:173500.
- Pogorelov VM, Rodriguiz RM, Roth BL, Wetsel WC. The G protein biased serotonin 5-HT2A receptor agonist lisuride exerts anti-depressant drug-like activities in mice. Front Mol Biosci. 2023;10:1233743.
- Olson DE. The subjective effects of psychedelics may not be necessary for their enduring therapeutic effects. ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci. 2021;4:563–7.
- Rosenblat JD, Leon-Carlyle M, Ali S, Husain MI, McIntyre RS. Antidepressant effects of psilocybin in the absence of psychedelic effects. Am J Psychiatry. 2023;180:395–6.
- 48. Yaden DB, Griffiths RR. The subjective effects of psychedelics are necessary for their enduring therapeutic effects. ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci. 2021;4:568–72.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the animal facility's staffs of the Institute of Functional Genomics for the daily care of animals, especially Michel Salvado. This study was supported by grants from CNRS, INSERM, University of Montpellier. MS was a recipient of a Ph.D. fellowship from the French Government Ministry of Higher Education and Research.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MS performed and analyzed behavioral experiments. CB designed the experiments and supervised the study; CB, PM and JB wrote the manuscript.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-024-01794-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Carine Bécamel.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024

10