

### How to achieve FAIRER research data by studying evaluation & assessment protocols

Teresa Gomez-Diaz, Tomas Recio

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Teresa Gomez-Diaz, Tomas Recio. How to achieve FAIRER research data by studying evaluation & assessment protocols. Open Science FAIR (OS FAIR 2023), Sep 2023, MADRID (virtual), Spain. Zenodo, 2023, 10.5281/zenodo.8398431. hal-04401499

#### HAL Id: hal-04401499 https://hal.science/hal-04401499

Submitted on 17 Jan2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

# How to achieve FAIRER research data by studying evaluation assessment protocols

Teresa Gomez-Diaz, teresa.gomez-diaz@univ-eiffel.fr Université Gustave Eiffel-LIGM-CNRS, Est of Paris

https://siteigm.univ-mlv.fr/

Tomas Recio, trecio@nebrija.es Universidad Antonio de Nebrija, Madrid

https://www.nebrija.com/

**Goal:** to study the relationships between the CDUR Protocols for research data evaluation proposed in the Open Science context and the FAIR data principles.

**Keywords:** *research data, evaluation protocols, FAIR principles, research outputs, research assessment.* 

## Definitions

**Open Science (OS)** [3] *is the political and legal framework where research outputs are shared and disseminated in order to be rendered visible, accessible and reusable.* 

## **CDUR** Protocol(s) for **RD** (and **RS**) evaluation

The CDUR protocol was initially conceived for Research Software (RS) [2], it was extended to RD in 2022 [7]. It was designed to help evaluated researchers, evaluation committees and decision makers. It has four steps: (C) Citation, (D) Dissemination, (U) Use, (R) Research [2,7]:

**Research data (RD)** [6,7] is a well identified set of *data* that has been produced (collected, processed, analyzed, shared & disseminated) by a research team. The *data* has been collected, processed and analyzed to produce a result published or disseminated in some article or scientific contribution. Each RD encloses a set (of files) that contains the dataset maybe organized as a database, and it can also include other elements as the docs, specifications, use cases, and other useful material as provenance information... It can include the **Research Software (RS)** [2,4] that has been developed to manipulate the dataset (from short scripts to **RS** of larger size) or give references to the software that is necessary to manipulate the data (**RS** or other). (C) Citation: to measure if the RD is well identified as a research output: good citation form, but also metadata, best citation practices...
Legal point: authors (if ∃ copyright), producers, affiliations, participation %
(D) Dissemination: best dissemination practices, in agreement with the scientific policy of the evaluation context
Policy point: Open Science, legal point: ★ other legal issues, licenses
★ (U) Use: "data" aspects of the RD: quality, documentation, tutorials, use examples etc., facilitate reuse, best data practices...
Reproducibility point: validation of scientific results
(R) Research: "research aspects": quality of the scientific work, proposed and coded algorithms & data structures, related publications, collaborations...

<b>CDUR</b> [2,7]	The FAIR Guiding Principles [1]
<b>(C) Citation:</b> The <b>RD</b> is well identified, involving issues concerning: - citation form or reference - metadata (including PIDs)	<ul> <li>To be Findable:</li> <li>F1. (meta)data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifier</li> <li>F2. data are described with rich metadata (defined by R1 below)</li> <li>F3. metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data it describes</li> <li>To be Interoperable:</li> <li>I3. (meta)data include qualified references to other (meta)data</li> <li>To be Reusable:</li> <li>R1.2. (meta)data are associated with detailed provenance</li> </ul>

## The FAIR Guiding Principles [1]

## To be Findable:

F1. (meta)data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifierF2. data are described with rich metadata (defined by R1 below)F3. metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data it describesF4. (meta)data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource

<ul> <li>(D) Dissemination:</li> <li>The RD is well disseminated, involving issues concerning: <ul> <li>list of included components</li> <li>RD licence</li> <li>RD deposit</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To be Findable:</li> <li>F4. (meta)data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource</li> <li>To be Accessible:</li> <li>A1. (meta)data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardized communications protocol</li> <li>A1.1 the protocol is open, free, and universally implementable</li> <li>A1.2 the protocol allows for an authentication and authorization procedure, where necessary</li> <li>A2. metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available</li> <li>To be Reusable:</li> <li>R1.1. (meta)data are released with a clear and accessible data usage license</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To be Accessible:         <ul> <li>A1. (meta)data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardized communications protocol</li> <li>A1.1 the protocol is open, free, and universally implementable</li> <li>A1.2 the protocol allows for an authentication and authorization procedure, where necessary</li> <li>A2. metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available</li> </ul> </li> <li>To be Interoperable:         <ul> <li>I1. (meta)data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation.</li> <li>I2. (meta)data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles</li> <li>I3. (meta)data include qualified references to other (meta)data</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>(U) Use:</b> The <b>RD</b> facilitates its reuse, involving issues like: - documentation, tutorials, examples - reproducibility and replicability issues	<ul> <li>To be Interoperable:</li> <li>I1. (meta)data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation</li> <li>I2. (meta)data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles</li> <li>To be Reusable:</li> <li>R1. meta(data) are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes</li> <li>R1.3. (meta)data meet domain-relevant community standards</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To be Reusable:</li> <li>R1. meta(data) are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes</li> <li>R1.1. (meta)data are released with a clear and accessible data usage license</li> <li>R1.2. (meta)data are associated with detailed provenance</li> <li>R1.3. (meta)data meet domain-relevant community standards</li> </ul>
<b>(R) Research:</b> Measures the impact of the <b>RD</b> related scientific work	Not applicable	

Table 1. This table illustrates the relationships between the FAIR principles [1] and the CDUR RD evaluation protocol [7].

## References

[1] (2016) M. Wilkinson, M. Dumontier, I. Aalbersberg, et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship, Sci Data, 3:160018

[2] (2019) T. Gomez-Diaz, T. Recio. On the evaluation of research software: the CDUR procedure, F1000Research 2019, 8:1353

[3] (2020-21) T. Gomez-Diaz, T. Recio. Towards an Open Science definition as a political and legal framework: on the sharing and dissemination of research outputs, POLIS N.19

ట

Conception et réalisation : T. Gomez-Diaz - juillet 2023 http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

V3 dated 28 february 2021 available on Zenodo

[4] (2021) T. Gomez-Diaz, T. Recio. Open comments on the Task Force SIRS report: Scholarly Infrastructures for Research Software (EOSC Executive Board, EOSCArchitecture), Research Ideas and Outcomes (RIO), 7: e63872

[5] (2022) European Commission. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, European Research Data Landscape: final report, Publications Office of the European Union
 [6] (2022) T. Gomez-Diaz, T. Recio. Research Software vs. Research Data I: Towards a Research Data definition in the Open Science context

(Definition), F1000Research 2022, 11:118

[7] (2022) T. Gomez-Diaz, T. Recio. Research Software vs. Research Data II: Protocols for Research Data dissemination and evaluation in the Open Science context (Dissemination, Evaluation/CDUR, FAIR), F1000Research 2022, 11:117







# >OPEN SCIENCE>FAIR>

CHARTING THE COURSE: REIMAGINING OPEN SCIENCE FOR NEXT GENERATIONS

25-27 SEPTEMBER 2023, MADRID, SPAIN

25-26 September - Reina Sofia Museum 27 September - El Ateneo de Madrid