

Comparative Performance of Anyplex II HPV28 and Cobas 4800 Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Assays for High-Risk HPV Detection in Self-collected Anal Samples

Gauthier Delvallez, Sokleaph Cheng, Stéphane Marot, Gervillien Arnold Malonga, Théophile Cocherie, Steve Wignall, Vincent Calvez, Sophat Phal, Kem Vichet, Anne-Geneviève Marcelin, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Gauthier Delvallez, Sokleaph Cheng, Stéphane Marot, Gervillien Arnold Malonga, Théophile Cocherie, et al.. Comparative Performance of Anyplex II HPV28 and Cobas 4800 Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Assays for High-Risk HPV Detection in Self-collected Anal Samples. Open Forum Infectious Diseases, 2023, 10 (11), pp.ofad540. 10.1093/ofid/ofad540. hal-04400991

HAL Id: hal-04400991

https://hal.science/hal-04400991

Submitted on 17 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

TITLE: Comparative performance of Anyplex II HPV28 and Cobas 4800 HPV assays for high-risk HPV detection in self-collected anal samples **RUNNING TITLE:** Comparative HPV assays in self-collected anal samples **AUTHORS:** Gauthier Delvallez¹, Sokleaph Cheng¹, Stéphane Marot², Gervillien Arnold Malonga², Théophile Cocherie², Steve Wignall³, Vincent Calvez², Sophat Phal³, Kem Vichet⁴, Anne-Geneviève Marcelin², Aude Jary² **AFFILIATIONS** ¹ Medical Biology Laboratory, Institut Pasteur du Cambodge, Phnom Penh, Cambodia ² Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique (iPLESP), AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Service de Virologie, Paris, France ³ Family Health International, Phnom Penh, Cambodia ⁴ Men's Health Cambodia, Phnom Penh, Cambodia **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR.** Dr Aude Jary, aude.jary@aphp.fr Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Service de Virologie, Bâtiment CERVI, 47-83 boulevard de l'hôpital, 75013 Paris +33 1 42 17 74 01

26	ABSTRACT
27	
28	We compared 2 HPV assays to detect the 14 hrHPV genotypes in self-collected anal
29	samples. We found a good agreement and similar performance to detect HPV16, HPV18 and
30	the 12 others hrHPV genotypes. The global performance to detect the 14 hrHPV genotypes
31	was not significantly different between the 2 assays.
32	
33	KEYWORDS
34	Anal lesions; self-sampling; HPV-testing; Anyplex II HPV28; Cobas 4800; HPV16; MSM;
35	TWG; Cambodia
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	

BACKGROUND

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

70

71

72

51

Around 30 000 new cases of anal squamous cell carcinoma (ASCC) are diagnosed each year worldwide [1]. Key-population, such as men having sex with men (MSM) and people living with HIV (PLWHIV), have an increased risk of developing ASCC. For instance, HIV-infected MSM has the highest incidence rate of ASCC with 85 new cases per 100 000 person-year [2]. Human Papillomavirus (HPV) is involved in roughly 90% of ASCC, of whom 90% are HPV16 positive. ASCC is preceded by precancerous lesions, i.e. anal intraepithelial neoplasia 1, 2 and 3 (AIN1, AIN2 and AIN3), AIN1 being identify as low grade anal lesions (LGAIN), AIN2 and AIN3 as high grade anal lesions (HGAIN). However for now, high-risk HPV testing (hrHPV) is not recommended for the screening of anal precancerous and cancer lesions, while it has been clinically validated with multiple hrHPV tests in cervical cancer screening [3,4]. Although anal HPV16 genotyping is not yet a widely accepted molecular marker in anal cancer screening, it is by far the most carcinogenetic type in the anus [5]. Indeed, (i) HPV16 prevalence increases across the anal lesion from normal to precancerous and anal cancer [6] and (ii) in a recent prospective study, HGAIN HPV16positive are less likely to clear compared to those with other hrHPV genotypes [7]. In this study, we aim to compare the agreement and performance of the Cobas 4800

69 F

HPV-test (Roche®) and the AnyplexTMII HPV28 Detection (Seegene Inc) to detect hrHPV in self-sampling anal swabs from asymptomatic MSM and transgender women (TGW) in Phnom Penh, Cambodia; especially for HPV16 detection which was the most prevalent HPV

genotype found in this population [8].

73

74

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

A cross-sectional retrospective study was conducted between October 1st, 2021 and November 30th, 2021 in different clinics in Phnom Penh, Cambodia and under the scope of activities of Men's Health Cambodia, a non-governmental and non-profit organization working on men, including men having sex with men (MSM) and transgender women (TGW) issues in Cambodia. During consultation, a questionnaire was used to collect patient data and the anal collection (FLOQSwabs, Copan) was self-performed using anal swabs discharged in Viral Transport Media (VTM). They were then stored at -80°C at the Institut Pasteur du Cambodge (IPC) until further analysis. A comprehensive explanation of eligibility criteria and procedures has been published before [8].

HPV-testing and methods' comparison

First analysis was performed at IPC on 400 μl of sample with the Cobas 4800 HPV-test (Roche®) which allows the detection of 14 hrHPV types by PCR and nucleic acid hybridization. This test specifically identifies HPV16, HPV18 and 12 others hrHPV in the same channel including HPV31-33-35-39-45-51-52-56-58-59-66-68. Then, 1ml of frozen VTM was sent to the Virology Department of the Pitié-Salpêtrière hospital, Paris, France. DNA-extraction (from 200 μl of sample to 100 μl) was performed with the E-mag device (Biomérieux) and both samples and extracted DNA were stored at -80°C. Then HPV-testing was performed with the AnyplexTMII HPV28 Detection (Seegene Inc) which detects and differentiates 28 distinct HPV-types including the 14 hrHPV detected by the Cobas 4800 HPV-test and 14 others HPV (not analyzed in this paper). Both HPV-testing also detect a human gene as internal control (IC) to assess the quality of the sampling and the efficiency of the PCR amplification. If IC was not amplified, samples were referred as invalid.

Statistical analysis was performed with the package *rstatix* from R software. McNemar and kappa indices were calculated to compare the proportion of positive samples and the degree of agreement between the two methods to detect the 14 hrHPV, respectively. A p-value of < 0.05 in two-tailed were considered significant.

105

106

125

101

102

103

104

RESULTS

107 Overall, 162 participants were included with a median age of 28.1 [24.4 – 31.8] years, 108 of whom 56% were MSM and 8% were HIV-infected. None of them received any HPV-109 vaccine. 110 One hundred and sixty-two anal samples were tested with both the Roche and Seegene 111 methods and HPV-testing failed for 59 (36%) and 41 (25%) samples, respectively. The 112 prevalence of HPV16, HPV18 and others hrHPV types were not significantly different 113 between the two methods: 20% versus 25% (p=0.52), 16% versus 17% (p=0.86) and 50% 114 versus 59% (p=0.18), respectively (Table 1). 115 Further statistical analyses for method comparison were performed on 97 samples, 65 samples 116 being referred as invalid in one or the two methods. The concordance of the results for 117 HPV16, HPV18 and others hrHPV types detection were 97%, 100% and 88%, respectively 118 (Table2). Fifteen samples were discordant: (i) 3 for HPV16 positivity, of whom 2 HPV16 119 missed by Roche and 1 missed by Seegene, (ii) 12 for others hrHPV positivity, of whom 8 120 hrHPV-types missed by Roche and 4 by Seegene (Supplementary Table 1). HPV52 was the 121 most common hrHPV type missed by Roche (6/10 cases), followed by HPV16 and HPV56 122 (2/10 cases each one). For most of the hrHPV missed by Roche, they were detected tardily by Seegene, after 40 cycles of amplification. 123 124 The agreement (Cohen's Kappa test) for HPV16, HPV18 and others hrHPV detection were 0.91 [0.81-1.00], 1.00 [1.00-1.00] and 0.75 [0.62-0.88], respectively. The performance

to detect HPV16, HPV18 and others hrHPV positivity were not significantly different between the 2 tests (McNemar test, p>0.05) (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2). In total, the global performance to detect any of the 14 hrHPV types was not significantly different (McNemar test, p=0.44).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study conducted in self-collected anal samples which compared the Cobas 4800 HPV-test (Roche®) and the AnyplexTMII HPV28 Detection (Seegene Inc) tests for hrHPV detection.

First, comparing the 2 methods on 97 samples revealed a good agreement between them and comparable worldwide ability to identify any of the 14 hrHPV genotypes currently recommended for the cervical cancer screening. This result was also consistent for HPV16 alone, HPV18 alone and the others hrHPV genotypes detected with the 2 methods. However, compared to the Seegene approach, the Cobas test missed more anal hrHPV infection. In term of hrHPV prevalence in normal cervical tissue, a difference was also identified between the Cobas method and GP5+/6+ PCR testing followed by genotyping with Luminex [4]. However, equal frequency was showed in samples with abnormal cytology. In our work, we dealt with anal samples and we did not assess the association with cytology results, thus these two factors may have an impact on the difference between the 2 procedures.

The prevalence of HPV16 was the highest in our high-risk population as expected and the 2

methods showed high agreement and performance to detect the most oncogenic genotype in anal site. This is of importance as HPV16 testing could be one of the biomarkers used as anal cancer screening in key-population in the near future. For instance in France, new guidelines propose to use HPV16 testing in anal cytology specimen collected by physician as anal cancer

screening marker in MSM living with HIV [9]. If positive, a reflex cytology is performed on the same sample and patient is referred to a proctologist.

Similarly to self-collected vaginal swabs for cervical cancer screening [10], self-collected anal samples could be of interest to reach patients outside the healthcare system [11]. In our work, 41 (25%) and 59 (36%) samples failed to be amplified by the AnyplexTMII HPV28 Detection and Cobas 4800 HPV-test, respectively. Low-cellularity due to inappropriate sampling and misunderstanding of the participants may explain this high rate of invalid results. If implemented in this population, self-collection instructions should be improved.

In conclusion, both the AnyplexTMII HPV28 Detection and the Cobas 4800 HPV-test are suitable to detect the 14 hrHPV genotypes in self-collected anal samples, as well as more specifically the HPV16 genotype.

175	Authors contributions. Conception and design of the study: AJ, SC and GD; acquisition of
176	the clinical data: SW, SP and KV; execution of the experiments: GAM; analysis of the data:
177	AJ, SM and GD; drafting of significant portion of the manuscript or figures: AJ, VC, AGM
178	and GD. All the authors red, corrected and approved the final version of the manuscript.
179	
180	Transparency declarations. The authors declare that the research was conducted in the
181	absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential
182	conflict of interest.
183	
184	Ethics. Permission for the study was obtained from the National Ethics Committee for Health
185	Research (NECHR) in Cambodia (Approval letter number 189NECHR).
186	
187	Funding. This study was funded by the Institut Pasteur du Cambodge and the ANRS MIE
188	(Agence Nationale de recherche sur le SIDA et les hépatites virales - Maladies infectieuses
189	émergentes).
190	
191	

192 **REFERENCES**

- 193 1. Martel C de, Georges D, Bray F, Ferlay J, Clifford GM. Global burden of cancer attributable to infections in 2018: a worldwide incidence analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 195 2020; 8(2):e180-e190.
- 2. Clifford GM, Georges D, Shiels MS, et al. A meta-analysis of anal cancer incidence by risk group: Toward a unified anal cancer risk scale. Int J Cancer. **2021**; 148(1):38–47.
- Meijer CJLM, Berkhof J, Castle PE, et al. Guidelines for human papillomavirus DNA test requirements for primary cervical cancer screening in women 30 years and older. Int J Cancer. **2009**; 124(3):516–520.
- Ejegod DM, Hansen M, Christiansen IK, et al. Clinical validation of the Cobas 4800
 HPV assay using cervical samples in SurePath medium under the VALGENT4 framework. J Clin Virol. 2020; 128:104336.
- 5. Wei F, Gaisa MM, D'Souza G, et al. Epidemiology of anal human papillomavirus infection and high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions in 29 900 men according to HIV status, sexuality, and age: a collaborative pooled analysis of 64 studies. Lancet HIV. **2021**; 8(9):e531–e543.
- Lin C, Franceschi S, Clifford GM. Human papillomavirus types from infection to cancer
 in the anus, according to sex and HIV status: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
 Lancet Infect Dis. 2018; 18(2):198–206.
- Poynten IM, Jin F, Roberts JM, et al. The Natural History of Anal High-grade Squamous
 Intraepithelial Lesions in Gay and Bisexual Men. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021;
 72(5):853–861.
- 8. Jary A, Cheng S, Marot S, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of anal human papillomavirus infections among men having sex with men and transgender women in Phnom Penh, Cambodia. Journal of Infection [Internet]. **2023** [cited 2023 Mar 20]; . Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016344532300124X
- Société Nationale Française de Colo-Proctologie SNFCP. Recommandations pour la Pratique Clinique LESIONS PRECANCEREUSES ANALES LIEES AUX PAPILLOMAVIRUS HUMAINS: DEPISTAGE ET PRISE EN CHARGE [Internet].
 2022. Available from: https://www.snfcp.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Recommandations-pour-la-pratique-clinique-2022-texte-
- court.pdf
- 10. Arbyn M, Smith SB, Temin S, Sultana F, Castle P, Collaboration on Self-Sampling and HPV Testing. Detecting cervical precancer and reaching underscreened women by using HPV testing on self samples: updated meta-analyses. BMJ. **2018**; 363:k4823.
- Heid-Picard B, Cochand-Priollet B, Rozenberg F, et al. Ambulatory anal self-sampling
 in MSM living with HIV, an acceptable and reliable screening method. PLOS ONE.
 Public Library of Science; 2021; 16(2):e0246338.

Table 1: Prevalence of HPV16, HPV18 and others hrHPV types found with the 2 assays

232		Seegene N= 121 ¹	Roche N= 103 ²	p-value ³
	HPV16, n (%)			_
	Negative	91 (75%)	82 (80%)	0.52
	Positive	30 (25%)	21 (20%)	
	HPV18, n (%)			
	Negative	101 (83%)	87 (84%)	0.86
	Positive	20 (17%)	16 (16%)	
	hrHPV others, n (%)			

50 (41%)

71 (59%)

52 (50%)

51 (50%)

0.18

Negative

Positive

hrHPV others: 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68

HPV: human papillomavirus; hrHPV: high-risk human papillomavirus

¹41 samples being referred as invalid with Seegene HPV-test

² 59 samples being referred as invalid with Roche HPV-test

³Fisher's exact test

Table 2: Agreement and performance of the two methods to detect HPV16, HPV18 and the others hrHPV types

	$N=97^1$	Cohen's Kappa test	McNemar test
HPV16, n (%)			
Concordant	94 (97%)	0.91 [0.81 - 1.00]	1.00
Discordant	3 (3%)		
HPV18, n (%)			
Concordant	97 (100%)	1.00 [1.00 - 1.00]	NA
Discordant	0 (0%)		
hrHPV others, n (%)			
Concordant	85 (88%)	0.75 [0.62-0.88]	0.39
Discordant	12 (12%)		
All hrHPV, n(%)			

258

259

260

261

262

263

255

256

257

¹65 samples being referred as invalid in one or both HPV-test

Concordant

Discordant

Cohen's Kappa test and McNemar test were performed to compare the agreement and the performance of the two methods to detect HPV16, HPV18, the others hrHPV and the 14 hrHPV as a whole.

90 (93%)

7 (7%)

0.85 [0.74–0.96]

0.44

hrHPV others: HPV31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68

HPV: human papillomavirus; hrHPV: high-risk human papillomavirus

264

265

266267

268

269