

## Baropodometric Biofeedback Device for Changing the Walking Strategies

André Thevenon, Aurélien Descatoire, Pierre Moretto

### ▶ To cite this version:

André Thevenon, Aurélien Descatoire, Pierre Moretto. Baropodometric Biofeedback Device for Changing the Walking Strategies. International Journal of Rehabilitation Research, 2009, 32 (S1), pp.588. hal-04396537

## HAL Id: hal-04396537 https://hal.science/hal-04396537v1

Submitted on 16 Jan 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

# Baropodometric Biofeedback Device for Changing the Walking Strategies

Andre Thevenon<sup>a</sup>, Aurélien Descatoire<sup>b</sup>, Pierre Moretto<sup>c</sup>

a Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire, Lille, France

- b Laboratoire d'Etudes de la Motricité Humaine, Faculté des Sciences du Sport et de l'Education Physique, Université de Lille 2, Lille, France
- c Laboratoire d'Automatique, de Mécanique, et d'Informatique industrielles et Humaines UMR CNRS 8530, Université de Valenciennes et du Hainault-Cambrésis, Lille, France

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Measurements of plantar pressure distribution play a crucial role in the assessment and the treatment of foot disorders or, more generally, in gait disturbances [1]. They enable us to study and quantify the events on the foot-ground interface and could be used in the development of a biofeedback method. Recently, Descatoire et al have developed a baropodometric

#### **METHODS**

Eighteen healthy subjects without foot or gait abnormalities took part in this investigation. They first performed a walking test on the floor (100 consecutive steps) to record the peak plantar pressure (PP) distribution in a diagnostic condition. Then, subjects were told to relieve the fifth metatarsal head region (M5) with accuracy of the right foot only; during 100 other steps performed using the Biofeedback system. When subjects relieve M5 by 5% to 20% of the PP reference, then the step is considered as a success. Each subject had to perform the foot relief under two conditions. The first condition (Control) corresponded to the non biofeedback condition. The second condition corresponded to the biofeedback condition. From the recording, we computed the Pressure to Time Integral (PTI) at each of the 6-sensor locations for each foot.

#### RESULTS

The descriptive results showed that subjects in the control condition performed 20.6% of success steps while in the biofeedback condition the percentage was 53.6%. Results

biofeedback device [2]. The principle of the system consists in feedback in real time information relative to the distribution of the plantar pressure using auditory and visual signals.

#### OBJECTIVE

The aim of the study was to determine the feasibility of changing and controlling the walking pattern using the device.

showed that a successful step did not induce significant PTI repercussion under the contralateral foot and unloaded foot.

#### CONCLUSIONS

From the descriptive results, the supplemental artificial in formation delivered by the biofeedback device is of interest to initiate a new walking pattern. The biofeedback procedure should be promising to modify gait strategies in pathological gait such as in a diabetic population.

#### **KEYWORDS**

biofeedback, artificial feedback, plantar pressure, walking pattern, gait disturbance

#### REFERENCES

- Maluf KS, Morley RE, Richter EJ, Klaesner JW, Mueller MJ. Monitoring in-shoe plantar pressures, temperature, and humidity: reliability and validity of measures from a portable device. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001;82:1119-27.
- 2. Descatoire A, et al. Med Eng Phys. 2009 (in press)