

Subtilase-mediated biogenesis of the expanded family of SERINE RICH ENDOGENOUS PEPTIDES

Huanjie Yang, Xeniya Kim, Jan Sklenar, Sébastien Aubourg, Gloria Sancho-Andrés, Elia Stahl, Marie-Charlotte Guillou, Nora Gigli-Bisceglia, Loup Tran van Canh, Kyle W Bender, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Huanjie Yang, Xeniya Kim, Jan Sklenar, Sébastien Aubourg, Gloria Sancho-Andrés, et al.. Subtilase-mediated biogenesis of the expanded family of SERINE RICH ENDOGENOUS PEPTIDES. Nature Plants, 2023, 9 (12), pp.2085-2094. 10.1038/s41477-023-01583-x . hal-04394015

HAL Id: hal-04394015 https://hal.science/hal-04394015v1

Submitted on 18 Jan2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Subtilase-mediated biogenesis of the expanded family of SERINE RICH
 ENDOGENOUS PEPTIDES

Huanjie Yang^{1,8}, Xeniya Kim¹, Jan Sklenar², Sébastien Aubourg³, Gloria Sancho

3 4

Andrés⁴, Elia Stahl⁵, Marie-Charlotte Guillou³, Nora Gigli-Bisceglia^{6,9}, Loup Tran Van 5 Canh³, Kyle W. Bender¹, Annick Stintzi⁷, Philippe Reymond⁵, Clara Sanchez 6 7 Rodriguez⁴, Christa Testerink⁶, Jean-Pierre Renou³, Frank L. H. Menke², Andreas Schaller⁷, Jack Rhodes^{2*}, Cyril Zipfel^{1,2*} 8 9 ¹Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Zurich-Basel Plant Science Center, University 10 11 of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. 12 ²The Sainsbury Laboratory, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, 13 Norwich, United Kingdom. 14 ³Université Angers, Institut Agro, INRAE, IRHS, SFR QUASAV, Angers, France. 15 ⁴Institute of Molecular Plant Biology, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. 16 ⁵Department of Plant Molecular Biology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, 17 Switzerland.

¹⁸ ⁶Laboratory of Plant Physiology, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen,

- 19 6708 PB, the Netherlands.
- 20 ⁷Institute of Biology, Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, University of Hohenheim,
- 21 Stuttgart, Germany.
- 22 ⁸Current address: Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy
- 23 of Sciences, 100101, Beijing, China.
- 24 ⁹Current address: Plant Stress Resilience, Institute of Environmental Biology, Utrecht
- 25 University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- 26

²⁷ *Correspondence: Jack Rhodes, <u>jack.rhodes@tsl.ac.uk</u>; Cyril Zipfel,
 ²⁸ <u>cyril.zipfel@botinst.uzh.ch</u>

- 29
- 30

31 Abstract

32 Plant signalling peptides are typically released from larger precursors by proteolytic

- 33 cleavage to regulate plant growth, development, and stress responses. Recent studies
- 34 reported the characterization of a divergent family of *Brassicaceae*-specific peptides,

35 SERINE RICH ENDOGENOUS PEPTIDES (SCOOPs), and their perception by the 36 leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase MALE DISCOVERER 1-INTERACTING 37 RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 2 (MIK2). Here, we reveal that the SCOOP family is highly 38 expanded, containing at least 50 members in the Columbia-0 reference Arabidopsis 39 thaliana genome. Notably, perception of these peptides is strictly MIK2-dependent. 40 How bioactive SCOOP peptides are produced, and to which extent their perception is 41 responsible for the multiple physiological roles associated with MIK2 is currently 42 unclear. Using N-terminomics, we validate the N-terminal cleavage site of 43 representative PROSCOOPs. The cleavage sites are determined by conserved motifs 44 upstream of the minimal SCOOP bioactive epitope. We identified subtilases necessary 45 and sufficient to process PROSCOOP peptides at conserved cleavage motifs. Mutation of these subtilases, or their recognition motifs, suppressed PROSCOOP 46 47cleavage and associated overexpression phenotypes. Furthermore, we show that 48 higher-order mutants of these subtilases show phenotypes reminiscent of mik2-1, 49 consistent with impaired PROSCOOP biogenesis, and demonstrating biological 50 relevance of SCOOP perception by MIK2. Together, this work provides insights into 51 the molecular mechanisms underlying the functions of the recently identified SCOOP 52 peptides and their receptor MIK2.

53

54 Introduction

55 A growing number of plant signalling peptides are being identified and found to play 56 major roles during growth, development and stress responses¹⁻³. Such peptides are 57 generally derived from protein precursors; however, relatively few biogenesis 58 mechanisms have been described^{2,4-9}. Some plant signalling peptides act as 59 phytocytokines, which are secreted cell-to-cell mobile signals that regulate plant 60 immune responses, analogous to cytokines in metazoans¹⁰⁻¹². SERINE RICH 61 ENDOGENOUS PEPTIDES (SCOOPs) have recently been reported as a family of 62 phytocytokines transcriptionally induced during stress, especially during biotic 63 interactions¹³⁻¹⁵. SCOOPs are serine-rich, are derived from the C-terminus of divergent 64 secreted PROSCOOPs, and are characterised by the presence of a 13-15 amino acid 65 conserved epitope that includes an 'SxS' motif which is essential for bioactivity¹³⁻¹⁵. 66 PROSOCOOPs undergo maturation steps to produce bioactive SCOOPs^{14,16}. 67 Cleavage of PROSCOOP12 was reported to be after R43¹⁴. For PROSCOOP10, two 68 distinct cleaved peptides (SCOOP10#1 and SCOOP10#2) with hydroxylated prolines 69 were identified from leaf apoplastic fluids¹⁶. The exact length of cleaved SCOOPs 70 produced in planta and the proteases involved are however unknown. Also, given that 71synthetic unmodified 13- or 15-mer SCOOP peptides are bioactive^{13-15,17}, the exact 72 role of potential posttranslational modifications of SCOOPs in planta is still unclear. 73 While initially reported as a 14-member family in the genome of the Col-0 ecotype of 74 Arabidopsis thaliana (later referred to as Arabidopsis)¹³, the SCOOP family has 75 recently been shown to contain up to 28 members^{14,17}. Active SCOOP peptides induce 76 cellular outputs typical of pattern-triggered immunity such as the production of 77 apoplastic reactive oxygen species (ROS), rapid increase in cytosolic Ca²⁺ 78 concentration, and immune gene expression^{13-15,17}. Amongst SCOOPs, SCOOP12 – 79 the founding member of the family – has been studied in more depth and was shown 80 genetically to regulate immunity to diverse pathogens and pests, as well as root growth 81 and ROS homeostasis^{13,18,19}. SCOOPs are perceived by the *Arabidopsis* leucine-rich 82 repeat receptor kinase MALE DISCOVERER 1-INTERACTING RECEPTOR LIKE 83 KINASE 2 (MIK2) and recruit the BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1-84 ASSOCIATED KINASE 1(BAK1) as co-receptor^{14,15}. Interestingly, MIK2 is involved in 85 multiple diverse aspects of plant biology, such as responses to the cellulose 86 biosynthesis inhibitor isoxaben (ISX), root growth angle, salt stress tolerance, 87 resistance to the root vascular fungal pathogen Fusarium oxysporum 5176 and the 88 generalist herbivore Spodoptera littoralis, as well as responsiveness to immune 89 elicitors^{14,15,19-23}. Although MIK2 is the sole SCOOP receptor, to which extent the 90 multiple functions of MIK2 are all depending on SCOOP perception is still unknown.

Like most signalling peptides, SCOOPs undergo proteolytic processing to generate an active mature peptide *in planta*; however, little is known mechanistically about this process^{14,15}. Many signalling peptides are cleaved by the subtilisin-like serine proteinases, which have been shown to cleave signalling peptides in a sequence- and modification-specific manner to generate bioactive peptides^{24,25}.

96 Here we report a comprehensive annotation of putative *PROSCOOP* genes in the 97 *Arabidopsis* Col-0 genome revealing the existence of 50 putative SCOOP peptides, of 98 which at least 36 exhibited MIK2-dependent biological activity, making the SCOOPs 99 one of the largest families of signalling peptides identified in flowering plants. Based 100 upon co-expression during biotic stress, we identify several proteases from subtilase 101 subgroup 3 transcriptionally responsive to the same environmental stimuli as *MIK2*, 102 including biotic elicitor treatment, which promote PROSCOOP cleavage and are

- 103 required for PROSCOOP activity *in planta*. Finally, we show that higher order subtilase
- 104 mutants phenocopy *mik2* mutants suggesting impaired SCOOP signalling.
- 105

106 **Results and discussion**

107 Identification of *PROSCOOP* genes within the *A. thaliana* Col-0 genome

108 Originally, 14 PROSCOOP genes were identified in the Arabidopsis Col-0 genome¹³. 109 Recently, tolerating more divergence in the core motif, this number was expanded to 110 23, and then 28 genes^{14,17}, highlighting the need for a revised bioinformatic analysis of 111 the PROSCOOP family. We comprehensively re-evaluated the PROSCOOP repertoire 112 through iterative searches using BLASTP/TBLASTN and the MOTIF ALIGNMNET AND SEARCH TOOL (part of the MEME suite)²⁶. Using this approach, we identified 113114 putative PROSCOOPs, which we named PROSCOOP29-22 additional 115 PROSCOOP50 according to their location within the genome (Extended Data Fig. 1; 116 Extended Data Tables 1 and 2). Despite having low amino acid sequence similarity, all 117 predicted SCOOP peptides have the conserved 'SxS' motif (Extended Data Fig.1) 118 previously shown to be required for activity¹³⁻¹⁵. Interestingly, all of the 30 PROSCOOP 119 transcripts detected in our recent RNA-Seq dataset²⁷ are up-regulated upon elicitor 120 treatment (Extended Data Fig. 2), consistent with their possible function as 121 phytocytokines. Interestingly, some SCOOPs correspond to previously annotated 122 peptides, such as SECRETED TRANSMEMBRANE PEPTIDES (STMPs)^{14,15,28}, ENHANCER OF VASCULAR WILT RESISTANCE 1 (EWR1)^{17,29} and ARACINs³⁰ 123 124 (Extended Data Table 2). Notably, some of these peptides were previously shown to 125 have direct antimicrobial activity^{28,30}, suggesting that PROSCOOPs are analogous to 126 metazoan host-defence peptides (HDPs) encoding dual activities as both 127 immunomodulatory phytocytokines and anti-microbial peptides (AMPs)³¹.

128 To test whether the newly identified SCOOPs are bioactive, we synthesized all of the 129 corresponding 13-mer peptides, and found that many of them induced ROS production 130 and triggered cytosolic Ca^{2+} fluxes when applied exogenously to Col-0 plants (Fig. 1a, 131 b). Importantly, these responses were abolished in *mik2-1* (Extended Data Fig. 3a and 132b). Notably, while a 13-amino-acid peptide is defined at the minimal active epitope for SCOOP12¹³⁻¹⁵, the length of a minimal active epitope appears to vary within the family. 133 134 For example, the 13-mer derived from SCOOP8 is inactive, but a 15-mer that includes two additional N-terminal amino acids is active (Extended Data Fig. 4a)¹⁴. Thus, for a 135 136 selection of inactive 13-mer peptides, we synthesized the corresponding 15-mers and

tested their activity. Several such 15-mer peptides (*e.g.* SCOOP14 and SCOOP40)
could induce MIK2-dependent ROS production (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Fig. 3d). In
our assays, a few SCOOPs (*e.g.* SCOOP29, SCOOP33, SCOOP35, SCOOP38,
SCOOP42, SCOOP48) however remained inactive (either as 13-mer or 15-mer) (Fig.
1 and Extended Data Fig. 5), warranting further investigation of these SCOOPs in the
future.
Overall, our data demonstrate that the *Arabidopsis* SCOOP family contains at least 50

144 members, and that all tested active synthetic SCOOP peptides induce MIK2-145 dependent responses (Extended Data Fig. 5). In addition, all tested active SCOOPs induce BAK1-dependent ROS production (Extended Data Figure 4b), indicating that 146 147 SCOOPs induce MIK2-BAK1 complex formation. These findings thus define the 148 SCOOP family as one of the largest families of plant signalling peptides currently 149 known. Strikingly, while other large signalling peptide families, such as 150 CLAVATA3/ENDOSPERM SURROUNDING REGION-RELATED (CLE) peptides, are 151perceived by several phylogenetically related receptors³², the perception of all active 152SCOOP peptides is mediated by a single receptor, MIK2, making this ligand-receptor 153pair unique within the plant kingdom. Future structural work is required to define the 154molecular basis of SCOOP binding by MIK2. Some of the synthesized SCOOPs are 155inactive (Extended Data Fig. 5), possibly because the length and/or posttranslational modifications of peptides are important for activity. Some SCOOPs might also be 156 157 perceived by another receptor that was lost in Col-0. It is also possible that the 158 SCOOPs could possess other bioactivities, for example direct antimicrobial activity, or they may have become pseudogenized. These are interesting questions to pursue in 159160 future work.

161

162 **PROSCOOP12 is cleaved by SBT3.5**

Whilst PROSCOOPs have been shown to undergo proteolytic cleavage¹⁴, the 163 164 mechanisms by which bioactive SCOOPs are released from PROSCOOPs is still 165 unknown. From the PROSCOOPs sequence alignment (Extended Data Fig. 1), we 166 noticed that most PROSCOOPs contain a 'RxLx/RxxL' motif that is recognized and cleaved by some subtilases (SBTs) including SBT6.1 and SBT3.5³³⁻³⁷. Using 167 Genevestigator³⁸, we observed that among 56 SBT genes in Arabidopsis³⁷, SBT3.3, 168 169 SBT3.4 and SBT3.5 are transcriptionally up-regulated with MIK2 under different 170 conditions (Extended Data Fig. 6). These phylogenetically related SBT genes were

171 also amongst the most up-regulated in response to elicitor treatments (Extended Data 172 Fig. 7; Extended Data Fig. 8)^{27,39}. Notably, SBT3.3 and SBT3.5 regulate plant immunity and root growth, respectively^{37,40}, similar to PROSCOOP12^{13,19}. Also, the SBT3.5 has 173 174a preference for RKLL motif³⁷, which is similar to a motif found in many PROSCOOP 175sequences (Extended Data Fig. 1). To test if related SBT3 proteases can cleave 176 PROSCOOPs at such a motif, we focused on PROSCOOP12, the best-characterised PROSCOOP with strong activity^{13-15,18,19}. We chose to test cleavage using 177 178 Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression in Nicotiana benthamiana which does 179 not contain SBT3 or PROSCOOP members in its genome⁴¹, thus enabling gain-of-180 function experiments. As a probe for proteolytic cleavage, we inserted a 6xHA tag 181 between the predicted native signal peptide and PROSCOOP12 coding sequence 182 fused to an in-frame C-terminal GFP tag (SP-6xHA-PROSCOOP12-GFP; Fig. 2a). We 183 then co-expressed this construct with untagged SBT3s (since the presence of a tag could affect SBT protease activity⁴²⁻⁴⁴). Notably, expression of SBT3.5 resulted in the 184 185 increased accumulation of a lower molecular weight protein band under flg22 treatment (Fig. 2b and Extended Data Fig. 9a; indicated by a red asterisk) at a size corresponding 186 187 to SCOOP12-GFP. However, it is not clear whether SBT3.3 and SBT 3.4 could cleave 188 PROSCOOP12 or not in our assays. It could be that SBT3.3 and SBT3.4 cleavage 189 activity is too weak to be detected in this assay. The basal level of the cleaved 190 SCOOP12-GFP products could be caused by endogenous SBTs or other proteases in

191 Nicotiana benthamiana.

192 To test the dependency upon SBT3.5's enzymatic activity, we made use of the specific 193 subtilase inhibitors, EXTRACELLULAR PROTEINASE INHIBITOR 1a and 10 (EPI1a 194 and EPI10), normally produced by the plant pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* as 195 virulence effectors, which have been used previously to assess SBT's functions^{4,6,45-} 196 ⁴⁸. As expected, SBT3.5-induced accumulation of the PROSCOOP12 cleavage 197 product was reduced when EPI1a and EPI10 were co-expressed (Fig. 2c). We next 198 sought to establish the importance of the putative subtilase cleavage motif present in 199 PROSCOOP sequences. Mutation of RRLM into AAAA strongly reduced cleavage of 200 PROSCOOP12 by SBT3.5 (Fig. 2d). A previous study showed that PROSCOOP12 is 201 processed at RRLM motif but cleaved downstream of RR¹⁴. Using mass-spectrometry 202 (MS) coupled with N-terminal labelling (Extended Data Fig. 10), we identified the 203 GSGAGPVR peptide as the N-terminus of the SCOOP12-GFP cleavage product 204 (Extended Data Fig. 11), suggesting the cleavage site is after the RRLM motif in planta.

Together, these data indicate SBT3.5 can cleave PROSCOOP12 to release active SCOOP12.

207

208 **PROSCOOP20 is cleaved by SBT3.6, SBT3.8 and SBT3.9**

209 In addition to PROSCOOPs containing a 'RxLx/RxxL' motif, we noticed a subgroup of 210 PROSCOOPs that lack the 'RxLx/RxxL' motif but contain a 'VWD' motif (Extended 211 Data Fig. 1), a previously reported cleavage motif for the aspartate-dependent 212 subtilase SBT3.8^{5,49}. SBT3.6, SBT3.7, SBT3.9 and SBT3.10 are SBT3.8 homologues 213 (Extended Data Fig. 8³⁹) and SBT3.7, SBT3.9 and SBT3.10 are highly up-regulated by 214 elicitors treatment (Extended Data Fig. 7). We hypothesized that PROSCOOPs 215 containing the VWD motif could be cleaved by SBT3.8 or its paralogs. To test this, we 216 selected PROSCOOP20, which we recently identified as a 'core immunity response' 217 gene²⁷. Using a similar approach, we co-expressed SP-6xHA-PROSCOOP20-GFP 218 (Fig. 3a) with different SBT3s. We found that expression of SBT3.6, SBT3.8 and 219 SBT3.9 led to an increased accumulation of a lower molecular weight protein band, 220 indicative of SCOOP20-GFP (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 9b; indicated by the red 221 asterisk).

222 Notably, expression of SBT3.3, 3.4, 3.5 or 3.7 had no apparent effect on 223 PROSCOOP20 cleavage (Fig. 3b), while expression of SBT3.3, 3.4, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8 or 224 3.9 did not affect PROSCOOP12 cleavage, underlining the specificity of distinct 225 PROSCOOPs cleavage by the different subtilases identified (Fig. 2b). The well-226 characterised subtilase SBT6.1/S1P did not seem to affect either PROSCOOP12 or 227 PROSCOOP20 cleavage (Fig. 2b and 3b). The ability of the tested SBTs to induce 228 PROSCOOP cleavage thus seems to correlate with the presence of distinct potential 229 cleavage motifs (RRLM for PROSCOOP12, VWD for PROSCOOP20).

The increased accumulation of the cleaved band was reduced by co-expression with the inhibitors EPI1a and EPI10 (Fig. 3c). Similarly, mutation of the predicted 'VWD' motif into 'AAA' reduced PROSCOOP20 cleavage by SBT3.8 (Fig. 3d). These data suggest PROSCOOP20 cleavage is dependent on SBT3.8 activity.

Using N-terminal labelling-coupled MS (Extended Data Fig. 10), DLKIGASGSNSG peptide was detected (Extended Data Fig. 12), while TLLRDLKIGASGSNSG (Extended Data Fig. 13) was only detected once from three replicates, suggesting there could be another cleavage site after VWD motif to produce mature SCOOP20.

238 We next sought to genetically characterise the role of SBT3.8 in *Arabidopsis*. We were 239 concerned about genetic redundancy as several SBT3.8 paralogs were able to 240 promote PROSCOOP20 cleavage in planta, so decided to generate a higher-order 241 sbt3.6/7/8/9/10 mutant using CRISPR-Cas9⁴¹ (Extended Data Fig. 14). This was 242 facilitated by the tandem genomic arrangement of related SBT3s (Extended Data Fig. 243 6). Notably, while overexpression of PROSCOOP20 in Col-0 caused a dwarf rosette 244 phenotype, loss of SBT3.6/7/8/9/10 or the processing motif VWD (which was mutated 245 into AAA) suppressed this phenotype (Fig. 3f, e). Together, these data indicate that 246 SBT3.8 and potentially related subtilases mediate the cleavage of PROSCOOP20 247 around the VWD motif, which is required for SCOOP20-induced signalling.

248

Loss of *SBT3s* partially phenocopies the loss of *MIK2*

250 Our study revealed that the SCOOP family is much larger than previously predicted, 251which renders the genetic characterization of these peptides challenging. As we could 252 demonstrate that different sub-group 3 subtilases are involved in SCOOP cleavage, 253 we generated an octuple mutant for SBT3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10 254(sbt3^{octuple}) by crossing independent sbt3.3/4/5 and sbt3.6/7/8/9/10 mutants generated 255using CRISPR-Cas9 (Extended Data Fig. 14). The *sbt* higher order mutants didn't 256 show any macroscopic growth and developmental phenotype (Extended Data Fig. 257 15a), however, the dry weight of *mik2-1* and *sbt^{octuple}* is marginally increased compared 258 with Col-0 (Extended Data Fig 15b). We hypothesised that the *sbt3^{octuple}* mutant is 259 impaired in PROSCOOP processing, and thus provides a genetic background to test 260 for the requirement of SCOOP recognition in different MIK2-dependent processes.

261 Notably, similar to what observed in *mik2-1*¹⁵, flg22-induced ROS production was 262 impaired in sbt3^{octuple} plants (Fig. 4a, b), but not in sbt3.3/4/5 or sbt3.6/7/8/9/10 263 (Extended Data Fig. 15c). MIK2 is also involved in the induction of stress responses 264 upon ISX treatment^{21,22}. Induction of the stress marker genes *FRK1* and *CYP81F3* 265 upon ISX treatment was similarly impaired in both *mik2-1* and *sbt3^{octuple}* seedlings (Fig. 266 4c). Moreover, it was recently demonstrated that MIK2 is required for basal resistance 267 against the generalist herbivore S. *littoralis*¹⁹. This resistance – as determined by 268 measuring the larvae fresh weight – was also impaired in sbt3^{octuple}, albeit to an 269 intermediate level compared to *mik2-1* plants (Fig. 4d).

These data suggest that the control of elicitor-induced ROS production, ISX-induced stress responses and basal resistance to *S. littoralis* all require SBT3 function, and

272 thus by extension potential PROSCOOP processing and subsequent SCOOP 273 perception by MIK2. However, it must be noted that these subtilases have other targets 274 in planta which may contribute to the phenotypes observed. For example, SBT3.8 also 275 cleaves phytosulfokine (PSK) and CLE-LIKE/GOVEN/ROOT GROWTH FACTOR 276 (CLEL/GLV/RGF) peptides, which have reported roles in the regulation of immune 277 signalling^{4,49-52}. However, while MIK2 regulates root growth angle²¹ and basal resistance to *F. oxysporum*^{21,23}, *sbt3^{octuple}* plants behaved as wild-type Col-0 plants in 278 279 these assays (Extended Data Fig. 15d, e), which could be due to non-cleaved 280 PROSCOOPs and the generation of bioactive SCOOPs from some PROSCOOP 281 precursors via subtilases beyond those characterised in our study. Notably, the recent 282 identification of native SCOOP10 peptides in leaf apoplastic fluids suggests that the 283 cleavage of PROSCOOP10, which lacks 'RxLx/RxxL' and 'VWD' motifs, could be 284 dependent upon Y[KR]PN motif reported as cleavage site of subtilases SBT4.12, 285 SBT4.13 and SBT5.2^{6,24,25,16}. Furthermore, it is possible that some of the phenotypes 286 observed in the mik2 mutant may be independent of its function as the SCOOP 287 receptor. Moreover, the strong susceptibility of mik2 mutant plants to F. oxysporum 288 (Fo), which is not phenocopied by the loss of SBT3s, suggests that resistance against 289 this fungus may be due to the direct perception of *Fo*-derived elicitors (potentially exhibiting SCOOP-like sequences) by MIK2^{14,15,23}, which would not necessarily require 290 291 proteolytic cleavage by a plant subtilase. The exact Fo-derived elicitor(s) recognised 292 by MIK2 during infection however remain to be identified.

293

294 Collectively, our study provides additional information about the recently identified 295 family of SCOOP peptides, their post-translational processing and biological roles. 296 Notably, as several SCOOPs have been previously proposed as antimicrobial 297 peptides^{28,30}, it will be interesting to understand the evolutionary and biochemical basis 298 of the dual activity of these SCOOPs. This work also provides a starting point to dissect 299 the multiple functions of MIK2. Notably, it will be interesting in the future to identify 300 which specific SCOOPs mediate these functions, as recently demonstrated for 301 SCOOP12 in the context of herbivory and root growth^{18,19}.

302

303 Acknowledgements

304 We thank past and present members of the Zipfel laboratory for helpful discussions 305 and comments. Benjamin Brandt and Philipp Köster are particularly thanked for their

306 assistance with the cloning of the PROSCOOP cleavage constructs. Priva Pimprikar 307 is also thanked for her assistance with the generation of the CRISPR mutants. The 308 authors acknowledge generous funding to study plant immune signalling by the Gatsby 309 Charitable Foundation (CZ), the Biotechnology and Biological Research Council 310 (BB/P012574/1) (CZ), the European Research Council under the European Union's 311 Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme no. 773153 (project 'IMMUNO-312 PEPTALK') (CZ) and programme no. 72431 (project "Sense2SurviveSalt") (CT), the 313 University of Zurich (CZ), and the Swiss National Science Foundation grants no. 314 31003A 182625 (CZ) and no. 310030 184769 (CSR).

315

316 Author contributions

H.Y., J.R. and C.Z. conceived and designed the experiments. H.Y., J.R., X.K., J.S.,

318 S.A., G.S.A., E.S., M.C.G., N.G.B, L.T.V.C. and K.W.B. generated materials,

performed experiments, and/or analyzed the data. P.R., C.S.R., A.S., C.T., J.P.R.,

F.M., A.S., J.R. and C.Z. supervised the project. A.S. contributed conceptually to the

- study and also provided materials. H.Y., J.R. and C.Z. wrote the manuscript with input
- 322 from all authors.
- 323

324 **Declaration of interests**

325 The authors declare no competing interests.

- 326
- 327 Figure and Table legends



329 Figure 1 | Divergent SCOOPs induce ROS production and Ca²⁺ influx in Col-0

330 (a) Integrated ROS production over 40 min in leaf disks collected from 4-week-old

 $_{331}$ $\,$ plants induced in the absence (Mock) or presence of 1 μM 13-mer SCOOP peptides

 $(n \ge 8)$. flg22 and elf18 (100 nM) were used as control to calculate the Z-score to normalise for variation between plates.

(b) Cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ influx measured in Col-0^{AEQ} seedlings induced in the absence (Mock) or presence of 1 μ M 13-mer SCOOP peptides (n=4) using 100 nM flg22 and elf18 as control.

337 (c) Integrated ROS production over 40 min in leaf disks collected from 4-week-old 338 plants induced in the absence (Mock) or presence of 1 μ M 15-mer SCOOPs (n ≥ 8) 339 using 100 nM elf18 as control.

340 Error bars represent SD; P-values indicate significance relative to the mock in a two-

tailed T-test. All experiments were repeated and analysed three times with similar

- 342 results. ROS, reactive oxygen species.
- 343



345 Figure 2 | PROSCOOP12 is cleaved by SBT3.5

(a) Schematic representation of PROSCOOP12 with native signal peptide (SP), N terminal 6xHA tag and C-terminal GFP tag. The cleavage motif RRLM and mutated
 residues of RRLM/AAAA are shown as red characters.

349 (b, c and d) Western blot using GFP antibody recognizing the 6xHA-PROSCOOP12-350 GFP and truncated SCOOP12-GFP (indicated by the red asterisk) in Nicotiana 351 benthamiana leaves after 100 nM flg22 treatment for 2 h. The membrane was stained 352 with CBB, as a loading control. Numbers on the blots correspond to the ratios of protein 353 accumulation levels between truncated proteins bands (indicated by the red asterisk) 354 and full-length protein bands (above the truncated protein bands). (b) Transient co-355 expression of 6xHA-PROSCOOP12-GFP with SBT3.3, SBT3.4, SBT3.5, SBT3.6, 356 SBT3.7, SBT3.8, SBT3.9, SBT6.1 or without SBT (Mock). (c) Transient co-expression 357 of 6xHA-PROSCOOP12-GFP, SBT3.5 with SBT inhibitors EPI1a/EPI10 or without 358 SBT inhibitor (Mock). (d) Transient co-expression of SBT3.5 with 6xHA-359 PROSCOOP12-GFP (labelled as 12) or 6xHA-PROSCOOP12^{4A}-GFP (labelled as 360 12^{4A}). All experiments were repeated and analysed three times with similar results.

361



363

364 (a) Schematic representation of PROSCOOP20 with native signal peptide (SP), N-365 terminal 6xHA tag and C-terminal GFP tag. The cleavage motif VWD and mutated 366 residues of VWD/AAA are shown as red characters.

367 (b, c and d) Western blot using GFP antibody recognizing the 6xHA-PROSCOOP20-368 GFP and truncated SCOOP20-GFP (indicated by the red asterisk) in Nicotiana

369 benthamiana leaves after 100 nM flg22 treatment for 2 h. The membrane was stained

- 370 with CBB, as a loading control. Numbers on the blots correspond to the ratios of protein
- 371 accumulation levels between truncated proteins bands (indicated by the red asterisk)

372 and full-length protein bands (above the truncated proteins bands). (b) Transient co-

- 373 expression of 6xHA-PROSCOOP20-GFP with or without SBT3.3, SBT3.4, SBT3.5,
- 374 SBT3.6, SBT3.7, SBT3.8, SBT3.9, SBT6.1 (Mock). (c) Transient co-expression of
- 375 6xHA-PROSCOOP-GFP, SBT3.8 with SBT inhibitors EPI1a/EPI10 or without (Mock).
- 376 (d) Transient co-expression of SBT3.8 with 6xHA-PROSCOOP20-GFP (labelled as 20)
- 377 or 6xHA-PROSCOOP20^{3A}-GFP (labelled as 20^{3A}). All experiments were repeated and
- 378 analysed three times with similar results.
- (e) Western blot using HA antibody recognizing the 6xHA-PROSCOOP20 in stable 379
- 380 transgenic Arabidopsis seedings expressing 35S::6xHA-PROSCOOP20 (labelled as
- 381 20) or 35S::6xHA-PROSCOOP20^{3A} (labelled as 20^{3A}) in Col-0 or sbt3.6/7/8/9/10. The

membrane was stained with CBB, as a loading control. #1: line 1, #2, line 2. Numbers
on the blots are the ratios of protein accumulation levels between truncated protein
bands (indicated by the red asterisk) and full-length protein bands (above the truncated
protein bands).

(f) Phenotype of stable transgenic *Arabidopsis* seedlings expressing 35S::6xHA PROSCOOP20 or 35S::6xHA-PROSCOOP20^{3A} in Col-0 or *sbt3.6/7/8/9/10*. Bar = 1
 cm.

389



390

391 Figure 4 | *sbt3^{octuple}* phenocopies *mik2*

(a and b) ROS production in leaf disks collected from 4-week-old Arabidopsis plants
 induced by 100 nM flg22 (n = 8). (a) Points represent mean. (b) Integrated ROS
 production over 30 min.

395 (c) Immune marker genes expression in 13-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings determined 396 by qRT-PCR. Seedlings were treated with 0.6 μ M ISX or Mock. Expression of *FRK1* 397 and *CYP81F2* was normalized relative to *Actin7* expression values. Depicted is the 398 fold change in expression relative to mock treatment.

(d) Insect performance of *Spodoptera littoralis* on Col-0, *mik2-1* and *sbt3^{octuple}*. *S. littoralis* larvae were feeding on 5-week-old plants for 12 days. Data represents the
mean ± SD of three independent experiments. P-values indicate significance relative
to Col-0. (Mann-Whitney-U-Test). Number of individual larvae measured: Col-0 n=215, *mik2-1* n=204 and *sbt3^{octuple}* n=211.

- 404 (e) Dry weight of NaCl-treated plants is expressed as percentage of the ratio to the dry
- 405 weight of untreated plants. One week after germination, plants were transferred to pots
- 406 with soil saturated with or without 75 mM of NaCl in demineralized water. After 3 weeks
- 407 of treatment the rosettes were cut, and dry weight was determined.
- 408 (a-c, e) Error bars represent SD; P-values indicate significance relative to Col-0 in a
- 409 two-tailed T-test. All experiments were repeated and analysed three times with similar
- 410 results.



412 Extended Data Fig. 1 | Sequence alignment of *Arabidopsis* PROSCOOPs

413 Signal peptide, variable regions containing the predicted cleavage motifs

414 RxLx/RxxL/VWD, and the active epitope containing the conserved motif SxS are

indicated by coloured boxes.

416

411



418 Extended Data Fig. 2 | All *PROSCOOP*s identified by RNA-Seq are up-regulated

- 419 upon elicitor treatment
- 420 Heat map showing log₂(FC) expression levels of PROSCOOPs identified by RNA-Seq
- 421 in response to a range of elicitors (data obtained from²⁷).



423 Extended Data Fig. 3 | Divergent SCOOPs do not induce ROS production or Ca²⁺

424 influx in *mik2-1*

422

- 425 (a) Integrated ROS production over 40 min in leaf disks collected from 4-week-old
- 426 Arabidopsis mik2-1 plants induced in the absence (Mock) or presence of 1 μ M 13-mer
- 427 SCOOPs peptides ($n \ge 8$) using 100 nM flg22 and elf18 as control.
- 428 (b) Cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ influx measured in *mik*2-1^{AEQ} seedlings induced in the absence
- 429 (Mock) or presence of 1 μ M 13-mer SCOOPs peptides (n ≥ 8) using 100 nM flg22 and
- 430 elf18 as control. (c) Integrated ROS production over 40 min in leaf disks collected from
- 431 4-week-old Arabidopsis mik2-1 plants induced in the absence (Mock) or presence of
- 432 **15-mer** SCOOPs ($n \ge 8$) using 100 nM elf18 as control.
- 433 Error bars represent SD; P-values indicate significance relative to the mock in a Two-
- tailed T-test. All experiments were repeated and analysed three times with similarresults.





437 Extended Data Fig. 4 | Additional analysis of SCOOP-induced responses

- 438 (a) Integrated ROS production over 40 min in leaf disks collected from 4-week-old
- 439 Arabidopsis plants induced in the absence (Mock) or presence of 1 µM 13/15-mer
- 440 SCOOP8 peptides ($n \ge 8$) using 100 nM elf18 as control.
- 441 (b) Integrated ROS production over 40 min in leaf disks collected from 4-week-old
- 442 Arabidopsis bak1-5 bkk1 plants relative to Col-0 induced in the absence (Mock) or
- 443 presence of 1 μM 13-mer SCOOPs peptides (n ≥ 8) using 100 nM flg22 and elf18 as
- 444 control.
- Error bars represent SD; P-values indicate significance relative to the Mock in a Two-
- tailed T-test. All experiments were repeated and analysed three times with similar
- 447 results.
- 448



450 Extended Data Fig. 5 | Similarity relationships inside the PROSCOOP family and

451 summary of SCOOPs activity in Col-0

- 452 CLANS clustering based on all-against-all pairwise sequence similarities resulted in 7
- groups (highlighted with different colours) and 6 singletons. P-values lower than 1.E-2
- and 1.E-5 are represented by grey and black edges respectively.
- 455 Coloured asterisk indicates effects of SCOOP peptides.



456

449

457

458 Extended Data Fig. 6 | SBT3.3/3.4/3.5 are co-regulated with MIK2 in response to

- 459 different stresses
- Heat map showing log₂(FC) expression levels of *SBT*s in response to stresses (data
- 461 **obtained from Genevestigator).**
- 462



Extended Data Fig. 7 | Transcriptional regulation of Arabidopsis SBT genes by

465 elicitors

- Heat map showing log₂(FC) expression levels of *SBT* genes in response to a range of
- 467 elicitors (data obtained from²⁷).



468

469 Extended Data Fig. 8 | Phylogeny of Arabidopsis SBT3 subgroup

- 470 Phylogeny of the full-length amino acid sequences of SBT3 was inferred using the
- 471 Maximum-likelihood method and JTT matrix-based model conducted in MEGAX⁵³.
- 472





474 Extended Data Fig. 9 Cleavage analysis of PROSCOOP12 and PROSCOOP20 by

- 475 different SBTs
- (a) Related to Fig. 2 b, indicating flg22-induced SBT3.5-mediated PROSCOOP12 476
- 477 cleavage.
- (b) Related to Fig. 3 b, indicating flg22-induced SBT3.6/SBT3.7/SBT3.8-mediated 478
- 479 PROSCOOP20 cleavage.
- 480 Red asterisks indicate the cleaved SCOOP-GFP bands.
- 481



482

487

483 Extended Data Fig. 10| The diagram of TMT-labelling coupled MS

- 484 The working flow of TMT-labelling coupled MS to identify SBT3.5-mediated 6xHA-
- 485 PROSCOOP12-GFP cleavage sites and SBT3.6-mediated 6xHA-PROSCOOP20-
- 486 GFP cleavage sites in Nicotiana benthamiana.



488 Extended Data Fig. 11 | Mass spectrometry of TMT labelling peptide 489 TMT-GSGAGPVR peptide was detected by N-terminal labelling-coupled mass
 490 spectrometry with three independent experiments.

491



492

493 Extended Data Fig. 12 | Mass spectrometry of TMT labelling peptide

- 494 TMT-DLKIGASGSNSG peptide was detected by N-terminal labelling-coupled mass
- 495 spectrometry with three independent experiments.



496

- 497 Extended Data Fig. 13 | Mass spectrometry of TMT labelling peptide
- 498 TMT-TLLRDLKIGASGSNSG peptide was detected by N-terminal labelling-coupled
- 499 mass spectrometry with only one time from three repeats.
- 500



502 Extended Data Fig. 14 | CRISPR deletes the majority of SBT3.3/3.4/3.5 and

503 SBT3.6/3.7/3.8/3.9/3.10 genomic region

501

(a) Schematic view of the large genomic deletion of clusters *SBT3.3/3.4/3.5*. The fusion
protein may be transcribed, consisting of the first 331 amino acids of SBT3.5 with an
out-of-frame fusion with SBT3.3 leading to an early stop. Primers F1/R1 and F2/R2
used for the genotyping and sequence (Extended Data Table 3).

508 (b) Schematic view of the large genomic deletion of clusters *SBT3.6/3.7/3.8/3.9/3.10*. 509 One fusion protein driven by the promoter *SBT3.7* may be transcribed, consisting of 510 212 amino acid of SBT3.7, fused to 12 nonsense amino acids from SBT3.6 genomic 511 region. Another fusion protein driven by the promoter *SBT3.6* may be transcribed, 512 consisting of 726 amino acids of SBT3.6, fused to 11 nonsense amino acids from 513 SBT3.7 genomic region. Primers F3/R3 and F4/R4 used for the genotyping and 514 sequence (Extended Data Table 3).



516 Extended Data Fig. 15 | Phenotypic analysis of *sbt* higher-order mutants

- 517 (a) Phenotype of 5-week-old plants. Bar=1cm.
- 518 (b) Dry weight of 4-week-old plants. One week after germination, plants were

519 transferred to pots with soil watered from below in demineralized water. After 3 weeks

520 the rosettes were cut, and dry weight was determined.

521 (c) Integrated ROS production over 30 min in leaf disks collected from 4-week-old

522 Arabidopsis plants induced by 100 nM flg22 application (n=8).

523 (d) Quantification of the root angle of 9-day-old seedlings grown in an upright position

- 524 on MS agar medium (n=15).
- 525 (e) Cumulative Arabidopsis root vascular penetration by Fo5176 in WT (Col-0), *mik2-*

526 *1*, and *sbt3^{octuple}* plants at different days post transfer (dpt) to plate-containing spores.

- 527 Means ± SEM; *N* = 3 replicates, each one containing 20 plants. Statistical significance
- 528 calculated via repeated measures two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test (p value
- $529 \leq 0.05$ (genotype), p value ≤ 0.05 (time), p value ≤ 0.05 (genotype). P values are
- 530 indicated in the graph with respect to WT at any dpt.
- 531 Error bars represent S.D; P-values indicate significance relative to Col-0 in a Two-
- 532 tailed T-test. All experiments were repeated and analysed three times with similar
- 533 results.

535 Material and Methods

536 Plant material and growth conditions

537 Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used as wild-type control. Plants

- for ROS burst assays were grown in individual pots at 21 °C with a 10-h photoperiod.
 Seeds grown on plates were surface-sterilized using chlorine gas for 5–6 h, and sown
- 540 on Murashige and Skoog (MS) media supplemented with vitamins, 1 % sucrose, and
- 541 0.8 % agar and stratified at 4 °C for 2–3 days. *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were
- 542 grown on peat-based media at 24 °C, with 16-h photoperiod.
- 543 Aequorin lines of Arabidopsis were described previously^{15,54}. *mik2-1* and *sbt3.5-1* 544 mutants have been previously described^{21,37}.

545 Synthetic peptides

- 546 All synthetic peptides were ordered at >80 % purity (physiological assays) or >95 % 547 purity (biochemical assays) (EZBiolabs). Sequences of all peptides can be found in 548 Supplementary Table 1. The gene models from which the peptide sequences were
- 549 extracted are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

550 Molecular cloning

- 551 These constructs below were generated by Greengate cloning⁵⁵. pGGZ-35S::SBT3.4
- and pGGZ-35S::SBT3.9, pGGZ-35S::6xHA-PROSCOOP12-GFP, pGGZ-35S::6xHA-
- 553 PROSCOOP12^{4A}(RRLM/AAAA)-GFP, pGGZ-35S::6xHA-PROSCOOP20-GFP,
- 554 pGGZ-35S::6xHA-PROSCOOP20^{3A}(VWD/AAA)-GFP, pGGZ-35S::6xHA-
- 555 PROSCOOP20, pGGZ-35S::6xHA-PROSCOOP20^{3A}(VWD/AAA).
- 556 The genomic DNA of *SBT3.4* and *SBT3.9* was amplified by PCR. The CDS of 6xHA-557 PROSCOOP12, 6xHA-PROSCOOP12^{4A} (RRLM/AAAA), 6xHA-PROSCOOP12 and
- 6xHA-PROSCOOP12^{3A}(VWD/AAAA) were synthesized. Clones were verified by
 Sanger sequencing.
- pGreen0229-pPROSCOOP12::EPI1a/10 was generated by replacing the IDA promoter from the construct pGreen0229-pIDA::EPI1a/10⁶. 1500 bp upstream of the start codon of the PROSCOOP12 gene were amplified by PCR using the primer (Table S3). The PCR products and pGreen0229-pIDA::EPI1a/10 were digested with NotI and Pstl. Then the PCR product was cloned into the respective restriction sites of pGreen0229 upstream of the EPI1a or EPI10 constructs.
- 566 Expression constructs pART27-35S::SBT3.5 and pART7-35S::SBT3.8 have been 567 described previously^{5,37}. Expression constructs for SBT3.3 and SBT3.7 were

568 generated accordingly. Briefly, the open reading frames of SBT3.3 and SBT3.7 were 569 amplified by PCR and cloned into the multiple cloning site of pART7⁵⁶, between the 570 CaMV-35S promoter and terminator. The expression cassette was then transferred 571 into the Notl site of the binary vector pART27⁵⁶.

572 CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis

573 CRISPR-Cas9 induced mutagenesis was performed as described⁵⁷. The *RPS5a* 574 promoter drove Cas9 expression and FASTred selection was used for positive and 575 negative selection. Primers used to generate the vectors can be found in Extended 576 Data Table 3. Mutants were screened by PCR genotyping and confirmed by Sanger 577 sequencing. Primers used for genotyping and sequencing can be found in Extended 578 Data Table 3.

579 **ROS measurement**

580 Leaf disks were harvested from 4-week-old Arabidopsis plants using a 4-mm diameter 581 biopsy punch (Integra[™] Miltex[™]) and placed into white 96-well-plates (655075, 582 Greiner Bio-One) containing 100 µL water. Leaf disks were rested overnight. Prior to 583 ROS measurement, the water was removed and replaced with ROS assay solution (100 µM Luminol (123072, Merck), 20 µg mL⁻¹ horseradish peroxidase (P6782, Merck)) 584 585 with or without elicitors. Immediately after light emission was measured from the plate 586 using a HIGH-RESOLUTION PHOTON COUNTING SYSTEM (HRPCS218, Photek) 587 equipped with a 20 mm F1.8 EX DG ASPHERICAL RF WIDE LENS (Sigma Corp). For 588 assays were not all treatments could be conducted on one plate a Z-score was 589 calculated. Internal controls of mock and 100 nM elf18 were included on each plate 590 Z(standard score) = x (integrated ROS production for one leaf disk) - μ (Mean 591 integrated ROS production for mock treatment) / σ (standard deviation of integrated 592 ROS production for mock treatment) as performed previously⁵⁸.

593 Cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ measurement

Seedlings were initially grown on 1/2 MS plated for 3 days before being transferred to 96-well plates (655075, Greiner Bio-One) in 100 μ L liquid MS for 5 days. The evening before Ca²⁺ measurements the liquid MS was replaced with 100 μ L 20 μ M coelenterazine (EC14031, Carbosynth) and the seedlings incubated in the dark overnight. The following morning the coelenterazine solution was replaced with 100 μ L water and rested for a minimum of 30 min in the dark. Readings were taken in a VARIOSKANTM MUTIPLATE READER (ThermoFisher) before and after adding 50 μ L of 3 x concentrated elicitor solution or Mock. Readings were normalised to the average

602 RLU value before elicitor addition (L₀).

603 CLANs clustering of PROSCOOP family

The 50 PROSCOOP sequences have been analysed and clustered using the CLANS software based on all-against-all BLASTP pairwise sequence similarities⁵⁹. P-value cut-off has been set to 1.E-2 for edge definition and the Convex method (stdev cut-off 0.5, minimum of 3 sequences per cluster) has been applied for clustering.

608 Transient expression in Nicotiana benthamiana

- 609 Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 transformed with the appropriate construct
- 610 were grown overnight in L-media and spun-down. The bacteria were resuspended in

611 10 mM MgCl₂ and adjusted to $O.D_{.600} = 0.2$ prior to infiltration into the youngest fully

612 expanded leaves of 3-week-old plants. Two days later, leaf tissues were collected,

613 treated in liquid MS medium by 100 nM flg22 for 2 hours and flash-frozen in liquid 614 nitrogen.

615 **Protein extraction and western blot**

616 N. benthamiana leaf tissues were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Plant tissue was 617 ground in liquid nitrogen prior to boiling in 2× loading sample buffer (4 % SDS, 20 % 618 glycerol, 10 % 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.004% bromophenol blue, and 0.125 M Tris-HCl; 619 (10 µL.mg-1 tissue)) for 10 min at 95 °C. The samples were then spun at 13,000 × g 620 for 5 min prior to loading and running on SDS-PAGE gels of an appropriate 621 concentration. Proteins were transferred onto PVDF membrane (ThermoFisher) prior 622 to incubation with appropriate antibodies (α -HA-HRP (12013819001, Roche, 1:3000); 623 α-RFP-HRP (sc-9996 HRP, Santa Cruz, 1:5000) and α-GFP-HRP (A-0545, Merck; 624 1:50000). Western blots were imaged with a LAS 4000 IMAGEQUANT SYSTEM (GE 625 Healthcare). Staining of the blotted membrane with Coomassie brilliant blue was used 626 to confirm loading.

627 **GFP-TRAP enrichment**

628 After protein extraction from N. benthamiana leaf tissues. the 6xHA-629 PROSCOOP12/20-GFP and SCOOP12/20-GFP was enriched by GFP-TRAP. 630 Proteins were extracted using the extraction buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 631 2.5 mM EDTA, 10 % Glycerol, 1 % IGEPAL, 5 mM DTT, 1 % Plant Protease Inhibitor 632 (P9599, Sigma)) (v/v). Proteins were solubilised at 4 °C with gentle agitation for 30 min 633 before filtering through miracloth. The filtrate was centrifuged at 15000 x g for 20 min 634 at 4 °C. An input sample was taken. To each 15 mL of protein extract 40 μL of GFP-

TRAP AGAROSE BEADS (50 % slurry, ChromoTek) washed in extraction buffer were added and incubated with gentle agitation for 4 h at 4 °C. Beads were harvested by centrifugation at 1500 x g for 2 min and washed 3 times in extraction buffer. 50 μ L of 1.5 x elution buffer (NuPage) were added and incubated at 90 °C for 10 min. The samples were then spun at 13,000 × g for 5 min prior to loading and running on SDS-PAGE gels of an appropriate concentration. Samples were then cut from the SDS-PAGE gel for subsequent MS analysis.

642 **N-terminal labelling and mass spectrometry**

643 Proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were excised, destained with 25% Acetonitrile, 644 reduced by 10mM DTT 55 °C for 30min, and carbamidomethylated with 40mM 645 chloroacetamide. The gel was washed with copious exchanges of 50mM TEAB and 646 dehydrated with 100% acetonitrile. Protein free N-termini were labelled with 100µg 647 TMT reagent (ThermoFisher) dissolved in 20µL 100% acetonitrile and directly added 648 to the gel followed by 80 μ L of 50mM TEAB (ThermoFisher) buffer. The reaction left at 649 room temperature for 90min was subsequently guenched with 5% hydroxylamine; after 650 this, all proteoforms with accessible N-termini should be N-terminally TMT-labelled.

The reagent leftovers were extracted by 50mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer and the gel dehydrated with 100% acetonitrile. Proteins were in-gel digested by 100ng of trypsin (ThermoFisher), peptides extracted with 25% Acetonitrile, freeze-dried and measured by Orbitrap Eclipse (ThermoFisher Scientific) LC-MS system with a datadependent acquisition MS method.

The data were processed with a common proteomic pipeline consisting of MS Convert program to generate peak-lists followed by peptide sequence matching on Mascot (Matrix Science Ltd.) server. The individual samples were compared and tandem mass spectra visualized in Scaffold (Proteome Software Inc.).

660 **RNA extraction and qRT-PCR**

661 Two 5-day-old seedlings were transferred into transparent 24-well plates (Greiner Bio-662 One) containing 1 mL liquid MS media, sealed with porous tape and grown for a further 663 7 days. The liquid MS media was taken out and 1 mL fresh liquid MS medium 664 containing 600 nM isoxaben (ISX) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or Mock was 665 added, and seedlings were harvested after 9 h treatment. All seedlings were ground in 666 liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted using plant total RNA mini kit (FavorPrep) 667 according to the manufacturer's instructions and DNase treatment was performed using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen). RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop 668

spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific). qRT-PCR was performed on cDNA
synthesised using the RevertAid first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher
Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was amplified by
quantitative PCR using PowerUP SYBR Green Master mix (Thermo Fischer Scientific)
running on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fischer
Scientific).

675 **Insect performance**

Spodoptera littoralis (Egyptian cotton worm) eggs were obtained from Syngenta (Stein AG; Switzerland). For hatching, *S. littoralis* eggs were incubated for 48 h at 28 °C. For measurements of insect performance, 60–80 freshly hatched *S. littoralis* larvae were placed on 11 5-week-old plants per genotype in transparent plexiglass boxes.*S. littoralis* larvae were allowed to feed on those plants for 12 days and individual larval weights were determined subsequently on a high precision balance (Mettler-Toledo; XP205DR, Switzerland).

683 *Fusarium oxysporum* 5176 infection assay

Plants were infected on plates as previously described^{60,61}. Briefly, seeds were 684 685 germinated on Whatman filter paper strips in ½ MS+0.9% agar. Eight-day-old seedling 686 were transferred to a mock or infection plate. The infection plates were prepared by 687 spreading 100 μ L 1 x 10⁷ pSIX1:GFP spores / mL on the MS+0.9% bactoagar surface. 688 Vascular penetration sites were recorded from 3 to 7 days post transfer (dpt) to spore-689 containing plates, determined when strong and linear signals were visible under a 690 Leica M205 FCA fluorescent stereo microscope equipped with a long pass GFP filter 691 (ET GFP LP; Excitation nm: ET480/40x; Emission nm: ET510 LP).

692 Root skewing assay

Seeds were sown directly on 1/2 MS agar square plates and stratified for 2 days at
4 °C. Plates were transferred to 22 °C under a 16-h photoperiod, in an upright position
for 9 d. The root angle was measured by the ImageJ software, as performed
previously²¹.

697 Salt tolerance assays

698 Salt tolerance assays were performed as previously²¹. Plants were grown in pots under

- 699 an 11-h photoperiod, at 22 degrees and 70% humidity. One week after germination,
- 700 plants were transferred to pots which were saturated with 4 L of either 0 or 75 mM of
- 701 NaCl solution. During the experiment, all plants were watered with demineralized water
- 702 from below. After 3 weeks of treatment, plants were cut off and dried in an oven at 70

703	degre	es for 1 week to determine dry weight. Plants were randomised over trays using	
704	<mark>a ran</mark> e	domized block design. Randomisation was similar for each treatment. The	
705	<mark>experi</mark>	ment was repeated three times with similar results	
706			
707	7 References		
708			
709	1	Matsubayashi, Y. Posttranslationally modified small-peptide signals in plants.	
710		Ann Rev Plant Biol 65 , 385-413 (2014).	
711	2	Olsson, V. et al. Look closely, the beautiful may be small: precursor-derived	
712		peptides in plants. Ann Rev Plant Biol 70 , 153-186 (2019).	
713	3	Tavormina, P., De Coninck, B., Nikonorova, N., De Smet, I. & Cammue, B. P.	
714		The plant peptidome: an expanding repertoire of structural features and	
715		biological functions. <i>Plant cell</i> 27 , 2095-2118 (2015).	
716	4	Stührwohldt, N., Ehinger, A., Thellmann, K. & Schaller, A. Processing and	
717		formation of bioactive CLE40 peptide are controlled by posttranslational proline	
718		hydroxylation. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 184 , 1573-1584 (2020).	
719	5	Stührwohldt, N. et al. The biogenesis of CLEL peptides involves several	
720		processing events in consecutive compartments of the secretory pathway. Elife	
721		9 , (2020).	
722	6	Schardon, K. et al. Precursor processing for plant peptide hormone maturation	
723		by subtilisin-like serine proteinases. <i>Science</i> 354 , 1594-1597 (2016).	
724	7	Reichardt, S., Piepho, H. P., Stintzi, A. & Schaller, A. Peptide signaling for	
725		drought-induced tomato flower drop. Science 367 , 1482-1485 (2020).	
726	8	Doll, N. M. et al. A two-way molecular dialogue between embryo and endosperm	
727		is required for seed development. Science 367 , 431-435 (2020).	
728	9	Stührwohldt, N. & Schaller, A. Regulation of plant peptide hormones and growth	
729		factors by post-translational modification. <i>Plant Biol</i> 21 , 49-63 (2019).	
730	10	Rzemieniewski, J. & Stegmann, M. Regulation of pattern-triggered immunity	
731		and growth by phytocytokines. <i>Curr Opin Plant Biol</i> 68 , 102230 (2022).	
732	11	Hou, S., Liu, D. & He, P. Phytocytokines function as immunological modulators	
733		of plant immunity. <i>Stress Biol</i> 1 , 8 (2021).	
734	12	Gust, A. A., Pruitt, R. & Nürnberger, T. Sensing danger: key to activating plant	
735		immunity. <i>Trends Plant Sci</i> 22 , 779-791 (2017).	

- Gully, K. *et al.* The SCOOP12 peptide regulates defense response and root
 elongation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *J Exp Bot* **70**, 1349-1365 (2019).
- Hou, S. *et al.* The Arabidopsis MIK2 receptor elicits immunity by sensing a
 conserved signature from phytocytokines and microbes. *Nature Commun* 12,
 5494 (2021).
- 74115Rhodes, J. *et al.* Perception of a divergent family of phytocytokines by the742Arabidopsis receptor kinase MIK2. *Nature Commun* **12**, 705 (2021).
- Guillou, M.-C. *et al.* The PROSCOOP10 gene encodes two extracellular
 hydroxylated peptides and impacts flowering time in Arabidopsis. *Plants (Basel)*11, 3354 (2022).
- 74617Zhang, J. et al. EWR1 as a SCOOP peptide activates MIK2-dependent747immunity in Arabidopsis. J Plant Inter 17 (2022).
- 74818Guillou, M. C. *et al.* SCOOP12 peptide acts on ROS homeostasis to modulate749cell division and elongation in Arabidopsis primary root. J Exp Bot 73, 6115-7506132 (2022).
- 19 Stahl, E. *et al.* The MIK2/SCOOP signaling system contributes to Arabidopsis
 resistance against herbivory by modulating jasmonate and Indole glucosinolate
 biosynthesis. *Front Plant Sci* 13, 852808 (2022).
- 75420Julkowska, M. M. et al. Natural variation in rosette size under salt stress755conditions corresponds to developmental differences between Arabidopsis756accessions and allelic variation in the LRR-KISS gene. J Exp Bot 67, 2127-2138757(2016).
- Van der Does, D. *et al.* The Arabidopsis leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase
 MIK2/LRR-KISS connects cell wall integrity sensing, root growth and response
 to abiotic and biotic stresses. *PLoS Genet* **13**, e1006832 (2017).
- Engelsdorf, T. *et al.* The plant cell wall integrity maintenance and immune
 signaling systems cooperate to control stress responses in Arabidopsis
 thaliana. *Sci Signal* **11**, (2018).
- Coleman, A. D. *et al.* The Arabidopsis leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase
 MIK2 is a crucial component of early immune responses to a fungal-derived
 elicitor. *New Phytol* 229, 3453-3466 (2021).
- Stintzi, A. & Schaller, A. Biogenesis of post-translationally modified peptide
 signals for plant reproductive development. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 69, 102274
 (2022).

- Royek, S. *et al.* Processing of a plant peptide hormone precursor facilitated by
 posttranslational tyrosine sulfation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**, e2201195119
 (2022).
- Bailey, T. L. & Gribskov, M. Combining evidence using p-values: application to
 sequence homology searches. *Bioinformatics* 14, 48-54 (1998).
- Bjornson, M., Pimprikar, P., Nürnberger, T. & Zipfel, C. The transcriptional
 landscape of Arabidopsis thaliana pattern-triggered immunity. *Nat Plants* 7,
 579-586 (2021).
- Yu, Z. *et al.* The Brassicaceae-specific secreted peptides, STMPs, function in
 plant growth and pathogen defense. *J Inte Plant Biol* 62, 403-420, (2020).
- Yadeta, K. A., Valkenburg, D. J., Hanemian, M., Marco, Y. & Thomma, B. P.
 The Brassicaceae-specific EWR1 gene provides resistance to vascular wilt
 pathogens. *PloS One* **9**, e88230 (2014).
- Neukermans, J. *et al.* ARACINs, Brassicaceae-specific peptides exhibiting
 antifungal activities against necrotrophic pathogens in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* **167**, 1017-1029 (2015).
- 786 31 Petre, B. Toward the discovery of host-defense peptides in plants. *Front Immun*787 11, 1825 (2020).
- Fletcher, J. C. Recent advances in Arabidopsis CLE peptide signaling. *Trends Plant Sci* 25, 1005-1016 (2020).
- Liu, J. X., Srivastava, R., Che, P. & Howell, S. H. Salt stress responses in
 Arabidopsis utilize a signal transduction pathway related to endoplasmic
 reticulum stress signaling. *Plant J* **51**, 897-909 (2007).
- Liu, J. X., Srivastava, R., Che, P. & Howell, S. H. An endoplasmic reticulum
 stress response in Arabidopsis is mediated by proteolytic processing and
 nuclear relocation of a membrane-associated transcription factor, bZIP28. *Plant Cell* **19**, 4111-4119 (2007).
- 79735Ghorbani, S. *et al.* The SBT6.1 subtilase processes the GOLVEN1 peptide798controlling cell elongation. J Exp Bot 67, 4877-4887 (2016).
- 79936Abarca, A., Franck, C. M. & Zipfel, C. Family-wide evaluation of RAPID800ALKALINIZATION FACTOR peptides. *Plant Physiol* **187**, 996-1010 (2021).
- Sénéchal, F. *et al.* Arabidopsis PECTIN METHYLESTERASE17 is coexpressed with and processed by SBT3.5, a subtilisin-like serine protease. *Ann Bot* 114, 1161-1175 (2014).

804 38 Hruz, T. et al. Genevestigator v3: a reference expression database for the meta-805 analysis of transcriptomes. Adv Bioinformatics 2008, 420747 (2008). 806 39 Schaller, A. et al. From structure to function - a family portrait of plant subtilases. 807 New Phytol 218, 901-915 (2018). 808 40 Ramírez, V., López, A., Mauch-Mani, B., Gil, M. J. & Vera, P. An extracellular 809 subtilase switch for immune priming in Arabidopsis. PLoS Pathog 9, e1003445 810 (2013). 811 41 Kourelis, J. et al. A homology-guided, genome-based proteome for improved 812 proteomics in the alloploid Nicotiana benthamiana. BMC Genomics 20, 722 813 (2019). 814 42 von Groll, U., Berger, D. & Altmann, T. The subtilisin-like serine protease SDD1 815 mediates cell-to-cell signaling during Arabidopsis stomatal development. Plant 816 Cell 14, 1527-1539 (2002). 817 43 Cedzich, A. et al. The protease-associated domain and C-terminal extension 818 are required for zymogen processing, sorting within the secretory pathway, and 819 activity of tomato subtilase 3 (SISBT3). J Biol Chem 284, 14068-14078, (2009). 820 44 Ottmann, C. et al. Structural basis for Ca2+-independence and activation by 821 homodimerization of tomato subtilase 3. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106, 17223-822 17228 (2009). 823 45 Stührwohldt, N., Schardon, K., Stintzi, A. & Schaller, A. A toolbox for the nalysis 824 of peptide signal biogenesis. Molecular Plant 10, 1023-1025 (2017). 825 46 Tian, M., Huitema, E., Da Cunha, L., Torto-Alalibo, T. & Kamoun, S. A Kazal-826 like extracellular serine protease inhibitor from Phytophthora infestans targets 827 the tomato pathogenesis-related protease P69B. J Biol Chem 279, 26370-828 26377 (2004). 829 47 Tian, M., Benedetti, B. & Kamoun, S. A Second Kazal-like protease inhibitor 830 from Phytophthora infestans inhibits and interacts with the apoplastic 831 pathogenesis-related protease P69B of tomato. Plant Physiol 138, 1785-1793 832 (2005). 833 48 Paulus, J. K. et al. Extracellular proteolytic cascade in tomato activates immune 834 protease Rcr3. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **117**, 17409-17417 (2020). 835 49 Stührwohldt, N., Bühler, E., Sauter, M. & Schaller, A. Phytosulfokine (PSK) 836 precursor processing by subtilase SBT3.8 and PSK signaling improve drought 837 stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 72, 3427-3440 (2021).

- 83850Stegmann, M. et al. RGI-GOLVEN signaling promotes cell surface immune839receptor abundance to regulate plant immunity. EMBO Reports 23, e53281840(2022).
- 51 Zhang, H. *et al.* A Plant Phytosulfokine Peptide Initiates Auxin-Dependent
 842 Immunity through Cytosolic Ca(2+) Signaling in Tomato. *Plant Cell* **30**, 652-667
 843 (2018).
- Igarashi, D., Tsuda, K. & Katagiri, F. The peptide growth factor, phytosulfokine,
 attenuates pattern-triggered immunity. *Plant J* **71**, 194-204 (2012).
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura, K. MEGA X: molecular
 evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* 35,
 1547-1549 (2018).
- Ranf, S. *et al.* Microbe-associated molecular pattern-induced calcium signaling
 requires the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases, PBL1 and BIK1. *BMC Plant Biol* **14**, 374 (2014).
- 85255Lampropoulos, A. *et al.* GreenGate---a novel, versatile, and efficient cloning853system for plant transgenesis. *PloS One* **8**, e83043 (2013).
- 6 Gleave, A. P. A versatile binary vector system with a T-DNA organisational
 structure conducive to efficient integration of cloned DNA into the plant genome. *Plant Mol Biol* 20, 1203-1207 (1992).
- 57 Castel, B., Tomlinson, L., Locci, F., Yang, Y. & Jones, J. D. G. Optimization of
 T-DNA architecture for Cas9-mediated mutagenesis in Arabidopsis. *PloS One*859 14, e0204778 (2019).
- 86058Colaianni, N. R. *et al.* A complex immune response to flagellin epitope variation861in commensal communities. *Cell Host Microbe* **29**, 635-649 (2021).
- Frickey, T. & Lupas, A. CLANS: a Java application for visualizing protein families
 based on pairwise similarity. *Bioinformatics* 20, 3702-3704 (2004).
- 86460Kesten, C. *et al.* Pathogen-induced pH changes regulate the growth-defense865balance in plants. *EMBO J* 38, e101822 (2019).
- Huerta, A. I., Kesten, C., Menna, A. L., Sancho-Andrés, G. & SanchezRodriguez, C. In-plate quantitative characterization of Arabidopsis thaliana
 susceptibility to the fungal vascular pathogen fusarium oxysporum. *Curr Protoc Plant Biol* 5, e20113 (2020).
- 870





а





