

#### Impact of yeast cell cycle specificity on demography inference

Louis Ollivier, Flora Jay, Fanny Pouyet

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Louis Ollivier, Flora Jay, Fanny Pouyet. Impact of yeast cell cycle specificity on demography inference. JOBIM (Journées Ouvertes en Biologie, Informatique et Mathématiques ) 2022, Jul 2022, Rennes, France. hal-04393823

#### HAL Id: hal-04393823 https://hal.science/hal-04393823v1

Submitted on 15 Jan2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Impact of yeast cell cycle specificity on demography inference

Louis OLLIVIER, Flora JAY, Fanny POUYET ~ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, INRIA, Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Sciences du Numérique, 91400, Orsay, France.

# Context

The canonical life cycle of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* consists of an alternation between mitosis (clonal reproduction) and meiosis events (outcrossing and intratetrad mating). In this life cycle, meiosis events and then recombination events are known to be rare.

However, recent studies<sup>1</sup> start to show that heterozygosity in yeast would be greater than previously thought, which implies that maybe recombination events are more frequent. Indeed, these events are estimated at frequencies ranging from 1 per 50000 generations to 1 per 1000 generations.

Using SLiM<sup>2</sup> and dadi<sup>3</sup> (which is based on the SFS), we wanted to see if we can correctly infer demographic parameters given this specific feature (frequency of recombination events). For this, we tested 2 conditions : a population with recombination events every generation (EGR) and a population with recombination events every 1000 generations (1000GR), the recombination rate ( $\rho$ ) is scaled so that the number of individual events remains the same on average. We simulated 4 simple demographic models to evaluate if the frequency of recombination events has an effect on demography inference.

Does recombination frequency (= sexual reproduction events) impact demography inference ?

#### Impact of the frequency of recombination events



### Workflow and methods

<u>Global workflow</u>

	EGR	
•	<b></b>	

# Two epoch : expansionTwo epoch : reductionExponentialNNNNNNNNNNNN

#### Tested demographic models and associated parameters



- TnuMedian= $\neq$ Variance $\neq$  $\neq$
- Correct inference for both parameters
- 1000GR model increases the variance of inferred values and the number of outliers

	Т	nuB	nuF
Median	=	¥	¥
Variance	¥	¥	¥

- Correct inference for T and nuF
- Inaccurate inference for nuB (bottleneck reduction): estimates widely dispersed
- 1000GR model increases the variance of inferred values and the number of outliers

### Conclusions

- $\Rightarrow$  We built a pipeline to simulate yeast genetic data which includes its specific cell cycle
- $\Rightarrow$  We evaluated if intermittent recombination has an impact on demography inference
- ⇒ EGR and 1000GR estimations are accurate for parameters related to expansion but 1000GR estimations are inaccurate for for parameters related to bottlenecks
  - This is an important path to explore and understand because there are bottleneck in real yeast demography (domestication, ...)
- ⇒ Difference between EGR and 1000GR is not clear yet : it seems to have an effect on the variance of estimated demographic parameters
- Need to understand how variance and estimations are linked to EGR and 1000GR coalescent trees

# Perpectives

 $\Rightarrow$  Evaluate other demography inference softwares (SMC++, fastsimcoal, ...) – WIP

 $\Rightarrow$  Evaluate other simple demograpic models (instantaneous growth, ...) – WIP

Aim for more complex and realistic models (intratetrad mating, Duan et al.<sup>4</sup> model)



Shou-Fu Duan 💿 <sup>1,2</sup>, Pei-Jie Han<sup>1</sup>, Qi-Ming Wang<sup>1</sup>, Wan-Qiu Liu<sup>1</sup>, Jun-Yan Shi<sup>1,2</sup>, Kuan Li<sup>1</sup>, Xiao-Ling Zhang<sup>1</sup> & Feng-Yan Bai<sup>1,2</sup>



Realistic model of yeast demography history

[1] Fischer, G, Liti, G, Llorente, B. The budding yeast life cycle: More complex than anticipated? Yeast. 2021; 38: 5– 11.
[2] Haller, B.C., & Messer, P.W. (2019). SLIM 3: Forward genetic simulations beyond the Wright–Fisher model. *Molecular Biology and Evolution 36*(3), 632–637
[3] RN Gutenkunst, RD Hernandez, SH Williamson, CD Bustamante "Inferring the joint demographic history of multiple populations from multidimensional SNP data" PLoS Genetics 5:e1000695 (2009)
[4] Duan, SF., Han, PJ., Wang, QM. *et al.* The origin and adaptive evolution of domesticated populations of yeast from Far East Asia. *Nat Commun* 9, 2690 (2018).





