

Asymptotic of the Bergman kernel on a projective complex manifold

Lu Yu

▶ To cite this version:

Lu Yu. Asymptotic of the Bergman kernel on a projective complex manifold. 2024. hal-04392390

HAL Id: hal-04392390 https://hal.science/hal-04392390v1

Preprint submitted on 13 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Asymptotic of the Bergman kernel on a projective complex manifold

Lu Yu

August 29, 2021

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	4
	2.1 Linear Algebra	4
	2.2 Complex Geometry and Kähler Geometry	6
	2.3 Stationary phase method	14
3	Bergman kernel	16
	3.1 Main Theorem	19
	3.1.1 Example	20
	3.2 Proof of Main Theorem	22
	3.2.1 Upper bound	22
	3.2.2 Lower bound and peak sections	25
4	L^2 existence theorem	34
5	High rank Bergman kernel	40
	5.1 Upper bound	40
	5.2 Lower bound: A third construction of peak section	41
	5.3 Calculation	44
6	An application to Riemann surfaces	46
\mathbf{A}	Appendix	48
	A.1 An alternative proof of Corollary 2	48
	A 2 Controlling supreme norm	50

Chapter 1

Introduction

We are interested in understanding the asymptotic behaviour of the Bergman kernel (restricted to the diagonal of a projective manifold) of twisted tensor powers of a positive line bundle. Although C^{∞} convergence is known in this case, our approach, mainly following L.Charles [3], can only give C^0 convergence. The central result of the paper is Theorem 7, from which we derive asymptotic Riemann-Roch theorem as an application.

The scheme of the proof (see Section 3.2) is divided into two parts: an upper bound and a lower bound. For the upper bound, basically we use mean value inequality, which is rather elementary. For the lower bound, we apply the "peak section" method originated by Tian in his thesis [26]. We illustrate this scheme again by strengthening a theorem of Liu (see Theorem 18). The last part is devoted to asymptotic behavior of tensor powers of a Nakano positive vector bundle of higher rank (at least when the base manifold is a Riemann surface, see Theorem 17).

Notations

Throughout the paper, unless otherwise stated, Greek letters α, β, \ldots , run through $1, \ldots, r$ and letters $i, j, k, l \ldots$ run through $1, \ldots, n$. We use μ_0 to denote the Lebesgue measure on Euclidean spaces. By [n] we mean the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. The permutation group on [n] is denoted by S_n .

We always use column vectors unless otherwise specified. The Landau notation $O(\alpha)$ for matrices means each entry is a usual $O(\alpha)$. For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, we put $A^H := \bar{A}^T$. If A is a hermitian positive definite (resp. semi-positive) matrix, we write A > 0 (resp. $A \ge 0$). For a square matrix A, let $\rho(A)$ be the spectral radius of A.

Put $\Delta^n(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_i| < a, i \in [n]\}$, which is an open polydisc. All hermitian inner product is anti-linear about the second argument. For a complex manifold M, we write M^n to mean $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$. Its holomorphic tangent vector

bundle is denoted by T_hM . For a line bundle L and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, to ease notation we write L^k for $L^{\otimes k}$. For a vector bundle $E \to M$, by abuse of notation, we denote the smooth sections of E by $C^{\infty}(M, E)$.

Acknowledgements

This report is my "mémoire de recherche" for the master degree at University of Paris, under the guidance of Professor Julien Keller, to whom I wish to expresse my sincere gratitude, for all his support and advice. The Section 4 is finished with the help of Professor Jean-Pierre Demailly, Xieping Wang and Junsheng Zhang. I thank them for their patient and helpful answers to my questions.

Chapter 2

Preliminaries

We suggest the reader refer to this elementary section only as necessary when reading the main text.

2.1 Linear Algebra

Proposition 1 (Rayleigh-Ritz). If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a hermitian matrix, and $x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0$, we form the Rayleigh quotient $R(A, x) = \frac{x^H Ax}{x^H x}$, then

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0} R(A, x) = \lambda_{\max}(A)$$

is the maximal eigenvalue of A. Idem for minimum. In particular, if further $A \geq 0$, then

$$\max_{x\in\mathbb{C}^n,x\neq 0}R(A,x)=\rho(A).$$

Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space whose dual is denoted by V^* .

Definition 1 (Conjugate space). The complex conjugate \bar{V} of V is a complex vector space satisfying: there is a morphism $V \to \bar{V}$ by $v \mapsto \bar{v}$ that is an \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism, and $(a+ib)\bar{v} = \overline{(a-ib)v}$ for any $v \in V$; $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$.

If further V is endowed with a hermitian inner product h, then $\bar{V} \to V^*$ by $\bar{v} \mapsto h(\cdot, v)$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism. We put

$$\operatorname{Herm}^+(V,h) = \{ A \in \operatorname{End}(V) : A \ge 0 \}.$$

The partial order on $\operatorname{Herm}^+(V,h)$ is defined by: $A \geq B$ means $A - B \in \operatorname{Herm}^+(V,h)$.

Exercise 1. Then $V \times \bar{V} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $(v, \bar{u}) \mapsto h(v, u)$ is bilinear, so induces a morphism $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{V} \to \mathbb{C}$.

The morphism $V \times \bar{V} \to End(V)$ by $(v, \bar{u}) \mapsto A$ where A(w) = h(w, u)v is bilinear, so induces a morphism $C: V \otimes \bar{V} \to End(V)$ which is an isomorphism. For any $s \in V$, $C(s \otimes \bar{s}) \in Herm^+(V, h)$.

We have a commutative diagram

$$V \times \bar{V} \xrightarrow{(v,\bar{u}) \mapsto h(\cdot,u)v} End(V)$$

$$(v,\bar{u}) \mapsto h(v,u)$$

$$\mathbb{C}$$

$$\mathbb{C}$$

In virtue of Exercise 1, we usually identify $V \otimes \overline{V}$ with $\operatorname{End}(V)$ without mentioning the isomorphism C in between.

Exercise 2. If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and A > 0, $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then

$$A^{-1}v^T A \bar{v} > vv^H.$$

Proof. Let (,) be the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . Then $(u,w)_A=(Au,w)$ defines another inner product. For any $u\in\mathbb{C}^n$

$$(A^{-1}v^T A \bar{v}u, u) = v^T A \bar{v}(A^{-1}u, u) = (v, v)_A (A^{-1}u, A^{-1}u)_A,$$
$$(vv^H u, u) = v^H u(v, u) = (u, v)(v, u) = |(u, v)|^2 = |(A^{-1}u, v)_A|^2.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|(A^{-1}u, v)_A|^2 \le (v, v)_A (A^{-1}u, A^{-1}u)_A.$$

Thus we get the desired inequality

$$(A^{-1}v^T A \bar{v}u, u) \ge (vv^H u, u).$$

Definition 2 (linear Bergman kernel). For a linear subspace $U \subset V$, we define $B(U, h|_U) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes \bar{e}_i$, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $(U, h|_U)$.

Linear Bergman kernel is well-defined by the following Exercise.

Exercise 3. 1. For another basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of U,

$$B = \sum_{kl} v_k \otimes \bar{v}_l A_{lk},$$

where A is the inverse of the size n Gram matrix $G = (h(v_i, v_j))$. In particular, B is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis.

2. Viewed as a linear operator on V, $B(U, h|_U) : V \to U$ is the orthogonal projection. In particular,

$$B(U, h|_{U}) < B(V, h).$$

Proof. 1. There is $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(e_1, \ldots, e_n) = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)P$,

$$Id = h((e_1, \dots, e_n)^T, (e_1, \dots, e_n)) = h(P^T(v_1, \dots, v_n)^T, (v_1, \dots, v_n)P) = P^T G \bar{P},$$

then $G = P^{-T}\bar{P}^{-1}$, so $A^T = PP^H$.

$$B(U, h|_{U}) = (e_{1}, \dots, e_{n}) \otimes (e_{1}, \dots, e_{n})^{H}$$

$$= (v_{1}, \dots, v_{n})P \otimes P^{H}(v_{1}, \dots, v_{n})^{H}$$

$$= \sum_{kl} (PP^{H})_{kl}v_{k} \otimes \bar{v}_{l}$$

$$= \sum_{kl} v_{k} \otimes \bar{v}_{l}A_{lk}.$$

2. Take an orthonormal basis e_1, \ldots, e_n of U and $v \in V$. By definition,

$$B(U, h|_U) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes \bar{e}_i.$$

So, $B(U, h|_U)v = \sum_{i=1}^n h(v, e_i)e_i$ is the orthogonal projection of v into U.

2.2 Complex Geometry and Kähler Geometry

Recall that for a hermitian manifold (M^n,h) , in local coordinate $\phi=(z_1,z_2....z_n)$: $U\to\mathbb{C}^n$, its hermitian metric is determined by $g_{i\bar{j}}=h(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i},\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j})$. The matrix $(g^{i\bar{j}})$ is the transpose-inverse of the positive-definite matrix $(g_{i\bar{j}})$. Then $g^{i\bar{j}}=h(dz^i,dz^j)$. Its fundamental form $\omega=i\sum_{jk}g_{j\bar{k}}dz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_k$ and the volume form is $\mu=\frac{\omega^n}{2!}$.

Exercise 4. Locally

$$\mu = i^n \det(g_{i\bar{i}}) dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n = 2^n \det(g_{i\bar{i}}) \phi^* \mu_0.$$

Proof.

$$\omega^{n} = i^{n} \sum_{i_{1},j_{1},\dots,i_{n},j_{n}} g_{i_{1},\bar{j}_{1}} \dots g_{i_{n},\bar{j}_{n}} dz_{i_{1}} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{1}} \dots \wedge dz_{i_{n}} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{n}}$$

$$= i^{n} \sum_{i_{*},j_{*} \in S_{n}} \epsilon(i_{*},j_{*}) g_{i_{1},\bar{j}_{1}} \dots g_{i_{n},\bar{j}_{n}} dz_{1} \wedge d\bar{z}_{1} \dots \wedge dz_{n} \wedge d\bar{z}_{n}$$

$$= n! i^{n} \sum_{j_{*} \in S_{n}} g_{1,\bar{j}_{1}} \dots g_{n,\bar{j}_{n}} dz_{1} \wedge d\bar{z}_{1} \dots \wedge dz_{n} \wedge d\bar{z}_{n}$$

$$= i^{n} n! (\det g_{i\bar{i}}) dz_{1} \wedge d\bar{z}_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{n} \wedge d\bar{z}_{n}.$$

We get the first equation and the second follows since $dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j = -2idx_j \wedge dy_j$.

Definition 3 (Ricci form). Let (M, J) be an hermitian manifold whose Ricci tensor is noted by Ric, then $\rho(X, Y) = Ric(JX, Y)$ defines a real 2-form ρ .

Definition 4 (positive form). A smooth real (1,1) form α on a complex manifold (M,J) is called positive if the symmetric bilinear form $(X,Y) \to \alpha(X,JY)$ for real tangent vectors X,Y is positive definite. A cohomology class in $H^2_{dR}(M;\mathbb{R})$ is positive if it can be represented by a closed positive (1,1) form.

Some central objects on which we will concentrate are the following.

Definition 5 (positive line bundle). A holomorphic line bundle $L \to M$ is called positive if its first Chern class $c_1(L)$ is positive.

Definition 6 (Kähler manifold). On a complex manifold (M,J), a real (1,1) form ω is called Kähler if ω is closed positive and non-degenerate. In that case, $g(X,Y) = \omega(X,JY)$ defines a Riemann metric on M and ω itself is a symplectic form on M.

To fix notation, we briefly discuss the following example.

Example 1 (complex projective space). Set theoretically \mathbb{CP}^n is the space of all complex line subspaces of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Using homogeneous coordinates $[Z_0:\cdots:Z_n]$, the standard atlas is $\{U_0,\ldots,U_n\}$, where $U_i=\{Z_i\neq 0\}\subset\mathbb{CP}^n$. On U_0 the standard local holomorphic coordinate is $z_i=\frac{Z_i}{Z_0}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$, i.e. a biholomorphism $\phi_0:U_0\to\mathbb{C}^n$ by $[Z_0,\ldots,Z_n]\mapsto (\frac{Z_1}{Z_0},\ldots,\frac{Z_n}{Z_0})$.

Example 2 (tautological line bundle). Let O(-1) be a line bundle on \mathbb{CP}^n whose transition function from U_i to U_j is $\frac{Z_j}{Z_i}$. Concretely $O(-1) = \{([l], w) \in \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : w \in l\}$ viewing \mathbb{CP}^n as the set of lines in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . This line bundle O(-1) is called the tautological line bundle of \mathbb{CP}^n . With this point of view, the standard metric on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} induces a metric h^{-1} on O(-1). We call $\sigma_0^{-1}: U_0 \to O(-1)$ by $[Z_0: \cdots: Z_n] \mapsto ([Z_0: \cdots: Z_n], (1, \frac{Z_1}{Z_0}, \ldots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_0}))$ the standard frame of O(-1) over U_0 . The local expression of h^{-1} on U_0 is $h^{-1}(\sigma_0^{-1}, \sigma_0^{-1}) = 1 + |z|^2$.

Let O(1) be the dual of O(-1) and more generally set $O(k) = O(1)^{\otimes k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then h^k is a hermitian metric on O(k). Then $(O(k), h^k)$ is positive if and only if k > 0 by Example 4. We similarly define the standard frame $\sigma_i^k \in H^0(U_i, O(k))$ of O(k) on U_i .

Define a map $\Sigma : \mathbb{C}[Z_0, \dots, Z_n]_k \to H^0(\mathbb{CP}^n, O(k))$ by $P \mapsto s_P$, where

$$s_P|_{U_i} = \frac{P(Z_0, \dots, Z_n)}{Z_i^k} \sigma_i^k,$$

then Σ is a linear isomorphism. In particular, $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^n, O(k))$ has a basis

$$\{s_I : |I| = k, I \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}\}, \quad s_I = \Sigma(Z^I).$$

As a result, dim $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^n, O(k)) = \binom{k+n}{n}$.

Definition 7 (ample and very ample). On a compact complex manifold M, a line bundle L is called:

- 1. very ample if there is a projective embedding $j: M \to \mathbb{CP}^n$ such that L is isomorphic to $j^*O(1)$;
- 2. ample if there is $m \ge 1$ such that L^m is very ample.

Recall the following famous result.

Theorem 1 (Kodaira). On a compact complex manifold M, a line bundle is ample if and only if it is positive. If M admits such a line bundle, then M is projective.

Definition 8 (canonical line bundle). For a complex manifold M^n , we denote $K_M := \det(T_h^*M) = \Lambda^{(n,0)}T^*M$. On a holomorphic chart (U, z_1, \ldots, z_n) , it has a natural frame $dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$.

Example 3. The canonical bundle of \mathbb{CP}^n is O(-n-1).

Proof. Let (U_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n) , (U_1, w_1, \ldots, w_n) be the standard charts of \mathbb{CP}^n , where $w_1 = \frac{Z_0}{Z_1}$ and $w_l = \frac{Z_l}{Z_1}$ for l > 1. Now $\sigma_0 = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$ (resp. $\sigma_1 = dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_n$) is a local frame of the canonical bundle on U_0 (resp. on U_1). On $U_0 \cap U_1$, $z_1 = \frac{1}{w_1}$, $dz_1 = -w_1^{-2}dw_1$ and for l > 1, $z_l = \frac{w_l}{w_1}$.

$$dz_l = \frac{w_1 dw_l - w_l dw_1}{w_1^2}.$$

Then $\sigma_0 = -w_1^{-(n+1)}\sigma_1 = -(\frac{Z_1}{Z_0})^{(n+1)}\sigma_1$. From this transition law, we see that the canonical bundle is O(-n-1).

Definition 9 (Kähler potential). Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold, a local smooth real-valued function K is called a Kähler potential for (M, ω) if $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}K$.

By complex Poincaré lemma, for any Kähler manifold, locally there is a Kähler potential.

Definition 10 (Kähler-Einstein manifold). A Kähler manifold (M, ω) is called Kähler-Einstein if there is a constant λ such that $Ric = \lambda g$ or equivalently the Ricci form $\rho = \lambda \omega$.

If M^n is a Kähler-Einstein manifold: $Ric = \lambda g$, then its scalar curvature

$$scal = g^{i\bar{j}}Ric_{i\bar{j}} = n\lambda \tag{2.1}$$

is constant.

Example 4 (Fubini-Study). On a complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^n , the form $\omega_{FS} := 2\pi c_1(O(1), h)$ is a Kähler form, which is called the Fubini-Study form. It expression on the standard chart (U_0, ϕ_0) is

$$\omega_{FS} = i \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{dz_{j} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j}}{1 + |z|^{2}} - \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^{2})^{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{z}_{k} dz_{k} \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} z_{l} d\bar{z}_{l} \right) \right],$$

where $K = 2\log(1+|z|^2)$ is a Kähler potential. Its local expression is

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\delta_{ij}(1+|z|^2) - \bar{z}_i z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^2}$$

or rather

$$(g_{i\bar{j}}) = \frac{1}{1+|z|^2} (Id_n - \frac{1}{1+|z|^2} \bar{z}z^T),$$

from which we derive that $\det(g_{i\bar{j}}) = (1+|z|^2)^{-n-1}$ and its transpose-inverse $(g^{i\bar{j}}) = (1+|z|^2)(Id_n+zz^H)$, so $g^{i\bar{j}} = (1+|z|^2)(\delta_{ij}+z_i\bar{z}_j)$.

$$Ric_{i\bar{j}} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \log \det(g_{k\bar{l}}) = (n+1)g_{i\bar{j}},$$

i.e., $(\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{FS})$ is Kähler-Einstein. The scalar curvature is n(n+1). By Exercise 4, its volume form

$$\mu = \frac{2^n \mu_0}{(1+|z|^2)^{n+1}},\tag{2.2}$$

where μ_0 is the pullback of Lebesgue measure along φ_0 . The volume of $(\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{FS})$ is

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{2^n \mu_0}{(1+|z|^2)^{n+1}} = 2^n \operatorname{Area}(S^{2n-1}) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{2n-1}}{(1+r^2)^{n+1}} dr = \frac{(2\pi)^n}{n!}.$$

For a hermitian manifold M and $f \in C^2(M)$, we define the Laplacian by

$$\Delta f = 2\sum_{i,j} g^{i\bar{j}} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}.$$
 (2.3)

For a hermitian manifold M, (cf.[27, Section 1.2]) its Chern curvature (corresponding to Riemann curvature if M is Kähler) components are

$$\begin{split} R^{M}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} &:= g(R^{M}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}) \\ &= g_{k\bar{m}} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\bar{m}}_{\bar{j}\bar{l}}}{\partial z_{i}} \\ &= -\frac{\partial^{2} g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_{k} \partial \bar{z}_{l}} + g^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{q}}}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial g_{p\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}. \end{split} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof.
$$R(\partial_i, \partial_{\bar{j}})\partial_{\bar{l}} = \nabla_i \nabla_{\bar{j}} \partial_{\bar{l}} = \nabla_i (\overline{\Gamma_{jl}^m} \partial_{\bar{m}}) = \frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma_{jl}^m}}{\partial z_i} \partial_{\bar{m}}, \text{ so } R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = g(\frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma_{jl}^m}}{\partial z_i} \partial_{\bar{m}}, \partial_k) = g_{k\bar{m}} \frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma_{jl}^m}}{\partial z_i}.$$

They enjoy symmetries below (cf. [25, Exercise 1.21]):

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = R_{k\bar{j}i\bar{l}} = R_{i\bar{l}k\bar{j}}. (2.5)$$

Define $R_{\bar{k}q,\bar{j}}^{\bar{m}}$ by $R(\partial_{\bar{k}},\partial_q)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \nabla_{\bar{k}}\nabla_q\partial_{\bar{j}} - \nabla_q\nabla_{\bar{k}}\partial_{\bar{j}} = R_{\bar{k}q,\bar{j}}^{\bar{m}}\partial_{\bar{m}}$, we find that

$$R_{\bar{k}q,\bar{j}}^{\bar{l}} = -\frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma_{kj}^{l}}}{\partial z_{q}}.$$

The Ricci curvature components are

$$Ric_{k\bar{l}}^{M} = g^{i\bar{j}}R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}^{M} = -\frac{\partial^{2}\log\det(g_{i\bar{j}})}{\partial z_{k}\partial\bar{z}_{l}} = -\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ik}^{k}}{\partial\bar{z}_{i}}.$$

The scalar curvature is

$$\operatorname{scal} = g^{i\bar{j}}Ric^{M}_{i\bar{j}} \tag{2.6}$$

. If M is Kähler, then We can define Christoffel symbols by

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma^i_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i},$$

the symmetry $\Gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{kj}$. By [25, Lemma 1.19]

$$\Gamma^{i}_{jk} = g^{i\bar{l}} \frac{\partial g_{k\bar{l}}}{\partial z_{j}}, \quad \Gamma^{\bar{i}}_{\bar{j}\bar{k}} = \overline{\Gamma^{i}_{jk}} = g^{\bar{i}l} \frac{\partial g_{\bar{k}l}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}$$

and other Christoffel symbols of mixed type vanish. By [25, Example 1.17],

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}} = -\sum_j \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{k}} d\bar{z}^j. \tag{2.7}$$

Definition 11. For a complex manifold M, the first Chern class of M is defined to be $c_1(M) := c_1(K_M^*)$. Given moreover a hermitian form ω , its first Chern form $c_1(M,\omega) := c_1(K_M^*,h)$, where h is the induced metric on K_M^* .

Theorem 2 (Chern). Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold, then its Ricci form $\rho = 2\pi c_1(M, \omega)$. In local expression

$$\rho = -i\partial\bar{\partial}\log\det(g_{i\bar{j}}) = iRic_{k\bar{l}}dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l.$$

In particular, the class $[\rho]$ is independent of the choice of Kähler form ω .

Proof. Take a holomorphic chart (U, z_1, \ldots, z_n) of M, then K_M^* has a holomorphic frame $\sigma = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$. Then $|\sigma|_h^2 = \det(g_{i\bar{j}})$. Therefore,

$$\rho = i\bar{\partial}\partial \log \det(g_{i\bar{j}}) = 2\pi c_1(M,\omega).$$

Theorem 3 (K-coordinate). [19, Proposition 2.2] Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold with ω real analytic, then given $x \in M$, there is a unique (up to affine transformation) local holomorphic coordinate $(U; z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ centered in x with a Kähler potential 2φ such that in the Taylor expansion of φ , all the (0,l),(1,l),(l,1),(l,0)terms $(l \in \mathbb{N})$ vanish except for (1,1) term which equals to $|z|^2$. If ω is only smooth, then for any $A \in \mathbb{N}^+$, the previous statement still holds with $0 \le l \le A$.

Remark 1. Tian used this theorem with A = 4 in [26, (1.3)].

Exercise 5. [25, Section 7.2, p.132] In that case,

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi_4(z) + O(|z|^5)$$

where

$$\varphi_4 = |z|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijkl} R^M_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) z_i \bar{z}_j z_k \bar{z}_l.$$

Moreover,

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}}) = 1 - \sum_{k,l} Ric_{k\bar{l}} z_k \bar{z}_l + O(|z|^3).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3, we may find a chart such that (1,1)-term of φ is $|z|^2$ and all the other (0, l), (1, l), (l, 1), (l, 0) terms vanish for $0 \le l \le 3$. In particular,

$$\varphi = |z|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijkl} c_{ijkl} z_i \bar{z}_j z_k \bar{z}_l + O(|z|^5)$$

for certain constants c_{ijkl} satisfying symmetries $c_{ijkl} = c_{kjil} = c_{ilkj}$. Then

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} = \delta_{ij} - \sum_{k,l} c_{ijkl} z_k \bar{z}_l + O(|z|^3); \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j \partial z_k}(p) = 0; \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j \partial \bar{z}_l}(p) = 0; \tag{2.10}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{3} \varphi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{l}}(p) = 0;$$

$$\frac{\partial^{4} \varphi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j} \partial z_{k} \partial \bar{z}_{l}} = -c_{ijkl} + O(|z|).$$
(2.10)

Thus by (2.4) we find $c_{ijkl} = R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}^M(p)$. We calculate

$$\begin{split} &\det(g_{i\bar{j}}) = \sum_{J=(j_1,\ldots,j_n)\in S_n} \epsilon(J)g_{1\bar{j}_1}\ldots g_{n\bar{j}_n} \\ &= \sum_{J} \epsilon(J)(\delta_{1j_1} - \sum_{k_1,l_1} R_{1\bar{j}_1k_1\bar{l}_1}(p)z_{k_1}\bar{z}_{l_1} + O(|z|^3))\ldots (\delta_{nj_n} - \sum_{k_n,l_n} R_{n,\bar{j}_n,k_n,\bar{l}_n}(p)z_{k_n}\bar{z}_{l_n} + O(|z|^3)) \\ &= \sum_{J} \epsilon(J)(\delta_{1j_1}\ldots\delta_{n,j_n} - \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k_m,l_m} R_{m,\bar{j}_m,k_m,\bar{l}_m}z_{k_m}\bar{z}_{l_m}\delta_{1j_1}\ldots\widehat{\delta_{m,j_m}}\ldots\delta_{n,j_n} + O(|z|^3)) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k_m,l_m} R_{m,\bar{m},k_m,\bar{l}_m}z_{k_m}\bar{z}_{l_m} + O(|z|^3) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k,l} Ric_{k,\bar{l}}(p)z_k\bar{z}_l + O(|z|^3). \end{split}$$

To avoid confusion, we recall some definitions.

Proposition 2 (Chern connection). For a holomorphic vector bundle $E \to M$ with hermitian metric h^E on a complex manifold M, there is a unique connection ∇ on E which is

1. hermitian: for any $s, t \in C^{\infty}(M, E)$,

$$d(s,t)_{hE} = (\nabla s,t)_{hE} + (s,\nabla t)_{hE}.$$

In local expression

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}(s,t)_{h^E} = (\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}} s,t)_{h^E} + (s,\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_k}} t)_{h^E}.$$

2. compatible with the holomorphic structure: for any smooth field $U \in T^{0,1}M$, $s \in C^{\infty}(M, E)$, we have

$$\nabla_U s = \bar{\partial}^E s(U).$$

Definition 12 (Chern curvature). The curvature form $R^E = \Theta(E, h^E)$ of the Chern curvature given by Proposition 2 is called the Chern curvature of (E, h^E) . Define the first Chern curvature form by $c_1(E, h^E) = \frac{i \text{Tr}(R^E)}{2\pi} = c_1(\det(E), h^{\det(E)})$ and the first Chern class $c_1(E) = [c_1(E, h^E)] \in H^2_{dR}(M; \mathbb{R})$.

In local expression,

$$R^E_{\alpha,\bar{\beta},i,\bar{j}} = -\frac{\partial^2 h_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}}}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} + h^{\lambda,\bar{\mu}} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,\bar{\mu}}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial h_{\lambda,\bar{\beta}}}{\partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

Note that when $E = T_h M$, we recover last line of (2.4). Consider the particular case of a hermitian line bundle (L, h). For a local frame $\sigma \in H^0(U, L)$,

 $\bar{\partial}\partial(\log|\sigma|_h^2)$ is a (1,1)-form on U independent of the choice of s and over various U they glue to a global (1,1)-form, which is exactly the Chern curvature of (L,h).

Exercise 6. If in addition (M, ω) is a hermitian manifold, we recall the Lefschetz operator L on $\Lambda^{*,*}(T^*M) \otimes E$ by $(\omega \wedge) \otimes Id$ and its adjoint Λ with respect to the hermitian metric therein induced by ω and h^E . Then

$$(i\Lambda R^E)_{\alpha,\beta} = g^{i\bar{j}} R^E_{\alpha,\beta,i,\bar{j}}.$$

In particular, when $E = T_h M$ and h^E is the hermitian metric of M, then $Tr(i\Lambda R^E) = scal$.

Proof. Take $\{w_j\}$ a smooth orthonormal frame of T_hM . Expand it in a local holomorphic chart (z_1, \ldots, z_n) :

$$(w_1,\ldots,w_n)=(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1},\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n})P,$$

then $Id = P^T G \bar{P}$, where $G = (g_{i\bar{j}})$. Thus $G^{-1} = \bar{P} P^T$, so $g^{k\bar{l}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{P}_{lj} P_{kj}$. By [15, (1.4.32)],

$$i\Lambda R^{E} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} R^{E}(w_{j}, \bar{w}_{j}) = \sum_{j,k,l} R^{E}(P_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}, \bar{P}_{lj} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}})$$
$$= \sum_{k,l} R_{k\bar{l}}^{E}(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{P}_{lj} P_{kj}) = \sum_{k,l} R_{k\bar{l}}^{E} g^{k\bar{l}}.$$

We proved the first and the second follows from (2.6).

Proposition 3 (normal coordinate frame). [5, Ch.V, Proposition 12.10] Let M be a complex manifold and (E,h) a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle of rank r on M. For any local holomorphic coordinates (z_1, z_2, z_n) centered in $p \in M$, there exists a holomorphic frame $v_1, ... v_r$ of E in a neighborhood of p such that

$$R^{E}(p) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,l} R^{E}_{\alpha,\beta,j,\bar{l}}(p) v_{\alpha} \otimes \bar{v}_{\beta} \otimes (dz_{j} \wedge d\bar{z}_{l})(p), \tag{2.12}$$

$$h(v_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} - \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} R_{\alpha, \beta, j, \bar{l}}^{E}(p) z_{j} \bar{z}_{l} + O(|z|^{3}).$$

$$(2.13)$$

Let H^E be the Gram matrix $(h(v_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}))$. Let

$$H_2^E(z) = (\delta_{\alpha,\beta} - \sum_{i,l=1}^n R_{\alpha,\beta,j,\bar{l}}^E(p)z_j\bar{z}_l),$$
 (2.14)

then we can rewrite (2.13) as $H^E = H_2^E + O(|z|^3)$.

Let M be a hermitian manifold, then there is an induced hermitian metric on the space of global smooth (p,q) forms $\Omega^{p,q}(M)$. Let (E,h) be a holomorphic hermitian vector bundle on M.

Definition 13 (anti-holomorphic Kodaira-Laplacian). [15, Definition 1.4.9] Let $\bar{\partial}^{E,*}$ be the formal adjoint of the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}^{E}$. Define an operator on the space of smooth forms $\Omega^{*,*}(M,E)$ by

$$\Box^E = [\bar{\partial}^E, \bar{\partial}^{E,*}].$$

Theorem 4 (Hodge decomposition). [15, Thm 1.4.1] If M is in addition compact, then we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$C^{\infty}(M,E) = H^{0}(M,E) \oplus Im(\Box^{E}|_{C^{\infty}(M,E)}).$$

Moreover, $H^0(M, E)$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space.

The following inequality relies on Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano identity.

Theorem 5 (Nakano's inequality). [15, Theorem 1.4.14] If in addition M is Kähler, take $s \in \Omega_c^{(p,q)}(M,E)$ an arbitrary compactly supported smooth E-valued (p,q)-form, then

$$\langle \Box^E s, s \rangle \ge \langle [iR^E, \Lambda] s, s \rangle,$$

where the global scalar product is defined in (1.3.14) loc.cit.

2.3 Stationary phase method

Theorem 6 (Hörmander). [18, Theorem 13.3.2][10, Theorem 7.7.5] Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and φ , A be smooth functions on U such that $\text{Re}(\varphi) \geq 0$ on U. Furthermore, suppose that on supp(A), φ has a unique stationary point at 0 and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Suppose the Hessian H of φ at 0 is nonsingular (i.e., 0 is a non-degenerate critical point of φ .) Define a second order differential operator

$$D = \sum_{p,q=1}^{d} (H^{-1})_{p,q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p \partial x_q}$$

and $\varphi = \varphi(x) - (x, Hx)/2$. Set

$$L_j(A\varphi) = (-1)^j \sum_{0 < l < 2j} \frac{D^{l+j}(A\varphi^l)(0)}{2^{l+j}l!(l+j)!},$$

then for each $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let $N = M + \lceil d/2 \rceil$, for $k \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$|\int_{U}A(x)e^{-k\varphi(x)}\mu_{0}-[\det(\frac{kH}{2\pi})]^{-1/2}\sum_{j< M}k^{-j}L_{j}(A\varphi)|\leq C(\varphi)k^{-M}\sum_{|I|\leq 2N, I\in\mathbb{N}^{d}}\sup|\partial_{x}^{I}A|.$$

Here the constant $C(\varphi)$ is bounded when φ stays in a bounded set in C^{3N+1} .

The following result play the same role in the proof of main theorem, but with integral domain shrinking with the growth of k.

Lemma 1. [14, Lemma 4.1] Let A be a symmetric function on $[n]^p \times [n]^p$. Then

$$\sum_{I,J\in[n]^p} \int_{|z| \le \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}} A_{I,J} z^I \bar{z}^J |z|^{2q} e^{-k|z|^2} \mu_0 = \pi^n \left(\sum_{I\in[n]^p} A_{I,I}\right) \frac{p!(n+p+q-1)!}{(p+n-1)!k^{n+p+q}} + O(e^{-\log^2 k}).$$

We collect some consequences of this lemma.

$$\int_{B(0,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} e^{-k|z|^2} \mu_0 = \frac{\pi^n}{k^n} + O(e^{-(\log k)^2})$$
 (2.15)

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_{B(0,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} Ric_{i,\bar{j}}^{M}(p) z_i \bar{z}_j e^{-k|z|^2} \mu_0 = \left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)^n \operatorname{scal}(p) + k^n + O(e^{-(\log k)^2}) \quad (2.16)$$

$$\int_{B(0,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} e^{-k|z|^2} |z|^4 O(k) \left(\sum_{j,l} R_{\alpha,\beta,j,\bar{l}}^E \right) z_j \bar{z}_l \det_2 \mu_0 = O(k^{-n-2})$$
 (2.17)

$$\int_{B(p,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{L}})} e^{-k|z|^2} \sum_{l} R^{E}_{\alpha,\beta,j,\bar{l}} z_j \bar{z}_l \mu_0 = (i\Lambda R^E)_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\pi^n}{k^{n+1}} + O(e^{-(\log k)^2}) \quad (2.18)$$

$$\int_{B(0,\frac{\log k}{\sigma_{-}})} e^{-k\varphi_{4}} (|z|^{5} O(k) + O(|z|^{3})) \mu_{0} = O(k^{-n-3/2})$$
 (2.19)

$$\sum_{i,\bar{j}} R_{\alpha,\beta,i,\bar{j}}^E \int_{B(p,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} e^{-k|z|^2} z_i \bar{z}_j (O(|z|^3) + |z|^4 O(k)) \mu_0 = O(k^{-n-2}), \quad (2.20)$$

where for (2.18) we use Exercise 6.

Chapter 3

Bergman kernel

Let (M^n, g) be a compact hermitian manifold whose volume form is denoted by μ , and $P \to M$ be a holomorphic vector bundle with hermitian metric $h = h^P$. Endow $C^{\infty}M, P$) a hermitian inner product by

$$\langle s, t \rangle = \int_M (s, t) \mu.$$

Define $||s||_h^2 = \langle s, s \rangle$. Let $L^2(M, P)$ be the completion of $(C^{\infty}M, P), \langle , \rangle$. By Theorem 4, $H^0(M, P)$ is finite dimensional. Choose an orthonormal basis $(e_1, ..., e_N)$ of $H^0(M, P)$.

Definition 14 (Bergman kernel). For $p, q \in M$ the Bergman kernel of P is

$$\Pi(p,q) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i(p) \otimes \bar{e}_i(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_q(\cdot, e_i(q)) e_i(p) : P_q \to P_p.$$

Write

$$B(p) = \Pi(p, p) \in \text{End}(P_p),$$

where we use the identification given by Exercise 1.

In this mémoire, we are interested only in diagonal values. By Exercise 1, $B(p) \in \operatorname{Herm}^+(P_p, h_p)$. Note that $B \in C^{\infty}(M, \operatorname{End}(P))$. For λ a positive constant, if we use the metric λg instead g on M^n (i.e., a constant scaling), the new volume form is $\lambda^n \mu$, the new scalar curvature is $\frac{\operatorname{scal}}{\lambda}$ and new Bergman kernel is $\lambda^{-n}B$.

Exercise 7. Use another hermitian metric h' conformal to h, i.e., there is a real function f on M such that $h'(v,v) = e^{2f}h(v,v)$ for any smooth section v of P. Let B' be the Bergman kernel of P with respect to h'. If h', h are of the same Chern curvature form, then f is a constant and the corresponding Bergman kernels B and B' coincide. (Note that if P is a line bundle, the conformal condition is automatic.)

Proof. Recall that $R(P, h') = \bar{\partial}(h'^{-1} \cdot \partial h') = 2(\bar{\partial}\partial f)Id + R(P, h)$, so $\bar{\partial}\partial f \equiv 0$. By (2.3), $\Delta f = 0$. The manifold M being compact, by Stoke's formula,

$$\int_{M} (f\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2)\mu = 0.$$

We see that f is a constant. In particular, $\{e^{-f}e_i|i=1,\ldots,N\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^0(M,P)$ with respect to h'. For any $p \in M$,

$$B'(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h'_p(\cdot, e^{-f}e_i(p))e^{-f}e_i(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_p(\cdot, e_i(p))e_i(p) = B(p).$$

Remark 2. If s_1, \ldots, s_N is a basis of $H^0(M, P)$, where $s_{q+1}(p) = \cdots = s_N(p) = 0$, then by Exercise 3,

$$B(p) = \sum_{k l=1}^{q} s_k(p) \otimes \bar{s}_l(p) A_{lk},$$

where A is the inverse of the size N Gram matrix $(\langle s_i, s_j \rangle)$. This observation serves as a motivation of the peak section method firstly raised by Tian in [26]. In particular, if furthermore $s_1(p), \ldots, s_q(p)$ form an orthonormal basis of P_p , then $B(p)_{kl} = A_{lk}$. This approach is taken in [11, §3].

Example 5. If $p \in M$ is a base point of P, i.e., all global sections of P vanish at p, then clearly B(p) = 0.

Proposition 4. dim $H^0(M, P) = \int_M \text{Tr}(B(p))\mu$.

Proof. By Exercise 1, $\text{Tr}(B(p)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |e_i|^2(p)$. Taking integral

$$\int_{M} \text{Tr}(B(p))\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||e_{i}||^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1 = \dim H^{0}(M, P).$$

As an analogue of Exercise 3 (2), we have an interpretation of Bergman kernel.

Exercise 8. The finite dimensional $H^0(M, P)$ being a closed subspace of $L^2(M, P)$, there exists an orthogonal projection (so called Bergman projection)

$$\pi: L^2(M,P) \to H^0(M,P)$$

Then Bergman kernel Π is the reproducing kernel function of π , i.e., for any $s \in L^2(M, P)$,

$$(\pi s)(p) = \int_{M} \Pi(p, q) s(q) \mu(q).$$

Proof. We calculate

$$\int_{M} \Pi(p,q)s(q)\mu(q) = \int_{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (s,e_{i})(q)e_{i}(p)\mu(q)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}(p)\langle s,e_{i}\rangle = (\pi s)(p).$$

We begin with a well-known generalization of [3, Lemma 3.1], which is an extremal characterization of Bergman kernel.

Lemma 2.

$$B(p) = \max_{s \in H^0(M,P) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{s(p) \otimes \bar{s}(p)}{\|s\|_h^2}$$

using the natural partial order of $\operatorname{Herm}^+(P_p, h_p)$.

Proof. Take $u \in P_p$,

$$(B(p)u, u) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u, e_i(p))(e_i(p), u) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |(u, e_i(p))|^2.$$

For $s \in H^0(M, P) \setminus 0$, let $s = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i s_i$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{0\}$, then $||s||^2 = |\lambda|^2$.

$$(\frac{s(p) \otimes \bar{s}(p)}{\|s\|_h^2}(u), u) = \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2} ((\sum_i \lambda_i s_i(p)) \otimes (\sum_j \overline{\lambda_j s_j(p)}) u, u)$$
$$= \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2} (\sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j(u, s_j(p)) (s_i(p), u)$$
$$= \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2} (\lambda^T A \bar{\lambda}),$$

where

$$A = ww^H$$
 $w = ((s_1(p), u), \dots (s_N(p), u))^t \in \mathbb{C}^N$.

Therefore

$$\left(\max_{s \in H^0(M,P) \setminus 0} \frac{s(p) \otimes \overline{s}(p)}{\|s\|_h^2} u, u\right) = \max_{s \neq 0} \left(\frac{(s(p) \otimes \overline{s(p)}u, u)}{\|s\|^2}\right)
= \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus 0} \frac{\lambda^T A \overline{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^2}
= \rho(A) = \rho(w^H w) = w^H w
= \sum_{i=1...N} |(s_i(p), u)|^2
= (B(p)u, u),$$

where we used Proposition 1.

3.1 Main Theorem

The setting for Section 3.1 is the following: Let M^n be a projective manifold, (L, h^L) (resp. (E, h^E) be a hermitian holomorphic line (resp. vector) bundle with Chern curvature R^L (resp. R^E). Assume that M is polarized by L, i.e., iR^L is the Kähler form of M (so that (L, h^L) is positive). Let r be the rank of E, scal : $M \to \mathbb{R}$ be the scalar curvature. We state the main result of the paper.

Theorem 7 (main theorem). The Bergman kernel B_k of $L^k \otimes E$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$B_k(p) = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n (Id_r + k^{-1} \left[\frac{\text{scal}}{2} Id_r + i\Lambda R^E\right)(p)\right] + O(k^{n-2}).$$

Corollary 1. There is $k_0 \ge 1$ such that for any $k \ge k_0$, $L^k \otimes E$ has no base points.

We recall the following celebrated result.

Theorem 8 (Catlin-Zelditch). [2, Theorem 2][31, Theorem][14, Theorem 1.1] The Bergman kernel admits a complete asymptotic expansion

$$B_k(p) \sim k^n (\sum_{l \ge 0} a_l(p) k^{-l}),$$

where a_l are universal polynomials in the curvature of (M,g), R^E as well as their successive covariant derivatives at p. Each $a_l(p)$ is a self-adjoint matrix with respect to h^E . More precisely, for each $s, l \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant C = C(s, l, M) such that for any $p \in M$,

$$|B_k(p) - \sum_{j=0}^s a_j(p)k^{n-j}|_{C^l} \le Ck^{n-s-1}.$$

The convergence of Bergman metrics is one of the motivations for search of such expansion in the history. As a corollary of this theorem, we recover Tian's result ([26, Theorem A,Theorem B], for C^2 convergence) and Ruan's result ([19] for C^∞ convergence). Say $N_k = \dim H^0(M, L^k) - 1$ and choose an orthonormal basis $\{s_{k,0}, \ldots, s_{k,N_k}\}$ of $H^0(M, L^k)$, then when k is large enough, the Kodaira map $\phi_k : M \to \mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$ by

$$x \mapsto [s_{k,0}(x) : \cdots : s_{k,N_k}(x)]$$

is a holomorphic embedding. We call $\frac{1}{k}\phi_k^*\omega_{FS}$ a Bergman mertic of M with respect to L.

Theorem 9. [25, Corollary 7.5] The set of Bergman metrics is dense in the set of polarized Kähler metrics. In fact,

$$\|\frac{1}{k}\phi_k^*\omega_{FS} - \omega\|_{C^{\infty}} = O(k^{-2}).$$

An informal proof! By assumption,

$$k\omega = ikR^L = iR^{L^k} = i\bar{\partial}\partial \log |s_{k,0}|^2.$$

On the open $\phi_k^{-1}(Z_0 \neq 0) (\subset M)$, $s_{k,0}$ is a frame of L^k . By Example.4,

$$\phi_k^* \omega_{FS} = i \partial \bar{\partial} \log(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |\frac{s_{k,j}}{s_{k,0}}|^2) = i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{B_k}{|s_{k,0}|^2} = i \partial \bar{\partial} \log B_k + k\omega.$$

(This is the content of [25, Lemma 7.3].) By Theorem 7 and Theorem 8,

$$||i\partial\bar{\partial}\log B_k||_{C^{\infty}} = O(\frac{1}{k}),$$

so

$$\|\frac{1}{k}\phi_k^*\omega_{FS} - \omega\|_{C^{\infty}} = \frac{1}{k}\|i\partial\bar{\partial}\log B_k\|_{C^{\infty}} = O(\frac{1}{k^2}).$$

We give a quick application of our main result.

Definition 15 (Euler-Poincaré characteristic). The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of E is

$$\chi(M, E) = \sum_{j>0} (-1)^j \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^j(M, E).$$

Theorem 10 (Serre vanishing theorem). [22, n.66, Théorème 2] There is $k_0 \ge$ 1 such that any $k \geq k_0$, any q > 0, $H^q(M, L^k \otimes E) = 0$.

At present we have adequate tools for the famous result below.

Corollary 2 (Asymptotic Riemann-Roch).

$$\chi(M, L^k \otimes E) = \frac{k^n \operatorname{rk}(E)}{n!} \int_M c_1(L)^n + \frac{k^{n-1} \operatorname{rk}(E)}{2(2\pi)^n} \int_M \operatorname{scal} \mu + \frac{k^{n-1}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_M \operatorname{Tr}(i\Lambda R^E) \mu + O(k^{n-2}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 10, $\chi(M, L^k \otimes E) = \dim H^0(M, L^k \otimes E)$ when k is sufficiently large. Then Theorem 7 and Proposition 4 gives the result.

3.1.1Example

We illustrate Theorem 7 with a concrete example. On $(\mathbb{CP}^n, \omega_{FS}), L = O(1),$

 $h^L = h$ the one given in Example 2. E = O(l) with $h^E = h^l$. By Example 2, on U_0 , $(s_I, s_J) = \frac{z^{I'} \bar{z}^{J'}}{(1+|z|^2)^k}$, where $I' = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ is a truncation of the multi-index $I = (i_0, \ldots, i_n)$. so by (2.2),

$$\langle s_I, s_J \rangle = \int_{U_0} (s_I, s_J) \mu = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{z^{I'} \bar{z}^{J'}}{(1 + |z|^2)^{n+1+k}} 2^n \mu_0.$$

Change variables: $z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}$, then $\mu_0 = r_1 \dots r_n dr_1 \dots dr_n d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n$, By Exercise 9, when I' = J',

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{z^{I'} \bar{z}^{J'}}{(1+|z|^2)^{n+1+k}} 2^n \mu_0 = 2^n (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{r^{2I+\vec{1}}}{(1+|r|^2)^{n+1+k}} dr_1 \dots dr_n$$
$$= (2\pi)^n \frac{\Gamma(1+i_1) \dots \Gamma(1+i_n) \Gamma(1+i_0)}{\Gamma(n+k+1)}.$$

When $I' \neq J'$ we get $\langle s_I, s_J \rangle = 0$.

Fix $p = [1:0:\cdots:0] \in U_0$, consider the special multi-index $I_0 = (k,0,\ldots,0)$, then $s_I(p) = 0$ when $I \neq I_0$.

By Remark 2, the Bergman kernel of $L^k \otimes E = O(k+l)$ is $B_k(p) = |s_{I_0}(p)|^2 A$, where

$$A^{-1} = (2\pi)^n \frac{(k+l)!}{(n+k+l)!}.$$

So, we find the Bergman kernel of $L^k \otimes E$

$$B_k(p) = \frac{(n+k+l)!}{(2\pi)^n(k+l)!} = (2\pi)^{-n}(k+n+l)(k+n+l-1)\dots(k+l+1)$$
$$= (\frac{k}{2\pi})^n(1+k^{-1}(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+nl) + O(k^{-2})).$$

Note that the scalar curvature is constant n(n+1) and $i\Lambda R^E=nl$ in this case.

Exercise 9. For a real number A > 0, a multi-index $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, 1.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{r^I}{(A^2+|r|^2)^b} dr = \frac{A^{n+|I|-2b}}{2^n} \frac{\Gamma(j_1) \dots \Gamma(j_n) \Gamma(b-\sum_k j_k)}{\Gamma(b)},$$

where $j_l = \frac{1+i_l}{2}$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{z^I \bar{z}^J}{(1+|z|^2)^s} \mu_0 = 0.$$

when $I \neq J$.

Proof. 1. Induction on n. When n = 1, is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{r^i}{(A^2 + r^2)^b} dr = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{A \tan(t)^i}{(A^2/\cos(t)^2)^b} A/\cos^2(t) dt = A^{1+i-2b} \frac{1}{2} B(j, b-j)$$

by $r = A \tan(t)$, done.

If true for n-1. Integrating r_n first, we get

$$\frac{\Gamma(j_n)\Gamma(b-j_n)}{2\Gamma(b)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_{+}} \frac{r'^{I'}}{(A^2+|r'|^2)^{b-j_n}} dr',$$

where $r' = (r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})$ and $I' = (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$, then use induction hypothesis to conclude.

2. Mimic the proof of Exercise 10.

Proof of Main Theorem 3.2

Once for all, we choose a local frame σ of L and a chart around $p, \varphi := -2 \log |\sigma|_h$ such that φ is as in Exercise 5 (cf.[26, (2.2)]); a frame $\{v_1,\ldots,v_r\}$ of E as in Proposition 3 and use notations therein. Let $\det_2 = 1 - Ric_{k\bar{l}}(p)z_k\bar{z}_l$ given by Exercise 5. Note that at p,

$$g_{i\bar{i}} = \delta_{ij}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{j} R^{M}_{j\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = Ric_{k\bar{l}}, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$\sum_{i} Ric^{M}_{i\bar{i}} = \text{scal}. \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\sum_{i} Ric_{i\bar{i}}^{M} = \text{scal.}$$
 (3.3)

Use our proof below but with higher order approximation of H^E and φ , we can find the coefficient of k^{n-2} in the expansion of $B_k(p)$ explicitly, cf. [28, Theorem 4.2].

3.2.1 Upper bound

The goal of this section is to derive an upper bound for B_k .

In each term of φ_4, H_2^E , the power of z and of \bar{z} are the same. This simple observation leads to the following vanishing identity, which should be compared to [26, Lemma 2.2].

1. For any multi-index $I(\neq \vec{0}) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, ψ a bounded measurable Exercise 10. function on \mathbb{C}^n . Assume ψ is rotationally invariant, i.e., $\psi(z)$ depending only in |z|. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \psi e^{-k\varphi_4} z^I H_2^E \det_2 \mu_0 = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

2. Let $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open ball centered in 0, and $f \in H(B)$ a holomorphic function with f(0) = 0, then

$$\int_B e^{-k\varphi_4} f(z) H_2^E \det_2 \mu_0 = 0.$$

Proof. 1.Take $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\lambda^{|I|} \neq 1$. Change variable $z = \lambda w$. Note that for the integral domain, $\psi, \varphi_4, H_2^E, \det_2, \mu_0$ are formally invariant under this change. Denote the integral on the left of (3.4) by J. Under the change of variable, $J = \lambda^{|I|} J$, hence J = 0.

2. We apply 1. Take ψ to be the characteristic function of B and use the Taylor expansion of f.

Method 1: Tian

The following generalization of [3, Lemma 3.3] will lead to the upper bound we seek. Note carefully we use this lemma to control the point difference of smooth and holomoprhic peak sections in Section 3.2.2, to avoid applying [3, Theorem 2.1].

Lemma 3. Notation as in Theorem 7. Put $B = B(p, \frac{\ln k}{\sqrt{k}})$. For any $s \in H^0(B, L^k \otimes E)$,

$$s(p) \otimes \bar{s}(p) \le M(k) \int_{B} |s|^{2} \mu,$$

where the matrix

$$M(k) = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n (Id_r + k^{-1} \left(\frac{\text{scal}}{2} Id_r + i\Lambda R^E\right) + O((\log k)^5 k^{-\frac{3}{2}})).$$

(See Exercise 6 for Λ .) Taking trace we get

$$|s|^2(p) \le Ck^n \int_B |s|^2 \mu$$
 (3.5)

All the implicit constants are uniform in $p \in M$ and independent of k.

Proof. Put

$$C(k) = \frac{e^{-C(\log k)^5 k^{-\frac{3}{2}}}}{(1 + C(\log k)^3 k^{-\frac{3}{2}})^2} = 1 + O((\log k)^5 k^{-\frac{3}{2}}),$$

Write $s = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r f_{\alpha}(\sigma^k \otimes v_{\alpha})$, where f_{α} are holomorphic functions on B. Note $\sigma \otimes \bar{\sigma} = |\sigma|^2 = e^{-\varphi}$. Let $\vec{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)^T$.

The left hand side is

$$\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\alpha}) \otimes \overline{(\sum_{\beta} f_{\beta}(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\beta})}(p) = \vec{f}\vec{f}^{H}(p).$$

The point-wise norm

$$|s|^2 = h^{L^k \otimes E}(\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(\sigma^k \otimes v_{\alpha}), \sum_{\beta} f_{\beta}(\sigma^k \otimes v_{\beta})) = e^{-k\varphi} \vec{f}^T H^E \vec{f}.$$

The integral on the right $J(k):=\int_B|s|^2\mu=\int_Be^{-k\varphi}\vec{f}^TH^E\vec{\bar{f}}\mu.$ There is a constant C>0 such that

$$-\varphi_4 \le -\varphi + C|z|^5 \tag{3.6}$$

$$H_2^E \le (1 + C|z|^3)H^E \tag{3.7}$$

$$\frac{2^n \det_2}{1 + C|z|^3} \le \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}. (3.8)$$

Moreover $|z| \leq \frac{\ln k}{\sqrt{k}}$ in the domain of integral. Then (3.6),(3.7),(3.8) result in

$$J(k) \ge 2^n C(k) \int_B e^{-k\varphi_4} \vec{f}^T H_2^E \bar{\vec{f}} \det_2 \mu_0.$$
 (3.9)

Recall (2.14), when k is large enough, $H_2^E(z)$ is positive definite at any $z \in B(p, \frac{\ln k}{\sqrt{k}})$, a fact used in the inequality (3.10). Hence

$$\int_{B} e^{-k\varphi_{4}} \vec{f}^{T} H_{2}^{E} \vec{\bar{f}} \det_{2} \mu_{0}$$

$$= \int_{B} e^{-k\varphi_{4}} \det_{2} [\vec{f}(p)^{T} H_{2}^{E} \vec{\bar{f}}(p) + (\vec{f} - \vec{f}(p))^{T} H_{2} (\vec{\bar{f}} - \vec{\bar{f}}(p))] \mu_{0}$$

$$\geq \vec{f}^{T}(p) \left(\int_{B} e^{-k\varphi_{4}} H_{2}^{E} \det_{2} \mu_{0} \right) \vec{\bar{f}(p)}, \tag{3.10}$$

where the first equation is from Exercise 10.

We now calculate

$$\int_{B} e^{-k\varphi_4} H_{2;\alpha,\beta}^{E} \det_{2} \mu_0 = S(k) \delta_{\alpha,\beta} - T_{\alpha,\beta}(k),$$

where $S(k) := \int_B e^{-k\varphi_4} \det_2 \mu_0$ and $T_{\alpha,\beta}(k) = \int_B e^{-k\varphi_4} \sum_{i,j} R^E_{\alpha,\bar{\beta},i,\bar{j}}(p) z_i \bar{z}_j \det_2 \mu_0$. By [3, (5)]

$$S(k) = \left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{scal(p)}{2k} + O(k^{-2})\right).$$

(Note his $\mu_{\text{Leb}} = 2^n \mu_0$.)

Since

$$e^{-k\varphi_4}\det_2 = e^{-k|z|^2} (1+|z|^4 O(k))(1+O(|z|^2)) = e^{-k|z|^2} (1+|z|^4 O(k)),$$

$$e^{-k|z|^2} (1+|z|^4 O(k)) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-k|z|^2} (1+|z|^4 O(k)) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-k|z|^2} e^{-k|z|^2} (1+|z|^4 O(k)) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-k|z|^2} e^{-k$$

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}(k) = \int_{B} e^{-k|z|^{2}} (1+|z|^{4}O(k)) \sum_{i,j} R_{\alpha,\bar{\beta},i,\bar{j}}^{E} z_{i}\bar{z}_{j} \mu_{0} = (i\Lambda R^{E})_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\pi^{n}}{k^{n+1}} + O(k^{-n-2})$$

by (2.17) and (2.18).

Therefore

$$A := 2^{n}C(k) \int_{B} e^{-k\varphi_{4}} H_{2}^{E} \det_{2} \mu_{0}$$

$$= C(k) \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)^{n} \left(Id_{r} - k^{-1} \left(i\Lambda R^{E} + \frac{scal(p)}{2}Id_{r}\right) + O(k^{-2})\right) = M(k)^{-1}.$$
(3.11)

We conclude as follows.

$$M(k) \int_{B} |s|^{2} \mu = M(k)J(k)$$

$$\geq M(k)2^{n}C(k) \int_{B} e^{-k\varphi_{4}} \vec{f}^{T} H_{2} \vec{\bar{f}} \det_{2} \mu_{0}$$

$$\geq M(k)2^{n}C(k)\vec{f}^{T}(p) \left(\int_{B} e^{-k\varphi_{4}} H_{2}^{E} \det_{2} \mu_{0}\right) \vec{\bar{f}}(p)$$

$$= A^{-1}v^{T} A \bar{v}$$

$$\geq vv^{H} = s(p) \otimes \bar{s}(p),$$

$$(3.12)$$

where the inequalities are from (3.9), (3.10) and Exercise 2.

Method 2: L.Charles

We can prove a weaker version of Lemma 3 using Theorem 6.

Lemma 4. Notation as in Theorem 7. Fix an open neighborhood U of $p \in M$. There for any $s \in H^0(U, L^k)$,

$$s(p) \otimes \bar{s}(p) \le M(k) \int_{U} |s|^2 \mu,$$

Proof. We may shrink U to find a holomorphic chart (z_1, \ldots, z_n) over U. There is a > 0 such that the ball $B(p,a) \subset U$. Take a smooth cutoff function $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ supported in (-a,a) with $\eta \equiv 1$ near 0. Define $\psi : M \to [0,1]$ by $\psi(z) = \eta(|z|)$ for $z \in U$ and $\psi(q) = 0$ for $q \notin U$. Then ψ is smooth. Put

$$J(k) := \int_{M} \psi |s|^{2} \mu = \int_{U} \psi e^{-k\varphi} \vec{f} H^{E} \vec{f} \mu.$$

Note that $J(k) \leq \int_{U} |s|^{2} \mu$. As in (3.9),(3.10), we get

$$J(k) \ge 2^n C(k) \vec{f}^T(p) \left(\int_{U} \psi e^{-k\varphi_4} H_2^E \det_2 \mu_0 \right) \overline{\vec{f}(p)}.$$

We calculate with the help of Theorem 6

$$\int_{U} \psi e^{-k\varphi_4} H_2^E \det_2 \mu_0 = \left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)^n (Id_r - k^{-1}(\frac{scal}{2}Id_r + i\Lambda R^E) + O(k^{-2})).$$

The rest part is similar to that of Lemma 3, which we omit.

Corollary 3 (upper bound).

$$B_k(p) \le \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n (Id_r + k^{-1} \left[\frac{\text{scal}}{2} Id_r + i\Lambda R^E\right](p) + O(k^{-3/2} \log^5 k))$$

Proof. Combine Lemma 2 and 4.

3.2.2 Lower bound and peak sections

We derive a Kodaira-Hörmander type estimate, which is essential to the construction of peak sections.

Theorem 11. Let \Box_k be the Kodaira-Laplacian (see Definition 13) on $\Omega^{*,*}(M, L^k \otimes E)$, then there is C > 0 such that any $k \geq 0$, $0 \leq q \leq n$,

1.
$$\Box_k \geq (kq - C)Id$$
 on $\Omega^{n,q}(M, L^k \otimes E)$;

2.
$$\Box_k > (kq - C)Id$$
 on $\Omega^{0,q}(M, L^k \otimes E)$,

where we use L^2 inner products.

Proof. 1. Since M is compact, there is $C = C(M, \omega, E, h^E) > 0$ such that $[iR^E, \Lambda] \geq -CId$. As $iR^L = \omega$, $[iR^L, \Lambda] = q$ on $\Omega^{n,q}(M, L_k \otimes E)$. Because $R^{L^k \otimes E} = kR^L + R^E$, we have

$$\Box_{k} \geq [iR^{L^{k} \otimes E}, \Lambda]$$

$$= k[iR^{L}, \Lambda] + [iR^{E}, \Lambda]$$

$$\geq (kq - C)Id_{r}, \qquad (3.13)$$

where the first line is from Theorem 5. 2. Note that $\Omega^{n,q}(M,L^k\otimes E\otimes K_M^{-1})=\Omega^{0,q}(M,L^k\otimes E)$ and the action of $\Box^{L^k \otimes E \otimes K_M^{-1}}$ on the left is compatible with the action of \Box_k on the right. Then 2 follow from the 1 using this identification.

The following theorem is a generalization of [3, Theorem 2.2]. It will play the role of classical $\bar{\partial}$ -estimates.

Theorem 12. There is $C = C(M, \omega, E, h^E) > 0$ such that for any $s \in C^{\infty}(M, L^k \otimes L^k)$ E) orthogonal to $H^0(M, L^k \otimes E)$, we have

$$(k-C)\|s\|^2 \le \|\bar{\partial}s\|^2.$$

Proof. Let C be given by Theorem 11. We may assume k > C. When $1 \le q \le n$, always on $\Omega^{0,q}(M,L^k\otimes E)$, $\square_k\geq (kq-C)Id$ is positive-definite. By a variant of [25, Theorem 2.13], \square_k is invertible.

When q=1, this fact gives $t \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, L^k \otimes E)$ such that $\Box_k t = \bar{\partial} s$. As

$$\Box_k \bar{\partial}t = \bar{\partial}\Box_k t = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}s = 0,$$

the fact with q=2 implies $\bar{\partial}t=0$. Therefore,

$$\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*t = \Box_k t = \bar{\partial}s,$$

or equivalently $s - \bar{\partial}^* t \in H^0(M, L^k \otimes E)$ is holomorphic. In particular, $(s, s - \bar{\partial}^* t)$ $\bar{\partial}^* t \rangle = 0$ which gives (*) below.

$$||s||^2 = \langle s, s \rangle \stackrel{(*)}{=} \langle s, \bar{\partial}^* t \rangle = \langle \bar{\partial} s, t \rangle = \langle \Box_k t, t \rangle.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\|\Box_k t\|\|t\| \ge \langle \Box_k t, t \rangle \ge (k-C)\|t\|^2$, so $\|\Box_k t\| \ge C$ (k-C)||t||. Now

$$\|\bar{\partial}s\|^2 = \|\Box_k t\|^2 \ge (k-C)\|\Box_k t\|\|t\| \ge (k-C)\langle\Box_k t, t\rangle = (k-C)\|s\|^2.$$

Method 1: Tian

The following construction is a twisted version of [26, Lemma 1.2].

Fix $p \in M$ and a holomorphic chart (U, z_1, \ldots, z_n) centered in p, for v a local section of E on U, we will construct a peak section.

Take a smooth cutoff function $\eta: \mathbb{R}_+ \to [0,1]$ with $\eta(x) = 1$ on x < 1 and $\eta(x) = 0$ when $x \ge 1$ and $-3 < \eta' \le 0$. Let

$$\psi_k(z) = \eta(\frac{|z|^2 k}{\log^2 k})$$

for $z \in U$ and $\psi_k(q) = 0$ for $q \in M \setminus U$. Then $\psi_k : M \to [0,1]$ is a smooth function. ψ_k is supported in $B(p, \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ on $B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})$.

So, $\bar{\partial}\psi_k$ is supported in the annulus $\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}} \leq |z| \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}$. On U,

$$\bar{\partial}\psi_k = \frac{k}{\log^2 k} \eta'(\frac{k|z|^2}{\log^2 k}) \sum_{i=1}^n z_i d\bar{z}_i.$$

For each $i, |d\bar{z}_i| \leq C(M)$ in the annulus. Thus, on M

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi_k| \le C \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\log k} \tag{3.14}$$

Note that $\psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v) \in C^{\infty}(M, L^k \otimes E)$. We call it a smooth section peaked at p. Now we construct a holomorphic peak section from it.

Let

$$\psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v) = s_k + t_k \tag{3.15}$$

be the Hodge decomposition given by Theorem 4, where $s_k \in H^0(M, L^k \otimes E)$. Because near p,

$$\varphi(z) = |z|^2 + O(|z|^4), \quad \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = 2^n + O(|z|^2),$$

when k is large,

$$\varphi(z) \ge |z|^2/2, \quad \mu \le C\mu_0 \tag{3.16}$$

in $B(p, \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})$. An important estimate in the annulus is

$$e^{-k\varphi} \le k^{-\frac{\log k}{2}},$$

By (3.15), $\bar{\partial}t_k = (\bar{\partial}\psi_k)(\sigma^k \otimes v)$,

$$|\bar{\partial}t_k|^2 = |\bar{\partial}\psi_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |v|_{h^E}^2 \le C(M, v, h^E) \frac{k}{\log^2 k} k^{-(\log k)/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\bar{\partial}t_{k}\|^{2} = \int_{M} |\bar{\partial}t_{k}|^{2} \mu = \int_{\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}} \le |z| \le \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}} |\bar{\partial}t_{k}|^{2} \mu$$

$$\le C(M, v, h^{E}) \frac{k}{\log^{2} k} k^{-(\log k)/2} \int_{\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}} \le |z| \le \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}} \mu_{0}. \tag{3.17}$$

$$\le C(M, v, h^{E}) \frac{k}{\log^{2} k} k^{-(\log k)/2} (\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})^{2n}$$

By Theorem 12, $||t_k|| \le \frac{\|\bar{\partial}t_k\|}{\sqrt{k-C}}$.

$$||t_k|| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{k-C}} k^{\frac{2-2n-\log k}{4}} \log^{n-1} k \le C k^{-n/2-(\log k)/4} \log^{n-1} k.$$
 (3.18)

Estimate the point difference between smooth and holomorphic peak section. Note that

$$t_k \in H^0(B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}), L^k \otimes E),$$

by (3.5),

$$|s_k(p) - (\sigma^k \otimes v)(p)|^2$$

$$= |t_k(p)|^2$$

$$\leq Ck^{-(\log k)/2} \log^{2n-2} k.$$
(3.19)

Estimate the outside L^2 norm of smooth peak section.

$$\int_{M-B(p,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} |\psi_k \sigma^k \otimes v|^2 \mu$$

$$= \int_{\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}} \le |z| \le \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}} |\psi_k|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |v|^2 \mu$$

$$\le \int_{\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}} \le |z| \le \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}} Ck^{-(\log k)/2} \mu_0$$

$$\le Ck^{-(\log k)/2} (\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})^{2n}.$$
(3.20)

We use (3.18),(3.20) to get

$$\int_{M-B(p,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} |s_{k}|^{2} \mu$$

$$\leq \int_{M-B(p,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} |\psi_{k}(\sigma^{k} \otimes v)|^{2} \mu + 2\|t_{k}\| \cdot \|\psi_{k}(\sigma^{k} \otimes v)\|_{L^{2}(M-B(p,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}))} + \|t_{k}\|^{2}$$

$$\leq Ck^{-n-(\log k)/2} \log^{2n} k$$
(3.21)

Estimate the global L^2 norm of smooth peak section.

$$\|\psi_{k}(\sigma^{k} \otimes v)\|^{2} = \int_{M} |\psi_{k}(\sigma^{k} \otimes v)|^{2} \mu$$

$$= \int_{B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} |\psi_{k}|^{2} e^{-k\varphi} |v|^{2} \mu + \int_{M \setminus B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} |\psi_{k}|^{2} e^{-k\varphi} |v|^{2} \mu$$

$$\leq Ck^{-n} + Ck^{-(\log k)/2} (\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})^{2n}$$

$$\leq Ck^{-n},$$
(3.22)

where we used (3.20), (3.29).

Proof of Theorem 7, Tian's method. Let

$$\psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\alpha) = s_{k,\alpha} + t_{k,\alpha}$$

be the Hodge decomposition given by Theorem 4, where $s_{k,\alpha} \in H^0(M, L^k \otimes E)$. then by Exercise 3.(2),

$$B_k(p) \ge \sum_{\alpha} s_{k,\alpha} \otimes \bar{s}_{k,\beta}(p) A_{\beta,\alpha},$$
 (3.23)

where A is the inverse of the Gram matrix $G = (\langle s_{k,\alpha}, s_{k,\beta} \rangle)$.

$$\langle s_{k,\alpha}, s_{k,\beta} \rangle = \int_{B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} (\psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\alpha), \psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\beta)) \mu$$

$$+ \int_{M \setminus B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} (\psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\alpha), \psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\beta)) \mu$$

$$+ \int_M (t_{k,\alpha}, t_{k,\beta}) \mu - \int_M (\psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\alpha), t_{k,\beta}) \mu - \int_M (t_{k,\alpha}, \psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\beta)) \mu.$$
(3.24)

Apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality several times. By (3.20),

$$\left| \int_{M \setminus B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} (\psi_k(\sigma^k v_\alpha), \psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\beta)) \mu \right| \le C k^{-(\log k)/2} (\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})^{2n}. \tag{3.25}$$

By (3.18),

$$\left| \int_{M} (t_{k,\alpha}, t_{k,\beta}) \mu \right| \le \|t_{k,\alpha}\| \cdot \|t_{k,\beta}\| \le Ck^{-n - (\log k)/2} \log^{2n-2} k. \tag{3.26}$$

By (3.18),(3.22),

$$\left| \int_{M} (\psi_{k}(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\alpha}), t_{k,\beta}) \mu \right| \leq \|t_{k,\beta}\| \|\psi_{k}(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\alpha})\| \leq Ck^{-n - (\log k)/4} \log^{n-1} k.$$
(3.27)

Because

$$e^{-k\varphi}H^{E}\mu = e^{-k\varphi_{4}}(1+|z|^{5}O(k))H_{2}^{E} \cdot (Id_{r} + O(|z|^{3}))\det_{2}(1+O(|z|^{3}))2^{n}\mu_{0}$$

$$= 2^{n}e^{-k\varphi_{4}}H_{2}\det_{2}(1+|z|^{5}O(k) + O(|z|^{3}))\mu_{0},$$
(3.28)

we find its integral

$$\int_{B} e^{-k\varphi} H^{E} \mu$$

$$= \int_{B} 2^{n} e^{-k\varphi_{4}} H_{2} \det_{2}(1 + |z|^{5} O(k) + O(|z|^{3})) \mu_{0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)^{n} \left(I d_{r} - k^{-1} \left(i\Lambda R^{E} + \frac{scal(p)}{2} I d_{r}\right) + O(k^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right), \tag{3.29}$$

where the last equality is from (3.11),(2.19). Therefore,

$$G_{\alpha,\beta} = \langle s_{k,\alpha}, s_{k,\beta} \rangle$$

$$= \int_{B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} (\psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\alpha), \psi_k(\sigma^k \otimes v_\beta)) \mu + O(k^{-n - (\log k)/4} \log^{n-1} k)$$

$$= \int_{B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})} e^{-k\varphi} H_{\alpha,\beta}^E \mu + O(k^{-n - (\log k)/4} \log^{n-1} k)$$
(3.30)

By (3.29),(3.30), we get an important expansion of G:

$$G = \int_{B} e^{-k\varphi} H \mu + O(k^{-n - \frac{\log k}{4}} \log^{n-1} k)$$

$$= (\frac{2\pi}{k})^{n} (Id_{r} - k^{-1} (i\Lambda R^{E} + \frac{scal(p)}{2} Id_{r}) + O(k^{-\frac{3}{2}})).$$
(3.31)

Therefore,

$$A = G^{-1} = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n \left(Id_r + k^{-1}\left(\frac{scal(p)}{2}Id_r + i\Lambda R^E\right) + O(k^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right). \tag{3.32}$$

By (3.19), (3.23) and (3.32), we get the lower bound

$$B_k(p) \ge \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^n \left(Id_r + k^{-1}\left(\frac{scal(p)}{2}Id_r + i\Lambda R^E\right) + O(k^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)\right).$$
 (3.33)

By Theorem 8, $B_k(p)$ has only integral power of k. Corollary 3 together with (3.33) implies

$$B_k(p) = (\frac{k}{2\pi})^n (Id_r + k^{-1}(\frac{scal(p)}{2}Id_r + i\Lambda R^E) + O(k^{-2})).$$

Remark 3. From the proof we see that the smoothness conditions can be relaxed to: h^L is C^4 (then ω and μ are C^2) and h^E is C^2 . Instead of this construction of peak sections, we can also apply [29, Proposition 3.6.1] which is closer to Tian's original way of construction.

Method 2: L.Charles

We present another construction of peak sections. Fix a holomorphic chart (U, z_1, \ldots, z_n) centered at p with a frame $\sigma \in H^0(U, L)$ and section $v \in H^0(U, E)$.

Like (3.16), we fix $r_2 > 0$ such that $B(p, r_2) \subset U$ and $\varphi(z) \geq |z|^2/2$ on $B(p, r_2)$. Fix a bump function $\psi \in C^{\infty}(M, [0, 1])$ with $\psi \equiv 1$ on $B(p, r_1)$ for some $r_1 \in (0, r_2)$ and $\psi \equiv 0$ on $M \setminus B(p, r_2)$. So, $\bar{\partial}\psi$ is supported in the annulus $B(p, r_2) \setminus B(p, r_1)$ and there is a constant C = C(M) such that on the whole $M, |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2 \leq C(M)$. In the annulus, $e^{-k\varphi} \leq e^{-kr_1^2/2}$.

Then $\psi(\sigma^k \otimes v) \in C^{\infty}(M, L^k \otimes E)$. Let

$$\psi(\sigma^k \otimes v) = s_k + t_k$$

be the Hodge decomposition given by Theorem 4, where $s_k \in H^0(M, L^k \otimes E)$. Note that

$$\bar{\partial}t_k = (\bar{\partial}\psi)(\sigma^k \otimes v). \tag{3.34}$$

For $z \in B(p, r_2)$,

$$|\bar{\partial}t_k|^2(z) = |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2 e^{-k\varphi}|v|^2(z) \le Ce^{-kr_1^2/2}\sup|v|^2 \le C(v,M)e^{-kr_1^2/2}.$$
 (3.35)

Therefore,

$$\|\bar{\partial}t_{k}\|^{2} = \int_{M} |\bar{\partial}t_{k}|^{2} \mu = \int_{B(p,r_{2})} |\bar{\partial}t_{k}|^{2} \mu$$

$$\leq \int_{B(p,r_{2})} C(v,M) e^{-kr_{1}^{2}/2} \mu_{0}$$

$$\leq C(v,M,\sigma,r_{1},r_{2}) e^{-kr_{1}^{2}/2}.$$
(3.36)

Apply Theorem 12 to t_k we get the estimate

$$||t_k|| \le \frac{||\bar{\partial}t_k||}{\sqrt{k-C}} \le \frac{C'e^{-kr_1^2/4}}{\sqrt{k-C}}.$$
 (3.37)

We derive a replacement of (3.19). Now the important observation is that

$$t_k \in H^0(B(p, r_1), L^k \otimes E),$$

so by (3.5) for large k we have

$$|s_k(p) - (\sigma^k \otimes v)(p)| = |t_k(p)|$$

$$\leq Ck^{n/2}||t_k|| \leq k^{n/2} \frac{C'}{\sqrt{k-C}} e^{-kr_1^2/4},$$
(3.38)

where we used (3.37).

By Theorem 6,

$$\|\psi(\sigma^k \otimes v)\|^2 = \int_M |\psi|^2 e^{-k\varphi} |v|^2 \mu = O(k^{-n}). \tag{3.39}$$

Proof of Theorem 7, L.Charles' method. The proof is parallel to that via Tian given in Section 3.2.2. Recall the frame $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ of E. Let

$$\psi(\sigma^k \otimes v_\alpha) = s_{k,\alpha} + t_{k,\alpha}$$

be the Hodge decomposition given by Theorem 4, where $s_{k,\alpha} \in H^0(M, L^k \otimes E)$. Then by Exercise 3.(2),

$$B_k(p) \ge \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in [r]} s_{k,\alpha} \otimes \bar{s}_{k,\beta}(p) A_{\beta,\alpha}, \tag{3.40}$$

where A is the inverse of the Gram matrix $G = (\langle s_{k,\alpha}, s_{k,\beta} \rangle)$.

Claim:

$$G_{\alpha,\beta} = \left(\int_{M} (\psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\alpha}), \psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\beta})) \mu \right) (1 + O(k^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-kr_{1}^{2}/4})). \tag{3.41}$$

In fact,

$$\langle s_{k,\alpha}, s_{k,\beta} \rangle = \int_{M} (\psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\alpha}), \psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\beta})) \mu + \int_{M} (t_{k,\alpha}, t_{k,\beta}) \mu - \int_{M} (\psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\alpha}), t_{k,\beta}) \mu - \int_{M} (t_{k,\alpha}, \psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\beta})) \mu.$$
(3.42)

Apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality several times. By (3.37),

$$\left| \int_{M} (t_{k,\alpha}, t_{k,\beta}) \mu \right| \le \frac{C e^{-kr_1^2/2}}{k - C}. \tag{3.43}$$

By (3.39),

$$\left| \int_{M} (\psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\alpha}), t_{k,\beta}) \mu \right| \leq \frac{Ck^{-n/2}e^{-kr_{1}^{2}/4}}{\sqrt{k - C}}.$$
(3.44)

The claim is thus proved.

$$\int_{M} (\psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\alpha}), \psi(\sigma^{k} \otimes v_{\beta})) \mu = \int_{M} |\psi|^{2} e^{-k\varphi} H_{\alpha,\beta}^{E} \mu.$$
 (3.45)

We just need to apply Theorem 6 to estimate the right hand side of (3.45), where we take

$$A = |\psi|^2 \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \sum_{j,l} R^E_{\alpha,\beta,j,l}(p) z_j \bar{z}_l = 2^n \sum_{j,l} R^E_{\alpha,\beta,j,l}(p) z_j \bar{z}_l + O(|z|^4).$$

Note $H=2\mathrm{Id}_{2n}$, then $D=2\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\bar{z}_j}$ is half of the Euclidean Laplacian. $L_0(A\varphi)=A(0)=0$.

$$\underline{\varphi} = -\frac{1}{4} R^{M}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) z_i \bar{z}_j z_k \bar{z}_l + O(|z|^5).$$

vanishes of order 3 at $0 \in U$. so $D^2(A\underline{\varphi})(0) = A(0)D^2(\underline{\varphi})(0) = 0$ and $D^2(A\underline{\varphi})(0) = 0$.

$$D(A)(0) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{i}} [2^{n} \sum_{j,l} R_{\alpha,\beta,j,l}^{E}(p) z_{j} \bar{z}_{l} + O(|z|^{4})] = 2^{n+1} (i\Lambda R^{E})_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

$$L_1(A\varphi) = -\frac{D(A)(0)}{2} = -2^n (i\Lambda R^E)_{\alpha,\beta}$$

We get

$$\int_{U} |\psi|^{2} e^{-k\varphi} \sum_{j,l} R_{\alpha,\beta,j,l}^{E}(p) z_{j} \bar{z}_{l} \mu = -(\frac{2\pi}{k})^{n} [k^{-1} (i\Lambda R^{E})_{\alpha,\beta} + O(k^{-2})]. \quad (3.46)$$

From [3, Proof of Lemma 3.2],

$$\int_{U} |\psi|^{2} e^{-k\varphi} \mu = \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)^{n} \left(1 - \frac{scal}{2k} + O(k^{-2})\right). \tag{3.47}$$

Combine (3.46),(3.47) we get

$$\int_{U} |\psi|^{2} e^{-k\varphi} H^{E} \mu = \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)^{n} \left(Id_{r} - k^{-1} \left(\frac{scal}{2} Id_{r} + i\Lambda R^{E}\right) + O(k^{-2})\right). \tag{3.48}$$

Then (3.42),(3.43),(3.44),(3.45),(3.48) result in

$$G = (\frac{2\pi}{k})^n (Id_r - k^{-1}(\frac{scal}{2}Id_r + i\Lambda R^E) + O(k^{-2})).$$

The remaining part is identical to the proof via Tian's method. \Box

Chapter 4

L^2 existence theorem

For a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle (E, h^E) , we define a section \dot{R}^E of $\operatorname{End}(E \otimes T_h M)$ by

$$(R^E(u,\bar{v})\xi,\eta)_{h^E} = (\dots R^E(\xi \otimes u), \eta \otimes v)$$

for any $p \in M$; $u, v \in T_p^{0,1}M$; $\xi, \eta \in E_p$. Then for each $p \in M$, \dot{R}_p^E is a hermitian operator.

Definition 16 (Nakano positivity). [15, Definition 1.1.6][12, Definition 6.1.24 (i)] The bundle (E, h^E) is called Nakano positive if \dot{R}^E is positive definite everywhere.

For example, for holomorphic line bundles, the notions of positivity and Nakano positivity are equivalent.

Following Hörmander, we use some functional analysis theory to derive L^2 existence theorem. For a densely defined closed linear (unbounded) operator $T: \text{Dom}(T)(\subset H_1) \to H_2$ between Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 , its adjoint $T^*: \text{Dom}(T^*)(\subset H_2) \to H_1$ is also densely defined closed. $x Im(T)^{\perp} = \ker(T^*)$ and $T^{**} = T$. For proof, see [20, Theorem 13.9, Theorem 13.12].

Theorem 13. Let $F \subset H_2$ be a closed linear subspace with $ImT \subset F$, and assume there is C > 0 st $|T^*y|_1 \geq C|y|_2$ for any $y \in F \cap Dom(T^*)$, then for any $v \in F$, there is $u \in Dom(T)$ st Tu = v and $C|u|_1 \leq |v|_2$. In particular, F = ImT.

Proof. Since $F \subset H_2$ is closed, we have an orthogonal decomposition $H_2 = F \oplus F^{\perp}$. For any $y \in Dom(T^*)$, write $y = y_1 + y_2$ where $y_1 \in F, y_2 \in F^{\perp}$. Because $ImT \subset F$, $F^{\perp} \subset (ImT)^{\perp} = \ker(T^*) \subset Dom(T^*)$, we find $y_1 = y - y_2 \in F \cap Dom(T^*)$. Now $|(y,v)_2| = |(y_1,v)_2| \leq |y_1|_2 |v|_2 \leq C^{-1} |T^*y_1|_1 |v|_2 = C^{-1} |T^*y_1|_1 |v|_2$. Therefore, the assignment $T^*y \mapsto (y,v)_2$ defines a bounded linear functional $Im(T^*) \to \mathbb{C}$ of norm at most $C^{-1} |v|_2$. By Hahn-Banach theorem, this functional extends to the whole H_1 with norm at most $C^{-1} |v|_2$. By Riesz Representation theorem, there is $u \in H_1$ such that for any $y \in Dom(T^*)$,

$$(T^*y, u)_1 = (y, v)_2.$$

Therefore, $u \in Dom(T^{**})$ and $T^{**}u = v$. Note that $T^{**} = T$.

For a measurable, bounded from above function ψ on M, consider the pointwise wighted inner product $(s,t)_{\psi}=(s,t)e^{-\psi}$ on $\Omega^{*,*}(M,E)$ and global one $\langle s,t\rangle_{\psi}=\int_{M}(s,t)_{\psi}\mu$. Let $L^{2}_{p,q}(M,E;\psi)$ be the completion of $\Omega^{p,q}(M,E)$ under \langle , \rangle_{ψ} . Put some Hilbert spaces $H_{i}=L^{2}_{0,i-1}(M,E;\psi)$ for i=1,2,3. Define $T:Dom(T)(\subset H_{1})\to H_{2}$ and $S:Dom(S)(\subset H_{2})\to H_{3}$ to be the maximal weak differential operator extension of $\bar{\partial}^{E}$. Note that $Im(T)\subset Dom(S)$ and ST=0 on Dom(T). If $\psi\in C(M)$, then T,S are densely defined closed operators, cf [9,p99].

Theorem 14 (twisted Bochner–Kodaira–Morrey–Kohn identity). For $\psi \in C^2(M)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(M,E)$, we have.

$$|S\eta|_{\psi}^{2} + |T^{*}\eta|_{\psi}^{2} = |\bar{\nabla}\eta|_{\psi}^{2} + \int_{M} (i(R^{E} + Ricci(\omega) + \partial\bar{\partial}\psi)\eta, \eta)_{\omega}e^{-\psi}\mu.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Here $Ricci(\omega)$ is the Ricci form of (M, ω) . This result is essentially the Weitzenböck formula. Please compare this result to [17, Theorem 2.10, Theorem 3.1], [30, (2.36)], [21, Proposition 5.1] and [23, (1.3.3)].

Proof. This proof is a modification of [25, Proof of Lemma 7.7]. We work at a point $x \in M$ in K-coordinates and the preferred frame of E, as long as the final answer is coordinate invariant. Then

$$|\bar{\partial}\eta|_{\psi}^2 = g^{p\bar{j}}g^{q\bar{k}}((\nabla_{\bar{j}}\eta)_{\bar{k}},(\nabla_{\bar{p}}\eta)_{\bar{q}} - (\nabla_{\bar{q}}\eta)_{\bar{p}})_{\psi}.$$

Let $H=H^E$ be the Gram matrix of $\{v_\alpha\}$ Define Christoffel symbols $C^\gamma_{q,\alpha}$ by $\nabla_q v_\alpha = C^\gamma_{q\alpha} v_\gamma$,

$$(\partial_q H)_{\alpha,\beta} = (\nabla_q v_\alpha, v_\beta) = C_{q\alpha}^{\gamma} H_{\gamma,\beta}$$

so $C_{q,\alpha}^{\beta} = (\frac{\partial H}{\partial z_q} H^{-1})_{\alpha,\beta}$. Note that

$$R_{\bar{j},q}^E v_{\alpha} = R^E(\partial_{\bar{j}}, \partial_q) v_{\alpha} = \nabla_{\bar{j}}^E \nabla_q^E v_{\alpha} = \nabla_{\bar{j}}^E (C_{q\alpha}^{\beta} v_{\beta}) = \frac{\partial C_{q\alpha}^{\beta}}{\partial \bar{z}_i} v_{\beta}.$$

At x all the Christoffel symbols vanish

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = 0, \quad C_{q\alpha}^\beta = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

For the adjoint operator,

$$T^*\eta = -e^{\psi}g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_j(e^{-\psi}\eta_{\bar{k}}).$$

Note that

$$\int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} ((\nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta)_{\bar{k}}, (\nabla_{\bar{p}} \eta)_{\bar{q}})_{\psi} \mu = \|\bar{\nabla} \eta\|_{\psi}^{2}$$
(4.3)

Integration by part

$$\int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} ((\nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta)_{\bar{k}}, -(\nabla_{\bar{q}} \eta)_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} \mu$$

$$= \int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} (e^{\psi} \nabla_{q} [e^{-\psi} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta)_{\bar{k}}], \eta_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} \mu$$

$$= \int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} (\nabla_{q} [(\nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta)_{\bar{k}}] - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{q}} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} \eta)_{\bar{k}}, \eta_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} \mu$$
(4.4)

and

$$\int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} (e^{\psi} (\nabla_{q} (e^{-\psi} \eta))_{\bar{k}}, e^{\psi} (\nabla_{j} (e^{-\psi} \eta))_{\bar{p}})_{\psi}] \mu$$

$$= -\int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} [e^{\psi} (\nabla_{q} (e^{-\psi} \eta))_{\bar{k}}], \eta_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} \mu$$

$$= -\int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} (\nabla_{\bar{j}} [(\nabla_{q} \eta)_{\bar{k}}] - \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \bar{z}_{j} \partial z_{q}} \eta_{\bar{k}} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{q}} \nabla_{\bar{j}} (\eta_{\bar{k}}), \eta_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} \mu$$

$$(4.5)$$

By (2.7)
$$\nabla_{\bar{i}}\eta = \nabla_{\bar{i}}(\eta_{\bar{l}}d\bar{z}^l) = (\nabla_{\bar{i}}(\eta_{\bar{l}}))d\bar{z}^l - \eta_{\bar{l}}\overline{\Gamma^l_{im}}d\bar{z}^m, \tag{4.6}$$

so

$$(\nabla_{\bar{j}}\eta)_{\bar{k}} = \nabla_{\bar{j}}(\eta_{\bar{k}}) - \eta_{\bar{l}}\overline{\Gamma_{jk}^{l}} = (\frac{\partial \eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} - \eta_{\bar{l}}^{\alpha}\overline{\Gamma_{jk}^{l}})v_{\alpha}$$

and

$$\nabla_{q}[(\nabla_{\bar{j}}\eta)_{\bar{k}}] = \nabla_{q}((\frac{\partial \eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} - \eta_{\bar{l}}^{\alpha}\overline{\Gamma_{jk}^{l}})v_{\alpha}) = (\frac{\partial^{2}\eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}}{\partial z_{q}\partial \bar{z}_{j}} - \eta_{\bar{l}}^{\alpha}\frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma_{jk}^{l}}}{\partial z_{q}})v_{\alpha}, \tag{4.7}$$

where we use (4.2) for last equation.

Similarly,

$$\nabla_q \eta = \nabla_q (\eta_{\bar{l}} d\bar{z}^l) = (\nabla_q (\eta_{\bar{l}})) d\bar{z}^l,$$

so

$$(\nabla_q \eta)_{\bar{k}} = \nabla_q (\eta_{\bar{k}}) = \frac{\partial \eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}}{\partial z_q} v_{\alpha} + \eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha} C_{q\alpha}^{\beta} v_{\beta},$$

and

$$\nabla_{\bar{j}}[(\nabla_{q}\eta)_{\bar{k}}] = \nabla_{\bar{j}}(\frac{\partial \eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}}{\partial z_{q}}v_{\alpha} + \eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}C_{q\alpha}^{\beta}v_{\beta}) = \frac{\partial^{2}\eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}\partial z_{q}}v_{\alpha} + \eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}\frac{\partial C_{q\alpha}^{\beta}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}v_{\beta} = \frac{\partial^{2}\eta_{\bar{k}}^{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}\partial z_{q}}v_{\alpha} + R_{\bar{j},q}^{E}\eta_{\bar{k}}.$$

$$(4.8)$$

Note

$$R_{q\bar{j},\bar{k}}^{\bar{l}}\partial_{\bar{l}} = \nabla_q \nabla_{\bar{j}}\partial_{\bar{k}} = \nabla_q (\overline{\Gamma_{jk}^l}\partial_{\bar{l}}) = \frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma_{jk}^l}}{\partial z_a} \partial_{\bar{l}},$$

so $R_{q\bar{j},\bar{k}}^{\bar{l}} = \frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma_{jk}^{l}}}{\partial z_{q}}$. All in all,

$$\nabla_q[(\nabla_{\bar{j}}\eta)_{\bar{k}}] - \nabla_{\bar{j}}[(\nabla_q\eta)_{\bar{k}}] = -\eta_{\bar{l}}R^{\bar{l}}_{q\bar{i},\bar{k}} + R^E_{q,\bar{j}}\eta_{\bar{k}}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $R^E = R^E_{q\bar{j}} dz^q \wedge d\bar{z}^j$. Now,

$$g^{p\bar{j}}g^{q\bar{k}}(-\eta_{\bar{l}}R^{\bar{l}}_{q\bar{j},\bar{k}},\eta_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} = -g^{p\bar{j}}g^{q\bar{k}}g^{t\bar{l}}R_{q\bar{j}t\bar{k}}(\eta_{\bar{j}},\eta_{\bar{q}})_{\psi} = -g^{p\bar{j}}g^{q\bar{k}}Ric_{p\bar{k}}(\eta_{\bar{j}},\eta_{\bar{q}})_{\psi}.$$

To sum up,

$$g^{p\bar{j}}g^{q\bar{k}}(\nabla_{q}[(\nabla_{\bar{j}}\eta)_{\bar{k}}] - \nabla_{\bar{j}}[(\nabla_{q}\eta)_{\bar{k}}], \eta_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} = g^{p\bar{j}}g^{q\bar{k}}((-Ric_{p\bar{k}}Id_{r} + R_{p\bar{k}}^{E})(\eta_{\bar{j}}), \eta_{\bar{q}})_{\psi}$$

$$(4.10)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} [((\nabla_{\bar{j}}\eta)_{\bar{k}}, -(\nabla_{\bar{q}}\eta)_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} + (e^{\psi}(\nabla_{q}(e^{-\psi}\eta))_{\bar{k}}, e^{\psi}(\nabla_{j}(e^{-\psi}\eta))_{\bar{p}})_{\psi}] \mu
= \int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} (((-Ric_{p\bar{k}} + \frac{\partial^{2}\psi}{\partial z_{p}\partial\bar{z}_{k}})Id_{r} + R_{p\bar{k}}^{E})(\eta_{\bar{j}}), \eta_{\bar{q}})_{\psi} \mu$$
(4.11)

Finally we get

$$|S\eta|_{\psi}^{2} + |T^{*}\eta|_{\psi}^{2}$$

$$= \int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} [((\nabla_{\bar{j}}\eta)_{\bar{k}}, (\nabla_{\bar{p}}\eta)_{\bar{q}} - (\nabla_{\bar{q}}\eta)_{\bar{p}})_{\psi} + (e^{\psi}(\nabla_{q}(e^{-\psi}\eta))_{\bar{k}}, e^{\psi}(\nabla_{j}(e^{-\psi}\eta))_{\bar{p}})_{\psi}] \mu$$

$$= ||\bar{\nabla}\eta||_{\psi}^{2} + \int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} (((-Ric_{p\bar{k}}^{M} + \frac{\partial^{2}\psi}{\partial z_{p}\partial\bar{z}_{k}})Id_{r} + R_{p\bar{k}}^{E})(\eta_{\bar{j}}), \eta_{\bar{q}})_{\psi} \mu$$

$$(4.12)$$

Corollary 4 (a priori estimate). If there is C > 0 st $i(R^E + Ricci(\omega) + \partial \bar{\partial} \psi) \ge C\omega$ on $C^{\infty}(M, E)$, then for any η a smooth E valued (0, 1)-form, we have

$$|S\eta|_{\psi}^{2} + |T^{*}\eta|_{\psi}^{2} \ge C|\eta|_{\psi}^{2}.$$

We remark that the most important term in (4.12), for our purpose, is

$$\int_{M} g^{p\bar{j}} g^{q\bar{k}} (R^{E}_{p\bar{k}} \eta_{\bar{j}}, \eta_{\bar{q}})_{\psi} \mu.$$

Under K-coordinate it becomes

$$\sum_{j,q} (R_{j\bar{q}}^E \eta_{\bar{j}}, \eta_{\bar{q}}) = (\dots R^E (\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_{\bar{j}} \partial_j), \sum_{q=1}^n \eta_{\bar{q}} \partial_q) \ge \lambda |\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_{\bar{j}} \partial_j|^2 = \lambda |\eta|^2, \quad (4.13)$$

where the function $\lambda \in C(M)$ is the minimal eigenvalue of $\dots R^E$. (Here we used Proposition 1.)

Theorem 15 (Hörmander density). [9, Proposition 2.1.1 and p.121][4, Lemma 4.3.2] If $\psi \in C^2(M)$, then $\Omega^{0,1}(M,E) \subset Dom(S) \cap Dom(T^*)$ is dense with respect to the graph norm

$$\eta \mapsto |\eta|_{\psi} + |S\eta|_{\psi} + |T^*\eta|_{\psi}^2$$

Theorem 16 (L² existence). If $\psi \in C^2(M)$ and there is C > 0 such that

$$i(R^E + Ricci(\omega) + \partial \bar{\partial}\psi) \ge C\omega,$$

then

for every $\eta \in L^2_{0,1}(M, E; \psi)$ with $\bar{\partial} \eta = 0$, there is $a \xi \in Dom(\bar{\partial}) \subset L^2_{0,0}(M, E; \psi)$ st $\bar{\partial} \xi = \eta$, which means for any $\chi \in Dom(T^*)$,

$$\int_{M} (\xi, T^* \chi)_{\psi} \mu = \int_{M} (\eta, \chi)_{\psi} \mu. \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$C|\xi|_{\psi}^2 \le |\eta|_{\psi}^2.$$

Please compare this to [24, Proposition 2.1(ii)] and [26, Proposition 1.1].

Proof. By Corollary 4, we have the a priori estimate for $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, E)$. By Theorem 15, the same estimate holds for any $\eta \in Dom(S) \cap Dom(T^*)$. Take $F = \ker(S)$, then $\eta \in F$. By Theorem 13, there is $\xi \in H_1$ st $\bar{\partial} \xi = \eta$ and

$$C|\xi|_{\psi}^2 \le |\eta|_{\psi}^2.$$

To show regularity of $\bar{\partial}$ -solution, we introduce some Sobolev spaces. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ an open, let $H^l(U)$ be as in [6, Section 5.2.2,Remarks (i)] and similarly, let $H^l(U;loc)$ be the space of all $f \in L^2(U;loc)$ such that for each multi-index $I,J \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|I|+|J| \leq l$, the weak derivative $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^I(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}})^J f \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Lemma 5. If $f \in L^2(U)$ and its weak derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_j} \in L^2(U)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, then $f \in H^l(U)$ and for any j, $|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}|_{L^2(U)} = |\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}_j}|_{L^2(U)}$.

Let $H_{p,q}^l(M,E;loc)$ be the space of measurable E-valued (p,q)-forms, for which there is an atlas of M and a set of frame of E over each chart U, such that the representation functions are in $H^l(U;loc)$. (In fact, due to compactness of $M, H_{p,q}^l(M,E;loc) = H_{p,q}^l(M,E)$.) By Sobolev embedding theorem, [6, Section 5.6.3 (ii), Theorem 6], if k > n and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $H_{p,q}^k(M,E;loc) \subset C_{p,q}^{k-n-1,\lambda}(M,E;loc)$ the latter being space of locally λ -Hölder continuous E-valued (p,q)-forms.

Lemma 6. Let ψ be a measurable function on M and $\{\psi^{(l)}\}$ be a decreasing sequence in $C^2(M)$ with $\psi^{(l)} \to \psi$. Assume there is C > 0 such that for any $l \ge 1$

$$i(R^E + Ricci(\omega) + \partial\bar{\partial}\psi) \ge C\omega.$$
 (4.15)

Then for $\eta \in W^k_{0,1}(M, E; \psi)$, there is $\xi \in W^{k+1}_{0,0}(M, E)$ st $\bar{\partial} \xi = \eta$ in the distribution sense with

$$C|\xi|_{\psi}^2 \le |\eta|_{\psi}^2.$$

In particular, if furthermore $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(M,E)$, then the this $\xi \in C^{\infty}(M,E)$ and $\bar{\partial}\xi = \eta$ in the classical sense.

Proof. Because $e^{-\psi^{(l)}} \to e^{-\psi}$ is a increasing sequence, by Levi convergence theorem $\|\eta\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2 \to \|\eta\|_{\psi}^2$. By Theorem 16, there is $\xi^{(l)} \in Dom(T_l) \subset L^2_{0,0}(M, E; \psi^{(l)})$ such that $T_l \xi^{(l)} = \eta$ and

 $C\|\xi^{(l)}\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2 \le \|\eta\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2,$

where $T_l = \bar{\partial}^E : Dom(T_l) \subset L^2_{0,0}(M, E; \psi^{(l)}) \to L^2_{0,1}(M, E; \psi^{(l)})$ as usual. There is C' > 0 such that $\psi^{(l)} \leq C'$ for any $l \geq 1$, so

$$\|\xi^{(l)}\|^2 \le e^S \|\xi^{(l)}\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2 \le C^{-1} e^{C'} \|\eta\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2.$$

Thus the sequence $\{\xi^{(l)}\}$ is bounded in $L^2(M,E)$. By weak compactness [7, Theorem 1.42], we can find a subsequence of $\xi^{(l)}$, still denoted by $\xi^{(l)}$, converging weakly to some $\xi \in L^2(M,E)$.

We check $\bar{\partial}\xi = \eta$ in the sense of distribution. In fact, for any $t \in \Omega^{0,1}(M, E)$, let $\chi = e^{\psi^{(l)}}t \in L^2_{0,1}(M, E; \psi^{(l)})$ in (4.14), then

$$\int_{M}(\xi,\bar{\partial}^{*}t)\mu=\int_{M}(\xi,T_{l}^{*}\chi)_{\psi}\mu=\int_{M}(\eta,t)\mu.$$

Now we treat the regularity. Suppose that $\xi \in W_{0,0}^l(M,E)$ for some $0 \le l \le k$ (this is true for l=0), we will show $\xi \in W_{0,0}^{l+1}(M,E)$. Thus by induction on l we find $\xi \in W_{0,0}^{k+1}(M,E)$. In fact, for any chart $(U,z_1,\ldots,z_n) \subset M$ and any frame $\{v_\alpha\}$ of E, write $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^r \xi^\alpha v_\alpha$. For any $\chi \in C_c^\infty(U)$, any multi-indices $I, J \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|I| + |J| \le l$,

$$\partial_{\bar{j}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^I(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}})^J(\chi\xi^\alpha) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^I(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}})^J(\chi\eta^\alpha_{\bar{j}} + \xi^\alpha\partial_{\bar{j}}\chi) \in L^2(U)$$

By Lemma 5, $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^I(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}})^J(\chi \xi^{\alpha}) \in H^1(U)$. So $\xi^{\alpha} \in H^{l+1}(U; loc)$ or equivalently $\xi \in H^{l+1}(M, E; loc)$.

By weak lower semi-continuity of norm, for any $j\geq 1,$ $l\geq j,$ $e^{-\psi^{(j)}}\leq e^{-\psi^{(l)}}$ we thus have

$$\|\xi\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2 \leq \underline{\lim}_{l} \|\xi^{(l)}\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2 \leq \underline{\lim}_{l} \|\xi^{(l)}\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2 \leq \underline{\lim}_{l} C^{-1} \|\eta\|_{\psi^{(l)}}^2 = C^{-1} \|\eta\|_{\psi}^2.$$

Let $j \to \infty$, by Levi convergence theorem again, $\|\xi\|_{\psi^{(j)}} \to \|\xi\|_{\psi}$, thus $\|\xi\|_{\psi}^2 \le C^{-1} \|\eta\|_{\psi}^2$.

Remark 4. Note that by Weyl lemma, any solution ξ_0 of $\bar{\partial}\xi_0 = 0$ is holomorphic. Then any $\bar{\partial}$ -solution is in $W_{0,0}^{k+1}(M,E)$

Chapter 5

High rank Bergman kernel

Let $P \to M$ be another hermitian holomorphic vector bundle with rk(P) = s, we are going to find the first term in the asymptotic of B_k the Bergman kernel of $P^k \otimes E$. However, we restrict ourselves to the case of Riemann surface in Section 5.3 for simplicity of calculation.

We fix a frame $\{u_1,\ldots,u_s\}$ of P as in Proposition 3 and write H_P for the corresponding Gram matrix. For $a\in[s]$, let $H_{2,P;a,a}=e^{-\sum_{j,l=1}^nR_{j\overline{l},aa}^Pz_j\overline{z}_l}$ and for $a\neq b\in[s]$, let $H_{2,P;a.b}=-\sum_{j,l=1}^nR_{j\overline{l},ab}^Pz_j\overline{z}_l$, then $H_P=H_{2,P}(Id_s+O(|z|^3))$. Recall that as usual rk(E)=r. Put $v_I:=u_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes u_{i_k}\otimes v_{\alpha}$ for each multi-index $I=(i_1,\ldots,i_k;\alpha)\in[s]^k\times[r]$. Then $\{v_I:I\in[s]^k\times[r]\}$ form a frame of $P^k\otimes E$ of Gram matrix $H_P^{\otimes k}\otimes H_E$. In particular, this preferred frame is orthonormal at p. We assume that (P,h^P) is Nakano positive.

5.1 Upper bound

Lemma 7. $s \in H^0(B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}), P^k \otimes E)$, write $B = B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})$. Then

$$s \otimes \bar{s}(p) \le M(k) \int_{B} |s|^2 \mu,$$

where the matrix

$$M(k) = 2^{-n} (1 + Ck^{-1/2} \log^3 k) \left[\int_B H_{2,P}^{\otimes k} \mu_0 \right]^{-1} \otimes Id_r.$$
 (5.1)

Taking trace, $|s|^2(p) \le Tr(M(k)) \int_B |s|^2 \mu$.

Proof. Write $s = \sum_{I \in [s]^k \times [r]} f_I v_I$ and let $y = (f_I : I \in [s]^k \times [r])$ be a column vector (of holomorphic functions on B).

Then

$$|s|^2 = y^T (H_P^{\otimes k} \otimes H_E) \bar{y}$$

and $s \otimes \bar{s}(p) = yy^H(p)$. There is C > 0 and a neighborhood of p (independent of k) over which

$$H_P^{\otimes k} \otimes H_E \ge \frac{H_{2,P}^{\otimes k} \otimes Id_r}{(1 + C|z|^3)^k (1 + C|z|^2)};$$
 (5.2)

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \ge \frac{2^n}{1 + C|z|^2}. (5.3)$$

We estimate the integrand on the right hand side. By (5.2) and (5.3), we have

$$|s|^{2}\mu \geq y^{T} \frac{H_{2,P}^{\otimes k} \otimes Id_{r}}{(1+C|z|^{3})^{k}(1+C|z|^{2})} y^{H} \frac{2^{n}\mu_{0}}{1+C|z|^{2}}$$

$$\geq 2^{n}(1+Ck^{-1/2}\log^{3}k)^{-1}y^{T}(H_{2,P}^{\otimes k} \otimes Id_{r})\bar{y}\mu_{0},$$

$$(5.4)$$

where for the last step we used $|z| \leq \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}$. Therefore

$$\int_{B} |s|^{2} \mu \ge 2^{n} (1 + Ck^{-1/2} \log^{3} k)^{-1} \int_{B} y^{T} (H_{2,P}^{\otimes k} \otimes Id_{r}) \bar{y} \mu_{0}$$
 (5.5)

$$\geq 2^{n} (1 + Ck^{-1/2} \log^{3} k)^{-1} y^{T}(p) \left[\int_{B} (H_{2,P}^{\otimes k} \otimes Id_{r}) \mu_{0} \right] \bar{y}(p), \qquad (5.6)$$

where for (5.6) we used some analogue of vanishing identity (Exercise 10). Finally we apply Exercise 2 to conclude.

Corollary 5. The Bergman kernel B_k of $P^k \otimes E$ satisfies $B_k(p) \leq M(k)$.

5.2 Lower bound: A third construction of peak section

We present yet another construction of peak sections, which is a modification of [26, Proof of Lemma 1.2].

Recall U, ψ_k, v from Section 3.2.2. For each $I \in [s]^k$, put $u_I = u_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i_k} \otimes v$ to be a holomorphic section of $P^k \otimes E$. We define a weight function

$$\psi(z) = n\chi(\frac{k|z|^2}{\log^2 k})\log(\frac{k|z|^2}{\log^2 k}), (\chi(x) = \eta(2x))$$
 (5.7)

The factor n in definition of ψ is to ensure vanishing of ξ (to be constructed) at p. Note carefully that ψ is supported in the ball $B(p,\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})$ and admits a logarithmic pole at $p \in M$. In addition, $\psi \leq 0$ on M. To apply Theorem 16, we approximate ψ by smooth functions. Let

$$\psi^{(l)} = n\chi(\frac{k|z|^2}{\log^2 k})\log(\frac{k}{\log^2 k}(\frac{1}{l} + |z|^2)),$$

then this decreasing sequence converges to ψ .

We check condition (4.15). We calculate

$$n^{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi = \tag{5.8}$$

$$[(\frac{k}{\log^2 k})^2 \chi''(\frac{k}{\log^2 k}|z|^2) \log(\frac{k}{\log^2 k}|z|^2) + 2\frac{k}{\log^2 k}|z|^{-2} \chi'(\frac{k}{\log^2 k}|z|^2)] \partial|z|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial}|z|^2$$
(5.9)

$$+\frac{k}{\log^2 k}\chi'(\frac{k}{\log^2 k}|z|^2)\log(\frac{k}{\log^2 k}|z|^2)\partial\bar{\partial}(|z|^2)$$

$$(5.10)$$

$$+\chi(\frac{k}{\log^2 k}|z|^2)\partial\bar{\partial}\log(|z|^2). \tag{5.11}$$

Firstly, the (1,1)-form

$$i\partial |z|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} |z|^2 = i(\bar{z}_j z_k dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k)$$

is semi-positive, and there is C > 0 st

$$C|z|^2\omega \ge i\partial|z|^2 \wedge \bar{\partial}|z|^2$$

for $|z| \leq 1$. We get

$$i \times (5.9) \ge -C \frac{k}{\log^2 k} \omega$$

over M.

Secondly, The form $i\partial \bar{\partial} |z|^2 = idz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ is positive, so $i \times (5.10) \geq 0$. Thirdly, by Exercise 2, $|z|^2 Id_n \geq \bar{z}z^T$, so the form

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\log|z|^2 = i|z|^{-4}(|z|^2\delta_{jk} - z_j\bar{z}_k)dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_j$$

is semi-positive, so $i \times (5.11) \ge 0$. The estimation with ψ replace by $\psi^{(l)}$ is similar.

To sum up, there is C > 0 such than for any $l \ge 1$,

$$i\partial\bar\partial\psi^{(l)}\geq -C\frac{k}{\log^2k}\omega$$

on M.

Because P is Nakano positive, by (4.13) there is $\delta > 0$ and $k_0 \ge 1$ such that when $k \ge k_0, l \ge 1$ we have

$$Ricci(\omega) + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi^{(l)} + iR^{P^k \otimes E} \ge \delta k\omega.$$

Note that $(\bar{\partial}\psi_k)u_I$ vanishes at p, so $\|\bar{\partial}\psi_k)u_I\|_{\psi}$ is finite. By Lemma 6, there is $w_I \in C^{\infty}(M, P^k \otimes E)$ such that $\bar{\partial}w_I = (\bar{\partial}\psi_k)u_I$ with

$$||w_I||_{\psi}^2 \le (k\delta)^{-1} ||(\bar{\partial}\psi_k)u_I||_{\psi}^2 < \infty,$$

in particular $w_I(p) = 0$.

Let $s_I = \psi_k u_I - w_I$ then $s_I \in H^0(M, P^k \otimes E)$ and $s_I(p) = u_I(p)$. The s_I is the peak section we are looking for.

We need some estimates as in Section 3.2.2. For any $a \in [s]$,

$$\sum_{j,l=1}^{n} R_{j\bar{l},aa}^{P} z_{j}\bar{z}_{l} = (\dots R^{E}(u_{a} \otimes (\sum_{j} z_{j}\partial_{j})), u_{a} \otimes (\sum_{l} z_{l}\partial_{l})).$$

Because P is Nakano positive, $R_{*,*,aa}^P$ is a positive definite matrix, so there is $\lambda > 0$ such that on $B(p, \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})$, for each $a \in [s]$,

$$\sum_{j,l=1}^{n} R_{j\bar{l},aa}^{P} z_{j}\bar{z}_{l} \ge \lambda |z|^{2}. \tag{5.12}$$

By (5.12), there is $\lambda > 0$ such that on $B(p, \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})$ we have

$$H_{\alpha,\alpha}^P = e^{-R_{\alpha,\alpha,i\bar{j}}^P z_i \bar{z}_j} (1 + O(|z|^3)) \le e^{-\lambda |z|^2}.$$

Then

$$|u_I|^2 = |v|^2 \prod_{j=1}^k H_{i_j, i_j}^P \le Ce^{-k\lambda|z|^2}.$$

Let A be the annulus $\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}} \le |z| \le \sqrt{2} \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}$. Then

$$k\delta ||w_{I}||^{2} \leq k\delta ||w_{I}||_{\psi}^{2} \leq ||(\bar{\partial}\psi_{k})u_{I}||_{\psi}^{2}$$

$$= \int_{A} |(\bar{\partial}\psi_{k})u_{I}|^{2} e^{-\psi} \mu$$

$$= \int_{A} |(\bar{\partial}\psi_{k})u_{I}|^{2} \mu$$

$$\leq C \int_{A} \frac{k}{\log^{2} k} e^{-k\delta |z|^{2}} \mu_{0} \qquad \leq C (\frac{\log^{2} k}{k})^{n} \frac{k}{\log^{2} k} e^{-\delta \log^{2} k}$$
(5.13)

Thus

$$||w_I||^2 = O(k^{-n}e^{-\delta\log^2 k}\log^{2n-2} k).$$
 (5.14)

For the outside L^2 norm of smooth peak section, as in (3.20).

$$\int_{M-R} |\psi_k u_I|^2 \mu \le C k^{-n} e^{-\lambda \log^2 k} \log^{2n} k. \tag{5.15}$$

Similarly we have $\|\psi_k u_I\|^2 = O(k^{-n})$.

Finally we arrive at the lower bound of Bergman kernel. For $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k; \alpha) \in [s]^k \otimes [r]$, let s_I to be the peak section constructed from v_α . Then $\{s_I : I \in [s]^k \times [r]\}$ is orthonormal at p. By Exercise 3,

$$B_k(p) \ge \sum_{I,J} s_I \otimes \bar{s}_J(p) A_{J,I} = A^T, \tag{5.16}$$

where A is the inverse of the Gram matrix G.

By the same argument for (3.31), we find

$$G = G'(Id_{s^k r} + O(e^{-\lambda/2\log k})\log^{n-1} k),$$

where

$$G'_{I,J} = \int_{B} (\psi_k u_I, \psi_k u_J) \mu$$

SO

$$A = (G')^{-1} (Id_{s^k r} + O(\log^{n-1} k e^{-\delta/2 \log k}))$$
(5.17)

5.3 Calculation

Exercise 11. Let $B = B(0, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open ball, a > 0, then

$$\int_{B} e^{-a|z|^{2}} \mu_{0} = \frac{\pi}{a} (1 - e^{-a \frac{\log^{2} k}{k}})$$

In this section, let M be a Riemann surface. In this case, for $a \neq b \in [s]$,

$$H_{2,P,a,a} = e^{-F_{aa}|z|^2}; \quad H_{2,P,a,b} = -F_{ab}|z|^2,$$

where $F = \dots R^P$ is a square matrix of size s such that the Chern curvature $R^P(p) = F dz \wedge d\bar{z}$. Due to our assumption, F is positive definite.

Theorem 17. The Bergman kernel of $P^k \otimes E$ satisfies

$$B_k(p) = \frac{D \otimes Id_r}{2\pi} (Id_{s^k \otimes r} + O(k^{-1/2}\log^3 k)),$$

where D is a size s^k diagonal matrix whose (i_1, \ldots, i_k) entry is $\sum_{i=1}^k F_{i_i, i_j}$.

Example 6. When s = 1, i.e., P is a line bundle, Theorem 17 agrees with [15, Theorem 4.1.1].

Proof. We compute the upper bound first. We calculate $\int_B H_{2,P}^{\otimes k} \mu_0$ inside (5.1). By Exercise 11, the diagonal entry at $(i_1, \ldots, i_k; i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ position is

$$\int_{B} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{k} F_{i_{j},i_{j}}|z|^{2}} \mu_{0} = \frac{\pi}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} F_{i_{j},i_{j}}} (1 - e^{-\frac{\log^{2} k}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} F_{i_{j},i_{j}}}) = \frac{\pi}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} F_{i_{j},i_{j}}} (1 + O(e^{-\lambda \log^{2} k})).$$

Consider an off-diagonal entry at $(i_1,\ldots,i_k;j_1,\ldots,j_k)$, say with $l\geq 1$ different indices. Then the integral is like $\int_B |z|^{2l}e^{-a|z|^2}\mu_0=O(k^{-2})$, where $a\geq (k-l)\lambda$.

Therefore

$$\int_{B} H_{2,P}^{\otimes k} \mu_{0} = \pi D^{-1} + O(k^{-2}) = \pi D^{-1} (Id_{s^{k}} + O(k^{-1})).$$

By Corollary, we get the upper bound

$$B_k(p) \le \frac{D \otimes Id_r}{2\pi} (Id_{s^k \otimes r} + O(k^{-1/2}\log^3 k)).$$

We turn to the lower bound. For multi-indices $I=(i_1,\ldots,i_k;\alpha), J=(j_1,\ldots,j_k;\beta)\in [s]^k\times [r],$

$$G'_{I,J} = \int_{B} H_{i_1,j_1}^{P} \dots H_{i_k,j_k}^{P} H_{\alpha,\beta}^{E} \mu.$$
 (5.18)

On the diagonal, i.e. I = J,

$$G'_{II} = 2 \int_{B} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{k} F_{i_{j}, i_{j}} |z|^{2}} (1 + kO(|z|^{3})) (1 + O(|z|^{2})) \mu_{0}$$

$$= \left(\int_{B} e^{-\sum F|z|^{2}} \right) (1 + O(k^{-1/2})) = \frac{2\pi}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} F_{i_{j}, i_{j}}} (1 + O(k^{-1/2}))$$

If $I \neq J$, the integral is at most $\int_B e^{-a|z|^2} O(|z|^2) \mu = O(k^{-2})$ where $a \geq k\lambda$. Thus by (5.16) and (5.17), we find

$$B_k(p) \ge \frac{D \otimes Id_r}{2\pi} (Id_{s^k \otimes r} + O(k^{-1/2})).$$

We conclude by combining the upper and the lower bound. \Box

Corollary 6 (Asymptotic Riemann-Roch). We have asymptotic expansion

$$\dim H^0(M, P^k \otimes E) = s^{k-1} kr \int_M c_1(P) + O(s^k k^{-1/2} \log^3 k).$$

Proof. Recall that z is K-coordinate, $\mu = idz \wedge d\bar{z}$ by Exercise 4, so

$$c_1(P, h^P) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr}(R^P) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr}(\dot{R}^P) dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr}(F) \mu.$$

We conclude by Proposition 4 and Theorem 17.

Some questions that we don't know: does Catlin-Zelditch expansion (Theorem 8) hold in this high rank case? Do we have vanishing theorem of the form $H^{>1}(M, P^k \otimes E) = 0$?

Chapter 6

An application to Riemann surfaces

We apply the same strategy to generalize [13, Theorem 1.1]. Recall that every compact Riemann surface admits a hermitian metric of constant scalar curvature.

Theorem 18. In Theorem 7, if n = 1, E is trivial and (M, ω) is of constant scalar curvature ρ , then

$$B_k(p) = \frac{2k + \rho}{4\pi} (1 + O(k^{-(\log k)/4})).$$

Proof. Again, let $B = B(p, \frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}})$ in a fixed holomorphic chart centered in $p \in M$.

Upper bound: As in Lemma 3, $s = f\sigma^k$, $|s|^2 = |f|^2 e^{-k\varphi}$. Because φ is rotationally invariant, we argue as in (3.10) to get

$$\int_B |s|^2 \mu = \int_B e^{-k\varphi} |f|^2 \mu \geq |f(p)|^2 \int_B e^{-k\varphi} \mu = |s(p)|^2 \int_B e^{-k\varphi} \mu.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$B_k(p) \le \left(\int_B e^{-k\varphi} \mu\right)^{-1}.\tag{6.1}$$

Lower bound: As in Section 5.2, let $s_k \in H^0(M, L^k)$ be the peak section constructed from σ^k . Then

$$B_k(p) \ge A,\tag{6.2}$$

where $A^{-1} = \langle s_k, s_k \rangle = G$. By (3.31), $G = (1 + O(k^{-(\log k)/4})) \int_B e^{-k\varphi} \mu$. Combine (6.1) and (6.2) we find that

$$B_k(p) = \left(\int_B e^{-k\varphi} \mu\right)^{-1} \left(1 + O(k^{-\frac{\log k}{4}})\right).$$

Case $\rho = 0$, locally we may assume that $\varphi(z) = |z|^2$, then $\mu = 2\mu_0$.

$$\int_{B} e^{-k\varphi} \mu = 2 \int_{B} e^{-k|z|^{2}} \mu_{0} = \frac{2\pi}{k} (1 - e^{-\log^{2} k}). \tag{6.3}$$

We can also get (6.3) by formally letting $\rho \to 0$ in result of (6.4). Case $\rho \neq 0$, by [13, (3.5)], locally we may assume that

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{2}{\rho} \log(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}|z|^2).$$

Then

$$g = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} = (1 + \frac{\rho}{2} |z|^2)^{-2}$$

and

$$\mu = 2g\mu_0 = \frac{2}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}|z|)^2}\mu_0.$$

We calculate

$$\int_{B} e^{-k\varphi} \mu = \int_{B} e^{-\frac{2k}{\rho} \log(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}|z|^{2})} \frac{2}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}|z|)^{2}} \mu_{0}$$

$$= 2 \int_{B} (1 + \frac{\rho}{2}r^{2})^{-2 - \frac{2k}{\rho}} r dr d\theta$$

$$= 4\pi \int_{1}^{1 + \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{\log^{2} k}{k}} t^{-2 - \frac{2k}{\rho}} \frac{dt}{\rho}$$

$$= \frac{4\pi}{2k + \rho} \left[1 - \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{\log^{2} k}{k})^{1 + \frac{2k}{\rho}}}\right],$$
(6.4)

where we used the change of variable $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $t = 1 + \frac{\rho}{2}r^2$.

In fact, everything involved is of local nature, therefore the same result holds for any (open) Riemann surfaces (with locally uniform convergence), which is a particular case of [1, Corollary 2.4] (when m = 0).

Remark 5. It seems possible to improve Theorem 18 with an error term of size $O(e^{-\delta k})$ for certain constant $\delta > 0$ (on Riemann surface). See for instance [8, Theorem A]. The case of hermitian symmetric manifold could also be treated, cf.[16, Theorem 1]. We are grateful to Professor X.Ma for providing us these references. One can ask if a similar result holds for any dimensional manifold endowed with a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric.

Appendix A

Appendix

A.1 An alternative proof of Corollary 2

Following [15, Remark 4.1.4], we give another proof of Corollary 2.

Exercise 12. [15, (4.1.5)] Let (M^n, ω) be a hermitian manifold, $E \to M$ a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle of Chern curvature R^E , then

$$niR^E \wedge \omega^{n-1} = (i\Lambda R^E)\omega^n.$$
 (A.1)

Taking trace,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(i\Lambda R^E)\omega^n = 2\pi n c_1(E)\omega^{n-1}.$$

In particular, when $E = T_h M$ with h^E the hermitian metric of M,

$$\operatorname{scal} \cdot \omega^n = 2\pi n c_1(T_h M) \omega^{n-1}.$$

Proof. We check the identity pointwisely. Fix $p \in M$ and a holomorphic chart centered in p and orthonormal at p. Put $\eta_l = dz_l \wedge d\bar{z}_l$ for short. At p,

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij}; \quad \omega = i \sum_{l=1}^{n} \eta_l; \quad i\Lambda R^E = \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{jj}^E.$$

Therefore,

$$(i\Lambda R^E)\omega^n = i^n(\sum_j R^E_{jj})n!\eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_n;$$

$$niR^E \wedge \omega^{n-1} = ni^n \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \eta_l\right)^{n-1} \wedge \left(\sum_{j,k} R^E_{j,\bar{k}} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k\right) = i^n \left(\sum_j R^E_{jj}\right) n! \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_n.$$

We proved (A.1). For the last equation, apply Exercise 6.

We recall two characteristic classes.

Definition 17 (Todd class). If a complex vector bundle E on a topological space S has α_i as its Chern roots, the Todd class $Td(E) = \prod_i Q(\alpha_i)$, where $Q(x) = \frac{x}{1-e^{-x}}$. In particular, $Td(E) = 1 + \frac{c_1(E)}{2} + \dots$ For a complex manifold X, define $Td(X) := Td(T_hX)$.

Definition 18 (Chern character). [15, (1.3.45)] For a holomorphic vector bun $dle\ P \rightarrow X$, define Chern character

$$ch(E) = \text{Tr} \exp(\frac{iR^E}{2\pi}),$$

where R^E is Chern curvature of E. We define the 2j forms $c_i(E)$ by

$$ch(E) = \sum_{j>0} c_j(E).$$

(In particular $c_1(E) = \frac{i}{2\pi} Tr(R^E)$, which agrees with Definition 12. And $c_0(E) =$ rk(E).)

We state the following celebrated theorem.

Theorem 19 (Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch). [15, Theorem 1.4.6] Let P be a holomoprhic vector bundle over a compact complex manifold X, then $\chi(X,P) =$ $\int_X ch(P)Td(X).$

Now we derive the desired result as a corollary.

A second proof of Corollary 2. Note that

$$ch(L^k \otimes E) = \operatorname{Tr} \exp(\frac{iR^{L^k \otimes E}}{2\pi}) = \exp(\frac{ikR^L}{2\pi})\operatorname{Tr} \exp(\frac{iR^E}{2\pi}) = e^{kc_1(L)}ch(E).$$

By Theorem 19, $\chi(M, L^k \otimes E)$ expands into a degree n polynomial in k.

In this asymptotic expansion, the coefficient of k^n is $rk(E) \int_M \frac{c_1^n(L)}{n!} =$ $\frac{rk(E)}{(2\pi)^n} \int_M \mu.$ By Exercise 12.

$$\int_{M} Tr(i\Lambda R^{E}) c_{1}^{n}(L) = n \int_{M} c_{1}(E) c_{1}^{n-1}(L); \tag{A.2}$$

$$\int_{M} \operatorname{scal} c_{1}^{n}(L) = n \int_{M} c_{1}(T_{h}M) c_{1}^{n-1}(L).$$
(A.3)

The coefficient of k^{n-1} is

$$\begin{split} & \int_{M} \frac{c_{1}^{n-1}(L)}{(n-1)!} (rk(E) \frac{c_{1}(T_{h}M)}{2} + c_{1}(E)) \\ & = \frac{1}{n!} \left[\frac{rk(E)}{2} \int_{M} scalc_{1}^{n}(L) + \int_{M} Tr(i\Lambda R^{E}) c_{1}^{n}(L) \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \left[\frac{rk(E)}{2} \int_{M} scal\mu + \int_{M} Tr(i\Lambda R^{E})\mu \right]. \end{split}$$

Thus we get the said asymptotic expansion.

A.2Controlling supreme norm

The following Theorem 20 is a start point of complex analysis.

Theorem 20 (Cauchy integral formula). Let D be an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n with $0 \in D$, for function $f \in C_c^{\infty}(D)$,

$$f(0) = \left(\frac{-1}{\pi}\right)^n \int_D \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial \bar{z}_1 \dots \partial \bar{z}_n} \frac{\mu_0}{z_1 \dots z_n}.$$

We give another theorem of same flavor.

Theorem 21 (Bochner-Martinelli formula). For $\zeta, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the Bochner-Martinelli kernel $\omega(\zeta,z)$ is a differential (n,n-1)-form in ζ defined by

$$\omega(\zeta,z) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \frac{1}{|z-\zeta|^{2n}} \sum_{1 \le j \le n} (\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{z}_j) d\bar{\zeta}_1 \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\zeta_j \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_n \wedge d\zeta_n$$

(where the term $d\bar{\zeta}_j$ is omitted). Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain and $f \in C_c^{\infty}(D)$. Then for $z \in D$, we have

$$f(z) = -\int_{D} \bar{\partial} f(\zeta) \wedge \omega(\zeta, z).$$

Exercise 13. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there is a constant C = C(n) > 0 such that for any $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n),$

1.

$$\frac{|f(0)|}{C} \leq |f|_{L^1(\Delta^n(1),\mu_0)} + \sup_{z \in \Delta^n(1),I(\neq \emptyset) \subset [n]} \left| \frac{\partial^{|I|} f}{\partial \bar{z}^I}(z) \right|$$

2. For any r > 0,

$$\frac{|f(0)|}{C} \leq r^{-2n}|f|_{L^1(\Delta^n(r),\mu_0)} + \sup_{z \in \Delta^n(r), I(\neq \emptyset) \subset [n]} r^{|I|} \left| \frac{\partial^{|I|} f}{\partial \bar{z}^I}(z) \right|$$

Proof. By abuse of notation, we use C to denote various positive constant depending only on n.

1. Fix a smooth function $\rho: \mathbb{C} \to [0,1]$ such that $\rho(z) = 1$ when |z| < 1/2and ρ compactly supported on $\Delta^1(1)$. Set $\psi(z_1,\ldots,z_n):=\prod_{i=1}^n\rho(z_i)$. Apply Theorem 20 to $f\psi$,

$$f(0) = f(0)\psi(0) = C \int_{\Delta^n(1)} \frac{\partial^n(f\psi)}{\partial z^{[n]}} \frac{\mu_0}{z_1 \dots z_n}$$

By Lebnitz formula, $\frac{\partial^n(f\psi)}{\partial z^{[n]}} = \sum_{I \subset [n]} \frac{\partial^{|I|}f}{\partial \bar{z}^I} \frac{\partial^{n-|I|\psi}}{\partial \bar{z}^{[n]} \setminus I}$. (A). When $I = \emptyset$, $\frac{\partial^n\psi}{\partial z^{[n]}} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_i)$ is non-zero only when $|z_i| \geq 1/2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $|\frac{\partial^n\psi}{\partial z^{[n]}} \frac{1}{z_1...z_n}| \leq C$ over whole \mathbb{C}^n . so

$$\left| \int_{\Delta^n(1)} f \frac{\partial^n \psi}{\partial z^{[n]}} \frac{\mu_0}{z_1 \dots z_n} \right| = \left| \int_{1/2 \le |z_i| < 1, \forall i} f \frac{\partial^n \psi}{\partial z^{[n]}} \frac{\mu_0}{z_1 \dots z_n} \right| \le C|f|_{L^1(\Delta^n(1), \mu_0)}.$$

(B). When I is nonempty, $|\frac{\partial^{n-|I|}\psi}{\partial\bar{z}^{[n]\backslash I}}|\leq C$ over $\mathbb{C}^n,$ so

$$\left| \int_{\Delta^{n}(1)} \frac{\partial^{|I|} f}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}} \frac{\partial^{n-|I|} \psi}{\partial \bar{z}^{[n] \setminus I}} \frac{\mu_{0}}{z_{1} \dots z_{n}} \right|$$

$$\leq C \sup_{z \in \Delta^{n}(1)} \left| \frac{\partial^{|I|} f}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}} \right| \int_{\Delta^{n}(1)} \frac{\mu_{0}}{|z_{1} \dots z_{n}|}$$

$$= C \sup_{z \in \Delta^{n}(1)} \left| \frac{\partial^{|I|} f}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}} \right|$$
(A.4)

and we proved 1.

2. Let
$$g(z) := f(rz)$$
 and apply 1. to g .

Remark 6. With similar proof but applying Theorem 21 instead of Theorem 20, we can get rid of higher derivatives. More precisely, there is C = C(n) > 0 such that for any $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and r > 0,

$$\frac{|f(0)|}{C} \le r^{-2n} |f|_{L^1(B(r),\mu_0)} + r \sup_{z \in B(r)} |\bar{\partial}f|.$$

For the sake of completeness, we state a generalization of [3, Theorem 2.1], which is used to control peak section constructed loc.cit. Note how we avoid this result in Section 3.2.2.

Proposition 5. Let M^n be a compact hermitian manifold of volume form μ , L and E be hermitian holomorphic vector bundles with $\operatorname{rk}(L) = 1$. Then there is C > 0 such that for any $s \in C^{\infty}(M, L^k \otimes E)$, any $p \in M$, any (U, z_1, \ldots, z_n) holomorphic chart centered at p, for large $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ we have

$$\frac{1}{C}|s(p)| \le k^n ||s||_{L^1(\Delta^n(r_0),\mu)} + \sup_{z \in \Delta^n(r_0), I(\neq \emptyset) \subset [n]} r_0^{|I|} |\frac{\partial^{|I|} s}{\partial \bar{z}^I}|, \tag{A.5}$$

where $r_0 = 1/\sqrt{k}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3, we can choose a frame σ for L in a neighborhood of $p \in M$ such that $\varphi(z) := -\log |\sigma|_{h^L}^2 = O(|z|^2)$, and $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ as in the Proposition. Expand $s = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r f_\alpha(\sigma^k \otimes v_\alpha)$, where $f_\alpha \in C^\infty$ near p.

$$|s(z)|_h^2 = e^{-k\varphi}(f_1, \dots, f_r)H^E(f_1, \dots, f_r)^H.$$

In particular,

$$|s(p)| = (\sum_{\alpha} |f_{\alpha}(p)|^2)^{1/2} \le \sum_{\alpha} |f_{\alpha}(p)|.$$
 (A.6)

By Exercise 13, (2).

$$\frac{1}{C}|f_{\alpha}(p)| \leq r_0^{-2n}|f_{\alpha}|_{L^1(\Delta^n(r_0),\mu_0)} + \sup_{z \in \Delta^n(r_0),I \neq \emptyset} r_0^{|I|}|\frac{\partial^{|I|}f_{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}^I}(z)|$$

There is A>0 such that in $\Delta^n(r_0), |\varphi(z)-\varphi(0)| \leq 2Ar_0^2$, so

$$e^{-k\varphi(z)} > e^{-2Akr_0^2} = e^{-2A}$$

There is a > 0 such that $H^E \ge a^2 I d_r$ and $\mu \ge a \mu_0$ on $\Delta^n(r_0)$.

$$||s||_{L^{1}(\Delta^{n}(r_{0}),\mu)} = \int_{\Delta^{n}(r_{0})} |s|\mu$$

$$= \int_{\Delta^{n}(r_{0})} e^{-k\varphi/2} [(f_{1},\dots,f_{r})H^{E}(f_{1},\dots,f_{r})^{H}]^{1/2}\mu$$

$$\geq \int_{\Delta^{n}(r_{0})} e^{-A} a (\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} |f_{\alpha}(z)|^{2})^{1/2} a\mu_{0}$$

$$\geq e^{-A} a^{2} \int_{\Delta^{n}(r_{0})} r^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha} |f_{\alpha}|\mu_{0}$$

$$= e^{-A} a^{2} r^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha} |f_{\alpha}|_{L^{1}(\Delta^{n}(r_{0}),\mu_{0})},$$
(A.7)

where the last inequality is Cauchy-Schwarz.

Note

$$\frac{\partial^{|I|}s}{\partial \bar{z}^I} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{|I|}f_{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}^I} (\sigma^k \otimes v_{\alpha}),$$

so

$$\begin{split} |\frac{\partial^{|I|}s}{\partial\bar{z}^I}| &= e^{-k\varphi/2} [(\frac{\partial^{|I|}f_1}{\partial\bar{z}^I}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{|I|}f_r}{\partial\bar{z}^I}) H^E (\frac{\partial^{|I|}f_1}{\partial\bar{z}^I}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{|I|}f_r}{\partial\bar{z}^I})^H]^{1/2} \\ &\geq e^{-A} a (\sum_{\alpha} |\frac{\partial^{|I|}f_{\alpha}}{\partial\bar{z}^I}|^2)^{1/2} \\ &\geq e^{-A} a |\frac{\partial^{|I|}f_{\alpha_0}}{\partial\bar{z}^I}|, \end{split}$$

for any $1 \le \alpha_0 \le r$. Thus

$$\sup_{z} \left| \frac{\partial^{|I|} s}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}} \right| \ge e^{-A} a \sup_{z} \left| \frac{\partial^{|I|} f_{\alpha_0}}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}} \right|.$$

Taking sum we get

$$\sup_{z} \left| \frac{\partial^{|I|} s}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}} \right| \ge r^{-1} e^{-A} a \sum_{\alpha} \sup_{z} \left| \frac{\partial^{|I|} f_{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}} \right|. \tag{A.8}$$

Now

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{C}|s(p)| \leq \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{C}|f_{\alpha}(p)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha} (r_{0}^{-2n}|f_{\alpha}|_{L^{1}(\Delta^{n}(r_{0}),\mu_{0})} + \sup_{z \in \Delta^{n}(r_{0}),I \neq \emptyset} r_{0}^{|I|}|\frac{\partial^{|I|}f_{\alpha}}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}}(z)|), \\ &\leq k^{n}\|s\|_{L^{1}(\Delta^{n}(r_{0}),\mu)} + \sup_{z \in \Delta^{n}(r_{0}),I(\neq \emptyset) \subset [n]} r_{0}^{|I|}|\frac{\partial^{|I|}s}{\partial \bar{z}^{I}}| \end{split}$$

where we used (A.6),(A.7),(A.8).

Remark 7. By Remark 6, we can show

$$\frac{|s(p)|}{C} \le k^n ||s||_{L^1(\Delta^n(r_0),\mu)} + k^{-1/2} \sup_{z \in \Delta^n(r_0)} |\bar{\partial}s|.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$||s||_{L^1(\Delta^n(r_0),\mu)} \le \operatorname{vol}(\Delta^n(r_0),\mu)^{1/2} ||s||_{L^2(\Delta^n(r_0),\mu)} \le Ck^{-n/2} ||s||_{L^2(\Delta^n(r_0),\mu)}.$$

Therefore, we can deduce

$$\frac{|s(p)|}{C} \le k^{n/2} \|s\|_{L^2(\Delta^n(r_0),\mu)} + \sup_{z \in \Delta^n(r_0),I(\neq \emptyset)[n]} r_0^{|I|} |\frac{\partial^{|I|}s}{\partial \bar{z}^I}|$$

from (A.5).

Bibliography

- [1] Hugues Auvray, Xiaonan Ma, and George Marinescu. Bergman kernels on punctured Riemann surfaces. *Mathematische Annalen*, 379(3):951–1002, 2021.
- [2] David Catlin. The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian. In Analysis and geometry in several complex variables, pages 1–23. Springer, 1999.
- [3] Laurent Charles. A note on the Bergman kernel. Comptes Rendus Mathematique, 353(2):121–125, 2015.
- [4] So-Chin Chen and Mei-Chi Shaw. Partial differential equations in several complex variables, volume 19. American Mathematical Soc., 2001.
- [5] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Complex analytic and differential geometry. Citeseer, 1997.
- [6] Lawrence C Evans. Partial differential equations and Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer. Current developments in mathematics, 1997(1):65–126, 1997.
- [7] Lawrence C Evans and Ronald F Garzepy. Measure theory and fine properties of functions. Routledge, 2018.
- [8] J Friedman, Jay Jorgenson, and Jürg Kramer. Effective sup-norm bounds on average for cusp forms of even weight. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 372(11):7735–7766, 2019.
- [9] Lars Hörmander. L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator. Acta Mathematica, 113(1):89–152, 1965.
- [10] Lars Hörmander. The analysis of linear partial differential operators I: Distribution theory and Fourier analysis. Springer, 2015.
- [11] Julien Keller. Asymptotic of generalized Bergman kernel on a non compact Kähler manifold. *Preprint, http://www. latp. univ-mrs. fr/~ jkeller*, 2003.
- [12] Robert Lazarsfeld. Positivity in algebraic geometry. II. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 49. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

- [13] Chiung-ju Liu. The asymptotic Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansion on Riemann surfaces with constant curvature. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 14(4):1665–1675, 2010.
- [14] Zhiqin Lu. On the lower order terms of the asymptotic expansion of Tian-Yau-Zelditch. American Journal of Mathematics, 122(2):235–273, 2000.
- [15] Xiaonan Ma and George Marinescu. Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels, volume 254. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [16] Xiaonan Ma and George Marinescu. Exponential estimate for the asymptotics of Bergman kernels. *Mathematische Annalen*, 362(3):1327–1347, 2015.
- [17] Jeffery McNeal and Dror Varolin. L^2 estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator. Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences, 5, 07 2015.
- [18] Robin Pemantle and Mark C Wilson. Analytic combinatorics in several variables. Number 140 in Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [19] Wei-Dong Ruan. Canonical coordinates and Bergman metrics. arXii preprint dq-qa/9610011, 1996.
- [20] W Rudin. Functional Analysis Tata McGraw. Hill Publishing Company LTD New Delhi, 1973.
- [21] SAYED SABER. On the applications of Bochner-Kodaira-Morrey-Kohn identity. *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, 45(6):881–896, 2021.
- [22] Jean-Pierre Serre. Faisceaux Algébriques Cohérents. Annals of Mathematics, pages 197–278, 1955.
- [23] Yum Tong Siu et al. Complex-analyticity of harmonic maps, vanishing and Lefschetz theorems. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 17(1):55–138, 1982.
- [24] Yum-Tong Siu and Shing-Tung Yau. Complete Kähler manifolds with non-positive curvature of faster than quadratic decay. *Annals of Mathematics*, 105(2):225–264, 1977.
- [25] Gábor Székelyhidi. An Introduction to Extremal Kähler Metrics, volume 152. American Mathematical Society, 2014.
- [26] Gang Tian. On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds. Journal of Differential Geometry, 32(1):99–130, 1990.
- [27] Gang Tian. Canonical metrics in Kähler geometry. Birkhäuser, 2012.
- [28] Lijing Wang. Bergman kernel and stability of holomorphic vector bundles with sections. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2003.

- [29] Xiaowei Wang. Canonical metric and stability of vector bundles over a projective manifold. Brandeis University, 2002.
- [30] Hung-Hsi Wu. The Bochner technique in differential geometry. *Mathematical reports*, 3:298–542, 1988.
- [31] Steve Zelditch. Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 1998(6):317–331, 1998.