

# Structural Characterization and Physicochemical Properties of Functionally Porous Proton-Exchange Membrane Based on PVDF-SPA Graft Copolymers

Maria Ponomar, Valentina Ruleva, Veronika Sarapulova, Natalia Pismenskaya, Victor Nikonenko, Alina Maryasevskaya, Denis Anokhin, Dimitri Ivanov, Jeet Sharma, Vaibhav Kulshrestha, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Maria Ponomar, Valentina Ruleva, Veronika Sarapulova, Natalia Pismenskaya, Victor Nikonenko, et al.. Structural Characterization and Physicochemical Properties of Functionally Porous Proton-Exchange Membrane Based on PVDF-SPA Graft Copolymers. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2024, 25 (1), pp.598. 10.3390/ijms25010598. hal-04383571

# HAL Id: hal-04383571 https://hal.science/hal-04383571

Submitted on 9 Jan 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





# 1 Article

- <sup>2</sup> Structural characterization and physicochemical properties of
- <sup>3</sup> functionally porous proton-exchange membrane based on

# <sup>4</sup> PVDF-SPA graft copolymers

Maria Ponomar<sup>1</sup>, Valentina Ruleva<sup>1</sup>, Veronika Sarapulova<sup>1</sup>, Natalia Pismenskaya<sup>1</sup>, Victor Nikonenko<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Alina
 Maryasevskaya<sup>2,3</sup>, Denis Anokhin<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Dimitri Ivanov<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, Jeet Sharma<sup>6,7,8</sup>, Vaibhav Kulshrestha<sup>7,8</sup> and Bruno
 Ameduri<sup>2,6</sup>

8			<sup>1</sup> Kuban State University, Krasnodar, 350040, Russia; v_nikonenko@mail.ru
9			<sup>2</sup> Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
10 11			<sup>3</sup> Federal Research Center of Problems of Chemical Physics and Medicinal Chemistry RAS, Acad. Semenov Av. 1 142432 Chemogolovka Russia
12			<ol> <li><sup>4</sup> Sirius University of Science and Technology, Center for Genetics and Life Science, 1 Olympic Ave, 354340</li> </ol>
13			Sochi, Russia
14			<sup>5</sup> Institut de Sciences des Matériaux de Mulhouse-IS2M, CNRS UMR 7361, Jean Starcky, 15, F-68057 Mul-
15 16			house, France 6 Institute Charles Cerbardt University of Montpollier, CNRS, ENSCM, 34293, Montpollier, France
17			<sup>7</sup> Council of Scientific and Industrial Research - Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute (CSIR-
18			CSMCRI), Membrane Science and Separation Technology Division, Bhavnagar, India-364002
19		;	<sup>8</sup> Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India-201002
20			* Correspondence: v_nikonenko@mail.ru; Tel.: +7-918-41-45-816
21			Abstract: Fluorinated proton-exchange membranes (PEMs) based on graft copolymers
22			of dehydrofluorinated polyvinylidene fluoride (D-PVDF), 3-sulfopropyl acrylate (SPA)
23			and 1H, 1H, 2H-perfluoro-1-hexene (PFH) were prepared via free radical copolymeriza-
24			tion and characterized for fuel cell application. The membrane morphology and physi-
25			cal properties were studied by small- (SAXS) and wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS),
26			SEM and DSC. It was found that the crystallinity degree is 17% for PEM-RCF (co-
27			polymer with SPA) and 16% for PEM-RCF-2 (copolymer with SPA and PFH). The de-
28			signed membranes possess crystallite grains of 5-6 nm in diameter. SEM images reveal
29			a structure with open pores on the surface of diameters from 20 to 140 nm. Their
	Citation: To be added by editorial	30	transport and electrochemical characterization shows that the lowest membrane area
	staff during production.	31	resistance (0.9 $\Omega$ cm2) is comparable to perfluorosulfonic acid PEMs (such as Nafion®)
	Academic Editor: First-name	32	and PVDF-based CJMC cation-exchange membranes (ChemJoy Polymer Materials,
	Lastname	33	China). Key transport and physicochemical properties of new and commercial mem-
	Received: date	34	branes were compared. The PEM-RCF permeability to NaCl diffusion is rather high,
	Revised: date	35	which is due to a relatively low concentration of fixed sulfonate groups. Voltammetry
	Published: date	36	confers that the electrochemical behavior of new PEM correlates to that of commercial
		37	cation-exchange membranes, while the ionic conductivity reveals an impact of the ex-
	ВУ	38	tended pores, as in track-etched membranes.

**Keywords:** Conductivity; diffusion permeability; energy production and storage; ion transport; proton-exchange membranes; fuel cell, graft copolymer, electrochemical characterization, porous structure.

**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access<sub>39</sub>

# 1. Introduction

Sustainable development of the modern society is closely connected to efficient and safe production and usage of energy. Mankind is constantly searching for new clean sources of energy based on concepts that are ecologically and economically appropriate for the long-term future. Polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) can generate electricity using hydrogen (or other fuel). Polymer electrolyte membrane water electrolyzers (PEMWEs) can generate hydrogen. Coupled together, PEMFCs and PEMWEs can form the basis of an efficient green hydrogen zero-carbon technology for energy production and storage [1–3]. It is noted that the main features of such systems enlist low operating temperature, high energy-conversion efficiency, low emission, quiet operation and easy scale-up [1,4]. Proton exchange membrane is a major component in these devices and channelizes the conduction of protons from the anode to the cathode.

Numerous studies and review papers have been devoted to the study of PEM [1,5-12] and suggest that the characteristics of the PEM has a significant effect on the performance and durability of the PEMFCs. Among all commercial samples for the PEMFC applications, the most used membranes are perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) due to its stability, long-term performance, mechanical strength and low conduction resistance [13,14]. Standard reference PFSA used in energy conversion systems is Nafion® membrane, developed by the DuPont Company, which consists of an aliphatic perfluorinated backbone with ether-linked side chains bearing sulfonated cation exchange sites. However, despite all the advantages of the Nafion – like PFSA membranes, there are several challenges viz dehydration induced inoperability at high temperatures, gas/fuel crossover, high cost of materials and production of harmful wastes [6,15,16]. Hence, the use of complex fluorine chemistry involved in the PFSA PEM fabrication method is not only the reason for the high stability in oxidative and reductive conditions of these membranes, but also for their high price and environmental hazards [10]. Noteworthy, that cost of the membrane is an important point because the PEM material is one of the major contributors (along with catalysts in these systems) to the cost of the membrane electrode assembly [17]. These shortcomings and the need for environmentally friendly and cheap power generation systems have initiated a worldwide research effort to develop alternative PEMs for fuel cells.

Today, the alternate membranes designs to Nafion® are partially fluorinated membranes, membranes with hydrocarbon polymer matrixes, inorganic-organic hybrid membranes, acid-base complexes and grafting membranes by irradiation [6,10,16]. Introducing additives in the membrane, i.e. ionic liquids [18–21] and inorganic compounds [22–27] is used to improve mechanical strength, thermal stability, ionic conductivity, water behavior and other properties of the PEM. Detailed review on the effects of some dopants on PFSA membrane behavior can be found in Ref. [28].

Among this variety of polymers and dopants, partially fluorinated membranes based on the PVDF copolymers attract special attention [29–35]. Such membranes are cheaper, easy to manufacture and flexible in changing properties [29,30]. By varying the synthesis conditions, different exchange capacity, water content, porosity and degree of cross-linking of such materials can be achieved. Significant improvement of the propertied of the PVDF-based membranes intended for direct methanol fuel cells can be achieved by incorporating sulfonated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> nanorods [36] or sulfonated graphene oxide [37] into the PVDF structure. In addition, membranes with PVDF copolymers matrix can be suitable not only for PEMFC applications but also in electrodialysis, electro deionization, membrane electrolysis, etc. [31,38–42].

This work reports systematic studies on the synthesis and pivotal physicochemical/ion transport properties of a PVDF-based sulfonated proton exchange membrane. The electrochemical attributes of the synthesized fluorinated membranes were compared with those of commercially available PFSA-based PEMs and cation exchange membranes with sulfonated PVDF matrix designed for electrodialysis application. This

53 54

55 56

57

58

59

60

61

62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69 70

71 72

73

74 75

76 77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88 89

90

91

92

93

94 95

#### 2. Results and discussion In this research, polyvinylidene fluoride-based membranes PEM-RCF and PEM-RCF-2 were synthesized. The chemical modification of PVDF to prepare membranes was achieved as follows: first, dehydrofluorination of PVDF in basic medium [31] led to PVDF containing double bond in the backbone (D-PVDF). Such double bond could react with 3-sulfopropyl acrylate (SPA) and 1H, 1H, 2H-perfluoro-1-hexene (PFH) un-der rad-ical initiation to lead to the membranes [43]. Figure 1 illustrates the reaction steps and synthetic strategy adopted for PEMs fabrication.

branes and outline ways of their further improvement.



comparison allows to determine the most promising applications of fabricated mem-

**Figure 1.** Synthetic route adopted for PEM-RCF and PEM-RCF-2 preparation. Black colour indicates the hydrophobic component (PVDF and PFH), blue colour indicates the hydrophilic component of the copolymer (SPA). AIBN is azobisisobutyronitrile; IPA is isopropyl alcohol.

# 2.1. Structural Characteristics of the Membranes under Study

The perturbations in melting behaviour and crystallinity of functionally modified PVDF via grafting of 3-Sulfopropyl acrylate and 1H, 1H, 2H-Perfluoro-hex-1-ene were studied using DSC technique. Consequently, the melting of PVDF phase is observed for PEM-RCF (Figure 2(a)), and for PEM-RCF-2 (Figure 2(b)), with an onset temperature of 121 °C and 127 °C, respectively. The reduction of melting temperature in comparison with pure PVDF might be caused by disturbance of the crystalline phase of PVDF by small fraction of grafted side chains according to Flory's approach [44]. The enthalpy is 18.2 J/g for PEM-RCF and 17.2 J/g for PEM-RCF-2. Given the melting enthalpy of 104.5 J/g for 100% crystalline PVDF [45], the degree of crystallinity are estimated to be 17% and 16% for PEM-RCF and PEM-RCF-2, respectively.



**Figure 2.** DSC traces of PEM-RCF (a) and PEM-RCF-2 (b). Red curves represent the first heating cycle, brown curves correspond to the second heating cycle, and blue curves indicate the cooling phase.

The indexation of the WAXS peaks results in the identification of the  $\alpha$ -phase of PVDF [46], as shown in Figure 3(a). The peaks are broadened due to the small lateral crystal size (5-6 nm). The SAXS curve for the PEM-RCF-2 membrane, as shown by the red line in Figure 4(b), exhibits a significant peak L<sub>1</sub>=L<sub>c</sub>+L<sub>a</sub>=7.9 nm, characteristic of the lamellar stacking in PVDF, which includes alternating crystalline (L<sub>c</sub>) and amorphous (L<sub>a</sub>) layers [42,43]. The absence of this peak in PEM-RCF, indicated by the red line in Figure 4(a), suggests a less ordered structure, potentially enhancing the membrane's transport properties, since proton transport predominantly occurs through the amorphous regions. Interest-ingly, after the treatment of PEM-RCF-2 described in section 3.3, the SAXS peak shifts to smaller angles corresponding to long period L2=9.0 nm because of water absorption into the interlamellar amorphous regions (Figure 4(b), black line). Weight fraction of absorbed water WSAXS can be estimated by assuming a typical scenario where the thickness of the crystalline and amorphous layers in lamellar stacks is equal, i.e., L<sub>c</sub>=L<sub>a</sub>=0.5L<sub>1</sub>. Taking into account the densities of the amorphous and  $\alpha$ -crystal phase of PVDF of 1.73 and 1.92 g/cm<sup>3</sup> [47], respectively, one can estimate WsAXS as:

$$W_{SAXS} = \frac{\rho_w (L_2 - L_1)}{\rho_w (L_2 - L_1) + \rho_c L_c + \rho_a L_a}$$
(1)

where  $\rho_w$ ,  $\rho_c$  and  $\rho_t$  represent the densities of water, the crystalline and the amorphous phase of PVDF, respectively. Water content in PEM-RCF-2 after treatment in sulfuric acid, as calculated from equation (1), is approximately 7% by weight. In contrast, a similar treatment of PEM-RCF does not lead to any noticeable changes in the membrane morphology (Figure 4(a), black line). During heating and subsequent crystallization, the lamellar morphology of both membranes becomes more pronounced, i.e. the intensity of the main interference peak, or the long period, increases, while its position shifts to 13.5 and 15.2 nm for PEM-RCF and PEM-RCF-2, respectively (Figure 4(a)(b), blue lines). Since the recrystallization of the membranes occurs in a dry state, the increase of the long period can be associated with lamellar thickening of  $\alpha$ -crystals. This structural change could negatively influence water uptake and proton diffusion across the amorphous regions, showing a direct correlation between crystal and supramolecular structures and the transport properties of the membranes under study.



Figure 3. WAXS diffractogram of the PEM-RCF membrane with peak indexation.



**Figure 4.** SAXS profiles of PEM-RCF (a) and PEM-RCF-2 (b): as prepared (red curves), after treatment (black curves), after recrystallization from the melt (blue curves).

SEM images of both PEM-RCF membranes (Figure 5) reveal porous structure. As evidenced, PEM-RCF is more porous, with variation of pore diameters in the range of 56-138 nm and a pore density of 1.4×10<sup>9</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>. These factors might have a great impact on the transport characteristics. Table 1 provides a summary of the structural information on the membranes.



(a)

**Figure 5.** SEM surface images of PEM-RCF (a) and PEM-RCF-2 (b).

1	6	6
	υ	υ

Table 1. Structural characteristics of the PEM-RCF and PEM-RCF-2 membra	anes.
---	-------

	Membrane	Deg crystall	ree of linity, %	PVDF phase	Grain size from XRD, nm	Diameter of open	Pore density,	Membrane thickness, <sup>1</sup> d <sub>mb</sub> , μm	
_		DSC	WAXS			extended pores, fill	poreștem		
	PEM-RCF	17	18	α	5	<sup>5</sup> 56-138	$1.4 \times 10^{9}$	29±2	
	PEM-RCF-2	16	19	α	6	<sup>5</sup> 20-58	$2.8 \times 10^{8}$	86±2	

<sup>1</sup> in the swollen state in equilibrium with 0.05M HCl solution

# 2.2. Structural characteristics of the membranes used for comparison

The transport properties of ion-exchange membranes (ionic conductivity, permselectivity and some other) are controlled by their porous structure, including pore size distribution, their direction in space and possible agglomeration, and the physicochemical characteristics of the pore walls [48,49]. In order to get an idea of the porous structure of the designed membranes, their transport and electrochemical properties were compared with the corresponding properties of some commercial membranes.

Two perfluorosulfonated membranes, Nafion® 117 (Dupont) and MF-4SK (Plastpolymer, Russia) are chosen as usual references of PEM for fuel cells. These membranes consist of an aliphatic perfluorinated backbone and ether-linked side chains with sulfonated cation exchange sites. The pore structure is schematized as a system of hydrated clusters with a diameter of about 4 nm, which are connected by channels with 1 nm in diameter and length [50]. The relatively large clusters (the aggregates of fixed sulfo groups with mobile counterions) condition high ionic conductivity, and the presence of narrow channels creates electrostatic barrier for the anion transport, which ensures high permselectivity of these membranes [51]. The channels ensure the percolation [52] of the membrane, the degree of which can be different in regard of counterions and co-ions [53]. According to the standard contact porosimetry data [54–56], most of the pores contained in these membranes have a radius less than 10 nm. However, there are also pores with a radius of up to 50 nm, but there are no macropores with a radius higher than 100 nm.

Cation-exchange CJMC-3 and CJMC-4 membranes (ChemJoy Polymer Materials, Hefei, China) are chosen for the comparison, since their ion exchange matrix is based on polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) functionalized with sulfonic  $-SO_3^-$  groups, and cross-linked with an aromatic agent, similar as the novel membranes under study. Membranes of this type contain nanometer-scale pores. In addition, the crosslinking degree of the

CJMC-3 ion-exchange matrix is relatively low, it contains polyester reinforcing fiber, which causes the presence of macropores localized at the matrix/reinforcement fiber interface [38].

A track-etched polyethylene terephthalate membrane with the provisional name TEM#811 (made by the Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia) [57,58] is added for the comparison, since it contains through pores with a radius of 20 nm and pore density of  $5 \times 10^9$  (estimated by SEM [59]) comparable with the pores of the PEM-RCF membrane (Table 1). The pore walls contain cation-exchange hydroxyl and carboxyl groups within an ion-conducting gel loose layer of thickness of a few nm.

The thicknesses of Nafion® 117, MF-4SK, CJMC-3 and CJMC-4 membranes are relatively close: 230  $\mu$ m [60], 190-230  $\mu$ m [61], 185  $\mu$ m [38] and 128  $\mu$ m (our data), respectively; while that of TEM#811 is significantly less: 10  $\mu$ m [59].

# 2.3. Transport Characteristics

Some characteristics of the membranes under study are presented in Table 2.

Гab	le	2. 3	Some	phy	rsicoc	hem	ical c	harac	terist	ics c	of t	he	mem	bran	es
-----	----	------	------	-----	--------	-----	--------	-------	--------	-------	------	----	-----	------	----

Membrane	Q, mmol g⁻¹ wet	Water content, W, gH2O/gwet, %	n <sub>m</sub> , mol H2O/mol functional groups	Area resistance, R, Ω cm <sup>2</sup>	<sup>7</sup> <b>f</b> 2app	Contact angle, (wet), grad	
PEM-RCF	$^{1}$ 0.12 ± 0.02	$^{3}26 \pm 2$	<sup>3</sup> 122	<sup>5</sup> 0.9±0.05	0.81	40 ± 2	
PEM-RCF-2	$^{1}$ 0.08 ± 0.01	${}^{3}7 \pm 2$	<sup>3</sup> 52	⁵ 571 ± 3	-	-	
TEM#811	$^{1}$ 0.064 ± 0.003 [62]	<sup>4</sup> 6.5 [59]	<sup>4</sup> 43 [59]	<sup>5</sup> 0.3 [62]	0.95 [62]	62 ± 3	
Nafion® 117	<sup>1</sup> 0.71 [60]	<sup>3</sup> 14.8 [60]	<sup>3</sup> 14 [60]	<sup>6</sup> 0.82 [60]	0.1-0.15 [63]	<sup>8</sup> 108.1±0.7 [64]	
MF-4SK	<sup>2</sup> 0.58 [63]	<sup>3</sup> 16.7 [63]	<sup>3</sup> 16 [63]	-	0.05 [63]	77.5±2.6 [65]	
CJMC-3	$^{1}$ 0.63 ± 0.05 [38]	<sup>4</sup> 30 ± 3 [38]	<sup>4</sup> 27 [38]	$52.2 \pm 0.3$ [38]	0.33 [38]	51±2 [66]	
CJMC-4	$^{1}$ 1.2 ± 0.1	$429 \pm 3$	<sup>4</sup> 13	<sup>6</sup> 2.5± 0.1	0.09	64±1 [66]	

1 - equilibrium exchange capacity (H<sup>+</sup> was replaced by Na<sup>+</sup> and titrated); 2 - total exchange capacity (H<sup>+</sup> directly titrated by OH<sup>-</sup>); 3 – in H<sup>+</sup> form; 4 – in Na<sup>+</sup> form; 5 – in 0.5 M NaCl; 6 – in 0.2 M NaCl; 7 - determined from measurements of electrical conductivity in NaCl (section 2.4); 8 – in dry state. Values obtained in this study are presented in bold.

Comparison of the membranes in Table 2 shows that the PVDF-based membranes (the PEM-RCF one and the CJMC ones) are characterized by a relatively high water content despite the fact that the PEM-RCF and the CJMC-3 display low exchange capacities. Only the PEM-RCF-2 membrane having an extremely low exchange capacity is distinguished by a low W value, according to the SAXS result, water in this sample is localized in the amorphous regions and probably does not form continuous channels. Nevertheless, there is a maximum amount of water per mole of fixed groups for both membranes. The high water content in the manufactured sample PEM-RCF can be partly caused by a fairly high density of macropores and their large radius. However, even when assuming that these pores are through, a simple evaluation shows that their volume fraction is insignificant and amounts to about 8%. It is more likely that the high water content is due to the presence of hydrophilic fragments specially introduced into the polymer matrix, which contain oxygen and

sulfonate groups (Figure 1). These hydrophilic fragments should contribute to the formation of a system of nanopores similar to that in Nafion® and other PEM [67,68], which contains ionic clusters and channels (Figure 6); the latter are filled with an aqueous electrolyte solution. The rather high hydrophilicity of the synthesized polymer is also indicated by the smallest contact angle of the PEM-RCF surface (40 °) among other studied membranes (Table 2). Noteworthy the contact angle of the PVDF-based CJMC-3 membrane is also small despite its low exchange capacity. This small value might be due to the presence of open extended macropores on the surface of CJMC-3 membrane [38].



Figure 6. Probable structure of a swollen PEM-RCF membrane. EDL is electrical double layer.

Figure 7 exhibits the concentration dependences of the conductivity and integral diffusion permeability coefficient of the synthesized membranes in sodium chloride solutions. Figure 8 presents the temperature dependences of the conductivity of these membranes in a 0.05M HCl solution.



237 238

236

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

239

241

242 243

**Figure 7.** Concentration dependences of the membrane conductivity,  $\kappa^*$ , (**a**) and integral diffusion permeability coefficient, *P*, (**b**) of the PEM-RCF and PEM-RCF-2 membranes in NaCl solutions. The 95% confidence interval of the measurement is marked by a vertical line. The solid lines are guides to the eye.



**Figure 8.** Dependence of the membrane conductivity in 0.05M HCl solution  $\kappa^*$  as a function of temperature (a) and in the Arrhenius coordinates (b). The solid lines are guides to the eye. The equations correspond to a linear regression of the experimental data. Data for Nafion® 117 membrane are taken from Ref. [69].

The conductivity of both synthesized membranes increases almost linearly with increasing concentration of the external NaCl solution. The electrical conductivity of the PEM-RCF sample turns out to be an order of magnitude higher than that of PEM-RCF-2 sample, which evidently is due to the low exchange capacity of the latter. The temperature dependences of the membrane conductivity presented in Arrhenius coordinates have classical linear shape [69–72] (Figure 8).

The diffusion permeability, P, of both membranes decreases as the NaCl concentration of the external solution increases. Apparently, this decrease is due to the fact that with increasing external solution concentration, the membranes lose water. The latter is caused by a decrease in the difference in osmotic pressure between the internal and external solutions (the "swelling pressure" [73]), which leads to shrinkage of the membrane matrix and narrowing the pores [73]. The decrease of P in the case of PEM-RCF-2 is very strong, at the NaCl concentration of 1.5 M the value of P of this membrane is 50 times lower than that of the PEM-RCF membrane. It seems that the channel conductance regarding the co-ion (Cl<sup>-</sup>) becomes so low that the co-ion percolation of the PEM-RCF-2 membrane is lost at a certain external solution concentration.

# 2.4. Comparison of transport and electrochemical characteristics of synthesized and commercial membranes

The activation energy of conductivity, found according to Equation (9) (Section 3.3) from the  $ln\kappa^* - 1/T$  dependences (Figure 8(b)) is equal to 8.6 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (for the PEM-RCF membrane) and 9.7 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (for the PEM-RCF-2 membrane), which is close to that of 9.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for Nafion® 117 as obtained by Yurova et al. [69]. Note that the activation energy of proton transfer in aqueous solutions of acids including the case where such a solution is in a macropore is about 5 kJ/mol [74]. It is known that the activation energy for conductivity is equal to the sum of the activation energy for proton migration and half the energy for the formation of defects in the membrane. It decreases in the presence of large pores, the proton transport in which occurs by the Grotthuss mechanism [75,76].

280

281

282

283

284

285

286 287

288

289

290

291

292

293 294

295

296

297

298

299

300 301

302 303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310 311 On the contrary, the activation energy of conductivity increases if the transferred protons are located at a large distance from each other (low concentration of fixed groups) in pores of small radius. A similar effect is observed also, if the pores are isolated [77]. Our data indicate that the sizes of the pores, which are formed in the synthesized membranes in conditions of a relatively dilute bathing solution (0.05 M HCl), are comparable to the pore sizes formed in the Nafion®-117 membrane.

The conductivity of the PEM-RCF membrane in the H<sup>+</sup>-form is about three times higher than that in Na<sup>+</sup>-form (Figs. 7 and 8). At 25 °C and when the bathing solution concentration is 0.05 M, in the first case, the PEM-RCF conductivity is about 3.0 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, while in the second case, 1.0 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>. A similar ratio is observed for Nafion® membranes. For example, at 25 °C and close concentrations, the conductivity of a Nafion® 425 membrane is 16 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 3.6 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, in H<sup>+</sup>- and Na<sup>+</sup>- form, respectively [78]. For Nafion® 117 this ratio is slightly higher: 51 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> [69] and 9.3 mS cm<sup>-1</sup> [79] in 0.05 M HCl and 0.05 M NaCl solutions, respectively. The higher conductivity of cationexchange membranes in the H<sup>+</sup>-form in comparison with the Na<sup>+</sup>-form is due to the higher mobility of H<sup>+</sup> ions. However, the increase in membrane conductivity (3-5 times is lower than the increase in ion mobility in free solution: the mobility/diffusion coefficient of H<sup>+</sup> in aqueous solutions is about 7 times higher than that of Na<sup>+</sup>. In the literature, two mechanisms of proton transport in cation-exchange membranes are discussed [48, 49]: vehicular motion, which is the movement of the center of mass of proton in an aqueous environment, and the Grotthuss mechanism, which is proton shuttling through hydrogen bonded network. The contribution of the Grotthuss shuttling, which determines the high mobility of H<sup>+</sup> ions, increases with increasing water content in a cationexchange membrane.

### Conductivity and diffusion permeability

Figure 9 shows the concentration dependences of conductivity and integral diffusion permeability of the PEM-RCF sample as well as the commercial membranes selected for comparison. Figure 10 presents the counterion transport numbers in these membranes. We measured the characteristics of the CJMC-4 in this study; the data for other commercial membranes are taken from the literature: Nafion® 117 [79], MF-4SK [63], TEM#811 track-etched membrane [62], CJMC-3 [38].



**Figure 9.** Concentration dependences of the electrical conductivity (a) and integral diffusion permeability coefficient (b) of the membranes: PEM-RCF, Nafion® 117 [79], MF-4SK [63], TEM#811 [62], CJMC-3 [38] and CJMC-4 in NaCl solutions; if the data were taken from a reference, it is indicated. The solid lines are guides to the eye.

- 314 315
- 515

The shape of the concentration dependences of the conductivity of the membranes presented in Figure 9 can be explained using the microheterogeneous model [80]. This model considers the membrane as a microheterogeneous swollen medium, which consists of two phases: i) The intergel phase is an electrically neutral solution, which is located in the center of the macro- and mesopores. It is assumed that this solution is identical to the external equilibrium solution; ii) The gel phase combines all the other components of the membrane: it is a microporous medium containing the polymer chains with fixed groups (sulfonate groups in our case); the charge of the fixed groups is compensated by a charged aqueous solution filling the hydrophilic domains of the membrane. There are mobile counterions (carrying a charge of the same sign as the fixed ions) and, in smaller quantities, co-ions (carrying the opposite sign of charge). The gel phase involves also inert filler (if any) and reinforcing fabric (if any). The conductivity of the gel phase,  $\overline{\kappa}$ , to a first approximation, is considered a constant value, which depends on the counterion diffusion coefficient,  $\overline{D}_{t}$ , in this phase, and on its exchange capacity,  $\overline{Q}$ :

$$\overline{\kappa} = \frac{z_i \overline{D}_i \overline{Q} F^2}{RT}$$
(2)

The value of  $\bar{Q}$  is related to the exchange capacity of the membrane Q:

$$= Q / f_1 \tag{3}$$

where  $f_1$  is the volume fraction of the gel phase. The volume fraction of the intergel phase  $f_2=1-f_1$ .

In the case where (1) the solution concentration is close to the concentration of the iso-conductance point (where the conductivities of the membrane and solution are equal), and (2) the membrane contains approximately an equal number of pores, which are connected between them in parallel and in series (regarding the direction of the current flow), the conductivity of the membrane ( $\kappa^*$ ), that of the gel phase ( $\bar{\kappa}$ ) and the electrically neutral solution in the intergel spaces ( $\kappa$ ) are related to each other by the following equation:

$$= \overline{\kappa}^{f_1} \kappa^{f_2}$$
 (4)

Using Equation (4), it is not difficult to find the values of  $f_2$  and  $f_1$ , as well as  $\bar{\kappa}$ , if the experimental results are presented in the  $\lg \kappa^* vs. \lg \kappa$  (or  $\lg \kappa^* vs. \lg C$ ) coordinates, since  $\lg \kappa^* = f_1 \lg \bar{\kappa} + f_2 \lg \kappa \approx const + f_2 \lg C$ , when assuming that the electrolyte solution conductivity is proportional to its concentration *C*.

It follows from equation (4) that in dilute solutions the main contribution to the membrane conductivity comes from the conductivity of the gel phase. As the concentration of the external solution increases, the conductivity of the intergel space makes an increasing contribution. Moreover, the higher the value of  $f_2$ , the more significant this contribution is, which manifests itself in an increase in the slope of the lg $\kappa^*$  vs. lg $\kappa$  (or lg $\kappa^*$ vs. lgC) dependence. Indeed, in the region of dilute solutions (about 0.1 M), the conductivity of membranes increases in the series TEM#811 $\leq$ PEM-RCF<CJMC-4 $\approx$ MF-4-SK<CJMC-3<Nafion® 117 (Figure 9(a)), that is, symbatically with the value of the exchange capacity of swollen membranes (Table 2). An increase in the external solution concentration leads to an increase in conductivity of all membranes. The highest growth in conductivity is manifested by the track-etched TEM#811 membrane and the novel PEM-RCF membrane. Apparently, it is due to the extended relatively large open pores, which have these membranes. It is difficult to use the narrow concentration range in the

dilute solution region when measuring conductivity, as required by Equation (4). The requirement of an equal number of parallel and serial connections between the pores is also not always met. Therefore, to quantify the rate of increase in conductivity with increasing concentration, it is convenient to use the apparent volume fraction of intergel solution [81]:

$$f_{2app} = \frac{d \lg \kappa}{d \lg C}$$
(5)

As Table 2 shows, the  $f_{2app}$  values are the highest in the case of TEM#811 and PEM-RCF having large open pores. In contrast, the perfluorosulfonated membranes do not have large pores, and the values of this parameters is close to 0.1. The CJMC-4 membrane based on PVDF, similarly, has a small  $f_{2app}$  value. However, its homologue, the CJMC-3 membrane, has an increased  $f_{2app}$  value, which should be due to the presence of macropores between the polyester reinforcing fiber and the membrane matrix.

If the conductivity of ion-exchange membranes (IEMs) is controlled by counterions, then the diffusion permeability is controlled by co-ions. When an electrolyte diffuses through a membrane, counterions cannot leave the membrane without being accompa-

nied by co-ions due to the electroneutrality conditions. The co-ion concentration,  $\overline{c_{A}}$ , is

low in ion-exchange membranes due to the Donnan exclusion effect: co-ions are electrostatically pushed out by the fixed ions [73]. However, co-ions are excluded only from the gel phase containing the fixed ions. They are not pushed out from the central parts of the macro- and mesopores, where their concentration is the same as in the external solution. The co-ion concentration in the gel phase, under the first approximation, is inversely proportional to the fixed ions concentration, i.e. to the exchange capacity:

$$\overline{c}_{A} = \frac{K_{D}}{\overline{Q}}C^{2}$$
(6)

Equation (6) is obtained from the Donnan equation [73] in the case of 1:1 electrolyte under the condition that  $C << \overline{Q}$ . The  $\overline{Q}$  value determines the degree of electrostatic (Donnan) exclusion of co-ions from the gel phase; a low value of  $\overline{Q}$  facilitates the sorption of co-ions, hence, advantages the electrolyte diffusion through the membrane. Another parameter, which controls the membrane diffusion permeability is *f*. The latter determines the membrane volume fraction of the in which the electrostatic exclusion of co-ions is absent.

Indeed, Figure 9(b) shows that among the studied membranes, two groups can be distinguished: a group (PEM-RCF, TEM#811 and CJMC-3 membranes) with low  $\bar{Q}$  (Q) and high  $f_2$  ( $f_{2app}$ ), which is characterized by elevated values of P, and a group (CJMC-4, MF-4SK and Nafion® membranes) with elevated  $\bar{Q}$  (Q) and low  $f_2$  ( $f_{2app}$ ), which is endowed with a high diffusion permeability.

With increasing concentration, the effect of co-ion exclusion weakens, since its driving force is the Donnan potential (the difference in potential in the membrane gel phase

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414 415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431 432

433

434 435

436

437

438

and in the equilibrium solution), which is close to the ratio  $C/\bar{Q}$  [73]. Thus, an increase in the solution concentration should lead to an increase in the membrane diffusion permeability. Indeed, this trend can be seen for all compared membranes, except for the PEM-RCF (Figure 9(b)). The diffusion permeability of this membrane decreases as the external concentration increases. Thus, an increase in the solution concentration should lead to an increase in the diffusion permeability of the membranes. Indeed, this trend can be seen for all compared membranes, with the exception of the PEM-RCF. As we wrote in Section 3.3, the reason for such a dependence in the case of PEM-RCF is a decrease in the degree of membrane percolation with respect to co-ions. This decrease is due to the loss of water by the membrane upon contact with a concentrated solution: shrinkage of the membrane results in narrowing the pores, which hinders the ion transport, primarily, the co-ion transport.

The reason for this behavior of the PEM-RCF membrane is the low concentration of fixed groups, causing the appearance of singularities in its structure as compared to conventional IEMs (Figure 6). Since the number of fixed ions in a cluster is almost independent of their average concentration in the membrane, a decrease in their concentration primarily leads to an increase in the distance between clusters [82,83]. Thus, the length of channels in the PEM-RCF membrane should be longer and their radius smaller than the corresponding parameters in such membranes as Nafion® 117 and MF-4SK. Dehydration of the membrane causes a decrease in swelling and, consequently, a decrease in cluster size, as well as a decrease in the radius of inter-cluster channels [83]. These structural changes cause a sharp decrease in the diffusion coefficient, especially of co-ions. This phenomenon is due to the fact that along with a decrease in the radius of clusters and channels when contacting with concentrated solutions, there is an increase in the concentration of fixed groups per volume of water sorbed by the membrane [73,84,85]. As shown in [86,87], an increase in the concentration of fixed groups should lead to a stronger electrostatic exclusion of co-ions from the channels, which causes an increase in their resistance against co-ions. The high resistance of some channels may cause them to be completely impermeable to co-ions. The need to search for longer pathways of co-ion transport will lead to a sharp increase in the tortuosity factor, which can also be interpreted as a decrease in the degree of membrane percolation with respect to co-ions. These effects are particularly strong in the case of non-crosslinked polymers, such as the PEM-RCF matrix. Polymer chains of Nafion® 117 and MF4-SK membrane matrix also do not contain additional cross-linking. However, during the manufacturing process, these membranes are subjected to a special temperature treatment that results in the formation of crystallites from the main chains of the polymer. It gives commercial Nafion® 117 and MF-4SK membranes additional rigidity [88]. Therefore, the influence of external electrolyte concentration on pore size and moisture content is less evident in these membranes. The described phenomenon of membrane shrinkage in concentrated solutions is almost absent in the case of the TEM#811 track membrane, since it is based on hydrophobic material that is not capable of swelling.

# Membrane selectivity

An important property of IEM is selectivity with respect to counterion transport, which can be characterized by ("true") ionic transport numbers,  $t_i^*$  (Figure 10). According to equation (12) (Section 3.3), in the first approximation when the counterion transport numbers are close to one, the  $t_i^*$  is proportional to the membrane conductivity and inversely proportional to its diffusion permeability.



Figure 10. Concentration dependence of the counterion transport number in the synthesized membrane PEM-RCF, Nafion® 117 [79], MF-4SK [63], TEM#811 [62], CJMC-3 [38] and CJMC-4; if the data were taken from a reference, it is indicated. The solid lines are guides to the eye.

Nafion® 117 and CJMC-4 membranes, which do not contain macropores and apparently have a well-balanced combination of hydrophobic and hydrophilic components of the ion exchange matrix, as well as a sufficiently high exchange capacity, are characterized by a very high selectivity. The combination of these properties ensures an only slight decrease in the selectivity of these membranes with increasing electrolyte concentration in the external solution. The values of counterion transport numbers in the TEM#811 track membrane and the synthesized PEM-RCF sample are more sensitive to external electrolyte concentration. However, in the case of dilute ( $\leq 0.1$  M) solutions,  $t_i^*$  exceeds 0.9. The transport numbers of the solution. Since PEMs in fuel cells are in contact with water, the selectivity of PEM-RCF should not be a barrier to its use in this application.

# 2.5. Electrochemical characteristics

Figure 11 shows the current–voltage curves (CVC) of the synthesized samples as well as commercial ion exchange membranes in a 0.05 M HCl solution or in a 0.02 M NaCl solution. The values of current densities are normalized by theoretical limiting current density  $i_{lim}^{Lev}$  to offset differences caused by unequal values of diffusion coefficients of H<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> cations in solution. For the same reasons, the ohmic resistance of the space between the Luggin measuring capillaries containing the membrane and the unpolarized solution was subtracted from the measured potential differences.



**Figure 11.** Current-voltage characteristics of PEM-RCF sample and commercial ion exchange membranes (the membrane names are indicated near the curves) (a) as well as PEM-RCF-2 sample (b). The CVCs of PEM-RCF, PEM-RCF-2, CJMC-3 and CJMC-4 membrane are measured in 0.05 M HCl solutions, that of Nafion<sup>®</sup> 117, MF-4SK and TEM#811, in 0.02M NaCl solutions. The black dashed line corresponds to  $i=ium^{Lev}$ . The blue arrows indicate the direction of current sweep during the CVC measurement of the PEM-RCF-2 membrane.

The low ion transport characteristics of the PEM-RCF-2 sample cause a specific shape of its current-voltage curve, which resembles the CVCs of bipolar membranes [89]. The current-voltage curves of all other membranes have a shape characteristic of others IEMs obtained in many studies [38,90-97]. Initial linear region I of the CVCs shown in Figure 11a corresponds to the formation of diffusion layers near the IEM surface, one of which is depleted in ions and the other is enriched. At *i*<0.9*i*<sub>lim</sub>Lev, electric current is transported by electrodiffusion: ions move under the influence of electric potential gradient and concentration gradient. The sloping plateau (region II of the CVC) occurs when there is a deficit of electric charge carriers in the depleted solution layer at the membrane boundary. The electrolyte concentration near the membrane surface approaches zero, which causes a rapid resistance increase. A very small increase in current leads to a significant increase in the potential difference, which results in the appearance of a sloping plateau on the CVC. Further rapid growth of the current (region III in Figure 11(a)) is due to the appearance of new mechanisms of the charge transport. These include (1) electroconvection: the transfer of a volume containing a space electric charge under the action of a potential gradient [98], and (2) "water splitting": the generation of H<sup>+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> ions due to the dissociation of water molecules with the catalytic participation of functional groups of the IEM [99]. The slope and length of region II are controlled by the rate of these two charge transfer mechanisms, electroconvection and water splitting. There is a critical value of the potential drop (different for each membrane), which corresponds to the onset of intensive electroconvection and/or water splitting.

The intersection of the tangents drawn to regions I and II of the CVC gives the value of the experimental limiting current,  $i_{lim}^{exp}$ . As can be seen from Figure 11, the  $i_{lim}^{exp}$  value for the membranes presented in Figure 11(a) agrees reasonably well with the theoretical estimation of theoretical limiting current density  $i_{lim}^{Lev}$  using equation (14) (Section 3.3).

The CVC of the PEM-RCF membrane has the same characteristic regions as those of the other IEMs. The difference is that region II is more diffuse, its slope is much larger, and its length is much shorter than that of the other membranes (excluding TEM#811). Only in the case of PEM-RCF and TEM#811, a negative slope of region III is noted. This slope corresponds to the negative differential resistance of the membrane system: at a small increase in current there is a decrease, rather than an increase, in the potential drop. Such effect could be caused by electroosmotic (EO) transport of solute through

open or extended solution pores. The theoretical possibility of sufficiently intense electroosmosis through TEM#811 pores was discussed in our recent publication [100]. EO transport through the membrane pores is caused by the action of an external electric force on the space charge localized in the double electric field at the surface of the pore walls. The rate of EO transport increases with increasing potential drop. EO flow through the membrane pores induces a "fresh" more concentrated solution from the bulk solution into the depleted diffusion layer. This "fresh" solution leads to a decrease in the resistance of the membrane system and the negative diffusion resistance. It is possible that PEM-RCF has a small number of through pores, which makes the effect described above possible.

If the concentration of fixed sulfonate groups of the PEM-RCF sample is increased, a quantitative match of its CVC with the corresponding curves obtained for PEM and IEMs can be expected. Improvement of the electrochemical properties of this sample can be achieved by increasing the number of side chains with sulfonate groups during the synthesis process or by filling its macropores with an ionic liquid or solid polyelectrolyte, as demonstrated in previous studies [101–104].

### 3. Materials and Methods

# 3.1. Chemicals

In this work, distilled water (electrical conductivity of  $3 \pm 0.5 \mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>; pH = 5.5 ± 0.2; 25 °C), solid NaCl of the analytical grade (JSC Vecton), liquid standards HCl and NaOH (JSC Lenreaktiv) were used to prepare solutions. Polyvinylidene fluoride, PVDF (Solef®,  $M_n = \Box 230,000$  and melt flow index = 6-8 g per 10 min; temperature = 230 °C) was purchased from Solvay and dried at 45 °C before chemical modification. The 3-sulfopropyl acrylate (hydrophilic monomer), 1H, 1H, 2H-perfluoro-1-hexene (fluorinated spacer) and Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, radical initiator) were supplied from Sigma Aldrich. Solvents (as dimethyl acetamide, DMAc) for membrane casting were procured from Qualigens Chemical Ltd while common reagents like iso-propyl alcohol (IPA), sodium hydroxide and sodium chloride from B. Hiten chemical distributor. All reagents were used without procession (Except, AIBN, which was recrystallized in ethanol prior to copolymer synthesis).

# 3.2. Membrane Preparation. Synthesis of polyvinylidene fluoride copolymer

The formation of unsaturated site in PVDF is desirable strategy for the copolymerization of monomers viz. 3-sulfopropyl acrylate potassium salt (SPA) and 1H, 1H, 2H-Perfluoro-1-hexene (PFH) and to synthesize the desired copolymers.

# a. Dehydrofluorination of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)

The dehydrofluorination of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) was carried out using previous reported method [105]. In brief, a 10 w/v % of vacuum dried PVDF powder was prepared in DMAc solvent. Further, the homogenous solution of PVDF was then subjected to dehydrofluorination using 0.5 M NaOH/isopropyl alcohol (IPA) reagent at  $27 \pm 2$  °C for 12 h. Finally, the dehydrofluorination reaction was quenched by precipitating the brown coloured polymer from cold water. To eliminate the interference of any trapped solvent, the dehydrofluorinated PVDF was washed multiple times until free from bound DMAc and the modified PVDF was dried in vacuum at 75 °C for 24 h.

b. Synthesis of polyvinylidene fluoride-co-(3-sulfopropyl acrylate) and polyvinylidene fluoride-co-(3-sulfopropyl acrylate)-co-perfluoro-1-hexene copolymer

Simple one-pot free radical polymerization was adopted to synthesize copolymer of dehydrofluorinated PVDF and 3-sulfopropyl acrylate potassium salt akin Yadav et al [106]. For the synthesis of polyvinylidene fluoride-co-(3-sulfopropyl acrylate), a 15 w/v % polymer solution of dehydrofluorinated PVDF was prepared at  $45 \pm 2$ °C in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. To this solution, 10 w/v % of 3-sulfopropyl acrylate potassium salt was added and

allowed to mix homogenously. Thereafter, the temperature of reaction mixture was set to  $80 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C allowed to further dissolve completely and Azobisisobutyronitrile (radical initiator) was added at 2 mol % of monomer concentration in final reaction mixture. The obtained solution was continued to react for 16 h and the colour changes from pale brown to off white. Finally, the reaction was quenched and allowed to slow dry in vacuum oven for 24 h at 60 °C to yield the desired polyvinylidene fluoride-co-(3-sulfopropyl acrylate) copolymer. Furthermore, to understand the influence of fluorinated spacer, the copolymer was fine-tuned and modified. Akin the procedures adopted for polyvinylidene fluoride-co-(3-sulfopropyl acrylate) synthesis, the polyvinylidene fluoride-co-(3-sulfopropyl acrylate)-co-perfluoro-1-hexene copolymer was synthesized. During addition of monomers, the relative input ratio of 3-sulfopropyl acrylate potassium salt: 1H, 1H, 2H-Perfluoro-1-hexene was 9:1 w/w %.

Finally, the synthesised copolymers with buff and cream coloured solution texture were allowed to dissolve in dimethylacetamide (DMAc) solvent to prepare a homogenous solution. The obtained copolymer mixture was blade-casted to desired thickness and dried for 24 h to obtain the membrane.

### 3.3. Methods of Membrane Characterization

The morphology and physical properties of the membrane were studied by small (SAXS) and wide angle (WAXS) X-ray scattering, scanning electron microscopy and differential scanning calorimetry.

The **SAXS/WAXS measurements** were carried out at laboratory Xenocs Xeuss 3.0 system equipped with a GeniX3D copper micro-focus tube operating at 60 kV and 0.59 mA with 1.5406 Å wavelength. Samples were placed in an evacuated chamber and illuminated with monochromatic X-rays in transmission geometry. The scattered intensity was recorded using a Dectris Eiger2 1M detector implemented in a long vacuum flight tube at two different sample-to-detector distances of 68 mm and 0.7 m. The accessed q values, with  $|q| = 4\pi \sin(\theta)/\lambda$ , where  $\theta$  is the Bragg angle and  $\lambda$  –wavelength, cover a range from 0.015 Å<sup>-1</sup> to 3.0 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The degree of crystallinity of the membranes was estimated from the results of WAXS. 1D diffraction curve was decomposed in IgorPro, WaveMetrics Software. The crystal size was calculated with Scherrer equation from 110 peak. To study the influence of water penetration into hydrophilic domains SAXS measurements were performed for both dry and swollen membranes. The next protocol was used: boiling in milliQ for 6 hours and keeping in 3M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 50°C for 5 hours after.

**SEM experiments** were performed with a Zeiss Crossbeam 550. Membrane samples were attached to carbon tape and examined without sputtering at an accelerating voltage of 1 kV at the accelerating voltage of 1 kV.

**DSC experiments** were performed with a Mettler Toledo DSC 3+ instrument. The protocol was the same for both samples: two heating and cooling runs with the temperature range from -50 to 250 °C and rates equal to 10 K/min, annealing at room temperature for one day and repeating the same heating/cooling runs. STARe software was used to obtain the enthalpies of phase transitions.

Prior to the next measurements, all membrane samples were subjected to standard salt treatment in NaCl solutions [55].

The **contact angles** of the membrane were measured by sessile drop method as reported earlier [66]. A drop of distilled water was placed on the surface of the swollen sample and the image was captured with an optical microscope. Then the contact angle of the surface was obtained by image processing. The measurements were repeated at least 10 times at different points of the membrane surface and the values were averaged.

The **ion exchange capacity** (*Q*) is determined by the static method [55,107]. For this purpose, protons of fixed -SO<sub>3</sub>H groups were replaced with sodium ions using a 0.1 M NaCl solution. Then the amount of removed H<sup>+</sup> ions was found by titration with a 0.02 M NaOH solution. *Q* is found as the ratio of the number of moles of the H<sup>+</sup> ions to the mass of the swollen membrane. Detailed information on this and other physico-

chemical methods of membrane characterization can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

**Water content** (W) of the membranes is found by the gravimetric method. This method consists of drying a swollen wet membrane in the H<sup>+</sup> form, equilibrated with distilled water, initially of mass m<sub>wet</sub>, to an air-dry state while weighing on an analytical balance to a constant mass value (m<sub>dry</sub>). The gravimetric water content is calculated according to the formula:

$$W = \frac{m_{wet} - m_{dry}}{m_{wet}} \times 100\%$$
 (7)

The ion exchange capacity and water content also provide values for the **membrane hydration number** (n<sub>m</sub>, mol H<sub>2</sub>O / mol -SO<sub>3</sub>-)[63], which is the average number of water molecules per functional group. The n<sub>m</sub> is calculated according to the formula [63,65]:

$$n_m = \frac{W}{M_m Q} \tag{8}$$

where  $M_w$  is the molar mass of water (18 g mol<sup>-1</sup>).

The **electrical conductivity** ( $\kappa$ ) of the membrane was determined by the differential method using a clip-like cell [108,109]. The membrane resistance is determined as the difference between the resistances of the space between the electrodes with the solution and the membrane and only with the solution.

The **activation energy** of the membrane conductivity is determined by the tangent of the slope of the temperature dependence of  $\kappa^*$  in Arrhenius coordinates [60,70,110]:

$$E_{act} = -\frac{d\,\ln\kappa}{d\,(1/T\,)}R\tag{9}$$

To obtain the **diffusion permeability** of the membranes, a two-chamber flow cell was used [111]. The membrane separated two compartments: distilled water was circulated through one of them (I), and a NaCl solution of a given concentration, *Cu*, was pumped through the other (II). Diffusion permeability coefficient, *P*, is determined based on the rate of ion diffusion transport through the membrane under the concentration gradient between the solution II and distilled water:

$$P = \frac{Jd_{mb}}{C_{\mu}} \tag{10}$$

where *J* is the diffusion flux density,  $d_{mb}$  is the membrane thickness.

The differential diffusion permeability coefficient,  $P^*$ , related to concentration Cu, is found when knowing the dependence P(Cu) [112]:

$$P^{*} = P\left(1 + \frac{d \lg P}{d \lg C_{II}}\right)$$
(11)

The **transport number of co-ion** (t-\*) in the membranes under study is obtained using the experimental values of  $\kappa^*$  and  $P^*$  on the base of the relationship deduced by comparing the Onsager and the Kedem–Katchalsky transport equation systems [112]:

$$t_{-}^{*} = \frac{P^{*}F^{2}C}{2RT\kappa^{*}t_{+app}g}$$
(12)

where F, R and T are the Faraday constant, gas constant and temperature;  $t_{\text{+app}}$  is the apparent counterion transport number; *g* is the activity factor, and *C* is the electrolyte concentration of the bathing solution (in this study, it varied in the range of 0.1 - 1.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup>). When accepting that  $t_{+app} \approx t_{+}^{*}$  and  $g \approx 1$ , the following approximate equation usually used for calculating the ion transport numbers in the membrane is obtained [112,113]:

$$t_{+}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{P^{*}F^{2}C}{2RT\kappa^{*}}} ; t_{-}^{*} = 1 - t_{+}^{*}}$$
(13)

657

658 659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666 667

668

669

670

671 672

673 674

675

676

677

678 679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687 688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699 700

701

702 703

704

**Current-voltage characteristics (CVC)** of the membranes were studied in a flowthrough four-chamber cell. The scheme of the cell as well as the principle of its operation are described in [38]. The potential drop across a membrane under study was measured using two silver/silver chloride electrodes connected to the Luggin capillaries. Further relevant details are given in Supplementary materials.

The value of the **theoretical limiting current density** was calculated by the Lévêque equation obtained within the convection–diffusion ion transport model for a flat channel with an ideally selective membrane [114]:

$$i_{\rm lim}^{Lev} = 1.47 \, \frac{FDz_{+}C}{h(1-t_{+})} \left(\frac{h^2 V}{LD}\right)^{1/3} \tag{14}$$

1/3

where D is the electrolyte diffusion coefficient,  $z_+$  is the counterion charge number, h is the intermembrane distance,  $t_+$  is the electromigration transport number of the counterion in the solution, V is the average linear flow velocity of the solution, and L is the length of the active part of the membrane surface.

All experiments were conducted at a temperature of 25 °C excluding the measurement of the temperature dependence of membrane conductivity.

As for further characterization of the developed membranes, the membranes should be tested for gas permeation and chemical stability, following, for example, the procedure suggested in Ref. [115].

# 4. Conclusions

Using a relatively inexpensive material, an attempt has been made to synthesize a copolymer that contains hydrophobic fluorine-containing backbones graft (polyvinylidene fluoride, PVDF, and Perfluoro-1-hexene, PFH) and hydrophilic side chains (3-Sulfopropyl acrylate, SPA). One of the two synthesized membranes (PEM-RCF) demonstrates properties proper to proton-conducting (Nafion® 117, MF-4SK) and ion-exchange membranes (CJMC-3, CJMC-4). It has a low surface resistance (0.9  $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup>), characterized by an activation energy of fixed groups (8.6 kJ mol-1), close to the activation energy of fixed sulfonate groups of the Nafion® 117 membrane (9.4 kJ mol-1), but is inferior to this and other membranes selected for comparison in selectivity. This disadvantage appears to be caused by the relatively small amount of hydrophilic sulfonatecontaining moieties introduced into the copolymer during the synthesis step and formation of regular lamellar stacks of  $\alpha$ -crystals of PVDF. These  $\alpha$ -crystals act as a barrier to ion transport. In addition, the ion transport and electrochemical characteristics of PEM-RCF are negatively affected by open extended macropores formed in the membrane, probably at the casting manufacturing stage. Eventually, the influence of PFH hydrophobic spacers suggest decrement in ion migration aptitude and reduction in conducting phase since, the structure is dense and occupied by inert copolymer of PVDF and PFH. These shortcomings can be overcome by changing the synthesis conditions, for example by using high boiling dry solvents and the casting of membrane in vacuum environment at very low humidity and/or filling the macropores of the synthesized membrane with cationic ionic liquids or a solid polyelectrolyte such as Nafion®.

**Supplementary Materials:** Supporting information on membrane characterization methods can be downloaded at: www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.N. and B.A.; methodology, N.D.; investigation, J.S., M.P., V.R., A.M. and D.A.; resources, D.I.; data curation, V.R.; writing—original draft preparation, V.S., V.N. and N.D.; writing—review and editing, B.A., V.N., V.K. and J.S.; supervision, D.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** A.M., D.A., B.A. and V.N. acknowledge financial support from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation within State Contract 075-15-2022-1117 from June

- 70530, 2022. J.S. acknowledges the financial support from Indo-French Centre for the Promotion of706Advanced Research (IFCPAR/ CEFIPRA), University Grant Commission (UGC, File No.707191620048179) and French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS) for research facilities708under the Raman-Charpak Fellowship (RCF) program [OM offer no.: IFC//4141/RCF2022/375].
- 709 Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.
- 710 Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.
- 711 Acknowledgments: B.A. and the team of authors are grateful to French Fluorine Network.
  - Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# 713 References

- Pan, M.; Pan, C.; Li, C.; Zhao, J. A Review of Membranes in Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells: Transport Phenomena,
   Performance and Durability. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2021, 141, 110771, doi:10.1016/j.rser.2021.110771.
- 716 2. Dell, R.M.; Rand, D.A.J. *Clean Energy*; Clark, J.H., Ed.; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2004; ISBN 978-0-85404-546-4.
- 3. Sun, C.; Negro, E.; Vezzù, K.; Pagot, G.; Cavinato, G.; Nale, A.; Herve Bang, Y.; Di Noto, V. Hybrid Inorganic-Organic
- Proton-Conducting Membranes Based on SPEEK Doped with WO3 Nanoparticles for Application in Vanadium Redox
   Flow Batteries. *Electrochim. Acta* 2019, 309, 311–325, doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2019.03.056.
- Wang, Y.; Ruiz Diaz, D.F.; Chen, K.S.; Wang, Z.; Adroher, X.C. Materials, Technological Status, and Fundamentals of PEM
   Fuel Cells A Review. *Mater. Today* 2020, *32*, 178–203, doi:10.1016/j.mattod.2019.06.005.
- Prater, K.B. Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells: A Review of Recent Developments. J. Power Sources 1994, 51, 129–144, doi:10.1016/0378-7753(94)01934-7.
- 6. Ogungbemi, E.; Ijaodola, O.; Khatib, F.N.; Wilberforce, T.; El Hassan, Z.; Thompson, J.; Ramadan, M.; Olabi, A.G. Fuel Cell
   Membranes Pros and Cons. *Energy* 2019, *172*, 155–172, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2019.01.034.
- Neburchilov, V.; Martin, J.; Wang, H.; Zhang, J. A Review of Polymer Electrolyte Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel
   Cells. J. Power Sources 2007, 169, 221–238, doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.03.044.
- Kusoglu, A.; Weber, A.Z. New Insights into Perfluorinated Sulfonic-Acid Ionomers. *Chem. Rev.* 2017, 117, 987–1104, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00159.
- Talukdar, K.; Gazdzicki, P.; Friedrich, K.A. Comparative Investigation into the Performance and Durability of Long and
   Short Side Chain Ionomers in Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells. J. Power Sources 2019, 439, 227078,
   doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.227078.
- Peighambardoust, S.J.; Rowshanzamir, S.; Amjadi, M. Review of the Proton Exchange Membranes for Fuel Cell
   Applications. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2010, *35*, 9349–9384, doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.05.017.
- Ahmad, S.; Nawaz, T.; Ali, A.; Orhan, M.F.; Samreen, A.; Kannan, A.M. An Overview of Proton Exchange Membranes for
   Fuel Cells: Materials and Manufacturing. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2022, 47, 19086–19131, doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.04.099.
- Kayumov, R.R.; Sanginov, E.A.; Shmygleva, L. V.; Radaeva, A.P.; Karelin, A.I.; Zyubin, A.S.; Zyubina, T.S.; Anokhin, D. V.;
   Ivanov, D.A.; Dobrovolsky, Y.A. Ammonium Form of Nafion Plasticized by Dimethyl Sulfoxide. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2019,
   166, F3216–F3226, doi:10.1149/2.0261907jes.
- Safronova, E.; Golubenko, D.; Pourcelly, G.; Yaroslavtsev, A. Mechanical Properties and Influence of Straining on Ion
   Conductivity of Perfluorosulfonic Acid Nafion®-Type Membranes Depending on Water Uptake. J. Memb. Sci. 2015, 473,
   218–225, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2014.09.031.
- Moukheiber, E.; De Moor, G.; Flandin, L.; Bas, C. Investigation of Ionomer Structure through Its Dependence on Ion
  Exchange Capacity (IEC). *J. Memb. Sci.* 2012, 389, 294–304, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2011.10.041.
- Mu'min, M.S.; Komma, M.; Abbas, D.; Wagner, M.; Krieger, A.; Thiele, S.; Böhm, T.; Kerres, J. Electrospun Phosphonated
   Poly(Pentafluorostyrene) Nanofibers as a Reinforcement of Nafion Membranes for Fuel Cell Application. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2023,

- Hickner, M.A.; Ghassemi, H.; Kim, Y.S.; Einsla, B.R.; McGrath, J.E. Alternative Polymer Systems for Proton Exchange
   Membranes (PEMs). *Chem. Rev.* 2004, *104*, 4587–4612, doi:10.1021/cr020711a.
- Du, S. Recent Advances in Electrode Design Based on One-Dimensional Nanostructure Arrays for Proton Exchange
   Membrane Fuel Cell Applications. *Engineering* 2021, 7, 33–49, doi:10.1016/j.eng.2020.09.014.
- Chen, N.; Liu, Y.; Long, C.; Li, R.; Wang, F.; Zhu, H. Enhanced Performance of Ionic-Liquid-Coated Silica/Quaternized
   Poly(2,6-Dimethyl-1,4-Phenylene Oxide) Composite Membrane for Anion Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells. *Electrochim. Acta* 2017, 258, 124–133, doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2017.10.043.
- Elumalai, V.; Ganesh, T.; Selvakumar, C.; Sangeetha, D. Phosphonate Ionic Liquid Immobilised SBA-15/SPEEK Composite
   Membranes for High Temperature Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells. *Mater. Sci. Energy Technol.* 2018, *1*, 196–204,
   doi:10.1016/j.mset.2018.08.003.
- Arias, J.J.R.; Carlos Dutra, J.; Gomes, A. de S. Hybrid Membranes of Sulfonated Poly Ether Ether Ketone, Ionic Liquid and
   Organically Modified Montmorillonite for Proton Exchange Membranes with Enhanced Ionic Conductivity and Ionic
   Liquid Lixiviation Protection. J. Memb. Sci. 2017, 537, 353–361, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2017.05.044.
- Hooshyari, K.; Javanbakht, M.; Adibi, M. Novel Composite Membranes Based on PBI and Dicationic Ionic Liquids for High 761 21 Temperature Polymer Electrochim. 762 Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells. Acta 2016, 205, 142-152, doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2016.04.115. 763
- Singh, N.K.; Verma, M.L.; Minakshi, M. PEO Nanocomposite Polymer Electrolyte for Solid State Symmetric Capacitors.
   *Bull. Mater. Sci.* 2015, *38*, 1577–1588, doi:10.1007/s12034-015-0980-2.
- Bae, I.; Oh, K.-H.; Yun, S.-H.; Kim, H. Asymmetric Silica Composite Polymer Electrolyte Membrane for Water Management
   of Fuel Cells. J. Memb. Sci. 2017, 542, 52–59, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2017.07.058.
- Wang, B.; Chen, S.; Dong, W.; Wang, X.; Shen, L.; Wang, H. Advance Fuel Cells Using Al2O3-NNaAlO2 Composite as Ion Conducting Membrane. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2018, 43, 12847–12855, doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.04.149.
- Munavalli, B.B.; Kariduraganavar, M.Y. Development of Novel Sulfonic Acid Functionalized Zeolites Incorporated
   Composite Proton Exchange Membranes for Fuel Cell Application. *Electrochim. Acta* 2019, 296, 294–307,
   doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2018.11.056.
- Ozden, A.; Ercelik, M.; Devrim, Y.; Colpan, C.O.; Hamdullahpur, F. Evaluation of Sulfonated Polysulfone/Zirconium
  Hydrogen Phosphate Composite Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Electrochim. Acta* 2017, 256, 196–210, doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2017.10.002.
- Kudashova, D.S.; Falina, I. V.; Kononenko, N.A.; Demidenko, K.S. Physicochemical Properties and Performance
   Characteristics of Perfluorinated Membranes Bulk Modified with Platinum during Operation in Proton Exchange
   Membrane Fuel Cell. *Membr. Membr. Technol.* 2023, *5*, 18–26, doi:10.1134/S2517751623010043.
- Safronova, E.Y.; Lysova, A.A.; Voropaeva, D.Y.; Yaroslavtsev, A.B. Approaches to the Modification of Perfluorosulfonic
   Acid Membranes. *Membranes (Basel)*. 2023, 13, 721, doi:10.3390/membranes13080721.
- 29. Liu, F.; Hashim, N.A.; Liu, Y.; Abed, M.R.M.; Li, K. Progress in the Production and Modification of PVDF Membranes. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2011, 375, 1–27, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2011.03.014.
- Xang, G.; Cao, Y. Application and Modification of Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride) (PVDF) Membranes A Review. *J. Memb. Sci.* **2014**, 463, 145–165, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2014.03.055.
- Rajput, A.; Sharma, J.; Raj, S.K.; Kulshrestha, V. Dehydrofluorinated Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride-Co-Hexafluoropropylene)
   Based Crosslinked Cation Exchange Membrane for Brackish Water Desalination via Electrodialysis. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2021, 630, 127576, doi:10.1016/j.colsurfa.2021.127576.
- 32. Li, J.-H.; Li, M.-Z.; Miao, J.; Wang, J.-B.; Shao, X.-S.; Zhang, Q.-Q. Improved Surface Property of PVDF Membrane with

- 33. Das, S.; Kumar, P.; Dutta, K.; Kundu, P.P. Partial Sulfonation of PVdF-Co-HFP: A Preliminary Study and Characterization
   for Application in Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Appl. Energy* 2014, *113*, 169–177, doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.07.030.
- Mahmoud, A.M.A.; Elsaghier, A.M.M.; Otsuji, K.; Miyatake, K. High Hydroxide Ion Conductivity with Enhanced Alkaline
   Stability of Partially Fluorinated and Quaternized Aromatic Copolymers as Anion Exchange Membranes. *Macromolecules* 2017, 50, 4256–4266, doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.7b00401.
- Fontananova, E.; Jansen, J.C.; Cristiano, A.; Curcio, E.; Drioli, E. Effect of Additives in the Casting Solution on the
   Formation of PVDF Membranes. *Desalination* 2006, *192*, 190–197, doi:10.1016/j.desal.2005.09.021.
- Ranjani, M.; Yoo, D.J.; Gnana kumar, G. Sulfonated Fe3O4@SiO2 Nanorods Incorporated SPVdF Nanocomposite
   Membranes for DMFC Applications. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2018, 555, 497–506, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2018.03.049.
- Hariprasad, R.; Vinothkannan, M.; Kim, A.R.; Yoo, D.J. SPVdF-HFP/SGO Nanohybrid Proton Exchange Membrane for the
   Applications of Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *J. Dispers. Sci. Technol.* 2020, *42*, 33–45, doi:10.1080/01932691.2019.1660672.
- Sarapulova, V.; Pismenskaya, N.; Butylskii, D.; Titorova, V.; Wang, Y.; Xu, T.; Zhang, Y.; Nikonenko, V. Transport and
  Electrochemical Characteristics of CJMCED Homogeneous Cation Exchange Membranes in Sodium Chloride, Calcium
  Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate Solutions. *Membranes (Basel)*. 2020, 10, 165, doi:10.3390/membranes10080165.
- 805 39. Ran, J.; Wu, L.; He, Y.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, C.; Ge, L.; Bakangura, E.; Xu, T. Ion Exchange Membranes: New
  806 Developments and Applications. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2017, 522, 267–291, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2016.09.033.
- 40. Hossain, M.M.; Wu, L.; Li, Y.; Ge, L.; Xu, T. Preparation of Porous Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride) Membranes with Acrylate
  Particles for Electrodialysis Application. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2015, *150*, 102–111, doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2015.06.020.
- Zuo, X.; Shi, W.; Tian, Z.; Yu, S.; Wang, S.; He, J. Desalination of Water with a High Degree of Mineralization Using
   SiO2/PVDF Membranes. *Desalination* 2013, *311*, 150–155, doi:10.1016/j.desal.2012.11.034.
- Prakash, G.K.S.; Smart, M.C.; Wang, Q.-J.; Atti, A.; Pleynet, V.; Yang, B.; McGrath, K.; Olah, G.A.; Narayanan, S.R.; Chun,
   W.; et al. High Efficiency Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Based on Poly(Styrenesulfonic) Acid (PSSA)–Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride)
   (PVDF) Composite Membranes. J. Fluor. Chem. 2004, 125, 1217–1230, doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2004.05.019.
- Sharma, J.; Totee, C.; Kulshrestha, V.; Ameduri, B. Spectroscopic Evidence and Mechanistic Insights on
  Dehydrofluorination of PVDF in Alkaline Medium. *Eur. Polym. J.* 2023, 112580, doi:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2023.112580.
- 44. Flory, P.J. Theory of Crystallization in Copolymers. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1955, 51, 848, doi:10.1039/tf9555100848.
- 45. Nakagawa, K.; Ishida, Y. Annealing Effects in Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride) as Revealed by Specific Volume Measurements,
  Differential Scanning Calorimetry, and Electron Microscopy. J. Polym. Sci. Part A-2 Polym. Phys. 1973, 11, 2153–2171,
  doi:10.1002/pol.1973.180111107.
- 46. Martins, P.; Lopes, A.C.; Lanceros-Mendez, S. Electroactive Phases of Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride): Determination, Processing
   and Applications. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2014, *39*, 683–706, doi:10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2013.07.006.
- 47. Dallaev, R.; Pisarenko, T.; Sobola, D.; Orudzhev, F.; Ramazanov, S.; Trčka, T. Brief Review of PVDF Properties and
  Applications Potential. *Polymers (Basel)*. 2022, *14*, 4793, doi:10.3390/polym14224793.
- 48. Kreuer, K.-D.; Paddison, S.J.; Spohr, E.; Schuster, M. Transport in Proton Conductors for Fuel-Cell Applications:
   Simulations, Elementary Reactions, and Phenomenology. *Chem. Rev.* 2004, *104*, 4637–4678, doi:10.1021/cr020715f.
- 49. Nikonenko, V. V.; Yaroslavtsev, A.B.; Pourcelly, G. Ion Transfer in and Through Charged Membranes: Structure, Properties,
  and Theory. In *Ionic Interactions in Natural and Synthetic Macromolecules*; Wiley, 2012; pp. 267–335.
- Gierke, T.D.; Munn, G.E.; Wilson, F.C. The Morphology in Nafion Perfluorinated Membrane Products, as Determined by
  Wide- and Small-Angle x-Ray Studies. *J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Phys. Ed.* **1981**, *19*, 1687–1704, doi:10.1002/pol.1981.180191103.
- 51. Mauritz, K.A.; Moore, R.B. State of Understanding of Nafion. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 4535–4586, doi:10.1021/cr0207123.

- Larson, R.G.; Scriven, L.E.; Davis, H.T. Percolation Theory of Residual Phases in Porous Media. *Nature* 1977, 268, 409–413,
   doi:10.1038/268409a0.
- Zhang, B.; Gao, H.; Xiao, C.; Tong, X.; Chen, Y. The Trade-off between Membrane Permselectivity and Conductivity: A
  Percolation Simulation of Mass Transport. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2020, 597, 117751, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117751.
- 54. Divisek, J.; Eikerling, M.; Mazin, V.; Schmitz, H.; Stimming, U.; Volfkovich, Y.M. A Study of Capillary Porous Structure and
  Sorption Properties of Nafion Proton-Exchange Membranes Swollen in Water. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1998, 145, 2677–2683,
  doi:10.1149/1.1838699.
- 838 55. Berezina, N.P.; Kononenko, N.A.; Dyomina, O.A.; Gnusin, N.P. Characterization of Ion-Exchange Membrane Materials:
  839 Properties vs Structure. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2008, 139, 3–28, doi:10.1016/j.cis.2008.01.002.
- Kononenko, N.; Nikonenko, V.; Grande, D.; Larchet, C.; Dammak, L.; Fomenko, M.; Volfkovich, Y. Porous Structure of Ion
  Exchange Membranes Investigated by Various Techniques. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2017, 246, 196–216,
  doi:10.1016/j.cis.2017.05.007.
- Apel, P.Y. Track-Etching. In *Encyclopedia of Membrane Science and Technology;* Hoek, E.M.V., Tarabara, V. V., Eds.; John
  Wiley & Sons, Inc: New York, USA, 2013; pp. 332–355 ISBN 978-0-470-90687-3.
- Apel, P.Y.; Blonskaya, I. V.; Ivanov, O.M.; Kristavchuk, O. V.; Lizunov, N.E.; Nechaev, A.N.; Orelovich, O.L.; Polezhaeva,
  O.A.; Dmitriev, S.N. Creation of Ion-Selective Membranes from Polyethylene Terephthalate Films Irradiated with Heavy
  Ions: Critical Parameters of the Process. *Membr. Membr. Technol.* 2020, *2*, 98–108, doi:10.1134/S251775162002002X.
- Nichka, V.S.; Mareev, S.A.; Apel, P.Y.; Sabbatovskiy, K.G.; Sobolev, V.D.; Nikonenko, V. V. Modeling the Conductivity and
  Diffusion Permeability of a Track-Etched Membrane Taking into Account a Loose Layer. *Membranes (Basel)*. 2022, 12,
  doi:10.3390/membranes12121283.
- 851 60. Safronova, E.Y.; Golubenko, D.V.; Shevlyakova, N.V.; D'yakova, M.G.; Tverskoi, V.A.; Dammak, L.; Grande, D.;
  852 Yaroslavtsev, A.B. New Cation-Exchange Membranes Based on Cross-Linked Sulfonated Polystyrene and Polyethylene for
  853 Power Generation Systems. J. Memb. Sci. 2016, 515, 196–203, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2016.05.006.
- Berezina, N.P.; Kononenko, N.A.; Filippov, A.N.; Shkirskaya, S.A.; Falina, I. V.; Sycheva, A.A.-R. Electrotransport
  Properties and Morphology of MF-4SK Membranes after Surface Modification with Polyaniline. *Russ. J. Electrochem.* 2010,
  46, 485–493, doi:10.1134/S1023193510050010.
- Sarapulova, V. V.; Pasechnaya, E.L.; Titorova, V.D.; Pismenskaya, N.D.; Apel, P.Y.; Nikonenko, V. V. Electrochemical
   Properties of Ultrafiltration and Nanofiltration Membranes in Solutions of Sodium and Calcium Chloride. *Membr. Membr. Technol.* 2020, *2*, 332–350, doi:10.1134/S2517751620050066.
- Berezina, N..; Timofeev, S..; Kononenko, N.. Effect of Conditioning Techniques of Perfluorinated Sulphocationic
  Membranes on Their Hydrophylic and Electrotransport Properties. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2002, 209, 509–518, doi:10.1016/S03767388(02)00368-X.
- 863 64. Bass, M.; Berman, A.; Singh, A.; Konovalov, O.; Freger, V. Surface Structure of Nafion in Vapor and Liquid. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 864 2010, 114, 3784–3790, doi:10.1021/jp9113128.
- 865 65. Ermakova, L.E.; Kiprianova, A.A.; Sidorova, M.P.; Gribanova, E. V.; Savina, I.A.; Timofeev, S. V. Structural and
   866 Electrosurface Characteristics of Sulfonated Cation-Exchange Perfluoropolymer Membranes in 1 : 1 Electrolyte Solutions.
   867 Colloid J. 2001, 63, 699–705, doi:10.1023/A:1013263601704.
- Ponomar, M.; Krasnyuk, E.; Butylskii, D.; Nikonenko, V.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, C.; Xu, T.; Pismenskaya, N. Sessile Drop Method:
  Critical Analysis and Optimization for Measuring the Contact Angle of an Ion-Exchange Membrane Surface. *Membranes*(*Basel*). 2022, 12, 765, doi:10.3390/membranes12080765.
- 67. Tsang, E.M.W.; Holdcroft, S. Alternative Proton Exchange Membranes by Chain-Growth Polymerization. In *Polymer Science:* 872 *A Comprehensive Reference*; Matyjaszewski, K., Möller, M., Eds.; Elsevier, 2012; pp. 651–689.

- Beng, R. Membrane Architectures for Self-Humidifying Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells, The Hong Kong
  University of Science and Technology: Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong, 2018.
- 875 69. Yurova, P.A.; Malakhova, V.R.; Gerasimova, E. V; Stenina, I.A.; Yaroslavtsev, A.B. Nafion / Surface Modified Ceria Hybrid
   876 Membranes for Fuel Cell Application. 2021.
- 877 70. Cappadonia, M. Conductance of Nafion 117 Membranes as a Function of Temperature and Water Content. *Solid State Ionics*878 1995, 77, 65–69, doi:10.1016/0167-2738(94)00289-5.
- Damay, F. Transport Properties of Nafion<sup>™</sup> Composite Membranes for Proton-Exchange Membranes Fuel Cells. *Solid State Ionics* 2003, 162–163, 261–267, doi:10.1016/S0167-2738(03)00238-8.
- Kopitzke, R.W.; Linkous, C.A.; Anderson, H.R.; Nelson, G.L. Conductivity and Water Uptake of Aromatic-Based Proton
   Exchange Membrane Electrolytes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2000, 147, 1677, doi:10.1149/1.1393417.
- 883 73. Helfferich, F. Ion Exchange; McGraw-Hil.; London and New York, 1962;
- 884 74. Yaroslavtsev, А.В. Перфторированные Ионообменные Мембраны. Высокомолекулярные соединения, серия А. 2013, 55,
   885 1367–1392.
- Paddison, S.J. Proton Conduction Mechanisms at Low Degrees of Hydration in Sulfonic Acid–Based Polymer Electrolyte
   Membranes. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* 2003, *33*, 289–319, doi:10.1146/annurev.matsci.33.022702.155102.
- Voropaeva, E.Y.; Stenina, I.A.; Yaroslavtsev, A.B. Transport Properties of Hydrous-Silica-Modified MF-4SK Membranes.
   *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2008, *53*, 1531–1535, doi:10.1134/S003602360810001X.
- Kiu, L.; Chen, W.; Li, Y. An Overview of the Proton Conductivity of Nafion Membranes through a Statistical Analysis. J.
   *Memb. Sci.* 2016, 504, 1–9, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2015.12.065.
- Bernina, O.A.; Falina, I. V.; Kononenko, N.A.; Demin, A. V. Effect of Counter- and Co-Ions on the Structural Transport
  Parameters of Sulfoacid Cationite Membranes. *Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A* 2016, *90*, 1633–1638, doi:10.1134/S0036024416080070.
- Stenina, I.A.; Sistat, P.; Rebrov, A.I.; Pourcelly, G.; Yaroslavtsev, A.B. Ion Mobility in Nafion-117 Membranes. *Desalination*2004, 170, 49–57, doi:10.1016/j.desal.2004.02.092.
- 80. Zabolotsky, V.I.; Nikonenko, V. V. Effect of Structural Membrane Inhomogeneity on Transport Properties. J. Memb. Sci.
  1993, 79, 181–198, doi:10.1016/0376-7388(93)85115-D.
- 81. Sarapulova, V.; Pismenskaya, N.; Titorova, V.; Sharafan, M.; Wang, Y.; Xu, T.; Zhang, Y.; Nikonenko, V. Transport
  Characteristics of CJMAED<sup>™</sup> Homogeneous Anion Exchange Membranes in Sodium Chloride and Sodium Sulfate
  Solutions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 1415, doi:10.3390/ijms22031415.
- 82. Hsu, W.Y.; Gierke, T.D. Ion Transport and Clustering in Nafion Perfluorinated Membranes. J. Memb. Sci. 1983, 13, 307–326,
   doi:10.1016/S0376-7388(00)81563-X.
- 83. Gebel, G. Structural Evolution of Water Swollen Perfluorosulfonated Ionomers from Dry Membrane to Solution. *Polymer* 904 (*Guildf*). 2000, 41, 5829–5838, doi:10.1016/S0032-3861(99)00770-3.
- 84. Drozdov, A.D.; deClaville Christiansen, J. The Effects of PH and Ionic Strength on Equilibrium Swelling of Polyampholyte
   Gels. Int. J. Solids Struct. 2017, 110–111, 192–208, doi:10.1016/J.IJSOLSTR.2017.01.028.
- 85. Kozmai, A.E.; Nikonenko, V.V.; Zyryanova, S.; Pismenskaya, N.D.; Dammak, L. A Simple Model for the Response of an
  Anion-Exchange Membrane to Variation in Concentration and PH of Bathing Solution. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2018, 567, 127–138,
  doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2018.07.007.
- 86. Kamcev, J.; Paul, D.R.; Freeman, B.D. Equilibrium Ion Partitioning between Aqueous Salt Solutions and Inhomogeneous
  Ion Exchange Membranes. *Desalination* 2018, 446, 31–41, doi:10.1016/j.desal.2018.08.018.
- 87. Geise, G.M.; Paul, D.R.; Freeman, B.D. Fundamental Water and Salt Transport Properties of Polymeric Materials. *Prog.*913 *Polym. Sci.* 2014, 39, 1–42, doi:10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2013.07.001.
- 88. Zawodzinski, T.A.; Springer, T.E.; Davey, J.; Jestel, R.; Lopez, C.; Valerio, J.; Gottesfeld, S. A Comparative Study of Water

- Uptake By and Transport Through Ionomeric Fuel Cell Membranes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1993, 140, 1981–1985,
   doi:10.1149/1.2220749.
- Wilhelm, F.G.; Van Der Vegt, N.F.A.; Strathmann, H.; Wessling, M. Current-Voltage Behaviour of Bipolar Membranes in
  Concentrated Salt Solutions Investigated with Chronopotentiometry. J. Appl. Electrochem. 2002, 32, 455–465,
  doi:10.1023/A:1016317503435.
- 920 90. Filippov, A.; Afonin, D.; Kononenko, N.; Lvov, Y.; Vinokurov, V. New Approach to Characterization of Hybrid
  921 Nanocomposites. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2017, 521, 251–259, doi:10.1016/j.colsurfa.2016.08.079.
- 91. Akberova, E.M.; Vasil'eva, V.I. Effect of the Resin Content in Cation-Exchange Membranes on Development of
   Performance Electrochem. commun. 2020, 111, 106659, doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2020.106659.
- 924 92. Kozaderova, O.A.; Kim, K.B.; Gadzhiyeva, C.S.; Niftaliev, S.I. Electrochemical Characteristics of Thin Heterogeneous Ion
   925 Exchange Membranes. J. Memb. Sci. 2020, 604, 118081, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118081.
- 93. Melnikov, S.; Shkirskaya, S. Transport Properties of Bilayer and Multilayer Surface-Modified Ion-Exchange Membranes. J.
   927 Memb. Sci. 2019, 590, 117272, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117272.
- 928 94. Güler, E.; van Baak, W.; Saakes, M.; Nijmeijer, K. Monovalent-Ion-Selective Membranes for Reverse Electrodialysis. *J. Memb.* 929 Sci. 2014, 455, 254–270, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2013.12.054.
- 930 95. Krol, J.; Wessling, M.; Strathmann, H. Concentration Polarization with Monopolar Ion Exchange Membranes: Current931 Voltage Curves and Water Dissociation. J. Memb. Sci. 1999, 162, 145–154, doi:10.1016/S0376-7388(99)00133-7.
- 96. Park, J.-S.; Choi, J.-H.; Yeon, K.-H.; Moon, S.-H. An Approach to Fouling Characterization of an Ion-Exchange Membrane
  Using Current–Voltage Relation and Electrical Impedance Spectroscopy. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2006, 294, 129–138,
  doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2005.07.016.
- 935 97. Mishchuk, N.A. Concentration Polarization of Interface and Non-Linear Electrokinetic Phenomena. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*936 2010, 160, 16–39, doi:10.1016/j.cis.2010.07.001.
- 937 98. Rubinstein, I.; Maletzki, F. Electroconvection at an Electrically Inhomogeneous Permselective Membrane Surface. *J. Chem.* 938 Soc. Faraday Trans. 1991, 87, 2079, doi:10.1039/ft9918702079.
- 939 99. Zabolotskii, V.I.; Shel'deshov, N. V; Gnusin, N.P. Dissociation of Water Molecules in Systems with Ion-Exchange
  940 Membranes. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* 1988, 57, 801–808, doi:10.1070/RC1988v057n08ABEH003389.
- 100. Butylskii, D.Y.; Mareev, S.A.; Ryzhkov, I.I.; Urtenov, M.K.; Apel, P.Y.; Nikonenko, V. V. Evaluation of the Effect of
   Electroosmosis on the Efficiency of Electrobaromembrane Separation with Track-Etched Membranes. *Membr. Membr. Technol.* 2023, *5*, 370–377, doi:10.1134/S2517751623050025.
- 101. Elwan, H.A.; Mamlouk, M.; Scott, K. A Review of Proton Exchange Membranes Based on Protic Ionic Liquid/Polymer
  Blends for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells. *J. Power Sources* 2021, 484, 229197, doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2020.229197.
- 102. Nasef, M.M.; Zubir, N.A.; Ismail, A.F.; Khayet, M.; Dahlan, K.Z.M.; Saidi, H.; Rohani, R.; Ngah, T.I.S.; Sulaiman, N.A. PSSA
- Pore-Filled PVDF Membranes by Simultaneous Electron Beam Irradiation: Preparation and Transport Characteristics of
   Protons and Methanol. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2006, 268, 96–108, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2005.06.009.
- Oshiba, Y.; Tomatsu, J.; Yamaguchi, T. Thin Pore-Filling Membrane with Highly Packed-Acid Structure for High
   Temperature and Low Humidity Operating Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells. J. Power Sources 2018, 394, 67–73,
   doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.05.013.
- 104. Kim, J.; Lee, Y.; Jeon, J.-D.; Kwak, S.-Y. Ion-Exchange Composite Membranes Pore-Filled with Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether
  Ketone) and Engelhard Titanosilicate-10 for Improved Performance of Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries. *J. Power Sources*2018, 383, 1–9, doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.02.028.
- 955 105. Sharma, J.; Totee, C.; Kulshrestha, V.; Ameduri, B. Spectroscopic Evidence and Mechanistic Insights on
   956 Dehydrofluorination of PVDF in Alkaline Medium. *Eur. Polym. J.* 2023, 112580, doi:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2023.112580.

- Yadav, V.; Rathod, N.H.; Sharma, J.; Kulshrestha, V. Long Side-Chain Type Partially Cross-Linked Poly(Vinylidene
   Fluoride-Co-Hexafluoropropylene) Anion Exchange Membranes for Desalination via Electrodialysis. J. Memb. Sci. 2021, 622,
   119034, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2020.119034.
- 107. Nagarale, R.K.; Gohil, G.S.; Shahi, V.K. Recent Developments on Ion-Exchange Membranes and Electro-Membrane
   Processes. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2006, 119, 97–130, doi:10.1016/j.cis.2005.09.005.
- 108. Lteif, R.; Dammak, L.; Larchet, C.; Auclair, B. Conductivitéélectrique Membranaire: Étude de l'effet de La Concentration, de
  La Nature de l'électrolyte et de La Structure Membranaire. *Eur. Polym. J.* 1999, 35, 1187–1195, doi:10.1016/S00143057(98)00213-4.
- 109. Karpenko, L. V.; Demina, O.A.; Dvorkina, G.A.; Parshikov, S.B.; Larchet, C.; Auclair, B.; Berezina, N.P. Comparative Study
   of Methods Used for the Determination of Electroconductivity of Ion-Exchange Membranes. *Russ. J. Electrochem.* 2001.
- 110. Escorihuela, J.; Olvera-Mancilla, J.; Alexandrova, L.; del Castillo, L.F.; Compañ, V. Recent Progress in the Development of
   Composite Membranes Based on Polybenzimidazole for High Temperature Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) Fuel Cell
   Applications. *Polymers (Basel).* 2020, *12*, 1861, doi:10.3390/polym12091861.
- Pismenskaya, N.D.; Nevakshenova, E.E.; Nikonenko, V. V. Using a Single Set of Structural and Kinetic Parameters of the
   Microheterogeneous Model to Describe the Sorption and Kinetic Properties of Ion-Exchange Membranes. *Pet. Chem.* 2018,
   58, 465–473, doi:10.1134/S0965544118060087.
- 112. Larchet, C.; Dammak, L.; Auclair, B.; Parchikov, S.; Nikonenko, V. A Simplified Procedure for Ion-Exchange Membrane
  Characterisation. *New J. Chem.* 2004, *28*, 1260, doi:10.1039/b316725a.
- 113. Lebrun, L.; Follain, N.; Metayer, M. Elaboration of a New Anion-Exchange Membrane with Semi-Interpenetrating Polymer
   Networks and Characterisation. *Electrochim. Acta* 2004, *50*, 985–993, doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2004.07.040.
- 977 114. Newman, J.S.; Thomas-Alyea, K.E. Electrochemical Systems; Wiley, 2004; ISBN 978-0-471-47756-3.
- Mohammadi, M.; Mehdipour-Ataei, S. Durable Sulfonated Partially Fluorinated Polysulfones as Membrane for PEM Fuel
   Cell. *Renew. Energy* 2020, 158, 421–430, doi:10.1016/j.renene.2020.05.124.
- 980

981 Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual 982 author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury 983 to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.