

## A pan-genomic approach reveals novel Sulfurimonas clade in the ferruginous meromictic Lake Pavin

Corinne Biderre-Petit, Damien Courtine, Claire Hennequin, Pierre Galand, Stefan Bertilsson, Didier Debroas, Arthur Monjot, Cécile Lepère, Anna-maria Divne, Corentin Hochart

## ▶ To cite this version:

## HAL Id: hal-04381944 https://hal.science/hal-04381944v2

Submitted on 10 Jan2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### 1 Title

- A pan-genomic approach reveals novel *Sulfurimonas* clade in the ferruginous meromictic Lake
  Pavin
- 4
- 5 Running title: Sulfurimonas pan-genomic analysis
- 6

### 7 Author names and affiliation

- 8 Corinne Biderre-Petit<sup>1\*</sup>, Damien Courtine<sup>1</sup>, Claire Hennequin<sup>1</sup>, Pierre E. Galand<sup>2</sup>, Stefan
- 9 Bertilsson<sup>3</sup>, Didier Debroas<sup>1</sup>, Arthur Monjot<sup>1</sup>, Cécile Lepère<sup>1</sup>, Anna-Maria Divne<sup>4</sup>, Corentin
- 10 Hochart<sup>2</sup>
- 11 <sup>1</sup>Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Laboratoire Microorganismes : Génome et
- 12 Environnement, F-63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- 13 <sup>2</sup>Sorbonne Universités, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Ecogéochimie des Environnements Benthiques
- 14 (LECOB), Observatoire Océanologique de Banyuls, Banyuls sur Mer, France
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>3</sup>Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences
- 16 and Science for Life Laboratory, Sweden
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, SciLifeLab, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

- 19 \*For correspondence: Corinne Biderre-Petit, 1 Impasse Amélie Murat -Bat BioA 63178 Aubière
- 20 (France). E-mail: <u>corinne.petit@uca.fr,</u> Tel: +33(0)473405139; Fax+33 (0)473407670.

#### 21 Abstract

The permanently anoxic waters in meromictic lakes create suitable niches for the growth of 22 bacteria using sulfur metabolisms like sulfur oxidation. In Lake Pavin, the anoxic water mass 23 hosts an active cryptic sulfur cycle that interacts narrowly with iron cycling, however the 24 metabolisms of the microorganisms involved are poorly known. Here we combined 25 26 metagenomics, single-cell genomics, and pan-genomics to further expand our understanding 27 of the bacteria and the corresponding metabolisms involved in sulfur oxidation in this 28 ferruginous sulfide- and sulfate-poor meromictic lake. We highlighted two new species within 29 the genus Sulfurimonas that belong to a novel clade of chemotrophic sulfur oxidizers exclusive to freshwaters. We moreover conclude that this genus holds a key-role not only in limiting 30 sulfide accumulation in the upper part of the anoxic layer but also constraining carbon, 31 32 phosphate, and iron cycling.

33

34 **Keywords:** Meromictic lake, freshwater, sulfur oxidation, *Sulfurimonas*, pan-genome.

35

#### 36 Introduction

Sulfur cycling is an important driver of microbial growth and influences element conversions in most environments (Berben et al., 2019). In the absence of oxygen, the sulfide produced as part of this cycle (Booker et al., 2017; Grein et al., 2013; Ravot et al., 2006) is further oxidized mostly in environments with low oxygen levels and/or limited free transition metals. In some aquatic environments, biological rates of sulfide oxidation can be orders of magnitude higher than rates of abiotic sulfide oxidation. Microbial oxidation can thereby be of vital importance, as sulfide is potently toxic to aerobic organisms and consumption in anoxic waters can

effectively prevent its efflux to the aerobic waters. Bacteria involved in sulfur oxidation (SOBs)
are phylogenetically and metabolically diverse and spread across various environments.
Moreover, although sulfur-based metabolism appeared almost 3.5 billion years ago, many
enzymes and enzymatic pathways involved in this process remain to be discovered (Meier et
al., 2017; Nosalova et al., 2023; Wacey et al., 2011).

49 In most anaerobic sub-surface systems, the dominant chemolithotrophic SOBs belong to the 50 co-occurring Sulfurovum, Sulfuricurvum and Sulfurimonas-related species (Campylobacteria formely Epsilonproteobacteria). Sulfurimonas representatives thrive in a broad spectrum of 51 52 environments (Han & Perner, 2015) including pelagic redox zones (Grote et al., 2012; Hamilton et al., 2014; Henkel et al., 2021; Salmaso, 2019), hydrothermal vents (Hu et al., 2021; Sikorski 53 et al., 2010; Takai et al., 2006; S. Wang, Jiang, et al., 2021; S. Wang, Shao, et al., 2021), 54 55 petroleum reservoirs (Lahme et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2017), groundwaters (Anantharaman et 56 al., 2016; Probst et al., 2018) and mud volcanoes (Ratnikova et al., 2020). They are particularly abundant in oxygen minimum zones (15 to 30% of all microorganisms (Callbeck et al., 2021; 57 58 van Vliet et al., 2021)) and deep-sea hydrothermal vents (up to 70% of all microorganisms (Campbell et al., 2006; Han & Perner, 2015; L. Wang et al., 2017)). Their widespread 59 occurrence is largely explained by their versatile metabolisms linked to the use of a wide 60 variety of electron donors (*e.g.* sulfide, thiosulfate,  $S^0$ ,  $H_2$ ) and acceptors (*e.g.*  $NO_3^-$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $MnO_2$ ) 61 62 and by their adaptative abilities (*e.g.* aerobic to anoxic growth conditions, osmotic stress, etc) (Götz et al., 2018; Molari et al., 2023; Rogge et al., 2017; Taylor et al., 2018; Zeng et al., 2021). 63 In addition to chemolithoautotrophic oxidation of sulfur compounds, they also perform 64 65 chemolithoautotrophic disproportionation of thiosulfate and elemental sulfur that may 66 represent a previously unrecognized primary production process (S. Wang et al., 2023).

67 *Sulfurimonas* spp. have mostly been isolated from hydrothermal vents and marine sediments. However, high-scale DNA sequencing showed that they are widespread in fresh waters such 68 as aquifers (Anantharaman et al., 2016; Probst et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2017), springs (Hahn et 69 al., 2022), and lakes (Diao et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2022), especially in the anoxic zone of 70 71 meromictic (permanently stratified) systems (Berg et al., 2019; Block et al., 2021; Hamilton et 72 al., 2014; Salmaso, 2019). In the meromictic Lake Pavin, the co-occurring Sulfuricurvum and 73 Sulfurimonas-related species comprise up to 12% of the total bacterial community at the oxic-74 anoxic boundary (Biderre-Petit, Boucher, et al., 2011). However, compared to most other euxinic meromictic lakes (both anoxic and sulfidic), Lake Pavin contains very low free sulfide 75 76 concentrations ( $<15\mu$ M) in the upper part of its monimolimnion, thus representing a good 77 model for studying the ecology and metabolic traits of Sulfurimonas.

78 The small surface (44 ha) and great depth (92m) of Lake Pavin impede light penetration below 79 the oxycline, resulting in the fixation of carbon predominantly by chemolithoautotrophic 80 microorganisms in the anoxic bottom layer (*i.e.* monimolimnion). In this sulfide and sulfate-81 poor (<20 µm) water mass, about 80% of total sulfide detected is in the electroactive FeS colloidal form (Bura-Nakić et al., 2012). Berg et al. (2019) showed that the cryptic sulfur cycle 82 in these waters is tightly interacting with the biogeochemical iron cycle, suggesting that the 83 84 microorganisms involved in these two cycles contribute to mineral formation through 85 biomineralization processes (Miot et al., 2016). The bacteria and metabolisms involved remain however poorly known. 86

The main goal of our study was to test the hypothesis that *Sulfurimonas* strains that thrive in Lake Pavin are specific to freshwater systems, and act as key-players in tightly linked sulfur, carbon, phosphate, and iron cycles. We therefore investigated the distribution of

90 Sulfurimonas spp. and their adaptation processes along the anoxic water column. Ribotypes 91 and metabolisms of this genus were studied via metabarcoding, genome-resolved 92 metagenomics, and single cell genomics, and compared with publicly available data from all 93 environments shown to host Sulfurimonas spp by using a pan-genomic approach.

94

#### 95 Materials and methods

96

#### 97 Sample collection

Water samples for Single Amplified Genomes (SAGs) were collected from Lake Pavin on 98 December 2015 at 65 and 80m depth, while samples for metagenomes were collected on 99 100 October 2015 at 50, 65, 70 and 80m. All samples were recovered from a platform positioned 101 above the deepest point of the lake (*i.e.* 92m deep) using a Van Dorn bottle. Samples for SAGs 102 were cryopreserved in 1X TE, 5% glycerol (final concentration) for later cell-sorting, and biomass for metagenome sequencings (called MetaG2, N=4) were concentrated by filtration 103 onto 0.22µm pore size polycarbonate (47-mm diameter, TSTP, Millipore, Billerica, 104 105 Massachusetts, USA) and stored at -80°C for later DNA extraction.

106

#### 107 Single amplified genomes (SAGs)

Single-cell sorting, whole genome amplification and SAG screening were mainly performed as previously described ((Alneberg et al., 2018), for details see Supplemental material). Thirtyone SAGs that carried 16S rRNA sequences, among which one affiliated to the genus *Sulfurimonas,* were selected for genome sequencing. Their DNA content was quantified with Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham MA) and diluted to 0.2ng/µl in

113 nuclease-free water. MiSeq sequencing libraries were prepared with Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit and Nextera XT Index Kit (Illumina, San Diego CA), and run according to the 114 manufacturer's instructions. After Qubit quantification, the libraries were pooled in equimolar 115 concentration and sequenced on a MiSeq with the V3 2x300bp strategy. Subsequently, we 116 used the *bbduck2* and *bbnorm* programs from the BBTools package (release 12.10.2015) 117 118 (http://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/) to remove sequencing adapters and discard the 119 low quality reads (option: trimq = 28, maq = 20, maxns = 0, and minlength = 25) as well as to normalize the quality-controlled reads (parameters target = 40, and mindepth = 0). The 120 remaining reads were then assembled with SPAdes v3.5.0 with --careful and --sc options 121 122 (Bankevich et al., 2012). Completeness and contamination were estimated by CheckM v1.0.5 (Parks et al., 2015). Gene prediction for the SAGs was performed using Prodigal V2.6.3 (Hyatt 123 124 et al., 2010).

125

#### 126 Metagenome sequencing, assembly, and binning

For MetaG2 metagenomes (N=4), genomic DNA was extracted using a standard phenol-127 128 chloroform method as previously described (Biderre-Petit, Jézéquel, et al., 2011). DNA 129 libraries were prepared and sequenced by GenoScreen (Lille, France), with an Illumina 130 HiSeq2500 sequencer to generate 2x150bp paired-end reads. After sequencing, reads were 131 preprocessed to remove Nextera adapters using the *bbduck* program as described above. Prior to assembly, raw paired-end reads were filtered using Trimmomatic v.0.33 (option: 132 LEADING=28, TRAILING=28, SLIDINGWINDOW=4:15 and MINLEN=30) (Bolger et al., 2014). 133 134 Then, IDBA-UD v1.1.1 was used to assemble each sample (Peng et al., 2012) with the default 135 parameters and both pair-end reads (-r entry) plus single-end reads (--long entry).

To further assemble additional (low coverage or less abundant) contigs, we performed an additional co-assembly of all Lake Pavin metagenomes samples, *i.e.* MetaG2 obtained in the present study and MetaG1 obtained from a previous study (Biderre-Petit et al., 2019). The MetaG1 (N=4) and MetaG2 (N=4) datasets were co-assembled using MEGAHIT v.1.1.1 with the 'meta-sensitive' preset option (D. Li et al., 2015) followed by the filtering of the resulting contigs by length (>2.5kb, *i.e.* the minimum contig length generally used for the initial binning (Kang et al., 2015)).

To bin the contigs, the process was as follows: (i) metagenomic reads were individually 143 144 mapped against contigs using the Burrows-Wheeler-Algorithm (BWA) v.0.7.17 (Li and Durbin 145 2009) and stored as BAM files using Samtools, (ii) each BAM file was processed by anvi-profile as implemented in anvi'o to get the coverage and abundance information for each contig, (iii) 146 147 anvi-merge was used to combine single profiles into a merged profile database, (iv) contigs were clustered via the automatic binning algorithm CONCOCT v.1.0.0 (Alneberg et al., 2014) 148 with a maximal number of clusters set to 100 to minimize 'fragmentation errors', finally (v) 149 the resulting bins were manually curated through the Anvi'o interactive interface using anvi-150 refine v6.1 (Eren et al., 2015) and as detailed by the Anvi'o tutorial 151 152 (https://merenlab.org/2015/05/11/anvi-refine/). The manually curated bins will hereafter be referred to as Metagenome-Assembled Genomes (MAGs). MAG abundance across MetaG1 153 154 and MetaG2 datasets was assessed with the anvi-summarize program from Anvi'o.

155

### 156 Quality evaluation and MAG taxonomic analysis

157 The SAGs and MAGs genome quality was evaluated using both Anvi'o and the 'lineage 158 workflow' of CheckM v1.0.12 (Parks et al., 2015). Completeness/completion and 159 contamination/redundancy metrics were then used to compute the mean completeness

(mcpl) and the mean contamination (mctn) values to classify genome quality according to established community standards (Bowers et al., 2017). Finally, quality scores were calculated as follows: Q-score = mcpl – 5 × mctn; and Q'-score = mcpl – 5 × mctn + mctn × (Checkm strain heterogeneity)/100 + 0.5 × log[N50].

The Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB, release r202) (Parks et al., 2022) and associated taxonomic classification toolkit (GTDB-Tk, v1.7.0, default parameters) (Chaumeil et al., 2020) were adopted to perform MAGs taxonomic classification on the basis of a set of 120 bacterial and 122 archaeal gene markers.

To produce *Sulfurimonas* MAG/SAG hybrid assemblies of superior contiguity and accuracy, we tested two different pipelines allowing genome assembly, reconciliation, and merging, namely CISA v1.3 (Lin & Liao, 2013) and GARM v0.7.5 (Soto-Jimenez et al., 2014). Hybrid genome quality was evaluated as described before for SAGs and MAGs.

172

#### 173 Sulfurimonas MAGs and SAG abundance

Prediction of 16S rDNA genes was performed on Sulfurimonas SAG and MetaG2 contigs, using 174 175 HMM search (Huang et al., 2009) with the SILVA database (Yarza et al., 2014) in rna\_hmm 176 tools (https://github.com/meb-team/Public Tools). Predicted sequences were checked with BLASTN+ v.2.2.31+ (Altschul et al., 1990) searches against the SILVA database (option : 177 perc\_identity 97% and evalue 1e<sup>-10</sup>) (Yarza et al., 2014). 16S rDNA sequences were clustered 178 using cd-hit-est (v4.6) (-c 0,95) (W. Li & Godzik, 2006, p.). Quality-controlled metagenomic 179 reads from all samples were individually mapped against the clustered sequences. Mapping 180 181 was performed using BWA (v.0.7.17) with default parameters and relative abundances were 182 calculated with BAM-Tk (https://github.com/meb-team/BAM-Tk).

#### 184 Comparative genomic analysis of *Sulfurimonas* MAGs, SAGs and reference genomes

For performing a comparative genomic analysis between the Sulfurimonas MAGs and SAG 185 (identified here) and the reference genomes, we first downloaded the 17 Sulfurimonas 186 genomes available from NCBI Genbank (December 2022). These genomes include 15 species 187 188 among which one is represented by two strains (S. xiamenensis 1-1N and S. xiamenensis CVO), 189 as well as one strain (Sulfurimonas sp. SWIR-19) (Table S1). We onwards refer to these 17 190 datasets as Sulfurimonas reference genomes for convenience. Sulfurimonas sp. HSL-3221 191 (CP087998.1) was excluded from the study because of its high GC% (>57%) and low 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with *Sulfurimonas* representatives (GC% ranging from 33.3 to 38.8%; 192 up to 93% 16S rRNA identity with *S. lithotrophica*), suggesting that it belongs to another genus. 193 We also downloaded 55 MAGs and one additional SAG affiliated with Sulfurimonas 194 195 (completness >70%, redundancy <4%, and GC% <40%) from NCBI genome database and IMG/M (https://img.jgi.doe.gov) web portals (Table S2). 196

The average nucleotide identity (ANI) and amino acid identity (AAI) enabled delineation of 197 operational units at the genome level. ANIb was calculated using pyANI v0.2.12 (Pritchard et 198 199 al., 2015). It was estimated by aligning fragments of 1020nt (Goris et al., 2007) with BLASTN+ 200 (Altschul et al., 1990; Camacho et al., 2009) and averaging the sequence identity between pairs of genomes. AAI was calculated using the program CompareM v0.1.2 201 202 (https://github.com/dparks1134/comparem) and the parameters aai\_wf --proteins -sensitive. The matrices were then plotted as a heatmap and clustered using clustermap from 203 the Seaborn statistical data visualization package, v0.11.2, using default parameters (Waskom, 204 205 2021).

Analyses of acquired resistance genes were performed by ResFinder v4.1 (Zankari et al., 2012).
 Insertion sequence (IS) elements were manually annotated according to the ISfinder database

(http://www-is.biotoul.fr/). Icefinder v2 (M. Liu et al., 2019) was used to identify putative
integrative and conjugative elements (ICE) and integrative mobilizable elements (IME). Finally,
VFDB (B. Liu et al., 2019) was used, with the genus *Helicobacter* as reference, to highlight
putative virulence factors and TAfinder v2 (Xie et al., 2018) with the option "4.2 unannotated
complete genome" to identify the presence of toxin-antitoxin (TA) loci. Contigs were also
manually analyzed to complete automatic annotations performed by the different tools, using
both BLAST searches and predictions performed by Prodigal (Hyatt et al., 2010).

215

#### 216 Pan-genomics analysis

The pan-genome was computed to identify the clusters of shared genes (GC) according to 217 amino acid sequence homology. Firstly, anvi'o v7.1 (Eren et al., 2015) was used to determine 218 219 the pan-genome for the 17 complete reference genomes using Diamond (--sensitive) for sequence alignment (Buchfink et al., 2021) and the Markov cluster algorithm (MCL) for 220 clustering (Van Dongen, 2008). The other parameters were kept as default except the 221 inflation, set to 8. Gene collections were classified as follows: core genome included GCs 222 223 present in all genomes; accessory genome included two collections – dispensable (present in 224 at least two genomes, hereafter referred to as shell GCs) and unique (singletons, present in 225 just one genome, hereafter referred to as cloud GCs). Next, these results were used to assign 226 a category to the proteins predicted from the Lake Pavin SAG and MAGs assemblies using a BLASTP v2.9.0+ sequence alignment. Proteins without BLASTP hit were compared in an all-227 against-all BLASTP, e-value <10<sup>-5</sup> and query coverage >80%, to extract GCs present only in a 228 229 unique Lake Pavin strain. GC functional annotation was performed using eggNOG-mapper 230 (version emapper-2.1.9) based on eggNOG orthology data (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2019).

231

#### 232 Phylogeny

A phylogenomic tree was generated with the program GToTree v1.8.2 (Lee, 2019) using a set of 74 markers spanning all bacterial genomes. The representative *Sulfurimonas* genomes were downloaded from NCBI RefSeq (Tables S1 and S2). GToTree identified target genes from genomes and MAGs using HMMER3, aligned each gene set using MUSCLE program v5.1 (Edgar, 2022) and performed automated trimming with trimAl v1.4.1 ("-automated1") (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009). Individual gene alignments were concatenated to construct a species tree using FastTree 2.1.11 the default parameters (Price et al., 2010).

240 Protein sequences to the NapA, PiT, SulP, YeeE, ferritin, sulfide: quinone reductases, and DsrE 241 proteins of Sulfurimonas genomes were collected using accession numbers found in literature and for those not present in the literature, by searching the NCBI non-redundant database by 242 243 protein BLAST search. A phylogenetic tree was generated for each protein, from aligned 244 protein sequences (MUSCLE program (Edgar, 2004)) using MEGA software package version 7.0 245 (Kumar et al., 2016). Maximum likelihood (ML) method was used based on the Whelan and 246 Goldman model (JTT model, discrete Gamma distribution (5 categories)) (Whelan & Goldman, 2001), with a bootstrap iteration of 1000. All positions with less than 95% site coverage were 247 eliminated. 248

249

#### 250 Results

251

#### 252 Reconstruction of *Sulfurimonas* genomes from Lake Pavin and species delineation

The metagenome assembly from all depths represented  $\simeq$ 1000 bins (Table S3) among which two binned MAGs were affiliated to the genus *Sulfurimonas*, one (Bin58) being >94% complete

255 and high-quality and another (Bin76), >57% complete and medium quality (Table S4). One SAG (733-F2, 27.5% completeness, 0.2% single-marker-gene redundancy) recovered from the 256 water column was also affiliated to this genus (Table S5). Across the genome regions of Bin58 257 258 and SAG 733-F2 showing homology, the within-cluster sequence identity was close to or 100% 259 (sequence inversions were observed at some contig extremities), suggesting that both 260 represent the same genomic population (hereafter referred to as LP strain 1). However, 261 merging SAG 733-F2 and Bin58 genomic data led to lower estimates of completeness, higher contamination, and lower Q-score (Table S6), these two genomes were therefore kept 262 separate in further analyses. For Bin76, the within-cluster sequence identity dropped below 263 264 85%, suggesting that this MAG corresponds to a second strain (referred to as LP strain 2).

265 Bin58 and SAG 733-F2 had ANIb and AAI of 99.8% and 98.7% respectively, and >99% with MAG LacPavin 0419 WC90 (Jaffe et al., 2022). The corresponding numbers for Bin76 fall below 266 84%, while it shares >97% with MAG LacPavin 0818 WC45 (Jaffe et al., 2022) (Fig. 1). These 267 results confirm that Lake Pavin hosts two distinct Sulfurimonas species (threshold used for 268 species demarcation >95% (Kim et al., 2014; Thompson et al., 2013)). Additionally, these 269 270 values did not exceed 83% when compared to publicly available data for the genus 271 Sulfurimonas (Fig. S1 and S2), suggesting that LP strains 1 and 2 represent novel species. This 272 conclusion was supported by analyses of the 16S rRNA sequence from SAG 733-F2 with the 273 closest database hit being S. gotlandica at 96.3% sequence identity. Moreover, the 274 phylogenomic analysis assigned the LP strains to a clade which includes mostly strains from 275 natural freshwater systems (Fig. 2A).

Unlike SAG 733-F2, no 16S rRNA gene was found in the recovered MAGs. By contrast, we
detected three 16S rRNA sequences in the metagenome assemblies that exhibited 100%
homology with the one from SAG 733-F2 (Fig. 2B). Regarding 5S rRNA genes, a copy was

present in Bin58. We also isolated contigs with 5S rRNA genes from metagenome assemblies
that were 100% identical between each other and with Bin58. These rRNA genes were flanked
by various genomic regions (Fig. 2B).

282 Abundance profiles based on mapping reads from the Lake Pavin metagenomes revealed a 283 similar vertical pattern for both SAG 733-F2 and Bin58, with a maximum relative abundance 284 between ~65m and 70m (Fig. 3A and B). A similar pattern was observed for a 16S rRNA OTU with 100% sequence similarity to the SAG 733-F2 (i.e. Sulfu. 1, Fig. 3C) based on amplicons 285 from both DNA and RNA samples previously obtained for the Lake Pavin water column 286 287 (Biderre-Petit et al., 2016). This OTU also showed a significant abundance in the upper part of the anoxic zone (~60-63m), suggesting that LP strain 1 (*i.e.* SAG 733-F2/Bin58) expand across 288 289 a wider zone along the depth profile. In comparison, Bin76 had a marked peak in relative abundance at 65m (Fig. 3A). Its distribution pattern was similar to the one of the second most 290 291 abundant Sulfurimonas OTU (Sulfu. 2, Fig. 3C), suggesting that they represent the same 292 phylotype.

Among the 17 Sulfurimonas reference genomes, S. sediminis S2-6 and S. sp. strain SWIR-19 293 have ANIb and AAI ≥ 96% (Fig. 1), and 16S rRNA gene sequences that are 99.3-99.6% identical 294 (considering only the most similar copies of SWIR-19, Table S7). This suggests that they belong 295 296 to the same species. The question of heterotypic synonymy for S. sediminis S2-6 and S. hydrogeniphila might also arise. Indeed, they share similar genome wide identity (94% AAI, 297 298 92% ANI) and 16S rRNA genes that are 99.3% identical (Table S7) along with many shared biochemical and physiological features (S. Wang, Jiang, et al., 2021; S. Wang, Shao, et al., 299 2021). 300

#### 302 Metabolic potential of the LP strains

303 Lake Pavin SAG and MAGs were assessed for the presence of specific genome-encoded 304 functional traits involved in numerous processes, including mediation of carbon, nitrogen, 305 sulfur, and dihydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) cycles, oxidative stress, motility, vitamin biosynthesis and 306 transport (Table S8, Fig. 4).

#### 307 Sulfur metabolism.

308 Bin58 had the potential to use bisulfide (HS<sup>-</sup>) as electron donor via either sulfide:quinone 309 reductase (Sqr) or flavocytochrome c sulfide dehydrogenase (Fcc) pathways. Like in most 310 Sulfurimonas representatives, Type II (SqrB), IV (SqrD) and VI (SqrF) (Fig. S3, Table S9) were identified, with two copies of Type II as in S. marina, S. hongkongensis and S. gotlandica. 311 Moreover, the presence of a FccB homolog suggests that this pathway could also be used to 312 313 catalyze initial sulfide oxidation (Fig. 5A). The less complete Bin76 genome had only one Type II and one Type IV Sqr. Only the soxCDY<sub>2</sub>Z<sub>2</sub>H gene cluster for oxidation of reduced sulfur 314 315 compounds was found in both LP strains. In S. xiamenensis CVO and S. denitrificans, that display this phenotype, S<sup>0</sup> oxidation correlates to high expression of an OprD-like porin 316 (CVO 00715 and Suden 1917, respectively, both sharing 57% amino acid sequence identity), 317 for which a homolog (55% amino acid similarity) was found in Bin58. The elemental sulfur 318 reduction in LP strains could also be mediated by the polysulfide reductase-like 319 molybdoenzymes (psrBCA) (Fig. 5A, Table S8). 320

Among the three major protein families identified for the transport of oxidized sulfur compounds, two (SulP and PiT) clustered with clades involved in the bicarbonate:Na<sup>+</sup> symport and inorganic phosphate transport, respectively (Fig. S4). The only similar sequence to our PiT protein was identified in a MAG (90% protein identity, accession number OHE15791.1). By

325 contrast, the third family of transporters, encoding the YeeE/YedE proteins, clustered with thiosulfate transporters (Tanaka et al., 2020) and is predicted to be involved in thiosulfate ion 326 transfer into the cytoplasm. In Bin76, the yeeE genes were tandemly organized in an operon 327 with other genes involved in sulfur metabolism, *i.e.* rhodanese (rhd)-like and thioredoxin 328 329 genes (Fig. S5). Only Ca. S. marisnigri contained yeeE homologs with a similar tandem 330 organization (HUE87 05335 and HUE87 05340, > 72% amino acid sequence identity). Finally, 331 TauE-like exporters might transport sulfite from cytoplasm to the periplasm (Gwak et al., 2022). 332

Both LP strains possessed a single homolog of the DsrE-like protein (Fig. S6A), involved in 333 334 cytoplasmic sulfur trafficking during the sulfur oxidation. By comparison, zero to five DsrE-like 335 proteins were detected in the full range of Sulfurimonas genomes and MAGs, with the lowest number typically found in freshwater strains (Table S10). Among all proteins identified in 336 337 Sulfurimonas genomes, only one grouped in a cluster previously determined by L.-J. Liu et al. (2014), i.e. DsrE2A in a MAG (SAMN18877703), while the others formed eight additional 338 clusters, herein referred to as DsrEa to DsrEh (Fig. S6B). Their distribution may not be random, 339 340 as some of them are absent in for instance the freshwater strains (Table S10). The LP strain 341 DsrE proteins belonged to the cluster DsrEa and contained three strictly conserved cysteine 342 residues (Fig. S6A).

Regarding other cytoplasmic processes, a sulfur metabolizing pathway for assimilatory sulfate reduction was also present in the LP strains with homologs to Cys proteins (CysD, E, K, I) and a sulfate adenylytransferase (Sat, Fig. 5A) (Han & Perner, 2015).

346 Nitrogen metabolism.

347 All components required for the denitrification pathway were detected in LP strains (Fig. 5B, Table S11), with a periplasmic nitrate reductase catalytic subunit (NapA) organized in a well 348 conserved operon. Only S. pluma is currently known to lack this operon (Molari et al., 2023). 349 Moreover, as previously shown, NapA from hydrothermal vent strains represent a 350 351 monophyletic group distinct from genomes obtained from other ecosystems (Fig. S7). The LP 352 strains also contain genes encoding ammonium (atmB1 and atmB2 genes) and nitrate (narK 353 homolog) uptake. These compounds can subsequently be funneled into amino acid metabolism *via* the ferredoxin-nitrite reductase (*nirA*) and the GS-GOGAT/GDH pathways (Fig. 354 5B). Unlike Bin58 and most other Sulfurimonas genomes, Bin76 contains a high-affinity nitrate 355 ABC transporter (NrtABC) for nitrate/nitrite uptake for which homologs have only been found 356 in Ca. S. marisnigri, S. litotrophica, S. marina, S. pluma and S. gotlandica. Bin76 genes also 357 358 encode key proteins for N<sub>2</sub> fixation (*nifHD*, *nifA*, *nifT*) with homologs only detected in Ca. S. 359 marisnigri, Ca. S. baltica, S. litotrophica and S. marina. However, while both Candidatus strains can fix  $N_2$  (Henkel et al., 2021), S. marina was seemingly unable to grow with  $N_2$  as the sole 360 361 nitrogen source (Z. Wang et al., 2022).

#### 362 H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, oxidative stress and central carbon metabolisms.

Most *Sulfurimonas* can grow with H<sub>2</sub> as an energy source. The LP strains contained [NiFe]hydrogenases of groups 1a and 1b that mediate respiratory H<sub>2</sub> uptake, and group 4e, representing a multisubunit membrane-bound Energy-Conserving Hydrogenase (Ech) that couples ferredoxin oxidation to H<sub>2</sub> evolution (Table S8). Unlike group 1 [NiFe]-hydrogenases, which is ubiquitous in *Sulfurimonas spp.*, group 4e is present only in marine pelagic species (*S. gotlandica, Candidatus S. marisnigri* and *Ca. S. baltica*) and in both LP strains.

Like other *Sulfurimonas* genomes (Molari et al., 2023), LP strains carry various systems for
uptake and intracellular availability control of iron and manganese. Among them, a gene

371 encodes a DNA-binding protein belonging to the ferritin-like diiron-carboxylate protein superfamily (known as Dps). This gene is found in almost half of the Sulfurimonas genomes 372 (Fig. S8). In contrast, a second member within this gene superfamily (*i.e.* a gene encoding for 373 a 24-subunit non-heme ferritin) was only found in four Sulfurimonas reference genomes (Fig. 374 375 S8). In addition to the ferritin, LP genomes have genes encoding a superoxide dismutase (SOD), 376 producing  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2$  as byproducts, and a superoxide reductase (SOR), producing only  $H_2O_2$ 377 (Table S8, Fig. 4A), both designed to specifically minimize stress and cell damage from reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Johnson & Hug, 2019; Lushchak, 2011). 378

In assessing the potential for aerobic respiration, both LP strains have a gene coding for the 379 380 cbb3-like high-O<sub>2</sub> affinity cytochrome, as all other *Sulfurimonas* spp. This cytochrome is active 381 in anaerobic processes such as dinitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) fixation and denitrification (Bell et al., 2020). In addition, two hemerythrins, non-heme intracellular O<sub>2</sub>-binding proteins, were present in LP 382 383 strains, one with a single-domain and one with a multi-domain that belongs to the hemerythrin-GGDEF family (Table S8). We also detected an Aer-PAS domain primary energy 384 sensor for motility as well genes coding for a flagellum and chemotaxis (Fig. 4A, Table S8). 385 386 Finally, both LP strains contain all essential genes for carbon fixation via the reverse

387 tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle, a common autotrophic carbon fixation pathway of all 388 *Sulfurimonas* spp. (Fig. 4A).

389

#### 390 Mobilome and defense mechanism analysis

### 391 MGE in LP strains

392 MGE is a generic term for a multitude of genomic sequences (plasmid, prophage, ICE, IME, 393 insertion sequences, etc.) transmitted vertically with cell division or through horizontal

394 transfer (HGT). Most of such genomic features were identified in the LP strain genomes via either automatic or manual annotations (Table S12). An IME was found in both LP strains while 395 a prophage was present only in Bin58. The IME encodes a tyrosine integrase and harbors a 396 397 compact mobilization module with two genes encoding relaxases (one of which is a canonical 398 MobC) and one gene encoding a non-canonical coupling protein (Type IV secretory system 399 conjugative DNA transfer family protein) (Fig. 6). Only one MAG affiliated to Arcobacter sp., 400 reconstructed from cold oxic subseafloor (Tully et al., 2018), have been shown to host a similar element with a conserved gene order (Fig. 6). With regards to the prophage, genomic 401 402 elements with a similar organization and content have only been reported for Sulfurimonas 403 MAGs (Fig. S9). Both the IME and prophage carried many flexible genes, mostly encoding proteins of unknown function. Therefore, their role in the acquisition of novel adaptive 404 405 phenotypes remains unknown.

#### 406 Defense systems

Among all defense mechanisms identified, Toxin-Antitoxin (TA) systems were prominent, 407 408 representing small genetic modules with implications in a multitude of bacterial lifestyle 409 adaptation (Fraikin et al., 2020). Among the six TA types (types I-VI) currently classified, type 410 II, that function via protein-protein interactions, is the most well characterized and the most prevalent across bacterial genomes (Kamruzzaman et al., 2021; Yeo, 2018). Here, we identified 411 412 a repertoire of 51 different type II TA systems in the Sulfurimonas genomes involving 16 toxin 413 families that are mostly involved in translational arrest (Table 1). Each of the 17 reference 414 genomes had 1 to 28 TA pairs through its chromosome. For those genomes featuring plasmids, 415 one (S. paralvinellae) and five additional loci (S. aquatica) were identified. For LP strains, we identified 12 TA pairs in Bin76, nine in Bin58 and eight in SAG 733-F2, as well as the Clp 416 proteases involved in their degradation (Table S12). Overall, the TA pattern was similar for all 417

418 species of a specific environment even if the TA pair number per species may varied (Table 1). In contrast, both TA number and pattern are kept across strains of a same species, regardless 419 if they are from the same (S. sediminis and SWIR-19) or different habitats (S. xiamenensis 420 strains). Interestingly, two species (S. paralvinellae and S. marina) have only one TA pair. 421 422 However, compared to the species that live in the same environment, these species seem to 423 be restricted to more specific niches. Indeed, S. paralvinellae, in hydrothermal vents, lives 424 associated with a host, unlike any of the other species and S. marina, in marine sediments, thrives at 2000m depth while the other species were retrieved from less than 250m deep. In 425 426 addition to type II, a putative type IV TA system (AbiE), widespread in bacteria in which it 427 ensures phage resistance (Dy et al., 2014), was present in seven genomes with an occurrence per genome ranging from one to five (Table 1). 428

#### 429 Resistome

The resistome analysis identified resistance to several antibiotic classes within the LP strain 1 430 genomes including beta-lactams, quinolones, macrolides and fusaric acid (FA). We found a 431 gene encoding an AmpC beta-lactamase (Table S12), with the only Sulfurimonas homolog 432 433 being a gene found in a MAG from groundwater (Finland, SAMN12221366) that exhibits the 434 same genomic context, *i.e.* associated with two amino acid ABC transporter substrate-binding proteins and a HAMP domain-containing histidine kinase. Interestingly, LP strain 1 is the only 435 436 known Sulfurimonas representative harboring genes involved in FA resistance. The fuaABC operon consists of a transcription factor of the family MarR, an inner membrane efflux pump, 437 a periplasmic efflux transporter and an outer membrane protein. Our strain also has other 438 439 efflux pump systems belonging to MATE, ABC (MAcAB-TolC) and RND (AcrAB-TolC) families 440 that could contribute to the generation of resistant phenotypes (Table S8).

441 Virulome

Virulome prediction identified genes involved in adherence, lipid A synthesis and biofilm formation (Table S12). LP strain 1 features all traits required for biofilm formation capacity (chemotaxis, flagellum-assisted motility, EPS production and export). Moreover, we identified a *pgaBCD* locus that is required for a biofilm adhesin synthesis (poly-β-1,6-GlcNAc or PGA) (Wang et al., 2004), but where the *pgaA* subunit encoding the outer membrane protein is lacking. Homologous genes were only detected in *S. gotlandica* and *S. denitrificans,* also devoid of the *pgaA* subunit.

449

#### 450 Pan-genome analysis of the genus Sulfurimonas

451 Comparative analysis of the 17 *Sulfurimonas* reference genomes revealed a pan-genome 452 composed of 9021 gene clusters (GCs) among which 915 core GCs, 3508 shell GCs and 4598 453 cloud GCs (Fig. 7A), which is consistent with previous studies (Molari et al., 2023; S. Wang, 454 Jiang, et al., 2021). With regards to the LP genomes (SAG 733-F2, Bin58 and Bin76), they 455 contained 272 to 842 core GCs, 460 to 1152 shell GCs, and 57 to 192 cloud GCs (Fig. 7B).

Among the shell GCs identified in LP strains, 340 to 946 belonged to the shell GCs when 456 analyzing the 17 Sulfurimonas reference genomes while 96 to 206 belonged to the cloud GCs 457 (Fig. 7B). This is what is generally observed with the addition of new genomes in pan-genomic 458 analyses: certain GCs firstly identified as cloud are subsequently shared by more than one 459 genome after adding additional genomes resulting in their updated classification as shell GCs. 460 461 In this study, 316 distinct GCs classified as cloud GCs when analyzing the 17 Sulfurimonas reference genomes were reclassified into shell GCs when adding LP genomes (Table S13). The 462 prediction of their function via assignment to the Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) 463 classification shows that 39% (123 GCs) could not be categorized due to the absence of 464 common features with other proteins. The 61% remaining clusters fell into 18 COGs with the 465

categories « function unknown » (S, 18%), « signal transduction mechanisms » (T, 27.3%) and
« cell wall, membrane, envelope biogenesis » (M, 10.8%) being the biggest three clusters
(Table S13).

469 Comparative analysis based on the cloud GCs identified in LP strains revealed 104 GCs found only in SAG 733-F2, with 127 and 38 exclusive to Bin58 and Bin76, respectively (Fig. 7C). The 470 vast majority of these were not categorized (76%, 66.2% and 71.1%, respectively). For the 471 472 classified ones, nearly a quarter fall into the categories « S » and « R (only general predicted function) » (Table S14). The other most common COGs were related to « mobilome (X) » and 473 « defense mechanisms (V) » (Fig. 8). For LP strain 1, proteins related to « amino-acid transport 474 475 and metabolism (E) », « replication, recombination, and repair (L) » and « T » were also 476 identified in lower proportions. In addition, a few cloud GCs (22 in total, Fig. 7C) were shared by both strains, most of which (95.4%) had a COG classification. The categories « inorganic ion 477 478 transport and metabolism » (P), « cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning (D) », « intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport (U) » and M were the main 479 ones (Fig. 8, Table S9). Among these proteins, some could be relevant for the LP strain fitness, 480 481 as for instance, a chromate-resistance protein, involved in the reduction of chromate 482 accumulation (element with toxic and mutagenic effects), porins of the OprB family, central in carbohydrate transport, and the Min system proteins (MinE, MinC, MinD) (Fig. 4). LP strains 483 484 differ from all *Sulfurimonas* genomes by the presence of the MinE protein (Fig. S5) that jointly with MinD enables oscillatory behavior of the Min system. In its absence, the system remains 485 486 static (Taviti & Beuria, 2019). In addition to its involvement in cell division, this system is also 487 crucial for cellular processes such as bacterial motility, RNA decay, colonization, and virulence.

488

489 Discussion

490 In this comparative study of Lake Pavin microbiota, we identified two uncharacterized Sulfurimonas species inhabiting the bottom anoxic zone using both MAGs and SAGs data. The 491 clear difference we observed in level of completeness between SAG and MAGs affiliated to 492 this genus is congruent with that was previously observed by Alneberg et al. (2018) and 493 494 attributed this to constraints related to the WGA during single-genome amplification. The 495 MAG quality was ensured by low level of redundancy, rejecting the possibility of contaminant 496 metagenome contigs included in the binning and assembly. Moreover, our abundance profiles suggest that the two LP strains may differ in their ecological preferences related to oxygen 497  $(O_2)$  and electron acceptor/donor availability. Such coexistence of *Sulfurimonas* species within 498 499 a single ecosystem with overlapping niches has never been described before.

The presence of various genes in the vicinity of the ribosomal genes for the LP Lake Pavin 500 501 strain 1 implies multiple copies per genome, as observed for most Sulfurimonas members 502 (Table S1). Furthermore, phylogenomic analysis as well as the diversity and distribution 503 pattern of specific genes (e.g. dsrE genes) suggest that LP strains form, with other freshwater 504 representatives, a distinct freshwater clade within this genus. Regarding all the other Sulfurimonas strains analysed in this study, S. sediminis S2-6 and S. sp. strain SWIR-19 exhibit 505 a high degree of genome relatedness. This finding allows us to propose renaming the latter to 506 507 S. sediminis strain SWIR-19, similarly to the recent renaming of S. sp. strain CVO (renamed S. 508 xiamenensis strain CVO (Henkel et al., 2021)). The high genetic similarity, also observed with the S. hydrogeniphila strain, could be explained by the fact that they all originate from the 509 Indian Ocean (Table S1) with the most closely related S. sediminis S2-6 and SWIR-19 isolated 510 511 from the same area (GPS coordinates 37.78S 49.64E and 37.28S 49.65E, respectively (S. Wang, 512 Shao, et al., 2021)), while the third, S. hydrogeniphila, was recovered from a more distant 513 region (6.21N 60.31E (S. Wang, Jiang, et al., 2021)). This is consistent with the identification of ecotypes specific to an environment (as defined by Molari et al., (2023); *e.g.* brackish water
samples, hydrothermal plume samples, etc...) defined on the basis of oligotypes (amplicon
sequence variants distinguished on the basis of information entropy determined for each
nucleotide position (Eren, Morrison, et al., 2015)).

From a functional point of view, like other Sulfurimonas-spp. from terrestrial subsurface 518 environments, the LP strains display only the *soxCDY*<sub>2</sub>*Z*<sub>2</sub>*H* genes and not the *soxXY*<sub>1</sub>*Z*<sub>1</sub>*AB* genes 519 (Lahme et al., 2020). The products of this gene cluster are involved in S<sup>0</sup> oxidation with 520 thiosulfate as metabolic end product. Cell attachment to S<sup>0</sup> particles are required for their 521 oxidation and different mechanisms were suggested, depending on the Sulfurimonas spp. (e.g. 522 flagellar proteins, Type-IV pilin-like proteins). Recently, an outer membrane protein (OprD-like 523 porin) was also implicated in the S<sup>0</sup> oxidation in strain CVO for which a homolog was found in 524 525 LP strains. Here, the question whether this protein is directly involved in degradation of S<sup>0</sup> or 526 facilitates its transport into the cell remains open. Data available for other proteins involved in the sulfur cycle are also poor. This is notably the case for the DsrE proteins for which 527 528 investigations are needed to identify not only their function but also the proteins they may interact with. For instance, the DsrE2A protein, organized in a rhd-tusA-dsrE2A gene cluster, 529 was shown to mediate sulfur transfer and sulfite oxidation in cytoplasm (Dahl, 2015; L.-J. Liu 530 531 et al., 2014). Since the gene encoding DsrEa in LP strains is in a slightly different cluster (tusA gene replaced by the protein-disulfide reductase (dsbD gene)), its true function in the cell 532 could be cryptic. We also detected in the LP strain genomes both a PiT transporter homolog, 533 closer to inorganic phosphate transporters than to sulfur compounds (Marietou et al., 2018), 534 and proteins involved in polyphosphate formation and degradation (Ppk). This suggests the LP 535 536 strains have the capacity to accumulate polyphosphate under favorable conditions as a

537 cellular storage of energy, analogous to the *Sulfurimonas* subgroup GD17/GD1T isolated from
538 Baltic Sea (Möller et al., 2019).

The presence of various systems for intracellular iron availability control in LP strain genome suggests its potential role as electron donors. Also, though essential, iron can be a harmful element for the cell by catalyzing the formation of ROS by reacting with  $H_2O_2$  in a Fenton reaction. Almost half of the *Sulfurimonas* genomes, including LP strains, encode a Dps-like protein known to minimize damage from such oxidative stress. Indeed, this protein quenches both Fe<sup>2+</sup> and  $H_2O_2$  while physically protecting genomic DNA and hence protects the cell against Fenton-reaction (Bradley et al., 2020).

Numerous genes implicated in biofilm formation and motility are present in LP strain 546 547 genomes. Some of these genes were found only in a very limited number of species (e.g. pga, hemerythrin genes). With regard to one of the two hemerythrins found, the GGDEF domain 548 549 located at its C terminus is believed to be involved in the synthesis of a second messenger that contributes to the control of motility, virulence and biofilm formation (French et al., 2008; 550 Römling et al., 2013). This suggests a link between O<sub>2</sub> levels and the cyclic-di-GMP signaling 551 552 network in LP strains. However, how the interaction of the hemerythrin-like domain with O<sub>2</sub> 553 alters the activity of the associated signaling domain is still not known and should be investigated. Furthermore, the importance of O<sub>2</sub> in *Sulfurimonas* strains motility is reinforced 554 555 by the presence, in the few strains where this hemerythrin where detected (including LP 556 strains), of an Aer-PAS domain primary energy sensor for motility, known to respond to changes in O<sub>2</sub> concentration. Hence, in cooperation with chemotaxis, such traits could confer 557 558 an ability for the cell to position themselves in a microenvironment appropriate for their metabolic needs (Maschmann et al., 2022). 559

560 For the PGA adhesin, since it has been hypothesized that the PgaA subunit mediates its translocation and/or docking to the cell surface, it would be interesting to investigate how 561 PGA is released at the surface in these strains in its absence. Moreover, both LP strains and S. 562 gotlandica all thrive in the pelagic redox zone of the water column, whether in freshwater lake 563 or the Baltic Sea. Therefore, although this has not yet been demonstrated experimentally, 564 565 capacity to live in biofilm at such redox transition zones might confer ecological advantages, 566 such as efficient nutrient cycling, stress tolerance and resistance to grazing. As S. gotlandica is a cultivated species, it would be possible to elucidate some of these issues and determine the 567 importance of *pga* and hemerythrin genes in biofilm formation. 568

We suggest that MGEs might play important roles in the ability of Sulfurimonas spp. to 569 disperse. Among them, IMEs have never been reported in Sulfurimonas before and, 570 571 interestingly, the gene associations within IME in LP strains differs from the mandatory ones 572 described by Coluzzi et al. (2017) in Streptococci. For TAs, the wide variety of associated 573 antitoxins suggests that each TA type II pair may function independently. Moreover, since 574 variations in their patterns are observed both between environments and between species, further work is needed to investigate how such genomic features contribute to Sulfurimonas 575 576 strain acclimation to variable conditions and particular environment. Indeed, these 577 characteristics may, in part, explain the low number of TA pairs observed in certain strains.

The LP strains also harbor a broad arsenal of virulence and resistance determinants that may demonstrate their capacity for genetic recombination and enable rapid and efficient adaptation to the local environment. Among the resistance determinants, the FA operon shows an organization that is consistent with the finding that Gram-negative bacteria resistance to high FA would be conferred by an efflux pump (Crutcher et al., 2017). 583 Nevertheless, the ability of our strain to grow when exposed to FA remains to be 584 experimentally confirmed. Moreover, the similarity of the MarR sequences with those of 585 *Sulfuricurvum kujiense* (Table S14) suggests an acquisition *via* HGT. Therefore, further studies 586 are needed to shed light on the evolutionary and ecological forces underpinning the early 587 evolution and differentiation of these freshwater strains, as well as their ability to disperse 588 globally.

589 The upper boundary of the Lake Pavin monimolimnion, where *Sulfurimonas* live, is dynamic 590 and uncertain as it responds to weather-induced mixing. Our results suggest that LP strain 1, 591 like S. gotlandica, has a strategy based on polyphosphate storage capacity that can be used as an energy source to sustain cell integrity and reach favorable niches when substrate 592 availability changes. This capacity to accumulate polyphosphate has also been demonstrated 593 594 for magnetotactic bacteria in the monimolimnion of Lake Pavin (Rivas-Lamelo et al., 2017), 595 suggesting that this process might be more widely used than expected in this ecosystem. 596 Furthermore, the LP strain 1 was detected in a zone of the water column where conditions 597 varied from anoxic, ferruginous with elemental sulfur (≈60m, S: 3.3µM) to slightly sulfidic (≈70m, H<sub>2</sub>S: 15µM). Together, this suggests Sulfurimonas could be key-players in the 598 interconnected cycling of sulfur, carbon, phosphate, and iron cycles in Lake Pavin. Hence this 599 600 lake may be a promising environment to gain new knowledge about freshwater *Sulfurimonas* 601 strains and to elucidate their interactions with the environment.

602

#### 603 Funding

The work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) through the project EUREKA (ANR-14-CE02-0004-01).

## 607 Acknowledgements

608 The authors would like to acknowledge support from the Genomics infrastructure services at Science for Life Laboratory (https://www.scilifelab.se). Single Cell Sorting, whole genome 609 amplification, screening and library preparation were performed at the Microbial Single Cell 610 Genomics Facility (MSCG). Sequencing was performed by the SNP&SEQ Technology Platform. 611 612 The facilities are part of the Uppsala node at the National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI) 613 Sweden and Science for Life Laboratory. The SNP&SEQ Platform is also supported by the Swedish Research Council and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation. We are also grateful 614 to the Mésocentre Clermont Auvergne University (https://mesocentre.uca.fr/) for providing 615 help, computing, and storage resources. We thank Maria Hammond (MSCG, Upsala), Hélène 616 617 Gardon (PhD, Université Clermont-Auvergne) and Vincent Dardot (M2 student, Université 618 Clermont-Auvergne) for efficient technical assistance and expertise.

619

## 620 References

- Alneberg, J., Bjarnason, B. S., de Bruijn, I., Schirmer, M., Quick, J., Ijaz, U. Z., Lahti, L., Loman, N. J.,
  Andersson, A. F., & Quince, C. (2014). Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and
  composition. *Nature Methods*, *11*(11), 1144-1146. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3103
- Alneberg, J., Karlsson, C. M. G., Divne, A.-M., Bergin, C., Homa, F., Lindh, M. V., Hugerth, L. W., Ettema,
  T. J. G., Bertilsson, S., Andersson, A. F., & Pinhassi, J. (2018). Genomes from uncultivated
  prokaryotes: A comparison of metagenome-assembled and single-amplified genomes. *Microbiome*, 6(1), 173. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0550-0
- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search
   tool. Journal of Molecular Biology, 215(3), 403-410. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022 2836(05)80360-2
- Anantharaman, K., Brown, C. T., Hug, L. A., Sharon, I., Castelle, C. J., Probst, A. J., Thomas, B. C., Singh,
  A., Wilkins, M. J., Karaoz, U., Brodie, E. L., Williams, K. H., Hubbard, S. S., & Banfield, J. F. (2016).
  Thousands of microbial genomes shed light on interconnected biogeochemical processes in an
  aquifer system. *Nature Communications*, 7(1), 13219. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13219
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., Lesin, V. M., Nikolenko,
  S. I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A. D., Pyshkin, A. V., Sirotkin, A. V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G., Alekseyev,
  M. A., & Pevzner, P. A. (2012). SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications
  to Single-Cell Sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology*, *19*(5), 455-477.
  https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
- Bell, E., Lamminmäki, T., Alneberg, J., Andersson, A. F., Qian, C., Xiong, W., Hettich, R. L., Frutschi, M.,
  & Bernier-Latmani, R. (2020). Active sulfur cycling in the terrestrial deep subsurface. *The ISME Journal*, *14*(5), 1260-1272. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-0602-x
- Berben, T., Overmars, L., Sorokin, D. Y., & Muyzer, G. (2019). Diversity and Distribution of Sulfur
  Oxidation-Related Genes in Thioalkalivibrio, a Genus of Chemolithoautotrophic and
  Haloalkaliphilic Sulfur-Oxidizing Bacteria. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 160.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00160
- Berg, J. S., Jézéquel, D., Duverger, A., Lamy, D., Laberty-Robert, C., & Miot, J. (2019). Microbial diversity
   involved in iron and cryptic sulfur cycling in the ferruginous, low-sulfate waters of Lake Pavin.
   *PLOS ONE*, *14*(2), e0212787. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212787
- Biderre-Petit, C., Boucher, D., Kuever, J., Alberic, P., Jézéquel, D., Chebance, B., Borrel, G., Fonty, G., &
  Peyret, P. (2011). Identification of sulfur-cycle prokaryotes in a low-sulfate lake (Lake Pavin)
  using *aprA* and 16S rRNA gene markers. *Microbial Ecology*, *61*(2), 313-327.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-010-9769-4

- Biderre-Petit, C., Dugat-Bony, E., Mege, M., Parisot, N., Adrian, L., Moné, A., Denonfoux, J.,
  Peyretaillade, E., Debroas, D., Boucher, D., & Peyret, P. (2016). Distribution of Dehalococcoidia
  in the Anaerobic Deep Water of a Remote Meromictic Crater Lake and Detection of
  Dehalococcoidia-Derived Reductive Dehalogenase Homologous Genes. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(1),
  e0145558. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145558
- Biderre-Petit, C., Jézéquel, D., Dugat-Bony, E., Lopes, F., Kuever, J., Borrel, G., Viollier, E., Fonty, G., &
   Peyret, P. (2011). Identification of microbial communities involved in the methane cycle of a
   freshwater meromictic lake: Methane cycle in a stratified freshwater ecosystem. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 77(3), 533-545. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01134.x
- Biderre-Petit, C., Taib, N., Gardon, H., Hochart, C., & Debroas, D. (2019). New insights into the pelagic
   microorganisms involved in the methane cycle in the meromictic Lake Pavin through
   metagenomics. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *95*(3). https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy183
- Block, K. R., O'Brien, J. M., Edwards, W. J., & Marnocha, C. L. (2021). Vertical structure of the bacterial
  diversity in meromictic Fayetteville Green Lake. *MicrobiologyOpen*, 10(4).
  https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.1228
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
   data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2114-2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Booker, A. E., Borton, M. A., Daly, R. A., Welch, S. A., Nicora, C. D., Hoyt, D. W., Wilson, T., Purvine, S.
  O., Wolfe, R. A., Sharma, S., Mouser, P. J., Cole, D. R., Lipton, M. S., Wrighton, K. C., & Wilkins,
  M. J. (2017). Sulfide Generation by Dominant *Halanaerobium* Microorganisms in Hydraulically
  Fractured Shales. *MSphere*, 2(4), e00257-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphereDirect.0025717
- Bowers, R. M., Stepanauskas, R., Harmon-Smith, M., Doud, D., Reddy, T. B. K., Schulz, F., Jarett, J.,
  Rivers, A. R., Eloe-Fadrosh, E. A., Tringe, S. G., Ivanova, N. N., Copeland, A., Clum, A., Becraft,
  E. D., Malmstrom, R. R., Birren, B., Podar, M., Bork, P., Weinstock, G. M., ... Woyke, T. (2017).
  Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenomeassembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. *Nature Biotechnology*, *35*(8), 725-731.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3893
- Bradley, J. M., Svistunenko, D. A., Wilson, M. T., Hemmings, A. M., Moore, G. R., & Le Brun, N. E. (2020).
  Bacterial iron detoxification at the molecular level. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 295(51),
  17602-17623. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.REV120.007746
- Bura-Nakić, E., Viollier, E., & Ciglenečki, I. (2012). Electrochemical and Colorimetric Measurements
   Show the Dominant Role of FeS in a Permanently Anoxic Lake *Environmental Science & Technology*, *47*(2), 741-749. https://doi.org/10.1021/es303603j
- Callbeck, C. M., Canfield, D. E., Kuypers, M. M. M., Yilmaz, P., Lavik, G., Thamdrup, B., Schubert, C. J.,
  & Bristow, L. A. (2021). Sulfur cycling in oceanic oxygen minimum zones. *Limnology and Oceanography*, *66*(6), 2360-2392. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11759
- Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., & Madden, T. L. (2009).
  BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 10(1), 421.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421
- 694 Campbell, B. J., Engel, A. S., Porter, M. L., & Takai, K. (2006). The versatile ε-proteobacteria: Key players
   695 in sulphidic habitats. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 4(6), 458-468.
   696 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1414</u>
- 697 Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M., & Gabaldón T. (2009). trimAl: a tool for automated
   698 alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*, 25(15), 1972-1973.
   699 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348</u>
- Chaumeil, P.-A., Mussig, A. J., Hugenholtz, P., & Parks, D. H. (2020). GTDB-Tk: A toolkit to classify
  genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics*, *36*(6), 1925-1927.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848
- Coluzzi, C., Guédon, G., Devignes, M.-D., Ambroset, C., Loux, V., Lacroix, T., Payot, S., & Leblond Bourget, N. (2017). A Glimpse into the World of Integrative and Mobilizable Elements in

- 705Streptococci Reveals an Unexpected Diversity and Novel Families of Mobilization Proteins.706Frontiers in Microbiology, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00443
- Crutcher, F. K., Puckhaber, L. S., Stipanovic, R. D., Bell, A. A., Nichols, R. L., Lawrence, K. S., & Liu, J.
   (2017). Microbial Resistance Mechanisms to the Antibiotic and Phytotoxin Fusaric Acid. *Journal* of Chemical Ecology, 43(10), 996-1006. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-017-0889-x
- 710Dahl, C. (2015). Cytoplasmic sulfur trafficking in sulfur-oxidizing prokaryotes. IUBMB Life, 67(4),711268-274. https://doi.org/10.1002/iub.1371
- Diao, M., Huisman, J., & Muyzer, G. (2018). Spatio-temporal dynamics of sulfur bacteria during oxic—
   Anoxic regime shifts in a seasonally stratified lake. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *94*(4).
   https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy040
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space
   complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 5(1), 113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-5-113</u>
- 717 Edgar, R. C. (2022). Muscle5: High-accuracy alignment ensembles enable unbiased assessments of
   718 sequence homology and phylogeny. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 13(1), 6968. <u>https://doi.org/</u>
   719 <u>10.1038/s41467-022-34630-w</u>
- Eren, A. M., Esen, Ö. C., Quince, C., Vineis, J. H., Morrison, H. G., Sogin, M. L., & Delmont, T. O. (2015).
   Anvi'o: An advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. *PeerJ*, *3*, e1319.
   https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1319
- Fang, Y., Liu, J., Yang, J., Wu, G., Hua, Z., Dong, H., Hedlund, B. P., Baker, B. J., & Jiang, H. (2022).
  Compositional and Metabolic Responses of Autotrophic Microbial Community to Salinity in
  Lacustrine Environments. *MSystems*, 7(4), e00335-22. https://doi.org/
  10.1128/msystems.00335-22
- Fraikin, N., Goormaghtigh, F., & Van Melderen, L. (2020). Type II Toxin-Antitoxin Systems: Evolution
  and Revolutions. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 202(7), e00763. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.0076319
- French, C. E., Bell, J. M. L., & Ward, F. B. (2008). Diversity and distribution of hemerythrin-like proteins
  in prokaryotes. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, *279*(2), 131-145. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.15746968.2007.01011.x
- Goris, J., Konstantinidis, K. T., Klappenbach, J. A., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P., & Tiedje, J. M. (2007).
   DNA–DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities.
   *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *57*(1), 81-91.
   https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64483-0
- Götz, F., Longnecker, K., Kido Soule, M. C., Becker, K. W., McNichol, J., Kujawinski, E. B., & Sievert, S.
  M. (2018). Targeted metabolomics reveals proline as a major osmolyte in the chemolithoautotroph *Sulfurimonas denitrificans*. *MicrobiologyOpen*, 7(4), e00586.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.586
- Grein, F., Ramos, A. R., Venceslau, S. S., & Pereira, I. A. C. (2013). Unifying concepts in anaerobic
   respiration: Insights from dissimilatory sulfur metabolism. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Bioenergetics*, 1827(2), 145-160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.09.001
- Grote, J., Schott, T., Bruckner, C. G., Glöckner, F. O., Jost, G., Teeling, H., Labrenz, M., & Jürgens, K.
  (2012). Genome and physiology of a model *Epsilonproteobacterium* responsible for sulfide
  detoxification in marine oxygen depletion zones. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(2), 506-510. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111262109
- Gwak, J.-H., Awala, S. I., Nguyen, N.-L., Yu, W.-J., Yang, H.-Y., von Bergen, M., Jehmlich, N., Kits, K. D.,
  Loy, A., Dunfield, Peter. F., Dahl, C., Hyun, J.-H., & Rhee, S.-K. (2022). Sulfur and methane
  oxidation by a single microorganism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *119*(32), e2114799119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2114799119
- Hahn, C. R., Farag, I. F., Murphy, C. L., Podar, M., Elshahed, M. S., & Youssef, N. H. (2022). Microbial
  Diversity and Sulfur Cycling in an Early Earth Analogue: From Ancient Novelty to Modern
  Commonality. *MBio*, 13(2), e00016-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00016-22
- Hamilton, T. L., Bovee, R. J., Thiel, V., Sattin, S. R., Mohr, W., Schaperdoth, I., Vogl, K., Gilhooly III, W.
  P., Lyons, T. W., Tomsho, L. P., Schuster, S. C., Overmann, J., Bryant, D. A., Pearson, A., &

- Macalady, J. L. (2014). Coupled reductive and oxidative sulfur cycling in the phototrophic plate
  of a meromictic lake. *Geobiology*, *12*(5), 451-468. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12092
- Han, Y., & Perner, M. (2015). The globally widespread genus *Sulfurimonas*: Versatile energy
   metabolisms and adaptations to redox clines. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6.
   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00989
- Henkel, J. V., Vogts, A., Werner, J., Neu, T. R., Spröer, C., Bunk, B., & Schulz-Vogt, H. N. (2021).
  Candidatus Sulfurimonas marisnigri sp. Nov. And Candidatus Sulfurimonas baltica sp. Nov.,
  thiotrophic manganese oxide reducing chemolithoautotrophs of the class Campylobacteria
  isolated from the pelagic redoxclines of the Black Sea and the Baltic Sea. Systematic and
  Applied Microbiology, 44(1), 126155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2020.126155
- Hu, Q., Wang, S., Lai, Q., Shao, Z., & Jiang, L. (2021). Sulfurimonas indica sp. Nov., a hydrogen- and sulfur-oxidizing chemolithoautotroph isolated from a hydrothermal sulfide chimney in the Northwest Indian Ocean. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 71(1). https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004575
- Huang, Y., Gilna, P., & Li, W. (2009). Identification of ribosomal RNA genes in metagenomic fragments.
   *Bioinformatics*, 25(10), 1338-1340. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp161
- 773 Huerta-Cepas, J., Szklarczyk, D., Heller, D., Hernández-Plaza, A., Forslund, S. K., Cook, H., Mende, D. R., 774 Letunic, I., Rattei, T., Jensen, L. J., von Mering, C., & Bork, P. (2019). eggNOG 5.0: A hierarchical, 775 functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 776 2502 viruses. Acids Research, 47(D1), Nucleic D309-D314. 777 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1085
- Hyatt, D., Chen, G.-L., LoCascio, P. F., Land, M. L., Larimer, F. W., & Hauser, L. J. (2010). Prodigal:
  Prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics*,
  11(1), 119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119</u>
- 781 Jaffe, A. L., Bardot, C., Lejeune, A; L., Liu, J., Colombet, J., Perrière, F., Billard, H., Castelle, C. J., Lehours,
   782 A.-C., & Banfield, J. F. (2023). *Microbiome*, *11*(1), 14. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s40168-022-</u>
   783 01416-7
- Johnson, L. A., & Hug, L. A. (2019). Distribution of reactive oxygen species defense mechanisms across
   domain bacteria. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 140, 93-102.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.03.032
- Kamruzzaman, M., Wu, A. Y., & Iredell, J. R. (2021). Biological Functions of Type II Toxin-Antitoxin
   Systems in Bacteria. *Microorganisms*, 9(6), 1276.
   https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9061276
- Kang, D. K., Froula, J., Egan, R., & Wang, Z. (2015). MetaBAT, an efficient tool for accurately
   reconstructing single genomes from complex microbial communities. *PeerJ*, 27(3), e1165.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1165</u>
- Kim, M., Oh, H.-S., Park, S.-C., & Chun, J. (2014). Towards a taxonomic coherence between average
   nucleotide identity and 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity for species demarcation of
   prokaryotes. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *64*(Pt\_2),
   346-351. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.059774-0
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version
  7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(7), 1870-1874.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Lahme, S., Callbeck, C. M., Eland, L. E., Wipat, A., Enning, D., Head, I. M., & Hubert, C. R. J. (2020).
  Comparison of sulfide-oxidizing Sulfurimonas strains reveals a new mode of thiosulfate
  formation in subsurface environments. *Environmental Microbiology*, 22(5), 1784-1800.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14894
- Lahme, S., Enning, D., Callbeck, C. M., Menendez Vega, D., Curtis, T. P., Head, I. M., & Hubert, C. R. J.
  (2019). Metabolites of an Oil Field Sulfide-Oxidizing, Nitrate-Reducing *Sulfurimonas* sp. Cause
  Severe Corrosion. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *85*(3), e01891-18.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01891-18

- Lee, M. D. (2019). GToTree: a user-friendly workflow for phylogenomics. *Bioinformatics*, 35(20), 4162 4164. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz188
- Li, D., Liu, C.-M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K., & Lam, T.-W. (2015). MEGAHIT: An ultra-fast single-node
  solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct *de Bruijn* graph. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(10), 1674-1676. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033
- Li, W., & Godzik, A. (2006). Cd-hit: A fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or
  nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*, 22(13), 1658-1659.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bt1158
- Lin, S.-H., & Liao, Y.-C. (2013). CISA: Contig Integrator for Sequence Assembly of Bacterial Genomes.
   *PLoS ONE*, 8(3), e60843. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060843
- Liu, B., Zheng, D., Jin, Q., Chen, L., & Yang, J. (2019). VFDB 2019: A comparative pathogenomic platform
  with an interactive web interface. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(D1), D687-D692.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1080
- Liu, L.-J., Stockdreher, Y., Koch, T., Sun, S.-T., Fan, Z., Josten, M., Sahl, H.-G., Wang, Q., Luo, Y.-M., Liu,
   S.-J., Dahl, C., & Jiang, C.-Y. (2014). Thiosulfate Transfer Mediated by DsrE/TusA Homologs
   from Acidothermophilic Sulfur-oxidizing Archaeon Metallosphaera cuprina. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 289(39), 26949-26959. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.591669
- Liu, M., Li, X., Xie, Y., Bi, D., Sun, J., Li, J., Tai, C., Deng, Z., & Ou, H.-Y. (2019). ICEberg 2.0: An updated
  database of bacterial integrative and conjugative elements. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *47*(D1),
  D660-D665. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1123
- Louca, S., Doebeli, M., & Parfrey, L. W. (2018). Correcting for 16S rRNA gene copy numbers in
  microbiome surveys remains an unsolved problem. *Microbiome*, 6(1), 41.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0420-9
- Lushchak, V. I. (2011). Adaptive response to oxidative stress: Bacteria, fungi, plants and animals.
   *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology*, 153(2),
   175-190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2010.10.004
- Marietou, A., Røy, H., Jørgensen, B. B., & Kjeldsen, K. U. (2018). Sulfate Transporters in Dissimilatory
   Sulfate Reducing Microorganisms: A Comparative Genomics Analysis. Frontiers in
   Microbiology, 9, 309. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00309
- Maschmann, Z. A., Chua, T. K., Chandrasekaran, S., Ibáñez, H., & Crane, B. R. (2022). Redox properties
  and PAS domain structure of the Escherichia coli energy sensor Aer indicate a multistate
  sensing mechanism. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *298*(12), 102598.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102598
- Meier, D. V., Pjevac, P., Bach, W., Hourdez, S., Girguis, P. R., Vidoudez, C., Amann, R., & Meyerdierks,
   A. (2017). Niche partitioning of diverse sulfur-oxidizing bacteria at hydrothermal vents. *The ISME Journal*, 11(7), 1545-1558. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.37</u>
- Miot, J., Jézéquel, D., Benzerara, K., Cordier, L., Rivas-Lamelo, S., Skouri-Panet, F., Férard, C., Poinsot,
  M., & Duprat, E. (2016). Mineralogical Diversity in Lake Pavin : Connections with Water Column
  Chemistry and Biomineralization Processes. *Minerals*, 6(2), 24.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/min6020024
- Molari, M., Hassenrueck, C., Laso-Pérez, R., Wegener, G., Offre, P., Scilipoti, S., & Boetius, A. (2023). A
   hydrogenotrophic Sulfurimonas is globally abundant in deep-sea oxygen-saturated
   hydrothermal plumes. *Nature Microbiology*, 8(4), 651-665. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564 023-01342-w
- Möller, L., Laas, P., Rogge, A., Goetz, F., Bahlo, R., Leipe, T., & Labrenz, M. (2019). Sulfurimonas
  subgroup GD17 cells accumulate polyphosphate under fluctuating redox conditions in the
  Baltic Sea: Possible implications for their ecology. The ISME Journal, 13(2), 482-493.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0267-x
- Nosalova, L., Piknova, M., Kolesarova, M., & Pristas, P. (2023). Cold Sulfur Springs—Neglected Niche
   for Autotrophic Sulfur-Oxidizing Bacteria. *Microorganisms*, *11*(6), 1436.
   https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11061436

- Parks, D. H., Chuvochina, M., Rinke, C., Mussig, A. J., Chaumeil, P.-A., & Hugenholtz, P. (2022). GTDB:
  An ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a phylogenetically consistent,
  rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *50*(D1),
  D785-D794. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab776
- Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P., & Tyson, G. W. (2015). CheckM : Assessing
  the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Research*, 25(7), 1043-1055. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114
- Peng, Y., Leung, H. C. M., Yiu, S. M., & Chin, F. Y. L. (2012). IDBA-UD: A de novo assembler for singlecell and metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. *Bioinformatics*, 28(11),
  1420-1428. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts174
- Perisin, M., Vetter, M., Gilbert, J. A., & Bergelson, J. (2016). 16Stimator: Statistical estimation of
  ribosomal gene copy numbers from draft genome assemblies. *The ISME Journal*, *10*(4),
  1020-1024. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.161
- Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., & Arkin, A.P. (2010). FastTree 2 Approximately Maximum-Likelihood Trees
   for Large Alignments. *PLOS ONE* 5(3), e9490. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009490
- Pritchard, L., Glover, R. H., Humphris, S., Elphinstone, J. G., & Toth, I. K. (2015). Genomics and taxonomy
   in diagnostics for food security: Soft-rotting enterobacterial plant pathogens. *Analytical Methods*, 8(1), 12-24. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5AY02550H
- Probst, A. J., Ladd, B., Jarett, J. K., Geller-McGrath, D. E., Sieber, C. M. K., Emerson, J. B., Anantharaman,
  K., Thomas, B. C., Malmstrom, R. R., Stieglmeier, M., Klingl, A., Woyke, T., Ryan, M. C., &
  Banfield, J. F. (2018). Differential depth distribution of microbial function and putative
  symbionts through sediment-hosted aquifers in the deep terrestrial subsurface. *Nature Microbiology*, 3(3), 328-336. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-017-0098-y
- Ratnikova, N. M., Slobodkin, A. I., Merkel, A. Y., Kopitsyn, D. S., Kevbrin, V. V., Bonch-Osmolovskaya, E.
   A., & Slobodkina, G. B. (2020). *Sulfurimonas crateris* sp. Nov., a facultative anaerobic sulfur oxidizing chemolithoautotrophic bacterium isolated from a terrestrial mud volcano.
   *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *70*(1), 487-492.
   https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003779
- Ravot, G., Magot, M., Ollivier, B., Patel, B. K. C., Ageron, E., Grimont, P. A. D., Thomas, P., & Garcia, J.L. (2006). *Haloanaerobium congolense* sp. Nov., an anaerobic, moderately halophilic,
  thiosulfate- and sulfur-reducing bacterium from an African oil field. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*,
  147(1), 81-88. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1997.tb10224.x
- Rivas-Lamelo, S., Benzerara, K., Lefèvre, C. T., Monteil, C. L., Jézéquel, D., Menguy, N., Viollier, E.,
   Guyot, F., Férard, C., Poinsot, M., Skouri-Panet, F., Trcera, N., Miot, J., & Duprat, E. (2017).
   Magnetotactic bacteria as a new model for P sequestration in the ferruginous Lake Pavin.
   *Geochemical Perspectives Letters*, 5, 35-41. https://doi.org/10.7185/geochemlet.1743
- Rogge, A., Vogts, A., Voss, M., Jürgens, K., Jost, G., & Labrenz, M. (2017). Success of
  chemolithoautotrophic SUP05 and *Sulfurimonas* GD17 cells in pelagic Baltic Sea redox zones is
  facilitated by their lifestyles as *K* and *r* -strategists: SUP05 and *Sulfurimonas* in sulfidic redox
  zones. *Environmental Microbiology*, *19*(6), 2495-2506. https://doi.org/10.1111/14622920.13783
- Römling, U., Galperin, M. Y., & Gomelsky, M. (2013). Cyclic di-GMP: The First 25 Years of a Universal
   Bacterial Second Messenger. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 77(1), 1-52.
   https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00043-12
- 903Salmaso, N. (2019). Effects of Habitat Partitioning on the Distribution of Bacterioplankton in Deep904Lakes. Frontiers in Microbiology, 10, 2257. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02257
- Sikorski, J., Munk, C., Lapidus, A., Djao, O. D. N., Tapia, R., Goodwin, L., Pitluck, S., Liolios, K., Ivanova,
  N., Mavromatis, K., Mikhailova, N., Pati, A., Sims, D., Meincke, L., Brettin, T., Detter, J. C., Chen,
  A., Palaniappan, K., Land, M., ... Klenk, H.-P. (2010). *Sulfurimonas autotrophica* type strain
  (OK10). *Standards in Genomic Sciences*, 3(2), 194-202. https://doi.org/10.4056/sigs.1173118

- Soto-Jimenez, L., Estrada, K., & Sanchez-Flores, A. (2014). GARM: Genome Assembly, Reconciliation
  and Merging Pipeline. *Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry*, 14(3), 418-424.
  https://doi.org/10.2174/1568026613666131204110628
- 912 Takai, K., Suzuki, M., Nakagawa, S., Miyazaki, M., Suzuki, Y., Inagaki, F., & Horikoshi, K. (2006). 913 Sulfurimonas paralvinellae sp. Nov., a novel mesophilic, hydrogen- and sulfur-oxidizing 914 chemolithoautotroph within the Epsilonproteobacteria isolated from a deep-sea hydrothermal 915 vent polychaete nest, reclassification of Thiomicrospira denitrificans as Sulfurimonas 916 denitrificans comb. Nov. And emended description of the genus Sulfurimonas. International 917 Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 56(8), 1725-1733. 918 https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64255-0
- Tanaka, Y., Yoshikaie, K., Takeuchi, A., Ichikawa, M., Mori, T., Uchino, S., Sugano, Y., Hakoshima, T.,
  Takagi, H., Nonaka, G., & Tsukazaki, T. (2020). Crystal structure of a YeeE/YedE family protein
  engaged in thiosulfate uptake. *Science Advances*, 6(35), eaba7637.
  https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba7637
- 923Taviti, A. C., & Beuria, T. K. (2019). Bacterial Min proteins beyond the cell division. Critical Reviews in924Microbiology, 45(1), 22-32. https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2018.1538932
- Taylor, G. T., Suter, E. A., Pachiadaki, M. G., Astor, Y., Edgcomb, V. P., & Scranton, M. I. (2018). Temporal
   shifts in dominant sulfur-oxidizing chemoautotrophic populations across the Cariaco Basin's
   redoxcline. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, *156*, 80-96.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2017.11.016
- Thompson, C. C., Chimetto, L., Edwards, R. A., Swings, J., Stackebrandt, E., & Thompson, F. L. (2013).
  Microbial genomic taxonomy. *BMC Genomics*, 23(14), 913. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/14712164-14-913
- Tian, H., Gao, P., Chen, Z., Li, Y., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Li, G., & Ma, T. (2017). Compositions and
   Abundances of Sulfate-Reducing and Sulfur-Oxidizing Microorganisms in Water-Flooded
   Petroleum Reservoirs with Different Temperatures in China. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *8*, 143.
   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00143
- Tully, B. J., Wheat, C. G., Glazer, B. T., & Huber, J. A. (2018). A dynamic microbial community with high
   functional redundancy inhabits the cold, oxic subseafloor aquifer. *The ISME Journal*, *12*(1),
   1-16. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.187
- van Vliet, D. M., von Meijenfeldt, F. A. B., Dutilh, B. E., Villanueva, L., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Stams, A.
  J. M., & Sánchez-Andrea, I. (2021). The bacterial sulfur cycle in expanding dysoxic and euxinic
  marine waters. *Environmental Microbiology*, 23(6), 2834-2857. https://doi.org/10.1111/14622920.15265
- Wacey, D., Kilburn, M. R., Saunders, M., Cliff, J., & Brasier, M. D. (2011). Microfossils of sulphurmetabolizing cells in 3.4-billion-year-old rocks of Western Australia. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(10),
  698-702. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1238
- Wang, L., Cheung, M. K., Liu, R., Wong, C. K., Kwan, H. S., & Hwang, J.-S. (2017). Diversity of Total
  Bacterial Communities and Chemoautotrophic Populations in Sulfur-Rich Sediments of
  Shallow-Water Hydrothermal Vents off Kueishan Island, Taiwan. *Microbial Ecology*, *73*(3),
  571-582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0898-2
- Wang, S., Jiang, L., Hu, Q., Cui, L., Zhu, B., Fu, X., Lai, Q., Shao, Z., & Yang, S. (2021). Characterization of
   *Sulfurimonas hydrogeniphila* sp. Nov., a Novel Bacterium Predominant in Deep-Sea
   Hydrothermal Vents and Comparative Genomic Analyses of the Genus Sulfurimonas. Frontiers
   *in Microbiology*, *12*, 626705. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.626705
- Wang, S., Jiang, L., Xie, S., Alain, K., Wang, Z., Wang, J., Liu, D., & Shao, Z. (2023). Disproportionation of
   Inorganic Sulfur Compounds by Mesophilic Chemolithoautotrophic Campylobacterota.
   MSystems, 8(1), e00954-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00954-22
- Wang, S., Shao, Z., Lai, Q., Liu, X., Xie, S., Jiang, L., & Yang, S. (2021). Sulfurimonas sediminis sp. Nov., a
   novel hydrogen- and sulfur-oxidizing chemolithoautotroph isolated from a hydrothermal vent
   at the Longqi system, southwestern Indian ocean. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 114(6), 813-822.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-021-01560-4

- Wang, X., Preston, J. F., & Romeo, T. (2004). The *pgaABCD* Locus of *Escherichia coli* Promotes the
   Synthesis of a Polysaccharide Adhesin Required for Biofilm Formation. *Journal of Bacteriology*,
   *186*(9), 2724-2734. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.9.2724-2734.2004
- Wang, Z., Wang, S., Lai, Q., Wei, S., Jiang, L., & Shao, Z. (2022). Sulfurimonas marina sp. Nov., an
   obligately chemolithoautotrophic, sulphur-oxidizing bacterium isolated from a deep-sea
   sediment sample from the South China Sea. International Journal of Systematic and
   Evolutionary Microbiology, 72(10), 005582. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.005582
- Waskom, M. (2021). seaborn: Statistical data visualization. Journal of Open Source Software, 6(60),
   3021. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03021
- Whelan, S., & Goldman, N. (2001). A General Empirical Model of Protein Evolution Derived from
   Multiple Protein Families Using a Maximum-Likelihood Approach. *Molecular Biology and Evolution, 18*(5), 691-699. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a003851
- Wiedenheft, B., Mosolf, J., Willits, D., Yeager, M., Dryden, K. A., Young, M., & Douglas, T. (2005). An
  archaeal antioxidant: Characterization of a Dps-like protein from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(30), 10551-10556.
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0501497102
- Xie, Y., Wei, Y., Shen, Y., Li, X., Zhou, H., Tai, C., Deng, Z., & Ou, H.-Y. (2018). TADB 2.0: An updated database of bacterial type II toxin–antitoxin loci. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(D1), D749-D753.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1033
- Yarza, P., Yilmaz, P., Pruesse, E., Glöckner, F. O., Ludwig, W., Schleifer, K.-H., Whitman, W. B., Euzéby,
  J., Amann, R., & Rosselló-Móra, R. (2014). Uniting the classification of cultured and uncultured
  bacteria and archaea using 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *12*(9),
  635-645. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3330
- Yeo, C. C. (2018). GNAT toxins of bacterial toxin-antitoxin systems: Acetylation of charged tRNAs to
   inhibit translation: GNAT toxins of bacterial toxin-antitoxin systems. *Molecular Microbiology*,
   108(4), 331-335. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13958
- Zankari, E., Hasman, H., Cosentino, S., Vestergaard, M., Rasmussen, S., Lund, O., Aarestrup, F. M., &
   Larsen, M. V. (2012). Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, *67*(11), 2640-2644. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261
- Zeng, X., Alain, K., & Shao, Z. (2021). Microorganisms from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. *Marine Life Science & Technology*, 3(2), 204-230. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-020-00086-4
- 992

## 993 Data accessibility

The raw sequences of the metagenomic datasets MetaG1, previously described in Biderre-994 995 Petit et al (2019), are archived in MG-RAST under accession numbers mgm4580845.3 to mgm4580848.3 for the metagenomic reads and the accession numbers mgm4557752.3.100, 996 mgm4557753.3.100, mgm4581104.3.100 and mgm4581106.3.100 for the assembled contigs. 997 998 Metabarcoding data are available at the NCBI SRA database under the BioProject accession 999 number PRJNA975855 and SRA accession numbers SRX20521566 to SRX20521576. Raw 1000 sequences of the metagenomic datasets MetaG2 as well as the Sulfurimonas SAG and MAGs 1001 produced as part of the present study are available at NCBI SRA database under the BioProject accession PRJNA983208. 1002

1003

## 1004 **ORCID**

- 1005 Corinne Biderre-Petit <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7962-4171</u>
- 1006 Arthur Monjot <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6978-4785</u>
- 1007 Cécile Lepère <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4767-0477</u>
- 1008 Didier Debroas https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-9915-1268
- 1009 Pierre Galand <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2238-3247</u>

- 1010 Stefan Bertilsson https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4265-1835
- 1011

## 1012 Conflict of interests

- 1013 The authors declare that they have no known competing interests.
- 1014

### 1015 Author contributions

1016 AM conceived figures, involvement in writing-review and editing; CBP was responsible for conceptualization, supervision, data curation, data analysis and interpretation and writing-1017 original draft; Che was involved in formal analysis and writing - review and editing; CHo was 1018 1019 responsible for metagenome assembly and analysis, data curation, methodology and writing 1020 - review and editing; CL was involved in writing - original draft , DC participate to the pan-1021 genome analysis, data curation, methodology, writing - review and editing; PEG was 1022 responsible for sampling and funding acquisition (ANR EUREKA), writing - review and editing; DD was responsible for sampling and funding acquisition (ANR EUREKA); SB, AMD and MH 1023 were responsible for SAG preparation and sequencing, writing - review and editing. All authors 1024 1025 contributed to the manuscript and approved the final version.

1026

## 1027 Figure titles and legends

Figure 1: Pairwise average similarity calculations for the 17 *Sulfurimonas* reference genomes and the Lake Pavin strains. The MAGs and SAG obtained in the present study are indicated in orange (Bin58, Bin76 and SAG 733-F2) and MAGs from the Jaffe et al' study (2023), in blue (MAG 0419\_WC90, and MAG 0818\_WC45). Upper matrix: pairwise average nucleotide identity (ANIb); lower matrix: pairwise average amino acid identity (AAI). Lake Pavin strains from the present study are indicated in orange and those from the Jaffe et al' study, in blue (Jaffe et al., 2023).

1035 Figure 2: Phylogenomic analysis of Lake Pavin strains and ribosomal genes detected in Lake 1036 Pavin datasets. A) Phylogenomic tree encompassing Sulfurimonas genomes (isolates and 1037 MAGs) made using GToTree (Lee, 2019) and a set of 74 bacterial marker genes. MAGs 1038 obtained in the present are indicated in orange and those from Jaffe et al. (2023), in blue. 1039 Stars indicated Sulfurimonas strains found associated to a host. Bootstrap values based on 1040 1000 replicates; only values above 75% are shown at branch nodes. B) Schematic 1041 representation of the ribosomal RNA gene genomic context found in the MetaG2 1042 metagenomes as well as in Bin58 and SAG 733-F2 assemblies. Boxed arrows indicate genes and their direction of transcription. For each gene, the closest relative is indicated in the right 1043 1044 part of the figure, identified either by a letter or by a number.

Figure 3: Relative abundance of the Lake Pavin *Sulfurimonas* strains along the lake water column. A) Relative abundance of Bin58 and Bin76 MAGs in metagenomes produced in 2013 (MetaG1) and 2015 (MetaG2). B). Relative abundance of SAG 733-F2 in MetaG2 metagenomes based on mapping the original 16S rRNA metagenomic reads onto the 16S rRNA cluster with seed-sequence 100% identical to the SAG 733-F2 sequence. C) Relative abundance (% of total community) in the 454 metabarcoding libraries constructed from gDNA (MetaB 16S gDNA) and cDNA samples (MetaB 16S cDNA) collected along the Lake Pavin water column. Sulfu1: 1052 OTU with seed-sequence 100% identical to the SAG 733-F2 sequence; Sulfu2: the second most 1053 abundant OTU affiliated to the genus Sulfurimonas and with less than 97% identity with the 1054 SAG 733-F2 sequence.

**Figure 4: Overview of the metabolism of the Lake Pavin** *Sulfurimonas* strains inferred from their genome. A) General functions. Transporter families (ABC, MFS, P-type ATPase, symporter, etc) are represented by different colors and forms. B) Functions only carried by Lake Pavin (LP) strains (strain 1, strain 2 or both – black boxes) or shared by one or both LP strains with only one other *Sulfurimonas* genome (blue box).

Figure 5: Overview of the putative pathways of oxidative and assimilation metabolisms for sulfur (A) and of reductive and assimilation metabolisms for nitrogen (B) within the Lake Pavin strains (for details about genes involved in sulfur pathways see Table S9, and for nitrogen pathways, Table S10).

**Figure 6: Modular structure of integrative and mobilizable element (IME) found in** *Sulfurimonas strains from Lake Pavin.* The gene blocks conserved between SAG 733-F2 and Bin58, SAG 733-F2 and Bin76 and SAG 733-F2 and *Arcobacter* sp. are shown. Proteins not found in other *Sulfurimonas* genomes appear in bold; those found only in a few genomes, in bold italics.

1069 Figure 7: Pan-genome analysis of the 17 Sulfurimonas reference genomes used in the present study and the Lake Pavin strains. A) Flower plot displaying the numbers of core gene 1070 clusters (GCs) (in the center), flexible (shell) GCs (in the annulus) and unique (cloud) GCs (in 1071 the petals) for the 17 Sulfurimonas genomes. B) Number of core, flexible (shell), and unique 1072 (cloud) GCs for SAG 733-F2, Bin58 and Bin76 genomes. C) Venn diagram displaying the number 1073 1074 of cloud GCs shared by lake Pavin strains or specific to each. The number of genes 1075 corresponding to the GC number is indicated in parentheses in order: SAG 733-F2, Bin58, 1076 Bin76.

- Figure 8: Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) classification of the cloud GCs identified in
   Lake Pavin strains.
- 1079 **Table 1**: Classification and distribution of Type II and IV toxin-antitoxin systems in 1080 *Sulfurimonas* species.
- Figure S1: Pairwise average nucleotide identity (ANIb) heatmap of *Sulfurimonas* genomes,
   MAGs and Bin58.
- Figure S2: Pairwise average amino acid identity (AAI) heatmap of *Sulfurimonas* genomes,
   MAGs and Bin58.
- **Figure S3: Maximum likehood phylogenetic tree of deduced protein sequences of the sulfide:quinone reductase (Spr).** Number at nodes represent bootstrap values (1 000 replicates of the original dataset), only number above 50% are shown. The scale bar represents the average number of substitutions per site. The accession number for the sequences are given at the right side of species name. The protein sequences from Lake Pavin strains are indicated by a dot for Bin58 and a circle for Bin76, with colors depending on the sqr type: type II in red (SqrB), type IV in green (SqrD) and type VI in blue (SqrF).

1092 Figure S4: Maximum likehood phylogenetic trees of deduced protein sequences of two potential sulfate transporters (PiT and SulP). On the left: PiT protein sequence tree. The two 1093 protein clades are surrounded with different colors and given a letter label (A, B). Reference 1094 sequences: PITA, inorganic phosphate transporter (UniProt POAFJ7), PITB: inorganic 1095 phosphate transporter (UniProt POAFJ7), Cys Pit: sulfate transporter (BSUA 01689). On the 1096 1097 right: SulP protein sequence tree. The three protein clades are surrounded with different 1098 colors and given a letter label (A, B, C) while separate protein groupings are denoted by subscript number (i, ii). Reference sequences: BICA (Aii), bicarbonate transporter (UniProt 1099 1100 Q14SY0), DAU Salm (B): dicarboxylic transporter (ACY88617), Riv1739c and SulP Pseudo 1101 (PA1647) (C): sulfate transporter. Number at nodes represent bootstrap values (1 000 1102 replications of the original dataset), only number above 50% are shown. The scale bar 1103 represents the average number of substitutions per site. The accession number are given for 1104 all sequences. Bin58 and SAG 733-F2 sequences are identified by a red dot.

**Figure S5: Schematic representation of** *yee* **gene genomic context in the Bin76 genome**. All genes potentially involved in sulfur cycling are in ochre-brown color. Each box represents genes in the contig for which a homolog was present only in a restricted number of *Sulfurimonas* genomes and MAGs. Their genomic context in the Lake Pavin strain 1 is also shown (Node for SAG 733-F2 and locus tag, *i.e.* K119\_, for Bin58). Ochre arrows: genes encoding YeeE proteins; green arrows: Min operon; black blue arrow: gene encoding a high potential iron-sulfur protein.

Figure S6: Sequence alignment of DsrE homologs (A) and phylogenetic tree (B). In A, the 1112 1113 sequences are identified by their locus tags. The active site cysteine conserved in all sequences 1114 is labeled with an asterisk and highlighted in cyan. For clarity, only those parts of the alignment 1115 are shown to contain conserved cysteine residues (N and C terminus, cysteines highlighted in yellow). In blue: sequence identifiers of Sulfurimonas genomes. Bins are highlighted in red and 1116 1117 their motifs in bold italics. In B, the evolutionary history was inferred using the maximum 1118 likelihood method. Number at nodes represent bootstrap values (1 000 replicates of the 1119 original dataset), only number above 50% are shown. The scale bar represents the average number of substitutions per site. The accession number are given for all sequences. Bin 1120 1121 sequences are identified by a red dot, *Sulfurimonas* genome sequences by a blue diamond.

Subclusters DsrE2A, DsrE3A, DsrE3B, DsrE4 and DsrE5 were those previously described by Liu et al (2014). DsrE corresponds to the classical DsrE/TusD subunit from the DsrEFH operon that acts as cytoplasmic acceptor for sulfur. C\* corresponds to the active site cysteine conserved in all sequences.

1126 Figure S7: Maximum likehood phylogenetic trees of deduced protein sequences of periplasmic nitrate reductase catalytic subunit (NapA). Number at nodes represent bootstrap 1127 values (1 000 replicates of the original dataset), only number above 50% are shown. The scale 1128 1129 bar represents the average number of substitutions per site. The accession number are given for all sequences. Each dot color corresponds to an environmental category for Sulfurimonas 1130 genomes: blue, freshwater (Bin58 sequence); yellow: marine sediment; orange: marine water; 1131 light yellow: brackish water, green: oil field water, gray: mud volcano and light brown: 1132 hydrothermal vent. 1133

1134 Figure S8: Maximum likehood phylogenetic tree of deduced protein sequences of the ferritin-like diiron-carboxylate protein superfamily. Number at nodes represent bootstrap 1135 1136 values (1 000 replicates of the original dataset), only number above 50% are shown. The scale bar represents the average number of substitutions per site. The accession numbers for the 1137 1138 sequences are given at the right side of species name. For the Sulfurimonas genomes, the 1139 ferritin belonging to the DNA-binding protein from nutrient starved cells (Dps) family is 1140 identified by a green dot while that belonging to the 24-subunit non-heme ferritin family, by a red dot. 1141

**Figure S9: Modular structure of integrated prophage found in Lake Pavin strain 1.** The gene blocks conserved in the two MAGs affiliated to the *Sulfurimonas* genus (UBA and RIFOXYD2) are shown. Proteins found in no other *Sulfurimonas* genomes appear in red while those found only in a few genomes, in blue.

**Table S1**: Characteristics of the 17 *Sulfurimonas* reference genomes and strains from the watercolumn of Lake Pavin.

1148 **Table S2:** List of *Sulfurimonas* MAGs and SAGs downloaded from the public databases and 1149 used in this study.

- **Table S3**: List of Bins produced by *de novo* assembly of pooled reads from all metagenomes(MetaG1 and MetaG2) collected along the Lake Pavin water column.
- **Table S4:** Statistics on the assembly of SAG and MAGs of Sulfurimonas genus obtained fromLake Pavin, completeness and contamination estimates.
- **Table S5:** Taxonomic affiliation of SAGs obtained at 65 and 80 m depth in Lake Pavin water column based on their 16S rRNA gene sequence (Sanger sequencing).
- **Table S6**: Quality evaluation of hybrid assembly genomes and of Bin58 MAG and SAG\_733-F2used to produce them.
- **Table S7:** Multiple sequence alignement of the different 16S rRNA gene copies from *Sulfurimonas* sp. SWIR19, *S. sediminis* S2-6 and *S. hydrogeniphila* using Clustal Omega tool (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/).
- Table S8: Specific functions involved in numerous processes, including: carbon, nitrogen,
   sulfur, hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) cycling, oxidative stress, motility, vitamin biosynthesis, transport, etc,
   identified in Lake Pavin strains.
- **Table S9:** List of genes involved in sulfur cycling identified in Lake Pavin strains.
- **Table S10:** DsrE-like protein diversity and distribution in *Sulfurimonas* strains.
- **Table S11:** List of genes involved in nitrogen cycling identified in Lake Pavin strains.
- **Table S12:** Predicted mobilome, resistome and virulome in MAGs and SAG sequences (\* genesfound only in Lake Pavin strains).
- **Table S13**: List of cloud gene clusters found both in *Sulfurimonas* reference genomes and Lake
- 1170 Pavin strains to be reclassified into shell GC and their classification into COG categories.

- **Table S14:** Cloud gene clusters identified in Lake Pavin strains for which a COG classification
- 1172 was found.

MAG 0419_WC90	100	100	100	82	81	73	72	73	73	75	75	72	73	73	72	72	74	74	74	73	74	73
SAG 733-F2	99	100	100	83	82	73	73	73	73	75	75	73	73	73	72	73	74	74	74	74	74	74
MAG BIN58	100	99	100	82	81	73	73	73	73	75	75	72	73	73	72	72	75	75	75	73	74	74
MAG BIN76	84	82	84	100	97	74	74	74	74	76	76	73	74	74	74	74	76	76	76	75	75	74
MAG 0818_WC45	82	82	83	97	100	74	73	73	73	75	75	72	73	73	73	73	75	75	75	74	74	73
S. autotrophica	69	68	69	71	70	100	82	82	82	74	74	76	76	74	74	73	74	74	74	73	73	73
S. hydrogeniphila	69	67	69	70	69	84	100	92	92	73	73	76	75	73	74	73	73	73	73	72	72	73
S. sp SWIR-19	69	68	69	70	69	84	94	100	96	73	73	76	75	73	74	73	73	73	73	72	73	72
S. sediminis	69	67	69	71	69	85	94	96	100	73	73	76	75	73	74	73	73	73	73	72	72	73
S. sp CVO	72	70	72	74	72	70	70	69	70	100	99	73	74	74	73	74	76	76	75	75	77	79
S. xiamenensis	72	70	72	74	73	70	70	70	70	99	100	73	74	73	73	74	76	76	75	75	77	79
S. paralvinellae	69	68	69	71	69	77	77	77	77	70	70	100	79	73	74	73	73	72	73	72	72	73
S. indica	69	67	70	71	70	77	76	76	76	70	70	82	100	74	75	73	73	73	73	73	73	72
S. aquatica	69	68	69	71	70	73	72	71	72	70	70	72	72	100	74	73	74	74	75	74	73	73
S. marina	68	66	68	70	68	72	72	71	71	69	69	73	73	70	100	74	73	73	74	73	73	73
S. litotrophica	68	67	69	71	68	69	68	68	68	70	71	68	69	69	69	100	73	73	74	73	73	73
Candidatus S. baltica	71	70	72	73	72	69	69	69	69	75	76	69	69	70	69	70	100	88	76	74	75	75
Candidatus S. marisnigri	72	69	72	73	72	70	69	69	69	76	76	69	70	70	69	70	90	100	77	75	75	74
S. gotlandica	72	71	72	74	72	70	70	70	70	73	74	70	70	71	69	70	75	75	100	77	75	74
S. hongkongensis	70	69	70	72	71	69	69	69	69	72	72	69	69	69	68	70	72	72	77	100	75	73
S. denitrificans	70	68	70	72	70	68	68	68	68	78	78	68	68	68	68	68	73	73	71	71	100	76
S. crateris	71	69	72	73	71	69	69	69	69	81	82	69	69	69	69	69	75	75	72	71	77	100
MACON OF ST	DO TO	SHA B	MAG BUNACOB	N76 N 5. al	totrop	ogenif S. st	nil <sup>a</sup> Swift	, sedin	sp S. Xir S.	s. Pe	ralvine	S. c	dica aue	s. na S. na S. li S. li Canto	ina itotropi jidatus andids	s.bal	maris maris	gottan ottan	Hica de	anitrific of	ans 5. crat	eris

## AAI (lower matrix) vs ANIb (upper matrix)



Α

В



![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Α

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Β

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Relaxosome

① PAS domain containing protein (frameshift)

② Site-specific integrase

③ DNA-binding protein

④ Cysteine hydrolase

Figure 6

5 MobC family plasmid mobilization relaxosome protein

**6** Relaxase/mobilization nuclease domain-containing protein

⑦ Type IV secretory system conjugative DNA transfer family protein

⑧ DnaJ domain-containing protein

1 PIN domain-containing protein

HP: Hypothetical protein

⊢ Frameshift

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

COG hierarchy	Function	COG category	Strain 1 Bin58/SAG 733-F2	Strain 2 Bin76	Strains 1 and 2
Information storage and processing	Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis	J	1		
	Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis	JKL	1		
	Transcription	KT	1		
	Replication, recombination and repair	L	5		
Cellular processes and signaling	Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning	D	1		3
	Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis	Μ			2
	Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis	MU	1		
	Cell motility	Ν	3		
	Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones	0	2		
	Signal transduction mechanisms	т	4		
	Intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport	U	1		3
	Defense mechanisms	V	7	5	
	Mobilome: prophages, transposons	Х	17	1	2
Metabolism	Energy production and conversion	C		-1	
	Energy production and conversion	CO	1		
	Amino acid transport and metabolism	E	3		2
	Nucleotide transport and metabolism	F	1		
	Carbohydrate, transport metabolism	G	2		1
	Lipid transport and metabolism	I			1
	Inorganic ion transport and metabolism	Р	1		4
	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis	Q	2		
Poorly characterized	Function unknown	S	14	1	2
	General function prediction only	IR	1		
Figure 8	General function prediction only	R	7	1	2

Table 1

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

	VanC	CopG		rRNA and													•				•	•
	vape	Phd/YefM		tmRNA (hybrid																		•
		CopG		m- and tRNA)	•																	
	PIN	НТН								•												
_		НР											•	•					•	•		
_	HicA	HicB														•						
		НТН								•	★/●		•						*			•
	HinA	XRE											•									
	прд	RR		Elongation									•									
_		НР									•		•	•								
	GNAT	RR											•	•	•							
		HEPN				•		•														•
	NTase	DUF86		Elongation?			•	*	-	-		•	•			• •			*			
_		HP					•	•	•	*			•									
_	Fic	HP	Replication	<b>DNA Replication</b>	•																	
_	Zeta	HP	Cell wal synthesis	Peptidoglycan				•	•										•			
	Yail/	GIY-YIG	nd	nd							•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•			
_	YqxD	Orphan																				
	MMP	нтн	nd	nd								•		•		•			•			
		HP	110								•									•		
		AbiEi						•									*	•	•			
	AbiFii/	НТН																•				
Type IV AbiGii	AbiGii	DUF	Anti-phage defense	Viral replication									•									
		НР												*		•			*			
	Orphan						0	0									0					

Hydrothermal vent, Strackish water, S

AM: Addiction module, DNAb: DNA binding protein, DUF: Protein of unknown function, HP: Hypothetical protein, HTH: Helix turn helix, MMP: Mobile Mystery protein B,

NTase: nucleotidyltransferase, PHD: Prevent-host-death family, RHH: Ribbon-helix-helix domain, RR: Response regulator transcription factor, TR: Transcription regulator

nd: not determined

Chromosomal location:

●: 1 copy, ★: 2 copies, ♦: 3 copies, ■: 4 copies, <sup>\*</sup>. 5 copies, ♦: 7 copies, O: orphan toxin (1 copy).

Plasmid location:

: 1 copy

‡ Strains of the species S. sediminis, delineated by double lines
\* Strains of the species S. xiamenensis, delineated by double lines
¥: black: chromosomal location, pink: plasmid location

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S1

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S2

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

akr: aldo-keto reductase, fed: ferredoxin, ars: arsenate reductase genes, dbpA: decorin-binding protein A, DPD:divergent polysaccharide deacetylase, fed: federroxinHDOD:HDOD domain-containing protein, HiPIP: high potential iron-sulfur proteins, IS3: transposase, MAG: metagenome-assembled genome, MBL: MBL Fold metallo hydrolase, minCDE: Min system, MMPL:MMPL family transporter, sod: superoxide dismutase, rdh: rodhanese-like, tg: transglycosylase, mt:mutarotase, trx: thioredoxin, yajC/secCDF: secretion system, ycaO: ribosomal protein S12 methylthiotransferase accessory factor, HP: hypothetical protein. Node: contig from SAG 733-F2.

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

0.2

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

Hypothetical proteins \* Only Sulfurimonas MAG sequences in NR database (no Sulfurimonas species or other taxa sequences)

Known proteins

#### Nb Protein name

- 1 chaperonin GroL
- 2 co-chaperonin GroES
- 3 LexA family transcriptional repressor
- 5 DNA adenine methylase
- 8 lytic transglycosylase domain-containing protein
- 15 phage tail tape measure protein
- 22 phage protease

#### Nb Protein name

- 24 major capside protein
- 25 DUF1320 family protein
- 26 DUF1804 family protein
- 27 phage terminase large subunit
- 28 DUF935 family protein
- 29 phage minor head protein
- 30 phage virion morphogenesis protein

#### Nb Protein name

- 34 regulatory protein GemA / DUF1018
- 35 helicase DNaB
- 37 DUF2528 family protein
- 42 DNA transposition protein
- 43 DDE-type integrase/transposase/recombinase
- 47 phage antirepressor N-terminal domain-containing protei