



HAL
open science

Random self-similar series over a rotation

Julien Brémont

► **To cite this version:**

| Julien Brémont. Random self-similar series over a rotation. 2023. hal-04380703

HAL Id: hal-04380703

<https://hal.science/hal-04380703>

Preprint submitted on 8 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RANDOM SELF-SIMILAR SERIES OVER A ROTATION

Julien Brémont

Université Paris-Est Créteil, janvier 2024

Abstract

We study the law of random self-similar series defined above an irrational rotation on the Circle. This provides a natural class of continuous singular non-Rajchman measures.

1 Introduction

Dynamical setting. Consider a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , with a measurable transformation $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, preserving P . The dynamical system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, T)$ is supposed to be ergodic.

Given real random variables $b(\omega)$ and $r(\omega) > 0$ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , define for $\omega \in \Omega$ the real affine map $\varphi_\omega(y) = b(\omega) + r(\omega)y$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that $\{\varphi_\omega, \omega \in \Omega\} = S$ is countable (with $\forall \varphi \in S$, $P(\varphi_\omega = \varphi) > 0$), $b \in L^1$, $\log r \in L^1$ and $\int_\Omega \log r \, dP < 0$. Setting $r_n(\omega) = r(\omega) \cdots r(T^{n-1}\omega)$, with $r_0(\omega) = 1$, introduce the a.-e. defined random variable :

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n \geq 0} r_n(\omega) b(T^n \omega).$$

The law, or occupation measure, of X on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ is denoted by P_X , i.e. $P_X(A) = P(X^{-1}(A))$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. The “self-similar” relation $X(\omega) = \varphi_\omega(X(T\omega))$, equivalently rewritten in the “coboundary” form $b(\omega) = X(\omega) - r(\omega)X(T\omega)$, will be central. It differs from the usual relations of self-similarity for measures, which require some form of independence, not supposed here. Note that if $b(\omega) = \alpha(\omega) - r(\omega)\alpha(T\omega)$, for some random α , then necessarily $\alpha = X$, a.-e..

Such a setting includes the traditional self-similar measures (cf Varjú [5] for a survey), corresponding to the independent case, i.e. Ω a product space with the left shift T , P a product measure and b, r functions of the first coordinate. Bernoulli convolutions are a famous example, cf the review of Solomyak [4]. The present ergodic extension can be motivated by the case when all affine maps are strict contractions. There is then a self-similar set associated with S and this broader class of measures, supported by S , may help studying its properties.

A fundamental question concerns the type of P_X with respect to Lebesgue measure Leb and, first of all, the purity of the Radon-Nikodym decomposition. The law of pure types of Jessen and Wintner may be applied to some extent (cf Jessen and Wintner [2], Theorem 35, or Elliott [1], Lemma 1.22), but it seems clearer to give a direct proof in the present situation.

Lemma 1.1. *The law P_X is of pure type.*

AMS 2020 subject classifications : 37E10, 42A38.

Key words and phrases : self-similar measure, Rajchman measure, irrational rotation on the torus

Proof of the lemma :

Let $S^{(n)} = S \circ \dots \circ S$, $n \geq 0$, and $C = \{\varphi \in \cup_{n \geq 1} S^{(n)}, \text{ strict contraction}\}$, countable. Each $\varphi \in C$ having a unique fixed point $fix(\varphi)$, the set $\mathcal{P} = \{fix(\varphi), \varphi \in C\}$ is countable.

- If there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $A = \{X = a\}$, with $P(A) > 0$, then ω a.e. on A , there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $T^n \omega \in A$ and $\varphi_\omega \cdots \varphi_{T^{n-1} \omega} \in C$. As $X(\omega) = X(T^n \omega) = a$, we get $a = \varphi_\omega \cdots \varphi_{T^{n-1} \omega}(a)$, so $a \in \mathcal{P}$. Now, ω a.e. on Ω , there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $T^n \omega \in A$, thus $X(\omega) \in \{\varphi(c), c \in \mathcal{P}, \varphi \in \cup_{n \geq 0} S^{(n)}\} =: \mathcal{Q}$, a countable set. Therefore $P_X(\mathcal{Q}) = 1$ and P_X is purely atomic.

- If P_X is continuous and if there exists $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with $Leb(A) = 0$ and $P_X(A) > 0$, introduce $B = \cup_{\varphi \in \cup_{n \geq 0} S^{(n)}} \varphi^{-1}(A)$. Clearly $Leb(B) = 0$. Since $X(\omega) \in B$ implies $X(T\omega) = \varphi_\omega^{-1}(X(\omega)) \in B$, the set $X^{-1}(B)$ is T -invariant. As $P(X^{-1}(B)) \geq P(X^{-1}(A)) > 0$, ergodicity implies that $P_X(B) = P(X^{-1}(B)) = 1$. Therefore $P_X \perp Leb$. \square

Pure atomicity. Let us discuss the continuity of P_X . Clearly, $P_X = \delta_c$ if and only if $\forall \varphi \in S$, $\varphi(c) = c$. In the independent case, the purely atomic situation reduces to P_X a Dirac mass, as follows from the relation (obtained when conditioning with respect to the first step) :

$$P_X(A) = \sum_{\varphi \in S} P(\varphi_\omega = \varphi) P_X(\varphi^{-1}(A)), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Indeed, if there exists an atom, then the latter implies that the non-empty finite set E of points defining an atom of maximal mass is stable under any φ^{-1} . Finiteness of an orbit under iterations of an affine map forces any $c \in E$ to be a fixed point of any $\varphi \in S$.

This is far from true in the general ergodic context. Fixing r and any $\alpha \in L^1$ with countable support, when setting $b = \alpha - r\alpha \circ T$, we have $X = \alpha$. As a result, P_X can be discrete with even non-finite support. Moreover, as we shall see later, determining the conditions under which P_X is continuous can be a non-degenerate problem.

Mention here a recipe for building non-trivial examples of discrete laws when $r(\omega) = \lambda \in (0, 1)$ is algebraic. Let for instance $\lambda = 0,618\dots$ be the inverse of the Golden Mean, i.e. $\lambda^2 + \lambda - 1 = 0$. Taking $g \in L^1$ with countable support and $b = g + g \circ T - g \circ T^2$, then $b = (g + (1 + \lambda)g \circ T) - \lambda(g \circ T + (1 + \lambda)g \circ T^2)$. This means that $X(\omega) = g(\omega) + (1 + \lambda)g(T\omega)$.

More generally, if $\sum_{k=0}^p \alpha_k \lambda^{p-k} = 0$, $p \geq 1$, let $b(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^p \alpha_k g(T^k \omega)$, where $g \in L^1$ has countable support. Then $X(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} g(T^n \omega) (\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \lambda^{n-k})$, as $X(\omega) - r(\omega)X(T\omega) = b(\omega)$.

Recall also the link between the existence of atoms and the Fourier transform. We define :

$$\hat{P}_X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2i\pi tx} dP_X(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If P_X is continuous, then, by Wiener's theorem :

$$\frac{1}{R} \int_0^R |\hat{\mu}(t)|^2 dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

A more precise information of local regularity is when P_X is a Rajchman measure, meaning that $\hat{P}_X(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Equivalently, $tX \bmod 1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} Leb_{\mathbb{T}}$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. A classical example of continuous non-Rajchman measures is the uniform measure on the triadic Cantor set. The present paper furnishes a natural class of such measures.

Content of the article. We study the special case when the dynamics is given by an irrational rotation on the 1-torus, with functions b and r locally constant on some finite collection of

intervals. For obvious complexity reasons, P_X is singular, even of zero-dimensional support, so it remains to decide between continuous singularity and pure atomicity. We show that the latter is equivalent to the simultaneous satisfaction of a finite number of explicit algebraic equations. Generically, P_X appears to be continuous, but also not a Rajchman measure. In the last section, we discuss another approach of the continuity problem for general systems.

2 The case of the Circle

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ be the 1-torus, with uniform measure $Leb_{\mathbb{T}}$ and an irrational rotation T of angle $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We recall classical material about continued fractions; see for example Khinchin's book [3]. The angle α can be expanded in infinite continued fraction :

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}} = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots],$$

where the partial quotients $(a_i)_{i \geq 1}$ are obtained by iterations of the Gauss map, starting from α . The successive truncations $[0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = p_n/q_n$, $n \geq 1$, are the convergents of α . The (p_n) and (q_n) check the same recursive relation:

$$p_{n+1} = a_{n+1}p_n + p_{n-1}, \quad q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

with $p_0 = 0, p_{-1} = 1$ and $q_0 = 1, q_{-1} = 0$. Classical inequalities are (cf [3], chap. 1) :

$$\frac{1}{2q_{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{q_n + q_{n+1}} \leq \|q_n \alpha\| \leq \frac{1}{q_{n+1}},$$

where $\|x\|$ is the distance from x to \mathbb{Z} . Our purpose is to establish the following result.

Theorem 2.1.

Let T be a rotation of angle $\alpha = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots] \notin \mathbb{Q}$ on \mathbb{T} .

Given $N \geq 1$ points $d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_{N-1} < d_N = d_0$ on \mathbb{T} , consider on $\mathcal{D} = \{d_0, \dots, d_{N-1}\}$ the partial order " $d_i \rightarrow d_j$ iff $d_j = T^p d_i$ for some $p \geq 0$ ". Partition $\mathcal{D} = \sqcup_{1 \leq k \leq K} \mathcal{D}_k$ into maximal subsets $\mathcal{D}_k = \{d_{0,k} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow d_{m_k,k}\}$, with $m_k \geq 0$; define $p_k \geq 0$ by $d_{m_k,k} = T^{p_k} d_{0,k}$.

Let $b : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $r = \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be constant on each interval $[d_i, d_{i+1})$, $0 \leq i < N$. Define $X(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b(T^n x) r_n(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{T}$, and denote by P_X the image of $Leb_{\mathbb{T}}$ by X . Then :

1. $Supp(P_X)$ has box-counting dimension zero, in particular $P_X \perp Leb$.
2. The measure P_X is continuous iff X is discontinuous at some $d_{0,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq K$. Otherwise X is constant on the intervals of the partition determined by $\{T^p d_{0,k}, 0 \leq p \leq p_k, 0 \leq k \leq K\}$, hence $Supp(P_X)$ is finite, with at most $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} (1 + p_k)$ elements.
3. If $a_n \geq 10N + 20N^2 \ln 13 / (-\ln \|r\|_{\infty})$ infinitely often, then P_X is not a Rajchman measure. If (a_n) is unbounded, then $t_n X \bmod 1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} 0$, along a sequence of integers $(t_n) \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof of the theorem :

1) For any $n \geq 1$, $x \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k(x) b(T^k x)$ is constant on each interval of the partition determined by $\cup_{0 \leq k < n} T^{-k} \mathcal{D}$, so takes at most nN values. As $|\sum_{k \geq n} r_k(x) b(T^k x)| \leq \|r\|_{\infty}^n \|b\|_{\infty} / (1 -$

$\|r\|_\infty$, $\text{Supp}(P_X)$ can be covered for any $\varepsilon > 0$ by at most $-C \log \varepsilon$ balls of radius ε , for some constant $C > 0$. This gives the result.

2) In the present context of strict contractions, X is right-continuous and admits a left limit $X(x^-)$ at every $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Set $\Delta_k = X(d_{0,k}) - X(d_{0,k}^-)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{1 \leq k \leq K, \Delta_k \neq 0\}$. Supposing that $\mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset$, we set $\Delta = \min_{k \in \mathcal{K}} |\Delta_k| > 0$. Choose also $\varepsilon > 0$ so that :

$$\min_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \inf_{\substack{x < d_{0,k} \leq y \\ |y-x| \leq \varepsilon}} |X(x) - X(y)| \geq \Delta/2. \quad (1)$$

Set $\rho_k^\pm = r_{p_k+1}(d_{0,k}^\pm)$, $1 \leq k \leq K$, and define $\rho_{\max/\min} = \max/\min\{\rho_k^\pm, 1 \leq k \leq K\}$. For the sequel, fix $M > \max\{p_1, \dots, p_K\}$ such that :

$$\|X\|_\infty \|r\|_\infty^{M-1} < \frac{\Delta}{12N} \left(\frac{\rho_{\min}}{\rho_{\max}} \right)^{3N}. \quad (2)$$

For $1 \leq k \leq K$, call $(T^p d_{0,k})_{0 \leq p \leq p_k}$ the chain C_k . Choose $\gamma(M) > 0$ such that for any $x < y < x + \gamma(M)$, each interval $T^k(x, y]$, $k \geq 0$, meets at most one element of \mathcal{D} and after covering the last element of a chain the (necessarily) first element of the next chain is not met until M steps.

Take $x \notin \cup_{l \geq 0} T^{-l} \mathcal{D}$ and $0 < \gamma_x < \min\{\gamma(M), \varepsilon\}$ such that if $x < y < x + \gamma_x$, then $T^k(x, y]$ meets no d_j , for $0 \leq k \leq M$. If $T^k(x, y]$ meets for the first time a chain, it thus has to be at the first element of the chain. For the moment, fix y like this. The choice of x, y is precised later.

We consider $X(x) - X(y)$. This way, let $0 = t_0 < s_1 < t_1 < s_2 < t_2 < \dots$, where, for $i \geq 0$, the $[t_i, s_{i+1})$ are the maximal time intervals of k where $T^k(x, y]$ meets no chain. For $i \geq 1$, the $(T^k(x, y))_{k \in [s_i, t_i)}$ cover some chain, say C_{l_i} , with $d_{0,l_i} \in T^{s_i}(x, y]$ and $d_{m_{l_i}, l_i} \in T^{t_i-1}(x, y]$.

Introduce $r_n(x) = r_{s_n - t_{n-1}}(T^{t_{n-1}} x)$, $n \geq 1$. We define $n_0 \geq 1$ as the first integer n such that $l_n \in \mathcal{K}$. First of all, we can write :

$$X(x) - X(y) = r_1(x)(X(T^{s_1} x) - X(T^{s_1} y)).$$

In a recursion, suppose now that for some $1 \leq n < n_0$:

$$X(x) - X(y) = r_1(x) \cdots r_n(x) \sum_{0 \leq u < n} \rho_1^* \cdots \rho_{n-1}^* (X(x_u^n) - X(x_{u+1}^n)), \quad (3)$$

with points $T^{s_n} x = x_0^n \leq x_1^n \leq \dots \leq x_n^n = T^{s_n} y$ and $\rho_i^* = \rho_{l_i}^\pm$. Since $T^{s_n} x < d_{0,l_n} \leq T^{s_n} y$, let v be the index such that $x_v^n < d_{0,l_n} \leq x_{v+1}^n$. Adding d_{0,l_n} to the $(x_i^n)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ gives $n+2$ points, written in their natural order as $(y_u^n)_{0 \leq u \leq n+1}$. Since $n < n_0$, we split in the following way the term for $u = v$ in (3) :

$$\begin{aligned} X(x_v^n) - X(x_{v+1}^n) &= X(x_v^n) - X(d_{0,l_n}^-) + X(d_{0,l_n}) - X(x_{v+1}^n) \\ &= X(y_v^n) - X(y_{v+1}^n) + X(y_{v+1}^n) - X(y_{v+2}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\rho_n^* = \rho_{l_n}^-$ if $u \leq v$ and $\rho_n^* = \rho_{l_n}^+$ if $u \geq v+1$. For $u \neq v$:

$$\begin{aligned} X(y_u^n) - X(y_{u+1}^n) &= \rho_{l_n}^* (X(T^{t_n - s_n} y_u^n) - X(T^{t_n - s_n} y_{u+1}^n)) \\ &= \rho_{l_n}^* r_{n+1}(x) (X(T^{s_{n+1} - s_n} y_u^n) - X(T^{s_{n+1} - s_n} y_{u+1}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, in the same way :

$$X(y_v^n) - X(y_{v+1}^{n,-}) = \rho_{l_n}^- r_{n+1}(x) (X(T^{s_{n+1}-s_n} y_v^n) - X(T^{s_{n+1}-s_n} y_{v+1}^{n,-})).$$

As $T^{s_{n+1}-s_n} y_{v+1}^n = T^{s_{n+1}-t_n+1} d_{m_{l_n}, l_n}$ and $s_{n+1} - t_n + 1 \geq 1$, from the continuity of X at any $T^k d_{m_{l_n}, l_n}$, $k \geq 1$, we get $X(T^{s_{n+1}-s_n} y_{v+1}^{n,-}) = X(T^{s_{n+1}-s_n} y_{v+1}^n)$. We can now finally set $x_u^{n+1} = T^{s_{n+1}-s_n} y_u^n$, $0 \leq u \leq n+1$, and we obtain when replacing in (3) that the latter is satisfied with n replaced by $n+1$. As a result, the formula is true for $n = n_0$:

$$X(x) - X(y) = r_1(x) \cdots r_{n_0}(x) \left[\sum_{0 \leq u < n_0} \rho_1^* \cdots \rho_{n_0-1}^* (X(x_u) - X(x_{u+1})) \right], \quad (4)$$

with, simplifying notations, points $T^{s_{n_0}} x = x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_{n_0} = T^{s_{n_0}} y$ and $\rho_i^* = \rho_{l_i}^\pm$. Again $T^{s_{n_0}} x < d_{0, l_{n_0}} \leq T^{s_{n_0}} y$ and let v be the index such that $x_v < d_{0, l_{n_0}} \leq x_{v+1}$.

Now, using (1), by definition, $|X(x_v) - X(x_{v+1})| \geq \Delta/2$, whereas, as before, for $u \neq v$:

$$X(x_u) - X(x_{u+1}) = \rho_{l_{n_0}}^* r_{n_0+1}(x) (X(T^{s_{n_0}+1-s_{n_0}} x_u) - X(T^{s_{n_0}+1-s_{n_0}} x_{u+1})).$$

Since M verifies $r_{n_0+1}(x) = r_{s_{n_0}+1-t_{n_0}}(T^{t_{n_0}} x) \leq \|r\|_\infty^{M-1}$, when calling A the term between brackets in (4), we deduce from the previous considerations that :

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &\geq \frac{\Delta}{2} (\rho_{\min})^{n_0-1} - 2 \|X\|_\infty (n_0 - 1) (\rho_{\max})^{n_0} r_{n_0+1}(x) \\ &\geq \frac{(\rho_{\min})^{n_0}}{2} \left[\Delta - 4n_0 \|X\|_\infty \left(\frac{\rho_{\max}}{\rho_{\min}} \right)^{n_0} \|r\|_\infty^{M-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Suppose P_X purely atomic. Let x be a Lebesgue density point in some atom (*Leb \mathbb{T}* a.-e. point is such a point), not in the countable set $\cup_{l \geq 0} T^{-l} \mathcal{D}$. Choose n large enough so that $3 \|q_n \alpha\| < \gamma_x$ and take $y \in x + (2 \|q_n \alpha\|, 3 \|q_n \alpha\|)$ verifying $X(x) = X(y)$. This is possible, as the proportion of points in $x + (0, 3 \|q_n \alpha\|)$ lying in the same atom as x tends to one, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Recall that the $(0, \|q_n \alpha\|) + k\alpha$, $0 \leq k < q_{n+1}$, are disjoint and, as a classical consequence of the identity $q_n \|q_{n+1} \alpha\| + q_{n+1} \|q_n \alpha\| = 1$, that the $x + (0, 2 \|q_n \alpha\|) + k\alpha$, $0 \leq k < q_{n+1}$, cover \mathbb{T} , each point belonging to at most two intervals.

As a result, the Circle \mathbb{T} is covered by the $T^k(x, y]$, $0 \leq k < q_{n+1}$, and each point of \mathbb{T} is covered at most 3 times. We deduce that the $T^k(x, y]$ will pass at most three times in chains C_z , $z \notin \mathcal{K}$, before finally meeting a chain whose index is in \mathcal{K} . Therefore $n_0 \leq 3N$. From (5) :

$$|A| \geq \frac{(\rho_{\min})^{n_0}}{2} \left[\Delta - 12N \|X\|_\infty \left(\frac{\rho_{\max}}{\rho_{\min}} \right)^{3N} \|r\|_\infty^{M-1} \right] > 0,$$

using property (2) of M . Since $A \neq 0$ and $r_1(x) \cdots r_{n_0}(x) \neq 0$, we get a contradiction in (4) with the fact that $X(x) - X(y) = 0$.

In the other direction, suppose that $\Delta_k = 0$, $1 \leq k \leq K$. The set $\{T^p d_{0,k}, 0 \leq p \leq p_k, 1 \leq k \leq K\}$, the union of the chains, gives a partition of \mathbb{T} into $\sum_{k=1}^K (1+p_k)$ intervals. We show that X is constant on each piece. This way, let $M > 2 + \max\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ and take the corresponding

$\gamma(M) > 0$. Take $x < y$ interior to the same interval of the partition, with $x < y < x + \gamma(M)$. Considering the orbit $T^k(x, y)$, $k \geq 0$, if a chain is met for the first time, then it is at the first element of the chain. As $\Delta_k = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq K$, formula (3) is true for all $n \geq 1$:

$$X(x) - X(y) = r_1(x) \cdots r_n(x) \sum_{0 \leq u < n} \rho_1^* \cdots \rho_{n-1}^* (X(x_u^n) - X(x_{u+1}^n)),$$

with, using the same notations for time intervals, points $T^{s_n}x = x_0^n \leq x_1^n \leq \cdots \leq x_n^n = T^{s_n}y$ and $\rho_i^* = \rho_{l_i}^\pm$. As $r_k(x) \leq \|r\|_\infty^{M-1} \leq \|r\|_\infty$, we get :

$$|X(x) - X(y)| \leq \|r\|_\infty^n \times n \rho_{\max}^{n-1} \times 2 \|X\|_\infty.$$

As this goes to 0, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we get $X(x) = X(y)$. Hence X is locally constant, hence constant, on each interval of the partition. This concludes the proof of point 2).

3) We examine the Rajchman character of P_X . Set $S_k(x) = -\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \log r(T^l x)$, with $S_0 = 0$. Then $X(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-S_k(x)} b(T^k x)$. Fixing n and $0 \leq m_n \leq a_{n+1}$, arbitrary for the moment :

$$\begin{aligned} X(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} e^{-S_k(x)} \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{-S_{mq_n}(T^k x)} b(T^{mq_n+k} x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} e^{-S_k(x)} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq m_n} e^{-S_{mq_n}(T^k x)} b(T^{mq_n+k} x) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} e^{-S_k(x)} \sum_{m > m_n} e^{-S_{mq_n}(T^k x)} b(T^{mq_n+k} x). \quad (7)$$

Suppose n even (the other case is similar), so $q_n \alpha \pmod 1$ is on the right side of 0 on the Circle. Consider (6) and $0 \leq k < q_n$, as well as $m \geq 1$. If $[T^{k+l}x, T^{k+l+(m-1)q_n}x]$ contains no d_i , for any $0 \leq l < q_n$, then $S_{mq_n}(T^k x) = m S_{q_n}(T^k x)$. Similarly, $b(T^{mq_n+k} x) = b(T^k x)$, whenever $[T^k x, T^{k+mq_n} x]$ contains no d_i . Introduce :

$$\Omega_n = \bigcup_{0 \leq k < 2q_n, 0 \leq i < N} -k\alpha - d_i + [-m_n q_n \alpha, 0],$$

of measure $\leq 2q_n N m_n \|q_n \alpha\| \leq 2N m_n / a_{n+1}$. For $x \notin \Omega_n$, one has $X(x) = Z_n(x) + R_n(x)$, with :

$$Z_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} e^{-S_k(x)} b(T^k x) \frac{1 - e^{-(m_n+1)S_{q_n}(T^k x)}}{1 - e^{-S_{q_n}(T^k x)}}, \quad \|R_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|b\|_\infty \|r\|_\infty^{(m_n+1)q_n}}{1 - \|r\|_\infty}.$$

For any $t_n > 0$, decomposing $e^{2i\pi t_n(Z_n+R_n)} - 1 = e^{2i\pi t_n Z_n} (e^{2i\pi t_n R_n} - 1) + e^{2i\pi t_n Z_n} - 1$ and using that $x \mapsto e^{ix}$ is 1-Lipschitz on \mathbb{R} , we have :

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{P}_X(t_n) - 1| &\leq \int_{\Omega_n^c} |e^{2i\pi t_n X} - 1| dx + 2|\Omega_n| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_n^c} |e^{2i\pi t_n Z_n(x)} - 1| dx + t_n \|R_n\|_\infty |\Omega_n^c| + 4N m_n / a_{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now, Z_n is constant on each interval of the partition determined by $\cup_{0 \leq l < 2q_n} T^{-l} \mathcal{D}$ and therefore takes at most $2Nq_n$ values. Fixing an integer $r_n \geq 4$, cut the torus \mathbb{T}^{2Nq_n} in cubes of sides of length $1/r_n$. This gives $r_n^{2Nq_n}$ cubes. Considering the integers $\{nk, 0 \leq k \leq r_n^{2Nq_n}\}$, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists an integer nt_n , with $1 \leq t_n \leq r_n^{2Nq_n}$, such that $\|nt_n Z_n(x)\| \leq 1/r_n$, for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Replacing t_n by nt_n (arbitrary large) :

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{P}_X(nt_n) - 1| &\leq |\Omega_n^c| 2\pi/r_n + nt_n \|R_n\|_\infty + 4Nm_n/a_{n+1} \\ &\leq 2\pi/r_n + nr_n^{2Nq_n} \frac{\|b\|_\infty \|r\|_\infty^{(m_n+1)q_n}}{1 - \|r\|_\infty} + 4Nm_n/a_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall impose $m_n \geq \ln(r_n^{2N})/(-\ln \|r\|_\infty)$, giving :

$$|\hat{P}_X(nt_n) - 1| \leq 2\pi/r_n + 4Nm_n/a_{n+1} + n\|r\|_\infty^{q_n} \frac{\|b\|_\infty}{1 - \|r\|_\infty}. \quad (9)$$

If $r_n \geq 4\pi$ and $m_n \leq a_{n+1}/(10N)$, then $|\hat{P}_X(nt_n) - 1| \leq 1/2 + 2/5 + o(1) = 9/10 + o(1)$. Fixing $r_n = 13 > 4\pi$, then P_X is not a Rajchman measure whenever for infinitely many n , one can find an integer m_n satisfying the inequalities :

$$2N \ln r_n / (-\ln \|r\|_\infty) \leq m_n \leq a_{n+1}/(10N). \quad (10)$$

Since $r_n = 13$, this is thus true $a_{n+1}/(10N) \geq 1 + 2N \ln 13 / (-\ln \|r\|_\infty)$, along a subsequence.

If the partial quotients are unbounded, take :

$$r_n = a_{n+1} \text{ and } m_n = \lceil \sqrt{a_{n+1}} \rceil,$$

along a subsequence where $a_{n+1} \rightarrow +\infty$. Then (10) is true for large n . By (9), $\hat{P}_X(nt_n) \rightarrow 1$ along a subsequence $nt_n \rightarrow +\infty$. Next, for any integer $m \geq 1$, $|e^{2i\pi t_n m X} - 1| \leq m |e^{2i\pi t_n X} - 1|$. Keeping the same sequence (nt_n) , relation (8) at time nt_n for mX gives :

$$|\hat{P}_X(mnt_n) - 1| \leq m \int_{\Omega_n^c} |e^{2i\pi nt_n X} - 1| dx + 2|\Omega_n|.$$

As before, the integral and $|\Omega_n|$ go to zero, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, along the above mentioned subsequence. This completes the proof of point 3). \square

Remark. — Explicitly, P_X is purely atomic if and only if for all $1 \leq k \leq K$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p_k} \left[r_i(d_{0,k}) b(T^i d_{0,k}) - r_i(d_{0,k}^-) b(T^i d_{0,k}^-) \right] + \left[r_{p_k+1}(d_{0,k}) - r_{p_k+1}(d_{0,k}^-) \right] X(Td_{m_k,k}) = 0.$$

Because of $X(Td_{m_k,k})$, this value may involve the whole orbit of $d_{0,k}$. On the contrary, when $r(x) = \lambda \in (0, 1)$ and writing any maximal set as $\mathcal{D}_k = \{d_{0,k} \rightarrow_{p_{0,k}} \cdots \rightarrow_{p_{m_k-1,k}} d_{m_k,k}\}$, with integers $p_{i,k} \geq 1$ such that $d_{i+1,k} = T^{p_{i,k}} d_{i,k}$, this simplifies into :

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m_k} \lambda^{p_{0,k} + \cdots + p_{i-1,k}} \left[b(d_{i,k}) - b(d_{i,k}^-) \right] = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K.$$

Remark. — If for example all d_i are in distinct orbits, the condition of pure atomicity reduces to $b(d_i) - b(d_i^-) + [r(d_i) - r(d_i^-)]X(Td_i) = 0$ and, when $r(x)$ is constant, to $b(d_i) - b(d_i^-) = 0$, $0 \leq i < N$, i.e. b constant, thus giving $P_X = \delta_{b/(1-\lambda)}$. Proceeding as indicated in the Introduction, it is easy to build examples with any finitely supported law.

Remark. — Concerning point 3), we conjecture that P_X is never a Rajchman measure. Here is a classical situation where the result is true for any angle. Recall that a Pisot number $\rho > 1$ is an algebraic integer, with Galois conjugates of modulus < 1 .

Lemma 2.2.

Let T be a rotation of angle α on \mathbb{T} , $r(x) = \lambda \in (0, 1)$, with $1/\lambda$ a Pisot number, and $b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, locally constant on a partition $\mathbb{T} = \sqcup_{0 \leq i < N} [d_i, d_{i+1})$. Then P_X is not a Rajchman measure.

Proof of the lemma :

In this case, $X(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^k b(T^k x)$. If $B \subset \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the finite set of values of b , then :

$$\text{Supp}(P_X) \subset \left\{ \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^k b_k, b_k \in B \right\}.$$

Classically, the latter self-similar set is a set of uniqueness for trigonometric series, hence cannot support a Rajchman measure; cf for example the general result of Varjú-Yu [6], Theorem 1.4.

For a more elementary proof, introduce the conjugates μ_1, \dots, μ_d of $1/\lambda$ and recall that $\lambda^{-n} + \mu_1^n + \dots + \mu_d^n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 0$. If P_X were a Rajchman measure, we would have in particular $\lambda^{-n} X \bmod 1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$, hence $\lambda^{-n} X \circ T^{-n} \bmod 1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$. However, modulo 1 :

$$\lambda^{-n} X(T^{-n}x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^{-k} b(T^{-k}x) + X(x) \equiv X(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n (\mu_1^k + \dots + \mu_d^k) b(T^{-k}x).$$

The term on the right-hand side converges pointwise to the real random variable :

$$Y(x) = X(x) - \sum_{k \geq 1} (\mu_1^k + \dots + \mu_d^k) b(T^{-k}x),$$

We would get $P_{Y \bmod 1} = \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$, on \mathbb{T} . However, $Y_n(x) \rightarrow Y(x)$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, where :

$$Y_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda^k b(T^k x) - \sum_{k=1}^n (\mu_1^k + \dots + \mu_d^k) b(T^{-k}x).$$

We have $\|Y - Y_n\|_{\infty} \leq C\rho^n$, where $\rho = \max\{\lambda, |\mu_1|, \dots, |\mu_d|\} < 1$. Since Y_n takes at most $(2n+1)N$ values, we get $\text{Leb}(\text{Supp}(P_Y)) = 0$. Hence P_Y on \mathbb{R} is singular. Therefore $P_{Y \bmod 1}$ is singular on \mathbb{T} and in particular $P_{Y \bmod 1} \neq \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{T}}$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

3 A remark for general dynamical systems

For the general setting of the Introduction, we discuss in this last section another approach, relating the continuity of the measure P_X to a question of fixed points. We suppose the dynamical system ergodic and invertible.

Changing notations, write $\varphi_\omega = \psi_{\epsilon(\omega)}$, $\epsilon(\omega) \in \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is a countable set. For simplicity, we suppose that all affine maps ψ_j , $j \in \mathcal{S}$, are strict contractions. We shall use multi-indices $i = (i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^n$, for $n \geq 1$. We also write $\psi_i = \psi_{i_0} \cdots \psi_{i_{n-1}}$.

Definition 3.1. A multi-index $i \in \mathcal{S}^n$, $n \geq 1$, is minimal if $P((\epsilon, \dots, T^{n-1}\epsilon) = i) > 0$ and for any strict prefix j of i , $\text{fix}(\psi_j) \neq \text{fix}(\psi_i)$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{i \in \cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{S}^n, \text{minimal}\}$.

Remark. — It is easily verified that $\text{fix}(\psi_i) = \text{fix}(\psi_j)$ if and only if $\psi_i \circ \psi_j = \psi_j \circ \psi_i$.

Lemma 3.2.

Suppose the map $i \text{ minimal} \mapsto \text{fix}(\psi_i)$, from \mathcal{M} to \mathbb{R} , injective. Then, either P_X is continuous or there exists $N \geq 1$ and (i_0, \dots, i_{N-1}) such that for a.e. ω , $(\epsilon(T^n \omega))_{n \geq 0}$ is a left shift of the periodic sequence $(\overline{i_0, \dots, i_{N-1}, \dots}) \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$, in which case $X(\Omega) = \{\psi_{i_k} \cdots \psi_{i_{N-1}}(c), 0 \leq k < N\}$, up to a null set, where $c = \text{fix}(\psi_{i_0} \cdots \psi_{i_{N-1}})$.

Proof of the lemma :

If P_X is purely atomic, let c and $A = \{X = c\}$, with $P_X(A) > 0$. On A , let $\tau \geq 1$ be the return time, a.e. defined. Then, restricting to sequences appearing with positive probability, $(\epsilon(\omega), \dots, \epsilon(T^{\tau(\omega)-1}\omega))$ is minimal, as $c = \psi_{\epsilon(\omega)} \cdots \psi_{\epsilon(T^{\tau(\omega)-1}\omega)}(c)$ and if $c = \psi_{\epsilon(\omega)} \cdots \psi_{\epsilon(T^{m-1}\omega)}(c)$ for some $m < \tau(\omega)$, then $X(T^m \omega) = c$, by injectivity, contradicting the definition of $\tau(\omega)$.

Since for a.e. $\omega \in A$, $(\epsilon(\omega), \dots, \epsilon(T^{\tau(\omega)-1}\omega))$ is minimal and c is the corresponding fixed point, the hypothesis implies that there exists $N \geq 1$ and $(i_0, \dots, i_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{S}^N$ such that $\tau(\omega) = N$ and $(\epsilon(\omega), \dots, \epsilon(T^{N-1}\omega)) = (i_0, \dots, i_{N-1})$, for a.e. ω in A . Also, clearly, $X = c$, a.e. on A .

By ergodicity and invertibility, we now have, up to a null set, $\Omega = \sqcup_{0 \leq k < N} T^k A$. Then, for a.e. ω , the sequence $(\epsilon(T^n \omega))_{n \geq 0}$ is periodic, being a left shift of $(\overline{i_0, \dots, i_{N-1}, \dots})$, depending on the $0 \leq k < N$ for which $\omega \in T^k A$. It is now quite evident that the values taken by X with positive probability are the $\psi_{i_k} \cdots \psi_{i_{N-1}}(c)$, $0 \leq k < N$. □

Remark. — The condition of the Lemma is verified if $X(\omega) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n b(T^n \omega)$, when $b = \pm 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ is not a root of a polynomial with $0, \pm 1$ as coefficients. Indeed, let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1})$ and $\delta = (\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1})$ be minimal, with $n \leq m$. If $\text{fix}(\psi_\epsilon) = \text{fix}(\psi_\delta)$, then :

$$\frac{1}{1 - \lambda^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k \epsilon_k = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda^k \delta_k,$$

or $(1 - \lambda^m) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k \epsilon_k = (1 - \lambda^n) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda^k \delta_k$. We rewrite this as :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k (\epsilon_k - \delta_k) &= \lambda^m \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k \epsilon_k - \lambda^n \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda^k \delta_k + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \lambda^k \delta_k \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \lambda^k \delta_k - \lambda^n \sum_{k=0}^{m-n-1} \lambda^k \delta_k \right) + \left(\lambda^m \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k \epsilon_k - \lambda^n \sum_{k=m-n}^{m-1} \lambda^k \delta_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the right-hand side, there are only powers λ that are $\geq n$: between n and $m - 1$ in the first parenthesis and between m and $n + m - 1$ in the second one. As λ is not a root of a polynomial with $0, \pm 2$ coefficients, it is necessary on the left-hand side that $\epsilon_k = \delta_k$, $0 \leq k < n$. Therefore ϵ is a prefix of δ , which wouldn't be minimal, unless $n = m$. Thus $\epsilon = \delta$.

References

- [1] P. D. T. A. ELLIOTT, *Probabilistic Number Theory I, mean value theorems*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 239. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [2] B. JESSEN AND A. WINTNER, *Distributions functions and the Riemann Zeta function*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1935), 48-88.
- [3] A. KHINCHIN, *Continued fractions*. Dover Publications, Mineola, N.Y, 1997.
- [4] B. SOLOMYAK, *Notes on Bernoulli convolutions*. Fractal geometry and applications: a jubilee of Benoît Mandelbrot. Part 1, 207-230, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 72, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [5] P. P. VARJÚ, *Self-similar sets and measures on the line*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians 2022, Vol. 5, section 9.
- [6] P. P. VARJÚ AND H. YU, *Fourier decay of self-similar measures and self-similar sets of uniqueness*. Analysis & PDE, Vol. 15 (2022), No. 3, 843-858.

UNIV PARIS EST CRETEIL, CNRS, LAMA, F-94010 CRETEIL, FRANCE

UNIV GUSTAVE EIFFEL, LAMA, F-77447 MARNE-LA-VALLÉE, FRANCE

E-mail address : julien.bremont@u-pec.fr