

Real-time Visual Attention Training Through Closed-Loop EEG Neurofeedback

Norouzi Maryam, Wellington Kevin, Garneau Benjamin, Reza Abiri

▶ To cite this version:

Norouzi Maryam, Wellington Kevin, Garneau Benjamin, Reza Abiri. Real-time Visual Attention Training Through Closed-Loop EEG Neurofeedback. Society of Neuroscience (SFN), Oct 2023, Washignton DC, France. hal-04380593

HAL Id: hal-04380593 https://hal.science/hal-04380593v1

Submitted on 8 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

Introduction

- Sustained attention refers to a cognitive capability to maintain focus during a task [1].
- Face-like visual stimuli undergo specialized processing in the human brain compared to non-face objects [2].
- The objective of the current work is to develop Python-based software for executing a neurofeedback visual attention training task.

Materials and Methods

1. <u>Attention Training Paradigm</u>

The paradigm includes three phases (eight blocks, 5 Seconds of text cue).

- Open loop pre/post-evaluation task
 - Target subcategory: Female/Male, Indoor/Outdoor.
 - Participants respond to the cue with a keypress.
- Closed-loop neurofeedback task:
 - Target category: Face, Scene.
 - Participants are instructed to focus on the cue.



Fig.1 Attention training paradigm

Developed BCI Platform 2.

- Wireless EEG headset (Unicorn Hybrid Black)
- 250 Hz, 8 electrodes: Fz, FC1, FC2, C3, Cz, C4, CPz, Pz. Workstation computer with dual monitors
- Python-based software:
 - Data acquisition/analysis, neurofeedback training



Fig.2 The developed GUI for the experimenter

3. <u>Signal Preprocessing & Features Extraction</u>

- Bandpass filtering (0.4 and 40 Hz), artifact rejection, & denoising.
- Segmenting data into 320 epochs, each containing 250 samples.
- ERP components: N180, P300, N500, N600, P700, and P900: (6x8).
- Decomposed EEG data into delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma bands. • Mean power: (5x8), Hilbert envelope : (5x8).
- Concatenated all features ([320, 8, 16], 90% training, 10% testing).
- Develop a linear SVM and MLP (optimize its parameters using Optuna).

This research was supported by the Rhode Island Institutional Development Award (IDeA) Network of Biomedical Research Excellence from the National Institutes of Health under grant number P20GM103430.

Real-time Visual Attention Training Through Closed-Loop EEG Neurofeedback Maryam Norouzi, Kevin Wellington, Benjamin Garneau, Reza Abiri

Department of Electrical, Computer, and Biomedical Engineering, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI

- **Real-Time Neurofeedback Implementation** • A dynamic buffer for real-time EEG processing (5 seconds of data, size: (1250 x 8)) • Data is appended every second, excess data beyond the 5-second is trimmed. A continuous and consistent bandpass filtering across buffers
 - Initial state is set by the first data; subsequent buffers use the previous buffer's final state, followed by artifact rejection and denoising.
 - The most recent data chunk was used for feature extraction.
 - The developed decoder using features predicts the individual's real-time attentional state. • Correct predictions increase image transparency; incorrect ones decrease it.
 - Post-block: data added to the database collection, the model retrained, and a recalibrated decoder used for the next block.



Fig.3 Developed BCI Platform for neurofeedback visual attention training, (clinical trial number: NCT05908253). (a) The pre/post-evaluation (offline/ open loop) phase has eight blocks, starting with a 5-second text cue, followed by 40 images at 50% transparency. Human responses are recorded, and an attention score is computed at the end of this phase, also, utilizing acquired EEG data, the initial SVM decoder is developed and used for the first block run of the neurofeedback phase. (b) The real-time neurofeedback phase comprises eight blocks, each starting with a 5-second cue, followed by displaying eight composite images, each lasting for 5 seconds. Initially, the transparency for each category in the composite image is set at 50% and is subsequently adjusted every second. After each block, the SVM model is recalibrated and is used for the next block

1. Offline Analysis (open-loop)



Fig.4 a) Average neural response to the stimuli: each epoch from 250 to 50 has been condensed by averaging every five sequential data points, then, signals have been averaged across all blocks, producing a signal for each channel. b) Neural topographies for Face vs. Scene in selected temporal intervals

Table 1 MLP optimization across various subjects.

	S 1	S 2	S 3
accuracy	0.75	0.85	0.65
n_layers	1	4	3
n_units_layer0	329	114	414
n_units_layer1	-	247	355
n_units_layer2	-	461	285
n_units_layer3	-	173	-
activation	tanh	Relu	logistic
learning rate	0.0032	0.0010	0.0103
max_iter	518	605	69



Fig.6 a-c) real-time denoising during the second and fifth chunks of data from the first image and the initial chunk from the subsequent image, respectively, d) Accuracy of recalibrated SVM vs. task progress

References

[1] M. T. DeBettencourt, J. D. Cohen, R. F. Lee, K. A. Norman, and N. B. Turk-Browne, "Closedloop training of attention with real-time brain imaging," Nature Neuroscience, vol. 18, no. 3, pp.470–478, 2015.

[2] Tuckute, G., Hansen, S.T., Kjaer, T.W. and Hansen, L.K., 2021. Real-time decoding of attentional states using closed-loop EEG neurofeedback. Neural Computation, 33(4), pp.967-1004.



Neurorobotics Lab

Results & Conclusion



Fig.5 Comparison of the test accuracy between MLP and SVM

2. <u>Closed-loop Neurofeedback Analysis (real time)</u>

• Fig.6 (a-c) illustrates the efficacy of the dynamic buffer and filter's initial state in ensuring continuous preprocessing, even when consecutive data chunks diverge significantly in their neural signal characteristics (c).

• As depicted in Fig.6 (d) there is a positive trend in the SVM recalibration