



Dimer physics in the frustrated Cairo pentagonal antiferromagnet $\text{Bi}_2\text{Fe}_4\text{O}_9$

Ketty Beauvois, Virginie Simonet, Sylvain Petit, Julien Robert, Frédéric Bourdarot, Marin Gospodinov, A. Mukhin, Rafik Ballou, Vassil Skumryev, Eric Ressouche

► To cite this version:

Ketty Beauvois, Virginie Simonet, Sylvain Petit, Julien Robert, Frédéric Bourdarot, et al.. Dimer physics in the frustrated Cairo pentagonal antiferromagnet $\text{Bi}_2\text{Fe}_4\text{O}_9$. Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations and Advances [2014-..], 2021, 77 (a2), pp.C312-C312. 10.1107/S0108767321093715 . hal-04372689

HAL Id: hal-04372689

<https://hal.science/hal-04372689>

Submitted on 4 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Neutron diffraction of field-induced magnon condensation in the spin-dimerized antiferromagnet $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$

Alsu Gazizulina ^{1,2,*}, Diana Lucia Quintero-Castro ^{1,3,†}, Zhe Wang ^{4,5}, Fabienne Duc ⁶, Frederic Bourdarot,⁷
 Karel Prokes,¹ Wolfgang Schmidt ⁸, Ramzy Daou,^{9,10} Sergei Zherlitsyn,¹¹ Nazmul Islam,¹ Nils Henrik Kolnes,³
 Abhijit Bhat Kademane ³, Andreas Schilling,² and Bella Lake ^{1,12}

¹*Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, 14109 Berlin, Germany*

²*Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland*

³*Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Stavanger, 4036 Stavanger, Norway*

⁴*Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, 44227 Dortmund, Germany*

⁵*Institute of Radiation Physics, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, 01328 Dresden, Germany*

⁶*Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses, CNRS-INS-UGA-UPS, 31400 Toulouse, France*

⁷*Université Grenoble Alpes, CEA, IRIG, MEM, MDN, 38000 Grenoble, France*

⁸*Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich Centre for Neutron Science at ILL, 38042 Grenoble, France*

⁹*Laboratoire de Cristallographie et Sciences des Matériaux (CRISMAT), Normandie Université, UMR6508 CNRS, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, 14000 Caen, France*

¹⁰*Max Planck Institute for the Chemical Physics of Solids, 01187 Dresden, Germany*

¹¹*Hochfeld-Magnettabor Dresden (HLD-EMFL), Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, 01328 Dresden, Germany*

¹²*Institut für Festkörperphysik, Technische Universität Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany*



(Received 26 April 2021; revised 31 July 2021; accepted 2 August 2021; published 17 August 2021)

In this work, we investigate the evolution and settling of magnon condensation in the spin-1/2 dimer system $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ using a combination of magnetostriction in pulsed fields and inelastic neutron scattering in a continuous magnetic field. The magnetic structure in the Bose-Einstein condensation phase was probed by neutron diffraction in pulsed magnetic fields up to 39 T. The magnetic structure in this phase was confirmed to be an XY-antiferromagnetic structure validated by irreducible representational analysis. The magnetic phase diagram as a function of an applied magnetic field for this system is presented. Furthermore, zero-field neutron diffraction results indicate that dimerization plays an important role in stabilizing the low-temperature crystal structure.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.104.064430](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.064430)

I. INTRODUCTION

Novel states of matter associated with a quantum phase transition can be induced via tuning an external parameter. In an increasing number of spin-dimerized quantum antiferromagnets, magnonic Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) has been observed at a magnetic-field-induced quantum phase transition [1]. However, as shown by the iconic representation of the spin-dimer systems in Ref. [1], most of the observed magnonic BEC occurs in a relatively high magnetic field (>10 T), which can be even above 30 T, e.g., for $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$. This places challenges on an experimental study of the microscopic properties of the exotic BEC phases. In particular, a direct probe of the magnetic ordering structure using a diffraction technique is not a straightforward task. Recent advances on sample environments for neutron scattering have been opening research in new areas of physics [2]. Especially, the cryogenic conditions with a pulsed field of 40 T operated at

the Institute Laue-Langevin [3] allow us to directly access the field-induced magnonic BEC phase in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ via neutron scattering.

$\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ is a spin-1/2 dimerized antiferromagnet. The spin dimers consisting of $S=1/2$ Cr^{5+} ions are coupled antiferromagnetically by an intra-bilayer exchange interaction J_0 along the c axis [4,5]. At room temperature, the single $3d^1$ electron of the Cr^{5+} ion has a twofold orbital degeneracy, which makes the system Jahn-Teller active. The Jahn-Teller transition is related to a structural phase transformation from hexagonal $R\bar{3}m$ to monoclinic $C2/c$ at $T_{JT} = 285$ K [5–7]. The magnetic frustration due to the hexagonal structure is lifted because of this distortion, leading to spatially anisotropic magnetic interactions [8]. The magnetic phase diagram of this compound is characterized by a lower critical field for the magnon condensation at $B_{c1} = 30.9(4)$ T and an upper critical field at $B_{c2} = 61.9(3)$ T [9]. The maximum temperature of this domelike phase is $T_c \approx 8$ K, at approximately 45 T. Recently, it was shown that at temperatures higher than T_c (up to a maximum of 18 K), a strongly correlated magnonic liquid regime appears [10]. The extracted critical exponent of the ordering temperature as a function of reduced field around B_{c1} , $T_c \sim (B - B_{c1})^\nu$, was found to be $\nu = 0.65$, very close to $\nu = 2/3 \approx 0.67$ predicted for a three-dimensional BEC of

*Present address: Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Quantum Materials and Technologies, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany; alsu.gazizulina@kit.edu

†diana.l.quintero@uis.no

magnons [9]. This result is in contrast to the inconclusive results for the isostructural compound $\text{Ba}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ where ν was found to lie between 0.5 and 0.67 [11,12]. These differences might be due to the weak Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interactions in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ in comparison to those in $\text{Ba}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ [13]. Recent experiments of dilatometry and ultrasound have revealed a strong spin-lattice coupling with the shrinkage of the unit cell in the ordered phase [14].

In this work, we present a study of the field-induced magnonic BEC phase in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$, by performing neutron diffraction in pulsed magnetic fields up to 40 T and inelastic neutron scattering in continuous magnetic fields. We have not only obtained the evolution of the spin excitations as a function of the applied magnetic field but, more importantly, have also been able to resolve neutron diffraction of the magnon condensation above B_{c1} . Thereby we provide further evidence for the field-induced quantum phase transition and determine the possible ordered magnetic structures in the BEC phase.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ were grown using the floating zone technique [15]. The atomic structure of this spin dimer system has been studied by performing single-crystal neutron diffraction on a 2-axis-diffractometer (E4) with an incident wavelength of 0.244 nm at Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin. In order to investigate the splitting of the magnonic states as a function of an applied magnetic field, inelastic neutron scattering (INS) experiments were performed at 2 K at the cold-neutron three-axis spectrometer IN12 at the Institute Laue-Langevin in Grenoble [16]. Constant wave vector energy scans were carried out at zero magnetic fields and 15 T. The magnetic field was applied perpendicular to the $(h, h, l)_h$ scattering plane. For these measurements, the final wave vector was fixed to $1.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$.

The high-field magnetostriction data have been taken at the Hochfeld-Magnetlabor Dresden. Optical fiber strain gauges based on fiber Bragg gratings were used to measure the strain of the sample [17]. The measurements were done using a pulsed magnetic field up to 64 T applied along the a axis and a ^3He insert was used to reach 0.69 K.

The high-field neutron diffraction experiment was performed on the thermal-neutron three-axis spectrometer IN22, a Collaborating Research Group (CRG) instrument operated by the CEA-Grenoble at the Institute Laue-Langevin (ILL, Grenoble), and using the 40-T cryomagnet developed by the LNCMI-Toulouse, the CEA-Grenoble, and the ILL [3]. Magnetic field pulses of up to 40 T, with a rise time of 23 ms and a total duration of about 100 ms, can be produced every 10 minutes within this magnet. A crystal of 0.4 g was cut to fit the sample environment of the magnet of $7 \times 6 \times 7 \text{ mm}^3$ and mounted on a sapphire holder with $(h, h, l)_h$ in the scattering plane. Initial wave vector k_i was fixed to $5.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. An analyzer was used to cut the background from the inelastic signal [18]. Measurements were carried out at the base temperature of 2 K, in fields up to 39 T applied horizontally, with a tilt of 5° from the c axis of the crystal. Neutron counts were measured with a ^3He fast single detector and simultaneously recorded by a digital data recorder that measures the voltage and current of the generator, as well as the voltage at the pickup coil,




FIG. 1. (a) Temperature dependence of the integrated intensity of the $(1, 1, -3)_h$, $(1, 1, -1.5)_h$, and $(0, 0, 6)_h$ reflections. Dotted lines show both $T_{JT} = 285 \text{ K}$ and $T^* = 156 \text{ K}$ [6]. (b) Pulsed-field magnetostriction data taken at 0.69 K; the magnetic field was applied along the a -hexagonal axis. Dotted lines indicate the critical magnetic fields, $B_{c1} = 30.9(4) \text{ T}$ and $B_{c2} = 61.9(3) \text{ T}$.

allowing us to synchronously store the time structures of the field signal and neutron count during a magnetic field pulse. Measurements were performed for some selected Bragg peaks by accumulating the data over several field pulses. After correction for the neutron time of flight, the field dependence of the intensities at each Bragg position has then been extracted by summing up the data, with either constant time or constant field-integration windows.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

$\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ is a Jahn-Teller active system with a structural transition from the rhombohedral $R\bar{3}m$ to the monoclinic $C2/c$ space groups. The Jahn-Teller transition occurs at $T_{JT} = 285 \text{ K}$ [5–7]. The monoclinic distortion results in three twins, each rotated by 60° to each other around the shared hexagonal axis c_h^* . The transformation from hexagonal to monoclinic notation for these three reflections is given in Ref. [19]. Further details on the structure can be found in Ref. [8]. The formation of three monoclinic twins has been seen as a function of temperature by measuring key reflections in the $(h, h, l)_h$ plane (the subscript h denotes hexagonal notation), which correspond to a unique, double, or triple twin. The measurements were done at three reflections: $(1, 1, -3)_h$, $(1, 1, -1.5)_h$, and $(0, 0, 6)_h$, where the $(1, 1, -1.5)_h$ peak is a new reflection corresponding to the monoclinic space group [Fig. 1(a)]. These results indicate that the structural transition develops gradually over the extended temperature range. The largest changes of the integrated intensities are observed for temperatures not only far below the Jahn-Teller transition temperature but far below characteristic temperature $T^* = 156 \text{ K}$, that mark significant changes in the Raman spectra and are linked to a gap between the orbital excitations (see Ref. [6]). These orbital fluctuations are reduced with reducing temperature and all the monoclinic structure modes are observed below T^* . Interestingly, this is not the case for $\text{Ba}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$, the isostructural compound, where there is no sign of orbital

fluctuations below T_{JT} [20]. Both electron spin resonance (ESR) and Raman spectroscopy results conclude that strong lattice and orbital fluctuations are brought about by the Jahn-Teller distortion and compete with the system's new structural phase down to temperatures well below the structural transition. Our results show that the monoclinic structural Bragg reflections only reach full intensity below approximately $T = 60$ K, the equivalent temperature of the intradimer interaction $J_0 = 5.5$ meV = 64.38 K according to Ref. [8]. Presumably, the system undergoes a structural transition from a hexagonal to a monoclinic structure in three steps. In the beginning, at $T > T_{JT}$, the structure is hexagonal with the $R\bar{3}m$ space-group symmetry. The first step, at $T^* < T < T_{JT}$, is a gradual transition with small changes in the structure. The second step, at $T < T^*$, is a range with strong fluctuations where the monoclinic structure modes are observed, and third step is at $T < 60$ K with stabilization of the monoclinic $C2/c$ space-group symmetry. The lattice degrees of freedom are very dynamic and they share a common energy scale with the orbital degrees of freedom meaning that there is a strong coupling between them. The intradimer interaction J_0 , which gives rise to a singlet ground state and gapped one-magnon excitations, is of course affected by the orbital ordering and the Cr^{5+} - Cr^{5+} distances. Dimers, coupled by J_0 , interact within the network of dimers with the three-dimensional magnetic exchange interactions, which can be considered as the sum of the interdimer couplings J' . The weak interdimer coupling J' preserves a low-dimensional quantum magnetism character of the spin-dimer system, making it more prone to strong fluctuations. At the same time, the strong intradimer magnetic exchange interaction J_0 supports the fast stabilization of the orbit-lattice state. As stated above, the temperature range of the intradimer interaction is ≈ 64 K, that is the temperature below which the monoclinic structure is stabilized. This implies that the dimerization process is of crucial importance for the stabilization of structural states in spin-charge-orbital couple systems. Similar behavior has been reported for CuIr_2S_4 [21], MgTi_2O_4 [22], and $\text{NaTiSi}_2\text{O}_4$ [23]. Due to the complexity of the signal and crystal quality, proper refinement of the low-temperature structure has not been reported. However, it is possible to analyze the physical properties of the compound by keeping the hexagonal notation, while taking into account any scattering signal due to monoclinic Bragg peaks, as we do below.

$\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ is a dimer system that remains in the paramagnetic state down to dilution-fridge temperatures. The ground state is a singlet (nonmagnetic). The excited state is a gapped triplet that disperses along all directions in reciprocal space due to three-dimensional interdimer interactions. The zero-field behavior of $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ has been characterized in detail in Ref. [8]. Magnetostriction measurements shown in Fig. 1(b) reveal three distinct phases. The first region, below the critical field $B_{c1} = 30.9(4)$ T, shows a plateau in magnetostriction and can be associated with the paramagnetic phase. In the second region, between B_{c1} and $B_{c2} = 61.9(3)$ T, the magnetostriction decreases rapidly and smoothly. The negative magnetostriction values reflect the sample contraction until the critical value B_{c2} is reached, above which the sample length remains constant [24]. This phase is typically associated with an XY -AFM state [25], where spins spontaneously

choose a particular orientation in the XY plane. The criticality at this point corresponds to that of Bose-Einstein condensation of magnons [9]. Lastly, the magnetostriction plateau above B_{c2} is associated with a fully polarized paramagnet. After decreasing the field to 0 T, the lattice constants recover their original value. The overall magnitude of the magnetostriction is $\Delta L/L \approx 10^{-6}$. This small value suggests that there is no significant restoration of the critical temperature to compensate for quantum fluctuations. These results are in agreement with those reported for continuous fields in Ref. [14]. However, in contrast to Ref. [14], in our measurements of magnetostriction we also cover the second critical magnetic field B_{c2} , which allows us to determine the full magnitude of the magnetostriction. The crystallographic axes shrink due to antiferromagnetic correlations mediated by Cr-O-Cr superexchange in the ordered phase. Having understood the macroscopic details of the $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ phase diagram, microscopic details of the field-induced phases are discussed below.

Triplons or magnons can be regarded as bosonic pseudoparticles with $S = 1$ and their degeneracy can be lifted by an external magnetic field. The external field can be modeled as a Zeeman energy that leads to the closing of the spin gap Δ , populating the ground state. The dispersion relation of the magnon band is defined as

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong \sqrt{J_0^2 + J_0\gamma(\mathbf{Q})} - g\mu_B B S_z, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{Q}$ is a wave vector, J_0 is the intradimer coupling, and $\gamma(\mathbf{Q})$ is the Fourier sum of the interdimer interactions as described in Ref. [8]. The last term is the Zeeman term [25]. As the magnetic field increases, the excitation energy of magnons with $S_z = 1$ is lowered, and eventually, it will cross zero energy. This approach applies only to fields below the critical field B_{c1} .

The microscopic details of the magnetic phase diagram of this compound have been investigated using neutron scattering and are compared to previously published bulk properties [13,14]. Neutron energy loss scans at the Γ point $(0, 0, 9)_h$ in zero magnetic field and 15 T applied along the c axis are presented in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). The triple-degenerate magnon excitation at 6 meV splits due to the applied field into three components $S_z = -1$, $S_z = 0$, and $S_z = 1$. The small shift between the single peak at zero field and the middle peak ($S_z = 0$) at 15 T correspond to a slightly different instrument configuration during these two measurements. The extracted energies of the splitting at the Γ point are presented in Fig. 4 together with the ESR measurements [13] and the magnetostriction data (Fig. 1). The obtained energies are perfectly consistent with the ESR excitation energies extrapolated to zero field. While only the softening branch was detected previously by ESR, our INS reveals now all three branches.

Figure 2(c) shows the dispersion relation, obtained by a random phase approximation (RPA) using the exchange interaction constants taken from Ref. [8] and by the Zeeman term as described in the equation above [25]. The three colored branches arise from the different coexisting structural domains as discussed above and shown in Ref. [19]. The broadband of the excitations indicates relevant interdimer magnetic exchange interactions, as depicted in Fig. 2(c). The




FIG. 2. The energy scan at the Γ point $(0, 0, 9)_h$ at 2 K reveals (a) one peak in zero magnetic field and (b) three peaks at 15 T with the magnetic field along the c axis. (c) RPA model plus Zeeman energy [Eq. (1)] of the dispersion relation for the three crystallographic twins under an applied magnetic field of 15 T along the $[h, h, 9]_h$ direction. Dispersion relation and magnetic exchange interactions were extracted from Ref. [8]. The solid lines of the dispersion relate to $S_z = 0$ which is independent of the magnetic field. The dispersion of the three twins merges at the Γ point.

magnon energies at the Γ point for both fields are extracted using a Gaussian fit. Those results are used below in the construction of the magnetic phase diagram (Fig. 4).

The minima of the magnon dispersion are located neither at the Γ point nor at the border of the Brillouin zone; thus they cannot be probed by optical methods in pulsed fields, such as ESR. In the XY-AFM ordered state (equivalent to BEC), the bosons forming the condensate are represented by a coherent superposition between a singlet and the lowest $S_z = 1$ triplet states. In order to study the magnetic structure in this phase in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ we have performed neutron diffraction measurements in pulsed fields above B_{c1} at the wave vectors corresponding to the minima of the triplon dispersion (M point), namely $(0.5, 0.5, 0)_h$ and $(1.5, 1.5, -3)_h$ at 2 K. These results are presented in Fig. 3. The time dependence of the magnetic field pulse is shown by the red solid lines in the figure, from which the magnetic field distribution can be extracted. These results show zero magnetic intensity at $(0.5, 0.5, 0)_h$ and magnetic intensity at $(1.5, 1.5, -3)_h$ for fields above ≈ 31 T [see Figs. 3(b), 3(d)]. Further measurements at other wave vectors were not possible to perform due to the restricted geometry of the magnet and the scattering conditions for wave vector transfer. Additionally, the expected magnetic reflections induced by the magnetic field in the XY-AFM phase coincide with monoclinic reflections, which complicates their separation. The observed magnetic signal confirms the field-induced phase transition starting at $B_{c1} = 30.9$ T. The measured intensities are in agreement with the intensities of the measured and calculated RPA inelastic signal at those corresponding wave vectors [8]. In the case of the inelastic signal, the intensity is modulated by the dimer structure factor.

To understand this magnetic structure based on the information of the two measured peaks (one with zero intensity),




FIG. 3. Time profile of a magnetic field pulse up to 38 T (red line) and time dependence measured at $T = 2$ K of the diffracted intensity at (a) $(0.5, 0.5, 0)_h$ and (b) $(1.5, 1.5, -3)_h$, integrated within time steps $\Delta t = 2$ ms. The right y axis refers to the magnetic field values. Field dependence of the diffracted intensity at (c) $(0.5, 0.5, 0)_h$ and (d) $(1.5, 1.5, -3)_h$, integrated within field steps $\Delta B = 1$ T. The intensity is shown as the count-up and count-down points which are related to the rising and falling of the field pulse. In order to accumulate sufficient statistics, 80 and 220 pulses were done at $(0.5, 0.5, 0)_h$ and $(1.5, 1.5, -3)_h$, respectively. The error bars ΔI are given by the square root of the neutron counts ($\Delta I = \sqrt{I/\tau}$, where τ is the total accumulation time over several pulses). The magnetic field has been applied along the c axis with a tilt of 5° in order to reach the reflections.

representation analysis was performed using the BasIreps program implemented in the FullProf suite [26]. For these calculations, we have kept the high-temperature structure $R\bar{3}m$ as it has been done with the analysis of the inelastic data. This is a good approximation since together the three monoclinic twins keep the hexagonal symmetry. Small changes in magnetic exchange interactions and magnetic structures due to slight changes in interatomic distances are averaged out. Additionally, there is a 5° tilt between the c axis and the field direction. However, we do not consider a big impact of this tilt in our results due to the sample's broad mosaicity and the isotropic nature of the spin with reported g factors $g_c = 1.938(6)$ and $g_{ab} = 1.950(1)$ [9].

In the hexagonal structure, there is one inequivalent Cr^{5+} site lying at Wyckoff position 6c, which generates two coupled Cr^{5+} ions with antiparallel magnetic moments at $(0, 0, z)$. The Bragg reflections indicating a magnetic structure can be described with the single propagation vector $k = (0.5, 0.5, 0)$. The magnetic representation at the Cr^{5+} site with Wyckoff position along with the space group $R\bar{3}m$ and

the propagation vector k can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible representations as $\Gamma_{\text{mag}}(6c) = \Gamma_1 + 2\Gamma_2 + 2\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4$. These representations contain 1, 2, $\bar{1}$, and m symmetry elements that leave $k = (0.5, 0.5, 0)$ invariant. Two of these solutions, Γ_1 and Γ_3 , give a FM alignment between the dimers, which is only expected in the polarized phase above B_{c2} . Additionally, Γ_3 produces a strong Bragg peak at $(0.5, 0.5, 0)_h$, and Γ_1 produces an extremely weak peak at $(1.5, 1.5, -3)_h$ opposite to our observations.

The irreducible representations, which allow an AFM coupling between the dimers with and without canting, are Γ_2 and Γ_4 . The first one, Γ_2 , has components on the ab plane and along the c axis. Meanwhile, the Γ_4 represents a structure with the moments on the ab plane with zero component along the c axis. According to theory, in this phase, the spins are initially (at B_{c1}) on the plane perpendicular to the applied magnetic field (c axis) with an angle of 180° with respect to each other, and begin to tilt toward the field direction by an angle which depends on the strength of the external magnetic field and thus of the ground state population [27–29].

The irreducible representation Γ_4 has two basis vectors: $S_{k_1} = (u, u, 0)$ and $S_{k_2} = (-u, -u, 0)$, where u is pointing along the crystallographic a and b axis direction, and zero component along the c axis. In the irreducible representation Γ_4 , the $(1.5, 1.5, -3)_h$ reflection is present while the $(0.5, 0.5, 0)_h$ reflection is absent, which is in good agreement with our measurements. This is also the case for Γ_2 , which has two basis vectors: $S_{k_1} = (u, -u, v)$ and $S_{k_2} = (-u, u, -v)$, where u is pointing along a and b , and v is pointing along c . To distinguish between the two models, a comparison measurement needs to be done at both $(0.5, 0.5, 3)_h$ and $(1.5, 1.5, -3)_h$, as the ratios between the intensities of these two peaks are different for the two models. However, clean results from the measurements at $(0.5, 0.5, 3)_h$ were not achievable due to the strong structural monoclinic peak which coincides with this wave vector. Therefore, with this limited information, we can conclude that both the canted AFM structure described by Γ_2 and the AFM structure described by Γ_4 can be good representations of the XY-AFM phase at B_{c1} where the FM, field-polarized component along the field direction is zero as depicted in the magnetic unit cells shown in the inset in Fig. 4 and below the x axis in the same figure.

IV. SUMMARY

Magnetostriction, inelastic neutron scattering, and neutron diffraction have been used to establish the magnetic phase diagram of the spin-dimerized quantum antiferromagnet $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$, shown in Fig. 4. Inelastic neutron scattering measurements in static magnetic fields up to 15 T reveal linear field dependency of the spin singlet-triplet excitations in this material, in agreement with the reported results of electron spin resonance measurements [13]. A direct probe of the field-induced magnon condensate is achieved by doing neutron scattering measurements in a pulsed magnetic field up to 39 T. A representation analysis of the obtained data allowed us to derive two possible spin structures at 2 K above B_{c1} , both of which confirm an antiferromagnetic configuration of the XY components that are perpendicular to the field direction. However, we cannot address the ordering of the longitudinal




FIG. 4. Zeeman splitting of the triplet modes in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$. The Γ -point data are taken from the inelastic neutron scattering experiments (Fig. 2). The M -point data are from zero-field inelastic neutron scattering and pulsed-field neutron diffraction measurements (Fig. 3). All data were measured at ≈ 2 K. ESR data points are taken from Ref. [13]. Critical fields are extracted from the magnetostriction results (Fig. 1). The red and blue arrows below the x axis show a schematic illustration of the direction of the spins in a dimer. On the inset, the magnetic structure in the XY-AFM phase Γ_2 and Γ_4 are presented [30]. The magnetic unit cell is $2a \times 2b \times c$, but only $a \times b \times c$ is shown for clarity. The red solid line represents the dominant AFM intradimer interaction J_0 . The interdimer interactions are shown by dotted lines.

spin components due to the limited reciprocal space available in our experimental techniques. The resolved XY-AFM configuration of the magnon condensation phase is consistent with the theoretical description, and agrees with the reported results in other spin-dimerized compounds, such as TiCuCl_3 [31] and the related isostructural compound $\text{Ba}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ [11]. Additionally, our zero-field diffraction results indicate that the monoclinic structure is only fully developed below 60 K, the same temperature range as the intradimer interaction. This suggests that not only do the orbital and lattice degrees of freedom play a role in the stabilization of the crystallographic symmetry breaking transition, but that dimerization also has an important role. This is consistent with other dimerized systems that have similar behavior [21–23].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is based on experiments performed at the ILL: proposals 4-01-1493, 5-41-900, and 5-41-991. The latter measurements are available in Ref. [32]. Measurements were carried out at the E4 instrument at Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, beamtime 17106252-IN. We acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through SFB 1143 (Project No. 247310070), as well as the support of the LNCMI-CNRS and HLD-HZDR, members of the European Magnetic Field Laboratory (EMFL). A.G. has been supported by the Schweizerische Nationalfonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung (Grant No. 20-17555).

- [1] V. Zapf, M. Jaime, and C. D. Batista, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 563 (2014).
- [2] B. Grenier and T. Ziman, *C. R. Phys.* **8**, 717 (2007).
- [3] F. Duc, X. Tonon, J. Billette, B. Rollet, W. Knafo, F. Bourdarot, J. Beard, F. Mantegazza, B. Longuet, J. E. Lorenzo, E. Lelievre-Berna, P. Frings, and L.-P. Regnault, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **89**, 5 (2018).
- [4] Y. Singh and D. C. Johnston, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 012407 (2007).
- [5] L. C. Chapon, C. Stock, P. G. Radaelli, and C. Martin, [arXiv:0807.0877](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.0877).
- [6] D. Wulferding, P. Lemmens, K.-Y. Choi, V. Gnezdilov, Y. G. Pashkevich, J. Deisenhofer, D. Quintero-Castro, A. T. M. N. Islam, and B. Lake, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 064419 (2011).
- [7] Z. Wang, M. Schmidt, A. Günther, S. Schaile, N. Pascher, F. Mayr, Y. Goncharov, D. L. Quintero-Castro, A. T. M. N. Islam, B. Lake, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, A. Loidl, and J. Deisenhofer, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 201102(R) (2011).
- [8] D. L. Quintero-Castro, B. Lake, E. M. Wheeler, A. T. M. N. Islam, T. Guidi, K. C. Rule, Z. Izaola, M. Russina, K. Kiefer, Y. Skourski, and T. Herrmannsdorfer, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 014415 (2010).
- [9] A. A. Aczel, Y. Kohama, C. Marcenat, F. Weickert, M. Jaime, O. E. Ayala-Valenzuela, R. D. McDonald, S. D. Selesnic, H. A. Dabkowska, and G. M. Luke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 207203 (2009).
- [10] Zhe Wang, D. L. Quintero-Castro, S. Zherlitsyn, S. Yasin, Y. Skourski, A. T. M. N. Islam, B. Lake, J. Deisenhofer, and A. Loidl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 147201 (2016).
- [11] M. Kofu, H. Ueda, H. Nojiri, Y. Oshima, T. Zenmoto, K. C. Rule, S. Gerischer, B. Lake, C. D. Batista, Y. Ueda, and S.-H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 177204 (2009).
- [12] A. A. Aczel, Y. Kohama, M. Jaime, K. Ninios, H. B. Chan, L. Balicas, H. A. Dabkowska, and G. M. Luke, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 100409(R) (2009).
- [13] Zhe Wang, D. Kamenskyi, O. Cépas, M. Schmidt, D. L. Quintero-Castro, A. T. M. N. Islam, B. Lake, A. A. Aczel, H. A. Dabkowska, A. B. Dabkowski, G. M. Luke, Y. Wan, A. Loidl, M. Ozerov, J. Wosnitza, S. A. Zvyagin, and J. Deisenhofer, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 174406 (2014).
- [14] T. Nomura, Y. Skourski, D. L. Quintero-Castro, A. A. Zvyagin, A. V. Suslov, D. Gorbunov, S. Yasin, J. Wosnitza, K. Kindo, A. T. M. N. Islam, B. Lake, Y. Kohama, S. Zherlitsyn, and M. Jaime, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 165144 (2020).
- [15] A. T. M. N. Islam, D. Quintero-Castro, B. Lake, K. Siemensmeyer, K. Kiefer, Y. Skourski, and T. Herrmannsdorfer, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **10**, 465 (2010).
- [16] K. Schmalzl, W. Schmidt, S. Raymond, H. Feilbach, C. Mounier, B. Vettard, and T. Bruckel, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* **819**, 89 (2016).
- [17] R. Daou, F. Weickert, M. Nicklas, F. Steglich, and A. Haase, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **81**, 033909 (2010).
- [18] L. P. Regnault, B. Geffray, P. Fouilloux, B. Longuet, F. Mantegazza, F. Tasset, E. Lelievre-Berna, S. Pujol, E. Bourgeat-Lami, N. Kernavanois, M. Thomas, and Y. Gibert, *Physica B* **350**, E811 (2004).
- [19] The reflection $(1, 1, -1.5)_h$ corresponds to two monoclinic reflections $(1, 1, -1.5)_h = (0, 2, 1)_{m(1,2)} = (3, 1, 2)_{m(3)}$ ($m^{(n)}$ notation corresponds to n^{th} monoclinic twin). Reflection $(0, 0, 6)_h$ corresponds to all monoclinic twins $(0, 0, 6)_h = (0, 0, 4)_{m(1,2,3)}$ and it is also an allowed hexagonal reflection, and the $(1, 1, -3)_h$ reflection is allowed in both hexagonal and monoclinic and corresponds to the reflections $(1, 1, -3)_h = (0, 2, 2)_{m(1)} = (3, 1, 3)_{m(2,3)}$. Conversions from hexagonal to monoclinic Miller indices have been done using Ref. [8].
- [20] Z. Wang, M. Schmidt, A. Günther, F. Mayr, Y. Wan, S.-H. Lee, H. Ueda, Y. Ueda, A. Loidl, and J. Deisenhofer, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 224304 (2012).
- [21] E. S. Bozin, W. G. Yin, and R. J. Koch, *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3638 (2019).
- [22] L. Yang, R. J. Koch, H. Zheng, J. F. Mitchell, W. Yin, M. G. Tucker, S. J. L. Billinge, and E. S. Bozin, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 235128 (2020).
- [23] R. J. Koch, R. Sinclair, M. T. McDonnell, R. Yu, M. Abeykoon, M. G. Tucker, A. M. Tsvelik, S. J. L. Billinge, H. D. Zhou, W.-G. Yin, and E. S. Bozin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 186402 (2021).
- [24] V. S. Zapf, V. F. Correa, C. D. Batista, T. P. Murphy, E. D. Palm, M. Jaime, S. Tozer, A. Lacerda, and A. Paduan-Filho, *J. Appl. Phys.* **101**, 09E106 (2007).
- [25] T. Giamarchi, C. Ruegg, and O. Tchernyshyov, *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 198 (2008).
- [26] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, *Physica B* **192**, 55 (1993).
- [27] T. Giamarchi and A. M. Tsvelik, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 11398 (1999).
- [28] M. Tachiki and T. Yamada, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **28**, 1413 (1970).
- [29] M. Tachiki and T. Yamada, *Suppl. Progr. Theor. Phys.* **46**, 291 (1970).
- [30] K. Momma and F. Izumi, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **44**, 1272 (2011).
- [31] H. Tanaka, A. Oosawa, T. Kato, H. Uekusa, Y. Ohashi, K. Kakurai, and A. Hoser, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **70**, 939 (2001).
- [32] D. L. Quintero Castro, A. Bhat Kademane, F. Bourdarot, F. Duc, A. Gazizulina, A. T. M. N. Islam, N. H. Kolnes, B. Lake, F. Perrin, K. Prokes, Z. Wang, F. Yokaichiya, and S. Zherlitsyn, High-field neutron diffraction study of magnonic Bose-Einstein condensate and magnonic liquid in the quantum dimer system $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$, Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), doi:10.5291/ILL-DATA.5-41-991, 2019.