



HAL
open science

DE RHAM L_p -COHOMOLOGY FOR HIGHER RANK SPACES AND GROUPS: CRITICAL EXPONENTS AND RIGIDITY

Marc Bourdon, Bertrand Rémy

► **To cite this version:**

Marc Bourdon, Bertrand Rémy. DE RHAM L_p -COHOMOLOGY FOR HIGHER RANK SPACES AND GROUPS: CRITICAL EXPONENTS AND RIGIDITY. 2023. hal-04369541

HAL Id: hal-04369541

<https://hal.science/hal-04369541>

Preprint submitted on 2 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**DE RHAM L^p -COHOMOLOGY
FOR HIGHER RANK SPACES AND GROUPS:
CRITICAL EXPONENTS AND RIGIDITY**

MARC BOURDON AND BERTRAND RÉMY

ABSTRACT. We initiate the investigation of critical exponents (in degree equal to the rank) for the vanishing of L^p -cohomology of higher rank Lie groups and related manifolds. We deal with the rank 2 case and exhibit such phenomena for $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$ and for a family of 5-dimensional solvable Lie groups. This leads us to exhibit a continuum of quasi-isometry classes of rank 2 solvable Lie groups of non-positive curvature. We provide a detailed description of the L^p -cohomology of the real and complex hyperbolic spaces, to be combined with a spectral sequence argument for our higher-rank results.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20J05, 20J06, 22E15, 22E41, 53C35, 55B35, 57T10, 57T15.

Keywords and phrases: L^p -cohomology, Lie group, symmetric space, quasi-isometric invariance, spectral sequence, cohomology (non-)vanishing.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Currents and de Rham L^p -cohomology	5
2. Flows and de Rham L^p -cohomology	9
3. The Lie group case	14
4. Real hyperbolic spaces	18
5. Non-quasiisometric higher rank solvable groups	19
6. Complex hyperbolic spaces	26
7. The symmetric space $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})/\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbf{R})$	35
References	39

INTRODUCTION

L^p -cohomology provides a family of large scale geometry invariants for metric spaces and groups. It has many variants (such as asymptotic L^p -cohomology, or group L^p -cohomology via continuous cohomology of locally compact groups) which are all comparable to one another under suitable, not so demanding, conditions. Each viewpoint brings its own insights: for instance asymptotic L^p -cohomology shows that L^p -cohomology is an invariant under quasi-isometry (in fact, under coarse isometry), and continuous cohomology allows one to use standard algebraic tools such as spectral sequences. In the present paper, we are interested in the de Rham L^p -cohomology viewpoint; roughly speaking, we are dealing with forms satisfying, together with their differentials, L^p -integrability conditions with respect to measures given by suitable Riemannian metrics.

L^p -cohomology is better understood in rank 1 situations, where contractions and negative curvature arguments can be used to perform some computations. In particular, critical exponent phenomena with respect to p for vanishing vs non-vanishing of L^p -cohomology in degree 1 sometimes have beautiful geometric interpretations, for instance in terms of conformal dimension of the boundary at infinity of the considered hyperbolic spaces. In higher rank, the existing results and conjectures often deal with Lie groups (and associated homogeneous spaces) which are assumed to be semisimple: this is a class of groups which admit a well-known classification in terms of discrete combinatorial objects.

References for L^p -cohomology include [Gro93, Pan95, Pan99, Pan07, Pan08, Pan09, CT11, Gen14, SS18, Seq20, BR20, BR23, LN23].

In the present paper, we are interested in the de Rham L^p -cohomology of solvable Lie groups of rank 2. More precisely, motivated by a question of Cornulier, we exhibit, for some groups of this type, a critical exponent phenomenon in degree 2 (see Theorem 5.2), which allows us to prove the following quasi-isometric rigidity result:

Theorem A. *Consider the solvable Lie groups $S_\alpha := \mathbf{R}^2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbf{R}^3$, where $\alpha : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \{\text{diagonal automorphisms of } \mathbf{R}^3\}$ is any monomorphism whose image contains the subgroup $\{e^{-t\text{id}_{\mathbf{R}^3}}\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$. Then:*

- (i) *Any two such groups are quasi-isometric if, and only, if they are isomorphic.*
- (ii) *As a consequence, there exists a continuum of quasi-isometry classes of rank 2 solvable groups of non-positive curvature.*

The fact that S_α admits a left-invariant Riemannian metric of non-positive sectional curvature follows from the Azencott-Wilson classification [AW76].

Going back to semisimple groups, the same approach – which we describe below in more details – enables us to obtain another rank 2 critical exponent phenomenon (see Section 7.4):

Theorem B. *Let S be the symmetric space $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})/\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbf{R})$. Then $L^p H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(S)$ is zero for $p \in (1; 2) \setminus \{\frac{4}{3}\}$, and non-zero for $p \in (2; +\infty) \setminus \{4\}$.*

In fact, this theorem is also a result on Lie groups since, by Iwasawa decomposition, we can replace the symmetric space S by the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$: it is a specific rank 2 solvable group, namely the semidirect product of \mathbf{R}^2 and of the Heisenberg group in dimension 3, which we denote by $\mathrm{Heis}(3)$.

In both instances of the solvable groups dealt with in the above theorems, we can decompose the action of \mathbf{R}^2 on the 3-dimensional subgroup \mathbf{R}^3 (resp. on $\mathrm{Heis}(3)$) into two steps. In a first step, one factor \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{R}^2 acts on \mathbf{R}^3 (resp. on $\mathrm{Heis}(3)$) so that the intermediate (rank 1) semidirect product is a non-unimodular solvable group isometric to the real (resp. complex) hyperbolic space of real dimension 4. Then, as a second step, we consider the action of the second factor \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{R}^2 and use a spectral sequence argument, together with the fact that we understand in detail the cohomology of the intermediate 4-dimensional group of the first step. Thus, at this stage, proving the non-vanishing of the considered L^p -cohomology amounts to showing that some de Rham classes on the rank 1 group satisfy a certain L^p -integrability condition (see Section 5.4, and Relation 7.7 in Section 7.4). The vanishing part requires to use a Poincaré duality argument in order to show the requested non-integrability of the relevant de Rham classes.

The main result about the rank 1 intermediate solvable groups above is Theorem 3.2. It provides a partial description of the L^p -cohomology of Lie groups containing a suitable 1-parameter subgroup of (semi)contractions acting on its complement. The obtained description complements some previous results of Pansu [Pan99, Sections 9 and 10]; we call it a *strip decomposition* since its hypotheses are stated as (double) inequalities that must be satisfied by the exponent p with respect to quantities depending on the degree k of the cohomology and on the infinitesimal eigenvalues of the contraction group. The conclusions deal with the following properties: vanishing, Hausdorff property, density

of some explicit subspaces of closed forms, and finally Poincaré duality realized at infinity, *i.e.* on the group-theoretic complement of the contraction group (which is a Lie group seen as a boundary of the ambient group).

To sum up this part of the paper, the statement of Theorem 3.2 is a group-theoretic way to obtain information on hyperbolic spaces (real ones in Section 4 and complex ones in Section 6), while its proof relies on general arguments from Riemannian geometry. We note that the case of complex hyperbolic spaces requires a substantial additional amount of work dealing with Heisenberg groups of arbitrary dimension, elaborating on ideas due to Rumin [Rum94] and Pansu [Pan09].

Again, from a technical point of view, the paper deals with de Rham cohomology only, and some of our results are valid for Riemannian manifolds endowed with a suitable contracting vector field, even though the main applications are relevant to the Lie group situation. This applies in particular to the main new technical result (Theorem 2.5) which translates the Poincaré duality in terms of currents on the “boundary”.

Let us finish this introduction with three remarks.

1) In [Pan99, Corollary 2] Pansu already uses L^p -cohomology to show that the groups $G_\alpha := \mathbf{R} \ltimes \mathbf{R}^n$, with $\alpha = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $1 = \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$, form a continuous family of pairwise non-quasiisometric negatively curved solvable Lie groups. This result has been generalized by Xie [Xie14, Corollary 1.3] to non-diagonal automorphisms, by using more geometric methods.

2) Our results in group theory apply to Lie groups endowed with (semi-)contractions, hence cannot directly imply results on discrete groups by seeing them as lattices in Lie groups (our Lie groups are not unimodular). Nevertheless, the quasi-isometry invariance suggests that a less naive approach may be used to investigate discrete groups (note, for instance as in [EFW07, Introduction], that a surface group is quasi-isometric to the affine group of dimension 2).

3) Our results on de Rham L^p -cohomology of hyperbolic spaces can be compared with Borel’s on L^2 -cohomology of symmetric spaces [Bor85]. It turns out that for complex hyperbolic spaces our results are complementary in the sense that the exponent $p = 2$ is never contained in the interior of the strips we distinguish. Nevertheless, for $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{C}}^m$ it is in the closure (and in the middle) of the union $]2\frac{m}{m+1}; 2[\sqcup]2; 2\frac{m}{m-1}[$ of two critical strips. For p in the interior of each segment our Theorem

6.1 says that $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{C}}^m)$ is Hausdorff and non-zero, while Theorem A of [loc. cit.] says that $L^2 H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{C}}^m)$ is Hausdorff and non-zero. Moreover it describes the latter space in representation-theoretic terms. For real hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}$, we have to distinguish two cases according to the parity of n . When n is odd, our Theorem 4.1 recovers Theorem A(i) of [loc. cit.], that says that $L^2 H_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1})$ is Hausdorff and concentrated in degree $\frac{n+1}{2}$. When n is even, Theorem B of [loc. cit.] complements our result by saying that $L^2 \overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1})$ is zero and $L^2 H_{\text{dR}}^\bullet(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1})$ is not Hausdorff in degree $\frac{n}{2} + 1$.

Structure of the paper. Section 1 introduces currents in the context of L^p -cohomology; it also recalls Poincaré duality for the reduced variant of it. Section 2 introduces flows with suitable contraction properties on manifolds; it describes their effects on L^p -cohomology and introduces a version of Poincaré duality involving currents on the "boundary" of such a manifold. In Section 3, the situation is specialized to the case of Lie groups; the existence of a suitable 1-dimensional (semi) contracting group leads to the strip description of the L^p -cohomology of the groups under consideration. In Section 4, we apply the result of the previous section to deduce the description of the L^p -cohomology of real hyperbolic spaces. The objects of study in Section 5 are the solvable Lie groups of rank 2 defined in Theorem A; this is where we obtain our first critical exponent phenomenon and where we exhibit a continuum of pairwise non-quasiisometric groups. Section 6 provides the description of the L^p -cohomology of complex hyperbolic spaces; this requires more care than for the real case, and in particular it leads to an intensive use of Heisenberg groups. At last, in Section 7, using the same strategy as for the quasi-isometry rigidity theorem of Section 5, we obtain our second higher-rank critical exponent phenomenon, this time for the symmetric space $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})/\text{SO}_3(\mathbf{R})$.

Acknowledgements. We thank Yves Cornuier who drawn our attention to the groups S_α (defined in Theorem A), and for useful remarks and questions. M.B. was partially supported by the Labex Cempi.

1. CURRENTS AND DE RHAM L^p -COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we give a quick presentation of de Rham L^p -cohomology and related topics.

1.1. Currents. Currents play a center role in classical de Rham cohomology. We recall some of the basic definitions and properties useful for the L^p variant (see [DS05] for more informations).

Let M be a C^∞ orientable D -manifold without boundary. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\Omega^k(M)$ be the space of C^∞ differential k -forms on M , and let $\Omega_c^k(M)$ be the space of compactly supported C^∞ differential k -forms, endowed with the C^∞ topology. As usual we set $\Omega^k(M) = \Omega_c^k(M) = \{0\}$ for $k < 0$.

A k -current on M is by definition a continuous real valued linear form on $\Omega_c^{D-k}(M)$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}'^k(M)$ the space of k -currents on M endowed with the weak*-topology.

To every $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$, one associates the k -current T_ω defined by $T_\omega(\alpha) := \int_M \omega \wedge \alpha$. This defines an embedding of $\Omega^k(M)$ into $\mathcal{D}'^k(M)$, whose image is known to be dense. The *differential* of a k -current T is the $(k+1)$ -current dT defined by $dT(\alpha) := (-1)^{k+1}T(d\alpha)$, for every $\alpha \in \Omega_c^{D-k-1}(M)$. The so-obtained map d satisfies $d \circ d = 0$. Since M is assumed to have no boundary, this definition is consistent with Stokes' formula:

$$\int_M d\omega \wedge \alpha = (-1)^{k+1} \int_M \omega \wedge d\alpha.$$

More generally, suppose we are given $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$, and a continuous linear operator $L : \Omega^*(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{*-\ell}(N)$ – where M and N are orientable manifolds of dimension D_M and D_N respectively – such that there is a continuous operator $\tilde{L} : \Omega_c^{D_N-*+\ell}(N) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{D_M-*}(M)$, with

$$\int_N L(\omega) \wedge \alpha = \int_M \omega \wedge \tilde{L}(\alpha),$$

for every $\omega \in \Omega^*(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega_c^{D_N-*+\ell}(N)$. Then L extends by continuity to $\mathcal{D}'^*(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'^{*-\ell}(N)$, by setting $(L(T))(\alpha) := T(\tilde{L}(\alpha))$. This applies *e.g.* to inner products $\iota_\xi : \Omega^*(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{*-1}(M)$ by a vector field ξ on M . One has $\tilde{\iota}_\xi = (-1)^{k+1}\iota_\xi$ on $\Omega_c^{D-k+1}(M)$, since ι_ξ is an anti-derivation (see *e.g.* [Tu08, Proposition 20.8]).

In local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_D) on an open subset $U \subset M$, every k -current $T \in \mathcal{D}'^k(U)$ can be written $T = \sum_{|I|=k} T_I dx_I$, with $T_I \in \mathcal{D}'^0(U)$. For every $\alpha \in \Omega_c^{D-k}(U)$, one has $T(\alpha) = \sum_{|I|=k} T_I(dx_I \wedge \alpha)$.

1.2. De Rham L^p -cohomology: definitions and notations. We list and fix the definitions and notations for several objects that will appear repeatedly in the paper.

Let M be a C^∞ orientable manifold (without boundary), henceforth endowed with a Riemannian metric. We denote by $d\text{vol}$ its Riemannian measure, and by $|v|$ the Riemannian length of a vector $v \in TM$.

- Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$. The L^p -norm of $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ is

$$\|\omega\|_{L^p\Omega^k} = \left(\int_M |\omega|_m^p d\text{vol}(m) \right)^{1/p},$$

where we set

$$|\omega|_m := \sup\{|\omega(m; v_1, \dots, v_k)| : v_1, \dots, v_k \in T_m M, |v_i| = 1\}.$$

- The space $L^p\Omega^k(M)$ is the norm completion of the normed space $\{\omega \in \Omega^k(M) : \|\omega\|_{L^p\Omega^k} < +\infty\}$, *i.e.* the Banach space of k -differential forms with measurable L^p coefficients.
- To every $\omega \in L^p\Omega^k(M)$, one associates the k -current T_ω defined by $T_\omega(\alpha) := \int_M \omega \wedge \alpha$. The *differential in the sense of currents* of $\omega \in L^p\Omega^k(M)$ is the $(k+1)$ -current $d\omega := dT_\omega$. One says that $d\omega$ belongs to $L^p\Omega^{k+1}(M)$ if there exists $\theta \in L^p\Omega^{k+1}(M)$ such $d\omega = T_\theta$. In this case we set $\|d\omega\|_{L^p\Omega^{k+1}} := \|\theta\|_{L^p\Omega^{k+1}}$.
- For $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$, we set

$$\|\omega\|_{\Omega^{p,k}} := \|\omega\|_{L^p\Omega^k} + \|d\omega\|_{L^p\Omega^{k+1}}.$$

The space $\Omega^{p,k}(M)$ is the norm completion of the normed space $\{\omega \in \Omega^k(M) : \|\omega\|_{\Omega^{p,k}} < +\infty\}$. It is a Banach space that coincides with the subspace of $L^p\Omega^k(M)$ consisting of the L^p k -forms whose differentials in the sense of currents belong to $L^p\Omega^{k+1}(M)$. Moreover the differential operator d on $\Omega^{p,*}(M)$ agrees with the differential in the sense of currents. (See *e.g.* [BR23, Lemma 1.5] for a proof).

- The *de Rham L^p -cohomology* of M is the cohomology of the complex

$$\Omega^{p,0}(M) \xrightarrow{d_0} \Omega^{p,1}(M) \xrightarrow{d_1} \Omega^{p,2}(M) \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots$$

It is denoted by $L^pH_{\text{dR}}^*(M)$. Its largest Hausdorff quotient is denoted by $L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^*(M)$ and is called the *reduced de Rham L^p -cohomology* of M . The latter is a Banach space; its (quotient) norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p\overline{H}^*}$.

- Following Pansu, we also define $\Psi^{p,k}(M)$ to be the space of k -currents $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^k(M)$ that can be written $\psi = \beta + d\gamma$, with $\beta \in L^p\Omega^k(M)$ and $\gamma \in L^p\Omega^{k-1}(M)$. In particular we have

$\Psi^{p,0}(M) = L^p(M)$. Equipped with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\Psi^{p,k}} := \inf \left\{ \|\beta\|_{L^p\Omega^k} + \|\gamma\|_{L^p\Omega^{k-1}} : \psi = \beta + d\gamma, \right. \\ \left. \text{with } \beta \in L^p\Omega^k(M) \text{ and } \gamma \in L^p\Omega^{k-1}(M) \right\},$$

the space $\Psi^{p,k}(M)$ is a Banach space, and the inclusion maps between differential complexes:

$$\Omega^{p,*}(M) \subset \Psi^{p,*}(M) \subset \mathcal{D}^{*}(M)$$

are continuous (see [BR23, Lemma 1.3] for a proof).

- Suppose that M carries a C^∞ unit complete vector field ξ , and let $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ be its flow. Assume that $\varphi_t^* : L^p\Omega^k(M) \rightarrow L^p\Omega^k(M)$ is bounded for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$. We set

$$\Psi^{p,k}(M, \xi) := \{\psi \in \Psi^{p,k}(M) : \varphi_t^*(\psi) = \psi \text{ for every } t \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

The differential complex $\Psi^{p,*}(M, \xi)$ is a closed subcomplex of $\Psi^{p,*}(M)$. Let

$$\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(M, \xi) := \text{Ker}(d : \Psi^{p,k}(M, \xi) \rightarrow \Psi^{p,k+1}(M, \xi))$$

be the space of k -cocycles.

1.3. Poincaré duality. Poincaré duality for de Rham L^p -cohomology takes the following form.

Proposition 1.1. *Let M be a complete oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension D . Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $q = p/(p-1)$ be its Hölder conjugate, and $k \in \{0, \dots, D\}$. Then*

- (1) $L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$ is Hausdorff if and only if $L^q\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^{D-k+1}(M)$ is.
- (2) $L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$ and $L^q\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^{D-k}(M)$ are dual Banach spaces, via the perfect pairing $L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M) \times L^q\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^{D-k}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, defined by

$$([\omega_1], [\omega_2]) \mapsto \int_M \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2.$$

Proof. See [Pan08, Corollaire 14] or [GT10]. □

The following terminology will be useful in the sequel.

Definition 1.2. Let $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k, \ell \in \{0, \dots, D\}$. The couples (p, k) and (q, ℓ) are said to be *Poincaré dual* if p and q are Hölder conjugate and if $\ell = D - k$.

2. FLOWS AND DE RHAM L^p -COHOMOLOGY

This section exploits some dynamical properties of flows acting on forms to extract information on L^p -cohomology. The objects appearing in this section are defined in Section 1.2. In what follows, we keep M a C^∞ orientable manifold (without boundary) endowed with a Riemannian metric.

2.1. Invariance, identification and vanishing. We review several results due to Pansu, see [Pan08, Proposition 10] or [BR23, Section 1].

Let ξ be a C^∞ unit complete vector field on M , and denote by $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ its flow. We assume that $\varphi_t^* : L^p\Omega^k(M) \rightarrow L^p\Omega^k(M)$ is bounded for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$. This happens *e.g.* when M is a manifold of *bounded geometry*, *i.e.* a manifold whose injectivity radius is bounded from below and whose sectional curvatures are bounded from above and from below.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then for every $\omega \in \Omega^{p,k}(M) \cap \text{Ker } d$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$, the forms ω and $\varphi_t^*\omega$ are cohomologous in $L^p\text{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$.*

Proof. See *e.g.* [BR23, Lemma 1.3]. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$. Suppose that there exist $C, \eta > 0$ such that for every $t \geq 0$, one has*

$$\|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p\Omega^{k-1} \rightarrow L^p\Omega^{k-1}} \leq Ce^{-\eta t}.$$

Then:

- (1) *Let $\omega \in \Omega^{p,k}(M) \cap \text{Ker } d$. When $t \rightarrow +\infty$, the form $\varphi_t^*\omega$ converges in the Banach space $\Psi^{p,k}(M)$ (and so in the sense of currents); its limit ω_∞ is a closed current in $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(M, \xi)$.*
- (2) *The map $\omega \mapsto \omega_\infty$ induces a canonical Banach isomorphism*

$$L^p\text{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M) \simeq \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(M, \xi).$$

In particular $L^p\text{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$ is Hausdorff.

Proof. The statement is essentially contained in [Pan08, Proposition 10]. A proof also appears in [BR23, Proposition 1.9] under the stronger assumption that $\max_{i=k-2, k-1} \|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p\Omega^i \rightarrow L^p\Omega^i} \leq Ce^{-\eta t}$. The extra assumption served only in parts (3) and (4) of the proof, to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\varphi_t^*(d\theta)\|_{\Psi^{p,k}} = 0$ for every $\theta \in L^p\Omega^{k-1}(M)$. But the weaker hypothesis $\|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p\Omega^{k-1} \rightarrow L^p\Omega^{k-1}} \leq Ce^{-\eta t}$ is enough to prove this property.

Indeed, by combining the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\Psi^{p,k}}$ with this assumption, one has

$$\|\varphi_t^*(d\theta)\|_{\Psi^{p,k}} = \|d(\varphi_t^*\theta)\|_{\Psi^{p,k}} \leq \|\varphi_t^*\theta\|_{L^p\Omega^{k-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

when $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$. Suppose that there exist $C, \eta > 0$ such that for every $t \geq 0$, one has*

$$\max_{i=k-1, k} \|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p\Omega^i \rightarrow L^p\Omega^i} \leq Ce^{-\eta t}.$$

Then $L^p\mathbf{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Our assumption implies that $\|\varphi_t^*\|_{\Psi^{p,k} \rightarrow \Psi^{p,k}} \leq Ce^{-\eta t}$; and also that $L^p\mathbf{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M) \simeq \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(M, \xi)$ by Proposition 2.2. Since the elements of $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(M, \xi)$ are φ_t -invariant, one gets that $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(M, \xi) = \{0\}$. Therefore $L^p\mathbf{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M) = \{0\}$. \square

2.2. Boundary values, Poincaré duality revisited. In this section, the oriented Riemannian manifold M is supposed to be complete. We assume furthermore that M and the unit vector field ξ are such that the pair (M, ξ) is C^∞ -diffeomorphic to a pair of the form $(\mathbf{R} \times N, \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$, where the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is carried by the \mathbf{R} -factor.

We think of N as a “boundary” of M . Under some dynamical assumptions, we will represent the spaces $L^p\mathbf{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$ and the Poincaré duality on the boundary N (see Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 below).

Let $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ be the projection map and $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}^i(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^i(M)$ be the continuous extension of the pull-back map $\pi^* : \Omega^i(N) \rightarrow \Omega^i(M)$. We set $n =: \dim N$ so that $D := \dim M = n + 1$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$. Suppose that there exist $C, \eta > 0$ such that for $t \geq 0$:*

$$\|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p\Omega^{k-1} \rightarrow L^p\Omega^{k-1}} \leq Ce^{-\eta t}.$$

Then for every $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(M, \xi)$, there exists $T \in \mathcal{D}^k(N) \cap \text{Ker } d$ such that $\psi = \pi^*(T)$.

Proof. Recall that $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and that the flow of ξ is denoted by φ_t . Every $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(M, \xi)$ is φ_t -invariant; therefore showing that $\psi = \pi^*(T)$ is equivalent to proving that $\iota_\xi\psi = 0$. From Proposition 2.2, there exists $\omega \in \Omega^{p,k}(M) \cap \text{Ker } d$ such that $\psi = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_t^*(\omega)$ in the sense of currents. Since the map $\iota_\xi : \mathcal{D}^k(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{k-1}(M)$ is continuous, one obtains that $\iota_\xi\psi = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_t^*(\iota_\xi\omega)$ in the sense of currents. But

$\iota_\xi \omega \in L^p \Omega^{k-1}(M)$, and by assumption one has $\|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p \Omega^{k-1} \rightarrow L^p \Omega^{k-1}} \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus $\iota_\xi \psi = 0$. Lastly, since $d\psi = 0$ one gets that $\pi^*(dT) = 0$, which in turn implies that $dT = 0$. \square

Let χ be a non-negative C^∞ function on M , depending only on the \mathbf{R} -variable, such that $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$ and $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be such that (p, k) and (q, ℓ) are Poincaré dual — see Definition 1.2. Suppose that there exist $C, \eta > 0$ such that for $t \geq 0$:*

- (1) $\|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p \Omega^{k-1} \rightarrow L^p \Omega^{k-1}} \leq C e^{-\eta t}$,
- (2) $\|\varphi_{-t}^*\|_{\text{Ker } \iota_\xi \cap L^q \Omega^\ell \rightarrow \text{Ker } \iota_\xi \cap L^q \Omega^\ell} \leq C e^{-\eta t}$.

Then for every $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$, the form $d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)$ belongs to the space $\Omega^{q, \ell}(M) \cap \text{Ker } d$; and for every $\omega \in \Omega^{p, k}(M) \cap \text{Ker } d$, one has:

$$\int_M \omega \wedge d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta) = T(\theta),$$

where T is the closed k -current on N such that

$$\omega_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_t^*(\omega) = \pi^*(T),$$

as in Propositions 2.2 and 2.4.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.5, we will prove:

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. Then the classes of the $d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)$'s (where $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$) form a dense subspace in $L^q \overline{\text{H}}_{\text{dR}}^\ell(M)$. Moreover when $\theta = d\alpha$ is an exact form, with $\alpha \in \Omega_c^{\ell-2}(N)$, then $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] = 0$ in $L^q \overline{\text{H}}_{\text{dR}}^\ell(M)$.*

Recall that $L^p \overline{\text{H}}_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$ and $L^q \overline{\text{H}}_{\text{dR}}^\ell(M)$ are dual Banach spaces, via the pairing $([\omega_1], [\omega_2]) \mapsto \int_M \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ (see Proposition 1.1). In combination with Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 above, this yields immediately to the:

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{p, k}(M) \cap \text{Ker } d$ and let $T \in \mathcal{D}^k(N) \cap \text{Ker } d$ be such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_t^*(\omega) = \pi^*(T)$. Then the norm of $[\omega]$ in $L^p \overline{\text{H}}_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$ satisfies:*

$$\|[\omega]\|_{L^p \overline{\text{H}}^k} = \sup \left\{ T(\theta) : \theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N), \| [d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] \|_{L^q \overline{\text{H}}^\ell} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Step 1. We first show that the L^q -norm of the form $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)$ is finite. Set $\alpha := \pi^*\theta$ for simplicity. One has

$$d(\chi \cdot \alpha) = d\chi \wedge \alpha + \chi \cdot d\alpha.$$

The form $d\alpha$ belongs to $\text{Ker } \iota_\xi$ and is φ_t -invariant. With the assumption (2) we obtain (since $s \geq 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi \cdot d\alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell} &\leq \|\mathbf{1}_{t \geq 0} \cdot d\alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{1}_{t \in [i, i+1]} \cdot d\alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\eta i} \|\mathbf{1}_{t \in [0, 1]} \cdot d\alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell} \\ &= \frac{C}{1 - e^{-\eta}} \|\mathbf{1}_{t \in [0, 1]} \cdot d\alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

which is finite since $\mathbf{1}_{t \in [0, 1]} \cdot d\alpha$ has compact support.

It remains to bound from above the L^q -norm of $d\chi \wedge \alpha$. One has $d\chi = \chi'(t)dt$, with χ' supported on $[0, 1]$. Thus

$$\|d\chi \wedge \alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell} \leq \|\chi' \cdot \alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^{\ell-1}} \leq C_1 \|\mathbf{1}_{t \in [0, 1]} \cdot \alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^{\ell-1}},$$

with $C_1 = \|\chi'\|_\infty$. Since $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{1}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ has compact support, the L^q -norm of $d\chi \wedge \alpha$ is finite too. The statement follows.

Step 2. We now compute $\int \omega \wedge d(\chi \cdot \alpha)$. Since $\varphi_t^*(\omega)$ and ω are cohomologous (by Proposition 2.1), one has thanks to Proposition 1.1(2):

$$\begin{aligned} \int \omega \wedge d(\chi \cdot \alpha) &= \int \varphi_t^*(\omega) \wedge d(\chi \cdot \alpha) \\ &= \int \varphi_t^*(\omega) \wedge d\chi \wedge \alpha + \int \varphi_t^*(\omega) \wedge (\chi \cdot d\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since the form $d\chi \wedge \alpha$ belongs to $\Omega_c^\ell(M)$, one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi_t^*(\omega) \wedge d\chi \wedge \alpha = (\pi^*T)(d\chi \wedge \alpha),$$

indeed $\varphi_t^*(\omega)$ tends to π^*T in the sense of currents thanks to assumption (1), Propositions 2.2 and 2.4.

One observes that the map $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}^i(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^i(M)$ can be written as $(\pi^*T)(\beta) = T(j(\beta))$ where $j : \Omega_c^{D-i}(M) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{D-1-i}(N)$ is defined by

$$j(\beta) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} (\iota_\xi \beta)_{(t, \cdot)} dt$$

(we recall that $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$). Since the inner product is an anti-derivation (see *e.g.* [Tu08, Proposition 20.8]) and since $\iota_\xi \alpha = 0$, one has

$$\iota_\xi(d\chi \wedge \alpha) = (\iota_\xi d\chi) \wedge \alpha - d\chi \wedge (\iota_\xi \alpha) = \chi' \cdot \pi^* \theta.$$

Therefore $j(d\chi \wedge \alpha) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \chi'(t) \cdot \theta dt = \theta$, and we obtain

$$(\pi^* T)(d\chi \wedge \alpha) = T(\theta).$$

Step 3. According to the previous paragraph, it remains to prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int \varphi_t^*(\omega) \wedge (\chi \cdot d\alpha) = 0.$$

For $s > 0$, let $\chi_s : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a C^∞ -function depending only on the \mathbf{R} -variable, such that $\chi_s(t) = \chi(t)$ for $t \leq s$ and $\chi_s(t) = 0$ for $t \geq s+1$. Observe that $\chi_s \cdot d\alpha$ is C^∞ with compact support. We claim that:

- For every $s > 0$, one has $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int \varphi_t^*(\omega) \wedge (\chi_s \cdot d\alpha) = 0$,
- $\int \varphi_t^*(\omega) \wedge ((\chi - \chi_s) \cdot d\alpha)$ tends to 0 uniformly in $t > 0$ when $s \rightarrow +\infty$.

As explained above, the claim completes the proof of the theorem. The first item of the claim follows from the same type of argument that we used in Step 2. Note that here we have $\iota_\xi(\chi_s \cdot d\alpha) = \chi_s \cdot \iota_\xi \pi^* \theta = 0$.

To prove the second item, recall from Proposition 2.2 that $\varphi_t^*(\omega)$ converges in $\Psi^{p,k}(M)$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|\varphi_t^*(\omega)\|_{\Psi^{p,k}} \leq M$ for every $t > 0$. Write $\varphi_t^*(\omega) = \beta_t + d\gamma_t$ with $\|\beta_t\|_{L^p\Omega^k} + \|\gamma_t\|_{L^p\Omega^{k-1}} \leq 2M$. Observe that $(\chi - \chi_s) \cdot d\alpha$ belongs to $\Omega^{q,\ell}(M)$. Since M is complete, the space $\Omega_c^\ell(M)$ is dense in $\Omega^{q,\ell}(M)$ (see [GT10, Proof of Lemma 4]). Thus for every $t > 0$, one gets with Hölder:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \varphi_t^*(\omega) \wedge ((\chi - \chi_s) \cdot d\alpha) \right| \\ &= \left| \int \beta_t \wedge ((\chi - \chi_s) \cdot d\alpha) + (-1)^k \int \gamma_t \wedge d(\chi - \chi_s) \wedge d\alpha \right| \\ &\leq 2M \|(\chi - \chi_s) \cdot d\alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell} + 2M \|d(\chi - \chi_s) \wedge d\alpha\|_{L^q\Omega^{\ell+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same type of argument that we used in Step 1, one obtains that the last two norms tend to 0 when $s \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.6. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{p,k}(M) \cap \text{Ker } d$ be such that

$$\int_M \omega \wedge d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta) = 0$$

for every $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$. According to Poincaré duality (Proposition 1.1), it is enough to show that $[w] = 0$ in $L^p\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$. By Propositions 2.2 and 2.4, this is equivalent to $T = 0$, where $T \in D^k(N) \cap \text{Ker } d$ is the k -current so that $\omega_\infty = \pi^*(T)$. From Theorem 2.5 and our assumption, one has for every $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$:

$$T(\theta) = \int_M \omega \wedge d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta) = 0.$$

Thus $T = 0$.

Suppose now that $\theta = d\alpha$ is an exact form, with $\alpha \in \Omega_c^{\ell-2}(N)$. Then by using again Poincaré duality as above, we obtain that the class of $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)$ is null in $L^q\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\text{dR}}^\ell(M)$, since $T(\theta) = dT(\alpha) = 0$ for every $T \in D^k(N) \cap \text{Ker } d$. \square

3. THE LIE GROUP CASE

We consider in this section a connected Lie group $G = \mathbf{R} \rtimes_\delta H$, whose law is $(t, x) \cdot (s, y) = (t + s, xe^{t\delta}(y))$, where $\delta \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a derivation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of the closed subgroup H . **We will always assume that the eigenvalues of δ all have non-positive real parts, and that $\text{trace}(\delta) < 0$.** In particular G is non-unimodular. We set $n := \dim H$ so that $D := \dim G = n + 1$. Equip G with a left-invariant Riemannian metric and with the associated Riemannian measure $d\text{vol}$.

3.1. A strip decomposition. We exhibit some regions of the set of parameters $(p, k) \in (1, +\infty) \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, where the results of the previous sections apply and give some informations on the spaces $L^p\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G)$ — see Theorem 3.2 below. These regions form a kind of a “strip decomposition” of the set of parameters. Examples will be given in Sections 4 and 6.

We start with the following lemma which translates the norm assumptions that appeared repeatedly in the previous sections, into simple inequalities between the exponent p and the eigenvalues of $-\delta$.

Let $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ be the ordered list of the real parts of the eigenvalues of $-\delta$, enumerated with their multiplicities in the generalized eigenspaces. We denote by $w_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ the sum of the k first real part eigenvalues, and by $W_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{n-j}$ the sum of the k last ones. We also set $w_0 = W_0 = 0$.

Note that we always have: $w_{k-1} \leq w_k \leq W_k$ and $w_{k-1} \leq W_{k-1} \leq W_k$, but the comparison between w_k and W_{k-1} is not automatic. This can be seen for instance by considering the example where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1$ and $\lambda_n = a \geq 1$; then for $a > 2$ we have $W_{k-1} > w_k$, for $a = 2$ we have $W_{k-1} = w_k$ and for $a < 2$ we have $W_{k-1} < w_k$.

Let $h = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i > 0$ be the trace of $-\delta$. If $w_k = 0$ (resp. $W_k = 0$), we put $\frac{h}{w_k} := +\infty$ (resp. $\frac{h}{W_k} := +\infty$). One has $w_k + W_{n-k} = h$ for every $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$; therefore $\frac{h}{w_k}$ and $\frac{h}{W_{n-k}}$ are Hölder conjugated (even if w_k or W_{n-k} is 0).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ be the left-invariant vector field on G carried by the \mathbf{R} -factor, and let φ_t be its flow (it is just a translation along the \mathbf{R} -factor). Let $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be such that (p, k) and (q, ℓ) are Poincaré dual. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exist $C, \eta > 0$ such that for $t \geq 0$:*

$$\|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p \Omega^{k-1} \rightarrow L^p \Omega^{k-1}} \leq C e^{-\eta t}.$$

- (2) *There exist $C, \eta > 0$ such that for $t \geq 0$:*

$$\|\varphi_{-t}^*\|_{\text{Ker } \iota_\xi \cap L^q \Omega^\ell \rightarrow L^q \Omega^\ell \cap \text{Ker } \iota_\xi} \leq C e^{-\eta t}.$$

- (3) *We have: $p < \frac{h}{W_{k-1}}$.*

- (4) *We have: $q > \frac{h}{w_\ell}$.*

In particular conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 2.5 are equivalent when $M = G$.

Proof. The equivalences (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) and (2) \Leftrightarrow (4) follow from the same line of arguments as in [BR23, Proof of Proposition 2.1]. To obtain (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) one notices that $\frac{h}{W_{k-1}}$ and $\frac{h}{w_\ell}$ are Hölder conjugated, since $w_{D-k} + W_{k-1} = h$. \square

We can now summarize and specify the results of the previous sections, to obtain the following statement that complements results of Pansu [Pan99, Corollaire 53 and Proposition 57].

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a Lie group as above. Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

- (1) [Vanishing] *If $p < \frac{h}{W_k}$ or $p > \frac{h}{w_{k-1}}$, then $L^p \text{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G) = \{0\}$.*
 (2) [Hausdorff property] *If $\frac{h}{W_k} < p < \frac{h}{W_{k-1}}$, then $L^p \text{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G)$ is Hausdorff and Banach isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(G, \xi)$.*

- (3) [Density] If $\frac{h}{w_k} < p < \frac{h}{w_{k-1}}$, then the classes of the $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)$'s (where $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(H)$) form a dense subspace in $L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G)$.
- (4) [Poincaré duality (on the boundary)] Let (q, ℓ) be the Poincaré dual of (p, k) . Then we have $\frac{h}{W_k} < p < \frac{h}{W_{k-1}}$ if and only if $\frac{h}{w_\ell} < q < \frac{h}{w_{\ell-1}}$, in which case for every $[\omega] \in L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G)$ and every $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] \in L^q\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^\ell(G)$, we have

$$\int_G \omega \wedge d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta) = T(\theta),$$

where T is the closed k -current on H such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_t^*(\omega) = \pi^*(T)$ (as in Propositions 2.2 and 2.4). Moreover, one has:

$$\|[\omega]\|_{L^p\overline{H}^k} = \sup \left\{ T(\theta) : \theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(H), \|[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)]\|_{L^q\overline{H}^\ell} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Item (2) follows from Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 3.1. Item (3) is a consequence of Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 3.1. One deduces Item (4) from Theorem 2.5, Corollary 2.7 and Lemma 3.1.

It remains to prove Item (1). Suppose first that $p < \frac{h}{W_k}$. Since $\frac{h}{W_k} \leq \frac{h}{W_{k-1}}$, Lemma 3.1 implies that $\max_{i=k-1, k} \|\varphi_t^*\|_{L^p\Omega^i \rightarrow L^p\Omega^i} \leq Ce^{-\eta t}$. Thus by Corollary 2.3, one has $L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G) = \{0\}$, and the first part of Item (1) is proved. The second part follows from the first one, in combination with Poincaré duality (Proposition 1.1), and the fact that $L^q\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^{D-k+1}(G)$ is Hausdorff thanks to Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.2. \square

Remark 3.3. In the special case where $H = \mathbf{R}^n$, Pansu [Pan08, Proposition 27] has complemented the picture seen in Theorem 3.2, by showing that the *torsion* in $L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G)$ – i.e. the quotient space $L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G)/L^p\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(G)$ – is non-zero for $\frac{h}{W_{k-1}} < p < \frac{h}{W_k}$ (note that there is no such p for real hyperbolic spaces).

3.2. Norm estimates. We complement the norm expression obtained in Theorem 3.2(4). The following inequalities are not optimal, however they are often sufficient for our purposes.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $q > \frac{h}{w_\ell}$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(H)$, the norm of the class of $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)$ in $L^q\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^\ell(G)$ satisfies

$$\|[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)]\|_{L^q\overline{H}^\ell(G)} \leq C \inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left\{ \|(e^{t\delta})^*\theta\|_{L^q\Omega^{\ell-1}(H)} + \|(e^{t\delta})^*d\theta\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell(H)} \right\}.$$

Proof. Since $q > \frac{h}{w_\ell}$, Lemma 3.1 shows that the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. By analysing Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.5, and by using the homogeneity of G , one sees that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(H)$:

$$(3.5) \quad \|d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)\|_{\Omega^{q,\ell}(G)} \leq C(\|\theta\|_{L^q\Omega^{\ell-1}(H)} + \|d\theta\|_{L^q\Omega^\ell(H)}).$$

The left translation by $(t, 1_H)$, which we denote by $L_{(t,1_H)}$, is an isometry of G . Therefore it acts by isometry on $L^q\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^\ell(G)$. One has:

$$L_{(t,1_H)}^*(d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)) = d(\chi \circ \varphi_t \cdot \pi^*(e^{t\delta})^*\theta) = \varphi_t^*(d(\chi \cdot \pi^*(e^{t\delta})^*\theta)).$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.1, the forms $L_{(t,1_H)}^*(d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta))$ and $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*(e^{t\delta})^*\theta)$ are cohomologous in $L^q\overline{H}_{\text{dR}}^\ell(G)$. So the classes of $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)$ and of $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*(e^{t\delta})^*\theta)$ have equal norm. One obtains the proposition by applying inequality (3.5) to the $(e^{t\delta})^*\theta$'s. \square

Proposition 3.4 provides upper bounds for norms of classes by means of norms of forms. These upper bounds on norms of forms can themselves be obtained thanks to the following lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Let H be a connected Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Riemannian metric, and let \mathfrak{h} be its Lie algebra. Let $\delta \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h})$ be an \mathbf{R} -diagonalizable derivation of \mathfrak{h} . Then for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$ the endomorphism $\delta^* : \Lambda^k\mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^k\mathfrak{h}^*$ is diagonalizable too. Let $\{\omega_I\} \subset \Lambda^k\mathfrak{h}^*$ be a basis of eigenvectors, and denote by $\mu_I \in \mathbf{R}$ the corresponding eigenvalues. By identifying $\Lambda^k\mathfrak{h}^*$ with the space of left-invariant k -forms on H , every $\omega \in \Omega^k(H)$ decomposes uniquely as $\omega = \sum_I f_I \omega_I$, where $f_I \in \Omega^0(H)$. One has*

$$\|(e^\delta)^*\omega\|_{L^p\Omega^k(H)} \asymp_D \sum_I e^{\mu_I - \frac{h}{p}} \|f_I\|_{L^p(H)},$$

where h is the trace of δ , and $D > 0$ is a constant which depends only on p and the choice of $\{\omega_I\}$.

Proof. Since the norms on $\Lambda^k\mathfrak{h}^*$ are all equivalent, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\omega = \sum_I f_I \omega_I \in \Omega^k(H)$ and $g \in H$:

$$|\omega|_g \asymp_C \left(\sum_I |f_I(g)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

On the other hand:

$$(e^\delta)^*\omega = \sum_I (f_I \circ e^\delta) \cdot (e^\delta)^*\omega_I = \sum_I (f_I \circ e^\delta) \cdot e^{\mu_I} \omega_I.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(e^\delta)^*\omega\|_{L^p\Omega^k}^p &= \int_H |e^{\delta^*}\omega|_g^p \, d\text{vol}(g) \\
&\asymp_{C^p} \int_H \sum_I |e^{\mu_I}(f_I \circ e^\delta)(g)|^p \, d\text{vol}(g) \\
&= \int_H \sum_I e^{p\mu_I} |f_I(g)|^p \text{Jac}(e^{-\delta})(g) \, d\text{vol}(g) \\
&= \int_H \sum_I e^{p(\mu_I - \frac{h}{p})} |f_I(g)|^p \, d\text{vol}(g) \\
&= \sum_I e^{p(\mu_I - \frac{h}{p})} \|f_I\|_{L^p}^p,
\end{aligned}$$

since the Jacobian of e^δ is e^h . Thus:

$$\|(e^\delta)^*\omega\|_{L^p\Omega^k} \asymp_C \left(\sum_I e^{p(\mu_I - \frac{h}{p})} \|f_I\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \asymp_D \sum_I e^{\mu_I - \frac{h}{p}} \|f_I\|_{L^p},$$

where D depends only on p and $\{\omega_I\}$. \square

4. REAL HYPERBOLIC SPACES

We collect applications to a first series of concrete examples, namely real hyperbolic spaces. Let $R = \mathbf{R} \rtimes_\delta \mathbf{R}^n$ with $\delta = -\text{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n} \in \text{Der}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then R is a solvable Lie group isometric to the real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}$. Its cohomology admits the following rather simple description, which appears already in [Pan08] (apart from the density statement).

Theorem 4.1. *For every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, one has:*

- (1) $L^p\text{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(R) = \{0\}$ for $1 < p < \frac{n}{k}$ or $p > \frac{n}{k-1}$.
- (2) If $\frac{n}{k} < p < \frac{n}{k-1}$, then $L^p\text{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$ is Hausdorff and Banach isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$. The space $\{\pi^*d\theta \mid \theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$; in particular $L^p\text{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$ is non-zero.

Proof. For $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ one has $w_k = W_k = k$ and $h = n$. Item (1) comes from Theorem 3.2(1). Item (2) is an application of Theorem 3.2(2) and (3), in combination with Proposition 2.2. Indeed we have:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_t^*(d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d((\chi \circ \varphi_t) \cdot \pi^*\theta) = d\pi^*\theta = \pi^*d\theta,$$

in the sense of currents. \square

We also obtain the following norm estimates. Recall from Proposition 2.4 that every $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ can be written as $\psi = \pi^*T$ for some (unique) $T \in \mathcal{D}'^k(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\frac{n}{k} < p < \frac{n}{k-1}$, and (q, ℓ) be the Poincaré dual of (p, k) . There exists some constant $C > 0$ such that the norm of every current $\pi^*(T) \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ satisfies*

$$\|\pi^*T\|_{\Psi^{p,k}(R)} \asymp_C \sup \left\{ T(\theta) : \theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(\mathbf{R}^n), \|\pi^*d\theta\|_{\Psi^{q,\ell}(R)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Moreover for $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the norm of the form $\pi^*d\theta \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ satisfies

$$\|\pi^*d\theta\|_{\Psi^{p,k}(R)} \leq C \inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left\{ e^{-(k-\frac{n}{p})t} \|d\theta\|_{L^p\Omega^k(\mathbf{R}^n)} + e^{(1-k+\frac{n}{p})t} \|\theta\|_{L^p\Omega^{k-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \right\}.$$

Observe that the exponents in the last inequality satisfy: $k - \frac{n}{p} > 0$ and $1 - k + \frac{n}{p} > 0$.

Proof. The spaces $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ and $L^pH_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$ are Banach isomorphic. Thus the form $\pi^*d\theta \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ and the class $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] \in L^pH_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$ have comparable norms. The inequalities follow then from Theorem 3.2(4), Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.6, applied with $H = \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\delta = -t\text{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}$. \square

5. NON-QUASISOMETRIC HIGHER RANK SOLVABLE GROUPS

We prove Theorem A (stated in the Introduction) that exhibits a continuum of rank 2 solvable Lie groups which are pairwise non-quasiisometric. The way we show the latter property is by using L^p -cohomology in degree 2, exploiting the fact that there is a critical exponent phenomenon for p in that degree.

5.1. Reformulation of Theorem A.

Recall from the Introduction that S_α denotes the solvable Lie group $\mathbf{R}^2 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbf{R}^3$, where

$$\alpha : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \{\text{diagonal automorphisms of } \mathbf{R}^3\}$$

is any monomorphism whose image contains the subgroup $\{e^{-t}\text{id}_{\mathbf{R}^3}\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$. Theorem A states that any two such groups are quasi-isometric if and only if they are isomorphic.

We give here a somewhat different presentation of the groups S_α (Proposition 5.1), that is exploited in Theorem 5.2 to exhibit a critical exponent for the second L^p -cohomology. This statement implies Theorem A since L^p -cohomology is a quasi-isometric invariant.

Let V_α be the linear subspace of $\text{Diag}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ such that $\alpha(\mathbf{R}^2) = \exp V_\alpha$. By assumption it contains the matrix $-I_3$. Let $W \subset \text{Diag}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ be the orthogonal subspace to $-I_3$, *i.e.* the set of diagonal matrices of zero-trace. Then, since $\alpha : \mathbf{R}^2$ contains $\{e^{-t} \text{id}_{\mathbf{R}^3}\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$, the vector space V_α meets W along a line, say D_α , and the vector $-I_3$ together with the line D_α generate V_α .

Clearly the subspace V_α determines the group S_α . When conjugating α with a permutation σ of the diagonal entries of $\text{Diag}(\mathbf{R}^3)$, one obtains a group S_β isomorphic to S_α , such that $V_\beta = \sigma(V_\alpha)$ and $D_\beta = \sigma(D_\alpha)$. Therefore, every S_α is isomorphic to an S_β such that D_β is generated by a non-zero matrix $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$, with

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0, \quad \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_2 - \lambda_3,$$

i.e. with $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ lying in a half Weyl chamber of the A_2 -type root system. Such a matrix is a multiple of a unique matrix of the form

$$B_\mu := \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\mu - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(1 + \mu) \end{pmatrix},$$

where μ lies in $[0; \frac{1}{2}]$. Summarizing, we have established:

Proposition 5.1. *Every S_α is isomorphic to a group*

$$S_\mu := \mathbf{R}^2 \rtimes_{\{-I_3, B_\mu\}} \mathbf{R}^3,$$

for some $\mu \in [0; \frac{1}{2}]$.

The main result of the section deals with the second L^p -cohomology of the groups S_μ :

Theorem 5.2. *For every $\mu \in [0; \frac{1}{2}]$, set $p_\mu := 1 - \frac{1}{\mu-1}$. One has $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) = \{0\}$ for $p \in (1; p_\mu) \setminus \{\frac{3}{2}\}$, and $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) \neq \{0\}$ for $p \in (p_\mu; +\infty) \setminus \{3\}$.*

We note that the function $\mu \mapsto p_\mu$ is increasing, hence injective, from $[0; \frac{1}{2}]$ onto $[2; 3]$. Since de Rham L^p -cohomology is a quasi-isometric invariant among Lie groups that are diffeomorphic to \mathbf{R}^n [Pan95] (see also [BR23]), it follows from the above Theorem 5.2 that the groups S_μ are pairwise non-quasiisometric. Therefore Theorem A is now a

consequence of Theorem 5.2 and of Proposition 5.1. The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.2.

5.2. Notation and decompositions. We introduce some notation and objects we will be working with in the sequel of the section.

Consider the following subgroups of S_μ :

$$R := \mathbf{R} \times_{-I_3} \mathbf{R}^3 \quad \text{and} \quad H_\mu := \mathbf{R} \times_{B_\mu} \mathbf{R}^3,$$

so that R is isometric to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^4$. Let \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{h}_μ be their Lie algebras. Let $(0, B_\mu)$ and $(0, -I_3)$ denote the derivations of \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{h}_μ that trivially extend $-I_3$ and B_μ . Then the group S_μ admits two decompositions, namely:

$$S_\mu = \mathbf{R} \times_{(0, B_\mu)} R \quad \text{and} \quad S_\mu = \mathbf{R} \times_{(0, -I_3)} H_\mu.$$

We denote again by ξ the left-invariant vector field on R carried by the \mathbf{R} -factor, and by π the projection map from R onto \mathbf{R}^3 .

The proof of Theorem 5.2 will mainly rely on the decomposition $S_\mu = \mathbf{R} \times_{(0, B_\mu)} R$, in combination with the description of the L^p -cohomology of $R \simeq \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^4$ given in Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.2. We will use the realization of de Rham L^p -cohomology by means of currents, which gives the Banach space isomorphism:

$$L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R) \simeq \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi)$ is the space of closed 2-currents ψ on R , invariant under the flow (φ_t) of ξ and such that $\|\psi\|_{\Psi^{p,2}} < +\infty$. According to Proposition 2.4, every $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(M, \xi)$ can be written $\psi = \pi^*(T)$ for some $T \in \mathcal{D}'^2(\mathbf{R}^3) \cap \text{Ker } d$.

5.3. First observations. We derive from previous results some preliminary observations on $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu)$ whose statements do not depend on the parameter μ . The notations are the same as in the previous subsection.

Proposition 5.3. *One has $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) = \{0\}$ for $p < \frac{3}{2}$.*

Proof. One has $S_\mu = \mathbf{R} \times_{\delta} H_\mu$, with $\delta = (0, -I_3) \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h}_\mu)$. The ordered list of eigenvalues of $-\delta$ enumerated with multiplicity, is

$$\lambda_1 = 0 < \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 1.$$

Thus, with the notations of Section 3, the trace of $-\delta$ is $h = 3$, and one has $W_2 = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = 2$. Therefore the statement follows from Theorem 3.2(1). \square

Proposition 5.4. *For $p \in (\frac{3}{2}; 3)$, the Banach space $\mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi)$ is non-zero, and there exists a linear isomorphism*

$$L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) \simeq \left\{ \pi^* T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi) : \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu} T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)}^p ds < +\infty \right\}.$$

Proof. When $p \in (\frac{3}{2}; 3)$, Theorem 4.1 shows that $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$ is non-zero and Hausdorff, and that $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R) = \{0\}$ in all degrees $k \neq 2$. Since $S_\mu = \mathbf{R} \times_{(0, B_\mu)} R$, the above description of the cohomology of R , in combination with a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence argument (see [BR23, Corollary 6.10]), yields the following linear isomorphism

$$L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) \simeq \left\{ [\omega] \in L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R) : \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|e^{s(0, B_\mu)^*} [\omega]\|_{L^p H^2(R)}^p ds < +\infty \right\}.$$

By Theorem 4.1(2), the Banach spaces $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi)$ are isomorphic. Moreover every $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi)$ can be written $\psi = \pi^*(T)$ for some $T \in \mathcal{D}^2(\mathbf{R}^3) \cap \text{Ker } d$ (see Proposition 2.4). This leads to the desired linear isomorphism. \square

Proposition 5.5. *For $p > 3$, the space $L^p \overline{H_{\text{dR}}^2}(S_\mu)$ is non-zero.*

Proof. Consider again $\lambda_1 = 0 < \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 1$ the list of the eigenvalues of $-\delta = -(0, -I_3) \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h}_\mu)$. The trace of $-\delta$ is $h = 3$, and one has $w_2 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. Since the rank of S_μ is equal to 2, it follows from [BR23, Theorem B and Corollary 3.4] that $L^p \overline{H_{\text{dR}}^2}(S_\mu)$ is non-zero for $p > \frac{h}{w_2} = 3$. \square

5.4. Non-vanishing of the second L^p -cohomology. We wish to establish the non-vanishing part of Theorem 5.2. Thanks to Proposition 5.5, we just need to prove that $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) \neq \{0\}$ for $p \in (p_\mu; 3)$.

Assume $p \in (\frac{3}{2}; 3)$. By Proposition 5.4 there is a linear isomorphism

$$L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) \simeq \left\{ \pi^* T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi) : \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu} T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)}^p ds < +\infty \right\}.$$

Recall from Theorem 4.1(2) that the space $\mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi)$ contains the forms $\pi^* d\theta$, with $\theta \in \Omega_c^1(\mathbf{R}^3)$. Therefore in order to show that $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu)$ is non-zero, it is enough to exhibit a non-zero form $d\theta$ with $\theta \in \Omega_c^1(\mathbf{R}^3)$ and $\|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu} d\theta\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially fast when $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

Let θ be a smooth compactly supported 1-form on \mathbf{R}^3 . From Proposition 4.2, with θ replaced by $e^{sB_\mu^*}\theta$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu^*} d\theta\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} \leq C \inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \{ & e^{-(2-\frac{3}{p})t} \|e^{sB_\mu^*} d\theta\|_{L^p\Omega^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} \\ & + e^{(-1+\frac{3}{p})t} \|e^{sB_\mu^*} \theta\|_{L^p\Omega^1(\mathbf{R}^3)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Write $\theta = f dx + g dy + h dz$, so that $d\theta = F dy \wedge dz + G dx \wedge dz + H dx \wedge dy$. Since the trace of B_μ is zero, Lemma 3.6 applied with $H = \mathbf{R}^3$ and $\delta = B_\mu$ gives the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{sB_\mu^*} d\theta\|_{L^p\Omega^2} &\asymp e^{s(\mu-2)} \|F\|_{L^p} + e^{s(1-2\mu)} \|G\|_{L^p} + e^{s(1+\mu)} \|H\|_{L^p}, \\ \|e^{sB_\mu^*} \theta\|_{L^p\Omega^1} &\asymp e^{s(2-\mu)} \|f\|_{L^p} + e^{s(2\mu-1)} \|g\|_{L^p} + e^{-s(1+\mu)} \|h\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by α_\pm the exponent of the leading term in the asymptotics of $\|e^{sB_\mu^*} d\theta\|$ when $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$, namely $\|e^{sB_\mu^*} d\theta\| \asymp_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{\alpha_\pm s}$; note that α_+ and α_- are opposites of diagonal coefficients of B_μ since $\text{trace}(B_\mu) = 0$. Similarly, we denote by β_\pm the exponent of the leading term in the asymptotics of $\|e^{sB_\mu^*} \theta\|$ when $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$, namely $\|e^{sB_\mu^*} \theta\| \asymp_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{\beta_\pm s}$; note that β_+ and β_- are diagonal coefficients of the matrix B_μ . One has:

Lemma 5.6. *Let $a, b > 0$ be positive real numbers and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$. We assume that $A = A(s) \asymp e^{\alpha s}$ and $B = B(s) \asymp e^{\beta s}$ when $s \rightarrow +\infty$ (resp. when $s \rightarrow -\infty$). Then $\inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \{e^{-at} A + e^{bt} B\} \asymp e^{\frac{a\beta + b\alpha}{a+b}s}$, when $s \rightarrow +\infty$ (resp. when $s \rightarrow -\infty$). In particular, the infimum tends to 0 if and only if we have $(a\beta + b\alpha)s \rightarrow -\infty$, when $s \rightarrow +\infty$ (resp. when $s \rightarrow -\infty$); in which case the speed of convergence to 0 is exponential.*

Proof. Assume first that $A, B > 0$ are fixed and consider the function f defined by $f(t) = e^{-at} A + e^{bt} B$. We have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(t) = +\infty$ so f achieves its minimum at a point t_{\min} such that $f'(t_{\min}) = 0$. Since $f'(t) = -ae^{-at} A + be^{bt} B$, we have $\frac{A}{B} = \frac{b}{a} e^{(a+b)t_{\min}}$ and therefore the minimal value of f is:

$$f(t_{\min}) = B e^{bt_{\min}} \left(\frac{A}{B} e^{-(a+b)t_{\min}} + 1 \right) = B e^{bt_{\min}} \left(\frac{b}{a} + 1 \right).$$

When $A \asymp e^{\alpha s}$ and $B \asymp e^{\beta s}$, we have $e^{(\alpha-\beta)s} \asymp \frac{A}{B} = \frac{b}{a} e^{(a+b)t_{\min}}$. Then $f(t_{\min}) \asymp e^{\beta s} e^{bt_{\min}} \asymp e^{(\beta+b\frac{\alpha-\beta}{a+b})s} = e^{\frac{a\beta+b\alpha}{a+b}s}$. \square

For $p \in (\frac{3}{2}; 3)$, with $a = 2 - \frac{3}{p}$ and $b = -1 + \frac{3}{p}$ in the above lemma, we obtain the following estimate when $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$:

$$\|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu^*} d\theta\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} \lesssim e^{\frac{a\beta_\pm + b\alpha_\pm}{a+b}s} = e^{\{(2p-3)\beta_\pm + (-3+p)\alpha_\pm\} \frac{s}{p}}.$$

This shows that for the condition $\int_{\mathbf{R}} \|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu} T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)}^p ds < +\infty$ to be satisfied by $T = d\theta$, it is sufficient to have:

$$(5.7) \quad (2p-3)\beta_+ + (3-p)\alpha_+ < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (2p-3)\beta_- + (3-p)\alpha_- > 0.$$

To exhibit such a form $d\theta$, we will use the

Lemma 5.8. *There exist forms $\theta = f dx$ and $\Theta = g dy + h dz$ in $\Omega_c^1(\mathbf{R}^3)$, such that $d\theta = d\Theta = G dx \wedge dz + H dx \wedge dy \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let u be an arbitrary non-zero function in $\Omega_c^0(\mathbf{R}^3)$. Its differential is $du = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} dz$. Set $\theta := \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx$ and $\Theta := -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dy - \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} dz$. Since $ddu = 0$, one has $d\theta = d\Theta = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial z} dx \wedge dz - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} dx \wedge dy$. \square

Let $d\theta = d\Theta$ be as in the previous lemma. We have $\alpha_+ = 1 + \mu$ and $\alpha_- = 1 - 2\mu$. Moreover we have $\beta_- = \beta_-(\theta) = 2 - \mu$ and $\beta_+ = \beta_+(\Theta) = 2\mu - 1$. When $s \rightarrow +\infty$, the integrability conditions (5.7) lead to the following condition

$$(2p-3)(2\mu-1) + (3-p)(1+\mu) < 0,$$

hence $p(3\mu-3) + (-3\mu+6) < 0$, amounting to

$$p > \frac{\mu-2}{\mu-1} = 1 - \frac{1}{\mu-1} = p_\mu.$$

When $s \rightarrow -\infty$, they lead to

$$(2p-3)(2-\mu) + (3-p)(1-2\mu) > 0,$$

hence $3p - (3+3\mu) > 0$, amounting to $p > 1 + \mu$. The latter condition is implied by the former one. To sum up, we have shown that $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) \neq \{0\}$ for $p \in (p_\mu; 3)$, as expected.

5.5. Vanishing of the second L^p -cohomology. It remains to prove the vanishing statement in Theorem 5.2. It will be obtained by using a Poincaré duality argument, together with some estimates similar to those from the non-vanishing part.

According to Proposition 5.3, it is enough to consider the case $p \in (\frac{3}{2}; 3)$. We start again from the identification given in Proposition 5.4:

$$L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) \simeq \left\{ \pi^* T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi) : \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu} T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)}^p ds < +\infty \right\}.$$

To show that $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu)$ vanishes, it is enough to prove that every $\pi^* T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi)$ satisfies $\|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu} T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} \rightarrow +\infty$, when $s \rightarrow +\infty$ or when $s \rightarrow -\infty$. Recall from Proposition 4.2, that:

$$\|\pi^* T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} \asymp \sup\{T(\theta) : \theta \in \Omega_c^1(\mathbf{R}^3), \|\pi^* d\theta\|_{\Psi^{q,2}(R)} \leq 1\},$$

where q denotes the Hölder conjugate of p . When replacing π^*T by $\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}T$ with $s \in \mathbf{R}$, a change of variable provides:

$$\|\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} \asymp \sup\{T(\theta) : \theta \in \Omega_c^1(\mathbf{R}^3), \|\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}d\theta\|_{\Psi^{q,2}(R)} \leq 1\}.$$

By Proposition 4.2, with θ replaced by $e^{sB_\mu^*}\theta$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}d\theta\|_{\Psi^{q,2}(R)} &\leq C \inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left\{ e^{-(\frac{3}{p}-1)t} \|e^{sB_\mu^*}d\theta\|_{L^q\Omega^2(\mathbf{R}^3)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{(2-\frac{3}{p})t} \|e^{sB_\mu^*}\theta\|_{L^q\Omega^1(\mathbf{R}^3)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the upper bound, the coefficients in the exponentials in front of the norms come from the identity $2 - \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{p} - 1$ and $1 + \frac{3}{q} = 2 - \frac{3}{p}$.

Using Lemma 5.6 with $a = \frac{3}{p} - 1$ and $b = 2 - \frac{3}{p}$, and keeping the notation α_\pm and β_\pm defined after replacing L^p norms by L^q norms, we obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \|\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}d\theta\|_{\Psi^{q,2}(R)} \lesssim e^{(a\beta_\pm + b\alpha_\pm)s},$$

when $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$. These observations lead to the

Lemma 5.10. *Let $\pi^*T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi)$ and let $T = T_1dy \wedge dz + T_2dx \wedge dz + T_3dx \wedge dy$, where $T_i \in \mathcal{D}^0(\mathbf{R}^3)$, be its writing in the canonical global coordinates of \mathbf{R}^3 .*

- (i) *If $T_1 \neq 0$, then $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \|\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} = +\infty$.*
- (ii) *If $T_3 \neq 0$ and if $p < p_\mu$, then $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \|\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} = +\infty$.*

Proof. (i). Let θ be of the form $\theta = fdx$ with f a smooth compactly supported function such that $T_1(f) = 1$. Then $T(\theta) = T_1(f) = 1$. We have $d\theta = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dx \wedge dy - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dx \wedge dz$. In this case, we have $\alpha_- = 1 - 2\mu$ and $\beta_- = 2 - \mu$. We consider the quantity

$$p(a\beta_- + b\alpha_-) = (3-p)(2-\mu) + (2p-3)(1-2\mu) = 3(-\mu p + 1 + \mu).$$

Since $p \in (\frac{3}{2}; 3)$ and since $\mu \in [0; \frac{1}{2}]$, we deduce that $a\beta_- + b\alpha_- > 0$. Relation (5.9) then implies that $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \|\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}d\theta\|_{\Psi^{q,2}(R)} = 0$, hence that $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \|\pi^*e^{sB_\mu^*}T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} = +\infty$.

(ii). Let θ be of the form $\theta = hdz$ with h a smooth compactly supported function such that $T_3(h) = 1$. Then $T(\theta) = T_3(h) = 1$. We have $d\theta = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} dx \wedge dz + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} dy \wedge dz$. In this case, we have $\alpha_+ = 1 - 2\mu$ and $\beta_+ = -1 - \mu$. We consider the quantity

$$p(a\beta_+ + b\alpha_+) = (3-p)(-1-\mu) + (2p-3)(1-2\mu) = 3p(1-\mu) + 3(\mu-2).$$

For $\mu \in [0; \frac{1}{2}]$ and $p < p_\mu = 1 - \frac{1}{\mu-1}$, we have $a\beta_+ + b\alpha_+ < 0$. Relation (5.9) then implies that $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu} d\theta\|_{\Psi^{q,2}(R)} = 0$, hence that $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \|\pi^* e^{sB_\mu} T\|_{\Psi^{p,2}(R)} = +\infty$. \square

We can now turn to the

Proof of $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu) = \{0\}$ for $p \in (\frac{3}{2}; p_\mu)$. Let $\pi^* T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,2}(R, \xi)$ be in correspondence with a non-zero class in $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S_\mu)$. By Item (i) in the previous lemma, we must have $T_1 = 0$, which implies that both T_2 and T_3 are $\neq 0$ because T is closed. By Item (ii) in the previous lemma, we must have $p \geq p_\mu$. This proves the vanishing by contraposition. \square

6. COMPLEX HYPERBOLIC SPACES

Another family of concrete examples for which a strip decomposition as stated in Theorem 3.2 can be derived, is provided by the so-called complex hyperbolic spaces. Theorem 6.1 below describes the regions where the cohomology vanishes or not. It also states that the cohomology is Hausdorff. The proof of the (non-)vanishing statement relies on Theorem 3.2, in combination with some additional analysis on Heisenberg groups that is developed in Section 6.1. The Hausdorff statement is a deep result due to Pansu [Pan09, Théorème 1]. We refer to his paper for a proof. The section ends with some complementary results (Propositions 6.7 and 6.11) that provide a finer description of the cohomology.

Let $\text{Heis}(2m-1)$ be the Heisenberg group of dimension $2m-1$ ($m \geq 2$), *i.e.* the simply connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra \mathfrak{n} admits

$$X_1, \dots, X_{m-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1}, Z$$

as a basis, and where the only non-trivial relations between the above generators are $[X_i, Y_i] = Z$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Let $R = \mathbf{R} \rtimes_\delta N$ with $N = \text{Heis}(2m-1)$ and $\delta = -\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 2) \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n})$. Then R is a solvable Lie group isometric to the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.

Apart from the density statement and the (non-)vanishing statement in Items (2) and (3) – when $m \geq 3$ –, the following result already appears in [Pan99, Pan09].

Theorem 6.1. *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, 2m-1\}$. One has:*

- (1) $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R) = \{0\}$ for $1 < p < \frac{2m}{k+1}$ or $p > \frac{2m}{k-1}$.

- (2) If $\frac{2m}{k+1} < p < \frac{2m}{k}$, then $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$ is Hausdorff and Banach isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$. Moreover $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R) \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $k \geq m$.
- (3) If $\frac{2m}{k} < p < \frac{2m}{k-1}$, then $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$ is Hausdorff and the classes of the $d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)$'s (where $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$) form a dense subspace. Moreover $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R) \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $k \leq m$.

We notice that the above statement will be complemented in Section 6.2: Proposition 6.7 will describe the zero-elements among the classes $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)]$'s, while Proposition 6.11 will exhibit a natural dense subspace in $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$.

Beginning of proof of Theorem 6.1. For $k \in \{0, \dots, 2m - 2\}$, one has $h = 2m$, $w_k = k$, and $w_{2m-1} = h \geq 2m - 1$. Similarly $W_k = k + 1$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, 2m - 1\}$ and $W_0 = 0 \leq 1$. Item (1) comes from Theorem 3.2(1). The first part of Item (2) follow from Theorem 3.2(2). The Hausdorff statement in Item (3) is a deep theorem of Pansu [Pan09, Théorème 1], in combination with Poincaré duality (Proposition 1.1). The density property follows from Theorem 3.2(3).

It remains to establish the (non-)vanishing parts of Items (2) and (3). It will require more material, and the proof will be completed only at the end of the next section. \square

6.1. Differential forms on the Heisenberg group. We complete the proof of the (non-)vanishing statements in Theorem 6.1. They rely on two lemmata (Lemma 6.3 and 6.5 below). The material is inspired by Rumin's paper [Rum94].

Recall that \mathfrak{n} denotes the Lie algebra of $N = \text{Heis}(2m - 1)$. It decomposes as $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$, where $\mathfrak{n}_1 := \text{Span}(X_1, \dots, X_{m-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1})$ and $\mathfrak{n}_2 := \text{Span}(Z)$ are respectively the eigenspaces of $-\delta$ of eigenvalues 1 and 2.

Let $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, z$ be the coordinates on N induced by the exponential map $\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow N$. Let $\tau := dz - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i)$. We identify \mathfrak{n}^* with the space of the left-invariant 1-forms on N . One has $\mathfrak{n}^* = \mathfrak{n}_1^* \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2^*$, where $\mathfrak{n}_1^* := \text{Span}(dx_1, \dots, dx_{m-1}, dy_1, \dots, dy_{m-1})$ and $\mathfrak{n}_2^* := \text{Span}(\tau)$ are the eigenspaces of $-\delta$ of eigenvalues 1 and 2.

The form $d\tau = - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} dx_i \wedge dy_i$ is a symplectic form when restricted to \mathfrak{n}_1 . Therefore the Lefschetz map

$$(6.2) \quad L_k : \wedge^k \mathfrak{n}_1^* \rightarrow \wedge^{k+2} \mathfrak{n}_1^*, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \wedge d\tau,$$

is injective for $k \leq m - 2$ and surjective for $k \geq m - 2$, see [BBG03, Proposition 1.1].

The weight decomposition $\wedge^k \mathbf{n}^* = \wedge^k \mathbf{n}_1^* \oplus \wedge^{k-1} \mathbf{n}_1^* \wedge \tau$ associated to $-\delta$, yields a decomposition

$$\Omega^k(N) = \Omega_1^k \oplus \Omega_2^k,$$

with $\Omega_2^k = \Omega_1^{k-1} \wedge \tau$. Therefore, every $\theta \in \Omega^k(N)$ decomposes uniquely as

$$\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \wedge \tau, \text{ with } \theta_1 \in \Omega_1^k \text{ and } \theta_2 \in \Omega_1^{k-1}.$$

The form θ_1 is said to be *horizontal* and of *pure weight* k . The form $\theta_2 \wedge \tau$ is said to be *vertical* and of *pure weight* $k + 1$.

When $\frac{2m}{k} < p < \frac{2m}{k-1}$, and according to Theorem 6.1(3), the space $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$ is Hausdorff and admits $\{[d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] : \theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)\}$ as a dense subspace. One has in addition:

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose $k \in \{2, \dots, 2m - 1\}$ and $\frac{2m}{k} < p < \frac{2m}{k-1}$.*

- (1) *Let $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$. If $\theta = \alpha \wedge d\tau + \beta \wedge \tau$, with $\alpha \in \Omega_c^{k-3}(N)$ and $\beta \in \Omega_c^{k-2}(N)$, then $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] = 0$ in $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$.*
- (2) *For $k \geq m + 1$, one has $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. (1). Suppose first that $\theta = \beta \wedge \tau$. Since $p > \frac{2m}{k} \geq \frac{h}{w_k}$, Proposition 3.4 implies that

$$\| [d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] \|_{L^p H^k(R)} \leq C \inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \{ \| (e^{t\delta})^* \theta \|_{L^p \Omega^{k-1}(N)} + \| (e^{t\delta})^* d\theta \|_{L^p \Omega^k(N)} \}.$$

Since θ is of pure weight k , one has $\| (e^{t\delta})^* \theta \|_{L^p \Omega^{k-1}} \asymp e^{(-k + \frac{2m}{p})t}$ by Lemma 3.6. The weight of $d\theta$ is at least k , thus for $t \geq 0$ one has $\| (e^{t\delta})^* d\theta \|_{L^p \Omega^k} \lesssim e^{(-k + \frac{2m}{p})t}$. Therefore $\| [d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] \|_{L^p H^k} \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$, and thus $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] = 0$ in $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$.

Now suppose that $\theta = \alpha \wedge d\tau$. We claim that there exists $\gamma \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$ such that $d\theta = d(\gamma \wedge \tau)$. By Corollary 2.6, this will imply that $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] = [d(\chi \cdot \pi^*(\gamma \wedge \tau))]$; which in turn implies that $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^* \theta)] = 0$ from the previous case. Let $\gamma := -(-1)^{d^\alpha} d\alpha$. One has

$$d(\theta - \gamma \wedge \tau) = d\alpha \wedge d\tau + (-1)^{d^\alpha + 1} (-1)^{d^\alpha} d\alpha \wedge d\tau = 0,$$

and so $d\theta = d(\gamma \wedge \tau)$.

(2). Let $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$. Since the Lefschetz map L_i , defined in (6.2), is surjective for $i \geq m - 2$, one can write the weight decomposition of θ as

$$\theta = \alpha \wedge d\tau + \theta_2 \wedge \tau.$$

Therefore Item (1) implies that $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] = 0$ in $L^p\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$. This in turn implies that $L^p\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(R) = \{0\}$, thanks to the density of the $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)]$'s. \square

The weight decomposition of k -forms can be extended to k -currents. This induces a decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}^k(N) = \mathcal{D}'_1{}^k \oplus \mathcal{D}'_2{}^k,$$

with $\mathcal{D}'_2{}^k = \mathcal{D}'_1{}^{k-1} \wedge \tau$. Concretely, every $T \in \mathcal{D}^k$ can be written uniquely as

$$T = \sum_{|I|=|J|=k} T_{IJ} dx_I \wedge dy_J + \sum_{|K|+|L|=k-1} T_{KL} dx_K \wedge dy_L \wedge \tau,$$

with $T_{IJ}, T_{KL} \in \mathcal{D}^0(N)$. Its weight decomposition is then $T = T_1 + T_2 \wedge \tau$, with

$$T_1 = \sum_{|I|+|J|=k} T_{IJ} dx_I \wedge dy_J \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = \sum_{|K|+|L|=k-1} T_{KL} dx_K \wedge dy_L.$$

The current T_1 is said to be *horizontal*, and $T_2 \wedge \tau$ to be *vertical*. For $\theta \in \Omega_c^{2m-1-k}(N)$, which weight decomposes as $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \wedge \tau$, one shows easily that

$$(6.4) \quad T(\theta) = T_1(\theta_2 \wedge \tau) + T_2(\tau \wedge \theta_1).$$

In Theorem 6.1(2), we have seen that $L^p\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(R)$ is Banach isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$, for every $k \in \{1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ and $\frac{2m}{k+1} < p < \frac{2m}{k}$. Moreover we know from Proposition 2.4 that every $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ can be written as $\psi = \pi^*T$ for some (unique) $T \in \mathcal{D}^k(N)$. One has futhermore:

Lemma 6.5. *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ and $\frac{2m}{k+1} < p < \frac{2m}{k}$.*

- (1) *For every $\pi^*T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ the k -current T is vertical.*
- (2) *Conversely, if $\varphi \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$ is such that $d\varphi$ is vertical, then $\pi^*(d\varphi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$.*
- (3) *We have $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi) \neq \{0\}$ for every $k \in \{m, \dots, 2m-1\}$.*

Proof. (1). Every $T \in \mathcal{D}^{2m-1}(N)$ is vertical, thus we can assume that $k \in \{1, \dots, 2m-2\}$. Let (q, ℓ) be the Poincaré dual of (p, k) relatively to R ; it satisfies $\ell \in \{2, \dots, 2m-1\}$ and $\frac{2m}{\ell} < q < \frac{2m}{\ell-1}$. For every $\pi^*T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ and every vertical $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$, one has $T(\theta) = 0$, thanks to Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 6.3. According to Relation (6.4), this implies that the weight decomposition of T satisfies $T_1 = 0$. Thus T is vertical.

(2). Let $\varphi \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$ be such that $d\varphi$ is vertical. At first, we claim that $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\varphi)$ belongs to $\Omega^{p,k}(R) \cap \text{Ker } d$. One has $d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\varphi) = d\chi \wedge \pi^*\varphi + \chi \cdot \pi^*d\varphi$. Thus

$$\|d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\varphi)\|_{\Omega^{p,k}} = \|d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\varphi)\|_{L^p\Omega^k} \leq \|d\chi \wedge \pi^*\varphi\|_{L^p\Omega^k} + \|\chi \cdot \pi^*d\varphi\|_{L^p\Omega^k}.$$

The form $d\chi \wedge \pi^*\varphi$ is compactly supported, thus it belongs to $L^p\Omega^k(R)$. One has

$$\|\chi \cdot \pi^*d\varphi\|_{L^p\Omega^k(R)}^p \leq \|\mathbf{1}_{t \geq 0} \cdot \pi^*d\varphi\|_{L^p\Omega^k(R)}^p = \int_0^{+\infty} \|(e^{t\delta})^*d\varphi\|_{L^p\Omega^k(N)}^p dt.$$

Since $d\varphi$ is vertical, it is of pure weight $k+1$, and $\|(e^{t\delta})^*d\varphi\|_{L^p\Omega^k(N)} \asymp e^{(-k-1+\frac{2m}{p})t}$ by Lemma 3.6. Since $p > \frac{2m}{k+1}$, the above integral converges and the claim is proved.

One has $\pi^*d\varphi = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_t^*(d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\varphi))$ in the sense of currents; thus $\pi^*(d\varphi) \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ by Proposition 2.2.

(3). It remains to show that there exists $\varphi \in \Omega_c^k(N)$ such that $d\varphi$ is vertical and non-zero. We distinguish the cases $k > m$ and $k = m$.

Suppose $k > m$. Then $\text{Ker}(L : \Lambda^{k-2}\mathfrak{n}_1^* \rightarrow \Lambda^k\mathfrak{n}_1^*)$ is non-zero. Let $\alpha \in \Omega_1^{k-2}$ be non-zero, compactly supported and such that $\alpha \wedge d\tau = 0$. For every $f \in \Omega_c^0(N)$ consider the form $\varphi = \varphi_2 \wedge \tau$, with $\varphi_2 := f \cdot \alpha$. Then $d\varphi$ is vertical. Moreover $d\varphi \neq 0$ for generic f .

Assume now that $k = m$. Then the Lefschetz map $L : \Lambda^{m-2}\mathfrak{n}_1^* \rightarrow \Lambda^m\mathfrak{n}_1^*$ is an isomorphism. Pick any compactly supported $\varphi_1 \in \Omega_1^{m-1}$. Let $\varphi_2 \in \Omega_1^{m-2}$ be the unique solution of the equation:

$$(6.6) \quad (d\varphi_1)_1 = -(-1)^m \varphi_2 \wedge d\tau.$$

and set $\varphi := \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \wedge \tau$. Then $d\varphi$ is vertical. We claim that for generic φ_1 , one has $d\varphi \neq 0$. Indeed suppose that $d\varphi = 0$. Then there exists $\beta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$ so that $\varphi = d\beta$. Thus $\varphi_1 = (d\beta)_1$. Let $S \subset N$ be a complete horizontal submanifold of dimension $m-1$ (e.g. the boundary at infinity of an isometric copy of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^m \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^m$). Since S is horizontal, one has by Stokes' Theorem:

$$\int_S \varphi_1 = \int_S (d\beta)_1 = \int_S d\beta = 0.$$

The claim follows. \square

We can now give the

End of proof of Theorem 6.1. Note that we can use Poincaré duality (Proposition 1.1(2)) since we know at this stage that the cohomology spaces we consider are Hausdorff. The vanishing results in Items (2) and (3) follow from Lemma 6.3 and Poincaré duality. The non-vanishing ones are consequence of Lemma 6.5 and Poincaré duality. \square

6.2. Complement to Theorem 6.1. We establish two results (Propositions 6.7 and 6.11) that complement Theorem 6.1 and that could be useful in the future. The first one will serve partially in Section 7 to study the cohomology of $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})/\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbf{R})$. The objects and notations are the same as in the previous section.

Recall from Theorem 6.1, that for $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\frac{2m}{k} < p < \frac{2m}{k-1}$, the space $L^p\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^k(R)$ is Hausdorff, non-zero, and admits the subspace $\{[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] : \theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)\}$ as a dense subset. The first result of the section describes the classes $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)]$ that are null in $L^p\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^k(R)$:

Proposition 6.7. *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\frac{2m}{k} < p < \frac{2m}{k-1}$. For every $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$, the following holds:*

- (1) *When $k < m$, the class $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)]$ is null in $L^p\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^k(R)$ if and only if $(d\theta)_1 = \gamma \wedge d\tau$, for some horizontal form $\gamma \in \Omega_c^{k-2}(N)$.*
- (2) *When $k = m$, the class $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)]$ is null in $L^p\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^k(R)$ if and only if*

$$d\left(\theta - \mathcal{L}((d\theta)_1) \wedge \tau\right) = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{L} : \Omega_1^m \rightarrow \Omega_1^{m-2}$ denotes the pointwise operator induced by the inverse of the Lefschetz isomorphism $L_{m-2} : \wedge^{m-2}\mathfrak{n}_1^* \rightarrow \wedge^m\mathfrak{n}_1^*$.

Proof. (1). Let $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$ and suppose that $d\theta$ can be written $d\theta = \gamma \wedge d\tau + \delta \wedge \tau$, with γ and δ horizontal. When $k = 1$, such a relation is impossible unless $\theta = 0$. Namely the differential of a non-zero compactly supported function has always a non-zero horizontal component. Let assume $k \geq 2$. We claim that there exists a horizontal form $\beta \in \Omega_c^{k-2}(N)$, such that $d\theta = d(\beta \wedge \tau)$. In combination with Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 6.3, this yields that $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] = 0$.

Since β, γ and δ are horizontal forms, the equation $d\theta = d(\beta \wedge \tau)$ is equivalent to the following system of two equations

$$\gamma \wedge d\tau = (-1)^k \beta \wedge d\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \wedge \tau = d\beta \wedge \tau.$$

Set $\beta := (-1)^k \gamma$. Then the first equation is satisfied. Moreover one has $d\beta \wedge \tau = (-1)^k d\gamma \wedge \tau = (-1)^k (d\gamma)_1 \wedge \tau$. Thus the second equation

is satisfied if the relation $\delta = (-1)^k(d\gamma)_1$ holds. Since $dd\theta = 0$, one has $d\gamma \wedge d\tau + d\delta \wedge \tau - (-1)^k\delta \wedge d\tau = 0$. This implies that $((d\gamma)_1 - (-1)^k\delta) \wedge d\tau = 0$, which in turn implies that $(d\gamma)_1 - (-1)^k\delta = 0$ since the Lefschetz map L_{k-1} is injective (recall that $k < m$ by assumption). Therefore the second equation is satisfied and the claim is proved.

Conversely, let $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$ be such that $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] = 0$. Denote by (q, ℓ) the Poincaré dual of (p, k) relatively to R . One has $\frac{2m}{\ell+1} < q < \frac{2m}{\ell}$ and $\ell > m$. In particular $L_{\ell-2}$ is not injective, and therefore the following subspace is non-trivial

$$\Gamma = \{\alpha \in \Omega_c^{\ell-2}(N) : \alpha \text{ is horizontal and } \alpha \wedge d\tau = 0\}.$$

Pick $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and consider the form $\varphi = \alpha \wedge \tau \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$. One has $d\varphi = d\alpha \wedge \tau + (-1)^\ell \alpha \wedge d\tau = d\alpha \wedge \tau$. Thus $d\varphi$ is vertical, and so by Lemma 6.5(2) the form $\pi^*(d\varphi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{Z}^{q,\ell}(R, \xi)$. Our assumption $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] = 0$, in combination with Theorem 3.2(4), implies that $\int_N d\varphi \wedge \theta = 0$. With Stokes' formula and the definition of φ , it comes that $\int_N \alpha \wedge \tau \wedge d\theta = 0$, *i.e.* $\int_N \alpha \wedge \tau \wedge (d\theta)_1 = 0$. So far we have established that every $\theta \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$ such that $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] = 0$ satisfies the following property:

$$(6.8) \quad \int_N \alpha \wedge \tau \wedge (d\theta)_1 = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

When $k \geq 2$, we will show that Property (6.8) implies that $(d\theta)_1$ can be written $\gamma \wedge d\tau$. When $k = 1$, we will prove that (6.8) implies $(d\theta)_1 = 0$.

Suppose first that $k = 1$. One has $\ell = 2m - 1$, $L_{\ell-2} = 0$ and $\Gamma = \Omega_1^{\ell-2} \cap \Omega_c^{\ell-2}(N)$. Thus Property (6.8) yields that $\int_N \omega \wedge \tau \wedge (d\theta)_1 = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega_c^{\ell-2}(N)$. This in turn implies that $\tau \wedge (d\theta)_1 = 0$, *i.e.* $(d\theta)_1 = 0$.

Suppose now that $k \geq 2$. We will use the following lemma:

Lemma 6.9. *Let $b : \wedge^{\ell-2}\mathbf{n}_1^* \times \wedge^k\mathbf{n}_1^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, be the non-degenerated bilinear form defined by $b(u, v) = u \wedge v$. Relatively to b , one has $(\text{Ker } L_{\ell-2})^\perp = \text{Im } L_{k-2}$.*

Proof of Lemma 6.9. Since b is non-degenerated, the statement is equivalent to $(\text{Im } L_{k-2})^\perp = \text{Ker } L_{\ell-2}$. Let $u \in \wedge^{\ell-2}\mathbf{n}_1^*$. It belongs to $(\text{Im } L_{k-2})^\perp$ if and only if $u \wedge v \wedge d\tau = 0$ for all $v \in \wedge^{k-2}\mathbf{n}_1^*$. This is equivalent to $u \wedge d\tau = 0$, *i.e.* to $u \in \text{Ker } L_{\ell-2}$. \square

Lemma 6.9 allows one to complete the proof of Item (1) as follows. By definition, Γ is the space of compactly supported smooth sections of

the left-invariant vector bundle over N generated by $\text{Ker } L_{\ell-2}$. Property (6.8) can be interpreted as saying that $(d\theta)_1$ is a smooth section of the left-invariant vector bundle generated by $(\text{Ker } L_{\ell-2})^\perp$. By Lemma 6.9, this is equivalent to $(d\theta)_1 = \gamma \wedge d\tau$ for some horizontal form $\gamma \in \Omega_c^{k-2}(N)$.

(2). Suppose that $k = m$, and let (q, m) be the Poincaré dual of (p, m) relatively to R . One has $\frac{2m}{m+1} < q < \frac{2m}{m} = 2$. Let $\theta \in \Omega_c^{m-1}(N)$. According to Theorem 3.2 and Poincaré duality (Proposition 1.1), the class $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)]$ vanishes in $L^p\text{H}_{\text{dR}}^m(R)$ if and only if $T(\theta) = 0$ for all $T \in \mathcal{D}^m(N)$ such that $\pi^*T \in \mathcal{Z}^{q,m}(R, \xi)$.

Since L_{m-2} is an isomorphism, there exist unique horizontal forms $\alpha \in \Omega_c^{m-2}(N)$ and $\beta \in \Omega_c^{m-1}(N)$, such that $d\theta$ weight decomposes as $d\theta = \alpha \wedge d\tau + \beta \wedge \tau$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{D}^m(N)$ be such that $\pi^*T \in \mathcal{Z}^{q,m}(R, \xi)$. Since N is contractible and T is closed, it admits a primitive, say $S \in \mathcal{D}^{m-1}(N)$. Then $T(\theta)$ admits the following expression:

Lemma 6.10. *With the notations above, one has*

$$T(\theta) = (-1)^m S_1 \left((\beta - (-1)^m d\alpha) \wedge \tau \right).$$

Moreover:

$$(\beta - (-1)^m d\alpha) \wedge \tau = d \left(\theta - (-1)^m \mathcal{L}((d\theta)_1) \wedge \tau \right).$$

Assume for a moment that the lemma holds. Then the “if” part of item (2) follows immediately. To establish the “only if” part, we apply the lemma with some explicit currents T . Let $\varphi_1 \in \Omega_c^{m-1}(N)$ be an arbitrary horizontal form, let $\varphi_2 \in \Omega_c^{m-2}(N)$ be the horizontal form uniquely determined by the equation $(d\varphi_1)_1 = -(-1)^m \varphi_2 \wedge d\tau$. Set $\varphi := \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \wedge \tau$. Then an easy computation shows that $d\varphi$ is vertical. Thus, by Lemma 6.5(2), the current $\pi^*(d\varphi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{Z}^{q,m}(R, \xi)$. Lemma 6.10 applied to $T = d\varphi$, yields that

$$\int_N \varphi_1 \wedge (\beta - (-1)^m d\alpha) \wedge \tau = 0,$$

for every horizontal $\varphi_1 \in \Omega_c^{m-1}(N)$. Therefore $(\beta - (-1)^m d\alpha) \wedge \tau = 0$, and the second part of the lemma completes the proof of item (2). \square

It remains to give the

Proof of Lemma 6.10. Since S is a primitive of T , we have $T(\theta) = dS(\theta) = (-1)^m S(d\theta)$. From Relation (6.4) and the expression $d\theta = \alpha \wedge d\tau + \beta \wedge \tau$, it comes that $S(d\theta) = S_1(\beta \wedge \tau) + S_2(\tau \wedge \alpha \wedge d\tau)$. By Lemma 6.5(1) the current T is vertical. This means (by a simple computation) that $(dS_1)_1 = -(-1)^m S_2 \wedge d\tau$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\tau \wedge \alpha \wedge d\tau) &= (-1)^m S_2(d\tau \wedge \alpha \wedge \tau) = -(dS_1)_1(\alpha \wedge \tau) \\ &= -dS_1(\alpha \wedge \tau) = -(-1)^m S_1(d(\alpha \wedge \tau)) \\ &= S_1(-(-1)^m d\alpha \wedge \tau). \end{aligned}$$

The expected formula for $T(\theta)$ follows. To establish the second formula, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\theta - (-1)^m \mathcal{L}((d\theta)_1) \wedge \tau\right) &= d(\theta - (-1)^m \alpha \wedge \tau) \\ &= \alpha \wedge d\tau + \beta \wedge \tau - (-1)^m d\alpha \wedge \tau - \alpha \wedge d\tau \\ &= (\beta - (-1)^m d\alpha) \wedge \tau. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

The second result of the section deals with the Banach space $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$ for $k \in \{m, 2m-1\}$ and $\frac{2m}{k+1} < p < \frac{2m}{k}$. According to Lemma 6.5(2), the forms $\pi^*(d\varphi)$, where $\varphi \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N)$ and $d\varphi$ is vertical, belong to $\mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)$. A natural problem is to determine whether they form a dense subspace. For the norm topology, we do not know, but for the current topology this is indeed the case:

Proposition 6.11. *Let $k \in \{m, \dots, 2m-1\}$ and $\frac{2m}{k+1} < p < \frac{2m}{k}$. The set $\{d\varphi : \varphi \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N), d\varphi \text{ is vertical}\}$ is a dense subspace in the sense of currents in $\{T \in \mathcal{D}^k(N) : \pi^*T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)\}$.*

Proof. Set $F := \{d\varphi : \varphi \in \Omega_c^{k-1}(N), d\varphi \text{ is vertical}\}$ and $E := \{T \in \mathcal{D}^k(N) : \pi^*T \in \mathcal{Z}^{p,k}(R, \xi)\}$ for simplicity. Let (q, ℓ) be the Poincaré dual of (p, k) relatively to R . The topology on E , which is induced by the weak*-topology of $\mathcal{D}^k(N)$, is generated by the linear forms $\Lambda_\theta : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\Lambda_\theta(T) = T(\theta)$, where θ belongs to $\Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$. We shall let E_{top} denote E equipped with this topology.

According to the Hahn-Banach Theorem, showing that F is dense in E_{top} , is equivalent to proving the triviality of every $\Lambda \in E_{\text{top}}^*$ such that $\Lambda(F) = \{0\}$.

Since every element of E_{top}^* is a Λ_θ for some $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$ (see [Ru74, Theorem 3.10]), we are led to showing the following: if $\theta \in \Omega_c^{\ell-1}(N)$ satisfies $\int_N d\varphi \wedge \theta = 0$ for every $d\varphi \in F$, then $\Lambda_\theta = 0$.

By analysing the “only if” parts of the proof of the previous Proposition 6.7, one sees that the θ 's such that $\int_N d\varphi \wedge \theta = 0$ for every $d\varphi \in F$, are precisely those for which $[d(\chi \cdot \pi^*\theta)] = 0$ in $L^q H_{\text{dR}}^\ell(R)$. Therefore they satisfy $\Lambda_\theta = 0$, thanks to Theorem 3.2(4). \square

7. THE SYMMETRIC SPACE $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})/\text{SO}_3(\mathbf{R})$

We prove Theorem B (stated in the Introduction) which describes the second L^p -cohomology of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})/\text{SO}_3(\mathbf{R})$. The strategy is similar to the one conducted in Section 5 to study the second L^p -cohomology of the groups S_μ . It highly relies on the description of the cohomology of the complex hyperbolic plane discussed in Section 6.

7.1. Notation and decomposition of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$. At first we introduce the various subgroups of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$ we will be working with in the sequel.

The relevant Iwasawa decomposition here is $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R}) = KAN$, with $K = \text{SO}_3(\mathbf{R})$, $A = \text{Diag}(\mathbf{R}^3) \cap \text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$ and

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbf{R} \right\} \simeq \text{Heis}(3).$$

Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{n} be the Lie algebras of A and N respectively. Every element of \mathfrak{n} can be naturally denoted by a triple $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{a}$ be defined by $\xi = \text{diag}(-1, 0, 1)$ and $\eta = \frac{1}{3}\text{diag}(1, -2, 1)$. They act on \mathfrak{n} by:

$$(7.1) \quad \text{ad}\xi \cdot (x, y, z) = (-x, -y, -2z) \text{ and } \text{ad}\eta \cdot (x, y, z) = (x, -y, 0).$$

We consider the following subgroups of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$:

$$R := \{e^{t\xi}\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \rtimes N \simeq \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{C}}^2, \quad H := \{e^{s\eta}\}_{s \in \mathbf{R}} \rtimes N \quad \text{and}$$

$$S := A \rtimes N = \{e^{s\eta}\}_{s \in \mathbf{R}} \rtimes R = \{e^{t\xi}\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \rtimes H.$$

The Lie group S is isometric to the symmetric space $\text{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})/\text{SO}_3(\mathbf{R})$.

7.2. First observations. We derive from previous results some preliminary observations on $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S)$. The notations are the same as in the previous section.

Proposition 7.2. *One has $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S) = \{0\}$ for $p < \frac{4}{3}$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{h} denotes the Lie algebra of H . Set $\delta := \text{ad}\xi|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h})$, so that S can be written $S = \mathbf{R} \rtimes_{\delta} H$. The ordered list of eigenvalues of $-\delta$ enumerated with multiplicity, is

$$\lambda_1 = 0 < \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1 < \lambda_4 = 2.$$

Thus, with the notations of Section 3, the trace of $-\delta$ is $h = 4$, and one has $W_2 = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = 3$. Therefore the statement follows from Theorem 3.2(1). \square

Proposition 7.3. *For $p \in (\frac{4}{3}; 4) \setminus \{2\}$, the space $L^p\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$ is non-zero and Hausdorff, and there exists a linear isomorphism*

$$L^p\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^2(S) \simeq \left\{ [\omega] \in L^p\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^2(R) : \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\omega]\|_{L^p\mathbb{H}^2(R)}^p ds < +\infty \right\}.$$

Proof. When $p \in (\frac{4}{3}; 4) \setminus \{2\}$, Theorem 6.1 shows that $L^p\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$ is non-zero and Hausdorff, and that $L^p\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(R) = \{0\}$ in all degrees $k \neq 2$. Since $S = \{e^{s\eta}\}_{s \in \mathbf{R}} \rtimes R$, the above description of the cohomology of R , in combination with a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence argument (see [BR23, Corollary 6.10]), yields the desired linear isomorphism. \square

Proposition 7.4. *For $p > 4$, the space $L^p\overline{\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^2}(S)$ is non-zero.*

Proof. Consider again $\lambda_1 = 0 < \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1 < \lambda_4 = 2$ the list of the eigenvalues of $-\delta = -\text{ad}\xi|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h})$. The trace of $-\delta$ is $h = 4$, and one has $w_2 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. Since the rank of S is equal to 2, it follows from [BR23, Theorem C and Corollary 3.4] that $L^p\overline{\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^2}(S)$ is non-zero for $p > \frac{h}{w_2} = 4$. \square

7.3. Auxiliary results on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{C}}^2$. This section is devoted to auxiliary results (Lemmata 7.5 and 7.6) that will serve in the next section to prove Theorem B.

Recall that $R = \{e^{t\xi}\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \rtimes N \simeq \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{C}}^2$. Let π_N be the projection map from R onto N .

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose that $p \in (2; 4)$ and let $\theta \in \Omega_{\mathbf{C}}^1(N) \setminus \{0\}$ be of the form $\theta = fdx$ or gdy . Then:*

- (1) *The class $[d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^*\theta)]$ is non-zero in $L^p\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$.*
- (2) *If $\theta = fdx$ (resp. gdy), one has*

$$\| [d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^*e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}\theta)] \|_{L^p\mathbb{H}^2(R)} \rightarrow 0$$

exponentially fast, when s tends to $-\infty$ (resp. $+\infty$).

Proof. (1). We apply the criteria in Proposition 6.7(2). Suppose first that $\theta = f dx$. With the notations of Sections 6.1 and 6.2, one has $d\theta = (Y \cdot f) dy \wedge dx + (Z \cdot f) \tau \wedge dx$. Since $d\tau = -dx \wedge dy$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\theta - \mathcal{L}((d\theta)_1)\tau\right) &= d(\theta - (Y \cdot f)\tau) \\ &= -(Z \cdot f + X \cdot Y \cdot f)dx \wedge \tau - (Y^2 \cdot f)dy \wedge \tau. \end{aligned}$$

The term $Y^2 \cdot f$ is non-zero since the function f is non-zero and has compact support. Therefore $d(\theta - \mathcal{L}((d\theta)_1)\tau)$ is non-zero, and the statement follows from Proposition 6.7(2). The case $\theta = g dy$ is similar.

(2). Suppose that $\theta = f dx$. For $(s, t) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, one has

$$e^{tad\xi^*}(e^{sad\eta^*}\theta) = (e^{tad\xi^*+sad\eta^*})^*\theta \text{ and } e^{tad\xi^*}(de^{sad\eta^*}\theta) = (e^{tad\xi^*+sad\eta^*})^*d\theta.$$

By Lemma 3.6 and relation (7.1), their L^p -norms satisfy

$$\|(e^{tad\xi^*+sad\eta^*})^*\theta\|_{L^p\Omega^1(N)} \asymp e^{(\frac{4}{p}-1)t+s}\|f\|_p,$$

$$\text{and } \|(e^{tad\xi^*+sad\eta^*})^*d\theta\|_{L^p\Omega^2(N)} \asymp e^{-(2-\frac{4}{p})t}\|Y \cdot f\|_p + e^{-(3-\frac{4}{p})t+s}\|Z \cdot f\|_p.$$

Set $a = \frac{4}{p} - 1$, $b = 2 - \frac{4}{p}$ and $c = 3 - \frac{4}{p}$. One has $a, b, c > 0$ since $2 < p < 4$. From Proposition 3.4, it follows that

$$\| [d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^* e^{sad\eta^*}\theta)] \|_{L^p H^2(R)} \leq C \inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \{ (e^{at} + e^{-ct})e^s + e^{-bt} \}.$$

Suppose that $s \rightarrow -\infty$, and set $t = -\frac{s}{2a}$. Then

$$(e^{at} + e^{-ct})e^s + e^{-bt} = e^{\frac{s}{2}} + e^{(\frac{c}{2a}+1)s} + e^{\frac{b}{2a}s},$$

which tends to 0 exponentially fast. The case $\theta = g dy$ is similar. \square

Lemma 7.6. *Suppose that $p \in (2; 4)$. There exist non-zero forms $\theta = f dx$ and $\Theta = g dy$ in $\Omega_c^1(N)$, such that $[d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^*\theta)] = [d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^*\Theta)]$ in $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$.*

Proof. Let u be an arbitrarily non-zero function in $\Omega_c^0(N)$. Its differential is $(X \cdot u)dx + (Y \cdot u)dy + (Z \cdot u)\tau$. Set $f := X \cdot u$, $g := -Y \cdot u$, $h := -Z \cdot u$, and let $\theta = f dx$ and $\Theta = g dy$. By Proposition 6.7(2), one has $[d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^*\theta)] = [d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^*\Theta)]$ in $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$. Indeed:

$$d(\theta - \Theta) = d(h\tau) = dh \wedge \tau + h d\tau,$$

thus $\mathcal{L}((\theta - \Theta)_1) = h$, and we get that $d(\theta - \Theta - \mathcal{L}((\theta - \Theta)_1)\tau) = 0$. \square

7.4. Proof of Theorem B. Thanks to Propositions 7.2 and 7.4, we can restrict ourselves to the region $p \in (\frac{4}{3}; 4)$. In this region, Proposition 7.3 shows that there is a linear isomorphism

$$(7.7) \quad L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S) \simeq \left\{ [\omega] \in L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R) : \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\omega]\|_{L^p H^2(R)}^p ds < \infty \right\}.$$

We will use this representation to prove the theorem.

Step 1. $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S) = \{0\}$ when $p \in (\frac{4}{3}; 2)$.

Let $p \in (\frac{4}{3}, 2)$ and let $(q, 2)$ be the Poincaré dual of $(p, 2)$ relatively to R . One has $q \in (2; 4)$. According to the relation (7.7), it is enough to show that for every non-trivial $[\omega]$, one has $\|e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\omega]\|_{L^p H^2(R)} \rightarrow +\infty$, either when s tends to $+\infty$ or to $-\infty$.

So let $[\omega]$ be a non-trivial class in $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$. By Theorem 3.2(4), it admits a boundary value $T \in \mathcal{D}'^2(N) \cap \text{Ker } d$, so that

$$\|[\omega]\|_{L^p H^2(R)} = \sup \left\{ T(\theta) : \theta \in \Omega_c^1(N), \| [d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^* \theta)] \|_{L^q H^2(R)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In the group R , right multiplication by $\exp t\xi$ commutes with conjugacy by $\exp s\eta$. Therefore the boundary value of the class $e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\omega]$ is the current $e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}T$. With a change of variable, one gets

$$\|e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\omega]\|_{L^p H^2(R)} = \sup \left\{ T(\theta) : \theta \in \Omega_c^1(N), \text{ with} \right. \\ \left. \| [d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^* e^{\text{sad}\eta^*} \theta)] \|_{L^q H^2(R)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By Lemma 6.5, the current T is vertical, thus it can be written as $T = Fdy \wedge \tau + Gdx \wedge \tau$, with $F, G \in \mathcal{D}'^0(N)$. If $F \neq 0$ (resp. $G \neq 0$), then for $\theta = fdx \in \Omega_c^1(N)$ (resp. $\theta = gdy$), one has $T(\theta) = F(\text{fvol})$ (resp. $-G(\text{gvol})$). In any case, there exists $\theta \in \Omega_c^1(N)$, of the form fdx or gdy , such that $T(\theta) = 1$. The above equality in combination with Lemma 7.5(2), yields that

$$\|e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\omega]\|_{L^p H^2(R)} \geq \| [d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^* e^{\text{sad}\eta^*} \theta)] \|_{L^q H^2(R)}^{-1} \rightarrow +\infty,$$

either when s tends to $+\infty$ or to $-\infty$.

Step 2. $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(S) \neq \{0\}$ when $p \in (2; 4)$.

Let $p \in (2; 4)$. We will exhibit some non-trivial element in the right-hand side of (7.7).

Let $\theta = fdx$ and $\Theta = gdy$ be as in Lemma 7.6. Set $\omega := d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^* \theta)$ and $\Omega := d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^* \Theta)$. By Lemmata 7.6 and 7.5(1), their classes $[\omega]$ and $[\Omega]$ are equal and non-zero in $L^p H_{\text{dR}}^2(R)$.

Since ξ and η commute, one has $e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}\omega = d(\chi \cdot \pi_N^* e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}\theta)$. Thus by Lemma 7.5(2), the norm $\|e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\omega]\|_{L^p H^2(R)}$ tends to 0 exponentially fast when s tends to $-\infty$, and similarly for $\|e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\Omega]\|_{L^p H^2(S)}$ when s tends to $+\infty$. Since $[\omega] = [\Omega]$, the integral $\int_{\mathbf{R}} \|e^{\text{sad}\eta^*}[\omega]\|_{L^p H^2(R)}^p ds$ converges. Thus $[\omega]$ provides a non-trivial element in the right-hand side of (7.7).

REFERENCES

- [AW76] R. Azencott, E.N. Wilson: Homogeneous manifolds with negative curvature. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 215:323–362, 1976.
- [BBG03] R. Bryant, P. Griffiths and D. Grossman: Exterior Differential Systems and Euler-Lagrange Partial Differential Equations. Chicago Lecture Notes in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, 2003.
- [Bor85] A. Borel: The L^2 -cohomology of negatively curved Riemannian symmetric spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 10:95–105, 1985.
- [BR20] M. Bourdon and B. Rémy: Quasi-isometric invariance of continuous group L^p -cohomology, and first applications to vanishings. *Annales Henri Lebesgue*, 3:1291–1326, 2020.
- [BR23] ———: Non-vanishing for group L^p -cohomology of solvable and semisimple Lie groups. *Journal de l'École polytechnique, Mathématiques*, 10:771–814, 2023.
- [CT11] Y. Cornulier and R. Tessera: Contracting automorphisms and L^p -cohomology in degree one. *Ark. Mat.*, 49(2):295–324, 2011.
- [DS05] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony: Introduction to the theory of currents, 2005. Available at: <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~tien-cuong.dinh/Cours2005/Master/cours.pdf>
- [EFW07] A. Eskin, D. Fisher and K. Whyte: Quasi-isometries and rigidity of solvable groups. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly*, Volume 3, Number 4: 927-947, 2007.
- [Gen14] L. Genton: *Scaled Alexander-Spanier Cohomology and $L^{q,p}$ Cohomology for Metric Spaces*. These no 6330. EPFL, Lausanne, 2014.
- [GT10] V. Gol'dshtein and M. Troyanov: A short proof of the Hölder-Poincaré duality for L_p -cohomology. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 124:179–184, 2010.
- [Gro93] M. Gromov: Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)*, volume 182 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–295. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [LN23] A. López Neumann: *Sur la géométrie à grande échelle des groupes semi-simples : annulation et non annulation de la cohomologie L^p des groupes archimédiens et non archimédiens*. Thesis, Ecole Polytechnique, 2023.
- [Pan95] P. Pansu: Cohomologie L^p : invariance sous quasiisométrie. Preprint 1995.
- [Pan99] ———: Cohomologie L^p , espaces homogènes et pincement. Preprint 1999.

- [Pan07] ———: Cohomologie L^p en degré 1 des espaces homogènes. *Potential Anal.*, 27:151–165, 2007.
- [Pan08] ———: Cohomologie L^p et pincement. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 83(2):327–357, 2008.
- [Pan09] ———: Pincement du plan hyperbolique complexe. Preprint 2009.
- [Ru74] W. Rudin: *Functional Analysis*. Tata McGraw-Hill 1974.
- [Rum94] M. Rumin: Formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact. *J. Differential Geom.*, 39(2):281–330, 1994.
- [SS18] R. Sauer and M. Schrödl: Vanishing of ℓ^2 -Betti numbers of locally compact groups as an invariant of coarse equivalence. *Fund. Math.*, 243(3):301–311, 2018.
- [Seq20] E. Sequeira: *Relative L^p and Orlicz cohomology and applications to Heintze groups*. Thesis, Universidad de la República Uruguay and Université de Lille, 2020.
- [Tu08] L.W. Tu: *An introduction to manifolds*. Springer, 2008. Universitext.
- [Xie14] X. Xie: Large scale geometry of negatively curved $\mathbf{R}^n \rtimes \mathbf{R}$. *Geom. Topol.*, 18(2):831–872, 2014.

Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, UMR 8524 CNRS / Université de Lille, Cité Scientifique, Bât. M2, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France.

E-mail: `marc.bourdon@univ-lille.fr`.

Unité de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, UMR 5669 CNRS / École normale supérieure de Lyon, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France

E-mail: `bertrand.remy@ens-lyon.fr`.