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#### Abstract

This article gives a formula for associated Stirling numbers of the second kind based on the moment of a sum of independent random variables having a beta distribution. From this formula we deduce, using probabilistic approaches, lower and upper bounds for these numbers.
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## 1. Introduction

Classical Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(p, m)$ counts the number of all partitions of $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ into $m$ nonempty subsets, for $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. More generally, the $r-\operatorname{associated} \operatorname{Stirling}$ number $S_{r}(p, m)$, with $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, is the number of all partitions of $\{1, \ldots, p\}$, into $m$ subsets where each subset contains at least $r$ elements [3, p. 221]. Obviously $S(p, m)=S_{1}(p, m)$.

There are well-known connections between Stirling numbers of the second kind and probability theory. For example, sequences $S_{1}(p, m)$ and $S_{2}(p, m)$ are asymptotically normal when $p$ tends to $+\infty$ [4, 7]. More precisely, when $r \in\{1,2\}$, the following convergence in distribution occurs

$$
\frac{Y_{p}-\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{p}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(Y_{p}\right)}} \underset{p \rightarrow+\infty}{\stackrel{d}{\rightarrow}} \mathcal{N}(0,1) \text { where } \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{p}=m\right)=\frac{S_{r}(p, m)}{\sum_{k=1}^{p} S_{r}(p, k)}, \text { for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} .
$$

Otherwise, according to Dobiński's formula, the moment of order $p$ of a Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda \geq 0$ is $\sum_{m=1}^{p} S_{1}(p, m) \lambda^{m}$ (see, e.g. [3, p. 211]). However, to our knowledge, there is no close formula in the literature for $S_{r}(k, m)$ based on moments of a sum of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) random variables. The main result in this article is Theorem 2.1 providing the following new identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}(p, m)=\frac{p!}{m!(r!)^{m}(p-r m)!} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}\right)^{p-r m}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p}$ are i.i.d random variables having beta distribution with parameter $(1, r)$. Note that a beta distribution with parameter $(1,1)$ is a uniform distribution on $[0,1]$. Thus, when $r=1$, the above formula is quite simple:

$$
S_{1}(p, m)=\binom{p}{m} \mathbb{E}\left(Z^{p-m}\right), \text { where } Z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} \text { has the Irwin-Hall distribution on }[0, m]
$$

Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 give upper and lower bounds for $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}\right)^{p-r m}\right]$. These bounds are sharp when one parameter $m, r$, or $p-r m$ tends to $+\infty$ and provides thus accurate approximations of $r$-associated Stirling numbers.

## 2. Closed formula for Stirling numbers and moments of random variables

The density $g_{r}$ of a beta distribution with parameters $(1, r)$ where $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ is

$$
g_{r}(x)= \begin{cases}r(1-x)^{r-1} & \text { if } x \in[0,1]  \tag{2}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be independent random variables having the same beta $(1, r)$ distribution. The moment of order $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of the sum of these variables is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}\right)^{k}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1 provides a closed formula for the Stirling numbers of the second kind based on the moment $\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $m, r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ where $p \geq r m$. The Stirling numbers of the second kind satisfy the following identity

$$
S_{r}(p, m)=\frac{p!}{m!(r!)^{m}(p-r m)!} \mathcal{M}_{r}(p-r m, m)
$$

From Theorem 2.1, one may deduce that $\mathbb{E}\left(Z^{k}\right)=S_{1}(m+k, m) /\binom{m+k}{m}$ where $Z$ has an Irwin-Hall's distribution on $[0, m][6,8]$. Note that the moment generating function of $Z$ is $\sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E}\left(Z^{k}\right) t^{k} / k!=((\exp (t)-1) / t)^{m}$ therefore we recover the well-known exponential generating function of the Stirling numbers of the second kind $\sum_{p \geq m} S_{1}(p, m) t^{p} / p!=(\exp (t)-1)^{m} / m!$ (see Theorem 3.3 page 52 in [9]). The above expression of $S_{r}(p, m)$ is explicit up to the computation of the moment $\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)$. Whereas computing explicitly $\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)$ might be technical, lower bounds, upper bounds and approximations of $\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)$ are tractable as illustrated in the following section.

## 3. Upper and lower bounds

Hereafter, we will use probabilistic approaches to derive upper and lower bounds for the moment $\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)$.

### 3.1. Sharp upper and lower bounds when $m$ is large

Let $\bar{X}_{m}=\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}\right) / m$, the Jensen's inequality provides the following lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)=m^{k} \mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}{ }^{k}\right) \geq m^{k} \mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)^{k}=\frac{m^{k}}{(r+1)^{k}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality relies on the linearization of the function $q(x)=x^{k}$ at $x_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{r+1}$. Specifically, the following inequality holds for all $x \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k}=q(x) \geq q\left(x_{0}\right)+q^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{(r+1)^{k}}+\frac{k}{(r+1)^{k-1}}\left(x-\frac{1}{r+1}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover one may choose $c \geq 0$ for which the following inequality is true for all $x \in[0,1]$ (see Lemma 5.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k} \leq q\left(x_{0}\right)+q^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)+c\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1 below is a consequence of inequalities (5) and (6).
Proposition 3.1. Let $r, m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The following inequality holds

$$
\frac{m^{k}}{(r+1)^{k}} \leq \mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m) \leq \frac{m^{k}}{(r+1)^{k}}+m^{k-1} \frac{(r+1)^{k}-1-k r}{(r+1)^{k} r(r+2)} .
$$

The leading term when $m$ is large in both the lower and upper bounds is $m^{k} /(r+1)^{k}$. Therefore, lower and upper bounds are asymptotically equivalent when $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ are fixed and $m$ tends to $+\infty$. These bounds are accurate when $m$ is large since $\bar{X}_{m}$ converges to $\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)$ and both inequalities (5) and (6) are accurate on the neighbourhood of $\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)$.

### 3.2. Sharp upper and lower bounds when $k$ is large

Asymptotic behaviour of moments, when $k$ is large, depends on the density of $X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}$ on the tail, i.e. on the neighbourhood of $m$. This motivates us to introduce the following inequality proved in Corollary 5.4:

$$
g_{r}^{* m}(x) \leq \frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!}(m-x)^{m r-1}, \text { for all } x \in[0, m]
$$

where $g_{r}$ is given by (2) and $g_{r}^{* m}$ is $m$-th convolution of $g_{r}$. Moreover, this inequality is an equality for $x \in$ $[m-1, m]$. We derive from this fact a lower and upper bounds for $\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)$ given in Proposition 3.2 below.

Proposition 3.2. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ the following inequalities hold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Upper bound: } \mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m) & \leq \frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \int_{0}^{m} x^{k}(m-x)^{m r-1} d x=\frac{k!(r!)^{m} m^{k+r m}}{(k+m r)!} \\
\text { Lower bound: } \mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m) & \geq \frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \int_{m-1}^{m} x^{k}(m-x)^{m r-1} d x \\
& \geq \frac{k!(r!)^{m} m^{k+m r}}{(k+m r)!}\left(1-\frac{(m-1)^{k}}{m^{k+m r}} \sum_{i=1}^{m r}\binom{k+m r}{k+i}(m-1)^{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These bounds are accurate when $k$ is large since $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{(m-1)^{k}}{m^{k+m r}} \sum_{i=1}^{m r}\binom{k+m r}{k+i}(m-1)^{i}=0$.
As a consequence of Proposition 3.2, we observe that $S_{r}(p, m) \leq m^{p} / m$ !. Moreover, lower and upper bounds are asymptotically equivalent when $k$ tends to $+\infty$ therefore $S_{r}(p, m) \sim m^{p} / m$ ! when $p$ is large. This approximation, well known when $r=1$ (see [2]), remains true when $r>1$.

### 3.3. Sharp upper bound when $r$ is large

Proposition 3.3 proves that the moment $\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)$ is bounded, up to an explicit expression, by the moment of a sum of independent random variables having the same standard exponential distribution.
Proposition 3.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}, r \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{m}$ be i.i.d random variables having standard exponential distribution with density $\exp (-x)$.
i) The following inequality holds

$$
r^{k} \mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m) \leq\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{2 k} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}+\cdots+\mathcal{E}_{m}\right)^{k}=\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{2 k} \frac{(m-1+k)!}{(m-1)!}
$$

ii) The upper bound given in i) is sharp since the following limit holds

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{k} \mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}+\cdots+\mathcal{E}_{m}\right)^{k}=\frac{(m-1+k)!}{(m-1)!} .
$$

It seems difficult for authors to find lower bounds which are sharp when $r$ tends to $+\infty$. Finally, we recap hereafter lower and upper bounds for $r$-associated Stirling number of the second kind:

- Proposition 3.1 provides the following lower and upper bounds

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{r}(p, m) \geq \frac{p!m^{p-r m}}{m!(r!)^{m}(p-r m)!(r+1)^{p-r m}} \\
S_{r}(p, m) \leq \frac{p!p^{p-r m}}{m!(r!)^{m}(p-r m)!(r+1)^{p-r m}}\left(1+\frac{(r+1)^{p-r m}-1-r(p-r m)}{m r(r+2)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

These bound are equivalent when $p-r m, r$ are fixed and when $m$ tends to $+\infty$.

- Proposition 3.2 provides the following lower and upper bounds

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{r}(p, m) \geq \frac{m^{p}}{m!}-\frac{(m-1)^{p-r m}}{m!} \sum_{i=1}^{m r}\binom{p}{p-r m+i}(m-1)^{i} \\
S_{r}(p, m) \leq \frac{m^{p}}{m!}
\end{array}\right.
$$

These bound are equivalent when $m, r$ are fixed and when $p$ tends to $+\infty$.

- Proposition 3.3 provides the following upper bound when $r \geq 2$

$$
S_{r}(p, m) \leq \frac{p!r^{2(p-r m)}(m-1+p-r m)!}{m!(r!)^{m}(p-r m)!(r-1)^{2(p-r m)}(m-1)!}
$$

This upper bound is equivalent to $S_{r}(p, m)$ when $p-r m, m$ are fixed and when $r$ tends to $+\infty$.

## 4. Numerical experiments

## Upper and lower bounds of Stirling numbers of the second kind

According to Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 , for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, Stirling numbers of the second kind do satisfy the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}(p, m) \leq \underbrace{\min \left\{\frac{m^{p}}{m!},\binom{p}{m}\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{p-m}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-m}+m-p-1}{3 m}\right)\right\}}_{U(p, m)} \\
& S_{1}(p, m) \geq \underbrace{\max \left\{\frac{m^{p}}{m!}-\frac{(m-1)^{p-m}}{m!} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\binom{p}{m-i}(m-1)^{i},\binom{p}{m}\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{p-m}\right\}}_{L(p, m)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First of all we are going to compare these bounds $U(m, p)$ and $L(p, m)$ to bounds given in Rennie and Dobson [10] reported below:

$$
\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left(m^{2}+m+2\right) m^{p-m-1}-1}_{L_{\mathrm{rd}}(p, m)} \leq S_{1}(p, m) \leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\binom{p}{m} m^{p-m}}_{U_{\mathrm{rd}}(p, m)} .
$$

Numerical comparison between $U(p, m)$ and $U_{\mathrm{rd}}(p, m)$ is not relevant since $\frac{1}{2}\binom{p}{m} m^{p-m} \geq\binom{ p}{m}\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{p-m}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-m}+m-p-1}{3 m}\right)$ holds for $m<p$. Contrarily to the upper bound, the lower bound $L(p, m)$ is not uniformly larger than the one given by Rennie and Dobson; for instance, $31=L_{\mathrm{rd}}(6,2)>L(6,2)=28.5$. Numerical experiments in Figure 1 illustrate that for most integers $p, m, L(p, m)$ is a better approximation of $S_{1}(p, m)$ than $L_{\mathrm{rd}}(p, m)$.


FIG 1. This figure report $\ln (L(p, m))-\ln \left(L_{\mathrm{rd}}(p, m)\right)$ as a function of $m$ (on the $x$-axis) and $p$ (on the $y$-axis). One may observe that for most integers the lower bound $L(p, m)$ is a better approximation of $S_{1}(p, m)$ than $L_{\mathrm{rd}}(p, m)\left(\right.$ as $\left.\ln (L(p, m))-\ln \left(L_{\mathrm{rd}}(p, m)\right)>0\right)$.

Figure 2 provides a comparison between $L(p, m), U(p, m)$ and $S_{1}(p, m)$.

## Upper bounds of Bell numbers

The Bell number $B(p)$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, represents the number of partition of $\{1, \ldots, p\}$. Since a Bell number is a sum of Stirling numbers of the second kind $B(p)=\sum_{m=1}^{p} S_{1}(p, m)$ then, the following inequality occurs:

$$
B(p) \leq \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^{p} \min \left\{\frac{m^{p}}{m!},\binom{p}{m}\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{p-m}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-m}+m-p-1}{3 m}\right)\right\}}_{=U(p)} \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}
$$



Fig 2. This figure report $\ln \left(S_{1}(p, m)\right)-\ln (L(p, m))$ (on the left) and $\ln (U(p, m))-\ln \left(S_{1}(p, m)\right.$ ) (on the right) as a function of $m$ and $p$. These numerical experiments comply with Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 since both lower and upper bounds accurately approximate $S_{1}(p, m)$ when $p$ is large and $m$ is small or when $m$ is large and $p-m$ is small.

In Figure 3 we compare $U(p)$ with the upper bound: $B(p) \leq U_{\mathrm{bt}}(p)=\left(\frac{0.792 p}{\ln (p+1)}\right)^{p}$ given in Berend and Tassa [1].


FIG 3. This figure report $\ln \left(U_{b t}(p)\right)-\ln (U(p))$ as a function of $p$. One may observe that when $p \geq 13, U(p)$ is more accurate upper bound for $S_{1}(p, m)$ than $U_{\mathrm{bt}}(p)$ (as $\ln \left(U_{b t}(p)\right)-\ln (U(p))>0$ for $\left.p \geq 13\right)$.

Note that $U(p) \leq \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} m^{p} / m!=e B(p)$ (the last equality is due to the Dobiński formula). In Figure 4 we shows that $U(p) / B(p)$ is very close to $e$ when $p$ is large.


FIG 4. This figure report $U(p) / B(p)$ as a function of $p$. One may observe that $U(p) / B(p)$ is approximately equal to $e$ when $p$ is large.

## 5. Proofs

### 5.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

The identity given in Lemma 5.1 below, combined with the multinomial formula, allows us to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 5.1. Let $m, r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ where $p \geq r m$. The $r$-associated Stirling numbers of the second kind satisfy the following equality

$$
S_{r}(p, m)=\frac{p!}{m!} \sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=p-r m} \frac{1}{\left(r+i_{1}\right)!\times \ldots \times\left(r+i_{m}\right)!},
$$

where the sum is computed over all the integers $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m} \in\{0, \ldots, p-r m\}$ satisfying $i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=p-r m$.
Proof. Given $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=p-r m$, let us count the number of ordered partitions of $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ in $m$ parts where the first part has $r+i_{1}$ elements, the second part has $r+i_{2}$ elements and so on.

There are $\binom{p}{r+i_{1}}$ possibilities for the first part. There are $\binom{p-r-i_{1}}{r+i_{2}}$ possibilities for the second part and so on. Therefore the number of ordered partitions where the first part has $r+i_{1}$ elements, the second part has $r+i_{2}$ elements and so on is

$$
\frac{p!}{\left(r+i_{1}\right)!\times \ldots \times\left(r+i_{m}\right)!} .
$$

Consequently, the number of ordered partitions of $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ in $m$ parts having at least $r$ elements is

$$
\sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=p-r m} \frac{p!}{\left(r+i_{1}\right)!\times \ldots \times\left(r+i_{m}\right)!} .
$$

Finally, when the order is not taken into account, by dividing by $m$ !, one may deduce that

$$
S_{r}(p, m)=\frac{p!}{m!} \sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=p-r m} \frac{1}{\left(r+i_{1}\right)!\times \ldots \times\left(r+i_{m}\right)!}
$$

Let us recall the multinomial formula. Given $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{m}\right)^{k}=\sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=k} \frac{k!}{i_{1}!\times \cdots \times i_{m}!} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \times \ldots \times x_{m}^{i_{m}}
$$

Let $k=p-r m$. Since $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{1}^{s}\right)=\frac{s!r!}{(s+r)!}$, the multinomial formula and Lemma 5.1 give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}\right)^{k}\right] & =\sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=k} \frac{k!}{i_{1}!\times \cdots \times i_{m}!} \mathbb{E}\left(X_{1}^{i_{1}}\right) \times \ldots \times \mathbb{E}\left(X_{m}^{i_{m}}\right) \\
& =(r!)^{m} k!\sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=k} \frac{1}{\left(r+i_{1}\right)!\times \cdots \times\left(r+i_{m}\right)!} \\
& =\frac{m!(r!)^{m} k!}{(k+r m)!} S_{r}(k+r m, m)=\frac{m!(r!)^{m}(p-r m)!}{p!} S_{r}(p, m),
\end{aligned}
$$

which finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

### 5.2. Proof of Proposition 3.1

Proposition 3.1 is a consequence of the following lemma.
Lemma 5.2. Let $k \geq 2, a \in(0,1)$ and $f: x \in[0,1] \rightarrow a^{k}+k a^{k-1}(x-a)+c(x-a)^{2}$ where $c \geq 0$ is such that $f(1)=1$ (namely $\left.c=\frac{a^{k-1}(a k-a-k)+1}{(1-a)^{2}}\right)$. Then $f(x) \geq x^{k}$ for all $x \in[0,1]$.


Fig 5. Illustration of the inequality given in Lemma 5.2.

Proof. First note that for all $x \in[0,1]$ the condition $f(x) \geq x^{k}$ is equivalent to

$$
k a^{k-1}(x-a)+c(x-a)^{2} \geq x^{k}-a^{k}=(x-a)\left(x^{k-1}+a x^{k-2}+\cdots+a^{k-1}\right)
$$

Note that this inequality holds if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k a^{k-1}+c(x-a) \geq x^{k-1}+a x^{k-2}+\cdots+a^{k-1} \text { for all } x \in[a, 1] \text { and, } \\
& k a^{k-1}+c(x-a) \leq x^{k-1}+a x^{k-2}+\cdots+a^{k-1} \text { for all } x \in[0, a]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $d(x)=k a^{k-1}+c(x-a)$ and $p(x)=x^{k-1}+a x^{k-2}+\cdots+a^{k-1}$. Thus $p(a)=d(a)$ and $p(1)=d(1)$, by construction of $c$. Because $p$ is convex and $d$ is affine, one may deduce that $d(x) \leq p(x)$ once $x \in[0, a]$ and $d(x) \geq p(x)$ once $x \in[a, 1]$, which completes the proof.

By Lemma 5.2, for $a=\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{r+1}, r>0$, for all $x \in[0,1]$ we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{k} & \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)^{k}+k \mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)^{k-1}\left(x-\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)\right)+c\left(x-\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(r+1)^{k}}+\frac{k}{(r+1)^{k-1}}\left(x-\frac{1}{r+1}\right)+c\left(x-\frac{1}{r+1}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=\left(1+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{k r+1}{(r+1)^{k}}\right)$. This inequality implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m)=m^{k} \mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}^{k}\right) & \leq m^{k}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)^{k}+c \operatorname{var}\left(\bar{X}_{m}\right)\right)=\frac{m^{k}}{(1+r)^{k}}+c \frac{r m^{k-1}}{(1+r)^{2}(2+r)} \\
& =\frac{m^{k}}{(r+1)^{k}}+\frac{(r+1)^{k}-1-k r}{(r+1)^{k} r(r+2)} m^{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.3. Proof of Proposition 3.2

We use in the proof a well-known beta integral (see, for example, [5]): let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{a} t^{b} d t=\frac{a!b!}{(a+b+1)!} x^{a+b+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute explicitly the density of $X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}$ on the tail $[m-1, m$ ], we use the following technical lemma. Let $h_{r}$ be the following density

$$
h_{r}(x)= \begin{cases}r x^{r-1} & \text { if } x \in[0,1]  \tag{8}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Actually, $h_{r}$ is the density of $1-X$ where the density $X$ is $g_{r}(2)$. Convolution computations are slightly easier to handle with $h_{r}$ than $g_{r}$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ then the following equality and inequality hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{r}^{* m}(x)=\frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} x^{m r-1}, \text { for all } x \in[0,1],  \tag{9}\\
& h_{r}^{* m}(x) \leq \frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} x^{m r-1}, \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let us prove (9) by induction. When $m=1$, one may notice that whatever $x \in[0,1]$ we have $h_{r}^{* m}(x)=$ $h_{r}(x)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that

$$
h_{r}^{* m}(x)=\frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} x^{m r-1} \quad \forall x \in[0,1] .
$$

Therefore for $x \in[0,1]$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{r}^{* m+1}(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{r}^{* m}(x-t) h_{r}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{x} h_{r}^{* m}(x-t) r t^{r-1} d t \\
& =\frac{r(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{m r-1} t^{r-1} d t \\
& =\frac{r(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \frac{(m r-1)!(r-1)!}{((m+1) r-1)!} x^{(m+1) r-1} \\
& =\frac{(r!)^{m+1}}{((m+1) r-1)!} x^{(m+1) r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of (10) by induction is quite similar than the proof of (9). When $m=1$, the result is straightforward. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that

$$
h_{r}^{* m}(x) \leq \frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} x^{m r-1} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}
$$

Therefore for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{r}^{* m+1}(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{r}^{* m}(x-t) h_{r}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{x} h_{r}^{* m}(x-t) h_{r}(t) d t \\
& \leq \frac{r(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{m r-1} t^{r-1} d t \\
& \leq \frac{r(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \frac{(m r-1)!(r-1)!}{((m+1) r-1)!} x^{(m+1) r-1} \\
& \leq \frac{(r!)^{m+1}}{((m+1) r-1)!} x^{(m+1) r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the only difference between the proof of (9) and (10) is the majorization of $h_{r}(t)$ by $r t^{r-1}$. We are now ready to prove the explicit formula for the $m$-th convolution $g_{r}^{* m}$ on $[m-1, m]$ and an upper bound on [ $0, m$ ].
Corollary 5.4. For all $x \in[0, m]$ we have that

$$
g_{r}^{* m}(x) \leq \frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!}(m-x)^{m r-1}
$$

Moreover if $x \in[m-1, m]$ then

$$
g_{r}^{* m}(x)=\frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!}(m-x)^{m r-1} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, it suffices to prove that $g_{r}^{* m}(x)=h_{r}^{* m}(m-x)$ for all $x \in[0, m]$. Let $x \in[0, m]$ and set $z=m-x$, because the density of $\left(1-X_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(1-X_{m}\right)$ is $h_{r}^{* m}$ then the following equalities occur

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbb{P}\left(\left(1-X_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(1-X_{m}\right) \leq z\right) & =h_{r}^{* m}(z), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m} \geq m-z\right) & =h_{r}^{* m}(z), \\
g_{r}^{* m}(m-z) & =h_{r}^{* m}(z), \\
g_{r}^{* m}(x) & =h_{r}^{* m}(m-x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

One may notice that if $r=1$ and $x \in[m-1, m]$, then $g_{r}^{* m}(x)=(m-x)^{m-1} /(m-1)$ ! which is the density in the tail of the Irvin-Hall distribution (see [6, 8]).

Upper and lower bounds given in Proposition 3.2 are straightforward consequences of Corollary 5.4. Indeed, the upper bound is just the beta integral of

$$
\int_{0}^{m} \frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!}(m-x)^{m r-1} x^{k} d x
$$

The lower bound is derived from the following computations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \int_{0}^{m-1} x^{k}(m-x)^{m r-1} d x & =\frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \int_{0}^{m-1} x^{k}(1+(m-1)-x)^{m r-1} d x \\
& =\frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \int_{0}^{m-1} x^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{m r-1}\binom{m r-1}{i}(m-1-x)^{i} d x \\
& =\frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{m r-1}\binom{m r-1}{i} \frac{k!!!(m-1)^{k+i+1}}{(k+i+1)!} \\
& =\frac{(r!)^{m} k!}{(k+m r)!} \sum_{i=1}^{m r}\binom{k+m r}{k+i}(m-1)^{k+i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m) \geq \frac{(r!)^{m}}{(m r-1)!} \int_{m-1}^{m} x^{k}(m-x)^{m r-1} d x$ one may deduce the following inequality

$$
\mathcal{M}_{r}(k, m) \geq \frac{k!(r!)^{m} m^{k+m r}}{(k+m r)!}\left(1-\frac{(m-1)^{k}}{m^{k+m r}} \sum_{i=1}^{m r}\binom{k+m r}{k+i}(m-1)^{i}\right)
$$

Let us assume that $k>m r$, then $\binom{k+m r}{k+i} \leq\binom{ k+m r}{k}$, for $1 \leq i \leq m r$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(m-1)^{k}}{m^{k+m r}} \sum_{i=1}^{m r}\binom{k+m r}{k+i}(m-1)^{i} & \leq \frac{1}{m^{m r}}\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m r}\binom{k+m r}{k}(m-1)^{i} \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{k}\binom{k+m r}{k} \frac{\left((m-1)^{m r+1}-(m-1)\right.}{m-2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{k}(k+m r)^{m r} \frac{(m-1)^{2 m r}}{(m r)!} \underset{k \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.4. Proof of Proposition 3.3

Proof. i) Note that the density of the random variable $Y_{i}=(r-1) X_{i}$ on $[0, r-1]$ is

$$
f(x)=\frac{r}{r-1}\left(1-\frac{x}{r-1}\right)^{r-1}
$$

Due to the following inequality for all $x \in[0, r-1]$

$$
\frac{r}{r-1}\left(1-\frac{x}{r-1}\right)^{r-1} \leq \frac{r}{r-1} \exp (-x)
$$

one may deduce that

$$
(r-1)^{k} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}\right)^{k}=\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i}\right)^{k} \leq\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{k} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{i}\right)^{k}=\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{k} \frac{(m-1+k)!}{(m-1)!}
$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{i}$ has an Erlang distribution (the density of $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{i}$ is $\left.h(x)=\frac{x^{m-1} \exp (-x)}{(m-1)!}\right)$ whose moment of order $k$ is $\frac{(m-1+k)!}{(m-1)!}$. This inequality completes the proof of i).
ii) The density of the random variable $(r-1) X_{1}$ converges pointwise to the density of $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ namely $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} f(x)=$ $\exp (-x)$, for all $x \in[0,+\infty)$. Therefore, the following limit holds

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} x^{k} f^{* m}(x)=\frac{x^{m+k-1} \exp (-x)}{(m-1)!}
$$

Finally, the Dominated Convergence Theorem gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}(r-1)^{k} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}\right)^{k} & =\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^{m+k-1} \exp (-x)}{(m-1)!} d x \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{i}\right)^{k}=\frac{(m-1+k)!}{(m-1)!}
\end{aligned}
$$
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