

# The HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A impairs pancreatic b-cell function through an epigenome-wide reprogramming

Frédérik Oger, Maeva Moreno, Mehdi Derhourhi, Bryan Thiroux, Lionel Berberian, Cyril Bourouh, Emmanuelle Durand, Souhila Amanzougarene, Alaa Badreddine, Etienne Blanc, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Frédérik Oger, Maeva Moreno, Mehdi Derhourhi, Bryan Thiroux, Lionel Berberian, et al.. The HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A impairs pancreatic b-cell function through an epigenome-wide reprogramming. 2023. hal-04365596

## HAL Id: hal-04365596 https://hal.science/hal-04365596v1

Preprint submitted on 28 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **The HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A impairs pancreatic β-cell**

### 2

# function through an epigenome-wide reprogramming

Frédérik Oger<sup>1,\*</sup>, Maeva Moreno<sup>1</sup>, Mehdi Derhourhi<sup>1</sup>, Bryan Thiroux<sup>1</sup>, Lionel
Berberian<sup>1</sup>, Cyril Bourouh<sup>1</sup>, Emmanuelle Durand<sup>1</sup>, Souhila Amanzougarene<sup>1</sup>, Alaa
Badreddine<sup>1</sup>, Etienne Blanc<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Molendi-Coste<sup>2</sup>, Laurent Pineau<sup>2</sup>, Gianni
Pasquetti<sup>3</sup>, Laure Rolland<sup>1, 4</sup>, Charlène Carney<sup>1</sup>, Florine Bornaque<sup>1, 4</sup>, Emilie Courty<sup>1</sup>,
<sup>4</sup>, Céline Gheeraert<sup>2</sup>, Jérôme Eeckhoute<sup>2</sup>, David Dombrowicz<sup>2</sup>, Julie Kerr-Conte<sup>3</sup>,
François Pattou<sup>3</sup>, Bart Staels<sup>2</sup>, Philippe Froguel<sup>1, 5</sup>, Amélie Bonnefond<sup>1, 5, †</sup> and JeanSébastien Annicotte<sup>1, 4, †, \*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, CNRS, U1283 UMR 8199 EGID, F-59000 Lille, France.
- <sup>2</sup> Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, U1011 EGID, F-59000, Lille,
   France.
- <sup>3</sup> Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, U1190 EGID, F-59000, Lille,
   France.
- <sup>4</sup> Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, U1167 RID-AGE-Facteurs
- de risque et déterminants moléculaires des maladies liées au vieillissement, F-59000,
   Lille, France.
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Metabolism, Digestion and Reproduction, Imperial College London;
   London, United Kingdom

## 21 Footnotes

- <sup>22</sup> <sup>†</sup>Co-senior authors
- 23 <sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors:
- 24 frederik.oger@univ-lille.fr
- 25 jean-sebastien.annicotte@inserm.fr
- 26 Running title: HDAC and  $\beta$ -cell function

#### 27 Abstract

**Objective:** The pancreatic islets of Langerhans contain distinct cell subtypes including 28 insulin-producing  $\beta$  cells. Although their cell-specific gene expression pattern defines 29 their identity, the underlying molecular network driving this transcriptional specificity is 30 not fully understood. Among the numerous transcriptional regulators, histone 31 deacetylases (HDAC) enzymes are potent chromatin modifiers which directly regulate 32 gene expression through deacetylation of lysine residues within specific histone 33 proteins. The precise molecular mechanisms underlying HDAC effects on cellular 34 plasticity and  $\beta$ -cell identity are currently unknown. 35

Methods: The pharmacological inhibition of HDAC activity by trichostatin A (TSA) was studied in the mouse Min6 and human EndocBH1 cell lines, as well as primary mouse sorted  $\beta$  cells and human pancreatic islets. The molecular and functional effects of treating these complementary  $\beta$ -cell models with TSA was explored at the epigenomic and transcriptomic level through next-generation sequencing of chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays (ChIP-seq) and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) experiments, respectively.

**Results:** We showed that TSA alters insulin secretion associated with  $\beta$ -cell specific transcriptome programming in both mouse and human  $\beta$ -cell lines, as well as on human pancreatic islets. We also demonstrated that this alternative  $\beta$ -cell transcriptional program in response to HDAC inhibition is related to an epigenomewide remodeling at both promoters and enhancers.

Conclusions: Taken together, our data indicate that full HDAC activity is required to
 safeguard the epigenome, to protect against loss of β-cell identity with unsuitable
 expression of genes associated with alternative cell fates.

51

52 Keywords: epigenome, HDAC, insulin secretion, pancreatic beta cell.

#### 53 **1. Introduction**

The endocrine pancreas is composed of distinct cell subtypes including  $\alpha$ 54 (producing and secreting glucagon),  $\beta$  (producing and secreting insulin),  $\delta$  (producing 55 and secreting somatostatin),  $\epsilon$  (producing and secreting ghrelin) and PP (producing 56 and secreting pancreatic polypeptide) cells that play a crucial role in the regulation of 57 glucose homeostasis [1]. A specific gene expression pattern defines each endocrine 58 cell identity but the underlying molecular network that controls this transcriptional 59 specificity remains elusive. The roles of some tissue-specific transcription factors, such 60 as PDX1 or MAFA, in the maintenance of expression of genes controlling  $\beta$ -cell 61 phenotype is well-known [2], but the contribution of chromatin modifiers in the 62 maintenance of  $\beta$ -cell identity is less documented. Among these putative epigenomic 63 regulators, there are histone acetyl transferases (HAT) and histone deacetylases 64 (HDAC) that directly regulate gene expression through acetylation/deacetylation of 65 lysine residues within specific histone and non-histone proteins [3]. 66

HDACs are zinc metallo-enzymes divided into three main classes on the basis 67 of their protein sequence homologies with yeast deacetylase enzymes [4]. Briefly, 68 class I HDACs, composed of HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3 and HDAC8, are closely related 69 to yeast Rpd3 (reduced potassium dependency 3) transcriptional regulator. Class II 70 HDACs, including HDAC4, HDAC5, HDAC7, HDAC9 (class IIa) and HDAC6, HDAC10 71 (class IIb), share domains with similarity to yeast Hdal (histone deacetylase I), whereas 72 HDAC11 belongs to the class IV. All these HDACs have a conserved catalytic domain 73 74 and are therefore considered as ancestral enzymes that play a crucial role in the regulation of gene expression. While these enzymes have been considered as 75 76 transcriptional inhibitors due to the resulting compaction of chromatin structure upon histone deacetylation [5], studies have also demonstrated that HDAC enzymes can 77 78 actively contribute to cell-specific gene expression [6], suggesting that HDACs could play a dual active and inhibiting role in the regulation of gene expression to maintain 79 80 cell identity.

The pharmacological inhibition of HDAC has gained a strong interest following the demonstration that HDAC inhibitors (HDACi) harbor anticancer properties [7]. HDACi suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, vorinostat) has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for cancer therapy. HDACi may have potential as treatments for type 2 diabetes (T2D). Indeed, HDAC inhibition prevents cytokineinduced toxicity in  $\beta$  cells [8-10], and improves  $\beta$ -cell proliferation [11]. *Hdac3*  $\beta$ -cell specific knock down and pharmacological HDAC inhibition improve glucose tolerance [12; 13] and insulin sensitivity [14] in mice.

<sup>89</sup> However, the treatment of the rodent  $\beta$ -cell line  $\beta$ -TC3 with HDACi also induces <sup>90</sup> a loss of cell identity through a decrease of  $\beta$ -cell markers, correlated to an increase <sup>91</sup> of  $\alpha$ -cell marker expression within  $\beta$  cells [15], but the impact of HDACi on chromatin <sup>92</sup> remodeling and the subsequent modulation of gene expression within  $\beta$  cells has not <sup>93</sup> yet been interrogated.

Here, we explored the molecular and functional effects of treating β-cell models
with the HDACi Trichostatin A (TSA) at the epigenomic and transcriptomic level. We
show that TSA treatment leads to an epigenome-wide redistribution of histone marks
that are enriched in active promoters and enhancers.

#### 98 2. Materials and methods

#### 99 **2.1. Cell culture and chemicals**

100 Chemicals, unless stated otherwise, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Min6 cells 101 (Addexbio) were maintained in DMEM high Glucose glutaMAX medium (Gibco, 31966-102 021) supplemented with 15 % SVF, 0.1 %  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol and antibiotics 103 (penicillin/streptomycin). Trichostatin A (Sigma, T1952-200UL) was used at different 104 time and concentrations, as indicated. EndoC- $\beta$ H1 cells were purchased from Human 105 Cell Design<sup>TM</sup> and cultured according supplier recommendations.

Human pancreatic tissue was harvested from human, non-diabetic, adult donors.Isolation and pancreatic islet culture were performed as previously described [16].

#### 108 2.2. **Dot blot**

Min6 cells were cultured in 24-well plates (2.10<sup>5</sup> cells/well) and were resuspended in 109 100 µL of RIPA buffer (Thermo Scientific, 89901), then incubated at 4 °C during 30 min 110 under agitation. After centrifugation (15000 g, 20 min, 4 °C), supernatant was 111 harvested and protein concentration was measured using BCA assay (Pierce, 23227). 112 Samples were denatured (95 °C, 5 min) and 1 µg of total protein were dotted on 113 nitrocellulose membrane using a dot blotter (Cleaver Scientific Ltd, CSL-D96). After 114 drying (15 min, RT), membranes were saturated in saturation buffer (5 % free fatty 115 acids milk in TBS 1X, 2h, room temperature), then incubated with primary antibody 116 diluted in saturation buffer (16 h, 4 °C). After incubation with HRP-coupled secondary 117 antibody diluted in saturation buffer (1 h, room temperature), membranes were 118 revelated using ECL kit (Pierce, 34076) on Chemidoc XRS+ imager (Biorad). Images 119 were processed and analyzed using ImageJ software. The list of antibodies used in 120 this study is available in the Supplementary Table S1. 121

#### 122 **2.3. Animal procedures**

Mice were maintained according to European Union guidelines for the use of laboratory animals. *In vivo* experiments were performed in compliance with the French ethical guidelines for studies on experimental animals (animal house agreement no. A59-35015, Authorization for Animal Experimentation, project approval by our local ethical committee no. APAFIS#2915-201511300923025v4). C57bl6J (Charles River Laboratories) and Rip-Cre::Lox-STOP-Lox-Tomato (obtained after breeding of Rip-Cre mice [17] with LSL-Td-Tomato (Jax, #stock number 007905)) mice were maintained
under 12 hours light/dark cycle and were fed *ad libitum*.

#### 131 **2.4. Mouse pancreatic islet isolation and cell sorting**

132 Mouse pancreatic islets were prepared as described previously [18]. Briefly, pancreata were digested using type V collagenase (Sigma, ref C9263-1G) for 10 min at 37 °C. 133 After digestion, pancreatic islets were separated in a density gradient medium 134 (Histopaque) and purified by handpicking under a macroscope. Pancreatic islets were 135 cultured for 24 hours before further processing. For cell sorting experiments, mouse 136 pancreatic islets isolated from RipCre-tdTomato mice were trypsinized for 7 minutes 137 138 with 1 mM of trypsine (Gibco). Dissociated pancreatic cells were directly run into an Influx sorter (Becton Dickinson®) equipped with a 86 µm nozzle and tuned at a 139 140 pressure of 24.7 psi and a frequency of 48.25 kHz. Sample fluid pressure was adjusted to reach and event rate of 10 000 events/second.  $\beta$  cells and other pancreatic cells 141 were selected as Tomato + (Tom+,  $\beta$  cells) and Tomato – (Tom-, non- $\beta$  cells) and 142 143 sorted in purity mode (phase mask 16/16) directly in RNeasy kit Lysis buffer for further processing. 144

#### 145 **2.5. Glucose stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS)**

Min6 cells cultured in 96-well plates (2.10<sup>4</sup> cells/well) were glucose-starved in 200 µL 146 of starvation buffer (Krebs Ringer buffer (KRB) supplemented with BSA 0.5 %, 1 h, 37 147 °C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub>). After incubation, the starvation buffer was discarded and cells were 148 incubated in 200 µL of 2.8 mM glucose-concentrated starvation buffer (1 h, 37 °C, 5 % 149 CO<sub>2</sub>). After 2.8 mM glucose samples recovering, cells were incubated in 200 µL of 20 150 mM glucose-concentrated starvation buffer (1 h, 37 °C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub>). After 20 mM glucose 151 samples recovering, the intracellular insulin content was recovered in 100 µL of lysis 152 buffer (Ethanol 75 %, HCl 1.5 %). Insulin concentration was measured using mouse or 153 human Insulin ELISA kits according the manufacturer's instructions (Mercodia). 154

#### 155 **2.6. RNA extraction and RT-qPCR**

Total RNA was extracted from Min6 cells using RNeasy Plus mini kit and from pancreatic islets using RNeasy Plus micro kit (Qiagen, ref 74034) following the manufacturer's instruction. Total RNA sample concentrations were determined using

a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Implen). 100 ng of RNA was used for reverse 159 transcription (RT) using Transcriptor universal cDNA master mix (Roche) for Min6 cells 160 or superscript III enzyme (Invitrogen) for mouse pancreatic islets according to the 161 manufacturer's instructions. Gene expression was measured through quantitative real-162 time PCR (gPCR) using FastStart SYBR Green master mix (Roche) according to the 163 manufacturer's recommendations in a LightCycler 480 II device (Roche). Mouse RT-164 qPCR results were normalized to endogenous cyclophilin reference mRNA levels. The 165 results are expressed as the relative mRNA level of a specific gene expression using 166 the formula  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ . The oligonucleotides sequences used for various experiments are 167 listed in Supplementary Table S2. 168

#### 169 2.7. RNA sequencing (RNA-seq)

170 RNA quality was verified using RNA 6000 nanochips (Agilent, #5067-1511) on the 171 Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent, #G2939A). 500 ng of purified RNA with RNA integrity 172 number (RIN)  $\geq$ 8 was subsequently used for library preparation with the TruSeq 173 Stranded mRNA library Prep (Illumina, #20020594) and sequenced on the Illumina 174 NextSeq500 system using a paired-end 2×75 bp protocol. Raw sequencing data are 175 available upon request.

#### **2.8. Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq)**

20.10<sup>6</sup> Min6 cells were treated with formaldehyde at a final concentration of 1% to 177 cross link DNA and protein complexes during 10 min. The reaction was stopped by the 178 addition of Glycine 0.125 M during 5 min. Cells were lysed and DNA-protein complexes 179 were sheared using the Bioruptor Pico (Diagenode, #B01060010) for 8 minutes. The 180 181 sheared chromatin was followed by immunoprecipitation with either the non-specific antibody IgG (Santa Cruz, #sc2025), H3K4me1 (Active motif, #39297), H3K4me3 182 (Active motif, #61379), H3K27me3 (Active motif, #61017), H3K27ac (Active motif, 183 #39685) or H3K9ac (Abcam, #ab47915). 1 ng of eluted and purified DNA was used to 184 prepare libraries with the Nextflex rapid DNA seq kit 2.0 (Perkin Elmer, #NOVA-5188-185 01) on the Illumina NextSeq500 system using a single read 100 bp protocol. Raw 186 sequencing data are available upon request. 187

#### 188 **2.9. Bioinformatic analysis**

189 2.9.1. RNA-seq

The demultiplexing of sequence data (from BCL files generated by Illumina sequencing 190 systems to standard FASTQ file formats) was performed using bcl2fastq Conversion 191 Software (Illumina; version 2.19.1). Trimming of residuals adapters and low-quality 192 reads was performed using Cutadapt software (version 1.7.1). Subsequently, 193 sequence reads from FASTQ files were mapped to the mouse genome (mm10) using 194 STAR Aligner (version 2.5.2b). On average, 38M reads were generated per sample, 195 and 93.5 % +/- 0.9% of them were accurately mapped. The counting of the different 196 genes and isoforms was performed using RSEM (version 1.3). Finally, differential 197 expression was performed using DESeq2 package. 198

#### 199 2.9.2. ChIP-seq

The demultiplexing of sequence data (from BCL files generated by Illumina sequencing systems to standard FASTQ file formats) was performed using bcl2fastq Conversion Software (Illumina; version 2.20). Trimming of residuals adapters and low-quality reads was performed using TrimGalore (version 0.4.5). Subsequently, sequence reads from FASTQ files were mapped to the mouse genome (mm10) using Bowtie2 Aligner (version 2.3.5.1). Finally peak-calling was performed using MACS2 software (version 2.2.7.1).

#### 207 2.9.3. Pathway analysis

RNA-seq data and integrated ChIP-seq/RNA-seq data were uploaded to Metascape
website [19] or Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (Qiagen) as specified. For RNAseq data, an adjusted p-value < 0.05, LogFC>1 and LogFC<-1 were set as thresholds</li>
as indicated and pathway analyses were performed using the core analysis function,
including canonical pathways, upstream regulators, diseases, biological functions and
molecular networks.

#### 214 **2.10.** Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. Statistical analyses were performed using a twotailed unpaired Student's t-test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's post hoc test or two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc tests comparing all groups to each other, using GraphPad Prism 9.0 software. Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05 (\*p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001 and \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001). 221 **3. Results** 

### 222 223

224

3.1.TSA-mediated HDAC inhibition increases global histone acetylation associated to impaired insulin secretion and decreased expression of β-cell identity genes.

We first characterized the role of HDAC inhibition in Min6 cells through a 225 pharmacological approach using the pan-HDAC inhibitor TSA. A time-course TSA 226 treatment (0.5 µM) of Min6 cells was performed from 15 min to 24 h and acetylation of 227 228 lysine 27 (H3K27ac), lysine 9 (H3K9ac) and global acetylation (PanH3ac) levels of histone H3 were monitored by dot blot to validate global HDAC inhibition (Figure 1A). 229 TSA induced a time-dependent increase of H3K27ac (Figures 1A and B), H3K9ac 230 (Figures 1A and C) and PanH3ac (Figures 1A and D), indicating that Min6 cells were 231 sensitive to HDAC inhibitor treatment. Given that the sub-maximal histone H3K27 and 232 233 H3K9 acetylation signal was observed 16 h after TSA treatment (Figures 1A, B and C), subsequent experiments were performed at this time point. To ensure that TSA did not 234 235 induced cytotoxicity, the viability of Min6 cells upon 16 h of TSA treatment was assessed by FACS through annexin V and propidium iodide labeling to identify both 236 237 apoptosis events and cell viability, respectively. Neither annexin V (Supplementary Figure S1A) nor propidium iodide (Supplementary Figure S1B) positive cells were 238 significantly overrepresented upon TSA treatment compared to vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) 239 treatment, indicating that TSA did not affect Min6 cell viability. Then, to determine the 240 effects of HDAC inhibition on specific acetylation and methylation sites of histone H3 241 involved in transcriptional regulation, the acetylation level of lysine 9 and 27 of histone 242 3 (H3K9ac and H3K27ac, respectively) and the trimethylation level of lysine 27 243 (H3K27me3) were specifically monitored by dot blot in Min6 cells after 16h of TSA 244 treatment. Compared to vehicle-treated cells, TSA induced a significant increase of 245 both H3K9ac (Figures 1E and F) and H3K27ac (Figure 1E and G) indicating that the 246 effects of HDAC inhibition in Min6 cells could be partly related to the increased 247 248 acetylation of these histone marks. Trimethylation level of lysine 27 of H3 (H3K27me3) was significantly decreased upon TSA treatment (Figure 1E and H). Then, to evaluate 249 250 the impact of TSA on functional properties of Min6 cells, insulin secretion was measured through glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) assays. TSA treatment 251 252 significantly increased insulin secretion in low glucose conditions (2.8 mM) and significantly decreased insulin secretion in high glucose conditions (20 mM, Figure 1I). 253

These functional effects were associated with a decreased expression of genes involved in  $\beta$ -cell functions, such as *Pdx1* or *Mafa* (Figure 1J). These results suggest that HDACs maintain functional properties of  $\beta$  cells through mechanisms that imply the modulation of histone acetylation and gene expression.

# 3.2. Epigenome-wide histone mark and transcriptome profiling identifies distinct functional genomic regions in Min6 cells.

260 With the aim to restrict our analysis to the effects of HDAC inhibition on functional genomic regions, we first performed profiling of H3K4me3, H3K4me1, 261 H3K27ac and H3K27me3 through ChIP-seq experiments in untreated, control Min6 262 cells to delineate these specific chromatin features in basal conditions. As expected, 263 H3K4me3 and H3K27ac were mostly enriched within gene promoter (74% and 58%, 264 respectively, Supplementary Figures 2A and 2B) whereas H3K4me1 and H3K27me3 265 were mostly enriched both within gene body (46% and 40%, respectively) and 266 intergenic regions (25% and 44%, respectively, Supplementary Figures 2C and 2D). 267 Interestingly, this systematic histone mark profiling at the epigenome-wide level 268 allowed us to precisely circumscribe 6 distinct functional genomic regions based on 269 combinatorial histone mark enrichment within both promoter and distal intergenic 270 271 regions. Indeed, at the promoter level, we defined active (i.e., both H3K4me3 and H3K27ac enriched; Figure 2A), bivalent (*i.e.*, both H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 enriched; 272 Figure 2B) and inactive (*i.e.*, no histone marks enrichment; Figure 2C) promoters. 273 Within distal intergenic regions, we were able to define active enhancers (*i.e.*, both 274 H3K27ac and H3K4me1 enriched; Figure 2D), poised enhancers (*i.e.*, both H3K4me1 275 276 and H3K27me3 enriched; Figure 2E) and heterochromatin (*i.e.*, only H3K27me3 enriched; Figure 2F). 277

To go further in the characterization of these distal functional intergenic regions, their associated genes were defined using Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) software [20].

Secondly, with the aim to link these chromatin features to the associated-gene expression level, transcriptomic analysis through RNA-seq was performed in untreated Min6 cells. The genes associated with active regions such as active promoter as well as active enhancers were significantly found to be the most expressed compared to genes associated with other chromatin features (*i.e.*, bivalent and inactive promoter,

poised enhancers and heterochromatin, Figure 3A). The expression level of genes 286 associated with poised enhancers was not significantly different to the expression level 287 of genes associated with heterochromatin (Figure 3A). Then, we analyzed the gene 288 ontology of genes associated with these functional genomic regions. This analysis 289 showed that biological processes related to genes associated with functionally active 290 genomic regions were enriched either in pathways involved in general biological 291 292 functions such as mitotic cell cycle process (-Log10(q)=22, active promoter, Figure 3B) 293 or in  $\beta$ -cell specific functions such as the regulation of secretion ((-Log10(q)=34, active enhancers, Figure 3C). Conversely, genes associated with inactive bivalent, poised 294 genomic regions were rather enriched in pathways unrelated to  $\beta$ -cell functions such 295 296 as embryonic morphogenesis (-Log10(q)=35, bivalent promoters, Figure 3D; -Log10(q)=325, heterochromatin, Figure 3F), dorsal spinal cord development (-297 Log10(q)=19, poised enhancers, Figure 3E) or cell fate commitment (-Log10(q)=29, 298 bivalent promoters, Figure 3D; -Log10(q)=325, heterochromatin, Figure 3F). Due to 299 300 the large number of genes associated with inactive promoters in Min6 cells, no specific pathway was identified for these associated genes (data not shown). Altogether, these 301 302 results indicate that, based on the combinatorial enrichment of a selection of histone marks involved in transcriptional regulation in Min6 cells, these chromatin features 303 were functional and directly related to gene expression level and the regulation of 304 biological process involved in  $\beta$ -cell function. 305

# 306 3.3. The functional genomic regions identified in Min6 cells overlap with 307 functional chromatin segments found in mouse islets.

To go further in the characterization of these functional genomic regions in Min6 308 cells, we next assessed whether they overlapped with functional genomic regions 309 310 recently identified in mouse islets [21]. Therefore, we first sought to determine the overlapping level between the genomic regions (peaks) independently enriched in a 311 selection of histone marks in Min6 cells and the functional genomic segments resulting 312 from functional chromatin segmentation (categorized from A to X) defined in mouse 313 islets of Langerhans [21]. This analysis showed that most of the regions enriched in 314 H3K4me3 in Min6 cells were mostly found in active (segments A to D) or bivalent 315 (segment K to M) chromatin segments, suggesting that the active promoter regions of 316 mouse  $\beta$  cells are conserved in Min6 cells (Figure 4A, H3K4me3). This result was 317 corroborated by data obtained with the genomic regions enriched in H3K27ac in Min6 318

cells showing that these also mostly overlapped with the segments of active chromatin 319 (segments A to D, Figure 4A, H3K27ac). Furthermore, the regions enriched with 320 H3K4me1 in Min6 cells were also conserved since they predominantly overlapped with 321 the active chromatin segments (segments from C to E) and to a lesser extent with the 322 bivalent regions (segment K, Figure 4A, H3K4me1). Regarding the regions enriched 323 in H3K27me3 in Min6 cells, these were mainly found in the segments of silent 324 chromatin (segments N to P, Figure 4A, H3K27me3). Taken together, this analysis 325 326 demonstrated that the regions enriched in histone marks involved in transcriptional regulation are, at least partially, shared between Min6 cells and mouse pancreatic 327 islets. 328

This comparative analysis led us to consider the conservation level of the 329 functional genomic regions characterized in Min6 cells (Figure 2) with the functional 330 chromatin segments defined in mouse islets [21]. The functional genomic regions of 331 Min6 cells were also conserved compared to functional chromatin segments defined 332 in mouse islets (Figure 4B). Indeed, we showed that the most active promoters in Min6 333 334 cells were related to active promoter segments (segments A and B, Figure 4B, active promoter) while bivalent promoters were related to bivalent segments (segments L and 335 M, Figure 4B, bivalent promoter) and inactive promoters were mostly related to silent 336 segments (segments N to Q segments, Figure 4B, inactive promoter). Regarding the 337 distal intergenic functional regions, the active enhancers mainly overlapped with the 338 distal active segments (segments C to E, Figure 4B, active enhancers) whereas the 339 poised enhancers were mainly related to the bivalent segments (K and M segments, 340 Figure 4B, poised enhancers). Regarding the heterochromatin regions, these were 341 mostly related to the inactive segments (mainly segment N, Figure 4B, 342 heterochromatin). Each conserved functional genomic region was exemplified through 343 a series of selected chromatin features (gene promoter or intergenic region, Figures 344 4C and 4D), such as the  $\beta$ -cell marker *Pdx1*, the  $\alpha$ -cell marker somatostatin receptor 345 346 1 (Sstr1) or the ameloblast specific gene, Amelotin (Amtn). Finally, we selected the functional chromatin regions that were conserved between Min6 cells and mouse 347 islets. To reach this aim, we selected only the functional chromatin regions of Min6 348 cells with more than 30% overlap with each related functional chromatin segment 349 350 within the mouse islets. Subsequently, the expression level of the genes associated with these conserved genomic functional regions was analyzed (Figure 4E). The 351

results notably showed that the genes associated with the conserved active chromatin regions (active promoter and active enhancers) are most expressed compared to the genes associated with the inactive regions in line with our data generated without applying the conservation filter (Figure 4E). Altogether, these results showed that the functional chromatin regions are mostly shared and conserved between Min6 cells and mouse islets, indicating that Min6 cells represent a pertinent  $\beta$ -cell model to explore the effect of HDAC inhibition at the genome-wide level.

# 359 3.4. HDAC inhibition differentially alters acetylation level of conserved 360 functional genomic regions in Min6 cells.

The correlation between the alteration of  $\beta$ -cell function and the increase of 361 histone acetylation in response to HDAC inhibition (Figure 1) prompted us to 362 interrogate the effects of TSA treatment on histone acetylation within previously 363 characterized conserved functional genomic regions in Min6 cells. To reach this aim, 364 Min6 cells were treated either with vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) or TSA (0.5 µM) during 16 365 hours and H3K9ac, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 were profiled through ChIP-seq 366 367 experiments (Figure 5A). Compared to the vehicle condition, TSA treatment led to the detection of a higher number of peaks for both H3K9ac (27438 for TSA versus 13771 368 for DMSO) and H3K27ac (66347 for TSA versus 13451 for DMSO) (Figure 5B). 369 Concomitantly, TSA treatment drastically reduced the number of peaks for H3K27me3 370 371 (17367 for DMSO versus 1253 for TSA) (Figure 5B). Consistent with the results from dot blot experiments (Figures 1A to D), these data however indicated that the increase 372 of H3K9ac and H3K27ac signal intensity in response to TSA treatment may not be only 373 related to an increase of signal intensity within constitutively acetylated regions but 374 also to an increase in the number of *de novo* acetylated genomic sites. This was 375 376 corroborated by the analysis of the genomic distribution of H3K9ac and H3K27ac in response to TSA treatment showing a significant redistribution of H3K9ac and 377 H3K27ac enriched regions especially towards gene bodies and distal genomic regions 378 for H3K9ac (Supplementary Figures 3A and B, H3K9ac) and gene bodies for H3K27ac 379 (Supplementary Figures 3C and D, H3K27ac). The genomic distribution of H3K27me3 380 was less modified suggesting that it was rather the loss of signal than the genomic 381 redistribution affecting H3K27me3 in response to TSA treatment (Supplementary 382 Figures 3E and F, H3K27me3). 383

Considering that a genomic redistribution of H3K9ac and H3K27ac occurred in 384 response to TSA treatment, we then hypothesized that the levels of H3K9ac and 385 H3K27ac acetylation could be altered within the conserved functional genomic regions 386 described above (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 2). In addition, the H3K27me3 387 signal was also monitored in these regions. First, a promoter-focused analysis 388 centered on the transcription start site (TSS) +/- 2.5 kb was performed. Surprisingly, 389 390 this analysis revealed that H3K9ac signal was completely blunted after treating Min6 cells with TSA within shared active promoters while the H3K27ac signal was weakly 391 affected or even slightly increased in the close vicinity of the promoters whereas 392 H3K27me3 signal was not modulated (Figure 5C). Conversely, an increase of H3K9ac 393 and, to a lesser extent, H3K27ac signal, associated with a decrease of H3K27me3, 394 was detected within shared bivalent promoters upon TSA condition (Figure 5D). 395 Considering shared inactive promoters, neither H3K9ac, H3K27ac nor H3K27me3 396 signal was modulated by TSA treatment (Supplementary Figure 4A). This promoter-397 based analysis at genome-wide level showed that TSA treatment led to distinct effects 398 on histone acetylation depending on the basal activation level of the promoters. 399 Acetylation level in response to TSA treatment was next interrogated within conserved 400 functional distal intergenic regions at genome-wide level focusing on the center of the 401 region +/- 2.5 kb. Within shared poised enhancers, both H3K9ac and H3K27ac signal 402 was increased whereas H3K27me3 signal was decreased (Figure 5E) while shared 403 active enhancers were weakly enriched with H3K9ac and H3K27ac without modulation 404 of H3K27me3 signal upon TSA treatment (Figure 5F). Finally, shared heterochromatin-405 focused analysis showed that H3K27me3 was decreased within these regions 406 whereas H3K9ac and H3K27ac signals were weakly affected (Supplementary Figure 407 4B). Taken together, these genome-wide analyses suggest that TSA treatment in Min6 408 cells differentially impacts acetylation profile depending on the type of functional 409 genomic regions. This implied that gene expression level could be directly affected by 410 411 these changes after genomic reprogramming of acetylation.

# 3.5. Genomic redistribution of histone acetylation upon HDAC inhibition directly reprograms the transcriptome in Min6 cells.

We interrogated the functional outcomes of the modulation of histone acetylation profile within conserved functional genomic regions through transcriptomewide analysis in Min6 cells. To reach this aim, RNA-seq was then performed on 16 h

vehicle- and TSA-treated Min6 cells. By applying an adjusted p-value cut-off threshold 417 at 0.05 (Padj<0.05), 5636 genes were significantly downregulated and 6571 genes 418 were significantly upregulated (Supplementary Table S3). Given this high number of 419 deregulated genes, we applied a second cut-off threshold based on Log2 fold change 420 (Log2FC) to select only the most deregulated genes. Using these two cut-off 421 thresholds, 2626 genes were downregulated (Padj<0.05, Log2FC<-1) and 3877 genes 422 were upregulated (Padj<0.05, Log2FC>1) upon TSA treatment (Figure 6A, 423 Supplementary Table S3). Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) revealed that 424 downregulated genes were associated with canonical pathways involved in 425 kinetochore metaphase signaling (-Log10(P)=16), mitotic roles of Polo-like kinase (-426 427 Log10(P)=9), but most interestingly, with insulin secretion (-Log10(P)=9), Maturity Onset of Diabetes of Young (MODY, -Log10(P)=7) and GPCR-mediated nutrient 428 429 sensing (-Log10(P)=5, Figure 6B, Supplementary Table S4). In line with this, several key  $\beta$ -cell genes were negatively affected by TSA treatment, such as Slc2a2, Nkx2-2, 430 431 G6pc2, Kcnj11, Mafa, Pdx1 or P2ry1 (Supplementary Figure 5A and Supplementary Table S3). Conversely, upregulated genes were associated to pathways unrelated to 432  $\beta$ -cell functions, such as axonal guidance, pulmonary fibrosis or cardiac hypertrophy 433 (Figure 6C, Supplementary Table S5). Based on these results, the deregulated genes 434 were classified according to the conserved functional genomic region with which they 435 were associated and the proportion of each group was plotted in a pie chart. Among 436 the downregulated genes, this analysis showed that more than 25% were genes with 437 an active promoter (Figure 6D) and 10% of the downregulated genes were associated 438 with heterochromatin regions. Genes associated with other functional genomic regions 439 were marginally represented (less than 15%). In addition, this analysis showed that 440 48% were not associated with any previously characterized functional genomic region, 441 likely due to a lower analytical power related to the restricted number of histone marks 442 profiled in this study. These results were directly correlated to the functional genomic 443 444 data showing that the active promoters harbored a drastic decrease in the H3K9ac signal potentially responsible to gene expression downregulation (Figure 5B). 445

Regarding upregulated genes, 46% were associated with silent functional genomic regions (bivalent promoter, 18%, heterochromatin, 23% and poised enhancers, 5%) while genes associated with active functional genomic regions were less represented (active promoter, 1% and active enhancers, 3%) (Figure 6E). Again,

48% of the downregulated genes were not associated with previously defined 450 functional genomic regions. This analysis however highlighted the direct link between 451 altered epigenomic profile and increased gene expression in response to TSA 452 treatment since previous data demonstrated that silent regions showed either an 453 enrichment in H3K9ac/H3K27ac associated with a depletion in H3K27me3 (i.e., 454 bivalent promoter and poised enhancers; Figures 5D and 5E, respectively) or only 455 depletion in H3K27me3 (i.e., heterochromatin; Supplementary Figure 4B). These 456 457 observations were exemplified through a series of selected  $\beta$ -cell (*Pdx1* and *Mafa*) and  $\alpha$ -cell markers (Arx and Mafb) demonstrating that  $\beta$ -cell genes were depleted in 458 H3K9ac/H3K27ac marks, whereas  $\alpha$ -cell genes were slightly increased in 459 H3K9ac/H3K27ac marks but depleted in H3K27me3 mark (Supplementary Figure 5C). 460

Consistent with the analysis of the effects of TSA on H3K9ac, H3K27ac and 461 H3K27me3 levels within the conserved functional genomic regions in Min6 cells, these 462 463 results showed a direct functional repercussion of the epigenomic alteration of these regions on the regulation of gene expression. This was all the more likely since the 464 465 genes associated with inactive promoters whose H3K9ac, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 profile were marginally altered in response to TSA treatment were not enriched neither 466 467 in downregulated genes (2.17%, Figure 6D) nor in upregulated genes (2.09%, Figure 6E). Gene ontology analysis using Metascape revealed that most of the downregulated 468 genes upon TSA treatment were associated to chromatin organization, RNA splicing 469 and insulin secretion (Figure 6F). Conversely, upregulated genes were enriched in 470 pathways that are unrelated to  $\beta$ -cell function, such as fat cell differentiation or lipid 471 biosynthetic process (Figure 6G). Altogether, these data suggest that TSA treatment 472 functionally affects cell fate through an epigenome-wide remodeling associated to 473 transcriptome changes that alter  $\beta$ -cell identity and function. 474

# 3.6. The transcriptome of FACS-sorted TSA-treated mouse β cells identifies partially conserved genomic mode of action of TSA-mediated HDAC inhibition.

Having demonstrated a direct link between the epigenome alteration and modulation of gene expression in Min6 cells in response to HDAC inhibition, we next sought to determine whether the transcriptome as well as the associated-chromatin features could be conserved in mouse  $\beta$  cells. Pancreatic islets of Langerhans isolated

from RIPCre-tdTomato mice expressing the red fluorescent protein td-Tomato under 482 the control of the β-cell specific Cre recombinase were treated by either vehicle (DMSO 483 0.1%) or TSA (0.5 µM, 16 h) and then subjected to FACS analysis to sort Tomato 484 positive cells, corresponding to a  $\beta$ -cell enriched population. RNA-seq experiment was 485 performed on this  $\beta$ -cell enriched population (Figure 7A). In order to compare these 486 data with those obtained in Min6 cells, an adjusted p-value and Log2FC cut-off 487 thresholds (Padj<0.05 and -1>Log2FC>1) were applied, respectively. In these 488 489 conditions, 1182 genes were significantly downregulated and 1331 genes were significantly upregulated upon TSA treatment (Figure 7B, Supplementary Table S6). 490 IPA further confirmed that downregulated genes from TSA-treated sorted  $\beta$ -cells were 491 492 mostly associated to insulin secretion and MODY signaling pathways (Figure 7C, Supplementary Table S7), whereas upregulated genes were found to be involved in 493 494 the regulation of axonal guidance signaling, molecular mechanisms of cancer or WNT/ β-catenin signaling (Figure 7D, Supplementary Table S8). 495

In order to focus our analysis on conserved transcriptomic pattern between 496 497 FACS-sorted  $\beta$  cells and Min6 cells, we next intersected the related dataset in a Venn diagram (Figure 7E). Among upregulated genes defined in FACS-sorted TSA-treated 498  $\beta$ -cells, 53% (694 genes) and 2% (26 genes) were shared with upregulated and 499 downregulated genes detected in Min6 cells, respectively. Of note, 46% of upregulated 500 genes were specific to FACS-sorted TSA-treated β-cell. Downregulated genes in TSA-501 treated β-cell sorted were also mostly conserved compared to downregulated genes 502 in TSA-treated Min6 cells since 53% were shared whereas only 5.6% were upregulated 503 in Min6 cells. TSA treatment also induced a β-cell sorted specific transcriptomic 504 signature since 41% of genes were not overlapping neither with downregulated nor 505 upregulated genes in Min6 cells. This analysis suggested that HDAC inhibition through 506 TSA treatment induced a transcriptomic signature resulting at least partly from 507 conserved molecular mechanisms between ex vivo TSA-treated  $\beta$ -cell and the Min6  $\beta$ -508 cell model. 509

To go further in the functional characterization of these conserved deregulated genes upon TSA treatment, a gene ontology analysis using IPA was conducted on both conserved down- and upregulated genes. Conserved downregulated genes were associated with biological processes mostly related to  $\beta$ -cell function (*e.g.*, regulation of hormone levels and endocrine pancreas development, Figure 7F) whereas

conserved upregulated genes were rather associated with biological processes 515 unrelated to  $\beta$ -cell function (*e.g.*, axon development and fat cell differentiation, Figure 516 7G). Altogether, this analysis suggested that the alteration of  $\beta$ -cell function upon 517 HDAC inhibition could be conserved and related to selective downregulation of β-cell 518 specific genes. To ascertain this hypothesis, we conducted a Gene Set Enrichment 519 Analysis (GSEA) to monitored β-cell specific gene set enrichment within conserved 520 downregulated genes (Supplementary Figure 5B). As expected, β-cell specific genes 521 were mostly enriched in vehicle- compared to TSA-treated  $\beta$ -cell-sorted, thus 522 supporting our gene ontology analysis. 523

With the aim to connect our transcriptomic data with functional genomic data 524 from mouse  $\beta$  cells, we next sought to determine the functional genomic regions to 525 which conserved deregulated genes were associated. By using the previously defined 526 conserved functional genomic regions (Figure 2B), we were able to demonstrate that 527 most of the conserved downregulated genes were associated to active regions (active 528 promoter, 42% and active enhancers, 13%) (Figure 7H) whereas upregulated genes 529 530 were rather associated to silent regions (bivalent promoter, 18%, poised enhancers, 6% and heterochromatin, 19%) (Figure 7I). Of note, these results were close to results 531 obtained in Min6 cells (Figures 6D and 6E) suggesting that a part of genomic regions 532 functionally affected upon TSA treatment were conserved between β-cell-sorted and 533 Min6 cells. 534

# 3.7. Transcriptome-wide analysis of EndoC-βH1 and human islets upon HDAC inhibition identifies conserved TSA-sensitive genes related to β-cell identity.

Given that HDAC inhibition led to an important redistribution of histone 538 acetylation at genome-wide level leading to a drastic modulation of gene expression at 539 transcriptome-wide level both in Min6 and mouse β-cell sorted cells, we next asked 540 whether TSA treatment could also reprogram the transcriptome of human  $\beta$  cells. 541 Consequently, EndoC-BH1 cells and human pancreatic islets were independently 542 treated either with vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) or TSA (0.5 µM) during 16 hours and the 543 resulting transcriptome was recorded through RNA-seq experiments as depicted in 544 Figures 8A and 8B, respectively. 545

In EndoC-BH1 cells, by applying an adjusted p-value cut-off threshold at 0.05 546 (Padj<0.05), 4717 genes were significantly downregulated and 5443 genes were 547 significantly upregulated (Supplementary Table S9). As in Min6 cells, we applied a 548 second cut-off threshold based on Log2 fold change (Log2FC) to select only the most 549 deregulated genes. Using these two cut-off thresholds, 2793 genes were 550 downregulated (Padj<0.05, Log2FC<-1), 3243 genes were upregulated (Padj<0.05, 551 Log2FC>1) upon TSA treatment (Figure 8C and Supplementary Table S9). These 552 results showed that the transcriptome of EndoC-BH1 cell line was highly sensitive to 553 554 and profoundly affected by TSA treatment, as observed in the Min6 cell line and FACSsorted  $\beta$  cells. Pathway analysis of downregulated genes suggested that TSA affects 555 556 pathways controlling cell cycle and cell proliferation, but also insulin secretion (Log(pVal)= 1.8; Z-score=-5.38; Figure 8D, Supplementary Tables S9 and S10). 557 558 Amongst genes that are downregulated and identified as  $\beta$ -cell identity genes [22], ABCC8, MAFA, GLP1R and PDX1 were found to be negatively affected. Conversely, 559 560 TSA-mediated upregulated genes were associated to canonical pathways that were unrelated to β-cell functions, such as fibrosis, axonal guidance or osteoarthritis 561 562 pathways (Figure 8E, Supplementary Tables S9 and S11). In non-diabetic human islets, 1099 genes were significantly downregulated and 854 genes were significantly 563 upregulated, after applying cut-off thresholds (Padj<0.05, -1>Log2FC>1, Figure 8F, 564 Supplementary Tables S12). Among the canonical pathways that were affected in 565 downregulated genes, phagosome formation, G-protein coupled receptor signaling 566 and CREB signaling in neurons were the most represented (Figure 8G and 567 Supplementary Table S13). Again, insulin secretion signaling pathway was found to 568 be affected by TSA treatment (Log(pVal)= 1.64; Z-score=-3.15; Supplementary Table 569 S13). For upregulated genes, IPA revealed that genes involved in pathways unrelated 570 to pancreatic islet function were enriched following TSA treatment, such as axonal 571 guidance signaling, synaptogenesis or semaphorin signaling pathways (Figure 8H and 572 573 Supplementary Table S14). Although we did not measure the GSIS of EndoC-βH1 and human islets in response to TSA treatment, these results suggest that TSA induces a 574 profound transcriptomic reprogramming in human  $\beta$ -cell line. 575

#### 576 **4. Discussion**

Here we show that blocking HDAC activity with TSA profoundly impairs insulin secretion. This functional effect is associated to an epigenomic reprogramming, associated to loss of  $\beta$ -cell identity genes, in mouse as well as in human  $\beta$  cells or islets. Although the contributions of HDACs to endocrine pancreas development are well circumscribed [23; 24], our results demonstrate that they also contribute to the maintenance of mature  $\beta$ -cell identity, plasticity and function.

It has been recently suggested that enhancement of ROS (reactive oxygen species) 583 production upon TSA treatment in Min6 cells could have negative effects of HDACi on 584 β-cell function [25]. An increase of IRS2 (Insulin Receptor Substrate) expression in 585 response to TSA treatment in Min6 cells has been reported to be causal of the 586 impairment of β-cell function upon HDAC inhibition [26]. Our transcriptomic analyses 587 reveal that TSA treatment decreases rather than increases IRS2 expression 588 (Supplementary Table S3) and mostly affects the expression of a large set of genes 589 590 involved in various biological process, suggesting that the effect of HDACi on  $\beta$ -cell function is probably more complex than anticipated. Indeed, among deregulated genes 591 in response to TSA treatment, most of  $\beta$ -cell specific genes are downregulated 592 whereas a series of  $\alpha$ -cell specific genes as well as genes unrelated to endocrine 593 594 functions are upregulated. In accordance with previous results from Kubicek and colleagues observed in TSA-treated murine  $\beta$ -TC6 cells [15], these results suggest that 595 global HDAC inhibition leads to an alternative transcriptional program leading to a 596 switch from  $\beta$ -cell identity towards an undefined alternative identity partly overlapping 597 with  $\alpha$ -cell identity. Also in line with the analysis restricted to some  $\beta$ -cell markers from 598 Yamoto E [25], our data confirm that  $\beta$ -cell identity is effectively directly impaired by 599 HDAC inhibition. 600

In this context, we demonstrate here that this alternative transcriptional program is likely consecutive to a drastic alteration of epigenome upon HDAC inhibition in  $\beta$ cell, especially through modification of histone acetylation profile within promoters. Whereas the definition of H3K9ac-enriched promoters at genome-wide level is consistent with global increase of histone acetylation level, it is much more counterintuitive to identify H3K9ac-depleted promoters upon HDAC inhibition. Indeed, 607 we found most of the  $\beta$ -cell specific genes promoters surprisingly H3K9ac-depeleted in response to HDAC inhibition and correlated to a decrease of gene expression. As 608 TSA is an unspecific class I and class II HDAC inhibitor, this experimental evidence 609 suggests that one or several class I and/or class II HDAC enzymes could play a direct 610 active role in the regulation of expression of genes involved in the  $\beta$ -cell identity. In 611 accordance with this hypothesis, class I (i.e., HDAC1, 2 and 3) and class IIb (i.e., 612 HDAC6) HDAC enzymes were shown to be bound within promoter of transcriptionally 613 active gene promoters in human CD4+ T cells [27]. However, we did not demonstrate 614 any involvement of neither HDAC1 nor HDAC6 in the direct regulation of 615 transcriptionally active genes in  $\beta$  cell (data not shown) suggesting that (*i*) conversely 616 617 to observations from CD4+ T cells, HDAC1 and HDAC6 are not directly involved in the regulation of  $\beta$ -cell specific genes, (*ii*) other HDAC could be implicated in this process 618 such as HDAC2 and/or HDAC3 [13; 27]. Alternatively, depletion of acetylation in 619 promoter of transcriptionally active genes upon HDAC inhibition in  $\beta$  cell could be 620 consecutive to the loss of a histone acetyl transferase enzyme binding within promoter 621 of these genes which has been recently proposed for CBP/p300 as an alternative 622 623 mechanistic model explaining promoter histone deacetylation in response to HDAC inhibition in endothelial cells [28]. 624

We also provided evidences that HDAC inhibition also leads to enhancer 625 remodeling. Enhancers are cell specific non-coding elements of the genome involved 626 in long-distance cell-specific regulation of gene expression directly related to cell 627 identity, especially  $\beta$ -cell identity [29]. It has been recently reported that the HDAC 628 629 inhibition using largazole induces a H3K27ac-depletion at enhancers in HCT116 cells [30] suggesting a role of HDAC in enhancer activity. Although it remains to 630 experimentally demonstrate that HDACs directly bind to  $\beta$ -cell enhancers, our results 631 emphasize a crucial role of HDAC enzymes in enhancer activity to maintain an 632 appropriate transcriptional pattern. At a mechanistic level, the level of enhancer activity 633 is especially directly related to the amount of local production of enhancers RNA 634 (eRNA) [31] playing a direct role in the regulation of enhancer target genes expression 635 [32] In addition, as eRNA are transcribed from active enhancers, they exhibit tissue 636 and lineage specificity, and serve as markers of cell state and function [33]. 637 Consequently, we hypothesize that remodeling of  $\beta$ -cell enhancers upon HDAC 638 inhibition could lead to an alteration of the  $\beta$ -cell specific eRNA expression profile, thus 639

partly contributing to affect specific gene expression profile as recently pointed out in human BT474 cells [34]. To date, eRNAs are not well characterized in  $\beta$  cells and the link between HDAC and eRNA needs to be further investigated to better define  $\beta$ -cell identity as well as improve molecular knowledge on  $\beta$ -cell function. It would be interesting to investigate the role of distinct HDAC using selective or specific HDACi [35].

Although this study connects the negative effects of HDACi on  $\beta$ -cell function to 646 the global remodeling of epigenome (*i.e.*, histone acetylation) at genome-wide level, 647 we cannot rule out that alteration of acetylome at the proteome-wide level upon HDAC 648 inhibition is related to the observed phenotype. Indeed, acetylation is a largely 649 widespread conserved post-translational modification also affecting non-histone 650 proteins/enzymes to regulate their functions [36]. As acetylome recently defined in rat 651 islet is enriched in metabolic pathways of  $\beta$  cells related to nutrient sensing [37], we 652 propose that HDAC inhibition using hydroxamic acid such as TSA leads to a global 653 protein deacetylation involved in these pathways impairing protein/enzyme functions 654 concomitantly to epigenome remodeling inducing in turn  $\beta$ -cell failure. Nevertheless, 655 given the specificity of action of TSA on class I and II HDAC, this implies that these 656 two classes of enzymes play a role on both nuclear and cytoplasmic non-histone 657 protein deacetylation process, which has not been yet reported in β cell whereas Sirt3 658 belonging to class III HDAC takes part to this process in rat Ins1 cells [37]. 659

In summary, based on a pharmacological approach using the pan-HDAC inhibitor TSA, we provide new information indicating that HDAC inhibition negatively acts on  $\beta$ -cell transcriptional program through epigenome-wide remodeling, leading to an alteration of  $\beta$ -cell functional properties. These results also indicate that  $\beta$ -cell identity is likely under the control of HDAC activity acting both on gene expression as well as enhancer activity. Other investigations are currently in progress to better define the role of each HDAC in this process.

#### 667 **Funding**

This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) grants 668 (EGID ANR-10-LABX-46; LIGAN-PM Equipex 2010 ANR-10-EQPX-07-01; ANR-16-669 IDEX-0004 ULNE; ANR BETAPLASTICITY ANR-17-CE14-0034), EFSD, INSERM, 670 CNRS, Université de Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille (CTRL Melodie), Fondation pour la 671 Recherche Médicale (FDT202106013015, EQU202103012732), I-SITE ULNE 672 (EpiRNAdiab Sustain grant), Conseil Régional Hauts de France, Métropole 673 Européenne de Lille, Société d'Accélération du Transfert de Technologie Nord and 674 Société Francophone du Diabète. 675

676

#### 677 Acknowledgments

- The authors thank the members of the INSERM U1283-EGID and INSERM UMR1167-
- 679 RID-AGE for helpful discussions. We are grateful to Yannick Campion for his support
- and his administrative contribution during this project. Human islets were provided
- through the JDRF award 31-2008-416 (ECIT Islet for Basic Research program). The
- authors thank "France Génomique" consortium (ANR-10-INBS-009), UMS2014-US41
- and the Experimental Resources platform from Université de Lille.

#### 684 Authors contributions

685 Conceptualization, F.O., and J.S.A.; Methodology, F.O., M.M., Am.B. and J.S.A.; 686 Investigation, F.O., M.M., M.D., B.T., L.B., C.B., E.D., S.A., Al.B., E.B., O.M.C., L.P.,

687 G.P., L.R., C.C., F.B. and E.C.; Resources, C.G., J.E., D.D., J.K.C., F.P., B.S., P.F.,

and Am.B.; Data curation, F.O., M.M, M.D., L.B., S.A., and Al.B.; Writing – original

draft, F.O., M.M., and J.S.A.; Writing – Review & Editing, J.E., P.F., and Am.B.;

- Visualization, F.O., M.M., and J.S.A.; Supervision, F.O., Am.B. and J.S.A.; Funding
- 691 acquisition, J.S.A.

692

## 693 **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

696

#### 697 Figure legends

Figure 1. The increase of histone H3 acetylation level upon TSA treatment is 698 correlated to alteration of functional properties of Min6 cells. (A) Dot blot kinetic 699 700 analysis of H3K9ac, H3K27ac and pan-acetylated histone H3 (Pan H3ac) levels upon TSA treatment (0.5 µM) in Min6 cells at the indicated time. Vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) was 701 702 used as negative control. (B-D) Densitometry analysis of A was performed by comparing the mean of signal at each time point to mean signal in vehicle (n=3). (E) 703 Dot blot analysis of H3K9ac, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 levels upon TSA treatment (0.5 704 µM, 16h) in Min6 cells. Vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) was used as negative control. (F-H) 705 Densitometry analysis of E is expressed as fold of H3K9ac (F), H3K27ac (G) and 706 707 H3K27me3 (H) signals in TSA-treated cells compared to signals in vehicle-treated cells (n=3). (I) Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion of TSA-treated cells (0.5 µM, 16h, n=7). 708 Vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) was used as a control. Results are presented as fold insulin 709 secretion in response to 20 mM glucose compared to 2.8 mM glucose +/- SEM. (J) 710 Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of key  $\beta$ -cell identity genes in vehicle and TSA-treated 711 Min6 cells (n=3). Results in B, C, D, F, G, H, I, J are displayed as means +/- SEM. \* 712 p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\*\*\* p<0.0001. 713

Figure 2. Histone marks profiling by ChIP-seq defines distinct functional 714 715 genomic regions in Min6 cells. (A) Characterization of active promoters in Min6 cells. Heatmap displayed signal centered on transcription start site (TSS) +/- 2.5kb for 716 717 H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 within each active promoter (n=8303 regions, 2549 genes). Mean signal centered on TSS +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me3, H3K27ac and 718 H3K27me3 within active promoters is displayed. (B) Characterization of bivalent 719 promoters in Min6 cells. Heatmap displayed signal centered on TSS +/- 2.5kb for 720 H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 within each bivalent promoter (n=3685 regions, 1323 721 genes). Mean signal centered on TSS +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 within 722 bivalent promoters is displayed. (C) Characterization of inactive promoters in Min6 723 cells. Heatmap displayed signal centered on TSS +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me3, H3K27ac 724 and H3K27me3 within each inactive promoter (n=7632 genes). Mean signal centered 725 on TSS +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 within inactive promoters is 726 displayed. (D) Characterization of active enhancers in Min6 cells. Heatmap displayed 727 signal centered on the center of the regions +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me1 and H3K27ac 728 within each active enhancer (n=1597 regions). Mean signal centered on the center of 729

the regions +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me1 and H3K27ac within active enhancers is displayed. 730 (E) Characterization of poised enhancers in Min6 cells. Heatmap displayed signal 731 centered on the center of the regions +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me1, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 732 within each poised enhancer (n=683 regions). Mean signal centered on centered on 733 the center of the regions +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me1, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 within 734 poised enhancers is displayed. (F) Characterization of heterochromatin in Min6 cells. 735 Heatmap displayed signal centered on the center of the regions +/- 2.5kb for 736 H3K4me1, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 within heterochromatin (n=21292 regions). Mean 737 signal centered on centered on the center of the regions +/- 2.5kb for H3K4me1, 738 H3K27ac and H3K27me3 within heterochromatin is displayed. 739

Figure 3. Functional genomic regions in Min6 cells are directly associated with 740 gene expression level and distinct biological processes. (A) RNA-seq based 741 expression level of genes associated with functional genomic regions in Min6 cells. 742 Results are displayed as mean of Log2(TPM+1) for each functional genomic regions 743 associated-genes. The violin plot displays median and guartile values for each group 744 745 of genes. (B) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of active promoter associated-genes was performed using Metascape by filtering output only on GO Biological process. The 5 746 more enriched GO Biological processes are displayed as -Log10(q) (i.e., -747 Log10(Padj)). (C) GO was performed using Genomic Regions Enrichment of 748 Annotations Tool (GREAT) following the two nearest genes association rule (cut-749 off<1000kb). The 5 more enriched GO biological processes are displayed as -Log10(g) 750 (*i.e.*, -Log10(Padj)). (D) GO analysis was performed using Metascape by filtering 751 output only on GO Biological process. The 5 more enriched GO Biological processes 752 are displayed as -Log10(q) (*i.e.*, -Log10(Padj)). (E) GO analysis was performed using 753 GREAT following the two nearest genes association rule (cut-off<1000kb). The 5 more 754 enriched GO biological processes are displayed as -Log10(q) (*i.e.*, -Log10(Padj)). (F) 755 GO analysis was performed using GREAT following the two nearest genes association 756 rule (cut-off<1000kb). The 5 more enriched GO biological processes are displayed as 757 -Log10(q) (*i.e.*, -Log10(Padj)). 758

Figure 4. Functional genomic regions in Min6 cells are partly conserved with functional genomic segments in mouse islets of Langerhans. (A) Percentage overlap of H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K4me1 and H3K27me3 peaks in Min6 cells with the distinct genomic segments (A to J, active segments; K to U, silent segments; V to

X, others segments) from mouse pancreatic islets chromatin segmentation [21]. Peaks 763 for each histone mark were intersected with each mouse islets chromatin segment to 764 define the overlap associated-percentage. Results are displayed as heatmap scaled 765 on this percentage of overlap. (B) Percentage overlap of functional genomic regions in 766 Min6 cells with the distinct genomic segments from mouse islets of Langerhans 767 chromatin segmentation [21]. Each specific functional genomic region was intersected 768 with each mouse pancreatic islets chromatin segment to define the overlap associated-769 770 percentage. Results are displayed as heatmap scaled on this percentage of overlap. (C-D) Examples of conserved functional genomic regions. Figures are adapted from 771 the IGB genome browser screenshots and genomic coordinates are indicated. (E) 772 773 RNA-seq based expression level of genes associated with conserved functional genomic regions in Min6 cells (active promoters: 2370 genes, bivalent promoters: 1191 774 genes, inactive promoters: 3055 genes, active enhancers: 837 genes, poised 775 enhancers: 648 genes, heterochromatin: 3308 genes). Results are displayed as mean 776 777 of Log2(TPM+1) for each conserved functional genomic regions associated-genes. The violin plot displays median and quartile values for each group of genes. 778

Figure 5. TSA treatment leads to a genomic redistribution of H3K9ac, H3K27ac
and H3K27me3. (A) Scheme representing the strategy used in Min6 cells. (B) Number
of H3K9ac, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 peaks in vehicle- and TSA-treated Min6 cells.
(C-F) H3K9ac, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 signal in conserved active promoters (C),
bivalent promoters (D), poised enhancers (E) and active enhancers (F) in vehicle- and
TSA-treated Min6 cells. Heatmaps and mean signals centered on TSS +/- 2.5kb are
represented.

Figure 6. Redistribution of histone acetylation at genome-wide level upon TSA 786 treatment in Min6 cells is associated with differential gene expression at 787 transcriptome-wide level. (A) Volcano plot displaying downregulated (red circles), 788 upregulated (green circles) and not deregulated genes (black circles) in TSA-treated 789 cells compared to vehicle-treated cells according to two cut-off thresholds based on 790 adjusted p-value (Padj) and Log2 fold change (Log2FC). (B-C) Ingenuity Pathway 791 analysis (IPA) of downregulated (B) and upregulated (C) genes in Min6 cells upon TSA 792 treatment. (D-E) Pie chart displaying proportion of TSA-dependent downregulated (D) 793 and upregulated (E) genes in Min6 cells associated with a specific functional genomic 794

region. (F-G) Metascape analysis of genes with an active promoter that aredownregulated (F) and upregulated (G) upon TSA treatment.

Figure 7. Transcriptome of FACS-sorted β-cell from *ex vivo* TSA-treated mouse 797 798 Langerhans islets is partly shared with Min6 cells. (A) Schematic representation of β-cell preparation from mouse Langerhans islets. (B) Volcano plot displaying 799 800 downregulated (red circles), upregulated (green circles) and not deregulated genes (black circles) in  $\beta$ -cell from TSA-treated mouse Langerhans islets compared to  $\beta$ -cell 801 from vehicle-treated mouse Langerhans islets according to two cut-off thresholds 802 based on adjusted p-value (Padj) and Log2 fold change (Log2FC). (C-D) Top 5 803 canonical pathways identified by IPA of downregulated (C) and upregulated (D) genes 804 in TSA-treated mouse sorted- $\beta$  cells. (E) Venn diagram identifying common TSA-805 dependent up- and downregulated genes between Min6 cells and β-cell from mouse 806 Langerhans islets. (F-G) GO analysis of common TSA-dependent downregulated (F) 807 and upregulated (G) genes. Gene ontology analysis was performed using Metascape 808 by filtering output only on GO Biological process. The 5 more enriched GO Biological 809 810 processes are displayed as -Log10(q) (*i.e.*, -Log10(Padj)). (H-I) Pie chart displaying proportion of TSA-dependent downregulated (H) and upregulated (I) genes in  $\beta$ -cell 811 from mouse Langerhans islets associated with a specific functional genomic region. 812

Figure 8. (A-B) Schematic representation of EndoC-βH1 and human islets treatment 813 814 by TSA and subsequent RNA-seq analysis. (C) Volcano plot displaying downregulated (red circles), upregulated (green circles) and not deregulated genes (black circles) in 815 EndoC-βH1 control and TSA-treated cells. (D-E) Top 5 canonical pathways identified 816 817 by IPA of downregulated (D) and upregulated (E) genes in TSA-treated EndoC-βH1 cells. (F) Volcano plot displaying downregulated (red circles), upregulated (green 818 819 circles) and not deregulated genes (black circles) in TSA-treated human islet compared to untreated controls. (G-H) Top 5 canonical pathways identified by IPA of 820 downregulated (D) and upregulated (E) genes in TSA-treated human pancreatic islets. 821

#### 822 **References**

Huising, M.O., 2020. Paracrine regulation of insulin secretion. Diabetologia
63(10):2057-2063.

Jennings, R.E., Scharfmann, R., Staels, W., 2020. Transcription factors that
 shape the mammalian pancreas. Diabetologia 63(10):1974-1980.

Rabhi, N., Hannou, S.A., Froguel, P., Annicotte, J.-S., 2017. Cofactors As
Metabolic Sensors Driving Cell Adaptation in Physiology and Disease. Front
Endocrinol (Lausanne) 8.

- [4] de Ruijter, A.J., van Gennip, A.H., Caron, H.N., Kemp, S., van Kuilenburg,
  A.B., 2003. Histone deacetylases (HDACs): characterization of the classical HDAC
  family. Biochem J 370(Pt 3):737-749.
- 833 [5] Mouchiroud, L., Eichner, L.J., Shaw, R.J., Auwerx, J., 2014. Transcriptional 834 coregulators: fine-tuning metabolism. Cell Metab 20(1):26-40.

[6] Wang, Z., Song, J., Milne, T.A., Wang, G.G., Li, H., Allis, C.D., et al., 2010.
Pro isomerization in MLL1 PHD3-bromo cassette connects H3K4me readout to
CyP33 and HDAC-mediated repression. Cell 141(7):1183-1194.

McClure, J.J., Li, X., Chou, C.J., 2018. Advances and Challenges of HDAC
 Inhibitors in Cancer Therapeutics. Adv Cancer Res 138:183-211.

[8] Larsen, L., Tonnesen, M., Ronn, S.G., Storling, J., Jorgensen, S., Mascagni,
P., et al., 2007. Inhibition of histone deacetylases prevents cytokine-induced toxicity
in beta cells. Diabetologia 50(4):779-789.

- [9] Chou, D.H., Holson, E.B., Wagner, F.F., Tang, A.J., Maglathlin, R.L., Lewis,
  T.A., et al., 2012. Inhibition of histone deacetylase 3 protects beta cells from
  cytokine-induced apoptosis. Chem Biol 19(6):669-673.
- [10] Lindelov Vestergaard, A., Heiner Bang-Berthelsen, C., Floyel, T., Lucien Stahl,
  J., Christen, L., Taheri Sotudeh, F., et al., 2018. MicroRNAs and histone deacetylase
  inhibition-mediated protection against inflammatory beta-cell damage. PLoS One
  13(9):e0203713.
- [11] Khan, S., Jena, G., 2016. Valproic Acid Improves Glucose Homeostasis by
  Increasing Beta-Cell Proliferation, Function, and Reducing its Apoptosis through
  HDAC Inhibition in Juvenile Diabetic Rat. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 30(9):438-446.
- [12] Lundh, M., Galbo, T., Poulsen, S.S., Mandrup-Poulsen, T., 2015. Histone
  deacetylase 3 inhibition improves glycaemia and insulin secretion in obese diabetic
  rats. Diabetes Obes Metab 17(7):703-707.
- [13] Remsberg, J.R., Ediger, B.N., Ho, W.Y., Damle, M., Li, Z., Teng, C., et al.,
  2017. Deletion of histone deacetylase 3 in adult beta cells improves glucose
  tolerance via increased insulin secretion. Mol Metab 6(1):30-37.

[14] Ye, J., 2013. Improving insulin sensitivity with HDAC inhibitor. Diabetes 62(3):685-687.

[15] Kubicek, S., Gilbert, J.C., Fomina-Yadlin, D., Gitlin, A.D., Yuan, Y., Wagner,
F.F., et al., 2012. Chromatin-targeting small molecules cause class-specific
transcriptional changes in pancreatic endocrine cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
109(14):5364-5369.

[16] Kerr-Conte, J., Vandewalle, B., Moerman, E., Lukowiak, B., Gmyr, V.,
Arnalsteen, L., et al., 2010. Upgrading pretransplant human islet culture technology
requires human serum combined with media renewal. Transplantation 89(9):11541160.

869 [17] Herrera, P.L., 2000. Adult insulin- and glucagon-producing cells differentiate 870 from two independent cell lineages. Development 127(11):2317-2322.

[18] Bourouh, C., Courty, E., Rolland, L., Pasquetti, G., Gromada, X., Rabhi, N., et
al., 2022. The transcription factor E2F1 controls the GLP-1 receptor pathway in
pancreatic beta cells. Cell Rep 40(6):111170.

[19] Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A.H., Tanaseichuk,
O., et al., 2019. Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of
systems-level datasets. Nat Commun 10(1):1523.

[20] McLean, C.Y., Bristor, D., Hiller, M., Clarke, S.L., Schaar, B.T., Lowe, C.B., et
al., 2010. GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. Nat
Biotechnol 28(5):495-501.

Lu, T.T., Heyne, S., Dror, E., Casas, E., Leonhardt, L., Boenke, T., et al.,
2018. The Polycomb-Dependent Epigenome Controls beta Cell Dysfunction,
Dedifferentiation, and Diabetes. Cell Metab 27(6):1294-1308 e1297.

[22] van Gurp, L., Fodoulian, L., Oropeza, D., Furuyama, K., Bru-Tari, E., Vu, A.N.,
et al., 2022. Generation of human islet cell type-specific identity genesets. Nat
Commun 13(1):2020.

[23] Haumaitre, C., Lenoir, O., Scharfmann, R., 2008. Histone deacetylase
inhibitors modify pancreatic cell fate determination and amplify endocrine progenitors.
Mol Cell Biol 28(20):6373-6383.

[24] Lenoir, O., Flosseau, K., Ma, F.X., Blondeau, B., Mai, A., Bassel-Duby, R., et
al., 2011. Specific control of pancreatic endocrine beta- and delta-cell mass by class
Ila histone deacetylases HDAC4, HDAC5, and HDAC9. Diabetes 60(11):2861-2871.

[25] Yamato, E., 2018. High dose of histone deacetylase inhibitors affects insulin
 secretory mechanism of pancreatic beta cell line. Endocr Regul 52(1):21-26.

[26] Kawada, Y., Asahara, S.I., Sugiura, Y., Sato, A., Furubayashi, A., Kawamura,
M., et al., 2017. Histone deacetylase regulates insulin signaling via two pathways in
pancreatic beta cells. PLoS One 12(9):e0184435.

[27] Wang, Z., Zang, C., Cui, K., Schones, D.E., Barski, A., Peng, W., et al., 2009.
Genome-wide mapping of HATs and HDACs reveals distinct functions in active and
inactive genes. Cell 138(5):1019-1031.

[28] Rafehi, H., Balcerczyk, A., Lunke, S., Kaspi, A., Ziemann, M., Kn, H., et al.,
2014. Vascular histone deacetylation by pharmacological HDAC inhibition. Genome
Res 24(8):1271-1284.

903 [29] Pasquali, L., Gaulton, K.J., Rodriguez-Segui, S.A., Mularoni, L., Miguel904 Escalada, I., Akerman, I., et al., 2014. Pancreatic islet enhancer clusters enriched in
905 type 2 diabetes risk-associated variants. Nat Genet 46(2):136-143.

[30] Sanchez, G.J., Richmond, P.A., Bunker, E.N., Karman, S.S., Azofeifa, J.,
Garnett, A.T., et al., 2018. Genome-wide dose-dependent inhibition of histone
deacetylases studies reveal their roles in enhancer remodeling and suppression of
oncogenic super-enhancers. Nucleic Acids Res 46(4):1756-1776.

[31] Danko, C.G., Hyland, S.L., Core, L.J., Martins, A.L., Waters, C.T., Lee, H.W.,
et al., 2015. Identification of active transcriptional regulatory elements from GRO-seq
data. Nat Methods 12(5):433-438.

[32] Melo, C.A., Drost, J., Wijchers, P.J., van de Werken, H., de Wit, E., Oude
Vrielink, J.A., et al., 2013. eRNAs are required for p53-dependent enhancer activity
and gene transcription. Mol Cell 49(3):524-535.

[33] Arnold, P.R., Wells, A.D., Li, X.C., 2019. Diversity and Emerging Roles of
Enhancer RNA in Regulation of Gene Expression and Cell Fate. Front Cell Dev Biol
7:377.

[34] Greer, C.B., Tanaka, Y., Kim, Y.J., Xie, P., Zhang, M.Q., Park, I.H., et al.,
2015. Histone Deacetylases Positively Regulate Transcription through the Elongation
Machinery. Cell Rep 13(7):1444-1455.

[35] Xu, W.S., Parmigiani, R.B., Marks, P.A., 2007. Histone deacetylase inhibitors:
 molecular mechanisms of action. Oncogene 26(37):5541-5552.

[36] Zhao, S., Xu, W., Jiang, W., Yu, W., Lin, Y., Zhang, T., et al., 2010. Regulation of cellular metabolism by protein lysine acetylation. Science 327(5968):1000-1004.

[37] Zhang, Y., Zhou, F., Bai, M., Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Zhu, Q., et al., 2019. The
pivotal role of protein acetylation in linking glucose and fatty acid metabolism to betacell function. Cell Death Dis 10(2):66.

929





-2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5

-2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5

-2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5



С

Α

Ε









D

Shared bivalent promoter



-2.5 TSS +2.5 -2.5 TSS +2.5

# Oger *et al.*, Figure 5 (followed)

#### Shared poised enhancers



-2.5 center+2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 center+2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 center+2.



-2.5 center+2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 cente



-2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5 -2.5 center+2.5

Ε

F





GO Terms

-Log(P)

8

Heterochromatin (19.16%)

Others (50.04%)



