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ON THE DYNAMICAL MANIN-MUMFORD CONJECTURE FOR PLANE POLYNOMIAL MAPS

ROMAIN DUJARDIN, CHARLES FAVRE, AND MATTEO RUGGIERO

Abstract. We prove the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture for regular polynomial maps of \( A^2 \) and irreducible curves avoiding super-attracting orbits at infinity, over any field of characteristic 0.
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Introduction

The dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture for polarized endomorphisms of algebraic varieties, first formulated by S.-W. Zhang in two influential papers [32 Conjecture 2.5] and [33 Conjecture 1.2.1], has been a driving force for the development of the field of arithmetic dynamics. It was realized by Ghioca, Tucker and Zhang [19] and Pazuki [26] that the original formulation of the conjecture was too optimistic, and a modified conjecture was proposed in [19] and more recently in [18]. It can be stated as follows: let \( f : X \to X \) be a polarized endomorphism of a smooth projective variety over a field of characteristic zero, and \( Z \subset X \) be a subvariety containing a Zariski dense set of periodic points. Then either \( Z \) is preperiodic or \( Z \) is special, in the sense that it is contained in some subvariety \( Y \) that is both \( f^n \)- and \( \psi \)-invariant, for some \( n \geq 1 \), where \( \psi \) is another polarized endomorphism commuting with \( f^n \). Recall that an endomorphism is said to be polarized if there is an ample line bundle \( L \to X \) such that \( f^*L \simeq L^d \) for some \( d \geq 2 \). A basic example is that of non-invertible endomorphisms of \( \mathbb{P}^k \), for which we can take \( L = O(1) \) and \( d \) is the degree of \( f \).

Despite its importance, very few cases of the conjecture have been settled so far. One first case is of course the original Manin-Mumford conjecture, which was solved by Raynaud [27 28]. Viewed as a dynamical statement, it deals with endomorphisms of...
Abelian varieties, and was generalized to related settings, such as commutative algebraic groups (see Hindry [22], and also Lang’s classical paper [25]). Uniform versions involving height bounds were subsequently obtained by S.-W. Zhang, David and Philippon, Chambert-Loir and others. We refer to the recent work of Kühne [24] in the semi-abelian case for a latest update, and more references. Closer to algebraic dynamics is the case of polarized endomorphisms of \((\mathbb{P}^1)^k\), which was solved by Ghioca, Nguyen and Ye [17, 16] (see also [18]). Note that such mappings are of product type, that is of the form \(f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = (f_1(x_1), \ldots, f_k(x_k))\), so their dynamical complexity reduces to dimension 1, which is a key step in the proof.

In this paper we will establish the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture for a wide class of 2-dimensional examples, whose dynamical behavior is truly higher dimensional. Note that besides polarized endomorphisms, a partial answer to the conjecture was given in [11] for plane polynomial automorphisms.

Let \(k\) be any field of characteristic zero. If required we fix an algebraic closure \(k^{\text{alg}}\) of \(k\). Let \(f : \mathbb{A}^2_k \to \mathbb{A}^2_k\) be a polynomial self-map of the affine plane of degree \(d \geq 2\), which in coordinates is written as

\[ f(z, w) = (P(z, w), Q(z, w)), \]

with \(P, Q \in k[x, y]\). We say that \(f\) is regular if it extends to an endomorphism of \(\mathbb{P}^2_k\) of degree \(d \geq 2\); this means that \(P = P_d + \text{l.o.t.}\) and \(Q = Q_d + \text{l.o.t.}\), where \(P_d\) and \(Q_d\) are homogeneous polynomials of degree \(d\) without common factors. In particular \(f\) induces a rational map \(f_\infty := [P_d : Q_d]\) on the line at infinity, which is fixed. Note that any endomorphism of \(\mathbb{P}^2_k\) with a totally invariant line is conjugate to a regular polynomial map, and that a generic polynomial map of \(\mathbb{A}^2\) whose components are polynomials of degree \(\leq d\) is regular.

For regular polynomial maps of \(\mathbb{A}^2\), it seems that none of the known obstructions to the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture can arise. As said above, according to [18], one obstruction would be the existence of a periodic curve \(C\) for some endomorphism \(\psi\) commuting with \(f\). Such pairs \((f, \psi)\) were classified in [8] over \(\mathbb{C}\) (see also [9, 23]), and after a ramified cover they are all induced from a product map or a monomial map on the multiplicative 2-torus. Thanks to [17] it appears that in any such case, \(C\) must be also \(f\)-preperiodic. Thus we expect that the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture holds unconditionally in this case. Our main result confirms this expectation in the vast majority of cases.

**Theorem A.** Let \(f\) be a regular polynomial endomorphism of \(\mathbb{A}^2\) of degree \(d \geq 2\), defined over an arbitrary field \(k\) of characteristic 0.

Let \(C \subset \mathbb{A}^2\) be an irreducible algebraic curve containing infinitely many preperiodic points of \(f\), and suppose that the closure of \(C\) in \(\mathbb{P}^2\) contains a point \(p \in L_\infty\) which is not eventually superattracting. Then \(C\) is preperiodic under \(f\).

**Corollary B.** Under the assumptions of the theorem, if \(f\) has no super-attracting points on the line at infinity, then any irreducible algebraic curve \(C \subset \mathbb{P}^2\) containing infinitely many periodic points is preperiodic, that is, the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture holds for \(f\).
Our strategy relies on techniques from Arakelov geometry, in particular on the notion of canonical height, which is now classical in arithmetic dynamics, together with a variety of techniques from holomorphic and non-Archimedean dynamics.

We first assume that $k$ is a number field, in which case we prove a stronger statement (Theorem 3.1). We first recall in Section 1 that there exists a canonical height $h_f$ on $\mathbb{A}^2(k_{\text{alg}})$, for which preperiodic points are exactly points of zero height. By a theorem of Zhang, all points of $C$ lying at infinity are preperiodic, hence, by replacing $C$ by some iterate we may assume that they are periodic, and, thanks to our assumptions, one of these periodic points is not superattracting for $f_\infty$. Fix such a point $p \in \overline{C} \cap L_\infty$. A second consequence of Zhang’s theorem is that for each place $v$ of $k$, the dynamical Green function $g_{f,v}(z, w) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log^+ \| f^n(z, w) \|$ satisfies

\[
\int_C g_{f,v} \, dd^c g_{f,v} = 0.
\]

To make sense of this equality at a finite place, we consider the Berkovich analytification of $C$ and $dd^c g_{f,v}$ is the Laplacian of the subharmonic function $g_{f,v}|_C$ in the sense of Thuillier, see [31].

For an appropriate choice of $v$, we may suppose that the periodic point $p$ is either repelling or parabolic for $f_\infty$. In both cases, we construct in Section 2 a local (super-)stable manifold $W_{\text{ss}}^{loc}(p)$. When $p$ is repelling and $v$ is Archimedean this is classical. We extend this construction to the non-Archimedean setting, and allow for non-repelling $p$ (see Theorem 2.1). Then, in both (repelling or parabolic) cases we establish graph transform type estimates which will be useful for the local analysis of the Green function at $p$. When $p$ is parabolic this borrows from the work of Hakim [20].

In Section 3 we combine these estimates with condition (1) to show that, near $p$, $C$ must locally coincide with $W_{\text{ss}}^{loc}(p)$, which finally implies that $C$ is periodic. Note that a similar argument appears in the recent work [15].

A key point in this argument is that at the chosen place $v$, $p$ belongs to the Julia set of $f_\infty$. This is no longer true when $f_\infty$ is superattracting at $p$, and we are not able to conclude in this case. Still, this situation leads to interesting dynamical considerations and objects, and we plan to come back to this issue in a later work.

Finally, we develop a specialization argument in Section 4 to reduce Theorem A for an arbitrary $k$ to the case where $k$ is a number field. So here we rather deal with an algebraic family of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2$ parameterized by some algebraic variety $S$. The main issue is to ensure that for such a family, an infinite set of preperiodic points cannot shrink to a finite set too often on $S$. To do so, one needs to control collisions of periodic points (using the Shub-Sullivan theorem [29] in the spirit of [11]); and the splitting of local preimages of a super-attracting cycle, a phenomenon that was studied in particular by Chio and Roeder [7].

1. Dynamical heights

In this section, we recall some basic facts on canonical heights attached to endomorphisms of the projective plane defined over a number field. Our purpose is to establish Proposition 1.7 which is the key arithmetic geometry input in our main theorem.
Throughout this section, we assume that $\mathbb{k}$ is a number field.

1.1. **Vocabulary of number fields.** We denote by $M_\mathbb{k} = \{ v \}$ the set of places of $\mathbb{k}$, that is, the set of all multiplicative norms $| \cdot |_v$ on $\mathbb{k}$ that restrict to either the standard euclidean norm $| \cdot |_\infty$, or to a $p$-adic norm $| \cdot |_p$ on $\mathbb{Q}$ for some prime number $p > 1$. We normalize the $p$-adic norm by $|p|_p = p^{-1}$. We let $w_v$ be the completion of $\mathbb{k}$ w.r.t. $| \cdot |_v$, and write $n_v := |\mathbb{k}_v : \mathbb{Q}_v|$. The product formula asserts that for any $a \in \mathbb{k}$, we have

$$
\prod_{v \in M_\mathbb{k}} |a|_v^{n_v} = 1 .
$$

The set $M_\mathbb{k}$ splits into the finite set $M_\mathbb{k}^\infty$ of Archimedean places (whose restriction to $\mathbb{Q}$ is $| \cdot |_\infty$), and the set of finite (or non-Archimedean) places.

When $v \in M_\mathbb{k}^\infty$, the algebraic closure $\mathbb{C}_v$ of $\mathbb{k}_v$ is isometric to $\mathbb{C}$. When $v$ is a finite place extending $| \cdot |_p$ on $\mathbb{Q}$, then $| \cdot |_v$ extends canonically to $\mathbb{k}_v^{alg}$, and its completion $\mathbb{C}_v$ is both complete and algebraically closed.

1.2. **Regular polynomial maps.** Let $(z, w)$ be affine coordinates on the affine plane $\mathbb{A}_k^2$. We also consider homogeneous coordinates $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2]$ on the projective plane $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ and identify the affine plane $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ with the Zariski open set $z_0 \neq 0$ so that $z = z_1/z_0$ and $w = z_2/z_0$. We denote by $L_\infty = \{ z_0 = 0 \}$ the line at infinity.

Let $f : \mathbb{A}_k^2 \to \mathbb{A}_k^2$ be any polynomial self-map of the affine plane of degree $d \geq 2$ that extends to an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}_k^2$. In the coordinates $z, w$, it is given by

$$
f(z, w) = (P(z, w), Q(z, w)),
$$
where $P, Q \in \mathbb{k}[z, w]$ satisfy $\max\{ \deg P, \deg Q \} = d$. The fact that $f$ extends to a regular endomorphism $\overline{f} : \mathbb{P}_k^2 \to \mathbb{P}_k^2$ is equivalent to say that $P = P_d + \text{l.o.t.}$ and $Q = Q_d + \text{l.o.t.}$, where $P_d$ and $Q_d$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ without common factors.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $f^n(z, w) = (P_n(z, w), Q_n(z, w))$. The restriction of $f_\infty$ to $L_\infty$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ given in homogeneous coordinates by

$$
f_\infty([z_1 : z_2]) = [P_d(z_1, z_2) : Q_d(z_1, z_2)].
$$

1.3. **Green functions.** The next proposition follows from the Nullstellensatz (see e.g. [30, Theorem 3.11]).

**Proposition 1.1.** For any $v \in M_\mathbb{k}$, there exists a constant $C_v \geq 1$ such that

$$
C_v^{-1} \leq \frac{\max\{1, |P(z, w)|_v, |Q(z, w)|_v\}}{\max\{1, |z|_v, |w|_v\}^d} \leq C_v
$$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}_v$. Moreover, for all but finitely many $v \in M_\mathbb{k}$ we may take $C_v = 1$.

By the previous proposition, the sequence of functions

$$
g_{v, n}(z, w) := \frac{1}{dn} \log \max\{1, |P_n(z, w)|_v, |Q_n(z, w)|_v\}
$$

converges uniformly on $\mathbb{C}_v^2$ to a continuous function $g_v$, and the next proposition follows.
Proposition 1.2. For any \( v \in M_k \), the function \( g_v : \mathbb{C}_v^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) is continuous, it satisfies the invariance equation \( g_v \circ f = dg_v \), and we have
\[
|g_v(z, w) - \log \max \{1, |z|_v, |w|_v\}| \leq \frac{\log C_v}{d - 1}.
\]
The set \( \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}_v^2, g_v(z, w) = 0\} \) coincides with the set of points having bounded orbits.

We shall also consider the global Green function in \( \mathbb{C}_v^3 \). Write \( \tilde{P}(z_0, z_1, z_2) = z_0^d P(\frac{z_1}{z_0}, \frac{z_2}{z_0}) \) and \( \tilde{Q}(z_0, z_1, z_2) = z_0^d Q(\frac{z_1}{z_0}, \frac{z_2}{z_0}) \) so that \( F(z_0, z_1, z_2) = (z_0^d, \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}) \) is a homogenous map of degree \( d \) that lifts \( f \) to \( \mathbb{C}_v^3 \). Observe that in homogenous coordinates, \([3]\) can be rewritten as follows:
\[
C_v^{-1} \leq \frac{\max\{|z_0|^d, |\tilde{P}(z_0, z_1, z_2)|_v, |\tilde{Q}(z_0, z_1, z_2)|_v\}}{\max\{|z_0|_v, |z_1|_v, |z_2|_v\}^d} \leq C_v
\]
so that the next result also holds.

Proposition 1.3. The function \( G_v(z_0, z_1, z_2) = g_v(z_1/z_0, z_2/z_0) + \log |z_0| \) is continuous on \( \mathbb{C}_v^3 \setminus \{0\} \), 1-homogeneous (that is, \( G_v(\lambda Z) = \log |\lambda| + G_v(Z) \)), and satisfies \( G_v \circ F = dG_v \). We have
\[
|G_v(z_0, z_1, z_2) - \log \max\{|z_0|_v, |z_1|_v, |z_2|_v\}| \leq \frac{\log C_v}{d - 1}.
\]
The set \( \{(z_0, z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}_v^3, G_v(z_0, z_1, z_2) \leq 0\} \) coincides with the set of points having bounded F-orbits.

1.4. Canonical heights on points. We refer to [6] for generalities on heights. Consider the line bundle on \( \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2 \). The space of sections of this line bundle can be canonically identified with the space of linear forms \( a_0 z_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 \) with \( a_i \in k \). More precisely, in the trivialization of the bundle over \( \{z_i \neq 0\} \), this section is given by \( \frac{1}{z_i} a_0 z_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 \).

For any \( v \in M_k \), we consider the line bundle \( \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2 \) and endow it with the metrization \( |\cdot|_v \) induced by \( G_v \), in the sense that any section \( \sigma = a_0 z_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 \) as above
\[
|\sigma|_v([z_0 : z_1 : z_2]) = |a_0 z_0 + a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2| e^{-G_v(z_0, z_1, z_2)}
\]
(this expression is well-defined thanks to the homogeneity property of \( G_v \)). Let us now explain how this collection of metrizations defines a function on the set of algebraic points in \( \mathbb{P}_k^2 \) as well as on the set of all algebraic curves in \( \mathbb{P}_k^2 \) defined by an equation with coefficients in \( k^\text{alg} \).

For any \( p \in (k^\text{alg})^3 \setminus \{0\} \), we set
\[
h_f(p) := \frac{1}{N(p)} \sum_{p' \in \text{Gal} \cdot p} \left( \sum_{v \in M_k} n_v G_v(p') \right)
\]
where \( \text{Gal} \) denotes the absolute Galois group of \( k^\text{alg} \) over \( k \), and \( N(x) \) is the cardinality of the set \( \text{Gal} \cdot x \subset (k^\text{alg})^3 \).

The product formula entails that \( h_f(z_0, z_1, z_2) = h_f(\lambda z_0, \lambda z_1, \lambda z_2) \) for any \( \lambda \in k^\text{alg} \) so that we have a well-defined function \( h_f : \mathbb{P}_k^2(k^\text{alg}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \).
Proposition 1.4. The function $h_f$ takes non-negative values, and satisfies $h_f \circ f = dh_f$. The set \{ $h_f = 0$ \} coincides with the set of preperiodic points of $f$. Furthermore, for any $(z, w) \in (k^{\text{alg}})^2$ we have

$$h_f(z, w) := \frac{1}{N(z, w)} \sum_{(z', w') \in \text{Gal} \cdot (z, w)} \left( \sum_{v \in M_k} n_v g_v(z', w') \right).$$

As above Gal denotes the absolute Galois group of $k^{\text{alg}}$ over $k$, and $N(z, w)$ is the cardinality of the set $\text{Gal} \cdot (z, w) \subset (k^{\text{alg}})^2$. The proof follows directly from Northcott’s theorem, see [4 Corollary 1.1.1].

1.5. Analytification of affine curves. Let $C$ be any irreducible algebraic curve in $\mathbb{A}^2_k$ defined by an equation \{ $R = 0$ \} with $R \in k[z, w]$. Denote by $\overline{C}$ the Zariski closure of $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Fix any place $v \in M_k$. We denote by $C_v^{\text{an}}$ the analytification in the sense of Berkovich of $C$ over $C_v$. This is a connected, locally connected and locally compact space. When $| \cdot |_v$ is Archimedean, hence $C_v$ is isometric to $\mathbb{C}$, $C_v^{\text{an}}$ is the complex analytic subspace (possibly with singularities) defined as usual by the vanishing of $R$ in $C^2_v$. When $| \cdot |_v$ is non-Archimedean, then $C_v^{\text{an}}$ is defined as the set of multiplicative semi-norms on the ring $C_v[z, w]/(R)$ whose restriction to the base field equals $| \cdot |_v$. A point is said to be rigid when the semi-norm has non-trivial kernel.

One can also define the analytification of $\overline{C}$ by considering suitable affine coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and patching the previous construction in a natural way, see [3 §3.4]. Observe that $\overline{C}_v^{\text{an}} \setminus C_v^{\text{an}}$ consists of rigid points.

Suppose first $v$ is Archimedean. The metrization of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ defined by [3] induces a measure $\mu_{C, v}$ on $\overline{C}_v^{\text{an}}$ which is locally defined by $\mu_{C, v} := \Delta \log |\sigma|_v$, where $\sigma$ is a local section of $\mathcal{O}(1)$. The plurisubharmonicity of $G_v$ ensures that $\mu_{C, v}$ is a positive measure. The Lelong-Poincaré formula implies that the mass of $\mu_{C, v}$ is equal to $\text{deg}(C)$, and we have $\mu_{C, v} = \Delta(g_v|_{C_v^{\text{an}}})$ on $C_v^{\text{an}}$. Observe that since $G_v$ is continuous, $\mu_{C, v}$ gives no mass to points.

The construction is completely analogous in the non-Archimedean case. We again obtain a positive measure $\mu_{C, v}$ on $\overline{C}_v^{\text{an}}$ of total mass $\text{deg}(C)$ which is given in $C_v^{\text{an}}$ by $\mu_{C, v} = \Delta(g_v|_{C_v^{\text{an}}})$ where $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator defined by Thuillier [31]. Observe that the continuity of the metrization implies that $\mu_{C, v}$ does not charge any rigid point (but it may still charge some non-rigid point in $C_v^{\text{an}}$). We refer to [3 §1.3] for the details of the constructions.

1.6. Canonical heights on curves. Let $C$ be any irreducible algebraic curve in $\mathbb{A}^2_k$ as in the previous section. We now define the canonical height of the curve $\overline{C}$ following the recipe given in [3 §3.1.2], taking $z_0$ as a section of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ (note that this section vanishes exactly along the line at infinity). We obtain:

$$h_f(\overline{C}) := \sum_{p \in \overline{C} \setminus L_\infty} (\overline{C}, L_\infty)_p \times h_f(p) + \sum_{v \in M_k} \int_{C_v^{\text{an}}} g_v d\mu_v.$$
Note that $h_f(\mathbb{C}) \geq 0$ because the canonical height is non-negative on closed points, and the Green functions $g_v$ are also non-negative.

Define the essential minimum of $h_f$ by the following formula:

$$\text{essmin}_C(h_f) := \sup_{F \text{ finite} \subset C(k_{\text{alg}})} \inf_{p \in C(k_{\text{alg}}) \setminus F} h_f(p).$$

**Theorem 1.5** (Zhang’s inequality [32, Theorem 1.10]). We have

$$2 \text{essmin}_C(h_f) \geq \frac{h_f(\mathbb{C})}{\deg(C)} \geq \text{essmin}_C(h_f) + \inf_{p \in C(k_{\text{alg}})} h_f(p).$$

Since $h_f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x$ is preperiodic, we obtain:

**Corollary 1.6.** An irreducible algebraic curve $C$ containing infinitely many $f$-preperiodic points satisfies $h_f(\mathbb{C}) = 0$.

### 1.7. A first characterization of special curves.

**Proposition 1.7.** Suppose that $C \subset \mathbb{A}^2_k$ is an irreducible algebraic curve containing a sequence of distinct points $p_n \in C(k_{\text{alg}})$ such that $h_f(p_n) \to 0$.

Then all points in $C \cap L_\infty$ are preperiodic for $\tilde{f}$, and for any $v \in M_k$ the function $g_v|_{C_{\text{ss}}}^n$ is harmonic on $\{g_v > 0\}$.

**Proof.** Note that $h_f(\mathbb{C}) \geq 0$. By Theorem 1.5, our assumption implies that $\text{essmin}_C(h_f) \leq 0$, therefore $h_f(\mathbb{C}) = 0$. Then the result follows from (4) and the fact that a point $p$ is $f$-preperiodic if and only if $h_f(p) = 0$. □

## 2. Super-stable manifolds and local estimates


In this section we work under the following hypothesis: $(k, |\cdot|)$ is a complete metrized field of characteristic 0 (which may be either Archimedean or non-Archimedean).

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose $f : (\mathbb{A}^2_k, 0) \to (\mathbb{A}^2_k, 0)$ is a germ of analytic map fixing the origin of the form

$$f(x, y) = \left(\lambda x + \mu y + g(x, y), y^d(1 + h(x, y))\right),$$

where $d \geq 2$, $\lambda \neq 0$, $\mu \in k$, $h(0, 0) = 0$, and $g(x, y) = O(|(x, y)|^2)$. Then there exists a unique smooth analytic curve which is transverse to $\{y = 0\}$ and $f$-invariant.

We shall call this curve the **local super-stable manifold** of the origin, and denote it by $W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(0)$. After a linear change of coordinates of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (x + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} y, y)$, we may and will assume from now on that $\mu = 0$. Expressing the invariant curve as a graph of the form $x = \varphi(y)$ and making a change of coordinates of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (x - \varphi(y), y)$, $f$ takes the form

$$f(x, y) = (\lambda x + x\tilde{g}(x, y), y^d(1 + \tilde{h}(x, y))).$$

It follows that $f$ is analytically conjugate to $y \mapsto y^d$ on $W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(0)$, hence the terminology.
The result is classical when k is Archimedean and/or f is locally invertible (see e.g. Appendix). For convenience we include a proof that works simultaneously in the Archimedean and non-Archimedean settings, and is adapted to \{y = 0\} being superattracting.

**Proof.** As explained above, we look for an analytic map \( y \mapsto \varphi(y) \), with \( \varphi(0) = 0 \) such that the change of coordinates \( \Phi(x, y) = (x + \varphi(y), y) \) satisfies

\[
\Phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \Phi(x, y) = (\lambda x + x, y, (1 + \hat{h}(x, y)))
\]

with \( \hat{g}, \hat{h} \) analytic and vanishing at 0. This property is equivalent to the identities:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda \varphi(y) + g(\varphi(y), y) &= \varphi(y, (1 + \hat{h}(0, y))) \\
\hat{h}(0, y) &= h(\varphi(y), y)
\end{align*}
\]

so that we aim at finding some analytic function \( \varphi \) satisfying

\[
\lambda \varphi(y) + g(\varphi(y), y) = \varphi(y, (1 + h(\varphi(y), y))) .
\]

For any \( r > 0 \), let us introduce the Banach space \( B_r \) that consist of those power series \( \varphi(y) := \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j y^j \) which are convergent in the disk of radius \( r \), and satisfy \( ||\varphi||_r := \sup_{|y| < r} |\varphi(y)| < \infty \). Note that in the non-Archimedean case, we have \( ||\varphi||_r := \sup_j |a_j| r^j \).

For any \( \varphi \in B_r \), we set

\[
T\varphi(y) := \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \varphi(y, (1 + h(\varphi(y), y))) - g(\varphi(y), y) \right) .
\]

We claim that for \( r > 0 \) and \( \rho > 0 \) sufficiently small, \( T \) is a well-defined strictly contracting map on \( B(0, \rho) \subset B_r \). Then, applying the Banach fixed point theorem implies the existence of the desired \( \varphi \).

First, we may suppose that \( g \) is analytic in the polydisk of radius \( r \), and since \( g \) vanishes up to order 2 at the origin, we have

\[
|g(x, y)| \leq C \max\{|x|, |y|\}^2
\]

for some \( C > 0 \) and all \( |x|, |y| < r \). Similarly, we may suppose that \( h \) is analytic in the polydisk of radius \( r > 0 \), and that \( |h(x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \) for all \( |x|, |y| < r \).

Pick any \( \varphi(y) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j y^j \in B(0, \rho) \subset B_r \). Reduce \( r > 0 \) if necessary so that \( \frac{3}{2} r^d < r \).

Then \( \hat{\varphi}: y \mapsto \varphi(y, (1 + h(\varphi(y), y))) \) is well-defined and analytic on the disk of radius \( r \).

In the non-Archimedean case, \( |1 + h(\varphi(y), y)| = 1 \), so that one has

\[
||\hat{\varphi}(y)||_r = \sup_{j \geq 1} |a_j| r^{d_j} \leq r \sup_{j \geq 1} |a_j| r^{d_j-1} \leq r |\varphi|_r .
\]

In the Archimedean case, the Schwarz lemma yields \( |\varphi(y)| \leq \frac{|y|}{r} |y| \) for all \( |y| < r \), hence \( ||\hat{\varphi}||_r \leq \frac{3}{2} r^{d-1} |\varphi|_r \). Note that we also have:

\[
||g(\varphi(y), y)||_r \leq C \max\{|\varphi|_r, r\}^2
\]

so for \( \varphi \in B(0, \rho) \) we deduce

\[
|T\varphi|_r \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{3}{2} r^{d-1} \rho + C \max\{\rho, r\}^2 \right) .
\]
By choosing $\rho = r$ and then $r$ small enough, this estimate shows that $T \varphi$ is well-defined on the ball $B(0, r) \subset B_r$ and $T(B(0, r)) \subset B(0, r)$.

In order to prove that $T$ is strictly contracting, observe that we can write

$$g(x, y) - g(x', y) = (x - x')\hat{g}(x, x', y)$$

where $\hat{g}(x, x', y)$ is again analytic in the polydisk of radius $r$, and

$$|\hat{g}(x, x', y)| \leq C' \max\{ |x|, |x'|, |y|\}$$

for some constant $C' > 0$. For any pair of analytic functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in B(0, r) \subset B_r$ we infer:

$$|g(\varphi_1(y), y) - g(\varphi_2(y), y)| \leq C' \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{r} \max\{ \|\varphi_1\|_{r}, \|\varphi_2\|_{r}, r\}$$

hence

$$\|T\varphi_1 - T\varphi_2\|_r \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}(3r^d + C' r) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_r .$$

Again, by choosing $r$ sufficiently small, we obtain that $T$ is strictly contracting and we are done. \hfill $\Box$

2.2. The rescaling argument in the repelling case. We work in $\mathbb{A}^2_k$ where $k$ is an arbitrary complete metrized field of characteristic $0$. We start with a preparation lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose $f$ is an analytic map of the form

$$f(x, y) = \left( \lambda x + xg(x, y), y^d(1 + h(x, y)) \right)$$

where $|\lambda| > 1$, $d \geq 2$ and $g(0) = h(0) = 0$.

Then there exists an analytic change of coordinates $\Phi$ such that

$$\Phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \Phi(x, y) = (\lambda x(1 + x\hat{g}(x, y)), y^d(1 + x\hat{h}(x, y)))$$

for some analytic functions $\hat{g}, \hat{h}$.

Recall that the form (7) is what is obtained from (5) after conjugating to get $\mu = 0$ and declaring that the stable manifold of Theorem 2.1 is $\{x = 0\}$.

**Proof.** By Böttcher’s theorem (see [2] Chapter 4) for the non-Archimedean case applied to $y \mapsto f(0, y)$ we may suppose that $x$ divides $h$.

Similarly, since $|\lambda| > 1$, by we may linearize $x \mapsto f(x, 0)$, and suppose that $f$ is of the form $f(x, y) = (\lambda x(1 + g_1(y)) + x^2y\tilde{h}_1(x, y), y^d(1 + \mathcal{O}(x)))$ for some analytic functions $g_1, \tilde{h}_1$ with $g_1(0) = 0$.

We claim that there exists $\Phi(x, y) = (x(1 + \varphi(y)), y)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\Phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \Phi(x, y) = (\lambda x + x^2y\tilde{h}_2(x, y), y^d(1 + \mathcal{O}(x)))$$

for some analytic function $\tilde{h}_2$. Indeed, $\varphi$ is then characterized by the equation

$$\lambda x(1 + \varphi(y))(1 + g_1(y)) + \mathcal{O}(x^2) = (\lambda x + \mathcal{O}(x^2))(1 + \varphi(y^d))$$

that is, $(1 + \varphi(y))(1 + g_1(y)) = (1 + \varphi(y^d))$, a solution of which is given by the infinite product

$$1 + \varphi(y) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + g_1 \left(y^{d^k}\right)\right)^{-1}$$

and we are done. \hfill $\Box$
The next result is similar to [11] Lemma 4.2.

**Proposition 2.3.** Suppose \( f \) is an analytic map of the form

\[
(8) \quad f(x, y) = (\lambda x(1 + xg(x, y)), y^d(1 + xh(x, y)))
\]

where \( |\lambda| > 1 \), \( d \geq 2 \) and \( g, h \) are analytic functions.

Then \( f^n(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}, y) \rightarrow (x, 0) \) when \( n \rightarrow \infty \), uniformly on a polydisk of sufficiently small radius centered at the origin.

**Proof.** Fix \( 0 < r \leq 1/4 \) small enough so that \( g, h \) are both analytic on the polydisk of radius \( r \) and \( |g(x, y)|, |h(x, y)| \leq 1 \) for \( |x|, |y| < r \). Let us first show that if \( |x| \leq \frac{r}{2|\lambda|^n} \) and \( |y| \leq r \), then the \( n \) first iterates of \((x, y)\) remain in \( \mathbb{D}_r^n \). We argue by induction. So assume that \((x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{D}_{\frac{r}{2|\lambda|^{n-1}}} \times \mathbb{D}_r \), put \( f^1(x_0, y_0) = (x_1, y_1) \), let \( k \leq n \) and assume that \((x_j, y_j) \in \mathbb{D}_r^2 \) for \( j \leq k - 1 \). Observe that for \( j \leq k - 1 \), \(|y_j| \leq 2|y_j|^d\) from which it follows that

\[
|y_k| \leq \left(2^{1/(d-1)}|y_0|\right)^{d^k} \leq \left(2^{1/(d-1)r}\right)^{d^k} \leq r.
\]

Observe that the first inequality together with \( r \leq 1/4 \) also yield \(|y_j| \leq 2^{-d^j}\). For the first coordinate, we use recursively the relation \( x_{j+1} = \lambda x_j(1 + x_jy_jg(x_j, y_j)) \) to get

\[
(9) \quad |x_k| \leq |\lambda|^k|x_0| \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 + |x_j||y_j|) \leq \frac{r}{2}|\lambda|^{k-n} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 + 2^{-d^j}) \leq r|\lambda|^{k-n},
\]

where the last inequality follows from

\[
\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1 + 2^{-d^j}\right) \leq \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1 + 2^{-2^j}\right) = \frac{2^{2^k} - 1}{22^{k-1}} < 2,
\]

in which the middle equality is easily obtained by induction.

Now take \((x, y) \in \mathbb{D}_{\frac{r}{2}}\), and consider \( f^n(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}, y) \). Denote as before \((x_0, y_0) = (\frac{x}{\lambda^n}, y)\) and \((x_j, y_j) = f^j(x_0, y_0)\). The first part of the proof shows that \((x_j, y_j)\) is well-defined for all \( j \leq n \), and that \( y_n \rightarrow 0 \). Now we have

\[
x_n = \lambda^n x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 + x_jy_jh(x_j, y_j)) = x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 + x_jy_jh(x_j, y_j)).
\]

The inequality \( |\prod (1+z_j) - 1| \leq \exp (\sum |z_j|) - 1 \) shows that to establish the convergence \( x_n \rightarrow x \) it is enough to show that \( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |x_jy_jh(x_j, y_j)| \) tends to 0. But by (9), \(|x_j| \leq r|\lambda|^{j-n}\), thus

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |x_jy_jh(x_j, y_j)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r|\lambda|^{j-n}2^{-d^j} \leq r|\lambda|^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{j-2d^j},
\]

and we are done. \[\square\]
2.3. Graph transform for $\lambda = 1$. In this paragraph we assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$ and $f$ is of the form

\begin{equation}
(10) \quad f(x, y) = \left( x + g(x, y), y^d(1 + h(x, y)) \right),
\end{equation}

with $g(x, y) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$, $h(0, 0) = 0$, and $g(x, 0) = cx^{k+1} + \mathcal{O}(x^{k+2})$ for some $k \geq 1$ and $c \neq 0$. Observe that $f|_{\{y=0\}}$ has a parabolic point at the origin with $k$ attracting and $k$ repelling petals (see e.g. [1, §6.5]). An attracting petal is a $f$-invariant (connected and simply-connected) open subset $U$ containing 0 in its boundary, and such that, for all $z \in U$, $f^n(z) \to 0$ tangentially to some real direction (in our case, to the normalized $k$-th roots of $-c$). A repelling petal is an attracting petal for $f^{-1}$ (they are tangent to the normalized $k$-th roots of $c$). One can chose the $k$ attracting petals and $k$ repelling petals so that their union fills up a punctured neighborhood of the origin.

A vertical graph $V$ in a domain of the form $\Omega \times \mathbb{D}_\rho$ is a submanifold of the form $V := \{(\varphi(y), y), y \in \mathbb{D}_\rho\}$ for some holomorphic function $\varphi : \mathbb{D}_\rho \to \Omega$. In the next theorem we consider pull backs of such graphs in $\mathbb{D}_\rho^2$ in the graph transform sense, that is, when pulling back some vertical graph under $f$, we keep only the component of $f^{-1}(V) \cap \mathbb{D}_\rho^2$ containing $f^{-1}(V \cap \{y = 0\})$. Abusing notation we simply denote it by $f^{-1}(V)$.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be of the form \((10)\) with $h(0) = 0$, $g(x, 0) \neq 0$ and $g(x, y) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$). Let $U$ be any repelling petal of $f|_{\{y=0\}}$ and consider a germ $V$ of analytic curve transverse to $\{y = 0\}$, intersecting $U$.

Then there exists $r > 0$ depending only on $f$, such that for large enough $n$, the analytic sets $f^{-n}(V)$ are vertical graphs in $\mathbb{D}_\rho^2$ converging to $W^{ss}_{r}(0)$ in the $C^1$ topology.

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose $f$ is a holomorphic map of the form \((10)\) as in Theorem 2.4. Then there exist an integer $k \geq 1$, and an analytic change of coordinates $\Phi$ such that

$$\Phi^{-1} \circ f \circ \Phi(x, y) = (x + x^{k+1} + x^{2k+1} \tilde{g}(x, y), y^d(1 + x\tilde{h}(x, y)))$$

for some analytic functions $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}$.

**Proof.** The proof is essentially contained in [20, Proposition 2.3]. We provide the details for the sake of completeness. By Theorem 2.4 and by applying the Böttcher theorem to $y \mapsto f(0, y)$ we may assume that both $g$ and $h$ are divisible by $x$, so that we may write

\begin{equation}
(11) \quad f(x, y) = \left( x + xg(x, y), y^d(1 + xh(x, y)) \right),
\end{equation}

with $g(0, 0) = 0$.

By a local change of coordinates involving only $x$, we can arrange so that $f(x, 0) = (x + x^{k+1} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2k+1}), 0)$. Expand the first coordinate of $f$ in power series of $x$ as follows:

$$x \circ f(x, y) = x(1 + g_0(y)) + x^{k+1}(1 + g_k(y)) + \sum_{j \neq 0, k} x^{j+1} g_j(y),$$

with $g_j(0) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2k - 1$.

We claim that for all $n \leq 2k - 1$, we can conjugate $f$ by a germ of invertible holomorphic map such that $g_j \equiv 0$ for every $j \leq n$. Applied to $n = 2k - 1$, this claim implies the proposition.
For \( n = 0 \) this is done by a change of coordinates of the form \( \Phi_0(x, y) := (x(1 + \varphi_0(y)), y) \) such that \((1 + g_0)(1 + \varphi_0) = 1 + \varphi_0(y^d)\). This equation can be solved exactly as in Lemma 2.2.

Now assume that \( n > 0 \), and that the result has been achieved up to \( j = n - 1 \).

Put \( \Phi_n(x, y) = (x(1 + \varphi_n(y)x^n), y) \), so that \( \Phi_n^{-1}(x, y) = (x(1 - \varphi_n(y)x^n + O(x^{n+1})), y) \).

Depending on the position of \( n \) and \( k \), we obtain:

\[
x \circ (\Phi_n^{-1} \circ f \circ \Phi_n) = \begin{cases} 
  x + x^{n+1}(\varphi_n(y) + g_n(y) - \varphi_n(y^d)) + O(x^{n+2}) & \text{if } n < k, \\
  x + x^{n+1}(\varphi_k(y) + g_n(y) - \varphi_n(y^d)) + O(x^{n+2}) & \text{if } n = k, \\
  x + x^{k+1} + x^{n+1}(\varphi_n(y) + g_n(y) - \varphi_n(y^d)) + O(x^{n+2}) & \text{if } n > k.
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore, to render the term in \( x^{n+1} \) constant, it is enough to solve the equation \(-g_n(y) = \varphi_n(y) - \varphi_n(y^d)\) which can be done by setting \( \varphi_n(y) = -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_n(y^dn^m) \).

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** By the previous lemma, we may suppose that

\[
f(x, y) = \left( x + x^{k+1} + x^{2k+1}g(x, y), y^d(1 + xh(x, y)) \right)
\]

with \( g \) and \( h \) holomorphic near the origin. Note that \( f|_{y=0} \) has a repelling petal along the positive real axis. Fix \( r > 0 \) such that \( f \) is holomorphic and injective on a neighborhood of \( D_r \). Reducing and rotating the petal if necessary, we may assume that

\[
U := \left\{ x : \arg(x) \in \left( -\frac{\pi}{4k}, \frac{\pi}{4k} \right) , \ |x| < r \right\}.
\]

The holomorphic map \( z = (krk)^{-1} \) is univalent on \( U \times D_r \), and takes its values in

\[
\Omega_R := \left\{ z : \arg(z) \in \left( -\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right) , \ |z| > R \right\},
\]

where \( R = (krk)^{-1} \). The expression of \( f \) in the coordinates \((z, y)\) is of the form

\[
f(z, y) = \left( z - 1 + \frac{1}{z}a(z, y), y^d\left(1 + \frac{1}{z^{1/k}}b(z, y)\right) \right).
\]

so that \( f \) is now defined in \( \Omega_R \times D_r \). Fix \( M > 0 \) such that

\[
|a|, |b|, |\frac{\partial a}{\partial z}|, |\frac{\partial a}{\partial y}|, |\frac{\partial b}{\partial z}|, |\frac{\partial b}{\partial y}| \leq M \text{ on } \Omega_R \times D_r,
\]

and reduce \( r \) if necessary so that \( r < \frac{1}{100} \) and \( MR^{-1/k} \leq 100 \).

For any \( \rho < r \) and \( \sigma > 0 \) we let

\[
\mathcal{G}(\rho, \sigma) = \{ \varphi : D_\rho \to \Omega_R \text{ holomorphic s.t. } \sup_{D_\rho} |\varphi'| \leq \sigma \}.
\]

**Lemma 2.6.** Suppose that \( \sigma \rho < \frac{1}{100d} \).

For any vertical graph \( \Gamma \) determined by \( \varphi \in \mathcal{G}(\rho, \sigma) \), then \( f^{-1}\Gamma \) is a vertical graph determined by a function \( \psi \in \mathcal{G}(\rho_1, \frac{1}{10}) \) where \( \rho_1 = \min \{ (\rho/2)^{1/d}, r \} \) and \( \Re(\psi) \geq \Re(\varphi(0)) + 9/10 \).

Assuming this result for the moment, let us conclude the proof of the theorem. Let \( V \) be any germ of curve intersecting transversally \( \{ y = 0 \} \) at \( (x_0, 0) \in U \). Then \( V \) is a graph of slope \( \sigma \) over some disk \( D_\rho \) in the second coordinate, for some \( \rho > 0 \). Reduce \( \rho \) by trimming \( V \) if necessary so that \( \sigma \rho < 1/100d \). Since \( r/10 < 1/100d \), the previous
Proposition 1.7, all points in $V_n$ is defined by a holomorphic function $z = \varphi_n(y)$ of uniformly bounded slope over $D_{\rho_n}$, and furthermore $\rho_n = r$ for large enough $n$. Moreover, we have $\Re(\varphi_n) \geq \Re(\varphi(0)) + 9n/10$, hence, coming back to the $(x, y)$ coordinates, we see that $V_n = \{ x = (k\varphi_n(y))^{-1/k} \}$ converges in the $C^1$-topology to the curve $W_{\text{loc}}^0(0) = \{ x = 0 \}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.6. Let $\Gamma$ be a vertical graph of equation $z = \varphi(y)$ in the $(z, y)$ coordinates, with $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}(\rho, \sigma)$. Then the equation of $f^{-1}\Gamma$ is given by $z = \ell(z, y)$, where

$$\ell(z, y) = \varphi \left( y^d \left( 1 + \frac{1}{z^{1/k}} b(z, y) \right) \right) + 1 - \frac{1}{z} a(z, y).$$

Fix $y_0 \in \mathbb{D}_{\rho_1}$. We show that the equation $z = \ell(z, y_0)$ admits a unique solution $z \in \Omega_R$. First, observe that by the estimates [13] on $|a|$ and $|b|$, for $z, y \in \Omega_R$ we have

$$|\ell(z, y_0) - (\varphi(0) + 1)| \leq |\varphi \left( y_0^d \left( 1 + \frac{1}{z^{1/k}} b(z, y) \right) \right) - \varphi(0)| + \frac{M}{R} \leq 2\rho_1^{d} \sigma + \frac{1}{100} \leq \rho_1^{d} \sigma + \frac{1}{100} \leq \frac{1}{10},$$

hence $\ell(\cdot, y_0)$ maps $\Omega_R$ to itself. Next, we see that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial z}(z, y_0) \right| \leq y_0^d \left( \frac{1}{z^{1/k}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{k z^{1/k+1}} b \right) \left| \varphi' \left( y_0^d \left( 1 + \frac{1}{z^{1/k}} b \right) \right) \right| + \frac{a}{z^2} - \frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \leq \rho_1^{d} \frac{2M}{R^{1/k}} \sigma + \frac{2M}{R^{1/k}} \leq \frac{2}{100} \rho_1 \sigma + \frac{2}{100} \leq \frac{1}{10},$$

so $\ell(\cdot, y_0)$ is a contraction and the equation $z = \ell(z, y_0)$ has a unique solution. This means that $f^{-1}\Gamma$ is a vertical graph over $D_{\rho_1}$ determined by a holomorphic function $\psi$ satisfying $\psi(y) = \ell(\psi(y), y)$. The slope of this graph can be estimated as above:

$$|\psi'(y)| \leq \frac{\partial \ell/\partial y}{1 - \partial \ell/\partial z} \leq \frac{10}{9} \left( \sigma \left( d\rho_1^{d-1} \left( 1 + \frac{M}{R^{1/k}} \right) + \rho_1 \frac{M}{R^{1/k}} \right) + \frac{M}{R^{1/k}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{10}.$$

Finally, the estimate $\Re(\psi) \geq \Re(\varphi(0)) + 9/10$ follows from [14] and we are done. \hfill \Box

3. Proof of Theorem A when $\mathbb{k}$ is a number field

Here we establish the following more precise form of our main theorem, in the number field case.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathbb{k}$ be a number field and $f$ be a regular polynomial map of $\mathbb{A}_k^2$. Denote by $h_f$ the induced canonical height.

Suppose that $C \subset \mathbb{A}_k^2$ is an irreducible algebraic curve containing a sequence of distinct points $p_n \in C(\mathbb{k}^{\text{alg}})$ such that $h_f(p_n) \to 0$. If there exists a point of $C \cap L_\infty$ which is not eventually superattracting, then $C$ is preperiodic.

Let $f_\infty$ be the restriction to the line at infinity $L_\infty$ of the extension of $f$ to $\mathbb{P}^2$. By Proposition 1.7, all points in $C \cap L_\infty$ are preperiodic, so we may replace $f$ by $f^n$ and $C$ by $f^N(C)$, to assume that $C \cap L_\infty$ contains a fixed point $p$ which is not super-attracting.
Let $\lambda = f_\infty'(p)$ be the multiplier of $p$ along $L_\infty$. Then one of the two following mutually disjoint cases occur:

(a) either $\lambda$ is a root of unity;
(b) or there is a place $v \in M_\infty$ such that $|\lambda|_v > 1$.

In the remainder of this section we split the proof of the theorem according to these two cases.

3.1. When $\lambda$ is a root of unity. In this situation we iterate $f$ further so that $\lambda = 1$, and work over the complex numbers. Since $p$ belongs to the Julia set $J(f_\infty)$, which is a perfect set, the union of attracting and repelling petals cover a punctured neighborhood of $p$, and the attracting petals of $p$ are contained in the Fatou set, we see that there is a repelling periodic point $q$ of $f_\infty$ contained in some local repelling petal of $p$. Then the local (super-)stable manifold of $q$ is a disk transverse to $L_\infty$ at $q$, and by Theorem 2.4 the local truncated pull-backs $f^{-n}(W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(q))$ under $f^n$ converge to $W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(p)$ when $n \to \infty$.

Assume by way of contradiction that $\overline{C}$ does not locally coincide with $W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(p)$. We claim that $C$ intersects $f^{-n}(W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(q))$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ close to $p$ for large $n$. Indeed, locally near $p$, $\overline{C} \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(p) = \{p\}$, so by the persistence of proper intersections, $\overline{C}$ intersects $f^{-n}(W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(q))$ close to $p$ for large $n$. But $p \notin f^{-n}(W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(q)) \cap L_\infty$, so these intersection points lie in $\mathbb{C}^2$, as claimed. If now $\Delta$ is a small disk in $C$ containing one of these intersection points, then by the Inclination Lemma, the derivative of $f^n$ in the direction of $\Delta$ tends to infinity, thus $(f^n|_\Delta)$ is not a normal family. On the other hand, by Proposition 1.7 $g|_\Delta$ is harmonic, which implies that $(f^n|_\Delta)$ is normal (see [13, Prop 5.10]). This contradiction shows that $\overline{C}$ locally coincides with $W_{\text{loc}}^{ss}(p)$ near $p$, so it is fixed under $f$, and by irreducibility this property propagates to the whole of $C$. This completes the proof in this case. 

Remark 3.2. This argument works essentially the same when $|\lambda|_v > 1$ at some Archimedean place, and may help understand the non-Archimedean argument below.

3.2. When $|\lambda|_v > 1$. We may assume that $p = [0 : 0 : 1]$, and by Lemma 2.2 find a local analytic isomorphism $(x,y) \mapsto \psi(x,y) = [z_0(x,y) : z_1(x,y) : 1]$ such that $\psi(0) = p$, $z_0(x,0) = 0$ so that $\{y = 0\}$ corresponds to the line at infinity, and write

$$\tilde{f} := \psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi : (x,y) \mapsto \left(\lambda x(1 + xyg(x,y)), y^d(1 + xh(x,y))\right).$$

If $\overline{C}$ has an analytic branch at $p$ which coincides with $\{x = 0\}$ then $C$ is fixed as above, and we are done. Otherwise, we may find a Puiseux parameterization of a branch of $C$ at $p$ in the $(x,y)$ coordinates of the form $\Gamma(t) = (t^\gamma, \gamma(t))$, where $\gamma$ is analytic and defined in a small disk $\Delta_\delta$, and $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We seek a contradiction.

We lift $\psi$ to $\mathbb{A}^3_\mathbb{C}$, and set $\Psi(x,y) = (z_0(x,y), z_1(x,y), 1)$. As in §1.3 we lift $f$ to a homogeneous polynomial map $F : \mathbb{A}^3_\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{A}^3_\mathbb{C}$, of the form $F(z_0, z_1, z_2) = (z_0^d, \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q})$. Since $\Psi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is a local section of the projection $\mathbb{A}^3_\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^2$, $\Psi \circ \tilde{f}$ must be a multiple of $F \circ \Psi$. From the expression of $F$ we obtain

$$\Psi \circ \tilde{f} = \frac{F \circ \Psi}{Q \circ \Psi}.$$
To simplify notation, we write $F^n(z_0, z_1, z_2) = (z_0^n, \tilde{P}_n, \bar{Q}_n)$. We consider the 1-homogeneous Green function $G_f: \mathbb{A}_2^{\text{an}} \to \mathbb{R}$ of Proposition 1.3; it satisfies $G_f \circ F = dG_f$ and $g_f(z_1, z_2) = G_f(1, z_1, z_2)$.

Observe that $h := G_f \circ \Psi \circ \Gamma$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{D}_\delta$. Since $h(t) = g_f \circ \psi \circ \Gamma(t) + \log |z_0 \circ \Gamma(t)|$, by Proposition 1.7 $h$ is harmonic on $\{t \neq 0\}$. Since it is continuous at 0, it is also harmonic on $\mathbb{D}_\delta$, see, e.g., [12, Lemma 3.7]. Write

$$d^{\text{eq}} h(t) = G_f \circ F^{\text{eq}} \circ \Psi \circ \Gamma(t)$$

$$= G_f \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{f}[nq] \circ \Gamma(t) + \log \left| \tilde{Q}_{nq} \circ \Psi \circ \Gamma(t) \right|.$$

By Proposition 2.3, $\tilde{f}[n](\frac{x}{nq}, y) \to (x, 0)$ uniformly in a neighborhood of the origin, hence

$$G_f \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{f}[nq] \circ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{x(nq)}\right) \to G_f(0, z_1(t^n, 0), 1)$$

as $n \to \infty$. On the other hand, since $d^{\text{eq}} h\left(\frac{1}{x(nq)}\right) - \log \left| \tilde{Q}_{nq} \circ \Psi \circ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{x(nq)}\right) \right|$ is a sequence of harmonic functions, it follows that $t \mapsto G_f(0, z_1(t^n, 0), 1)$ is harmonic as well.

Now, observe that the restriction of $f$ to the line at infinity is $f_\infty[z_1 : z_2] = [\tilde{P}(0, z_1, z_2) : \bar{Q}(0, z_1, z_2)]$, so that $G_f(0, z_1, z_2)$ is the global Green function of $f_\infty$. The equilibrium measure of $f_\infty$ is the probability measure on the analytification of $L_\infty$ defined by $\mu_{f_\infty} := \Delta G_f(0, z_1, 1)$ in the chart $z_2 \neq 0$. Its support is the Julia set of $f_\infty$ (see [2, Theorem 13.39], and it contains all repelling (rigid) fixed points, see [2, Theorem 8.7]. Therefore, $z_1 \mapsto G_f(0, z_1, 1)$ cannot be harmonic near 0, hence the function $t \mapsto G_f(0, z_1(t^n, 0), 1)$ cannot be harmonic either. This contradiction concludes the proof. □

Remark 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 the proof shows that the preperiod $k$ of $F$ and the period of $f^k(C)$ are exactly the same as that of any of its non-superattracting points at infinity.

4. Proof of Theorem [A] for arbitrary $k$

In this section we use a specialization argument to deal with maps defined over arbitrary fields. It shares some arguments with [11, §5] (see also [5, §7]). Nevertheless, new ideas are needed to deal with preperiodic points instead of periodic ones.

We are in the setting of Theorem [A], so we assume that $f$ is a regular polynomial map of $\mathbb{A}_2$ of degree $d \geq 2$ defined over a field $k$ of characteristic 0, and $C$ is a curve containing an infinite set $\mathcal{P} = \{p_n, \ n \geq 0\}$ of preperiodic points and whose closure $\mathcal{C} \cap L_\infty$ contains at least one point which is not eventually super-attracting.

By enlarging $k$ if necessary we may assume that it contains the algebraic closure $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ of its prime field. Let $R$ be the sub-$\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$-algebra of $k$ generated by all coefficients defining $f$ and $C$. Its fraction field $K$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$. Let $S = \text{Spec } R$. This is an affine variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$, and elements of $R$ can be seen as regular functions on $S$.

Inverting some elements of $R$ if necessary, we may suppose that $C$ is flat over $S$, and $f$ extends as a morphism $f: \mathbb{P}_S^2 \to \mathbb{P}_S^2$. We let $\pi: \mathbb{P}_S^2 \to S$ be the canonical projection, and write $\mathbb{P}_S^2 = \pi^{-1}(s)$. We also let $\mathbb{A}_S^2 := \mathbb{A}_S^2 \cap \pi^{-1}(s)$. 
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For each (scheme theoretic) point \( s \in S \), we write \( C_s = C \cap \mathbb{A}^2_s \) and let \( \overline{C}_s \) be the closure of \( C \) in \( \mathbb{P}^2_s \). The flatness of the morphism \( C \to S \) implies \( \overline{C}_s \) (hence \( C_s \)) to be a curve. Similarly, we let \( f_s : \mathbb{P}^2_s \to \mathbb{P}^2_s \) be the induced map on the fibers: this is an endomorphism of degree \( d \).

We also denote by \( p_{n,s} \in \mathbb{A}^2_s \) the specialization of \( p_n \). Note that \( p_n \) is defined over some finite extension of \( K \) which depends on \( n \).

The first result does not use the assumption that our infinite set of preperiodic points lies on a curve.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let as above \( f : \mathbb{P}^2_S \to \mathbb{P}^2_S \) be a family of endomorphisms over an affine variety defined over \( \mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}} \), and let \( P = \{ p_n, \ n \ge 0 \} \) be an infinite family of preperiodic points. Then there exists a non-empty Zariski open and dense subset \( U \subset S \) such that for any \( s \in U \cap S(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}}) \), \( P_s = \{ p_{n,s}, \ n \ge 0 \} \subset \mathbb{A}^2_s \) is infinite.

Before starting the proof, let us fix some additional notation. For each \( n \ge 0 \), we denote by \( k_n \) the preperiod of \( p_n \), so that \( q_n : = f^{k_n}(p_n) \) is the first periodic point in the orbit of \( p_n \). We let \( \ell_n \) be the (primitive) period of \( q_n \).

**Proof.** We may suppose that there exists a parameter \( s_0 \in S(\mathbb{Q}_{\text{alg}}) \) such that \( P_{s_0} \) is finite (otherwise we take \( U = S \) and the proof is complete).

**Lemma 4.2.** The family of periodic points \( (q_n) \) is finite.

**Proof.** We follow the arguments of [11, §5]. Set \( Q = \{ q_n, \ n \ge 0 \} \). For each \( \ell \ge 1 \), we consider the subvariety \( \text{Per}_\ell \) of \( \mathbb{P}^2_S \) defined by the equation \( f_\ell(z) = z \). Since \( \mathbb{P}^2_S \to S \) is proper, the structure map \( \text{Per}_\ell \to S \) is also proper.

Let \( Q_\ell \) be the union of the irreducible components of \( \text{Per}_\ell \) containing a point of \( \mathcal{P} \). Its underlying set is the Zariski closure of \( \mathcal{P} \cap \text{Per}_\ell \), hence \( Q_\ell \to S \) is proper. Observe that for \( x \in Q_\ell,s \), the multiplicity of \( x \) as a point of \( Q_\ell,s \) equals its multiplicity as a fixed point of \( f_\ell \). By Nakayama’s lemma and the properness of \( Q_\ell \) over \( S \), the function

\[
(15) \quad s \mapsto \sum_{x \in Q_\ell,s} \text{mult}_x(Q_\ell,s)
\]

is upper semi-continuous for the Zariski topology, hence

\[
(16) \quad \sum_{q \in Q_\ell} \text{mult}_q(Q_\ell) \le \sum_{x \in Q_\ell,s_0} \text{mult}_x(Q_\ell,s_0),
\]

where the left hand side is the value of (15) at the generic point. By assumption \( \mathcal{P}_{s_0} \) is a finite set, hence so does \( Q_{s_0} = \{ q_1,s_0, \ldots, q_r,s_0 \} \) and by the Shub-Sullivan theorem [29], there exists a uniform bound \( C > 0 \) such that for every \( j \), and for any \( \ell \), we have

\[
\text{mult}_{q_{j,s_0}}(Q_\ell,s_0) \le \text{mult}_{q_{j,s_0}}(\text{Per}_\ell,s_0) \le C.
\]

It then follows from (16) that

\[
\# Q_\ell \le \sum_{q \in Q_\ell} \text{mult}_q(Q_\ell) \le rC
\]

hence \( \bigcup_\ell Q_\ell \) is finite, as was to be shown. \( \Box \)
By the previous lemma, replacing $f$ by some iterate $f^N$ we may assume that all periodic points $q_n$ are fixed. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is infinite, one of these fixed points, say $q_1$, admits infinitely many preimages in $\mathcal{P}$. We may denote $q = q_1$ and suppose $\mathcal{P}$ is made of an infinite set of preimages of $q$, that is, (after possible reordering of $\mathcal{P}$) for any $p_n \in \mathcal{P}$ there is a minimal $k_n \geq 0$ such that $f^{k_n}(p_n) = q$, and that $k_{n+1} > k_n$. We may adjoin to $R$ the coordinates of $q$ so that $q \in A^2(R)$, i.e., for any $s \in S$, $q_s$ is a single point (to say it differently, we replace $S$ by a branched cover of a Zariski open dense subset of $S$).

Let $d(s)$ be the local degree of $f_s$ at $q_s$, which is upper semicontinuous for the Zariski topology. Since $f_s$ is a finite map of degree $d^2$, $d(s) \leq d^2$ for every $s$. Thus there is an analytic hypersurface $H$ such that $d(s) = d_{\text{min}}$ is constant for $s \in S \setminus H$.

We claim that $\mathcal{P}_{s_1}$ is infinite for any $s_1 \in S \setminus H$. We argue again in the complex analytic category fixing an embedding $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Observe that for any point $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$, $p_{n,s}$ is a finite set included in the fiber $\mathbb{A}^{2,\text{an}}_{s} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ (not necessarily reduced to a single point since $p_n$ lies in a finite extension of $R$).

Fix an analytic neighborhood $V$ of $q_{s_1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2,\text{an}}_{s_1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f^{-1}_{s_1}(q_{s_1}) \cap V = \{q_s\}$. Since $d(s)$ is locally constant near $s_1$, there is an analytic neighborhood $W$ of $s_1$ in $S^{\text{an}}(\mathbb{C})$ such that for $s \in W$,

$$f^{-1}_s(q_s) \cap V = \{q_s\}$$

Choose any $n > m$, and suppose by contradiction that $p_{n,s_1} = p_{n,s_1}$. Since $k_n - 1 \geq k_m$, we have

$$f^{k_n-1}_{s_1}(p_{n,s_1}) = f^{k_m-1}_{s_1}(p_{m,s_1}) = q_{s_1}.$$ 

Thus, for $s$ close to $s_1$, the finite set $f^{k_n-1}_{s_1}(p_{n,s_1})$ is contained in $V$, hence by (17), $f^{k_n-1}_{s_1}(p_{n,s}) = q_s$, and by analytic continuation this property holds throughout $S$, which contradicts the definition of $k_n$.

This shows that the $p_{n,s}$ are all distinct for all $s \in S \setminus H$, and concludes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $f: \mathbb{A}^2_k \to \mathbb{A}^2_k$ be a regular polynomial map and $C \subset \mathbb{A}^2_k$ be an algebraic curve containing infinitely many preperiodic points. Then every point of $\overline{C} \cap L_\infty$ is preperiodic under $f\mid_{L_\infty}$.

**Proof.** We keep the same formalism and notation as above, so that $f$ is viewed as a family over $S$. Write $C \cap L_\infty = \{c_1, \ldots, c_r\}$ and without loss of generality enlarge $R$ so that the points at infinity $c_i$ have their coordinates in $R$. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ for the remainder of the proof and consider $c = c_i$. By Proposition 4.1, there is a Zariski open subset $U$ such that for any $s \in U \cap S(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, $f_s$ admits infinitely many preperiodic points on $C_s$. Therefore, by Proposition 1.7, for every such $s$, $c_s$ is preperiodic. Fix $s_0 \in U \cap S(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, then $c_{s_0}$ eventually falls on a periodic point $q_{s_0}$. Replacing $f$ by $f^N$ and $C$ by $f^N(C)$ for some $N$, we may assume that $q_{s_0}$ is fixed and $c_{s_0} = q_{s_0}$. Enlarging $R$ again if necessary we may assume that $q_{s_0}$ is the specialization at $s = s_0$ of a fixed point $q \in \mathbb{P}^2(R)$ of $f$.

Our purpose is to show that $c = q$. To simplify notation we write $\hat{f} = f\mid_{L_\infty}$. Note that the multiplier $\mu := \hat{f}_{s_0}(q_{s_0})$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$. It follows from Kronecker’s theorem that either $\mu$ is a root of unity or there is a place $v$ on $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ such that $|\mu|_v < 1$. 


Case 1. \( \mu \) is not a root of unity.

Fix a place \( v \) on \( \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}} \) such that \( |\mu|_v < 1 \), and consider the completion \( \mathbb{C}_v \) of \( (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}, |.|_v) \). We then argue in the analytic topology in the Berkovich analytification of \( \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}_v} \) and \( S_{\mathbb{C}_v} \).

Fix a neighborhood \( W \) of \( s_0 \) in \( S_{\mathbb{C}_v}^{\text{an}} \) such that for \( s \in W \), \( q_s \) is attracting, and a neighborhood \( V \) of \( q_s \) in \( L_\infty \) independent of \( s \in W \) such that \( \hat{f}_s(V) \subset V \) and for any \( z \in V \), \( f_s^n(z) \) converges to \( q_s \) as \( n \to \infty \). Reducing \( W \) if necessary we may assume that for any \( s \in W \), \( c_s \) belongs to \( V \). For \( s \in W \cap S(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \), \( c_s \) is preperiodic and converges to \( q_s \), so it is preperiodic to \( q_s \), that is, there exists a minimal \( k = k(s) \) such that \( f_{s}^{|k(s)}(c_s) = q_s \).

Case 2. \( \mu \) is a root of unity.

To deal with this case we embed \( \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}} \) into \( \mathbb{C} \), and work at the complex place. Recall that a holomorphic family \((g_s)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\) of rational maps on \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \), parameterized by a connected complex manifold is trivial if any two members are conjugate by a Möbius transformation, depending holomorphically on \( \Lambda \). If \( c \) is persistently preperiodic we are done, so assume that \( c \) is not persistently preperiodic.

Under our assumptions, there is a dense set \( S(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}) \) of parameters such that \( c_s \) is preperiodic, but \( c \) is not persistently preperiodic. Thus by Chio-Roeder [7, Theorem 2.7] (see also [11, Theorem 4]) every such parameter belongs to the bifurcation locus of the marked family \( (f_s, c) \) (note that \( c \) is not a critical point here). As a consequence, the bifurcation set of the family is equal to \( S_{\mathbb{C}_v}^{\text{an}} \). (Note that it is enough to work in a neighborhood of \( s_0 \), away from possible singularities of \( S_{\mathbb{C}_v}^{\text{an}} \)).

A first possibility is that the family \( (\hat{f}_s)_{s \in S_{\mathbb{C}_v}^{\text{an}}} \) is non-trivial. Then Gauthier [14, Theorem A] implies that \( J(\hat{f}_s) = L_\infty \) for all \( s \). But since \( \hat{f}_s \) has a rationally indifferent fixed point, it admits an attracting petal and \( J(\hat{f}_s) \neq L_\infty \). This contradiction shows that the family \( (\hat{f}_s)_{s \in S_{\mathbb{C}_v}^{\text{an}}} \) is trivial.

Now the situation is that there is a holomorphic family \( \varphi_s \) of Möbius transformations such that \( \varphi_s f_s \varphi_s^{-1} = g \) is a fixed rational map \( g \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) with a rationally indifferent fixed point at \( 0 \). After this conjugacy, the marked family \( (\hat{f}, c) \) becomes \( (g, \varphi(c)) \). Since \( c \) coincides with \( q \) at \( s_0 \) and by assumption \( c \) is not persistently preperiodic, there is an open set \( \Omega \) of parameters such that for \( s \in \Omega \), \( \varphi_s(c_s) \) belongs to some attracting petal associated to \( 0 \) for \( g \). This contradicts the fact that \( \varphi_s(c_s) \) must be preperiodic for a dense set of parameters, and the proof is complete.

Conclusion of the proof of Theorem A. By Proposition 4.3, any point at infinity of \( C \) is preperiodic, and, by assumption, one of these points, say \( c \), is preperiodic to a non-superattracting periodic point \( p \). Replace \( f \) by \( f^N \) and \( C \) by \( f^N(C) \) for some \( N \), so that \( c = p \) is fixed. By Proposition 4.1, there is a non-trivial Zariski open subset \( U \subset S \).
such that for every $s \in U \cap S(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$, $C_s$ contains infinitely many preperiodic points. Then, since $p_s$ is fixed and not superattracting for $f_s$, Theorem 3.1 asserts that $C_s$ is preperiodic, and more precisely fixed, under $f_s$ (see Remark 3.3). The density of $U \cap S(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})$ in $S$ (for the Zariski or analytic topology) then implies that $f(C) = C$, and the proof is complete. □
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