

Evolution of Oculo-Pupillometric Biomarkers from Childhood to Adulthood in ASD

Camille Ricou, Yassine Mofid, Emmanuelle Houy-Durand, Joëlle Malvy, Frédérique Bonnet-Brilhault, Nadia Aguillon-Hernandez, Claire Wardak

▶ To cite this version:

Camille Ricou, Yassine Mofid, Emmanuelle Houy-Durand, Joëlle Malvy, Frédérique Bonnet-Brilhault, et al.. Evolution of Oculo-Pupillometric Biomarkers from Childhood to Adulthood in ASD. INSAR 2023, May 2023, Stockholm (Suède), Sweden. hal-04357887

HAL Id: hal-04357887 https://hal.science/hal-04357887

Submitted on 21 Dec 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSAR 2023 Abstract ID: 42827

Context

Face perception plays a crucial role in social interactions, which are impaired in autism spectrum disorders (ASD). Face processing evolves during childhood, based on social experience, and expertise is considered acquired around 8 or 10 years old. This social skill can be indexed by oculometric (visual scanning) and pupillometric (physiological reactivity) measures. No studies have yet assessed the evolution of these measures from childhood to adulthood, both in the neurotypical and autistic populations. Understanding and characterizing how these measures develop in neurotypicals is essential to identify potentially atypical development in autism.

How do oculo-pupillometric parameters in response to face evolve from childhood to adulthood in neurotypical and autistic populations?



the other hand, the results from the oculometric parameters suggest that neurotypicals focus more on social stimuli during development and that this attentional capture by social stimuli may be affected in ASD. These findings highlight the importance of understanding the maturation of these markers to identify discriminant parameters in ASD. Eye tracking used in a social paradigm appears to be a promising tool that can be used to help for ASD diagnosis, but with specific parameters according to age. The study was approved by local ethics committee (n°2012-A00520-43, n° 2017-A00756-47) and was conformed to the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association

Evolution of Oculo-Pupillometric Biomarkers from Childhood to Adulthood in ASD

Camille Ricou¹, Yassine Mofid¹, Emmanuelle Houy-Durand^{1,2}, Joelle Malvy^{1,2}, Frédérique Bonnet-Brilhault^{1,2}, Nadia Aguillon-Hernandez¹, Claire Wardak¹





¹UMR 1253, iBrain, Université de Tours, Inserm, Tours, France ²Exac-T, Centre universitaire de pédopsychiatrie, CHRU de Tours, Tours, France

Method

Participants: 108 autistic (aged 3 to 34 years old; CARS score=28.85±5.86; DQ=79.93±28.28) and 150 neurotypical participants (aged 3 to 32 years old). Stimuli: social and non-social stimuli organized along a social salience gradient: 4 static images of objects, 4 images of neutral faces (2 males and 2 females) and 12 dynamic videos ranging from neutral faces to emotional faces expressing happiness and sadness (2 males and 2 females for each condition). Each stimulus lasted 4 seconds and was presented randomly with an interstimulus of 2s, with a constant luminosity of 10 lux. **Recording:** FaceLab[®] and SMI RED500[®] head-free binocular eye-tracking systems (60Hz). Measurements: oculometric parameters (proportion of time spent on object and face, and on eyes region) and pupillometric parameters (pupil variation calculated as the difference between the raw pupil size and the basal pupil size averaged over the last 200 ms of interstimuli. For our analyses the median of the pupil variation plateau values was used). Analysis: GLM models testing age (continuous predictor) or age ranges (3-7; 8-12; 13-18; 19-33), and group (neurotypical and autistic) for each parameter. These models were corrected by using the Greenhouse-Geiser test and completed by Bonferroni posthoc tests. Finally, ROC analyses were used to describe the discrimination performance between the two groups for each parameter.



Do the pupillary parameters discriminate between the two groups across all age ranges?

Only in children (3-7 years)

 Higher pupil dilation in neurotypicals than autistics for all dynamic faces stimuli

ROC analyses revealed good discriminative power (77-78%) with good sensitivity and specificity for all dynamic faces stimuli

Do the oculometric parameters discriminate between the two groups across all age ranges?

Only in adults (19-33 years)

• Higher time spent: o on the static neutral and dynamic sad face in neurotypicals than autistic o on the eyes in neurotypicals than autistics

• ROC analyses revealed good discriminative power: o 72-79% with good sensitivity and specificity for the static neutral and dynamic face o 71-79% with good sensitivity and specificity for the eyes on all faces stimuli

Acknowledgements and contact



Fondation