

A meta-analysis of peatland microbial diversity and function responses to climate change

Marie Le Geay, Béatrice Lauga, Romain Walcker, Vincent E.J. Jassey

To cite this version:

Marie Le Geay, Béatrice Lauga, Romain Walcker, Vincent E.J. Jassey. A meta-analysis of peatland microbial diversity and function responses to climate change. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 2024, 189, pp.109287. $10.1016/j.soilbio.2023.109287$. hal-04357503

HAL Id: hal-04357503 <https://hal.science/hal-04357503>

Submitted on 21 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Abstract

 Climate change threatens the capacity of peatlands to continue storing carbon (C) belowground. Microorganisms are crucial in regulating the peatland C sink function, but how climate change affects the richness, biomass and functions of peatland microbiomes still remains uncertain. Here, we conducted a global meta-analysis of the response of peatland bacterial, fungal and micro-eukaryote communities to climate change by synthesizing data from 120 climate change experiments. We show that climate drivers such as warming, drought and warming-induced vegetation shift strongly 23 affect microbial diversity, community composition, trophic structure and functions. Using meta-analytic structural equation modelling, we developed a causal understanding among the different strands of microbial properties. We found that climate drivers 26 influenced microbial metabolic rates, such as $CO₂$ fixation and respiration, methane production and oxidation, directly through physiological effects, and indirectly, through microbial species turnover and shifts in the trophic structure of microbial communities. 29 In particular, we found that the response of microbial $CO₂$ fixation increased for each degree in air temperature gained, while the response of microbial $CO₂$ respiration tended to decline. When extrapolated at the global peatland scale using the CMIP6 model under the SSP5-8.5 scenario, our findings suggest that the increasingly positive 33 response of microbial $CO₂$ fixation to temperature anomalies in northern latitudes might 34 compensate to some extent for the possible loss of C from microbial $CO₂$ respiration, possibly allowing peatlands to remain C sinks on long-term. Our findings have crucial implications for advancing our understanding of carbon-climate feedback from peatlands in a warming world.

- **Keywords:** Warming, drought, vegetation shift, microbial biomass, community composition, soil
- carbon cycle, carbon fixation

1. Introduction

 Northern latitudes are expected to experience climate change greater than the global average (Smith et al., 2019; IPCC, 2023). Such a more intense climate change is likely to deteriorate major ecosystem functions and services provided by northern ecosystems, such as peatlands. This includes climate regulation, recreational services, and provision for foods and goods (Solomon et al., 2007; Frank et al., 2015; Gallego- Sala et al., 2018; Malinauskaite et al., 2019; Swindles et al., 2019; Harris et al., 2022; IPCC, 2023). Because peatlands' response to climate change may exert positive climatic feedback, further exacerbating human-induced warming (Dise, 2009; Qiu et al., 2020, 2021), understanding and predicting the response of biotic parameters that underpin peatlands' functioning is of high priority.

 Peatlands store massive amounts of C belowground, a stock estimated between 500 and 1,000 GtC (Nichols and Peteet, 2019; Yu et al., 2021), and hence, exert a net 54 cooling effect on the global climate by removing $CO₂$ from the atmosphere. Recent modelling approaches predict that undisturbed peatlands could be persistent C sinks in the future (Qiu et al., 2020). Thus, maintaining peatland functioning can be seen as a simple and inexpensive natural-based contribution to mitigate climate change (Qiu et al., 2020; Strack et al., 2022). However, while modelling approaches are valuable tools to predict the fate of peatland global C pool in the future, they also suffer from major flaws such as the under-representation of microbial processes involved in C cycling even though they represent key functions such as decomposition and respiration (Wang et al., 2017; Qiu et al., 2018). Consolidating existing peat models with additional microbial parameters is, therefore, necessary to improve our estimation of peatland C's

 response to climate change and reduce the uncertainties in model simulations that are caused by the lack of available data. These models are crucial because they can be used to decide the fate of peatlands (restoration or conservation) and they can help predict the effects of such decisions on the peatland C cycle and therefore on the global C cycle (Bonn et al., 2016; Loisel et al., 2020).

 Identifying key microbial parameters that underpin the C response of peatlands to climate change is an urgent but challenging need. Peatland microbial communities are highly diverse (Andersen et al., 2013), assembled in complex trophic networks (Jassey et al., 2023), and support many biogeochemical functions related to the C cycle (Laiho, 2006; Bardgett et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2013; Artz, 2013). For instance, 74 microorganisms mediate critical C fluxes, like CH_4 oxidation into CO_2 (Raghoebarsing et 75 al., 2005; Kip et al., 2010), CH_4 emissions (Juottonen, 2020; Juottonen et al., 2022) and $CO₂$ emissions (Dorrepaal et al., 2009; Hopple et al., 2020). Recent studies further 77 showed the importance of microbial $CO₂$ fixation in peatlands, which could approximate 78 c. 10% of peatlands $CO₂$ uptake (Hamard et al., 2021). In addition to being diverse in their functions, peatland microbial communities also showed contrasting responses to climate change, making predictions difficult. For instance, experimental drought and warming have been shown to decrease microbial diversity (Delarue et al., 2015; Song et al., 2021; Carrell et al., 2022), increase bacterial, archaeal and fungal biomass (Delarue et al., 2015; Mpamah et al., 2017; Cao et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021) and reduce the abundance of microbial consumers (Jassey et al., 2013, 2016; Lamentowicz et al., 2013; Basińska et al., 2020). These structural shifts often threaten the peatland C balance by increasing microbial metabolisms such as respiration,

 methanogenesis and decomposition (Dorrepaal et al., 2009; McCalley et al., 2014; Gavazov et al., 2018; Jassey et al., 2018; Reczuga et al., 2018; Hopple et al., 2020). However, negative or neutral responses of microbial communities to climate drivers have also been reported in numerous studies (Peltoniemi et al., 2015; Defrenne et al., 2021; Lamit et al., 2021; Xue et al., 2021), raising uncertainty on the role of microbes in determining the fate of peat C.

 The inconsistent responses of peatland microbial communities to climate change components could be tightly linked with climate change magnitude and duration (Jassey et al., 2015, 2018; Hollister et al., 2023), suggesting that sometimes the amplitude of the disturbance ensures that climate-induced changes on biological attributes remain close to a tipping point (Briske et al., 2006). Alternatively, the inconsistency among studies in microbial responses to climate change may also result from the experimental approach used. These inferences often rely on three major approaches, namely field experiments, laboratory manipulative experiments and space-for-time substitutions. These methodological constraints may lead to different results, as data are not generated the same way, and thus limit our ability to properly quantify the impacts of climate change on peatland microbial communities. Overall, studies making a synthesis of information regarding the response of peatland microbial communities and C dynamics sensitivity to climate change remain scarce. Yet, by looking at this response we can make an initial estimate and extrapolate the effects of climate change on microbial attributes at a global scale. This could help to better identify which microbial parameters are key for understanding and predicting peatland responses to climate change.

 To summarise climate change effects on peatland microbial communities and functions, and hone forecast for particular climate change scenarios, we synthesized microbial responses from 120 experimental studies published in the last decades (1970- 2023). We screened for changes from a few weeks to several years of experimental climate change (warming, drought, precipitation shift and vegetation shift) conducted in 16 different countries [\(Figure](#page-48-0) 1, Table S1). In particular, we aimed to quantify the responses and sensitivities of key microbial attributes, including richness, alpha- and 116 beta-diversity, abundance, biomass and functions (respiration, $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ oxidation and production, enzyme activities) to climate change drivers and develop causal understanding among these attributes. To achieve this goal, we addressed the following questions: (1) what are the impacts of climate change drivers on peatlands' microbial attributes? (2) do climate drivers affect microbial functions directly through physiological responses or indirectly through changes in microbial diversity and trophic structure? and (3) what can we learn from models built on the data obtained and extrapolation of future changes in peatland C fluxes?

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Literature survey

 We searched for studies that tested the effect of climate change drivers on microbial properties. Data from multifactor experiments which factorially performed both multiple single-factor treatments and their combination were also included and treated either as single-factor or multiple-factor effects, respectively. Peer-reviewed publications were collected by searching databases such as Web of Science (all databases), Google Scholar, PubMed and the professional network ResearchGate for the period 1 January 1970 – 31 March 2023. Climate change drivers considered were: temperature increase (TI), winter warming (WW), drought (DR, including precipitation shifts), warming-induced vegetation shift (VS) and their possible combinations [\(Table 1\)](#page-8-0). TI and WW were not pooled because some studies were a mix of warming during winter, snow removal and snow addition. We used the following keywords for the title and abstract searches: 'peatland' AND 'microorganisms' OR 'microbes' OR 'bacteria' OR 'nematodes' OR 'fungi' OR 'virus' OR 'protists' AND 'richness' OR 'abundance' OR 'biomass' OR 'function' AND 'warming' OR 'drought' OR 'precipitation shift' OR 'water-table shift' OR 'vegetation change'. Personal unpublished (or from under-review studies) data were also included in the database. This literature search resulted in 170 peer-reviewed studies published for the period 1970-2023.

 Following this literature search, we selected publications by reading each title and abstract and made sure that they reported climate change effects on microbial properties. Publications that reported significant or non-significant effects of climate

 change on microbial properties were then carefully screened by reading the methods and results sections to identify which of them met the following inclusion criteria:

- 149 Experiments or observations were conducted in peatlands, including bogs, fens or palsa mires (Table S1). Because of the paucity of studies, tropical peatlands were not included in this synthesis. The latitude cutoff was 30˚N;
- Studies reported manipulative experiments conducted *in situ* or in the laboratory and had both control and experimental groups. Each treatment group had several replicates. Natural gradients used as space-for-time design were included as well as regression-based experimental designs. Studies conducted in the field included natural gradient, transplantation and all manipulation directly implemented on the field (open top chamber, irradiation, plant removal, protection against the rain, snow removal/addition, peat removal/addition, drainage), other studies including incubation in the laboratory, microcosms and mesocosms were classified as laboratory experiments;
- The studies should include data on at least one microbial attribute related to either diversity, biomass, community structure or function. Because of a lack of data on viruses, they were not included in our analysis;
- 164 The studies should include experimental and control sample size and p-values (or correlation coefficients) in the main text, the figures or the supplementary information.
- Based on the above criteria, a total of 120 studies (Table S1) were selected from the 170 initial studies. The global distribution of the peatlands' experimental sites is shown

 in [Figure 1.](#page-48-0) Facing the paucity of multiple-factor experiments with similar combinations and microbial attributes, only single-factor effects were used.

2.2 Data collection

 For each selected publication, basic information including publication year, climate drivers, site location (fieldwork location or sampling location for laboratory experiments), peatland type (fen, bog, mire and other), experimental type (field or laboratory experiment), sample sizes, methods used for quantifying microbial properties, the manipulation magnitudes of climate drivers, the group of microorganisms (bacteria, archaea, fungi, micro-eukaryotes and total) and the duration of the experimentation were extracted from the methods. We also attributed a functional group to each group of microorganisms (photoautotrophs, consumers, decomposers, archaea, bacteria, fungi and total; Table S2). Microbial consumers were further subdivided into testate amoebae, ciliates, rotifers, flagellates, and nematodes. We defined a consumer as a microorganism feeding on any kind of living microorganisms (bacteria, fungi, protists, and/or metazoan). Mixotrophic microalgae were not included in consumers as poorly known in peatlands.

 Then, the p-values related to the responses of each microbial attribute were retrieved from the text or figures. This included microbial richness (Chao1, OTUs, ASVs or species counts), alpha-diversity (Shannon and Simpson), beta-diversity (response of community structure following Hellinger transformation, Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, Jaccard, Gower or UniFrac distances and modification of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) matrices), abundance (qPCR, microscopy, sequencing and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (TRFLP)) and the biomass

 (microscopy-based, phospholipids-derived fatty acids (PFLA)). Finally, the responses of microbially-driven functions were also recorded and included enzyme activities (alpha and beta-glucosidase, chitinase, aminopeptidases, phosphatase, phenol-oxidases, 196 invertase, urease, peroxidase, glycosidase, hydrolase), $CO₂$ respiration and fixation, 197 CH₄ production and oxidation and N_2 fixation. All details can be found in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3. In total, 1,069 p-values (or correlation coefficient) were extracted from the 120 selected studies; among them, 24.4% were related to richness/alpha-diversity/community structure, 37.9% to abundance/biomass and 37.7% to functions.

2.3 Calculation of the standardized mean differences

 We used the standardized mean difference (SMD, unbiased estimator Hedges' *g*) as an effect size metric to estimate the magnitude and sensitivity of responses of each variable (Durlak, 2009). Hedges' *g* is a unit-free metric modified from Cohen's *d* estimator (Hedges and Olkin, 1985). Cohen's *d* estimates the difference between two population means (treatment and control) scaled by the pooled standard deviation of the data (Cohen, 2013). Hedges' *g* is useful in the ecological meta-analysis because it provides a statistical bias correction to Cohen's *d* to treat small samples size (Hedges and Olkin, 1985). This metric allows a quantitative assessment of patterns when methodology varies among studies (Elmendorf et al., 2012; Koricheva et al., 2013). Effect sizes were calculated in *R* (R Core Team, 2022) with the package '*compute.es'* (Re ACD, 2013) using p-values (correlation coefficient for regression-based designs), control and treatment sample size. When the responses of variables to climate drivers were negative, SMD was negative, and *vice versa* for positive responses. For beta-

 diversity, we used the absolute value of SMD because it was often difficult to infer the direction of the response to climate drivers. When no specific p-value was associated with non-significant values, we used a value of 0.5 for our SMD calculations. We considered that SMD between -0.20 and 0.20 had a weak effect and that above this range SMD had a medium to high effect (Cohen, 2013).

-
-

2.4 Bias assessment, sensitivity and statistical analyses

 To test for a potential publication bias we did a funnel plot which consisted of plotting the effect sizes against their standard error (Sterne and Egger, 2001) and we conducted an Egger's regression test (Egger et al., 1997) using the R package '*metafor'* v4.4-0 (Viechtbauer, 2010; Nair, 2019; Nakagawa et al., 2023). Egger's test is a linear 227 regression of the intervention effect estimates on their standard errors weighted by their inverse variance. In the absence of publication bias the regression slope is supposed to be zero (Rothstein et al., 2006). To test how sensitive our results were to changes in the experimental approach, peatland type or duration of analysis, we also conducted a sensitivity analysis. We divided our data into subsets according to the experimental approach used (e.g. laboratory-based or field-based). Then, we divided our data into two other random datasets, calculated the SMDs and associated standard errors for microbial variables, and compared all the results together.

 We used linear mixed effect models (LMMs) both to quantify the mean responsiveness of each microbial variable to climate drivers (D), microbial groups (G) and manipulation magnitude (MM) over all studies (fixed effects), and to analyze variation in effect size with peatland type, experimentation type, and method used

 (random effects). Linear mixed effects models were run in *R* using the package '*lmerTest'* v3.1-3 (Kuznetsova et al., 2017). In addition, we conducted separate ANOVAs on each microbial variable to test for the potential impact of the random effects alone. Any significant effect of the random effects was further tested using the Tuckey HSD test. The models were checked for the homoscedasticity and normality of the variables and residuals, data were log-transformed when the transformation induced an improvement in the distribution.

246 All the statistical analyses were performed in R (R Core Team, 2022) using RStudio v12.0 (RStudio Team, 2020) and the graphical representations were done using '*ggplot2'* v3.4.0 (Wickham, 2016).

-
-

2.5 Meta-analytic Structural Equation Modelling

 We exploited the combined power of microbial diversity, biomass across microbial trophic levels and functions to paint a broad picture of how climate drivers mechanistically affect multiple microbial C processes using MASEM: Meta-Analytic Structural Equation Modelling (Cheung and Chan, 2005; Jak and Cheung, 2020). MASEM technique combines meta-analysis with structural equation modelling, a method that allows for causal inferences and mechanistic understanding while giving a synthetic overview of relationships among variables of interest (Cheung and Chan, 2005; Eisenhauer et al., 2015). Generally, MASEM is a two-stage process in which the meta-analysis data are first used to generate a pooled correlation matrix across studies, which is then used to fit a structural equation model (Jak and Cheung, 2020). As almost no match existed between the different strands of microbial data we collected, we used

 a different approach, more appropriate to the complex and heterogeneous datasets we generated.

 As a first step, we constructed an *a priori* MASEM model, which drew from hypotheses based on current theory of soil microbiomes under climate change (Jansson and Hofmockel, 2020; Naylor et al., 2020) and accounted for our expectation that microbial C processes increasingly shift along with climate change intensity because of increasing changes of microbial diversity, biomass and interactions (Figure S1; hypotheses: Table S4). To simplify our MASEM model, we included a composite variable entitled "microbial C-related multifunctionality", which was the combined 271 product of microbial $CO₂$ fixation and respiration, $CH₄$ oxidation and production, and C- related enzyme activities (hydrolases and phenoloxidases). Modelling with composites in structural equation modelling involved a two-step process (Grace et al., 2016).

 As a first step, we constructed a SEM model to identify the coefficients of the predictive variables that determine the composite variable 'microbial C-related multifunctionality'. To do so, we constructed a SEM model (hereafter 'latent SEM model') with the unmeasured latent variable 'microbial C-related multifunctionality' (Figure S1). This latent SEM model was run on a pooled matrix using the *R* package '*lavaan*' which allows latent variables. The pooled matrix was obtained by iteratively (*k* = 50) and randomly selecting twelve effect size values and their respective climate change intensity value for each co-variable across our global database and averaging these matrices. We diagnosed latent SEM model fit based on chi-squared statistics (*P* > 283 0.05), low root-mean-square error of approximation index (RMSEA \leq 0.1), low 284 standardized root-mean-square residual index (SRMR \leq 0.1) and high comparative fit

285 index (CFI \geq 0.95), and included variables in the latent variable based on these fit indices and Akaike information criterion (AIC) values (low AIC value was preferred for similar model fit). Second, the resulting standardized estimates of the latent variable were used to compute the composite index of 'microbial C-related multifunctionality' in the MASEM model and test its response to shifts in microbial diversity, biomass and interactions (Figure S1). This procedure was performed on temperature increase and drought effects separately.

 In a second step, MASEM was performed iteratively on *k* matrices; we used *k* = 50 for temperature increase and *k* = 10 for drought. *k* was defined according to the minimum size of covariates for temperature increase and drought sub-matrices. Each covariate included in the MASEM model was ranked by intensity magnitude before the random selection. For temperature increase, we ranked data by increasing temperature increase. For drought, we ranked data by increasing effect size as data on drought intensity were not easily available in every study selected from our data compilation. For each iteration, twelve effect size values along with their respective climate change intensity value, peatland type, experiment type and community type information were randomly selected for each co-variate. Then, MASEM was fitted with LMMs ran through piecewise SEM (Lefcheck, 2016). We chose this approach because it allowed us to account for the variation of the standardized estimates according to various random effects (e.g. peatland type, experimentation type, method used, and microbial groups). In addition, compared to covariance-based SEM, this approach allowed us to use the Shipley's test of d-separation to test whether direct or indirect paths are missing from the initial model in predicting microbial C-related multifunctionality. The goodness-of-fit

 of our MASEM model was evaluated using Fisher's C statistic (*P* > 0.05) and AIC for each iteration.

2.6 Projections of microbial responses at global peatland scale and under climate change scenarios

 We projected at the global northern peatland scale (>30˚N) the responses (*i.e.*, SMDs) of main microbial functions defining microbial C-related multifunctionality and its main indirect predictors to forecasted temperature increase. The projected variables were chosen according to the results from MASEM (see section 3.3). No projections were made for drought as we were not able to recover enough data on the drought intensity in every study to build predictive models using IPCC scenarios. Temperature increase predictions were retrieved from the IPCC Interactive Atlas (IPCC, 2021; Iturbide et al., 2021) available online at [https://interactive-atlas.ipcc.ch/.](https://interactive-atlas.ipcc.ch/) We extracted the mean annual temperature change in °C projected from the CMIP6 model under the SSP5-8.5 scenario for +1.5°C and +3°C warming relative to the 1850-1900 baseline. Maps were downloaded with a ground pixel size of 60 arc minutes. As an envelope for predictions, we used the peatland map from the up-to-date peatland distribution Peat-ML map, a global raster of peatland fractional coverage at 5 arc minute ground pixel resolution (Melton et al., 2022). We extracted temperature change for each scenario (+1°C and +3°C) at each peatland pixel where fractional coverage was upper than 0% in the exclusion of southern and tropical peatlands (<30°N), as these areas were non-covered by our meta-analysis. Each microbial variable has been predicted using generalized effect models with the SMD as a response variable and the temperature change as an

 explanatory variable. The models were checked for the homoscedasticity and normality of the variables and residuals, and the quality of predictions was assessed using confidence intervals. Confidence intervals of each prediction were retrieved by making an interval on the scale of the linear predictor, then applying the inverse link function from the model fit to transform the linear level confidence intervals to the response level using 2,000 simulations. The probabilities of the predictions to occur were also calculated and plotted at the global peatland scale.

3. Results

3.1 Effects of climate change on peatland's microbial richness and diversity

 Overall, microbial richness was weakly impacted by climate change drivers. Most of the individual responses had low to medium SMDs [\(Figure 2a\)](#page-49-0). These responses were dependent on manipulation magnitude (LMMs, *P* < 0.05; [Table 2;](#page-43-0) Table S5). Bacterial 344 richness was, on average, not affected by temperature increase (-0.01 ± 0.27) and 345 weakly affected by drought (-0.2 ± 0.3) . Temperature increase (-0.59 ± 0.47) and drought (-0.37 \pm 1.12) both decreased micro-eukaryotic richness whereas fungal 347 richness increased with temperature increase (0.96 \pm 0.35) and weakly increased with 348 drought (0.16 \pm 0.39). Responses of microbial richness facing winter warming and vegetation were all positive and ranged from not significant (bacteria, fungi) to strong effect (archaea, micro-eukaryotes; Figure S2a; Table S6).

 The response of microbial alpha-diversity was either positive or negative depending on the microbial group considered (Table S7). Again, the average response of microbial alpha-diversity to climate change drivers was weak [\(Figure 2b\)](#page-49-0).

354 Temperature increase induced a decrease in bacterial alpha-diversity (-0.56 ± 0.48) 355 whereas it increased micro-eukaryotic alpha-diversity (0.3 ± 0.69) ; [Figure 2b\)](#page-49-0). Drought 356 increased fungal alpha-diversity (1.18 \pm 1.62) but had no impact on bacterial and micro-357 eukaryotic alpha-diversity (0.01 \pm 0.47 and 0.003 \pm 0.75 respectively). Winter warming 358 increased micro-eukaryotic alpha-diversity although the effect strongly varied (0.76 \pm 1.16; Figure S2b). LMMs did not show any significant impact of the fixed terms (microbial group, climate driver and manipulation magnitude; *P* > 0.05, [Table 2;](#page-43-0) Table S5) on microbial alpha-diversity.

 We found that microbial community structure (beta-diversity) systematically responded to climate change drivers (Table S8). At the group level, all microbial groups strongly responded to climate change drivers [\(Figure 2c\)](#page-49-0). Temperature increase had a 365 stronger effect on micro-eukaryotic (1.16 \pm 0.30) and fungal (1.17 \pm 0.17) community 366 structure than on bacterial community structure (0.67 \pm 0.10). Drought showed a very strong effect on all microbial groups with a mean of SMDs above 1.50. We also observed that winter warming and vegetation shift induced a strong response to microbial beta-diversity (mean of SMDs above 0.8; [Figure S2c\)](#page-49-0). LMMs further showed that the microbial group together with climate drivers explained the observed effects (*P* < 0.05; [Table 2;](#page-49-0) Table S5).

3.2 Effects of climate change on peatlands microbial biomass and functions

 Overall, the response of microbial biomass to climate change strongly depended on the trophic group considered and the manipulation magnitude (*P* < 0.01 and *P* < 0.05 respectively; [Table 2;](#page-43-0) ; Table S5; Table S9). Most of the effects were medium to strong

 with a few SMDs within the range of weak effects, notably for drought [\(Figure 3a;](#page-50-0) Figure S3; Figure S4a). The biomass of all microbial groups increased with temperature 380 increase (means of SMDs between 0.53 ± 0.27 and 0.85 ± 0.2). The only exception was 381 consumers for whom the biomass showed a very weak response (0.06 \pm 0.39). Drought showed a weaker effect than temperature increase on microbial biomasses, except for 383 photoautotrophs (1.01 \pm 0.36) and consumers (-0.67 \pm 0.25). Vegetation shift induced a strong negative response of bacterial and fungal biomasses (SMDs of -1.04 and -2.05, respectively; Figure S4a), and winter warming had negative effects on consumers (-0.73 386 \pm 0.25) and weak negative effect on bacteria (-0.15 \pm 0.52). In contrast, photoautotroph 387 biomass increased with winter warming (0.53 ± 0.11) . Winter warming had a very weak 388 impact on fungal biomass $(0.08 \pm 0.55;$ Figure S4a).

 Among microbial consumers, we found that nematodes, ciliates, flagellates and testate amoebae were particularly sensitive to climate change [\(Figure 3b;](#page-50-0) Figure S4b). While the biomass of ciliates, nematodes and flagellates increased with temperature increase, testate amoebae showed opposite patterns with a systematic decrease of their biomass in response to climate change (Table S9). LMMs further showed significant effects of microbial group together with climate drivers or with manipulation magnitude on microbial biomass (*P* < 0.001; [Table 2\)](#page-43-0).

 At the functional level, we found that climate change overall boosted microbial activities [\(Figure 3c,](#page-50-0) Figure S4c, Table S10). However, notable differences were found according to the function considered (*P* < 0.001; [Table 2;](#page-43-0) Table S5). In terms of carbon 399 emissions, both $CO₂$ and CH₄ emissions increased with temperature increase (2.28 \pm 400 0.21 and 1.02 \pm 0.25 respectively; [Figure 3c\)](#page-50-0). Drought also increased CO₂ emissions

401 (1.18 \pm 0.32) but decreased CH₄ emissions (-2.01 \pm 0.39). We also found that CH₄ 402 oxidation into CO_2 was less impacted by temperature increase (0.18 \pm 0.43) whilst CO_2 403 fixation increased with temperature increase and drought (1.05 \pm 0.13 and 1.36 \pm 1.35; 404 [Figure 3c\)](#page-50-0). Microbial enzyme activities related to C-cycle (e.g. peroxidase, β-405 glucosidase, chitinase; Table S3) increased with temperature increase (0.38 \pm 0.23) and 406 drought (1.34 \pm 0.61). N-related microbial enzyme activities such as nitrogenase, 407 aminopeptidase and urease (Table S3) were weakly impacted by temperature increase 408 (0.2 \pm 0.25) but increased with drought (0.48 \pm 0.09). The activity of P-related enzymes 409 (acidic phosphatase activity and P-hydrolase; Table S3) increased with all climate 410 change drivers [\(Figure 3c\)](#page-50-0). Winter warming and vegetation shift also drove important 411 responses of microbial functional activities (Figure S4c). In particular, N-related 412 enzymes were negatively impacted by vegetation shift (-2.54 ± 3.09) . On the opposite, 413 C mineralisation, $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ emissions were positively impacted by vegetation shift 414 $(3.38 \pm 1.31; 2.74 \pm 1.06 \text{ and } 0.93 \pm 0.48 \text{ respectively}).$

415

416 **3.3Publication bias assessment and sensitivity analysis**

 We assessed potential bias in publication by conducting an Eggers' regression test and 418 we found no impact for biomass $(P = 0.14)$, richness $(P = 0.15)$, alpha-diversity $(P = 1.16)$ 0.59) and beta-diversity (*P* = 0.54) data. The only significant bias was found for 420 functions $(P = 0.02)$. When carefully looking at each function, we saw that they responded to climate change drivers toward the same direction which could explain such a bias. Furthermore, when we compared the dataset used in this meta-analysis ('complete') to the four different datasets generated ('field', 'laboratory', 'random1' and 424 'random2'), we saw that all the results pointed toward the same effect (except for a few 425 exceptions, Figure S5-S8).

426

427 **3.4 Connections between microbial diversity, biomass and function under** 428 **climate change**

429 Latent SEM models showed that 'microbial C-related multifunctionality' was best defined 430 by microbial $CO₂$ fixation and $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ emissions under temperature increase 431 [\(Figure 4a\)](#page-51-0). Microbial $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ emissions, and hydrolytic and oxidative enzyme 432 activities were the best predictors of microbial C-related multifunctionality under drought 433 [\(Figure](#page-51-0) 4b). We, therefore, used these variables and their respective standardized 434 estimates to build the composite variable in the MASEMs. MASEMs for temperature 435 and drought showed overall good fits across iterations (Fisher's C statistic, averaged *P* 436 \rightarrow 0.05) and high R^2 values (Figure S9a and c). MASEM showed that temperature 437 increase influenced microbial C-related multifunctionality (averaged R^2 = 0.65; Figure 438 S9a), directly through effects on microbial $CO₂$ fixation (averaged path = 0.71 \pm 0.01) 439 and emission (averaged path = -0.06 ± 0.03) and CH₄ emission (averaged path = 0.26 \pm 440 0.03), and indirectly through shifts in microbial beta-diversity (averaged path = 0.22 ± 1 441 0.08) and the biomass of decomposers (averaged path = 0.09 ± 0.08) and phototrophs 442 (averaged path = 0.13 ± 0.08 ; [Figure](#page-51-0) 4c). Shifts in microbial beta-diversity were further 443 related to shifts in the biomass of decomposers and phototrophs [\(Figure](#page-51-0) 4c). Under 444 drought, MASEM evidenced similar mechanisms [\(Figure](#page-51-0) 4d). Variation in microbial C-445 s related multifunctionality (averaged R^2 = 0.96; Figure S9b) was driven by shifts in 446 microbial beta-diversity (averaged path = 0.13 ± 0.07), decomposer (averaged path =

447 0.69 \pm 0.03) and consumer biomass (averaged path = 0.26 \pm 0.04; Figure 4d), while 448 changes in microbial biomass across trophic levels were also explained by shifts in 449 microbial beta-diversity.

450

451 **3.5 Potential future changes in microbial C-related functions**

 Based on the MASEM results [\(Figure 4\)](#page-51-0) we chose to predict potential future changes of 453 microbial C-related functions (including $CO₂$ emission and fixation, $CH₄$ emission and 454 oxidation). Our predictive models showed good results both for $CO₂$ emissions ($P =$ 455 0.023 and R^2 = 0.36; [Table 3;](#page-44-0) Figure S10) and CO₂ fixation (*P* < 0.001, R^2 = 0.73; Table [3;](#page-44-0) Figure S11). However, the SMDs of CH_4 emission and oxidation did not evidence any significant relationship with temperature increase (*P* = 0.14 and *P* = 0.91 respectively; [Table 3\)](#page-44-0). Therefore, we only made projections for the future of $CO₂$ emission and fixation in response to temperature increase.

 Projected under the SSP5-8.5 scenario, we found that peatland C-related functions will strongly change as a result of climate warming, although in different directions. We 462 notably found a global increase in microbial $CO₂$ emission and $CO₂$ fixation rates [\(Figure 5\)](#page-53-0). Overall, for both projections, SMDs were above the threshold of 0.2 and the highest SMDs were predicted at the most northern latitudes. Our projections of 465 microbial $CO₂$ emission showed that the response of $CO₂$ emission is temperature 466 dependent (SMDs between 2 and 4; [Figure 5a](#page-53-0) and b). Indeed, we showed that $CO₂$ emission response to increasing temperature at the highest latitudes will be lower under the 3˚C (SMDs around 2.6) than under the 1.5˚C scenario (SMDs > 3), although still 469 increasing with increasing temperature. For $CO₂$ fixation our predictions showed an increase of fixation rates along with increasing temperatures at the highest latitudes and

471 across both scenarios [\(Figure 5c](#page-53-0) and d). SMDs for $CO₂$ fixation ranged between 0.5 and 5 with most of the SMDs around 2 for the 1.5˚C scenario and around 4 for the 3˚C scenario.

4 Discussion

 Understanding how climate change affects microbial communities and the underlying functions they drive is a critical issue in climate change and microbiology research (Jansson and Hofmockel, 2020). By synthesizing the dynamic changes in peatland microbial communities from 120 studies performed over the past four decades, this meta-analysis provides explicit evidence that climate change consistently impacts peatland microbiomes. This agrees with recent findings in other terrestrial systems (Blankinship et al., 2011; Schindlbacher et al., 2011; Melillo et al., 2017; Romero- Olivares et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2022; W. Li et al., 2022; Robinson et al., 2023). An interesting outcome of this study demonstrates that climate change 485 drivers systematically promote microbial functions, such as $CO₂$ fixation and emissions, 486 and CH_4 emissions, suggesting a strong impact of climate change on future peatland biogeochemical cycles. Vegetation shift and winter warming were the least represented climate drivers in our analysis but led to strong responses of microbial communities and functions (Figure S2, S3 and S4), highlighting that these two drivers would merit more attention in future investigations.

 Our findings show that warming and drought strongly influence microbial attributes in peatlands, which agrees with findings on other terrestrial systems (Lurgi et al., 2012a, 2012b; de Vries and Shade, 2013; Meisner et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013;

 Kaisermann et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2022). In particular, both warming and drought reduced bacterial richness and alpha-diversity, while fungal taxonomic attributes increased [\(Figure 2a and b\)](#page-49-0). Studies from different terrestrial systems pointed toward the same results, supporting that soil fungal communities are usually more resistant than bacteria to drought and warming (Bapiri et al., 2010; de Vries et al., 2012, 2018; de Vries and Shade, 2013; Y. Li et al., 2022). The filamentous structure of fungi and their ability to produce osmoregulatory solutes to protect their metabolisms against unfavorable conditions might explain such differences (Maestre et al., 2015; Nielsen et al., 2015). In addition, our results showed that bacterial and fungal community composition and biomass always responded to climate drivers [\(Figure 2c;](#page-49-0) [Figure 3a;](#page-50-0) Figure S3), which was further linked to the response of associated ecosystem functions 505 they drive such as $CO₂$ and CH₄ emissions [\(Figure 4;](#page-51-0) [Figure 5\)](#page-53-0).

 Our results also evidenced a positive response of micro-eukaryotes at intermediate trophic levels [\(Figure 3b\)](#page-50-0), especially for ciliates, flagellates and nematodes which are important bacterial and fungal feeders in microbiomes (Rønn et al., 2012; Geisen et al., 2018; Neilson et al., 2020; Potapov et al., 2022). This suggests that climate drivers may increase the strength of bottom-up effects in the microbial food web and concomitant processes (e.g. activity, growth). In addition, our findings revealed a possible decrease in the relative importance of top-down effects in structuring microbial communities with the loss of top-predators such as testate amoebae. The biomass of testate amoebae systematically and negatively responded to climate change drivers. Trophic downgrading in response to climate change has been observed in many systems (Petchey et al., 1999; Dossena et al., 2012; Ohlberger, 2013), and often results

 from metabolic constraints of larger organisms (Brose et al., 2012; Lurgi et al., 2012a, 2012b). As size ratio is involved in several biological processes, including for instance metabolism, growth rate and density, trophic flow, biomass fluxes and interaction strength, changes in community size structure are likely to exert strong feedback on trophic functioning (Woodward et al., 2005; Brose et al., 2012; Lurgi et al., 2012a; Jassey et al., 2023). This loss of top-predators suggests that climate drivers can restructure microbial food webs in peatlands by causing secondary extinctions along the food web or by altering the diversity and abundance of many taxa from different groups and trophic levels (Petchey et al., 1999; Woodward et al., 2008; Dossena et al., 2012; Heckmann et al., 2012; Jassey et al., 2013; Antiqueira et al., 2018; Reczuga et al., 2018; Romero et al., 2018, 2020). These multiple changes along trophic levels may strongly affect ecosystem functions (Allison and Martiny, 2008; Antiqueira et al., 2018). For example, the loss of testate amoebae which feed on a large variety of prey, including bacteria, fungi, microalgae, ciliates, rotifers and nematodes (Yeates and Foissner, 1995; Gilbert et al., 2000, 2003; Wilkinson and Mitchell, 2010; Jassey et al., 2013), may strengthen the interactions between basal species (bacteria and fungi) and intermediate consumers, which is known to enhance microbial enzyme activity and metabolic rates (Trap et al., 2015; Sauvadet et al., 2016). Such a hypothesis is supported by our MASEM models. We showed that increasing shifts in decomposer biomass resulting from shifts in consumers were related to shifts in microbial C-related multifunctionality [\(Figure 4\)](#page-51-0). Hence the restructuring of the microbial food web supports 538 the hypothesis that peatlands could become emitters of $CO₂$ in response to climate change.

 Overall, we found that warming and drought strongly impacted multifunctionality related to the C cycle, with a positive response of decomposition (C enzymes) and C 542 emissions (both $CO₂$ and $CH₄$) except for $CH₄$ emissions that decreased with drought. Drought causes aeration of the peat resulting both in unfavourable conditions for methanogens that are very sensitive to oxygen (Zehnder and Stumm, 1988; Dowrick et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2020; Barel et al., 2021) and favourable conditions for CH⁴ oxidation (Dowrick et al., 2006; Kang et al., 2018; Perryman et al., 2023). Other meta- analyses at the global scale also evidenced that warming significantly increases microbial respiration (Bardgett et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2014; Crowther et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016; Xu and Yuan, 2017; Liu et al., 2020), thus corroborating our results and suggesting a loss of C from peatlands in response to climate change (Dorrepaal et al., 2009; Loisel et al., 2020). Now, if we add the response of microbial photoautotrophs to the global microbial picture, the perception of how peatlands may react to climate change differs. Recent work showed that peatland microbes can fix on average 8.8 mg $CO₂$ hr⁻¹ m⁻², a rate equivalent to about 9% of peatlands' gross $CO₂$ uptake (Hamard et 555 al. 2021). Our synthesis showed that such a $CO₂$ fixation rate is likely to increase with warming and drought. We suggest that the response of photoautotrophs to climate change may to some extent counterbalance the response of heterotrophic microbes to climate change and partly mitigate the rise in microbial C emissions. Such a hypothesis 559 was further supported by our projections of microbial $CO₂$ emission and fixation at the global peatland scale based on future scenarios of the IPCC (CMIP6 model with SSP5- 8.5 scenario for +1.5˚C and +3˚C; [Figure 5\)](#page-53-0). Our findings showed a strong and positive response of microbial $CO₂$ fixation rate along with warming at the global peatland scale

 and across scenarios, especially in northern latitudes where peatlands are the most abundant. This suggests that peatland microbiomes may take up more C along with 565 temperature increase in the future. This increasingly positive response of microbial $CO₂$ fixation to temperature anomalies in northern latitudes may be one of the mechanisms possibly allowing peatlands to remain C sinks on the long-term, as predicted by recent model simulations (Gallego-Sala et al., 2018) and experimental studies (Helbig et al., 2022).

 On the opposite, our results showed an important increase in the response of CO₂ emissions, but mostly at $+1.5^{\circ}$ C scenario [\(Figure 5a\)](#page-53-0). Indeed, our projection indicated that the response of microbial $CO₂$ emissions might decreased in magnitude under the +3°C scenario [\(Figure 5b\)](#page-53-0). These results suggest that above a certain temperature anomaly, the increase in microbial $CO₂$ emissions may stagnate or even 575 decrease. Recent meta-analyses in soils found a similar trend with $CO₂$ emissions 576 decreasing with warming magnitude after a certain temperature threshold $(-+2^{\circ}C; Xu)$ and Yuan, 2017; Yang et al., 2023). This suggests that microbial communities might adapt to climate warming (Rinnan et al., 2009; Melillo et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2023), probably as a result of physiological adaptation (Bradford et al., 2008; Tucker et al., 2013) and community changes (Crowther and Bradford, 2013). Another hypothesis that 581 could explain such a decrease in $CO₂$ emissions with warming has been suggested by Walker et al. (2018). First, warming accelerates growth, respiration and C use by 583 microbial communities, leading to an important release of $CO₂$. Then, because of a lack of C in the system, the first phase is followed by a decrease in microbial biomass, 585 reducing microbial activities and attenuating $CO₂$ emission in the long term (Walker et

 al., 2018). Further studies will be needed to test and verify these hypotheses in peatlands. Furthermore, although very promising, we caution that our projections are an extrapolation from a small dataset of SMDs that does not consider the potential effect of seasons and precipitation changes on microbial C emission and fixation rates. For these reasons, these results merit further support and must be consolidated with additional analyses to increase their robustness. Nevertheless, the probability for the values predicted to be accurate and reliable is relatively high (Figure S12), thus providing some confidence in the projections.

 To conclude, our global synthesis demonstrates that climate change strongly impacts peatland microbial attributes with notably an important effect on microbial biomass and functions. Our findings underline that considering the microbiome in its entity (i.e., bacteria, fungi, protists and micro-metazoa) and multiple microbial attributes (i.e., richness, diversity, biomass and functions) is key to paint a broad picture of how climate drivers change microbial diversity and trophic interactions, and how these changes drive shifts in microbial C-related processes. Our findings show that biomass across trophic levels and changes in community structure are tightly linked, and together strongly impact microbial functions simultaneously. Therefore, considering biomass across trophic levels and community structure is key to predict shifts in microbial C processes. Our results further provide empirical support for the new 605 hypothesis that microbial $CO₂$ fixation may regulate microbial climatic feedback to the 606 peatland C cycle, and yield evidence that incorporating microbial $CO₂$ fixation process into global peatland C models, such as ORCHIDEE-PEAT (Qiu et al., 2018) will likely improve both their mechanistic insight and predictive power. Future studies are needed

609 to build on this foundation, for example, interrogating to what extent microbial $CO₂$ 610 fixation counterbalances microbial C losses from $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ emissions and 611 decomposition will be crucial for advancing our predictions of carbon-climate feedback 612 from peatlands in a warming world.

Acknowledgements

 This work has been supported by the MIXOPEAT (Grant No. ANR-17-CE01-0007 to VEJJ) and BALANCE (Grant No. ANR-23-ERCC-0001-01) projects funded by the French National Research Agency. We kindly thank Samuel Plaza for his help in constructing the data basis.

Author contributions

 VEJJ conceived the study. MLG constructed the database with the help of VEJJ. MLG and VEJJ performed numerical analyses. RW performed projections maps. MLG and 622 VEJJ wrote the first draft of the manuscript with the help of BL. All authors reviewed and contributed to the final form of the manuscript.

References

 Allison, S.D., Martiny, J.B.H., 2008. Resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105, 11512–11519. doi:10.1073/PNAS.0801925105/SUPPL_FILE/0801925105SI.PDF Andersen, R., Chapman, S.J., Artz, R.R.E., 2013. Microbial communities in natural and disturbed peatlands: A review. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 57, 979–994. doi:10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2012.10.003 Antiqueira, P.A.P., Petchey, O.L., Romero, G.Q., 2018. Warming and top predator loss drive ecosystem multifunctionality. Ecology Letters 21, 72–82. doi:10.1111/ELE.12873 Artz, R.R.E., 2013. Microbial Community Structure and Carbon Substrate use in Northern Peatlands. Carbon Cycling in Northern Peatlands 184, 111–129. doi:10.1029/2008GM000806 Bapiri, A., Bååth, E., Rousk, J., 2010. Drying-Rewetting Cycles Affect Fungal and Bacterial Growth Differently in an Arable Soil. Microbial Ecology 60, 419–428. doi:10.1007/S00248-010-9723-5/ Bardgett, R.D., Freeman, C., Ostle, N.J., 2008. Microbial contributions to climate change through carbon cycle feedbacks. The ISME Journal 2, 805–814. Barel, J.M., Moulia, V., Hamard, S., Sytiuk, A., Jassey, V.E.J., 2021. Come Rain, Come Shine: Peatland Carbon Dynamics Shift Under Extreme Precipitation. Frontiers in Environmental Science 9, 659953. doi:10.3389/FENVS.2021.659953/BIBTEX Basińska, A.M., Reczuga, M.K., Gąbka, M., Stróżecki, M., Łuców, D., Samson, M., Urbaniak, M., Leśny, J., Chojnicki, B.H., Gilbert, D., Sobczyński, T., Olejnik, J., Silvennoinen, H., Juszczak, R., Lamentowicz, M., 2020. Experimental warming and precipitation reduction affect the biomass of microbial communities in a Sphagnum peatland. Ecological Indicators 112, 106059. doi:10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2019.106059 Blankinship, J.C., Niklaus, P.A., Hungate, B.A., 2011. A meta-analysis of responses of soil biota to global change. Oecologia 165, 553–565. doi:10.1007/S00442-011-1909- 0/TABLES/3 Bonn, A., Allott, T., Evans, M., Joosten, H., Stoneman, R., 2016. Peatland restoration and ecosystem services: An introduction, in: Peatland Restoration and Ecosystem Services: Science, Policy and Practice. Cambridge University Press, pp. 1–16. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139177788.002 Bradford, M.A., Davies, C.A., Frey, S.D., Maddox, T.R., Melillo, J.M., Mohan, J.E., Reynolds, J.F., Treseder, K.K., Wallenstein, M.D., 2008. Thermal adaptation of soil microbial respiration to elevated temperature. Ecology Letters 11, 1316–1327. doi:10.1111/J.1461-0248.2008.01251.X Briske, D.D., Fuhlendorf, S.D., Smeins, F.E., 2006. A unified framework for assessment and application of ecological thresholds. Rangeland Ecology and Management 59, 225–236. doi:10.2111/05-115R.1 Brose, U., Dunne, J.A., Montoya, J.M., Petchey, O.L., Schneider, F.D., Jacob, U., 2012. Climate change in size-structured ecosystems. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 367, 2903–2912. doi:10.1098/RSTB.2012.0232

1169 **Table 1. Description of the climate drivers retained in this synthesis.**

1170

 Table 2. Summary of the response of richness, alpha-diversity, beta-diversity, biomass and function to climate drivers (linear mixed effects models, LMMs). Groups, climate drivers and manipulation magnitude were retained as fixed effect terms, whilst the peatland type, experimentation type, method used and study type were retained as random effect terms. G^a = microbial group for richness, alpha-diversity, beta-diversity and biomass, and the type of function for microbial function, D = climate drivers, MM= manipulation magnitude. Peatland type = bog, fen, mire, other or several; experimentation = laboratory or field; method = method used to estimate alpha-diversity, beta-diversity and biomass and/or measure microbial functions (see Methods). *** *P* < 0.001, ** *P* < 0.01, * *P* < 0.05. 1179

1190	Table 3. Summary of the predictive models used for projections at global peatland scale
11 \cap 1	

Figure captions

 Figure 1. Peatland coverage and data. Estimated area coverage (in percentage) of peatlands based on the Peat-ML distribution map (Melton et al., 2022). Geometric forms show the global distribution of the experimental sites according to different climate change drivers used in this meta-analysis. TI = 1202 temperature increase (n = 41), WW = winter warming (n = 3), DR = drought (n = 32), VS = vegetation shift 1203 (n = 2), and MIX = combination of several drivers (n = 42). MIX included TI-DR (n = 25), TI-WW (n = 8), 1204 TI-VS (n = 4), DR-VS (n = 4), and TI-DR-VS (n = 1). The map shows only latitude above 30°N.

 Figure 2. Standardized mean difference (SMD) showing the response of peatland microbial richness (a), alpha-diversity (b) and beta-diversity (c) to temperature increase (TI) and drought (DR). The black dot shows the mean of SMDs and the bars represent the associated standard error. The filled line shows the null effect size (equal to zero), the grey area with dotted blue lines represents the range [-0.2;0.2] in which SMDs are considered weak. Outside this range SMDs are considered to have medium to high effect. Numbers between brackets indicate the number of observations used to calculate the SMDs.

1213
1214 Figure 3. Standardized mean difference (SMD) showing the response of peatland microbial **biomass grouped by microbial functional groups to temperature increase (TI) and drought (DR) (a), with a focus on consumers (b). SMD showing the response of peatland microbial functions to temperature increase (TI) and drought (DR) (c).** The black dot shows the mean of SMDs and the bars represent the standard error associated. The filled line shows the null effect size (equal to zero), the grey area with dotted blue lines represents the range [-0.2;0.2] in which SMDs are considered weak. Outside this range SMDs are considered to have medium to high effect. Numbers between brackets indicate the number of observations used to calculate the SMDs.

 Figure 4. Microbial species turnover and biomass across trophic levels are complementary facets of the response of peatlands' functions to climate change. Latent SEMs showing the best functions 1224 defining microbial C-related multifunctionality under (a) temperature increase (X^2 = 0.35, df = 1, P = 0.55; 1225 CFI = 1, RMSEA = 0, SRMR = 0.031) and **(b)** drought $(X^2 = 0.27$, df = 1, $P = 0.60$; CFI = 1, RMSEA = 0, 1226 SRMR = 0.01). Unmeasured latent variable 'microbial C-related multifunctionality' is represented by a 1227 circle while measured variables are represented by squares. Numbers next to each arrow indicated the standardized estimates of the model. Standardized estimates derived from the MASEM models under **(c)** temperature increase and **(d)** drought. Circles are the mean of *k* iterations for each path of the model and 1230 the bars indicate the standard error derived from the *k* iterations ($k = 50$ for temperature increase; $k = 10$ 1231 for drought, more details can be found in Methods). Fit of the MASEM models are given in supplementary 1232 Figure S9. TI = temperature increase, div = alpha-diversity, bdiv = beta-diversity, photot = biomass of phototrophs, dec = biomass of bacteria and/or fungi, cons = biomass of consumers, C = microbial C-

 related multifunctionality. A priori MASEM model and hypotheses behind paths are given in Figure S1 and Table S4.

Figure 5. Predicted standardized mean difference (SMD) for the effect of increasing temperatures

+1.5˚C (a) and (c) and +3.0˚C (b) and (d) on CO² emissions (a) and (b) and CO² fixation (c) and (d).

Peatland distribution was retrieved from the peatland distribution Peat-ML map (Melton et al., 2022),

CMIP6 model under the SSP5-8.5 scenario for +1.5˚C and +3˚C warming related to the 1850-1900

- baseline was used.
-
-

 Peatland coverage and data. Estimated area coverage (in percentage) of peatlands based on the Peat-ML distribution map (Melton et al., 2022). Geometric forms show the global distribution of the experimental sites according to different climate change drivers used in this meta-analysis. TI = temperature increase (n = 41), WW = 1269 winter warming (n = 3), DR = drought (n = 32), VS = vegetation shift (n = 2), and MIX = combination of several drivers (n = 42). MIX included TI-DR (n = 25), TI-WW (n = 8), TI-VS (n = 4), DR-VS (n = 4), and TI-DR-VS (n = 1). The map 1271 shows only latitude above 30°N.

 by functional type to temperature increase (TI) and drought (DR) (a), with a focus on consumers (b). SMD showing the response of peatland microbial functions to temperature increase (TI) and drought (DR) (c). The black dot shows the mean of SMD and the bars represent the standard error associated. The filled line shows the null effect size (equal to zero), the grey area with dotted blue lines represents the range [-0.2;0.2] in which SMDs are considered weak. Outside this range SMDs are considered to have medium to high effect. Numbers between brackets indicate the number of observations used to calculate SMDs.

-
-
-
-
-
-

 Figure 4. Microbial species turnover and biomass across trophic levels are complementary facets of the response of peatland's functions to climate change. Latent SEMs showing the best functions defining microbial 1391 C-related multifunctionality under (a) temperature increase (X^2 = 0.35, df = 1, P = 0.55; CFI = 1, RMSEA = 0, SRMR = 1392 0.031 and (b) drought ($X^2 = 0.27$, df = 1, $P = 0.60$; CFI = 1, RMSEA = 0, SRMR = 0.01). Unmeasured latent variable 'microbial C-related multifunctionality' is represented by a circle while measured variables are represented by squares. Numbers next to each arrow indicated the standardized estimates of the model. Standardized estimates derived from the MASEM models under (c) temperature increase and (d) drought. Circles are the mean of *k* iterations 1396 for each path of the model and the bars indicate the standard error derived from the k iterations ($k = 50$ for 1397 temperature increase; $k = 10$ for drought, more details can be found in methods). Fit of the MASEM models are given

- in supplementary Figure S9. TI = temperature increase, div = alpha-diversity, bdiv = beta-diversity, photot = biomass
- of phototrophs, dec = biomass of bacteria and/or fungi, cons = biomass of consumers, C = microbial C-related
- multifunctionality. A priori MASEM model and hypotheses behind paths are given in Figure S1 and Table S4.

 Figure 5. Predicted standardized mean difference (SMD) for the effect of increasing temperatures +1.5˚C (a and c) and +3.0˚C (b and d) on CO² emissions (a and b) and CO² fixation (c and d). Peatland distribution was retrieved from the peatland distribution Peat-ML map (Melton et al., 2022), CMIP6 model under the SPP8.5 scenario for +1.5˚C and +3˚C warming related to the 1850-1900 baseline was used.

-
-