



**HAL**  
open science

# On the construction of conditional probability densities in the Brownian and compound Poisson filtrations \*

Monique Jeanblanc, Pavel V. Gapeev

► **To cite this version:**

Monique Jeanblanc, Pavel V. Gapeev. On the construction of conditional probability densities in the Brownian and compound Poisson filtrations \*. 2023. hal-04355404

**HAL Id: hal-04355404**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04355404v1>**

Preprint submitted on 20 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# On the construction of conditional probability densities in the Brownian and compound Poisson filtrations\*

Pavel V. Gapeev<sup>†</sup>      Monique Jeanblanc<sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper, we construct supermartingales valued in  $[0, 1]$  as solutions of an appropriate stochastic differential equation on a given reference filtration generated by either a Brownian motion or a compound Poisson process. Then, by means of the results contained in [19], it is possible to construct an associated random time on some extended probability space admitting such a given supermartingale as conditional survival process and we shall check that this construction (with a particular choice of supermartingale) implies that Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, that is, the existence of a family of strictly positive conditional probability densities for the random times with respect to the reference filtration, is satisfied. We use the components of the multiplicative decomposition of the constructed supermartingales to provide explicit expressions for the conditional probability densities of the random times on the Brownian and compound Poisson filtrations.

## 1 Introduction

In the models of quickest change-point (disorder) detection, one usually starts with the probability space enhanced with a random time and another source of randomness such as a Brownian motion or a compound Poisson process. The quickest detection problems seek to determine stopping times at which the alarms should be sounded to indicate changes in the probabilistic characteristics of continuously observable stochastic processes. These detection times of alarms

---

\*This research benefited from the support of the 'Chaire Marchés en Mutation', French Banking Federation and ILB, Labex ANR 11-LABX-0019.

<sup>†</sup>London School of Economics, Department of Mathematics, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, United Kingdom; e-mail: p.v.gapeev@lse.ac.uk

<sup>‡</sup>Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Modélisation d'Évry (LaMME), UMR CNRS 8071; Univ Evry-Université Paris Saclay, 23 Boulevard de France, 91037 Évry cedex France; e-mail: monique.jeanblanc@univ-evry.fr

<sup>0</sup>*Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:* Primary 60G44, 60J65, 60G40. Secondary 60G35, 60H10, 91G40.

<sup>0</sup>*Key words and phrases:* Conditional probability density process, Brownian motion, compound Poisson process, Jacod's equivalence hypothesis.

<sup>0</sup>*Date:* December 17, 2023

are sought to be as close as possible to the unknown and unobservable random times of change in either the drift rate of the observable Brownian motion or the intensity and the jump distribution of the observable compound Poisson process. In order to solve the problems, the appropriate stochastic differential equations are derived for the (supermartingale) survival conditional probability processes of the random times or the equivalent (submartingale) posterior probability process on the given Brownian or compound Poisson reference filtrations. These processes play the role of sufficient statistics in the appropriate quickest detection problems with rewards containing a linear combination of the false alarm probabilities and the expected linear delay penalties. More precisely, the optimal detection times in these problems represent the first hitting times by either the survival conditional probability or the posterior probability processes of certain boundaries which are found as solutions of the associated free-boundary problems for ordinary or partial (integro-)differential (see, e.g. Shiryaev [30] and the references therein).

In this paper, we proceed in a more natural opposite direction and present a construction of supermartingales valued in the interval  $[0, 1]$  as solutions of certain stochastic differential equations on the given Brownian or compound Poisson reference filtrations. Then, we apply the results of Jeanblanc and Song [19] to construct the associated random times on the appropriate extended probability spaces. These properties lead to the satisfaction of Jacod's equivalence hypothesis, that is, to the existence of strictly positive conditional densities for the random times with respect to the reference filtrations. Such assumptions are usually satisfied in the classical models of credit risk theory in which the random default times have given strictly positive conditional densities with respect to the reference filtrations reflecting the information observable from the associated models of financial markets (see, e.g. Aksamit and Jeanblanc [2] for further discussions on Jacod's hypothesis and credit risk models). We provide a multiplicative decomposition for the constructed supermartingale and use the resulting components to derive the families of the conditional probability densities of the random times on the Brownian and compound Poisson filtrations.

The paper is organised as follows. We present a general framework for the model in Section 2. Then, we construct the appropriate supermartingales in the case of a reference filtration generated by a Brownian in Section 3, and in the case of a reference filtration generated by a compound Poisson process in Section 4.

## 2 The framework

We give a model for constructions of supermartingales valued in  $[0, 1]$  with respect to a reference filtration.

For this purpose, we work on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is a given (reference) filtration. We call an  $\mathbb{F}$ -conditional density any family of strictly positive  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingales  $(p(u) = (p_t(u))_{t \geq 0}; \forall u \geq 0)$ , parameterised by  $u \in [0, \infty)$ , such that  $p$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$ -

measurable and

$$\int_0^\infty p_t(u) du = 1, \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Actually, there are very few explicit examples of such densities in the literature (see, e.g. [2, Chapter 4], [7] and [20]). In this paper, we will show how to construct such families  $(p(u); \forall u \geq 0)$  in two cases: when  $\mathbb{F}$  is a Brownian filtration, and when  $\mathbb{F}$  is a compound Poisson filtration. In both cases, we start with a nonnegative bounded  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted process  $\lambda = (\lambda_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying

$$\int_0^t \lambda_s ds < \infty, \forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_0^\infty \lambda_s ds = \infty, \quad (\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}), \quad (2.2)$$

and we construct a supermartingale  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  valued in  $(0, 1]$  such that  $G_0 = 1$  and the process  $(G_t \exp(\int_0^t \lambda_s ds))_{t \geq 0}$  is a (strictly) positive  $\mathbb{F}$ -local martingale (see, e.g. [11]). In this case, using the work of [19], on the extended measurable space  $(\Omega \times [0, \infty), \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)))$ , one can construct a positive random variable  $\tau$  (in fact,  $\tau(\omega', \omega'') = \omega''$ ) and a probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\mathbb{Q}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) = G_t, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Q}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \mathbb{P}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

In particular, if  $W$  is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -standard Brownian motion, it is also an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q})$ -standard Brownian motion. We recall that Jacod's equivalence hypothesis holds, if there exists a family of  $\mathbb{F}$ -conditional densities  $(p(u); \forall u \geq 0)$  such that

$$\mathbb{Q}(\tau > u | \mathcal{F}_t) = \int_u^\infty p_t(v) dv, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall u \geq 0.$$

The family of processes  $(p(u); \forall u \geq 0)$  is then called the *conditional density*.

**Remark 2.1** We refer the reader to the seminal papers of Jacod [17], Grorud and Pontier [16], and Amendinger [3], and to the book [2] for more details on Jacod's hypothesis. Note that the knowledge of the conditional density allows to give the decomposition of any martingale in the reference filtration as a semimartingale in the initial (and progressive) enlargement with  $\tau$  (see, e.g. [2], [21], [8], [4] and [12]-[13]).

### 3 The case of a Brownian filtration

In this section, we consider the case in which  $\mathbb{F}$  is the filtration generated by a standard Brownian motion  $W$ . We use the notation of the framework described in Section 2.

#### 3.1 Supermartingales valued in $[0, 1]$

**Proposition 3.1** *Let us consider the stochastic differential equation*

$$dG_t = -\lambda_t G_t dt - G_t(1 - G_t) \rho_t dW_t, \quad G_0 \in (0, 1], \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a nonnegative  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted process such that the condition of (2.2) holds and  $\rho$  an  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted process satisfying

$$\int_0^t \rho_s^2 ds < \infty, (\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}), \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, the equation in (3.1) admits a (pathwise) unique (continuous) solution  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  which is valued in  $[0, 1]$ .

Proof: Let us set  $T_1 = \inf\{t > 0 \mid G_t = 1\}$  and  $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 \mid G_t = 0\}$ . Obviously, the equality  $G_t = 0$  holds,  $\forall t \geq T_0$ , and we also have  $G_t < 1$  on  $\{0 < t < T_1\}$ . Then, applying Itô's formula (see, e.g. [27, Chapter VI, Theorem 1.2]) to the change of variables  $\Phi_t = (1 - G_t)/G_t$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , we obtain that the stochastic differential equation of (3.1) is equivalent to the one

$$d\Phi_t = \left( \lambda_t (1 + \Phi_t) + \frac{\Phi_t^2}{1 + \Phi_t} \rho_t^2 \right) dt + \Phi_t \rho_t dW_t, \Phi_0 \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.3)$$

Assuming that the solution  $\Phi$  to the equation in (3.3) exists, it is non-negative. Moreover, since the coefficients of the stochastic differential equation in (3.3) are Lipschitz continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  and of a linear growth, it follows from the result of [24, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.8] that the equation in (3.3) admits a pathwise unique (strong) solution process  $\Phi = (\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , which does not explode at any  $t \geq 0$ . In this case, because the process  $G$  starts at some  $G_0 \in (0, 1]$ , we may conclude from the structure of the coefficients of the equation in (3.3) for the process  $\Phi = (1 - G)/G$  that  $T_0 = \infty$  ( $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.).

Moreover, by means of the comparison results for pathwise solutions of stochastic differential equations in [25, Theorem 3.2] and [9, Theorem 1], we see that the inequality

$$\Phi_0 \exp \left( \int_0^t \rho_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \rho_s^2 ds \right) \leq \Phi_t, \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

holds. Hence, due to the assumption in (3.2), we see from (3.4) that the process  $\Phi$  does not touch 0 after the time 0, when being started at  $\Phi_0 \geq 0$ . This fact implies that the process  $G = 1/(1 + \Phi)$  does not touch 1 after the time 0, so that  $T_1 = \infty$  ( $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.).  $\square$

**Remark 3.2** Note that the equation in (3.1) has the same structure as the appropriate stochastic differential equations for the posterior probability processes  $\Pi = (\Pi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of the occurrence of the random change-point (disorder) time defined by  $\Pi_t = 1 - G_t$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , in the quickest change-point detection problems for Wiener and more general diffusion processes studied in [28, Chapter IV, Section 4] (see also [29, Chapter IV, Section 4], and [26, Chapter VI, Section 22], as well as [14]-[15]). It is shown in the sources mentioned above that the optimal stopping times of alarms in the quickest detection problems are given by the first times at which the processes  $\Pi$  hit boundaries which are determined as solutions to the associated free-boundary problems

for ordinary or partial differential operators. In the case of observable Wiener processes, the optimal hitting boundaries for the processes  $\Pi$  are constant on the allowed infinite observation intervals, but they are time-dependent when the allowed observation time intervals are finite. In the case of observable more general diffusion processes, the optimal hitting boundaries for the processes  $\Pi$  depend on the running values of the observation processes.

**Remark 3.3** This study is easily extended to the case in which  $\mathbb{F}$  is still a Brownian filtration, but the process  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the more general that in (3.1) stochastic differential equation

$$dG_t = -G_t d\Lambda_t - G_t(1 - G_t) \rho_t dW_t, \quad G_0 \in (0, 1], \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\Lambda = (\Lambda_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a positive continuous increasing process started at  $\Lambda_0 = 0$ . This is particularly the case when  $\tau$  is honest, since, in that case, the dynamics of the process  $G$  involves a local time (see, e.g. [2, Proposition 5.19]). However, an honest time satisfies Jacod's hypothesis if and only if it takes countably many values [1, Lemma 4.11]. Therefore, if all supermartingales of the form (3.1) lead to Jacod's hypothesis, this is not the case for supermartingales being the solutions of the stochastic differential equation in (3.5).

We also give another construction of the supermartingale  $G$ . Namely, if  $Y$  is a positive continuous supermartingale with the Doob-Meyer decomposition  $Y = M^Y - A^Y$ , where  $M^Y$  is a continuous (uniformly integrable) martingale, so that the process  $G := Y \wedge 1$  is a supermartingale valued in  $(0, 1]$ . Then, an application of Tanaka's formula for semi-martingales [22, Formula 4.1.15], by virtue of  $x \wedge y = x - (x - y)^+$ , leads to

$$dG_t = \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_t \leq 1\}} dY_t - \frac{1}{2} dL_t^1(Y), \quad G_0 \in (0, 1].$$

Note that any positive supermartingale admits a multiplicative decomposition  $Y_t = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ . Hence, there exists an  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted process  $\vartheta = (\vartheta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the integrability condition

$$\int_0^t \vartheta_s^2 ds < \infty, \quad (\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and such that

$$dY_t = -Y_t d\Lambda_t - Y_t \vartheta_t dW_t, \quad Y_0 \in (0, \infty).$$

Therefore, we see that

$$dG_t = -G_t \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_t \leq 1\}} d\Lambda_t + \frac{1}{2} d\ell_t \right) - \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_t \leq 1\}} G_t(1 - G_t) \rho_t dW_t, \quad G_0 \in (0, 1],$$

where we have

$$d\ell_t = \frac{1}{G_t} dL_t^1(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_t = \frac{\vartheta_t}{1 - G_t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

continuous and  $\rho$  is square integrable.

### 3.2 The multiplicative decomposition for the process $G$

As we recalled in Section 2 above, the process  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined in (3.1) when started at  $G_0 = 1$  admits a multiplicative decomposition  $G_t = e^{-\Lambda_t} N_t$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , where  $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  started at  $N_0 = 1$  is a continuous local martingale and

$$\Lambda_t = \int_0^t \lambda_s ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

In this case, the equality  $N_t = G_t e^{\Lambda_t}$  holds,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , and, according to Itô's formula, the process  $N$  follows the stochastic differential equation

$$dN_t = -e^{\Lambda_t} G_t (1 - G_t) \rho_t dW_t = -N_t (1 - G_t) \rho_t dW_t, \quad N_0 = 1, \quad (3.7)$$

and the explicit expression

$$N_t = \exp \left( - \int_0^t (1 - G_s) \rho_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1 - G_s)^2 \rho_s^2 ds \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

For further use, we define the processes  $L = (L_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $K = (K_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by the explicit expressions

$$L_t = \exp \left( - \int_0^t \rho_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \rho_s^2 ds + \int_0^t G_s \rho_s^2 ds \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$K_t = \exp \left( \int_0^t G_s \rho_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t G_s^2 \rho_s^2 ds \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3.10)$$

which admit the stochastic differential equation

$$dL_t = -L_t \rho_t (dW_t - G_t \rho_t dt), \quad L_0 = 1, \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$dK_t = K_t G_t \rho_t dW_t, \quad K_0 = 1. \quad (3.12)$$

By virtue of the integration-by-parts formula, it is straightforward to see from (3.8) and (3.9)-(3.10) that the equality  $K_t L_t = N_t$  holds,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .

### 3.3 Strictly positive martingales $M^u$ decreasing w.r.t. $u$

From [19], we deduce that any solution  $\Psi^u = (\Psi_t^u)_{t \geq u}$  of the problem

$$\begin{cases} d\Psi_t^u = -\Psi_t^u (e^{-\Lambda_t} / (1 - G_t)) dN_t, \quad \forall u \leq t < \infty, \\ \Psi_u^u = x, \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

for any  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $u \geq 0$  fixed, is a martingale, increasing with respect to  $u \in [0, \infty)$  and is valued in  $[0, 1]$ . Here, the components in the equation of (3.13) are given by (3.6) and (3.7).

For  $x = 1 - G_u$  fixed, one can construct on an extended probability space (see above), a random time  $\tau$  and a probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  coincide on the filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau > u | \mathcal{F}_t) = \Psi_t^u$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ ,  $\forall u \geq 0$  fixed (see [19, Section 3] and [23]). Note that

$$d\Psi_t^u = \Psi_t^u G_t \rho_t dW_t, \forall t \geq u, \quad (3.14)$$

so that, for any  $u \geq 0$  fixed, we have

$$\Psi_t^u = \Psi_u^u \exp \left( \int_u^t G_s \rho_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_u^t G_s^2 \rho_s^2 dW_s \right), \forall t \geq u, \quad (3.15)$$

or

$$\Psi_t^u = \Psi_u^u \frac{K_t}{K_u} = K_t \frac{1 - G_u}{K_u}, \forall t \geq u. \quad (3.16)$$

Then, by integration-by-parts formula, after some easy computations, we get

$$d \left( \frac{1 - G_u}{K_u} \right) = \frac{\lambda_u}{K_u} G_u du, \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\Psi_t^u = K_t \int_0^u \frac{\lambda_s}{K_s} G_s ds, \forall t \geq u. \quad (3.18)$$

It follows that  $\Psi^u$  is differentiable w.r.t.  $u$  and, for any  $t \geq 0$  fixed, we have

$$\frac{d}{du} \Psi_t^u = K_t \frac{\lambda_u}{K_u} G_u = K_t \frac{\lambda_u}{K_u} e^{-\Lambda_u} K_u L_u = K_t \lambda_u e^{-\Lambda_u} L_u, \forall t \geq u. \quad (3.19)$$

Setting  $M_t^u = 1 - \Psi_t^u$ ,  $\forall t \geq u$ ,  $\forall u \geq 0$  fixed, we find

$$\begin{cases} dM_t^u = (1 - M_t^u)(e^{-\Lambda_t} / (1 - G_t)) dN_t, \forall u \leq t < \infty \\ M_u^u = G_u, \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

where the process  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is given by (3.5) and the process  $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  admits the stochastic differential of (3.7). Then, we can show that the following assertion holds.

**Proposition 3.4** *For any  $u \geq 0$  fixed, the following expression holds*

$$M_t^u = N_t e^{-\Lambda_t} + K_t \left( \int_u^t \frac{N_s}{K_s} \lambda_s e^{-\Lambda_s} ds \right), \forall t \geq u, \quad (3.21)$$

where the components are given by the formulas in (3.6) and (3.8)-(3.10).

Proof: For any  $u \geq 0$  fixed, after some algebraic computations, we get that the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} dM_t^u &= G_t(1 - G_t) \rho_t dW_t - (M_t^u - N_t e^{-\Lambda_t}) G_t \rho_t dW_t \\ &= -G_t(-1 + G_t + M_t^u - G_t) \rho_t dW_t \\ &= (1 - M_t^u) \frac{e^{-\Lambda_t}}{1 - G_t} dN_t, \forall t \geq u, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$



hold. □

For any  $0 \leq t < u$ , in order to preserve the martingale property of  $M^u$ , we define  $M_t^u = \mathbb{E}[M_u^u | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[G_u | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[N_u e^{-\Lambda_u} | \mathcal{F}_t]$ . Furthermore, if  $\lambda$  is deterministic and  $N$  a true martingale, we have

$$M_t^u = N_t e^{-\Lambda_u}, \forall t < u. \quad (3.23)$$

### 3.4 The set of conditional probability density processes $p(u)$

Let us note that, by virtue of (3.19), the family of the conditional probability density processes ( $p(u) = (p_t(u))_{t \geq 0}; \forall u \geq 0$ ) with respect to Lebesgue measure is defined by

$$p_t(u) = K_t \lambda_u e^{-\Lambda_u} L_u, \forall t \geq u. \quad (3.24)$$

We also deduce that

$$1 - M_t^u = \Psi_t^u = K_t \int_0^u \lambda_s e^{-\Lambda_s} L_s ds = \int_0^u p_t(s) ds, \forall t \geq u, \quad (3.25)$$

and, for any  $u \geq 0$  fixed, using the martingale property of  $p(u)$ , we get

$$p_t(u) = \mathbb{E}[K_u \lambda_u e^{-\Lambda_u} L_u | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[\lambda_u G_u | \mathcal{F}_t], \forall t \leq u. \quad (3.26)$$

Finally, we check that the property in (2.1) holds. For this, we note that the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty p_t(s) ds &= \int_0^t K_t \lambda_s e^{-\Lambda_s} L_s ds + \int_t^\infty \mathbb{E}[K_s \lambda_s e^{-\Lambda_s} L_s | \mathcal{F}_t] ds \\ &= \Psi_t^t + \int_t^\infty \mathbb{E}[N_s \lambda_s e^{-\Lambda_s} | \mathcal{F}_t] ds = \Psi_t^t + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^\infty \lambda_s G_s ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right], \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

hold and, from the stochastic differential equation satisfied by  $G$  in (3.1), we get

$$\int_t^\infty \lambda_s G_s ds = -G_t - \int_t^\infty G_s(1 - G_s) \rho_s dW_s, \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.28)$$

If  $\lambda$  is a deterministic function, the process  $p(u)$  admits the stochastic differential

$$\begin{aligned} d_t p_t(u) &= \lambda_u e^{-\Lambda_u} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{u < t\}} G_t K_t L_u - \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq t\}} (1 - G_t) K_t L_t \right) \rho_t dW_t \\ &= p_t(u) \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{u < t\}} G_t - \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq t\}} (1 - G_t) \right) \rho_t dW_t, \quad p_0(u) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

so that the representation

$$p_t(u) = \lambda_u e^{-\Lambda_u} \exp\left(\int_0^t \varphi_s(u) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \varphi_s^2(u) ds\right), \forall t \geq 0, \forall u \geq 0, \quad (3.30)$$

holds with

$$\varphi_t(u) = \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{u < t\}} G_t - \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq t\}} (1 - G_t) \right) \rho_t = \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{u < t\}} - (1 - G_t) \right) \rho_t, \forall t \geq 0, \forall u \geq 0. \quad (3.31)$$

## 4 The case of a compound Poisson filtration

In this section, we consider the case in which  $\mathbb{F}$  is the filtration generated by a compound Poisson process. We use the notation of the framework described in Sections 2 and 3.

### 4.1 The conditional probability process

Suppose that there exists a compound Poisson process  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  (or a pure jump Lévy process) with a finite intensity Lévy measure  $\nu(dx)$  which is a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying the conditions

$$\nu(\{0\}) = 0, \quad \int (x^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int |x| \nu(dx) < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Assume that the process  $X$  generates the reference filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  which is complete under the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ . Note that, in the compound Poisson case with  $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ , the process  $X$  admits the representation  $X_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N'_t} \Xi_i$ , where  $N' = (N'_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Poisson process of intensity  $\varkappa > 0$  and  $(\Xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with the distribution  $\nu(dx)/\varkappa$ , where  $N'$  and  $(\Xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are independent under  $\mathbb{P}$ . We denote by  $\mu$  the jump measure of the process  $X$  defined by

$$\mu((0, t] \times A) = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta X_s \in A\}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

for any Borel set  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , where we set  $\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$  (see, e.g. [18, Chapter II, Section 4]).

**Proposition 4.1** *Let us consider the stochastic differential equation*

$$dG_t = -\lambda_t G_{t-} dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_{t-}(1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} (\mu(dt, dx) - dt \nu(dx)), \quad G_0 \in (0, 1], \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a nonnegative  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted process such that the conditions of (2.2) hold, and  $\Upsilon(x)$  is a continuous function such that the inequality  $\Upsilon(x) > 1$  holds,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ , as well as the conditions

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sqrt{\Upsilon(x)} - 1 \right)^2 \nu(dx) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| \Upsilon(x) \nu(dx) < \infty \quad (4.4)$$

are satisfied. (The first condition in (4.4) is used for the equivalent change of probability measure (see, e.g. [18, Chapter III, Theorem 5.34].) Then, the equation in (4.3) admits a (pathwise) unique (piecewise-continuous) solution  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  which is valued in  $[0, 1]$ .

Proof: Let us define  $T_1 = \inf\{t > 0 \mid G_t \geq 1\}$  and  $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 \mid G_t \leq 0\}$  with the fact,  $G$  being a supermartingale the equality  $G_t \leq 0$  holds,  $\forall t \geq T_0$ , as well as  $G_t < 1$  on  $\{0 < t < T_1\}$ .

It is shown by means of Itô's formula (see, e.g. [18, Chapter I, Theorem 4.57]) that the process  $\Phi = (\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by  $\Phi_t = (1 - G_t)/G_t$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , admits the stochastic differential

$$d\Phi_t = \lambda_t (1 + \Phi_{t-}) dt + \Phi_{t-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Upsilon(x) - 1) \left( \mu(dt, dx) - \frac{1 + \Phi_{t-}}{1 + \Phi_{t-} \Upsilon(x)} dt \nu(dx) \right), \Phi_0 \in [0, \infty). \quad (4.5)$$

Due to the condition  $\Upsilon > 1$ , the solution  $\Phi$  to the equation in (4.5) is non-negative. Since the coefficients of this stochastic differential equation in (4.5) are Lipschitz continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  and of a linear growth, it follows from the result of [18, Chapter III, Theorem 2.32] that the equation in (4.5) admits a pathwise unique (strong) solution process  $\Phi = (\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  which does not explode at any  $t \geq 0$ . In this case, because the process  $G$  starts at some  $G_0 \in (0, 1]$ , we may conclude from the structure of the coefficients of the equation in (4.5) for the process  $\Phi = (1 - G)/G$  that  $T_0 = \infty$  ( $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.).

Let us now denote by  $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the sequence of jumps of the Poisson process  $N'$  and put  $S_0 = 0$ . Then, we see that, between any two jumps of  $N'$ , the process  $\Phi$  follows the equation

$$\frac{d\Phi_t}{dt} = \lambda_t (1 + \Phi_{t-}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Phi_{t-} (1 + \Phi_{t-}) (\Upsilon(x) - 1)}{1 + \Phi_{t-} \Upsilon(x)} \nu(dx), \forall t \in (S_{n-1}, S_n], \quad (4.6)$$

such that  $t \in [0, T_0 \wedge T_1]$ , while, at any jump times of  $N'$ , we have

$$\Phi_{S_n} = (\Upsilon(\Delta X_{S_n}) - 1) \Phi_{S_{n-}}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, due to the assumptions that  $\Upsilon(x) > 1$  holds,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ , as well as the conditions in (4.4) are satisfied, we see from (4.6) and (4.7) that the process  $\Phi$  does not touch 0 after the time 0, when being started at  $\Phi_0 \geq 0$ , so that  $T_1 = \infty$  ( $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.).  $\square$

**Remark 4.2** Note that the equation in (4.3) has the same structure as the appropriate stochastic differential equations for the posterior probability process  $\Pi = (\Pi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of the occurrence of the random change-point (disorder) time defined by  $\Pi_t = 1 - G_t$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , in the quickest change-point detection problems for a compound Poisson process studied in [10], [6], and [5]. It is shown in the sources mentioned above that the optimal stopping times of alarms in the quickest detection problem are given by the first times at which the processes  $\Pi$  hit boundaries which are determined as solutions to the associated free-boundary problems for integro-differential operators. In the case of observable compound Poisson processes, the optimal hitting boundaries for the processes  $\Pi$  are constant on the allowed infinite observation time intervals.

## 4.2 The multiplicative decomposition for the process $G$

In this setting, the process  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined in (4.3) when started at  $G_0 = 1$  also admits a multiplicative decomposition  $G_t = e^{-\Lambda t} N_t$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , where  $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  started at  $N_0 = 1$  is

a piecewise-continuous local martingale and  $\Lambda = (\Lambda_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , given by (3.6) is continuous, hence it is predictable. In this case, the equality  $N_t = G_t e^{\Lambda_t}$  holds,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , and, according to Itô's formula, similar to the formulas in (3.7)-(3.8) above, the process  $N$  admits the stochastic differentials

$$\begin{aligned} dN_t &= -e^{\Lambda_t} G_{t-} (1 - G_{t-}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Upsilon(x) - 1}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} (\mu(dt, dx) - dt \nu(dx)) \\ &= -N_{t-} (1 - G_{t-}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Upsilon(x) - 1}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} (\mu(dt, dx) - dt \nu(dx)), \quad N_0 = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and the explicit expression

$$\begin{aligned} N_t &= \exp \left( - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln (1 + (1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)) \mu(ds, dx) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)}{1 + (1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} ds \nu(dx) \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Similar to the formulas in (3.9)-(3.12) above, we define the processes  $L = (L_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $K = (K_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by the explicit expressions

$$\begin{aligned} L_t &= \exp \left( - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln (\Upsilon(x)) \mu(ds, dx) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Upsilon(x) - 1}{1 + (1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} ds \nu(dx) \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_t &= \exp \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln \left( \frac{\Upsilon(x)}{1 + (1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} \right) \mu(ds, dx) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_{s-}(\Upsilon(x) - 1)}{1 + (1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} ds \nu(dx) \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

which admit the stochastic differentials

$$dL_t = L_{t-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{\Upsilon(x)} - 1 \right) \left( \mu(dt, dx) - \frac{\Upsilon(x)}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} dt \nu(dx) \right), \quad L_0 = 1, \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$dK_t = K_{t-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_{t-}(\Upsilon(x) - 1)}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} (\mu(dt, dx) - dt \nu(dx)), \quad K_0 = 1. \quad (4.13)$$

By virtue of the integration-by-parts formula, it is straightforward to see from (4.9) and (4.10)-(4.11) that the equality  $K_t L_t = N_t$  holds,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .

### 4.3 Strictly positive martingales $M^u$ decreasing w.r.t. $u$

In this setting, from [19], we also deduce that any solution  $\Psi^u = (\Psi_t^u)_{t \geq u}$  of the problem

$$\begin{cases} d\Psi_t^u = -\Psi_{t-}^u (e^{-\Lambda t} / (1 - G_{t-})) dN_t, \forall u \leq t < \infty, \\ \Psi_u^u = x, \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

for any  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $u \geq 0$  fixed, is a martingale, increasing with respect to  $u \in [0, \infty)$  and is valued in  $[0, 1]$ . Here, the components in the equation of (3.13) are given by (3.6) and (4.8). For  $x = 1 - G_u$  fixed, one can construct on an extended probability space (see above), a random time  $\tau$  and a probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  coincide on the filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau > u | \mathcal{F}_t) = \Psi_t^u, \forall t \geq 0, \forall u \geq 0$  fixed (see [19, Section 3] and [23]). Note that

$$d\Psi_t^u = \Psi_{t-}^u \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_{t-}(\Upsilon(x) - 1)}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} (\mu(dt, dx) - dt \nu(dx)), \forall t \geq u, \quad (4.15)$$

so that, for any  $u \geq 0$  fixed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_t^u = \Psi_u^u \exp & \left( \int_u^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln \left( \frac{\Upsilon(x)}{1 + (1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} \right) \mu(ds, dx) \right. \\ & \left. - \int_u^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_{s-}(\Upsilon(x) - 1)}{1 + (1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} ds \nu(dx) \right), \forall t \geq u, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

and thus, the expressions in (3.16)-(3.19) hold with  $K = (K_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $L = (L_t)_{t \geq 0}$  given by (4.10) and (4.11). Similarly, setting  $M_t^u = 1 - \Psi_t^u, \forall t \geq u, \forall u \geq 0$  fixed, we find

$$\begin{cases} dM_t^u = (1 - M_{t-}^u) (e^{-\Lambda t} / (1 - G_{t-})) dN_t, \forall u \leq t < \infty \\ M_u^u = G_u, \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

where the process  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is given by (4.3) and the process  $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  admits the stochastic differential of (4.8). Then, we can show that the following assertion holds, which is proved by means of arguments similar to the ones used in Proposition 3.4 above.

**Proposition 4.3** *For any  $u \geq 0$  fixed, the same expression as in (3.21) holds, where the components are given by the formulas in (3.6) and (4.9)-(4.11).*

### 4.4 The set of conditional probability density processes $p(u)$

Let us finally recall the family of the conditional probability density processes ( $p(u) = (p_t(u))_{t \geq 0}; \forall u \geq 0$ ) which are also defined as in (3.24). It is shown by means of standard arguments that the expressions of (3.25)-(3.27) hold in this setting, while the expression in (3.28) takes the form

$$\int_t^\infty \lambda_s G_{s-} ds = -G_{t-} - \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_{s-}(1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)}{1 + (1 - G_{s-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} (\mu(ds, dx) - ds \nu(dx)), \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.18)$$

We also note that if  $\lambda$  is a deterministic function, the process  $p(u)$  admits the stochastic differential

$$\begin{aligned}
dp_t(u) &= \lambda_u e^{-\Lambda_u} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{u < t\}} G_{t-} K_{t-} L_u - \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq t\}} (1 - G_{t-}) K_{t-} L_{t-} \right) \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Upsilon(x) - 1}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} (\mu(dt, dx) - dt \nu(dx)) \\
&= p_{t-}(u) \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{u < t\}} G_{t-} - \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq t\}} (1 - G_{t-}) \right) \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Upsilon(x) - 1}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} (\mu(dt, dx) - dt \nu(dx)), \quad p_0(u) = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

so that the representation

$$\begin{aligned}
p_t(u) &= \lambda_u e^{-\Lambda_u} \\
&\quad \times \exp \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln(1 + \xi_{s-}(u, x)) \mu(ds, dx) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi_{s-}(u, x) ds \nu(dx) \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall u \geq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

holds with

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{t-}(u, x) &= \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{u < t\}} G_{t-} - \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq t\}} (1 - G_{t-}) \right) \frac{\Upsilon(x) - 1}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)} \\
&= \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{u < t\}} - (1 - G_{t-}) \right) \frac{\Upsilon(x) - 1}{1 + (1 - G_{t-})(\Upsilon(x) - 1)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall u \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

**Acknowledgments.** This research benefited from the support of the ‘Chaire Marchés en Mutation’, French Banking Federation and ILB, Labex ANR 11-LABX-0019. This research was supported by a Small Grant from the Suntory and Toyota International Centres for Economics and Related Disciplines (STICERD) at the LSE. The authors thank Kostas Kardaras for a helpful discussion on the proof of Proposition 3.1 as well as Lin Yiqing and Yin Liming for a fruitful exchange on the problem and a different proof of Proposition 3.1.

## References

- [1] AKSAMIT, A. (2014). *Random Times, Enlargement of Filtration and Arbitrages*. PhD thesis, Université d’Evry.
- [2] AKSAMIT, A. and JEANBLANC, M. (2017). *Enlargement of Filtration with Finance in View*. Springer.
- [3] AMENDINGER, J. (2000). Martingale representation theorems for initially enlarged filtrations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **89** (101–116).
- [4] BIELECKI, T. R., JAKUBOWSKI, J., JEANBLANC, M. and NIEWKEGŁOWSKI, M. (2021). Special semi-martingales and shrinkage of filtration. *Annals of Applied Probability* **31** (1376–1402).
- [5] DAYANIK, S. (2010). Compound Poisson disorder problems with nonlinear detection delay penalty cost functions. *Sequential Analysis* **29** (193–216).

- [6] DAYANIK, S. and SEZER, S. O. (2006). Compound Poisson disorder problem. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **31**(4) (649–672).
- [7] EL KAROUI, N., JEANBLANC, M., JIAO, Y. and ZARGARI, B. (2014). Conditional default probability and density. In the Volume *Inspired by Finance*, Kabanov, Yu., Rutkowski, M. and Zariphopoulou, Th. (eds.) Springer (201–219).
- [8] FONTANA, C. (2018). The strong predictable representation property in initially enlarged filtrations under the density hypothesis. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **128** (1007–1033).
- [9] FERREYRA, G. and SUNDAR, P. (2000). Comparison of solutions of stochastic differential equations and applications. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* **18** (211–229).
- [10] GAPEEV, P. V. (2005). The disorder problem for compound Poisson processes with exponential jumps. *Annals of Applied Probability* **15**(1A) (487–499).
- [11] GAPEEV, P. V., JEANBLANC, M., LI, L. and RUTKOWSKI, M. (2010). Constructing random times with given survival process and applications to valuation of credit derivatives. In the Volume *Contemporary Quantitative Finance*, Chiarella, C. and Novikov, A. (eds.) Springer (255–280).
- [12] GAPEEV, P. V., JEANBLANC, M. and WU, D. (2021). Projections of martingales in enlargements of Brownian filtrations under Jacod’s equivalence hypothesis. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **26**(136) (1–24).
- [13] GAPEEV, P. V., JEANBLANC, M. and WU, D. (2021). Projections of martingales in enlargements of filtrations under Jacod’s equivalence hypothesis for marked point processes. *Preprint*, hal-03675081.
- [14] GAPEEV, P. V. and PESKIR, G. (2006). The Wiener disorder problem with finite horizon. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **116**(12) (1770–1791).
- [15] GAPEEV, P. V., SHIRYAEV, A. N. (2013). Bayesian quickest detection problems for some diffusion processes. *Advances in Applied Probability* **45**(1) (164–185).
- [16] GRORUD, A. and PONTIER, M. (1998). Insider trading in a continuous time market model. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance* **1** (331–347).
- [17] JACOD, J. (1985). Grossissement initial, hypothèse (H’) et théorème de Girsanov. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1118** (15–35).
- [18] JACOD, J. and SHIRYAEV, A. N. (1987). *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*. Springer, Berlin.
- [19] JEANBLANC, M. and SONG, S. (2011). Default times with given survival probability and their  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale decomposition formula. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **121** (1389–1410).
- [20] JEANBLANC, M. and SONG, S. (2011). Explicit model of default time with given survival probability. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **121** (1678–1704).
- [21] JEANBLANC, M. and SONG, S. (2015). Martingale representation property in progressively enlarged filtrations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **125**(11) (4242–4271).
- [22] JEANBLANC, M., YOR, M. and CHESNEY, M. (2007). *Martingale Methods for Financial Markets*. Springer, Berlin.
- [23] LI, L. and RUTKOWSKI, M. (2012). Random times and multiplicative systems. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **122** (2053–2077).
- [24] LIPTSER, R. S. and SHIRYAEV, A. N. (2001). *Statistics of Random Processes I*. (Second Edition, First Edition 1977) Springer, Berlin.

- [25] OUKNINE, Y. and RUTKOWSKI, M. (1990). Strong comparison of solutions of one-dimensional stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **36** (217–230).
- [26] PESKIR, G. and SHIRYAEV, A. N. (2006). *Optimal Stopping and Free-Boundary Problems*. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- [27] REVUZ, D. and YOR, M. (1999). *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. (Third Edition) Springer, Berlin.
- [28] SHIRYAEV, A. N. (1973). *Statistical Sequential Analysis*. American Mathematical Society, Providence.
- [29] SHIRYAEV, A. N. (1978). *Optimal Stopping Rules*. Springer, Berlin.
- [30] SHIRYAEV, A. N. (2019). *Stochastic Disorder Problems*. Springer, Berlin.